

SHALS used by JUNIUS

in his correspondence with

MEWOODFALL.

JUNIUS

LETTERS

BY THE SAME WRITER, UNDER OTHER SIGNATURES (NOW FIRST COLLECTED).

TO WHICH ARE ADDED,

HIS CONFIDENTIAL CORRESPONDENCE

WITH

MR WILKES,

AND HIS PRIVATE LETTERS ADDRESSED

TO

MR H. S. WOODFALL.

WITH

A PRELIMINARY ESSAY, NOTES, FAC-SIMILES, &c.

Ste' rominis umbra.

LONDON
GEORGE ROUTLEDGE AND SONS, LIMITED
NEW YORK: E. P. DUTTON AND CO.

PREFACE.

EVERY reader is acquainted with the fruitless efforts which have been made to solve the mystery of the authorship of the Letters of Junius. These inquiries, which may be said to have begun with the publication of the first letter in 1769, are still being prosecuted in 1874, and will probably continue to amuse the leisure of the literary would for many years to come. To these speculations we have nothing new to contribute. We have no theories, we have no opinions. It seems to us that the fog in which the Yunian paternity is wrapped is just as thick now as it was when our forefathers were scratching their wigs and whispering their suspicions to one another. Dimly-burning lanterns are waved amid this fog and cast an illumination of some few inches in circumference around their blinded and energetic bearers; but it is not too much to say that in spite of the prodigious efforts that have been made to prove Sir Philip Francis the author of the Letters, scarcely a fact in support of his pretensions has been advanced which might not be matched with one equally convincing in support of the claims of Dyer and Burke, of Boyd and Dunning, of the Duke of Poitland and Lord George Sackville, of Earl Temple and Single-speech Hamilton.

Who was Junius? All are agreed, whoever he was, that he was intimately acquainted with the inner and secret life of the War-office; that he was intimately acquainted with the inner and secret life of the Foreign-office; that he was about the court; that if he were not a member of the Lower House, he was frequently present at the debates held there; that at one time he hated and at another time admired and defended Lord Chatham; that he had a great personal kindness for Woodfall, his printer; that he was a man of brilliant parts, immense courage, and dogged perseverance; who did an incalculable amount of good and not a little harm; who crushed the Duke of Grafton and insulted the Earl of Mansfield; who was a scarecrow of violence to court-jobbers and back-stairs officials, and who conquered the law with his libels. But the man himself is not less absolutely unknown to us than the Man in the Iron Mask. It is perfectly intelligible that a great literary mystery of this kind should exercise a peculiar fascination upon the mind. The profound learning of Bentley checked a controversy which Boyle's elegance, decorated with Atterbury's tinsel, might have kept alive to the days of Porson. The forgeries of Macpherson advocated by the well-meaning

¹ Walpoliana, vol. i. p. 71. 'Garrick, dining with me, told me that having been at Woodfall's, he learnt that the *Junius* of that day would be the last, upon which, hurrying to St James's, he reported this intelligence to several people. Next day he received a letter from *Junius*, mes's, he reported this intelligence to several people. Next day he received a letter from *Junius*, mes's, he couldn't that if he used such freedoms, a letter to him should appear. From this Garrick concluded that the author was about the court.'

υŝ PREFACE.

but pedantic Blair was a sin that descended through several generations and made 2 controversialist of Goethe long after the absurd cause had been abandoned on both sides the Tweed. The internal evidence against the antiquity of the Rowley poems fortunately overpowered the clever reasoning of Tyrwhitt; but William Ireland, backed by Parr, Kemble, and Croft, might long have perplexed the predecessors of Mr Pavne Collier, had not the youth's conscience hastened to the rescue of a long-suffering public. It is otherwise with *Yunius*. He is an incomparable enigma. Men of the greatest genius have fastened upon this abstraction and proved him-a mystery. It is our intention to advert briefly to some of the speculations which have been directed towards the identification of this 'airy nothing' with various public characters.

It was Sir Joshua Reynolds' opinion that Samuel Dyer was the author of the letters. and that he was assisted by Burke and Burke's cousin William. Dyer is represented as a man of great attainments, but so modest and reserved that he would frequently sit silent in company for an hour, and seldom speak unless appealed to; when he generally showed himself master of the subject that was under discussion.1 Through the influence of his friend Chamier.² Dyer procured some post connected with the army: and Yunius in his second letter exhibits an intimate acquaintance with the then state of the War Department. Dver was a complete master of French and Italian, and had spent some years abroad : and one of his first literary attempts was a translation of 'Les Moeurs.' In Junius there are one or two gallicisms; he was apparently much used to French reading, and when he had occasion to divide his paragraphs numerically, he adopted the French mode, ro, 20, &c. It was long supposed that Junius died soon after his papers were discontinued. Dyer died shortly after the letters had ceased to appear. William Burke went to his lodgings immediately after his death, and tore many of his friend's papers into minute fragments. Sir Joshua Reynolds saw these broken papers scattered all over the room. This hypothesis, it was held, explained many circumstances that had before puzzled all the conjecturers. It accounted for the prompt and intimate knowledge that Junius exhibited in his letters after the event or whatever else he discussed. Dyer lived on such friendly terms with Burke that from him he could learn everything that was going on or that was even meditated. It accounted also for the novelty of the style; and Burke's corrections and interpolations are everywhere discernible in those passages the resemblance of which to his known style caused him to be regarded by many as the writer of the letters.

The notion, indeed, that if Burke were not the author he was very largely concerned in the manufacture of the letters, was long current in Burke's own family. This impression was entirely dispelled by the publication of Junius' letters to Mr Granville. If further evidence were needed in refutation of the conjecture, it might be found in the great improbability of a man of Burke's fine character countenancing anything that bore severely upon the Dake of Grafton, who, to quote Sir James Prior, 'while a minister exhibited kindly feeling and recommended him strongly to office under Lord Chatham "as the readiest man upon all points in the House." '3 But the most convincing of all arguments is Burke's spontaneous denial of the authorship. 'I should have believed Burke,' says Johnson, 'to be Junius because I know no man but Burke who is capable of writing these letters; but Burke spontaneously denied it to me. The case would have

Maloniana, 119.
 Anthony Chamier. He is frequently mentioned in Boswell.
 Life of Edmond Malone, 423. See also the same writer's 'Life of Burke,' vol. i. p. 186.

been different had I asked him if he was the author; a man so questioned as to an anonymous publication, may think he has a right to deny it.' And yet as late as 1775 Johnson still professed his belief in Burke's authorship. 'Johnson said that he looked upon Burke to be the author of Funius, and that though he would not take him contra mundum, yet he would take him against any man. Baretti was of the same mind.'2 Grattan was also of this opinion. 'Three persons are considered as having the best claim to the authorship of Junius' Letters-Gibbon, Hamilton, and Burke. . . I incline to think that Burke was Funius.'

Gibbon is out of the question; but some good arguments have been advanced in support of William Gerard Hamilton. 'I really suspect Single-speech Hamilton to have been the author from the following circumstance,' says Walpole. 'One day at a house where he happened to be, he repeated the contents of that day's Funius; while in fact the printer had delayed the publication till next day. Hamilton was also brought forward by Lord Holland; and it is remarkable that Lord Holland, though very open to censure, is not once mentioned.'8 Wraxall, after fully weighing all circumstances, gives it as his conviction that Hamilton was Junius. 'I well remember,' says Mrs Piozzi, 'when they (the letters) were most talked of-and N. Seward said, "How the arrows of Junius were sure to wound and likely to stick." "Yes, sir," replied Dr Johnson, "yet let us distinguish between the venom of the shaft and the vigour of the bow," at which expression Mr Hamilton's countenance fell in a manner that to me betrayed the author. Johnson repeated the expression in his next pamphlet-and Junius wrote no more.' In Malone's opinion. Hamilton was quite capable of having written these letters, but he finds his style very different. 'He would have still more point than they exhibit, and certainly more Johnsonian energy.' Moreover he was all his life distinguished for political timidity and indecision, and dared not, even under a mask, have entered into a decided warfare with persons whom he might find necessary afterwards to him as colleagues. 'This Mr Hamilton is extremely tall and handsome.' writes Miss Burney, 'has an air of haughty and fashionable superiority; is intelligent, dry, sarcastic, and clever. I should have received much pleasure from his conversational powers had I not been previously prejudiced against him by hearing that he is infinitely wilful, double, and crafty, '5 These very qualities are held as proving decisively that he was not the author of the letters: for, says Malone, 'he could not have divested himself of the apprehension of a discovery, having long accustomed his mind to too refined a policy, and being very apt to suppose that many things are brought about by scheme and machination which are merely the offspring of chance.' 6

One of the last persons we should expect to find among the number of the suspected, is Glover, the author of 'Leonidas.' What reason is given for believing this poet Junius we have not discovered. Anderson in his Life of Glover makes no reference to the accusation. His speeches printed in the 'London Magazine' and 'Annals of Europe' are called by his biographer elegant and spirited: but his prose is not the prose of Funius. He was accredited with a great knowledge of public affairs, and his 'in-

² Boswell, 625.

² Dr T. Campbell's Diary, published in 1854.

Walpoliana, 71.
 Mrs Piozzi's Autobiography, by Hayward, vol. i. 343. Johnson attacked Funius in his tract on the Falkland Islands, and it is ludicrous to read of him delighting his imagination with the thoughts of having destroyed his opponent.

⁵ Diary and Letters, vol. i. 247.

⁶ Maloniana, 419.

PREFACE.

formation concerning trade and commerce pointed him out to the merchants of London as a proper person to conduct their application to Parliament on the subject of the neglect of their trade. He accepted the office, and in summing up the evidence gave very striking proofs of his oratorical powers.' Glover died in November, 1785, aged 73.

The pretensions of Delolme and the Duke of Portland are ridiculed by Lord Brougham. He points out that the sole ground for presuming the authorship of the Duke lies in the interest betrayed by *Junius* in the question respecting the honour of Inglewood Forest, which, he says, occupied the attention at that time of every man who talked or wrote upon politics. Delolme he characterizes as an extremely feeble writer, and one unconsciously ignorant of most constitutional points. Little is to be said in support of the candidature of Lord Chatham, Dunning, Lord Temple, or Lord Sackville, though an ingenious attempt was made to identify one or the other of these last-mentioned noblemen with *Junius* by M. Charles de Remusat in the 'L'Angleterre au Dixhuitième Siècle.'

We now come to Sir Philip Francis, on whom it is proper that we should bestow the greatest share of our attention. His claims have been advocated by many distinguished men; and by a large and intelligent class his identity with *Yunius* is considered proven. For our part, we shall avoid offering any opinion on the subject one way or the other; we propose merely to present, in as clear a light as we can, the reasons advanced by those who believe and by those who deny Sir Philip Francis to be the author of the Letters. For this purpose we shall epitomize the reasoning pursued by Lord Brougham in 1817,3 which we believe may be held fairly to express the opinions still preserved by the believers in the Franciscan theory.

Lord Brougham first deals with the question of internal evidence, and transcribes a few specimens of Francis's writings, which he considers amply justify the assertion that the author of Junius, whoever he might be, was not a person of greater talents than Francis. He then submits certain extracts from a speech delivered in 1797 by Francis, and exclaims: 'We humbly conceive that the most careless reader must be struck, not only with the general ability and eloquence of all these passages, but with their extraordinary coincidence with the Letters of Junius in all their most remarkable characteristics. The boldness and even fierceness of the tone-the studied force and energy of the diction-the pointed and epigrammatic cast of the style-the concise and frequent metaphors-and the mixture of the language of business and affairs, with a certain scholastic elegance and elaborate sarcasm.' He next goes on to consider various particular circumstances of a personal and historical character, which go much further than the general indications of internal evidence to make out the proposition contended for. 1. He finds that the dates of the Junian Letters exactly tally with Francis's residence in this country and his going abroad. 2. Francis was a clerk in the War-office, and Junius exhibits an intimate acquaintance with the business and persons of that department. 3. Francis was appointed a clerk in the Foreign-office in 1756. Junius shows an uncommon acquaintance with and interest in the transactions of the Foreign Department as well as the War-office; and the period to which his knowledge refers, precedes the death of Lord Egremont in 1763. 4. The manner in which Junius always treats

Anderson's British Poets. 'Life of Glover,' p. 469.

² Edinburgh Review, vol. xxix, p. 95.

This article was published in Nov. 1817. Francis died Dec. 22, 1818. It was therefore in his pwet to publish a contradiction.

PREFACE.

Lord Chatham coincides exactly with the expressions of Sir Philip in his speeches and writings. 5. The high admiration of Lord Chatham which Junius shows is not reconcilable with his kindness towards his antagonist Lord Holland. But the history of Sir Philip explains this. His father was Lord Holland's domestic chaplain. Sir Philip himself received from Lord Holland his first place in the Foreign-office; and sentiments of gratitude would overcome the natural inducement which Funius had to join in the attacks upon Lord Holland. 6. From his private correspondence it is plain that Junius bore a great personal good-will towards Woodfall. Woodfall was educated at St Paul's School, where Francis is known to have been bred; and Woodfall's son would afterwards speak of the acquaintance formed at that school between his father and Francis as having given rise to a mutual kindness during their after lives. 7. There is reason to believe that Yunius was known to Garrick: Sir Francis, in the Preface to 'England,' says that he enjoyed the friendship and esteem of Garrick. 8. It is unquestionable that Funius used to attend the debates in Parliament, and take notes of the more important speeches; Francis attended the debates during the same period. q. There are many favourite expressions in their printed works which are common to each; such as 'Of his side'-'So far forth'-'Pray never mind'-'Pray tell me'-&c. 10. Lord Brougham then tells the following story: Mr Jackson of Ipswich was in Woodfall's employment at the period of the Letters; and he states that he once saw a tall gentleman, dressed in a light coat, with bag and sword, throw into the office door opening in Fry Lane, a letter of Junius's, which he picked up and immediately followed the bearer of it into St Paul's Churchvard, where he got into a hackney-coach and drove off. Taylor, in his 'Identity of Junius,' states that the figure and appearance of Sir Philip Francis answer to this description as far as it goes. 11. There are various peculiarities of spelling which occur uniformly in both writers. Lastly, though the letters are known to be written in a feigned hand, the general character agrees well with that of Francis.1

Such is Lord Brougham's reasoning, taken in the order in which his arguments are stated. We will now add some further testimonies in support of his opinion. 'My own impression,' says Rogers, the poet, 'is that the Letters of Junius were written by Sir Philip Francis. In a speech which I once heard him deliver at the Mansion House concerning the partition of Poland, I had a striking proof that Francis possessed no ordinary powers of eloquence.' Mr Herman Merivale is equally satisfied: 'I regard,' he says, 'the authorship of *Junius* by Francis, as proved to reasonable satisfaction by the arguments which long ago seemed conclusive to most of our literary men of eminence who have carefully examined the subject.' Lord Macaulay's opinion is well known; he declares that the evidence in favour of Francis 'is such as would support a verdict in a civil, nay, in a criminal proceeding.' Sir James Mackintosh was so perfectly satisfied that Francis was the man that he begins an entry in his journal (1817) thus: 'Dec. 8. Dined with *Junius*. His wife is a woman of informed mind and

¹ In 1872 was published 'The Handwriting of Junius Professionally Investigated,' by Mr Charles Chabot, edited by the Hon. E. Twistleton. A writer in the 'Quarterly Review' declares that this book has settled once for all the long-disputed controversy. Further than this, it is not necessary to refer to this publication: but the reader will presently remark the entirely different views expressed by a writer in the same Review in 1868, upon the identity of Francis with the Letters.

2 Table-Talk.

³ Note in Forster's 'Life of Goldsmith.

agreeable person. The vigorous hatreds which seem to keep Francis alive are very amusing, &c.1

Let us now turn to the other side of the question. It is shown that until forty years had expired since the publication of the last of the Junian letters, the name of Francis was never mentioned in connection with them; which is represented as a fact of considerable importance, since it is to be expected that contemporaries should be acquainted with a variety of circumstances bearing upon the question of identity of which their posterity cannot but be ignorant. It is urged with great emphasis by Sir Fortunatus Dwarris that no one who knew, or heard, or read Francis, thought him capable of producing Funius. Tierney, who was acquainted with Francis, declared that 'he knew no better reason for believing the fellow to be Funius than that he was always confoundedly proud of something and no one could ever guess what it could be.' It is laid down broadly, as a matter of fact, that in the cases of all the candidates (thirty or forty in number) peculiarities of spelling or expression are almost invariably forthcoming: and that the same thing may be said of the handwriting of Junius, which clearly supports Mr Smith's theory that Lord Temple wrote the Letters with his wife for an amanuensis. The War-office paper by which Lord Macaulay triumphantly establishes the connection of Junius with the War-office turned out to be a fiction or fancy of Mr Parkes. If Francis were Funius he systematically assailed Wood, Welbore Ellis, Lord Egremont. Lord Barrington, and Calcraft, to all whom he was bound by ties of gratitude and by the liveliest sense of favours to come. - Junius was an accomplished rhetorician; but the warmest champion of the Franciscan theory, Mr Merivale, admits that Francis's style was hard and meagre, without grace, polish, variety, or flow.-It is next shown that the times at which the letters of Junius were received by Woodfall do not tally with the dates of Francis's known residence in London.2 'The late attempt,' says a writer in 1833.8 to give the honour to Sir Philip Francis has failed like the rest, and from a cause admitting of no answer. Sir Philip had not talents for the task. Writing all his life and even emulously adopting the style of Junius, he never was able to adopt his spirit. The habiliments were there; the man to wear them was wanting. The enigrammatic turn, the terseness, the virulence, the abruptness, all the errors were there. and all exaggerated: but the redeeming qualities of the great writer-the vividness, the fine originality-the concealed metaphor shining through and giving beauty to the simplest phrase-the intense poignancy striking like a dagger to the heart, were not there, and Junius has gone to his immortality unencumbered by the clay of Sir Philip Francis,' Sir N. H. Nicholas, after years of exploration, arrived at the same conclusion.

One thing, however, we cannot fail to observe in the arguments that are put forth respecting the Franciscan theory: we mean the tendency on the one hand to unduly exalt, on the other hand to unduly depreciate, the merits of the man whose identity is supported or denied.

It is not perhaps unreasonable that the advocates of Francis should extol him as a great genius since it is their business to prove him the author of a very wonderful book. But it does not necessarily follow that he should be a mediocrist, an insignificant fellow, as he has been termed, because his opponents are persuaded that he did not write the letters. Some who have gone so far as to admit the most distinctive and telling

Two stanch Franciscans are Lord Campbell and Earl Stanhope.
 Quarterly Review, vol. exxiv., art. 'Lord Macaulay and his School.'
 Blackwood's Magazine.

PREFACE.

points in the reasoning of Lord Brougham and Mr Taylor, still refuse to believe Francis capable of the letters, and suggest that he was probably the amanuensis of Funius. Without committing ourselves to any opinion, we still cannot help thinking that it is hot necessary to represent Francis as an incompetent man in order to demolish the theories of the Franciscans. Some allowance no doubt is to be made for the very active prejudices with which the stories that were related of Francis's temper and manners caused his name to be pursued by those who refused to recognize him as Funius. Even Macaulay, a zealous advocate of Sir Philip, owned that the man was arrogant and insolent and malevolent; and during his lifetime many anecdotes were current of the ferocity or sullenness of his behaviour. Wraxall declares he never saw him smile. and that he was bursting with bile. But this assertion scarcely qualifies an outburst of profound admitation for the man's genius. 'Nature,' he says, 'had conferred on him talents such as are rarely dispensed to any individual-a vast range of ideas, a retentive memory, a classic mind, considerable command of language, energy of thought and expression.' Judging him by his career, by his speeches, and even by his writings, it seems to us that Sir Philip Francis was a man of first-rate abilities; and whether our estimate of him be just or not, we cannot conceive that it should be in any wise prejudiced by the most narrow inspection of his pretensions to the authorship of the Letters.

However, assuming, as most of our readers probably will, the Junian riddle to be still unsolved, it is impossible to think of the writer of these wonderful Letters without feelings of the deepest astonishment and admiration. In an age when the rancour of political animosity made jealousy and curiosity two very fervent sentiments, this surprising writer, surrounded on all sides by men open-eated to hear of him, open-eyed to stare at him, preserved an impenetrable masquerade. What an unutterable sense of loneliness must sometimes have possessed him! There is an austerity in his triumph that is almost painful to think on. He must have thought in whispers and muffled his very instincts. He had unbounded fame; but he could not enjoy it, being unknown. What transports he knew were surely tiger-like; it was the sudden leap and deadly blow that composed the sum of his literary pleasures. We may figure him wringing in the morning the hand that ere nightfall he had resolved should be laid against a wounded heart. He glided through his brief span of being, a very shadow: wielding material weapons with shadowy hands; making his very wit tragical with the spirit of the mystery that hedged him about. Let Mr Forster speak for us-he is never more eloquent than when he is dealing with Junius. 'A friend of Gray relates that he had an appointment to meet the poet (Goldsmith) at his lodgings in Jermyn Street, and found him so deeply plunged in the columns of a newspaper, which with his dinner had been sent him from a neighbouring tavern, that his attention was with difficulty drawn from it. "Take this," said he in a tone of excitement: "here is such writing as I never before saw in a newspaper." It was the first letter with the signature of Funius. But it is not what we must now associate with Junius: no. the reckless calumnies and scandals; not the personal spites and hatreds; not such the ling liberalism as his approval of the taxation of America, and his protest against the disfranchisement of Old Sarum, which then so completely seized upon the reason as well as the tempers of men. It was the startling manifestation of power and courage; it was the sense that unscrupulous ministers had now an enemy as unscrupulous; that here was knowledge of even the worst chicaneries of office which not the most sneering official could make light of; that no minister in either House, no courtier at St James's,

no obsequious judge at Westminster, no supercilious secretary in any of the departments, could hereafter feel himself safe from treachery and betrayal; and from what hitherto had only been a vulgar, half-articulate cry from the Brentford hustings, or at best a faint whisper imperfectly echoed from St Stephen's, was now made the property and enjoyment of every section of the people—of the educated by its exquisite polish, of the vulgar by its relish of malice, of the great middle-class by its animated plainness, vigorous shrewdness, and dogged persecut was

This great man's remarkable words are :-

'I am the sole depositary of my secret, and it shall die with me. Is that secret known?

CONTENIS.

PART TIEVET LINE MODILE	AGE	PAGE
PRELIMINARY ESSAY	1	fall in vindication of himself against the
Mr Burke's opinion of Junius, note	2	charge in the city petition, of being 'the
Lord North's	2	public defaulter of unaccounted mil-
Story of the late duke of Richmond and		lions,' note 60
W. G. Hamilton, note		History of the dispute between the rt.
Opinion of the style of Junius by a con-	4	
		hon. George Onslow, now lord Onslow,
temporary hostile writer, note	29	and Mr Horne afterwards Mr Tooke,
Persons to whom the letters have been		note 62
attributed	33	Remonstrance of the city of Westminster,
On the pretensions of Mr Charles Lloyd	33	note 69
Tohn Dohanta	33	Letter to lord North, signed Brutus, note 73
Samuel Duran		Conclusion of a letter from Mr Wilkes to
the ut has Edm	33	Mr. Tr
		Mr Horne, note 74
Burke	33	Letter from JUNIUS to Mr David Garrick 75
Extracts from Mr Burke's speech on		Mr Wilkes's reply to Mr Horne, and com-
American taxation	34	pliments to the genius of Mr Garrick,
On the pretensions of the rt. hon. W.	•	note 75
G. Hamilton	38	Case of Meares and Shepley against An-
Extracts from Mr Malone's Preface to	3*	
Parliamentary Logic, in disproof that		sell, 11018 79
Tanada Logic, in disproof that	. 0	DESTRUCTION CONTRACTOR I
Hamilton was the writer of Junius	38	PRIVATE CORRESPONDENCE be-
On the pretensions of Dr Butler, bishop of		tween Junius and Mr Wilkes 87
Hereford	39	Copy of the resolutions of the Supporters
On the pretensions of the Rev. Philip		of the Bill of Rights, note or
Rosenhagen	40	Extracts from Mr Wilkes's letter to the
On the pretensions of general Charles Lee	40	Livery of London, in defence of himself
Extracts from general Lee's Letters		from an attack made on him by Mr
On the nuclearing of Ma William	43	71 1 1 (
On the pretensions of Mr Wilkes	44	Townshend, note 105
,, ,, Mr Hugh Macau-		Extract from Messrs Wilkes and Bull's
lay Boyd	44	address to the Livery on employing the
On the pretensions of Mr Dunning, after-		military under the pretence of assisting
wards lord Ashburton	51	th civil power, note 106
On the pretensions of Henry Flood, Esq.	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
M.P. of Ireland	51	JUNIUS'S Dedication to the English Nation 112
Extracts from a speech of Mr Flood	52	Draftone
On the pretensions of lord George Sackville	52	WW 14 115 41
On the pretensions of ford George Sackvine	3*	
DESTRUCTION PROPERTY AND ALL SILVER		LETTER
PRIVATE LETTERS addressed to Mr		I. JUNIUS to the printer of the P. A. 128
H. S. WOODFALL by JUNIUS	55	n. Sir Wm Draper's answer to the
Middlesex Petition to the king, note	55	foregoing letter of Junius, ad-
City of London ,, note	58	dressed to the printer of the P. A. 133
Lord Holland's letter to the lord mayor	•	Short sketch of sir William Draper's
	59	
		character, note 135
Mr Beckford's answer to the foregoing note	59	III. Junius to sir William Draper 135
Mr Beckford's letter to a Liveryman,		Corsica reduced by the French, note 136
being a further answer to lord Holland's		IV. Sir Wm Draper to JUNIUS 138
letter, note	59	" Neocles, note 138
Lord Holland's letter to Mr H. S. Wood-		the Ghost, note 139

PAGE LETTER						
Titus to the printer of the P. A.	order in consequence of it, note 205					
note 1391	XXXI. Philo Junius to the printer of the					
v. Junius to sir Wm Draper 143	P. A 207					
vi. Sir Wm Draper to Junius 144	XXXII. JUNIUS " 209					
VII. JUNIUS to sir Wm Draper 145	XXXIII. ,, to the duke of Grafton 200					
Sir Wm Draper to the printer,	Justice to the printer of the					
viii. Junius to the duke of Grafton 148	P. A. in defence of the d. of					
Sir Wm Draper to the printer of the	G. An the gift of the patent					
P. A., note 147	G. on the gift of the patent place to col. Burgoyne, note 211					
ry Tunying to the duke of G aften 153	XXXV. JUNIUS to the printer of the P. A.,					
x. " Mr Edward Weston 152	being the address to the King 213					
Crito to note 152	On Woodfall's trial for publishing					
XI. JUNIUS to the duke of Grafton 153	this letter, note 213					
Conclusion of ford Mansheld aspeech	Wilkes's address to the king, im-					
on the reversal of Mr Wilkes's	ploring pardon, note 220					
Outlawry, note 154	Wilkes's petition to the king, to					
Proceedings of the House of Com-	xxxvi. Junius to his Grace the dake of					
mons on expelling Mr Wilkes,	C					
vi. Junius to the duke of Grafton 158	XXXVII. JUNIUS to the printer of the P. A. 226					
Short sketch of the duke's political	Address, remonstrance, and peti-					
life to the date of this letter, note 159	tion of the city of London to the					
Statement of American politics at	king, note 227					
this period, note 160	His Majesty's answer to the fore-					
XIII. Philo Junius to the printer of the	going, note 227					
P. A, 162	Joint address of Lords and Com-					
XIV. " " " " 163	mons reprobating the city ad-					
xv. Junius to the duke of Grafton 166	dress, note 228					
xvi. , printer of the P. A. 169	His Majesty's answer to the same,					
Statement of Mr Walpole's expul-	Another address, remonstrance,					
sion from the H. of C., notes 170 XVII. Philo Junius to the printer of the	&c. from the city, in conse-					
P. A 172	quence of the preceding, note 228					
G. A. to the printer of the P. A. on	His Majesty's answer to it, note 229					
Wilkes's expulsion, note 172	The lord mayor's (Beckford) re-					
A speech without-doors (sir Wm	ply to his Majesty's answer,					
Blackstone's) on the same, note 172 xviii. Junius to Dr Wm Blackstone 175	note 229					
XVIII. JUNIUS to Dr Wm Blackstone 175	Lord Barrington's letter to Mr					
xix. Philo Junius to the printer of the	Justice Ponton, note 231					
P. A	Mr Dunning's letter to the cham-					
Publius to Junius, in defence of Dr	berlain of London, on receiving the freedom of the city, note 332					
B., extracted from the St James's Chronicle, note 178	xxxviii. Junius to the printer of the P. A. a33					
yy Trivitis to the printer of the P. A. 182	XXXIX. " " " " " " 336					
Philo Junius ,, note 183	Octennial act of the Irish parlia-					
XXI. JUNIUS ,, ,, 185	ment, note 240					
xxii. Philo Junius ,, 186	Origin of the dispute with Ameri-					
XXIII. JUNIUS to the duke of Bedford 188	ca, note 240					
On the unpopular peace of 1763, and	Failure of the plan to reduce the					
anecdote of the duke, notes 188	four per cents, note 24x					
On the contest for mayor, &c. for	Case of Matthew and Patrick Ken-					
Bedford, note 190	nedy, note 242 xt Junius to lord North 243					
XXIV. Sir W. Draper to JUNIUS 193	Intelligence extraordinary.—Re-					
xxv. Junius to sir W. Draper 194 xxvi. Sir W. Draper to Junius 196	signation of colonel Luttrell,					
XXVII. JUNIUS to the printer of the	who had been appointed adju-					
P. A 198	tant-general in Ireland, note v.,					
Sir W. D. in explanation of his	xLI. To the rt. hon. lord Mansfield 211					
voyage to America, note 198	Lord Mansfield. Explanation of					
M. Tullius in defence of the duke	the story of his having drank the					
of Bedford, note 199	Pretender's health upon his					
XXVIII. JUNIUS to the printer of the P. A. 201 XXIX. Philo Junius ,, ,, ,, 202	knees, note					
TOTAL TRANSPORT						
General Gansel's case, and brigade	Bingley.—Further account of his					

CONTENTS.

RTTER.		ETTER	PAGE
	discharge from prison, note 247		W. B. to the Rev. Mr Horne, on a
	Libels.—Unsuccessful attempt to		passage in his letter, taken from
	bring in an Enacting Bill to		a remark made by Mr Wilkes
	enable juries to try the whole		on the margin of his Clarendon,
	issue, note 248		note 288
	Mr Fox more successful at a sub-		Vote of thanks from the Common
	sequent period, note 249		Council to lord Chatham, for his
XLII	. JUNIUS to the printer of the P. A. 252		declaration in favour of short
	Dr JohnsonExtracts from his		
	'Thoughts on the late trans-		Lord Chatham's reply to the com-
	actions respecting Falkland's		
		1 717	Tananana and the Call The
	Letter to the printer of the P. A.	714.	JUNIUS to the printer of the P.A.
	on the subject of a cancel in the		Philo Junius ,, ,, 296
	foregoing namphlet water	LVI.	
Y1 171	Philo Junius to the printer of the	LVII.	JUNIUS to the duke of Grafton 298
*****			Statement of the cause between
	Phile Immire to the minter of the 257		the duke of Portland and sir J.
	Philo Junius to the printer of the		Lowther, note 299
V1 117	P. A., note 259		Decision of the court of Exche-
YETA	JUNIUS to the printer of the P. A. 250 The duke of Richmond's motion		quer on it, note 302
	for an edding the line and the second		Story of the Oaks, in answer to
	for an address to his Majesty,		Junius's charge against the duke
	and lord Chatham's speech in		of Grafton respecting Whittle-
	support of it, note 264		bury Forest, note 303
ALV	. Philo Junius to the printer of the	LVIII.	JUNIUS to the Livery of London 304
	P. A 265	LIX.	,, ,, Printer of the P. A. 305
XLVI.	Erasure of the decision of the		Queries respecting the legality of
	Erasure of the decision of the		pressing, with the answers of Messrs Wedderburn, Glynn, and
	House of Commons on the Mid-		Messrs Wedderburn, Glynn, and
	dlesex election, from their Jour-		Dunning, note 303
	nals, with Mr. Wilkes's speech	LX.	Philo Junius to the printer of the
	in prefacing his motion for that		P. A 310
	purpose, note 267		Scævola to Junius in defence of
	Short biographical notice of Mr		lord Camden, note 310
	Wilkes's political life, from the period of publishing the North		Extract from a subsequent letter
	period of phonishing the North		of Scævola, note 312
	Briton, No. 45, to his death,		Queries to Scævola in consequence
371 1777	note 268		of the foregoing extract. note 312 Philo Junius to Zeno 312
AL711.	Philo Junius to the printer of the	LXI,	Philo Junius to Zeno 312
XLVIII.			Zeno, addressed to Junius, alias
	Terror " 1 1 1 " CO C" " "/"		Edmund the Jesuit of St
7			Omer's, note 312
۳.	Election of Messrs Wilkes and 274	LXII.	Philo Junius to an Advocate in the
	Bull to be sheriffs of London,		Cause of the people 321
	and Mr Robinson's note in fa-		The letter of an Advocate, &c. to
	your of the ministerial candi-		which the foregoing is an an-
	datas mate		A friend of Junius, in answer to
	Origin of the dispute between Ju-	LXIII.	
1.7.			The barrister's letter, note 318 To the printer of the P. A.—De-
	Whipcord to the Rev. Mr Horne,	PY1A+	
	accusing him of plagiarism, note 278	177	JUNIUS to lord chief justice Mans-
LII.	Tentrare to the Dan Mr. IT-	M. 11.	
	Account of the quarrel between Mr		Statement of the case of Eyre,
	Wilkes and Mr Horne, and ex-		
	tracts from various letters which	1 VIII	JUNIUS engages to make good his
	passed between them during the	DAVI.	phorae against land a 1 Manes
	discounts and		charge against lord c. j. Mans- field 323
1.117		* 9111*	T
	Mr Horne's explanation, as ex-	TVAIT.	Disgraceful anecdote of lord Irn-
	tracted from one of his letters,		hom
	of his reasons for originally sup-	1 20117	Irratus to lord c i Mansfield car
	porting Mr Wilkes, note 284	**YAIII.	JUNIUS to lord c. j. Mansfield 325 The case of John Taylor, tried for
	Mr Wilkes's reply to a passage in		the murder of James Smith in
	the foregoing extract, note 28x		the murder of James Smith, in which baron Smythe, who pre-

LETTE	RR	^	PAGE	LETTER		CX
		sided, was accused	of partiality,		lord Chatham, attacked	363
		note	336	XIII-	Mnemon to the printer of the	
		Mr Dunning's defer	ice of baron		P. A., on the grant of a part of	
		Smythe, note	330		the duke of Portland's estate to	
LX	ıx.	JUNIUS to lord Camd	en 337		sir J. Lowther	365
LX	ıχ,	Extracts from the let		XIV.	Mnemon to the printer of the	
		to Mr Wilkes, da			P. A.—The same subject con-	
		_177,1	338		tinued	367
		Conclusion	339		Lord North's defence of the grant	
					in question, note	368
			TERS OF	XV.	Anti-van-Teague in answer to	
- 1	ŭΩ	iius	3.40		Mnemon	369
L 1	Pop	licola on the conduct		XVI.	Anti-Stuart in reply to Anti-van-	
,	, h	am	\ 1 34 ^I		Teague	370
1		Pitt's (lord Chatham's		XVII.	C. to the printer of the P. A.—The	
		lanation of his and le			Ministry attacked for suffering	
1	n.c	esignation, note	as aba D A 342		Mr Wilkes to be at large with	
74.	rol	plicola to the printer a defence of his last I nswer to sir W. Dra ake called C. D. instea	of the r. A.		an outlawry hanging over him Q, in the Corner to the printer of the P. A, in support of the fore-	371
	111	names to air M. Davi	ceter, and in	XVIII.	the P. A. in support of the fore-	
	a.	uswer to sir m. 171a	d of W D / are			
1	M.	Willen's attack uno	d of W.D.) 343	V1 V	C, to the printer of the P. A. on	373
•		Wilkes's attack upo		A1A1		242
	c:."	am, note W Draper's defence	of him #0/6 244	vv	To the duke of Grafton on his	373
*11	Δ.,,	ti-Sejanus, jun., to t	of him, <i>note</i> 344		taking Miss Parsons to the	
111.	+}	he P.A., on lord Chath	am's forming		****	~==
		art of an administration		777	Bifrons to the printer of the P. A.	375
					on the duke of Grafton's breach	
IV.	А.	ne earl of Bute Faithful Monitor to t the P. A., on lord Town	he printer of		of promise to the duke of Port-	
., .	tl	he P. A., on lord Town	shend and his			376
		rother the hon. Charle			C. to the printer of the P. A. on	31-
7.	Cor	rreggio to the printer	of the P.A.		the commission for opening par-	
•••	_	-Ironical portraits of	the duke of			378
		rafton, Mr Conway, I		XXIII.	Valerius to the printer of the P.A.	.,,-
	ì	ord Northington, lord	Shelburne, T.	•••••	in defence of 'the duke of Port-	
		ownshend, the marqu			land's case'	378
	le	ord Barrington, lord	Chatham, sir	XXIV.	Fiat Justitia to the printer of the	J , -
	G	Elliott, and governo	or Johnstone,		P. A Attack on lord Barring-	
	fi	ctitiously attributed t	to the pencil		ton's letter, thanking the guards	
	O	flord Townshend	747		for their conduct in St George's	
γr,	Μo	derator to the printer	of the P. A.		Fields	380
	-	-The subject lord To	wnshend 349		Lord Barrington's letter and ac-	
Aix.	Gra	and council on the affai	rs of Ireland.		count of the riot, notes	380
	***	-Ironical discussion of	f instructions	XXV.	Pomona to Master Harry in	
		be given lord Tow			Black-boy Alley, on his dupli-	
		hen appointed lord-l	leutenant of		city	38x
	JI.	reland	351	XXVI.	C. to the printer of the P.A.—	
1	Mr	Burke charged wit			The new commission of the	
	ຼູຂ	uthor of the foregoing	satire, note 354		Board of Trade ridiculed	382
VIII	10	the printer of the P.	A. in support	XXVII.	Insomnis to Mr Woodfall in de-	
		the pretended instru			fence of the Board C. to the printer of the P. A.—	383
	۱,	Z. to the printer of the	- n'i nii 355			٥.
	X • •	L. to the printer of the	Ca dabata of	******	Reply to Insomnis To the printer of the P. A. on the	184
	C	ussion, in the form of	a debate, or	XXIX.	no the printer of the r. A.on the	. 5.6
		he king's speech on ession of parliament, in		999	subject of American politics L. L. to the printer of the P. A.	386
		tract from the speech,			-Sir Jeffery Amherst's dismis-	
Ψ.	T.	the printer of the P.	<i>note</i> 358		sion from his government of	
~*	- 5,	ect of American politic	s 361		Virginia considered	386
XT.	'n,	waright to the printer	s 361	YYYI	To the printer of the P. A	300
	~	-Attack on lord Cha	tham as the	~~~	American politics further dis-	
		ause of the increase of			cussed, and Mr Grenville's cha-	
	č	lebt	363			389
XII.	Ţ	o the printer of the	Public Ad-		A letter from that gentleman to	J- y
		vertiser. — Commissio			Mr Knox on the subject of	
		the privy seal, during			America, note	200

LETTER	PAGR	LETTER	p	AGE
	Lucius to the printer of the P. A.		To the rt. hon. G. Grenville on	
*********	-Sir J. Amherst's dismission 391	21141	American affairs	
********	-on J. Admicist's distinssion 301		Character of Ma Castrallia	423
AXXIII.	Atticus to the printer of the P. A.		Character of Mr Grenville, an	
)	on the general state of the		anecdote respecting Florida and	
	country 391		Louisiana, by Mr Knox, form-	
XXXIV.	Valerius to the printer of the		erly his private secretary, note	424
	P. A. attributing the dismission	LIV.	JUNIUS to the printer of the P. A.	
	of sir J. Amherst to the influ-		in consequence of the monody	
			on his supposed death by Poet-	
	ence of lord Bute 393			
xxxv.	Lucius to the earl of Hills-		ikastos	425
	borough, on sir J. A.'s dismis-		The monody, and Silurus's description of Junius, note	
	sion 396		scription of Junius, note	425
XXXVI.	Cleophas to the printer of the	LV.	Your real Friend, to the marquis	
	P. A. in defence of the earl of		of Granby, to induce his vote	
			against administration, on the	
********	Hillsborough 398 Lucius to the earl of H. in reply			
XXXVII.			question of seating col. Lutt-	
	to Cleophas 399		rell for Middlesex	420
	Lord H.'s letter to sir J. A. and	LVI.	rell for Middlesex Simplex to the printer of the P. A.—An attack on the duke	
	A. B.'s answer, notes 399, 400		P. A.—An attack on the duke	
XXXVIII.	L. L. to the printer of the P. A.		of Grafton and sir William	
	in support of sir J. A 402		Blackstone, for the pardon of	
VVVIV	Lucius to the earl of Hills-		M (Outlete	407
XXXIX.	based The earl of fills		Aminus Curim to the minter of	427
	borough.—The same subject	LVII.	Amicus Curiæ to the printer of the P. A. in defence of the Let-	
	continued 402		the P. A. in detence of the Let-	_
XL.	Cleophas to the printer of the		ters of Junius	428
	P. A. in answer to Lucius 403	LVIII.	Junia to the printer of the P. A.	
YLL.	Lucius to the earl of HAt-		-A pretended female challenge	
	tack upon his Lordship con-		to Junius, and in support of	
			the desiring of the Herror of	
	tinued 404		the decision of the House of	
XLII,	The same to the same 406		Commons on the Middlesex	
	Conclusion of Scrutator's letter in		election	430
	answer to the foregoing, note 407	LIX.	JUNIUS to the printer of the P. A.	
	Corrector in reply to some an-		assuming Junia to be his wife	43I
	swers given to Lucius's pre-		Junius disavows, though not di-	13-
	anding letter water		rectly, the last letter, note	100
	ceding letter, note 408		Assessment the mainten of the D. A.	432
XLIII.	Lucius to the earl of H. on the	LX.	Augur to the printer of the P. A.	
	subject of sir J. A 408		reproducing the abusive lan-	
XLIV.	The same to the same 410		reprobating the abusive lan- guage of the ministerial writers	432
XLV.	Atticus to the printer of the	LXI,	A. B. to the duke of Grafton,	
	P. A. on the state of the nation 411		printed by the desire of, though	
271 177	Temporum Felicitas to the print-		not written by. Tuntus, on cer-	
ALVI	an of the D. A. Tuenical de-		not written by, Junius, on cer- tain justices of the peace being	
	er of the P. AIronical de-		made for the country of I close	
	fence of lord Hillsborough in		made for the county of Leices- ter at the request of lord Den-	
	the affair of sir J. A 413		ter at the request of ford Den-	
XLVII.	Brutus to the printer of the P. A.		bigh	433
	in reply to an attack on the	LXII.	Messala to the printer of the P.A.	
	letter of Atticus 414		in reply to the foregoing	434
***	Atticus to the printer of the P. A.	LYIII	X. X. to the printer of the P.A.	434
ALVIII.	Atticus to the printer of the A. A.	~~~	with a letter from Mr Onslow	
	giving a description of the			
	members who formed the ad-		to Mr Wilkes	435
	ministration, together with the		Another letter of Mr Onslow	
	then state of the country 414		to Mr Wilkes, note	435
YLIX.	Why? to the printer of the P. A.	LXIV.	X. X. to the printer of the P.A.	
	on the appointment of lord		in answer to Modestus on the	
			subject of general Gansel's	
	Rochford to be secretary for			456
	the Northern department 418		rescue	436
L.	the Northern department 418 Brutus to the printer of the P. A.	LXV.	Y. Y. to the printer of the P.A.	
	in support of the letters of At-		being a reply to the preceding	
	ticus 419		letter	437
* *	Atticus to the printer of the P. A.	LXVI.	X. X. to the printer of the P.A.	
MI.			in rejoinder	438
	-The subject of his last con-	1 700	Modestus to Junius, in support	730
	tinued 420	PVA III		
LII.	JUNIUS to the printer of the P. A.		of the officers concerned in gen-	
	-Observations on the conduct		eral Gansel's rescue	439
	of the duke of Grafton and lord	LXVIII.	X. X. to the printer of the P. A.	
	Camden towards Mr Wilkes 422		on the subject of the foregoing	447

LETTER		LETTER		AGE
LYIY.	Domitian to the printer of the		for foreign affairs	459
~/MAIN	P. ASketches of the cha-	LYXXIV.	Phalaris' card to sir	460
	racters of several members of		Intelligence Extraordinary On	400
		Quant.	lord Sandwick's removal to the	
	administration 441		lord Sandwich's removal to the	
LXX.	Moderatus to the printer of the		Admiralty, and Whately's con-	
	P. A. on the dispute which oc-		duct after the death of G.	
	curred with the sheriffs of		Grenville	460
	London previous to presenting	LYYYUI.	Anti-W. to the printer of the	, 4
			P. A., in defence of Junius	.6-
	the city address, &c 443			461
	Further particulars of this dispute,	LXXXVII.	Domitian to the printer of the	
	with lord Weymouth's letters,		P. A.—Review of the conduct	
	note 443		of the Princess Dowager of	
1227	O in the Corner to the printer of		Wales, and observations on the	
ua	the P. A., wherein Miss Brad-		different members who com-	
	about in about a mich basing			
	shaw is charged with having		posed the ministry	400
	sold the place of surveyor of	LXXXVIII.	A member of one House, &c. to	,
	the pines in America 445		the printer of the P. A. on the	
LXXII.	A Labourer in the same Cause, to		convention with Spain respect-	
42174174	the printer of the P. A., in dis-		ing Falkland's Islands	465
	cane to a macommonistica that			
	sent to a recommendation that		Further account of this occur-	
	Mr Wilkes should stand for-		rence, note	465
	ward as a candidate for the city		Maserano's declaration, and the	
	of London on the death of Al-		earl of Rochford's acceptance,	
	derman Beckford 446		note	465
	Mr Alderman Townshend's trial		Lord Chatham's queries respect-	7-3
	of the validity of the Land-tax		ing the declaration, and lord	
	Act, on the pretence that the		Mansfield's answer, note	466
	Act, on the pretence that the intrusion of Mr Luttrell had		Address of thanks carried in both	
	vitiated the parliament, note 446		Houses of parliament, note	466
T WYITE	Mr Grey Cooper to the printer of		Protest against the address of the	7
	the P. A., in answer to Q in		House of Lords, signed by nine	
	the Comman			
	the Corner 448		teen peers, note	457
LXXIV.	Q in the Corner to the printer of	LXXXIX.	A. B. to the printer of the P. A.	
	the P. A. in reply 448		upon lord Gower's election to be	
LXXV.	Q in the Corner to Thomas Brad-		a Knight of the Garter	460
	shaw, Esq., on the subject of his	XC.	Vindex to the printer of the P. A.	7-3
	former letters 448	,,,,,,	upon the Spanish declaration	
	former letters 448			470
LXXVI.	A Labourer in the same Cause to	XCI.	Vindex to the printer of the P. A.	
	the printer of the P.A., in sup-		upon the same	47 I
	port of his previous opinion 449	XCII.	An Englishman, &c. to the printer	
LXXVII.	Testis to the printer of the P. A.,		of the P. A. on the subject of	
	being remarks on a declaration		the power of the House of	
	of lord Barrington, reflecting		Commons to imprison during	
	upon all the general officers of		pleasure	471
	the army 450		Detail of the origin of this dis-	
LXXVIII.	Testiculus to the printer of the		pute by the resistance of Whe-	
	P. A.—An ironical defence of		ble and Miller	471
			The lard mayor's encech in the	7/-
	his Lordship 451 Domitian to the printer of the		The lord mayor's speech in the House of Commons, and his	
LAAIA.	D A A seminar of the malician!		House of Commons, and his	
	P. A.—A review of the political		committal to the Tower	472
	conduct of the duke of Grafton,	XCIII.	G. W.'s address to the lard	
	and imitation of his oratorial		mayor, &c., on the proclama-	
	powers 452 Chapter of facts or materials for		tion for taking the printers into	
TYYY.	Chanter of facts or materials for		custody	
			Mr. Marriela anasah sa aha Dill	473
*			Mr Morris's speech to the Bill	
LXXXI.	Second chapter of facts, &c 455		of Rights Society on this sub-	
LXXXII.	Phalaris to the printer of the P.A., on lord Mansfield laying		G. W.'s second address to the	476
	P. A., on lord Mansfield laying	XCIV.	G. W.'s second address to the	
	a copy of his charge in Wood-		lord mayor, &c., on the pri-	
	fall's case on the table of the		vilege of parliament	ins
			Lord chief justice Holt's opinion	476
			Total citter lustice troit s obinion	
	Nerva's defence of his Lordship,		upon the subjects of the fore-	
	_ #ote 456		going letters, note	479
exxxiii.	Domitian to the printer of the	CV.	A Whig to the printer of the P. A.	
	P. A. on the re-appointment of			420
	land Sandwich to be minister		Transport of the transported the	479

ETTER		1GE	LETTER	PAGE
	mons in respect to their mes-		CIII.	Anti-Belial to the printer of the
	senger	481		P.A. requesting that lord Mans-
	The messenger indicted in de-			field might be left to the care
	fiance of the resolution of the			of JUNIUS 495
	House, and a true bill found		CIA"	Juniper to the printer of the P.A.
	against him	482		in support of Junius 495
	A noli prosequi entered, and the		cv.	Veteran to lord Barrington on
		482		Mr Chamier beingmade deputy
	Mr attorney-general's speech in			secretary at war 496
	answer	485	CVI,	Anti-Belial to the printer of the
	Mr Adair's reply to the attorney-			P. A. in defence of the doc-
	general, and noli prosequi			trine maintained by Junius, in
*****	granted	496		Letter LXVIII. to lord Mans-
XCVI,	Henricus to the earl of Suffolk			field 497
******	on his joining administration	483	CVII.	Veteran to lord Barrington on his
XCVII.	Henricus to the earl of Suffolk,	•		political tergiversation 498
Matter	on the same Domitian's answer to a card call-	489	CVIII.	Veteran to lord Barrington on his
KCVIII.				insult to the army and to gen-
	ing upon him to bring forward			eral Harvey 500
VCIV	the affair of Hine's patent An Innocent Reader to the printer	490	CIX.	Veteran to lord Barrington.— General observations on his
ACIA.	of the P. A., being an account			
	of the emperor Valentinian			political conduct 50x
_	Anti-Fox to the printer of the	491	CX.	Veteran to the printer of the P. A. on the removal of Messrs
u.	P. A.—An attack on the late			D'Oyly and Francis from the
				War Office 503
CI	Mr Fox A. B. to the printer of the P. A.	491	C7.F7	Scotus to lord Barrington.—Iron-
٠	in support of Junius on the		CAL	ical defence of the Scotch 503
	bailment of Eyre	100	CATT	Arthur Tell-truth to the lords of
	One of the Bail and Anti-Junius	43-	CALL	the Admiralty on the appoint-
	in defence of lord Mansfield,			ment of Mr Bradshaw to be a
	and the second s	492		member of that board 504
CII.	Cumbriensis to the duke of Cum-	73-	CXIII.	Nemesis to the printer of the
	berlandIronical congratula-		234444	P. A., which the author en-
	tion on his marriage with col.			titled, Memoirs of lord Bar
	Luttrell's sister, Mrs Horton	493		ringtor: 505

I'm was not from personal vanity, but a fair estimate of his own merit, and the importance of the subject on which he wrote, that the author of the ensuing letters predicted their immortality. Their matter and their manner, the times they describe, and the talents they disclose, the popularity which attended them at their outset, the impression they produced on the public mind, and the triumph of most of the doctrines they inculcate, all equally concur in stampposterity.

In their range these letters comprise a period of about five years; from the middle of 1767 to the middle of 1772; and never has the history of this country, from its origin to the present hour, exhibited a period of equal extent that more peremptorily demanded the severe, decisive, and overpowering pen of such a writer as |UNIUS. The storms and tempests that, within the last twenty years, have shaken the political world to its centre, have been wider and more tremendous in their operation; but they have, for the most part, discharged their fury at a distance. The constitutions of other countries have been swept away by the whirlwind: but that of England still towers, like the pyramids of Egypt, a wonderful and immortal fabric, overshadowing the desert that surrounds it, and defying the violence of its hurricanes. In the period before us, however, this stupendous and beautiful fabric itself was attacked, and trembled to its foundation: a series of unsuccessful ministries, too of Bedford.

often profligate and corrupt, and not unfrequently cunning, rather than capable; a succession of weak and obsequious parliaments, and an arbitrary, though able chief justice, addicted to the impolitic measures of the cabinet, fatally concurred to confound the relative powers of the state, and equally to unhinge the happiness of the crown and of the people; to frustrate all the proud and boasted triumphs of a glorious war, concluded but a few years before by an ing for them a passport to the most distant inglorious peace; to excite universal contempt abroad, and universal discord at

home. Hence France, humiliated as she was by her losses and defeat, did not hesitate to invade Corsica in open defiance of the remonstrances of the British minister, and succeeded in obtaining possession of it; whilst Spain dishonourably refused to make good the ransom she had agreed to, for the restoration of the capital of the Philippine Isles, which had been exempted from pillage upon this express stipulation. They saw the weakness and distraction of the English cabinet, and had no reason to dread the chastisement of a new war.

The discontents in the American colonies, which a little address might at first have stifled for ever, were blown into a flame of open rebellion, through the impolitic violence of the very minister who was appointed, by the creation of a new office at this very time and for this express purpose,

In 1763, through the negotiation of the duke

to examine into the causes of dissatisfaction, and to redress the grievances complained circumstances, that the ensuing letters sucof: while, at home, the whole of the ways cessively made their appearance in the Puband means of the ministry, instead of being lie Advertiser, the most current newspaper directed against the insolence of the com- of the day.2 The classical chastity of their mon enemy, were exhausted against an in- language, the exquisite force and perspiculty dividual, who, perhaps, would never have of their argument, the keen severity of their been so greatly distinguished, had not the reproach, the extensive information they ill-judged and contumacious opposition of evince, their fearless and decisive tone, and, the cabinet, and their flagrant violation of above all, their stern and steady attachment the most sacred and important principles of to the purest principles of the constitution, the constitution in order to punish him, raised him to a height of popularity seldom speed, a popularity which no series of letters attained even by the most successful can- have since possessed, nor, perhaps, ever didates for public applause; and embroiled will; and what is of far greater consequence. themselves on his account in a dispute with diffused among the body of the people a the nation at large, almost amounting to a clearer knowledge of their constitutional ervil war, and which, at length, only termin-rights than they had ever before attained, ated in their own utter confusion and de- and animated them with a more determined feat."

In the language of lord Chatham, delivered May 1, 1771, in the House of Lords, "they rendered the very name of parliament ridiculous, by carrying on a constant war against Mr Wilkes."

They were generally copied from the Public Advertiser into all the daily and evening papers.

That the same general impression was produced by the appearance of these letters in parliament, which is so well known to have been produced out of it, is evident from almost all the speeches of the day, if the editor had time to refer to them. But the following extracts from two speeches, one of Mr Burke and one of lord North will, he presumes, be sufficient for the purpose.

The ensuing is part of a speech delivered by

Mr Burke.

"Where then shall we look for the origin of this relaxation of the laws and all government? How comes this JUNIUS to have broke through the cobwebs of the law, and to range uncon-trouled, unpunished, through the land? The myrmidons of the court have been long, and are still, pursuing him in vain. They will not spend their time upon me, or you, or you. No: they disdain such vermin, when the mighty boar of the forest, that has broke through all their toils, is before them. But what will all their efforts avail? No sooner has he wounded one than he lays down another dead at his feet. For my part, when I saw his attack upon the King, I own my blood ran cold. I thought he had ventured too far, and there was an end of his triumphs, not that he had not asserted many truths. Yes, Sir, there are in that composition many bold truths, by which a wise prince might

It was at this period, and under these acquired for them, with an almost electric spirit to maintain them inviolate.3 Enveloped

Briton is as much inferior to him, as in strength, wit, and judgment. But while I expected in this daring flight his final ruin and fall, behold him rising still higher, and coming down souse upon both Houses of Parliament. Yes, he did upon 00th Houses of Pariament. Yes, he day make you his quarry, and you still beed from the wounds of his talons. You crenched, and still crouch, beneath his rage. Nor has he dreaded the terrors of your how, Sir; he has attacked even you—he have—and I believe you hive no rea on to triumph in the encounter. In short, after carrying away our Royal Eagle in Short, after carying away our royse range in his pounces, and dashing him against a rock, he has laid you prostrate. King, Lords, and Com-mons are but the spart of his fury. Were he a member of this House, what might not be ex-pected from his knowledge, his firmness, and integrity? He would be easily known by his integrity? The would be easily known by the contempt of all danger, by his penetration, by his vigour. Nothing would escape his vigilance and activity. Bad ministers could conceal nothing from his sagacity; nor could promises nor threats induce him to conceal any thing from the public.

The following is part of a speech delivered by lord North.

"When factious and discontented men have brought things to this pass, why should we be surprised at the difficulty of bringing libellers to justice? Why should we wonder that the great boar of the wood, this mighty JUNIUS, has broke through the toils and foiled the hunters? Though there may be at present no spear that will reach him, yet he may be some time or other caught. At any rate he will be exhausted with fruitless efforts; those tusks which he has been whetting to wound and gnaw the constitution will be worn out. Truth will at last prevail. The public will profit. It was the rancour and vonoin with which out. Truth will at last prevail. The public will I was struc!. In these respects the North see and feel that he has either advanced false

in the cloud of a fictitious name, the writer subjects-individual spleen and enmity. of these philippics, unseen himself, beheld with secret satisfaction the vast influence of his labours, and enjoyed, though, as we shall of his invisible hand.

It is by no means, however, the intention of the editor of the present volume to vindicate the whole of the method pursued by JUNIUS towards the accomplishment of the patriotic objects on which his heart appears to have been most ardently engaged. Much have been spared with advantage - and of a jury to consider the question of law as upon the character and motives of the king. Aware as the editor is of the arguments in racter of the chief magistrate, as urged by JUNIUS himself in his Preface, post, p. 125, and in p. 206, he still thinks that no possible circumstances could justify so it, and that every advantage it was calculated to produce, might have been obtained in an equal degree, and to an equal extent. by animadverting upon the conduct of the king's ministers, instead of censuring that of the king in person. In the volume before us the editor is ready to acknowledge that these kinds of paragraphs seem at times not altogether free from-what ought never to enter the pages of a writer on national

facts, or reasoned falsely from true principles; and that he has owed his escape to the spirit of the times, not to the justice of his cause. The North Briton, the most flagitious libel of its day, would have been equally secure, had it been as powerfully supported. But the press had not then overflowed the land with its black gall, and poisoned the minds of the people. Political writers had some shame left; they had some reverence for the crown, some respect for the name of Majesty. Nor were there any members of parliament hardy enough to harangue in defence of libels. Lawyers could hardly be brought note to Preface, post, p. x17, and in note to plead for them. But the scene is now entirely p. 213.

But well may we forgive such trivial aberrations of the heart, in the midst of the momentous matter this volume is well afterwards observe, not always without known to contain, the important principles apprehension, the universal hunt that was it inculcates; and especially under the made to detect him in his disguise. He recollection that but for the letters of beheld the people extolling him, the court Junius, the Commons of England might execrating him, and ministers and more still have been without a knowledge of the than ministers trembling beneath the lash transactions of the House of Commons, consisting of their parliamentary representatives-have been exposed to the absurd and obnoxious harassment of parliamentary arrests, upon a violation of privileges undefined and incapable of being appealed against-defrauded of their estates upon an arbitrary and interested claim of the crown of his individual sureasm might perhaps -and deprived of the constitutional right especially the whole of his personal assaults well as of fact. To the steady patriotism of the late Mr Fox is the nation solely indebted for a direct legislative decision upon favour of occasionally attacking the cha- this last important point; -but the ground was previously cleared by the letters before us; it is not often that a judge has dared openly to controvert this right since the manly and unanswerable argument of gross a disrespect and indecency; that JUNIUS upon this subject, in opposition to no principle of the constitution supports the arbitrary and illegal doctrine of lord Mansfield, as urged in the case of the King against Woodfall: '-an argument which seems to have silenced every objection, to have convinced every party, and without which perhaps even the zeal and talents of Mr Fox himself might have been exercised in vain.

But, after all, who or what was JUNIUS? this shadow of a name, who thus shot his unerring arrows from an impenetrable concealment, and punished without being per-

changed. Without-doors, within-doors, the same abusive strains prevail. Libels find patrons ir both Houses of Parliament as well as in West minster Hall. Nay, they pronounce libels or the very judges. They pervert the privilege of this House to the purposes of faction. They catch and swallow the breath of the inconstan multitude, because, I suppose, they take thei voice, which is now that of libels, to be the voice of God."

² See this case more particularly detailed i

ceived? The question is natural; and it characters as well, they cannot fail of being tion, in connection with other documents, cated concerning him. that not one of these pretenders has ever It was on the 28th of April, in the year had the smallest right to the distinction 1767, that the late Mr H. S. Woodfall 10which some of them have ardently coveted, ceived, amidst other letters from a great

to this extraordinary character, but to other indeed assume a signature of any kind.

has been repeated almost without intermis- highly interesting to the political world. sion, from the appearance of his first letter. To have published these letters at an It is not unnatural, moreover, from the per-earlier period would have been a gross ' tinacity with which he has at all times breach of trust and decorum : the term of cluded discovery, that the vanity of many trust, however, seems at length to have expolitical writers of inferior talents should pired; most of the parties have paid the have induced them to lay an indirect claim debt of nature, and should any be yet living, to his Letters, and especially after the the length of time which has since elapsed danger of responsibility had considerably has so completely blunted the aspenity of ceased. Yet while the editor of the pre- the strictures they contain, that they could sent impression does not undertake, and, scarcely object to so remote a publication of in fact, has it not in his power, to communithem. JUNIUS, in the career of his activity, cate the real name of Junius, he pledges was the man of the people; and when the himself to prove, from incontrovertible former can receive no injury from the disevidence, afforded by the private letters of closure, the latter have certainly a claim to TUNIUS himself during the period in ques- every information that can be communi-

These private and confidential letters, number of correspondents for the use of addressed to the late Mr Woodfall, are now the Public Advertiser, of which he was a for the first time made public by his son, proprietor, the first public address of this who is in possession of the author's auto- celebrated writer. He had not then asgraphs;" and from the various facts and sumed the name, or rather written under anecdotes they disclose, not only in relation the signature, of Junius; nor did he always

1 There must have been some misunderstand-1 There must have been some misunderstand in geither of the extent of the question or the metave of the answer in that part of a conver attorn which Mr Campbell, in his Life of Hugh Boyd, states to have occurred between Mr H. S. Woodfall (editor and one of the proprietors of the Public Advertiser), and himself, in relation to the preservation of these autographs. "I proceeded," says Mr Campbell, "to ask him distinctly informed the von of the late Mr Woodfall had preserved any of the manuscripts of Junus? He said he had not." p. 164. The late taken place, while his Grace was riding whe veracity of Mr H. S. Woodfall is well known to sir James Peachey, afterwards lord Selvey, in have been uniqueneshable and it is by no means.

have been unimpeachable; and it is by no means the park at Goodwood, though he could not at have been unimpeachable; and it is by no means the park at Goodwood, though he could not at the intention of the editor to suspect that of Mr. Campbell. It is probable that Mr. Woodfall understood the question to be whether he had greatered proserved the monuscripts of Junus, and or had preserved any of the manuscripts of Junus which had publicly appeared many of the manuscripts of Act significantly. Woodfall had shown that significantly Noman, not even Mr. Campbell thinself, could have suspected Mr. Woodfall and shown to have been guilty of a wilful falsehood; nor carried to the carr cover, that councy possibly have resulted from which it was to have been published, as shough such a falsehood, had it taken place.

The had just read it; when to the astonishment It is equally extraordinary that Mr Campbell, of his Grace and sir James Peachey, to whom in this same conversation, should represent Mr he thus mentioned it, no such latter appeared, Woodfall as saying that "as to the story about, though it did appear the next day or the day Hamilton queting Justus to the late duke of after.

When he did so, however, his signatures were diversified, and the chief of them were ally assumed by this fertile political writer. Mnemon, Atticus, Lucius, Junius, and Brutus. Under the first he sarcastically opposed the ministry upon the subject of his extensive design. That of Philo-Junius. the Nullum Tempus bill, which involved he has avowed to the public, in the authorthe celebrated dispute concerning the ized edition of the Letters of Junius : but transfer on the part of the crown of the besides this, he is yet to be recognized duke of Portland's estate of the forest of under the mask of Poplicola, Domitian, Inglewood, and the manor and castle of Vindex, and several others, as the sub-Carlisle, to sir James Lowther, son-in-law joined pages will sufficiently testify. of lord Bute, upon the plea that these lands, they of right belonged to the crown still. of governor of Virginia.

who united these three names in his own.

Various other names were also occasionto answer particular purposes, or more completely to conceal himself, and carry forward

The most popular of our author's letters which formerly belonged to the crown, had anterior to those published with the signanot been duly specified in king William's ture of JUNIUS in 1769, were those subgrant of them to the Portland family; and scribed Atticus and Lucius; to the former that hence, although they had been in the of which the few letters signed Brutus seem Portland family for nearly seventy years, to have been little more than auxiliary, and are consequently not polished with an equal The letters signed Atticus and Brutus relate degree of attention. These letters, in point chiefly to the growing disputes with the of time, preceded those with the signature American colonies: and those subscribed of Junius by a few weeks: they are cer-Lucius, exclusively to the outrageous dis- tainly written with admirable spirit and mission of sir leffery Amherst from his post perspicuity, and are entitled to all the popularity they acquired :--vet they are not per-The name of Mnemon was, perhaps, haps possest of more merit than our author's taken up at hazard. That of Atticus was letters signed Mnemon. They nevertheless unquestionably assumed from the author's deserve a more minute attention from their own opinion of the purity of his style, an superior celebrity. The proofs of their opinion in which the public universally con- having been composed by the writer decurred; and the three remaining signatures nominated JUNIUS are incontestable; the of Lucius, Junius, and Brutus were ob- manner, the phraseology, the sarcastic, exviously deduced from a veneration for the probratory style, independently of any other memory of the celebrated Roman patriot, evidence, sufficiently identify them.1 These

That those under the signature of Lucius were early and generally traced to the pen of JUNIUS even by writers of the opposite party, may be fairly inferred from the following passage in a letter in the Public Advertiser of the date of April 27th, 1769, signed 'A long forgotten correspondent,' intended as an antidote to the poison that Junius was supposed to be propagat-

In the warm and energetic, though keen and sarcastic style of Junius, we may, I think, easily descry the Lucius, long dreaded by his opponents; and from the warmth of his sentiments, if they do indeed correspond with his expressions, we may expect a future Brurus, a patriotic character much to be dreaded by all those who, content with the portion of power now in the hands of government (if government had the spirit to exert it', wish not to see the people, by their factious and unmeaning rage, once made by mother correspondent, to publish

provoke their long-suffering Sovereign to throw real chains over them, and correct their madness with stripes and hunger, the proper cure for phrenzy, the only specific for such headstrong and vicious insanity.

The celebrity acquired by these earlier letters of JUNIUS, under the signature of Lucius, induced several other writers of the same period to adopt the same signature; and hence Lucius, and Lucius Verus, are common signatures in the Public Advertiser during the years 1769, 1770. But there is no more reason to suppose that JUNIUS himself ever had recourse to this signature than he had to that of Atticus, or Brutus, after the assumption of this last appellative. He would not degrade the name of Lucius by an unfinished production, and to all that he regarded as finished he continued to subscribe Junius as a still more popular signature.

An attempt, also, for the same reason, was

equally and indisputably genuine, are now added to the acknowledged letters of' IUNIUS, to render his productions complete.1

It is no objection to their genuineness that they were omitted by JUNIUS in his own edition published by Mr Woodfall :there is a material difference between printing a complete edition of the letters of JUNIUS, and a complete edition of the letters that appeared under this name. The first was the main object of Junius himself, and it was not necessary, therefore, that he should have extended it to letters composed by him under any other signature, excepting indeed those of Philo-Junius, which it was expedient for him to avow; the second is the direct design of the edition before taxes either produce nothing, or defeat the us :- and it would be inconsistent with it to suppress any of his letters, under what signature soever they may have appeared, that possess sufficient interest to excite the attention of the public.

The first of the letters (signed Atticus) was written in the beginning of August, 1768. It takes a general, and by no means an uncandid, survey of the state of the

under the signature of JUNIUS; but the letter was refused to be inserted with that name by the printer, who signified his refusal in one of his notices to his correspondents. Yet it is curious to observe, that one or two spurious letters under the signature of Philo-Junius, found their way, as genuine epistles, into the P. A. (probably from the casual absence of the editor), if we may determine from the following statement written immediately after Junius's public avowal that the letters subscribed Philo-Junius were his own productions.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

A paragraph having appeared in your paper of Saturday last, intimating that 'you have the author's consent to declare that the letters published in that paper under the signature of Philo-Junius are written by Junius, take the liberty of acquainting you and the public, that during the course of the years 1768 and 1760 several letters under that signature were written and inserted in the Public Advertiser, not by Junius, but by Your humble servant,

Oct. 21, 1771.

'[The printer presumes not to doubt the revers, in of his correspondent, though it is not them all.

therefore, together with such others as are nation at that period, and particularly in regard to its funded property, the alarming and dangerous depression of which, from the still hostile appearance of France, the prospect of a rupture with the American colonies, the wretchedness of the public finances, and the imbecility of the existing administration, struck the writer so forcibly as to induce him, as he tells us, to transfer his property from the funds to, what he conceived, the more solid security of landed estate. The conclusion of this letter exhibits so much of the essential style and manner of Junius, that it has every claim to be copied in this place as affording an internal proof of identity of pen.

'We are arrived at that point when new old ones, and when new duties only operate as a prohibition: yet these are the times when every ignorant boy thinks himself fit to be a minister. Instead of attendance to objects of national importance, our worthy governors are contented to divide their time between private pleasures and ministerial intrigues. Their activity is just equal to the persecution of a prisoner in the King's

possible for him to charge his memory with the circum stances at this distance of time.] The printer might, however, with great safety had denied this assertion of G. F., which out have of it bears evident marks of inaccuracy, as the first letter of JUNIUS published in the genuine edition bears date January 21, 1769, and the only one under that signature printed in 1768 is Miscellaneous Letter, No. LII., which did not receive support from an auxiliary signature of any kind. The fact is that the only Philo-Junius not genuine is one which appeared in the P. A. of November 8, 1771, and was the next day disavowed by the printer. Philo-Junius, No. XXXI. was originally published in the Public Advertiser under the signature of Moderatus.

1 When the late Mr Woodfall, so early as the summer of 1769, had an intention of re-publish-ing such of the Letters of JUNIUS as had already appeared in the Public Advertiser, the author, in Private Letter, No. 7, observed to the printer, Do with my letters exactly what you please. I should think that to make a better figure than Newberry, some others of my letters may be added, and so throw out an hint, that you have reason to suspect they are by the same author. If you adopt this plan, I shall point out those which I would recommend: for, you know, I do not, nor indeed have I time to give equal care to

providing for their dependants. If there be contest without sparing,—the minister bea good man in the king's service they dis- came ashamed of his conduct, and sir miss him of course; and when bad news Jeffery, within a few weeks after his dismisstheir country, they leave her, like a cast off mistress, to perish under the diseases they have given her.

It was just at this period that the very extraordinary step occurred of the dismissal of culiar severity of reproach. sir Jeffery Amherst from his government of Virginia, for the sole purpose, as it should seem, of creating a post for the earl of Hillsborough's intimate friend lord Boutetort, who had completely ruined himself by gambling and extravagance. This post had been expressly given to sir Jeffery for life, as a reward for his past services in America, and it was punctiliously stipulated that a personal residence would be dispensed with. It was an atrocity well worthy of public attack and condemnation; and the keen vigilance of lunius, which seems first to have traced it out, hastened to expose it to the public in all its indecency and outrage, and with the warmth of a personal friendship for the veteunder that of Lucius, subscribed to a letter mask the better to accomplish the purpose of the public.'

Bench, and to the honourable struggle of of a defence. Lucius Junius followed up the arrives, instead of uniting to consider of a all and the resignation of two regiments remedy, their time is spent in accusing and which he had commanded, was restored to reviling one another. Thus the debate con- the command of one of them, and appointcludes in some half misbegotten measure, ed to that of another; and in May, 1776, which is left to execute itself. Away they was created a peer of the realm, which the go: one retires to his country house; another duke of Grafton had refused him, under the is engaged at an horse race; a third has an strange and impolitic assertion that he had appointment with a prostitute; and as to not fortune enough to maintain such a dignity with the splendour it required. The sarcastic remark of Lucius upon this observation of his Grace, is entitled to attention. as identifying him with TUNIUS in his pe-

'The duke of Grafton's idea of the proper object of a British peerage differs very materially from mine. His Grace, in the true spirit of business, looks for nothing but an opulent fortune; meaning, I presume, the fortune which can purchase, as well as maintain a title. We understand his Grace, and know who dictated that article. He has declared the terms on which lews, gamesters, pedlers, and contractors (if they have sense enough to take the hint), may rise without difficulty into British peers. There was a time indeed, though not within his Grace's memory, when titles were the reward of public virtue, and when the crown did not think its revenue ill employed in a ran hero. The subject being of a different contributing to support the honours it had description from that he had engaged in bestowed. It is true his Grace's family deunder the signature of Atticus, he assumed a rive their wealth and greatness from a difnew name, and for the first time sallied forth ferent origin, from a system which he, it seems, is determined to revive. His conaddressed to the earl of Hillsborough, min-fession is frank, and well becomes the canister for the American department, and pub-dour of a young man, at least. I dare say, lished in the Public Advertiser, Aug. 10th, that if either his Grace or your Lordship had 1768. A vindication, or rather an apology, had the command of a seven years' war in was entered into, by three or four corre- America, you would have taken care that spondents under different signatures, but poverty, however honourable, should not almost every one of whom was regarded by have been an objection to your advance-JUNIUS, and indeed by the public at large, ment; -you would not have stood in the as the earl of Hillsborough himself, or some predicament of sir Jeffery Amherst, who is individual writer under his immediate con- refused a title of honour, because he did not troul: thus assuming a mere diversity of create a fortune equal to it, at the expense

He is not less severe upon lord Hillsho- Wilkes. It afterwards pleased his Grace to purpose he has introduced the preceding.

vourite.

wrote: it will be sufficient to confine our- had been repealed.' selves to two passages, since two competent minister of the day.

without experience. They thought so them-

rough in a succeeding letter; and the editor enter into administration with his friend lord extracts the following passage for the same Rockingham, and in a very little time it pleased his Grace to abandon him. He 'That you are a civil, polite person is then accepted of the treasury upon terms true. Few men understand the little morals which lord Temple had disdained. For a better or observe the great ones less than short time his submission to lord Chatham your Lordship. You can bow and smile in was unlimited. He could not answer a prian honest man's face, while you pick his vate letter without lord Chatham's permispocket. These are the virtues of a court, sion. I presume he was then learning his in which your education has not been neg- trade, for he soon set up for himself. Until lected. In any other school you might have he declared himself the minister, his chalearned that simplicity and integrity are racter had been but little understood. From worth them all. Sir Jeffery Amherst was that moment a system of conduct, directed fighting the battles of his country, while by passion and caprice, not only reminds you, my lord, the darling child of prudence us that he is a young man, but a young and urbanity, were practising the generous man without solidity of judgment. One div arts of a courtier, and securing an honour- he desponds and threatens to resign, the able interest in the antechamber of a fa- next he finds his blood heated, and swears to his friends he is determined to go on. In Having thus signally triumphed in the his public measures we have seen no proof affair of sir Jeffery Amherst, our invisible either of ability or consistency. The Stamp state-satyrist now returned to the subject he Act had been repealed (no matter how unhad commenced under the signature of At- wisely) under the preceding administration. ticus, and pursued it in three additional The colonies had reason to triumph, and letters with the same signature, from the were returning to their good humour. The beginning of October till the close of No- point was decided, when this young man vember, in the same year; offering a few thought proper to revive it without either general remarks upon collateral topics, in plan or necessity; he adopts the spirit of two or three letters signed Brutus. The Mr Grenville's measures, and renews the characteristics of JUNIUS are often as con- question of taxation in a form more odious spicuous here as in any letters he ever and less effectual than that of the law which

The following is his character of the witnesses are as good as a thousand. The members of the cabinet generally. 'The following is his description of the prime school they were bred in taught them how to abandon their friends, without deserting , 'When the duke of Grafton first entered | their principles. There is a littleness even into office, it was the fashion of the times to in their ambition; for money is their first suppose that young men might have wisdom object. Their professed opinions upon some great points are so different from those of selves, and the most important affairs of the party with which they are now united, this country were committed to the first trial that the council-chamber is become a of their abilities. His Grace had honour- scene of open hostilities. While the fate of ably fleshed his maiden sword in the field Great Britain is at stake, these worthy counof opposition, and had gone through all the sellors dispute without decency, advise withdiscipline of the minority with credit. He out sincerity, resolve without decision, and dined at Wildman's, railed at favourites, leave the measure to be executed by the looked up to lord Chatham with astonish- man who voted against it. This, I conment, and was the declared advocate of Mr ceive, is the last disorder of the state. The

medicines are prescribed, and the last fixed on is changed by the hand that gives it.'

• The attention paid to these philippics. and the celebrity they had so considerably acquired, stimulated the author to new and mander in chief, for whom sir William challenge. professed the most cordial esteem and friendship.

it unwillingly: 'My answer,' says he to him in his last letter, upon a second assault, and altogether without reason, 'shall be short: for I write to you with reluctance. and I hope we shall now conclude our correspondence for ever!' At the latter he had only glanced incidentally (for upon the whole he approved his conduct),2 and seems rather to have done so on account of the

Z Letter XXV. 2 See his opinion of lord Granby given under the name of Lucius, in the Miscellaneous Letters, Letter XXXV.; as also in the note at the close of Letter VII..

consultation meets but to disagree, opposite company he consorted with, than from any gross misdeeds of his own. Nothing could therefore have been more improvident or impolitic than this attack of sir Wm Draper: if volunteered in favour of the ministry. it is impossible for a defence to have been additional exertions: and having in the worse planned; -for by confining the vinbeginning of the ensuing year completed dication to the individual that was least another with more than usual elaboration accused, it tacitly admits that the charges and polish, which he seems to have intended advanced against all the rest were well as a kind of introductory address to the founded; while, if volunteered in favour of lation at large, he sent it forth under the lord Granby alone, it might easily have been name of IUNIUS (a name he had hitherto anticipated by the writer that his visionary assumed but once), to the office of the Pub-opponent would be hereby challenged to lic Advertiser, in which journal it appeared bring forward peccadillos which would on Saturday, January 21, 1769. The popu-otherwise never be heard of, and that he larity expected by the author from this per- would not fail, at the same time, to scrutinformance was more than accomplished; and ize the character of sir William himself, and what in some measure added to his fame, to ascribe this act of precipitate zeal to an was a reply (for the Public Advertiser was interested desire of additional promotion in equally open to all parties) from a real the army. It was too much for sir William character of no small celebrity both as a to expect that JUNIUS would be hurried scholar and as a man of rank, sir Wm into an intemperate disclosure of his real Draper; principally because the attack name by a swaggering offer to measure upon his Majesty's ministers had extended swords with him; while the following reitself to lord Granby, at that time com- buke was but a just retaliation for his

'Had you been originally and without provocation attacked by an anonymous Sir Wm Draper appears to have been a writer, you would have some right to deworthy, and, on the whole, an independent mand his name. But in this cause you are man: and lord Granby was perhaps the a volunteer. You engaged in it with the most honest and immaculate of his Majesty's unpremeditated gallantry of a soldier. You ministers. JUNIUS did not begin the dis- were content to set your name in opposition pute with the former, and seems, from a to a man who would probably continue in regard for his character, to have continued concealment. You understood the terms upon which we were to correspond, and gave at least a tacit assent to them. After voluntarily attacking me under the character of Junius, what possible right have you to know me under any other? Will you forgive me if I insinuate to you, that you foresaw some honour in the apparent spirit of coming forward in person, and that you were not quite indifferent to the display of your literary qualifications?'

In reality JUNIUS, though a severe satyrist, was not in his general temper a malevolent writer, nor an ungenerous man. No one has ever been more ready to admit the

than himself, or to apply to his Commentaries for legal information, while reprobatring his conduct in the unconstitutional expulsion of Mr Wilkes from the House of Commons. 'If I were personally your enemy,' says he in his letter to him upon this subject, 'I should dwell with a malignant pleasure upon those great and useful qualifications which you certainly possess. and by which you once acquired, though they could not preserve to you, the respect and esteem of your country: I should enumerate the honours you have lost, and the virtues you have disgraced: but having no private resentments to gratify. I think it sufficient to have given my opinion of your public conduct, leaving the punishment it deserves to your closet and to yourself.'

The rescue of general Gansel, by means of a party of guards, from the hands of the sheriff's officers, after they had arrested him for debt, was an outrage upon the law which well demanded castigation: and the attempt to quash this transaction on the part of the minister, instead of delivering the culprits over to the punishment they had merited, was an outrage of at least equal atrocity, and demanded equal reprobation. The severity with which the minister was repeatedly attacked by Junius on this subject is still well known to many: but the reason is not yet known to any one, perhaps, why the latter suddenly dropped this subject, after having positively declared in his letter of November 15, 1769, p. 209, 'if the gentlemen, whose conduct is in question, are not brought to a trial, the duke of Grafton shall hear from me again.' From his Private Letters to Mr Woodfall, we shall now learn that he was solely actuated in his forbearance by motives of humanity: 'The only thing,' says he in a letter, is really the fear of ruining that poor little discernment to have regarded the two

* See Private Letter, No. 12. Page 225. examined those papers, and especially the pas-3 Compare his private letter to Woodfall, Dec. sage, 'You laboured then, by every species of 124, 176s. No. 15, with his public letter to the false suggestion, and even by publishing coundules of Grafton. February 14, 1770, after he had

brilliant talents of sir William Blackstone devil Gansel, and those other blockheads."

In like manner having been betrayed by the first rumours of the day into what he afterwards found to have been too atrocious an opinion, and expressed himself with too indignant a warmth upon the conduct of Mr Vaughan in his well-known attempt to purchase of the duke of Grafton the reversion of a patent place in Jamaica, he hastened to make him both publicly and privately all the reparation in his power. 'I think myself obliged,' says he in a letter to the duke of Grafton, 'to do this justice to an injured man, because I was deceived by the appearances thrown out by your Grace, and have frequently spoken of his conduct with indignation. If he really be, what I think him. honest, though mistaken, he will be happy in recovering his reputation, though at the expense of his understanding.'2 Vaughan himself had so high an opinion of our author's integrity, though a total stranger to him, that he entrusted him with his private papers upon the subject in question, which JUNIUS in return took care to employ to Vaughan's advantage.3

From the extraordinary effect produced by his first letter under the signature of Iu-NIUS, he resolved to adhere to this signature exclusively in all his subsequent letters, in which he took more than ordinary pains, and which alone he was desirous of having attributed to himself: while to other letters composed with less care, and merely explanatory of passages in his more finished addresses, or introduced for some other collateral purpose, he subscribed some random name which occurred to him at the moment. The letters of Philo Junius are alone an exception to this remark. These he always intended to acknowledge; and in truth they are for the most part composed with so much of the peculiar style and finished note alluding to this transaction, 'that accuracy of the letters of Junius, properly hinders my pushing the subject of my last so called, that it would have required but

another Private Letter, No. 13: 'I sometimes change my signature, but could have no reason to change the paper, especially for one that does not circulate half so much as vours.'

That he was not only a man of highly cultivated general talents and education, but who had critically and successfully studied the language, the law, the constitution, and history of his native country is indubitable. Yet this is not all: the proofs are just as clear that he was also a man of independent fortune, that he moved in the immediate circle of the court, and was intimately acquainted, from its first conception, with almost every public measure, every ministerial intrigue, and every domestic incident.

That he was a man of easy, if not of affluent circumstances, is unquestionable from the fact that he never could be induced in any way or shape to receive any acknow-Advertiser, for the great benefit and popularity he conferred on this paper by his writings, and to which he was fairly entitled.

correspondents as the same person under When the first genuine edition of his letters different characters, -idem et alter-if Iu- was on the point of publication, Mr Wood-NIUS himself had not at length admitted fall again urged him either to accept half them to be his own productions, which he its profits, or to point out some public expressly did, in an authorized note from charity or other institution to which an the printer, inserted in the Public Advertiser, equal sum might be presented. His reply Oct. 19, 1771. 'The auxiliary part of Phi- to this request is contained in a paragraph lo Junius, says he in his Preface, p. 115, of one of his Private Letters, No. 59, and con-'was indispensably necessary to defend or fers credit on both the parties. 'What you explain particular passages in JUNIUS, in say about the profits is very handsome. I answer to plausible objections; but the sub- like to deal with such men. As for myself, ordinate character is never guilty of the in- be assured that I am far above all pecuniary decorum of praising his principal. The views, and no other person I think has any fraud was innocent, and I always intended claim to share with you. Make the most of to explain it.' Yet whatever were the sig- it, therefore, and let all your views in life be natures he assumed, or the loose paragraphs directed to a solid, however moderate, inhe occasionally addressed to the public, dependence; without it no man can be without a signature of any kind, we have happy, nor even honest.' In this last sentence his own assertion, that from the time of his he reasoned from the sphere of life in which corresponding, as Tunius, with the editor he was accustomed to move; and, confining it of the Public Advertiser, he never wrote in to this sphere, the transactions of every day any other newspaper. 'I believe,' says he, show us that he reasoned correctly. It is an 'I need not assure you that I have never additional proof, as well of his affluence as written in any other paper since I began with of his generosity, that not long after the yours;' Private Letter, No. 7. So also in commencement of his correspondence with the printer of the Public Advertiser, he wrote to him as follows: 'For the matter of assistance, be assured that, if a question should arise upon any writings of mine, you shall not want it:-in point of money, be assured you shall never suffer.' In perfect and honourable consonance with which, when the printer was at length involved in a prosecution in consequence of Junius's letter to the King, he wrote to him as follows: 'If your affair should come to a trial, and you should be found guilty, you will then let me know what expense falls particularly on yourself; for I understand you are engaged with other proprietors. Some way or other you shall be reimbursed.'2

'As you have told us,' says sir W. Draper, in his last letter to Junius, 'of your importance; and that you are a person of rank and fortune, and above a common bribe, you may, in all probability, be not unledgment from the proprietor of the Public known to his Lordship (earl of Shelburne)

Private Letter, No. 6, dated Aug. 6, 1760 2 Private Letter, No. 19.

in consequence of some verses which had tears of Sedition on the death of JUNIUS;" can only affirm that I am in carnest, be- note to Mr Woodfall of the same date. cause I am convinced, as far as my undersneers at the appeal, and treats it as the when the printer was threatened with a mere unfounded boast of a man of arrogance prosecution in consequence of this letter. sufficiently that it had a solid foundation to clearly my opinion that you have nothing rest upon.

That IUNIUS moved in the immediate circle of the court, and was intimately and confidentially connected, either directly or indirectly, with all the public offices of government, is, if possible, still clearer than that he was a man of independent property: for the feature that peculiarly characterized him, at the time of his writing, and that cannot even now be contemplated without surprise, was the facility with which he became acquainted with every ministerial manœuvre, whether public or private. from almost the very instant of its conception. At the first moment the partisans of the prime minister were extolling his official integrity and virtue, in not only resisting the terms offered by Mr Vaughan for the purchase of the reversion of a patent place in Jamaica, but in commencing a prosecution against Vaughan for thus attempting

who can satisfy you of the truth of what I to corrupt him, JUNIUS, in his letter of sav.' Sir William alludes, in this passage, Nov. 29, 1769, p. 200, exposed this afto a short public note of JUNIUS to the fectation of covness, as he calls it, by printer of the Public Advertiser, addressed proving that the minister was not only privy to, but a party concerned in, the sale of just appeared in that paper, entitled 'The another patent place, though the former had often been disposed of before in a in which he observes: 'It is true I have re- manner somewhat if not altogether similar. fused offers which a more prudent or a more. The particulars of this transaction are given interested man would have accepted, in his letter to the duke of Grafton, Dec. Whether it be simplicity or virtue in me, I 12, 1760, p. 210, and in his private No. 15. The rapidity with which the affair standing is capable of judging, that the of general Gansell reached him has been present ministry are driving this country to already noticed. In his letter to the duke destruction; and you, I think, Sir, may be of Bedford he narrates facts which could satisfied that my rank and fortune place me scarcely be known but to persons immediabove a common bribe.' 2 Sir William ately acquainted with the family. And and invisibility; but the reader now sees he says to him in a private note. 'it is to fear from the duke of Bedford. I reserve some things expressly to awe him in case he should think of bringing you before the House of Lords. I am sure I can threater him privately with such a storm, as would make him tremble even in his grave." Ile was equally acquainted with the domestic concerns of lord Hertford's family.4 Of. a Mr Swinney, a correspondent of the printer's, he observes in another confidential letter. ' That Swinney is a wretched but a dangerous fool: he had the impudence to go to lord G. Sackville, whom he had never spoken to, and to ask him whether or no he was the author of Junius-take care of him,' 5 This anecdote is not a little curious : the fact was true, and occurred but a short period before the letter was written; but how Junius, unless he had been lord Sackville himself, should have been so soon acquainted with it, baffles all conjecture.

Page 194.

* See Miscellaneous Letters, No. LIV.

³ Private Letter, No. 10. ⁴The following are two of the paragraphs alluded to in Private Letter, No. 42.

ployed as terrier to find out the clergyman that married the duke of Cumberland, an errand well

fitted to the man. He might, however, be much better employed in marrying his daughters at the public expense. Witness the promise of an Irish peerage to Mr S—t, &c., &c.

^{&#}x27;Nobody is so vociferous as the earl of Hert-The earl of Hertford is most honourably em- ford on the subject of the late improcedented marriage !

⁵ Private Letter, No. 3.

In reality several persons to whom this unsparing hand, to purposes of general Lordship, 1

able.'2'

man of good parts upon town.'

information he was possessed of with an

transaction has been related, connecting it exposure in every instance of political dewith other circumstances of a similar tend- linguency, it cannot but be supposed that ency, have ventured, but too precipitately, JUNIUS must have excited a host of enemies to attribute the letters of Junius to his in every direction, and that his safety, perhaps his existence, depended alone upon His secret intelligence respecting public his concealment. Of this he was sufficiently transactions is as extraordinary. The accu- sensible. In his last letter to sir W. Draracy with which he first dragged to general per, who had endeavoured by every means notice the dismission of sir leffery Amherst to stimulate him to a disclosure of himself. from his governorship of Virginia has been he observes, 'As to me, it is by no means already glanced at. 'You may assure the necessary that I should be exposed to the public, says he, in a Private Letter, Jan. resentment of the worst and the most 17. 1771. 'that a squadron of four ships of powerful men in this country, though I may the line is ordered to be got ready with all be indifferent about yours. Though you possible expedition for the East Indies. It would fight, there are others who would is to be commanded by commodore Spry. assassinate.'6 To the same effect is the Without regarding the language of ignorant following passage in a confidential letter to or interested people, depend upon the as- Mr Woodfall. 'I must be more cautious surance I give you, that every man in than ever: I am sure I should not survive administration looks upon war as inevit- a discovery three days; or, if I did, they would attaint me by bill." On many occa-But it would be endless to detail every sions, therefore, notwithstanding all the calminstance of early and accurate information ness and intrepidity he affected in his public upon political subjects with which his public letters, it is not to be wondered at that he and private letters abound. In many cases should betray some feelings of apprehension he was able to indicate even to the printer in his confidential intercourse. In one of of the Public Advertiser himself the real his Private Letters, indeed, he observes, names of those who corresponded with him 'As to me, be assured that it is not in the under fictitious signatures. 'Your Veridi- nature of things that they (the Cavendish cus,' says he in one letter, 'is Mr Whit- family), or you, or anybody else, should ever worth.3 I assure you I have not confided in know me, unless I make myself known: all him.' 4 'Your Lycurgus,' he observes in arts, or enquiries, or rewards, would be another letter,5 'is a Mr Kent, a young equally ineffectual.' But in other letters he seems not a little afraid of detection or Thus widely informed, and applying the surmise. 'Tell me candidly,' he says, at an early period of his correspondence with Mr Woodfall under the signature of JUNIUS, 'whether you know or suspect who I

8 Private Letter, No. 10.

In the Miscellaneous Letters, No. VII., the reader will meet with the following passage, pretty conclusively showing the little ground there ever has been for any such opin-ion. 'I believe the best thing I can do will be to consult with my lord G. Sackville. His character is known and respected in Ireland as much as it is here; and I know he loves to be stationed in the rear as well as myself.' The letter from which the above is an extract, independently of its containing the style and sentiments of Junius, is thus additionally brought ments a Janus; is thus administrationary brought home to him by the printer's customary acknowledgment in the P.A. being followed by the subjoined observation: 'Our friend and correspondent C. will always find the utmost attention paid to his favours.'

² Private Letter, No. 28. The knowledge of this preparation was communicated four days before the meeting of parliament: the war however did not take place; but the preparation is now known to have been a fact, the ministry being themselves fearful that the temper of parliament would have forced them into hostili-ties, from which in truth they very narrowly escaped. See note to the Private Letter of this

³ Richard Whitworth, Esq., M.P. for Stafford. 4 Private Letter, No. 6. 5 Id., No. 5 6 Letter XXV. 7 Private Letter, No. 91. 5 Id., No. 5.

am.' 'You must not write to me again,' yes or no. The names of address more he observes in another letter, 'but be commonly assumed were Mr William Midassured I will never desert you.' Upon dleton, and Mr John Fretly; and the more no account, nor for any reason what- common places of address were the bar of soever, are you to write to me until I the Somerset Coffee-house as stated above. give you notice.'3 'Change to the Somerset that of the New Exchange, and Munday's Coffee-house, and let no mortal know the in Maiden Lane, the waiters of which were alteration. I am persuaded you are too occasionally feed of or their punctuality. But honest a man to contribute in any way to even these names and places of abode were my destruction. Act honourably by me, varied for others as circumstances might and at a proper time you shall know me.' 4 dictate.

The Somerset Coffee-house formed only left. No plan indeed could be better devised for secreey than that by which this return was waiting for him, the Public Advertiser announced it in the notices to its correspondents by such signals as 'N. E. C.' - 'a letter,' 'Vindex shall be considered,' 'C. in the usual place,' 'an old correspond-

By what conveyance JUNIUS obtained one of a great variety of places, at which his letters and parcels from the places at answers and other parcels from the printer which they were left for him is not very of the Public Advertiser were ordered to be clearly ascertained. From the passage quoted from his Private Letter, No. 10, as also from the express declaration in the correspondence was maintained. A com- Dedication to his own edition of his letters. mon name, such as was by no means likely that he was at that time 'the sole depositto excite any peculiar attention, was first ary of his own secret, it should seem that chosen by Junius, and a common place of he had also been uniformly his own mesdeposit indicated :- the parcels from Junius senger: yet in his Private Letter of January himself were sent direct to the printing- 18th, 1772, he observes, 'the gentleman office, and whenever a parcel or letter in who transacts the conveyancing part of our correspondence tells me there was much difficulty last night.'7 In truth the difficulty and danger of his constantly performing his own errand must have been extreme: and it is more reasonable therefore to supent shall be attended to, the introductory pose that he employed some person on C. being a little varied from that commonly whom he could place an implicit reliance: used : or by a line of Latin poetry. 'Don't while to avoid the apparent contradiction always use,' says our author, 'the same between such a fact and that of his affirmsignal; any absurd Latin verse will answer ing that he was the sole depositary of his the purpose, 5 And when the answer im- own secret, it is only necessary to conceive plied a mere negative or affirmative, it was at the same time that the person thus concommunicated in the newspaper by a simple fidentially employed was not entrusted with

```
2 Id., No. 18.
    Private Letter, No. 3.
                                                                                           November 12.
3 Id, No. 47.
5 Private Letter, No. 43.—As instances of these signals of different kinds the reader may
accept the following, taken from the Public Ad-
vertiser according to their dates.

August 12, 1771. A Correspondent may rest assured that his directions ever have been, and
   aured that his directions ever have ever will be, strictly attended to.

17. C.
21. C.
27. C.
October 19. C.
Voyenber 5. C.
8. C.
 September 13.
 October
 November
```

```
Die quibus in terris, et mihr
                     eris magnus Apollo.
Quid rides? de TE falula
           26.
                       narratur.
           28.
                     Received.
                               -dicere verum
           30.
                     Quid vetat !
December
                     Jam nova progenies coclo
                       dimittitur alto.
                     Received.
            đ.
                     Quis to MAGNE CATO thei-
                     Infandum, REGINA! jubas
                       renovare dolorem.
  6 Private Letter, No. 39. 7 Ibid. No. 51.
```

Vindex shall be considered.

He sometimes, as we learn from his own specially on his guard against Garrick: testimony, employed a common chairman and under this impression alone, he once as his messenger.2 and perhaps this, after changed his address.5 He wrote to Gar-

can be no doubt: but the extreme vigilance he at all times evinced, and the honourable forbearance of Mr Woodfall, enabled him to baffle every effort, and to persevere in his concealment to the last. 'Your letter,' says he in one of his private notes, 'was twice refused last night, and the waiter as often attempted to see the person who sent for it.' 3

On another occasion his alarm was excited in consequence of various letters addressed to him at the printing-office, with a view, as he suspected, of leading to a disclosure either of his person or abode. 'I return you,' says he in reply, 'the letters you sent me yesterday. A man who can neither write common English, nor spell, is hardly worth attending to. It is probably a trap for me: I should be glad, however, to know what the fool means. If he writes again, open his letter, and if it contain anything worth my knowing, send it: otherwise not. Instead of "C. in the usual place" say only "a letter" when you have occasion to write to me again. I shall understand you.' 4

Some apprehension he seems to have suffered, as already observed, from the impertinent curiosity of Swinney; but his resentment was chiefly roused by that of David Garrick, who appears from his own or a month, he could scarcely ever write to

the full scope and object of his agency. I Mr Woodfall without cautioning him to be all, was the method most usually resorted to. rick a private note of severe castigation That a variety of schemes were invented through the medium of the printer, which and actually in motion to detect him there the latter, from an idea that it was unnecessarily acrimonious, resubmitted to his consideration with a view of dissuading him from sending it,6 upon which our author desired him to tell Garrick personally to desist, or he would be amply revenged upon him. 'As it is important,' says he, 'to deter him from meddling, I desire you will tell him I am aware of his practices. and will certainly be revenged if he does not desist. An appeal to the public from JUNIUS would destroy him.'7

It is not impossible to form a plausible guess at the age of JUNIUS, from a passage in one of his Private Letters; an enquiry. which, though otherwise of little or no consequence, is rendered in some measure important, as a test to determine the validity of the claims that have been laid to his writings by different candidates or their friends. The passage referred to occurs in his letter to Woodfall, dated Nov. 27, 1771; after long experience of the world,' says he, 'I affirm before God, I never knew a rogue who was not unhappy.'8 Now when this declaration is coupled with the two facts. that he made it under the repeated promise and intention of speedily disclosing himself to his correspondent,9 and that the correspondent thus schooled, by a moral axiom gleaned from his own 'long experience of. account, and from intelligence on which he the world,' was at this very time something fully relied, to have been pertinacious in his more than thirty years of age; it seems abattempts to discover him. For three weeks surd to suppose that JUNIUS could be much

^{*} Mr Jackson, the present respectable proprietor of the Ipswich Journal, who was at this time residing with the late Mr Woodfall, for the purpose of instruction in the London mode of conducting business, observed to the editor in a conversation on this subject, that he once saw a tall gentleman dressed in a light coat with bag and sword, throw into the office door opening in Ivy Lane, a letter from JUNIUS, which he picked up and immediately followed the bearer of it into St Paul's Church-yard, where he got

into a hackney coach and drove off. But whether this was 'the gentleman who transacted the conveyancing part' or Junius himself, it is impossible to ascertain.

See Private Letters, Nos. 58, and 65, note.

Jd., No. 58

⁴ Id., No. 12. 5 Id., No. 41. 6 Compare Private Letter, No. 41, with No. 3. The letter to Garrick will be found in the

former of these.
7 Private Letter, No. 43. 8 Id., No. 44.

⁹ Id., No. 41.

Ç 2

less than fifty, or that he affected an age he letter of Nov. 8, 1769, 'for three weeks: had not actually attained.

There is another point in the history of his life, during his appearance as a public writer, which for the same reason must not be suffered to pass by without observation. although otherwise it might be scarcely entitled to notice; and that is, that during a great part of this time, from January, 1760. to January, 1772, he uniformly resided in London, or its immediate vicinity, and that he never quitted his stated habitation for a longer period than a few weeks. This, too, we may collect from his private correspondence, compared with his public labours. man but he, who with a thorough knowledge of our author's style, undertakes to examine all the numbers of the Public Advertiser for the three years in question, can have any idea of the immense fatigue and letters, under other signatures, in order to support the pre-eminent pretensions and character of Junius, attacked as it was by istration, to whom, as JUNIUS, he did not chuse to make any reply whatever. Surely JUNIUS himself, when he first undertook the office of public political censor, could by no means foresee the labour with which he was about to encumber himself. And instead of wondering that he should have disappeared at the distance of about five years, we ought much rather to be surprised that he should have persevered through half this period with a spirit at once so indefatigable and invincible. JUNIUS had no time for remote excursions, nor often for relaxation, even in the vicinity of the metropolis itself.

Yet from his Private Letters we could almost collect a journal of his absences, if not an itinerary of his little tours: for he does without some notice to the printer, either of his intention, or of the fact itself upon his return home; independently of which Advertiser, who chose the signature of Scaethe frequency and regularity of his correspondence seldom allowed of distant travel. 'I have been out of town,' says he, in his

and though I got your last, could not conveniently answer it." -On another occasion. 'I have been some days in the country, and could not conveniently send for your letter until this night: '2 and again, 'I must see proof-sheets of the Dedication and Preface: and these, if at all, I must see before the end of next week.'3 In like manner, 'I want rest most severely, and am going to find it in the country for a few days.'4

The list political letter that ever issued under the signature of Junius was acdressed to lord Camden. It appeared in the Public Advertiser for Jan. 21, 1772, and followed the publication of his long and elaborate address to lord Mansfield upon the illegal bailing of Eyre; and was designed to stimulate the noble earl to a renewal of the contest which he had comtrouble he submitted to in composing other menced with the chief justice towards the close of the preceding session of parliament. It possesses the peculiarity of being the only encomiastic letter that ever fell from a multiplicity of writers in favour of admin- his pen under the signature of JUNIUS. Yet the panegyric bestowed was not for the mere purpose of instigating lord Camden to the attack in question. There is sufficient evidence in his Private Letters that JUNIUS had a very high, as well as a very just, opinion of the integrity of this nobleman: and an ardent desire that the estimate he had formed of his integrity should be known to the world at large. In the whole course of his political creed there seems to have been but one point upon which they differed, and that was the doctrine assented to by his Lordship, that the crown possesses a power in case of very urgent necessity, of suspending the operation of an act of the legislature. It is a mere speculative doctrine, and JUNIUS only incidentally alluded to it in a letter upon a very different subnot appear to have left London at any time ject. 5 The disagreement upon this point seems eagerly to have been caught at, however, by another correspondent in the Public

> 1 Private Letter, No. 11. ² Id., No. 7. 3 Id., No. 45. 4 Id., No. 43. 5 Letter LIX.

vola, apparently for the express purpose of signature. I am weary of attacking a set it be not wilful malice. I beg you will sig- or to require illustration.' 4 nify to him, that when I originally mencorn bill, it was without any view of dis- him half the profits of the letters at that cussing that doctrine, and only as an in-time published under his own correction, stance of a singular opinion maintained by or an equal sum for the use of any public Such an instance was necessary to the plan makes the following remark, of which a of my letter.'1 And again, shortly after- part has been already quoted on another wards, finding that the communication had occasion: 'As for myself, be assured that not been received as it ought to have been, I am far above all pecuniary views, and no that blockhead Scævola, but that his absurd share with you. Make the most of it therefiction of my being lord Camden's enemy fore, and let all your views in life be directhas done harm. Every fool can do mischief, therefore signify to him what I said."2 Not satisfied however with this hint to the printer, he chose, at the same time, under the subordinate character of Philo-Junius. to settle the point, and preclude all possibility of altercation by an address to the public, that should dexterously mark out this single difference in a mere speculative opinion; and while it amply defended the view he had taken of the subject, should evince such an evident approbation of his Lordship's general conduct, as could not fail of being gratifying to him. This letter appeared in the Public Advertiser, Oct. 15, 1771.3

Lord Camden, however, was not induced by this earnest attempt and last letter of JUNIUS to renew his attack upon lord Mansfield; yet this was not the reason, or at least not the sole or primary reason, for JUNIUS'S discontinuing to write. It has already been observed, that so early as and frequently perhaps exposed him to no small peril. 'I really doubt' says he, 'whether I shall write any more under this

involving the political satirist in a dispute of brutes, whose writings are too dull to with his lordship. 'Scævola,' observes he furnish me even with the materials of conin a private letter, 'I see is determined to tention, and whose measures are too gross make me an enemy to lord Camden. If and direct to be the subject of argument,

In perfect consonance with this declaration. tioned lord Camden's declaration about the in his reply to the printer, who had offered a man of great learning and integrity. institution he should chuse to name, he 'I should not trouble you or myself about other person, I think, has any claim to ed to a solid, however moderate, independence: without it no man can be happy, nor even honest. If I saw any prospect of uniting the city once more. I would readily continue to labour in the vineyard. Whenever Mr Wilkes can tell me that such an union is in prospect, he shall hear of me, Ouod si quis existimat me aut voluntate esse mutată, aut debilitată virtute, aut animo fracto, vehementer errat.'5

Even so long afterwards as January 19, 1773, in the very last letter we have any certain knowledge he ever addressed to Mr Woodfall, he urges precisely the same motives for his continuing to desist. 'I have seen the signals thrown out for your old friend and correspondent. Be assured that I have had good reason for not complying with them. In the present state of things, if I were to write again, I must be as silly as any of the horned cattle that run mad through the city, or as any of your wise aldermen. I meant the cause and the July, 1769, he began to entertain thoughts public: BOTH ARE GIVEN UP. I feel for of dropping a character and signature which the honour of this country, when I see that must have cost him a heavy series of labour, there are not ten men in it, who will unite and stand together upon any one question. But it is all alike vile and contemptible.

Private Letter, No. 45. ² Id., No. 46. 4 Private Letter, No. 5.

⁵ Private Letter, No. 59. 'But if any one believes me to be changed in will, weakened in integrity, or broken in courage, he errs grossly.

and I shall always rejoice to hear of your an integrity which he felt not, and which prosperity. If you have any thing to com- did not fairly belong to him. It was, it municate (of moment to yourself), you may must have been, a pure, disinterested testiuse the last address, and give a hint.'1

the Bill of Rights Society, and the political cause of his secession. separations in the city, our author had which he had so manfully engaged.

Private Letter, No. 63. The signals here referred to were thrown out on the very morning of the day on which this letter was written, and consisted of the following Latin quotation, inserted in the Public Advertiser for January 19, served in the Fundament of Jahaday 19, 1773, among the other answers to correspondents. Iterunque, iterunque moncho. The printer, within a few weeks afterwards, availed himself of the liberty of making a communication to Junius by the last address, and in the Public Advertiser of March 8, gave the following hint: 'The letter from AN OLD FRIEND AND CORRE-SPONDENT, dated January 19, came safe to hand, and his directions are strictly followed, Quod si quis existimat aut, &c.' The quotation is pe-

subjec some part of the communication at this time made by the printer to JUNIUS, the editor has been able to discover, by having accidentally found among Mr Woodfall's papers, and in his own hand-writing, a rough draft of one of the three letters of which it appears to have consisted. This letter the reader will meet with in the private correspondence, arranged according to its date, which is March 7, 2773, the day antecedent to the public notice given in the Public Advertiser as above. Among the answers to correspondents March 20, we find another signal of the very same kind in the following terms, 'Aut voluntate esse mutatt;' and in the same 'Some circumstances render place March 29, a third ensign under the follow printer should communicate ing form, 'Aut debitiatt virtute;' both of which not his old Correspondent.

Vou have never flinched that I know of: motive for his assuming the semblance of monial of private esteem and public patriot-In effect from the dissolution of the con- ism, consentancous with the uniform tenor solidated Whig party upon the death of both of his open and his confidential his-George Grenville, the absurd divisions in tory, and conscientiously developing the real

In truth it must have been, as he himself much reason to despair of the cause in states it, insanity, to have persisted any longer in any thing like a regular attack; To the moral character of JUNIUS this lord Camden had declined to act upon his letter is of more value than all the popular suggestion; the great phalanx of the Whig addresses he ever composed in his life. It party was broken up by the death of Mr is impossible to suppose it to flow from the George Grenville; the vanity and extreme affectation of an honesty which did not exist jealousy of Oliver and Horne had introin his heart. The circumstances under which duced the most acrimonious divisions into it was sent altogether prohibit such an idea: the Society for supporting the Bill of Rights; unknown as he was, and unknown as he and the leading patriots of the city had so had now determined to continue, to his intermixed their own private interests, and correspondent, there was no adequate their own private squabbles with the public

> it will be observed, upon a comparison, are verbal continuations of Justus's own quotation, and hence identify with double force the person to whom they relate. In the Public Advertiser of April 7, we find the following signal of a similar Apri, we mad the rollowing signal of a simple description, and it is the last we have been able to discover, 'Die quibus in terris.' It is probable that these all related to matters of personal concern, upon which, by the above private letter, the printer had still leave to address his correspondent: at least there is no reason for believing that JUNIUS ever broke through the silence upon which he so inflexibly determined on January 19, or consented to re-appear before the public in any character whatever. There were some very excellent letters signed Atticus that appeared in the Public Advertiser between the dates of June 26, 1772, and October 14, 1773, and exhibit much of our author's style, spirit, and sentiments; and which, hence, by some tolerable judges, have been actually ascribed to him: but for various reasons, independently of that afforded by the above private letter, the editor is convinced they are not the production of Junius. The talents they afford proof of, though considerable, are inferior; they contain attacks upon some statesmen who were never attacked by Junius; and it is well known from the following notice inserted among the addresses to correspondents in the Public Advertiser for June 19, 1773, as well as from other facts, that there was at this period, and had been for some time past, another writer in this journal who assumed the name of Atticus. 'Some circumstances render it necessary that the printer should communicate a line to ATTECUS,

cause, as to render this cause itself contemptible in the eye of the people at large. He had already tried, but in vain, to awaken the different contending parties to a sense of better and more honourable motives; to induce them to forego their selfish and individual disputes, and to make a common sacrifice of them upon the altar of the constitution, x Yet, at the same time, so small were his expectations of success, so mean his opinion of the pretensions of most of the leading demagogues of the day to a real love of their country, and so grossly had he by them, that in his confidential intercourse he bade his correspondent beware of entrusting himself to them. 'Nothing,' says he, 'can be more express than my declaration against long parliaments: try Mr Wilkes once more (who was in private possession of his sentiments upon this subjecr);" speak for me in a most friendly but firm tone, that I will not submit to be any longer aspersed. Between ourselves, let me guard with patriots.'3

With his public address to the people, therefore, in Letter LIX., he seems in the first instance to have resolved upon closing his labours, at least under the character of likely to result from it, and as the printer had expressed to him an earnest desire of publishing a genuine edition of his letters, in a collective form, in consequence of a let me live without being offensive.'5 variety of incorrect and spurious editions at preface.

Nothing can be more absurd than the idea entertained by some writers, that JUNIUS himself was the previous editor of one or two of these irregular editions, and especially of an edition published but a short time anterior to his own, audaciously enough entitled 'The genuine letters of JUNIUS, to which are prefixed, anecdotes of the author: '4 a pamphlet in which the anonymous anecdotist takes it for granted, from his very outset, that JUNIUS and Edmund Burke were the same person, and then proceeds to reason concerning the former, from himself been occasionally misrepresented the known or acknowledged works of the latter.

It was not till the appearance of Newberry's edition, with which it is not pretended that our author had any concern. that even Woodfall himself had conceived an idea of the propriety of collecting these letters, and publishing them in an edition strictly genuine, in consequence of the numerous blunders by which the common editions were deformed; of these Newrecommend it to you to be much upon your berry's was, perhaps, the freest from mistakes: yet Newberry's had so many, that our author, upon receiving a copy of it. addressed a note to Woodfall, begging him to hint to Newberry, that as he had thought proper to reprint his letters, he ought at JUNIUS, provided no beneficial effect were least to have taken care to have corrected the errata: adding at the same time, 'I did not expect more than the life of a newspaper: but if this man will keep me alive.

His answer upon Woodfall's application that time circulating through the nation, he to him for leave to reprint his letters colseems to have thought that a consent to lectively, and subject to his own revisal, was such a plan would afford him a good as follows: 'I can have no manner of ostensible motive for putting a finish to his objection to your reprinting my letters, if public career; and on this account he not you think it will answer, which I believe it only acceded to the proposal, but under- might before Newberry appeared. If you took to superintend it as far as his invisi- determine to do it, give me a hint, and I bility might allow him; and also to add a will send you more errata (indeed they are few notes, as well as a dedication and innumerable) and perhaps a preface. 6 It was on this occasion he added, as conceiv-

¹ See Junius, Letter LIX., and Private Letter, No. 65.

See Private Letter, No. 66.

³ Private Letter, No. 44.

⁴ See Mr Chalmers's Appendix to the Supplemental Apology, &c., p. 24. 5 Private Letter, No. 4. 6 Private Letter, No. 5.

for a general close of the character, though 5, 1771,3 upon the subject of 'the unhappy

ing it might afford him a proper opportunity | signature of JUNIUS with that dated October

doubt whether I shall write any more unde this signature : I am weary of attacking a ladded five others which the events of the fall's next letter upon the same subject he reprinting of the letters, notwithstanding observes, 'Do with my letters exactly as you please. I should think that, to make a better figure than Newberry, some others of my letters may be added, and so throw out a hint, that you have reason to suspect they are by the same author. If you adopt! this plan, I shall point out those, which I would recommend; for you know, I do not nor indeed have I time to give equal care to them all."

The plan for publication, however, though it commenced thus early, was not matured till October, 1771: when it was determined that the work should comprise all the letters which had passed under the signatures of JUNIUS and Philo-Junius to this period exclusively, and be occasionally enriched by a selection of other letters under a variety of other signatures, such as will be found in the Miscellaneous Letters of the present edition; which, independently of that of Philo-Junius, our author, as has been observed already, not unfrequently employed to explain what required explanation, or defend what demanded vindication, and which he himself thought sufficiently correct to associate with his more laboured productions. In the prosecution of this intention, however, he still made the two following alterations. Instead of closing the regular series of letters possessing the

Private Letter, No. 6. 2 Id., No. 7.

set of brutes, &c.' In answer to Wood- day had impelled him to write during the the intention he had expressed of offering nothing further under this signature. And instead of introducing the explanatory letters written under other signatures, he confined himself, in order that the work might be published before the ensuing session of parliament, to three justificatory papers alone: the first, under the title of 'A Friend of JUNIUS,' containing an answer to 'A Barrister at Law;' the second an anonymous declaration upon certain points on which his opinion had been mistaken or misrepresented; and the third an extract from a letter to Mr Wilkes, drawn up for the purpose of being laid before the Bill of Rights Society, with a view of vindicating himself from the charge of having written in favour of long parliaments and rotten boroughs. This last however was furnished, not by Mr Wilkes, but from his own notes : 'you shall have the extract,' says he, 'to go into the second volume; it will be a short one.'4

> Of the five letters added after he meant to have closed, and had actually begun to reprint his series, four of them are either expressly addressed to lord Mansfield, or incidentally relate to him, in consequence of his having illegally (as it was contended) admitted a felon of the name of John Eyre to bail, who, although possessing a fortune

³ Letter LIX.

⁴ Private Letter, No. 45. The reader will readily pardon, and perhaps thank us, for pointing out to his particular attention the following exquisite paragraph with which the above letter closes, but which formed no part of it as originally addressed to Mr Wilkes. It refers to an able argument that an excision of the rotten boroughs from the representative system might perhaps produce more mischief than benefit to the constitution. 'The man, who fairly and completely answers this argument, shall have my were not satisfied, that really to inform the thanks and my applause. My heart is already standing corrects and enlarges the heart.

with him.—I am ready to be converted.—I admire his morality, and would gladly subscribe to the articles of his faith.—Grateful, as I am, to the GOOD BEING, whose bounty has imparted to me this reasoning intellect, whatever it is, I hold myself proportionably indebted to him, from whose culightened understanding another ray of knowledge communicates to mine. But neither should I think the most exalted faculties of the human mind a gift worthy of the Divinity; nor any assistance, in the improvement of them a subject of gratitude to my fellow-creature, if I were not satisfied, that really to inform the under-

PRELIMINARY ESSAY.

of one of the public offices at Guildhall, and and inveterate hatred, the duke of Grafton, upon the defeat of his attempt to transfer for this county.

this work completed and published before the winter session of parliament, that he was ready to sacrifice the appearance of the whole of these additional letters, even that containing his elaborate accusation of lord Mansfield, and which he acknowledged to have cost him enormous pains, rather than that it should be delayed beyond this period. letter dated January 20, 1772, 'to see that head.—All I can now say, is, make haste the publication of the book is so long with the book.4 delayed. It ought to have appeared before the meeting of parliament. By no means als for his notes were all finished about the would I have you insert this long letter, if beginning of the preceding November (1771). it make more than the difference of two days in the publication. Believe me, the sheets which were revised by the author delay is a real injury to the cause.' 1

The difficulties, however, of sending proofs and revises forward and backward Woodfall, with incidental amendments obwere so considerable, that the anxiety of the tained, as they could be, by an interchange author was not gratified : parliament met, but the book was not published. JUNIUS confided to the correction of Mr Wilkes,5 became extremely impatient; yet still, in with whose attention the author expresses the most earnest terms, pressed its publication before alderman Sawbridge's motion in favour of triennial parliaments which was to be brought forward in the beginning of March. 'Surely,' says he, in his private letter of February 17,2 'you have misjudged it very much about the book. I could not he would have corrected if possible. have conceived it possible that you could protract the publication so long. At this rigidly to his determination never again to time, particularly before Mr Sawbridge's appear before the public in his full dress, or motion, it would have been of singular use.

2 Id. No. 55. Private Letter, No. 51. 3 The Letters were actually published March 3, and alderman Sawbridge's motion discussed the

of nearly thirty thousand pounds sterling. You have trifled too long with the public had stolen a quantity of paper in quires out expectation: at a certain point of time the appetite palls: I fear you have already lost was caught in the very theft. The other the season. The book, I am sure, will lose letter is addressed to the object of his steady the greatest part of the effect I expected from it .- But I have done.'

He was soon however consoled by intellithe duke of Portland's estate in Cumber- gence from his friend Woodfall that, unduly land, consisting of what had formerly been as the book had been postponed, it was not crown lands, to sir James Lowther, in order for want of any exertions of his own; and to assist the latter in securing his election that late as the season was, it would still precede the expected motion of alderman Such, however, was his anxiety to get Sawbridge.3 He, in consequence, replied as follows: 'I do you the justice to believe that the delay has been unavoidable. The expedient you propose, of printing the Dedication and Preface in the Public Advertiser is unadviseable. The attention of the public would then be quite lost to the book itself. I think your rivals will be disappointed: nobody will apply to them, 'I am truly concerned,' says he in a private when they can be supplied at the fountain-

The Dedication, Preface, and the materi-The letters at large, excepting the first two himself, were from the difficulty of conveyance entrusted to the correction of Mr of letters. The Dedication and Preface were himself well pleased. 'When you see Mr Wilkes,' says he in a note of February 20. 1772, 'pray return him my thanks for the trouble he has taken. I wish he had taken more; '6 intimating hereby that there were still errors of which he was aware, and which

Yet though he thus continued to adhere

ensuing day-which motion, however, was lost by a majority of 251 against 83.

4 Private Letter, No. 56.

⁵ Id., No. 4c. 6 Id., No. 57. c*

PRELIMINARY ESSAY.

presses it in his Private Letter of November send you any thing that I think dangerous; 8. 1771, he did not object occasionally to but the risk is yours, and you must deterintroduce his observations and continue mine for yourself.'2 his severe strictures in a looser and less elaborate form, and under some appellative that he had written with an asperity that or other, that might not interfere with the claims of JUNIUS as a whole, as in the case of his series of letters to lord Barrington, see No. CV., CVII., &c. These, however, it was not easy, in spite of the characteristic style that still, to an acute eye, pervaded to take the risk he would transmit the paper them, for the world at large to bring com- as sent to him, to a printer who was well pletely home to the real writer, though known to be less cautious than himself. many of them were frequently charged to the account of Junius by the political 'is of such importance, so very material, critics of the day, in different addresses to that it must be given to the public immedithe printer upon this subject.

To judge of the moral and political character of JUNIUS from his writings, as well private as public, he appears to have been a man of a bold and ardent spirit, tenaciously honourable in his personal connexions, but vehement and inveterate in his enmities, and quick and irritable in conment to the established church.

can only judge from his connexion with tunity of altering any part of it.'4 Mr Woodfall. Yet this connexion is of it he appears in a light truly ingenuous one of his letters, 'I should send you anyyourself, or take any opinion you think prois not to be regarded, and I hope these

under the signature of JUNIUS, as he ex- papers have reimbursed you. I never will

Upon another occasion, being sensible might alarm his correspondent, he again begged him not to print if he apprehended any danger; adding that, for himself he should not be offended at his desisting; and merely requesting that if he did not chuse 'The inclosed,' says he in one of his notes, ately. I will not advise, though I think you perfectly safe. All I say is that I rely upon your care to have it printed either tomorrow in your own paper, or to-night in the Pacquet.' 3-To the same effect is the following upon another occasion. 'I hope you will approve of announcing the inclosed JUNIUS to-morrow, and publishing it on ceiving them. In his state principles he Monday. If, for any reasons that do not was strictly constitutional, excepting perhaps occur to me, you should think it unadviseupon the single point of denying the impec- able to print it, as it stands, I must entreat cability of the crown; in those of religion the favour of you to transmit it to Bingley, he, at least, ostensibly professed an attach- and satisfy him that it is a real Junius, worth a North Briton extraordinary. It Of his personal and private honour, we will be impossible for me to have an oppor-

Upon the printer's being nenaced with a perhaps sufficient; for throughout the whole prosecution on the part of the duke of Grafton, in consequence of the publication and liberal. 'If undesignedly,' says he in of Junius's letter to him of the date of December 12, 1760, accusing this nobleman of thing you may think dangerous, judge for having, in the most corrupt and sinister manner, either sold or connived at the sale per. You cannot offend or afflict me, but of a patent place in the collection of the by hazarding your own safety.' To the customs at Exeter, he writes as follows: same effect in another letter, 'For my own 'As to yourself, I am convinced the ministry part I can very truly assure you that nothing will not venture to attack you; they dare would afflict me more than to have drawn not submit to such an enquiry. If they do, you into a personal danger, because it show no fear, but tell them plainly you will admits of no recompense. A little expense justify, and subprena Mr Hine, Burgoyne,

² Private Letter, No. 33. d., No. 38. 4 Id., No. 34. 3 Id., No. 38.

and Bradshaw of the Treasury; that will titioners. 'I have carefully perused the silence them at once.'I The printer, however, was still fearful, and could not avoid expressing himself so to his invisible friend: who thus replied to his proposal of volunteering an apology: 'Judge for yourself. I enter sincerely into the anxiety of your situation: at the same time I am strongly inclined to think that you will not be called upon. They cannot do it without subjecting Hine's affair to an enquiry, which would be worse than death to the minister. As it is, they are more seriously stabbed with this last stroke, than all the rest. At any rate, stand firm: (I mean with all the humble appearances of contrition:) if you trim or faulter, you will lose friends without gaining others."2 The friendly advice thus shrewdly given was punctiliously followed; and the predictions of JUNIUS were more than accomplished: for the minister not only did not dare to enforce his menaces, but at the same time thought it expedient to drop abruptly the prosecution of Mr Vaughan, which this attack upon him was expressly designed to fight off, and to drop it, too, after the rule against Vaughan had been made absolute.

Upon the publication of JUNIUS's letter to the King, Woodfall was not quite so fortunate-but his invisible friend still followed him with assistance; he offered him, as has already been observed, a reimbursement of whatever might be his pecuniary expenses, and aided him in a still higher degree with the soundest prudential and legal advice. Upon a subsequent occasion also, he makes the following observation. 'As to yourself. I really think you in no You are not the object, and danger. punishing you would be no gratification to the king. 3-But upon this subject, the following is one of the most important notes, as, although he expressly denies all professional knowledge of the law, it sufficiently proves that he was better acquainted with it than many who are actual prac-

Private Letter, No. 15.

Information: it is so loose and ill-drawn that I am persuaded Mr De Grey 4 could not have had a hand in it. Their inserting the whole, proves they had no strong passages to fix on. I still think it will not be tried. If it should, it is not possible for a jury to find you guilty.' 5

In his first opinion he was mistaken: in his second he was correct. The cause was tried at Nisi Prius-but no one has vet forgotten that the verdict returned was 'guilty of printing and publishing only;' which in fact implied not guilty at all.6

It is to this cause, as has been already glanced at, we are chiefly indebted for an acknowledged and unequivocal right in the jury to return a general verdict-that is, a verdict that shall embrace matter of law as well as matter of fact. From the ambiguity of the verdict however, in the case before us, a motion was made by the defendant's counsel in arrest of judgment; at the same time that an opposite motion was advanced by the counsel for the crown, for a rule upon the defendant to show cause why the verdict should not be entered up according to the legal import of the words. On both sides a rule to show cause was granted, and the matter being argued before the court of King's Bench, notwithstanding the bench appears to have been strongly and unanimously in favour of the verdict being entered up, the result was the grant of a new trial; which, however, was not proceeded in, for want of proof of the publication of the paper in question.

That JUNIUS was quick and irritable in conceiving disgust, and vehement, and even at times malignant, in his enmities, we may equally ascertain from his private and his public communications. In the violence of his hatreds almost every one whom he attacks is guilty in the extreme; there are no degrees of comparison either in their criminality or his own detestation: the whole is equally superlative. If the duke

⁴ At that time Attorney-General.

⁵ Private Letter, No. 20. 6 See Editor's notes, p. 118 and p. 213.

'every villain in the kingdom,' says he, 'is Carlton-house against the consent of his preyour friend t-the very sunshine you live in sent Majesty's royal grandfather, through is a prelude to your dissolution,' 2 If lord the overweening favouritism of the princess Mansfield fall beneath his lash, seruple to affirm, with the most solemn entire ascendancy over this princess, and appeal to God for my sincerity, that, in my through this princess over the king, whose judgment, he is the very worst and most non-age had been entuely entrusted to him. dangerous man in the kingdom.' 3 An opinion corroborated by him in his private correspondence: 'We have got the rascal down, says he, 'let us strangle him if it be possible.' 4 In like manner addressing himself to lord Barrington, 'You are so the salient point from which all the miscause all parties know you) that England, duke of Grafton, I verily believe that the rank. blackest heart in the kingdom belongs to lord Barrington.'6 Even Scaevola, an anonymous writer, whom he knew not, is 'a blockhead' and 'a fool' for opposing him: Swinney, for his impertinent enquiry of lord G. Sackville, 'a wretched but a dangerous fool; '8 and Garnick, on the same account, 'a rascal, and a vagabond.'9

Yet it is not difficult to account for the more violent of his political abhorrences; and which seem, indeed, to have been almost exclusively directed against the three ministerial characters just enumerated in conjunction with the earl of Bute: for his attacks upon the duke of Bedford and sir William Blackstone are but light and casual when compared with his incessant and unmitigated tirades against these noblemen.

Firmly rooted in the best Whig principles of the day, he had an invincible hatred of lord Bute as the grand prop and founda-

of Grafton be the object of his address, arbitrary tendencies: as introduced into do not dowager of Wales; as having obtained an and through the king over the cabinet and the parliament itself. The introduction of lord Bute into the post of chief preceptor to his Majesty was in our author's opinion an inexpiable evil. 'That,' says he, 'was detested and despised by all parties (be- chiefs and disgraces of the present reign took life and motion.' Letter XXXV., note. Scotland, and Ireland have but one wish Thus despising the tutor, he could have no concerning you; '5 while his note to the great reverence for the pupil; and hence the printer accompanying this address, closes personal dislike he too frequently betrays, thus: 'The proceedings of this wretch are and occasionally in language altogether inunaccountable. There must be some mys- temperate and unjustifiable, for the sovetery in it, which I hope will soon be dis- reign. Hence, too, his unconquerable covered to his confusion. Next to the prejudice against Scotchmen of every

The same cause excited his antipathy against lord Mansfield, even before his Lordship's arbitrary line of conduct had proved that our author's suspicions concerning him were well-founded. Lord Mansfield was a Scotchman: but this was not the whole. Under the patronage of lord Stormont, he had been educated with the highest veneration for the whole Stuart family, and especially for the Pretender: whose health, when a young man, had been his favourite toast, and to whom his brother was attached as a confidential and private agent. It was for these sentiments, and for the politics which intruded themselves in his judicial proceedings, where the crown was concerned, that our author expressed himself in such bitter terms against the chief justice. 'Qur language,' says he, in Letter XLL, 'has no term of reproach, the mind has no idea of detestation, which has not already been tion-stone of Toryism in its worst and most happily applied to you, and exhausted.

^{*} Letter LXVII. 3 Letter LXIX. 4 Private Letter, No. 24. 5 Miscellaneous Letters, No. CXI.

⁶ Private Letter, No. 61. 7 Id., Nos. 46 and 47. 8 Id., No. 5. 9 Id., Nos. 41 and 43.

pens than mine to the separate merits of horred them also. your life and character. Let it be my sense,'

bition of lord Bute.' 1

It was not necessary for lord Barrington pendently of these general considerations, which he was seconded by Mr Rigby.

-Ample justice has been done by abler federacy, nation, or even family, he ab-

His reasons for believing that the conhumble office to collect the scattered stitution allows him to regard the reigning sweets, till their united virtue tortures the prince as occasionally culpable in his own person, are given at large in his Preface. His detestation of the duke of Grafton To few people perhaps in the present day proceeded from his Grace's having aban- will they carry conviction. But, bating doned his patron lord Chatham, and the this single opinion, his view of the prin-Whig principles into which he had been ciples and powers of the constitution apinitiated under him, to gratify his own pears to be equally correct and perspicuous. ambition on the first offer that occurred: Upon the question of general warrants; of from his having afterwards united some- the right of juries to return general verdicts. times with the Bedford party, sometimes or in other words, to determine upon the with lord Bute, and sometimes with other law as well as upon the fact; of the unconnexions of whatever principles or pro- limited power of Lords Chief Justices to fessions, whenever the union appeared fa- admit to bail; of the illegality of suspendvourable to his personal views; and from ing acts of parliament by proclamation, we his having hereby prevented that general owe him much; he was a warm and rigid coalition of the different divisions of Whig supporter of the co-extent, as well as costatesmen, which must in all probability existence, of the three estates of the governhave proved permanently triumphant over ment, and it was from this principle alone the power of the king himself. 'Mv abhor- that he argued against the system of inderence of the duke, says Junius, 'arises from finite privilege as appertaining to either an intimate knowledge of his character, and House individually; and as allowing it a from a thorough conviction that his base- power of arbitrary punishment, for what ness has been the cause of greater mischief may occasionally be regarded as a conto England than even the unfortunate am- tempt of such House, or a breach of such privilege.

Personally and outrageously inimical, o be a Scotchman in order to excite the however, as he was to the reigning prince, antipathy of Junius. He might justly de- and earnestly devoted as he seems to have spise and even hate him (if it be allowable been to the cause of the people, neither his to indulge a private hatred against a public enmity nor his patriotism hurried him into character of any kind) for his political ver- any of those political extravagancies which satilities and want of all principle; for have peculiarly marked the character of the atrocities, indeed, which no man can yet present age: a limited monarchy, like our have forgotten, and which never can be own, he openly preferred to a republic; he buried in forgetfulness but with the total contended for the constitutional right of oblivion of his name. Barrington, inde- impressing, in case of emergency, sea-faring men for the common service of the country; however, was the man who moved for strenuously opposed the supporters of the Wilkes's expulsion from parliament, in Bill of Rights, in their endeavours to restore annual parliaments, and their fanciful, but, These were the prime objects of our as it appeared to him, unconstitutional author's abhorrence; and in proportion as plan of purifying the legislature by disother politicians were connected with them franchising a number of boroughs which by principles or want of principles, con- they had chosen to regard as totally corrupt and rotten; and anterior to the American contest was as thoroughly convinced

I Letter LIV.

as Mr George Grenville himself of the political satirist was ever less so. To Mr supremacy of the legislature of this country over the American colonies. x

Upon the first point he observes: 'I can more readily admire the liberal spirit and integrity than the sound judgment of any man, who prefers a republican form of government, in this or any other empire of us employ these men, says he, 'in whatever equal extent, to a monarchy so qualified and limited as ours. Lam convinced, that neither is it in theory the wisest system of government, nor practicable in this country.'2 Upon the second point he appears to have been chiefly influenced by judge Foster's argument on the legality of pressing scamen, and his comment on that argument may be seen in his observations, pp. 307, 318, and 321. Upon the third and fourth points he thus ingenuously expresses himself: 'Whenever the question there is a quick succession of subjects, and shall be seriously agitated, I will endeavour (and, if I live, will assuredly attempt it) to convince the English nation, by arguments to my understanding unanswerable, that they ought to insist upon a triennial, and banish the idea of an annual parliament. -----As to cutting away the rotten boroughs. I am as much offended as any man at seeing so many of them under the direct influence of the crown, or at the disposal of private persons; yet, I own, I have both doubts and apprehensions, in regard to the remedy you propose. I shall be charged, perhaps, with an unusual want of political intrepidity, when I honestly confess to you, that I am startled at the idea of so extensive an amputation. In the first place, I question the power, de jure, of the legislature the common mass of pretenders to genuine to disfranchise a number of boroughs, upon patriotism, have been ever since growing in the general ground of improving the con- the public estimation, and are now justly stitution.-When you propose to cut away looked back to as the pillars and bulwarks the rotten parts, can you tell us what parts of the English constitution. are perfectly sound? Are there any certain opinion of the general purity and virtue of limits, in fact or theory, to inform you at | lord Camden we have already noticed. what point you must stop, -at what point | 'Lord Bute,' says he, in describing several the mortification ends?'3

JUNIUS has been repeatedly accused of 'found no resource of dependence or se-

Wilkes and Mr Horne he was equally indifferent, except in regard to their public principles and public characters. In his estimation the cause alone was every thing, and they were only of value as the temporary and accidental supporters of it. 'Let. departments their various abilities are best suited to, and as much to the advantage of the common cause, as their different inclinations will permit.-If individuals have no virtues, their vices may be of use to us I care not with what principle the new-born patriot is animated, if the measures he sunports are beneficial to the community. The nation is interested in his conduct. motives are his own. The properties of a patriot are perishable in the individual, but the breed is worth preserving.'4 It was in this view of the politics of the day, that he privately cautioned his friend Woodfall, as has been already noticed, 'to be much upon his guard against patriots;'5 and in the consciousness of possessing a truly independent spirit, that he boasted of being 'disowned, as a dangerous auxiliary, by every party in the kingdom,' 6 his creed not expressly comporting with any single party creed whatever.

Yet there were statesmen whom he believed to be truly honest and upright, and for whom he felt a personal as well as a political reverence: and it is no small proof of the keenness of his penetration that the characters, whom he thus singled out from

having been a party-man, but perhaps no curity in the proud, imposing superiority of

¹ See Miscellaneous Letters, No. X., as well as various others in the year 1768. 2 Letter LIX. 3 Letter LXIX.

⁴ Letter LIX. 5 Private Letter, No. 44. 6 Letter XLIV

lord Chatham's abilities, the shrewd, in- motives both of lord Camden and lord have entertained a good opinion of lord al pique than to liberal patriotism. and by which an English gentleman may been dearly earned."10 be as usefully and as honourably disof Athens, or Lacedæmon,' 5

instances of defection too numerous, to

In his religious opinions JUNIUS has been tinguished, as any citizen of ancient Rome, accused of deism and atheism: but on what account it seems impossible to ascer-Yet the times were too corrupt, and the tain: he has by others been conceived to have been a dissenter; " yet with as little allow so wary a statesman as Junius to reason. To judge from the few passages in regard even these exalted characters with- his own writings that have any bearing out occasional suspicion and jealousy, upon the question, and which occur chiefly Much as he approved of the marquis of in his letter, under the signature of Philo-Rockingham personally, he regarded him Junius, of Aug. 26, 1771, Letter LV., he appublicly as forming a feeble administration pears to have been a Christian upon the that dissolved in its own weakness.6 He most sincere conviction; one of whose chief had more than once some doubts of the objects was to defend the religion established

flexible judgment of Mr Grenville, I nor in Chatham: their opposition at the comthe mild, but determined integrity of lord mencement of the American contest he was Rockingham.'2 He also seems disposed to jealous of; and ascribed it rather to politic-Holland; and this is the rather entitled to his friend he writes thus confidentially. attention, as the opinion was communicated 'The duke of Grafton has been long labourconfidentially. 'I wish,' says he, 'lord ing to detach Camden;' 8 and in unison Holland may acquit himself with honour: with this idea he tells his Lordship himself if his cause be good, he should at once have publicly, 'If you decline this honourable published that account to which he refers office, I fear it will be said that, for some in his letter to the mayor.' With respect months past, you have kept too much comto Mr Sawbridge, and his worthy colleague, pany with the duke of Grafton.'9 And even he observes, 'My memory fails me if I have as late as August, 1771, when lord Chatham mentioned their names with disrespect ;- had been progressively growing on his good unless it be reproachful to acknowledge a opinion, he thus cautiously praises him. sincere respect for the character of Mr. 'If his ambition be upon a level with his Sawbridge, and not to have questioned the understanding ;-if he judges of what is innocence of Mr Oliver's intentions,' 4 And truly honourable for himself, with the same again, adverting to the former, it were superior genius, which animates and directs much to be desired, that we had many such him to eloquence in debate, to wisdom in men as Mr Sawbridge to represent us in decision, even the pen of JUNIUS shall conparliament.—I speak from common report tribute to reward him. Recorded honours and opinion only, when I impute to him a shall gather round his monument, and speculative predilection in favour of a re- thicken over him. It is a solid fabric, and public .- In the personal conduct and man- will support the laurels that adorn it .- I ners of the man, I cannot be mistaken. He am not conversant in the language of has shown himself possessed of that re- panegyric. -These praises are extorted from publican firmness, which the times require, ine; but they will wear well, for they have

¹ Of all the political characters of the day Mr Grenville appears to have been our author's favourite; no man was more open to censure in many parts of his conduct, but he is never censured; while, on the contrary, he is extolled wherever an opportunity offers: yet JUNIUS 9 Letter I. Heron's ledge of Mr Grenville. Compare Miscellaneous vol. i. p. 69.

Letters, No. XXIX., with Letters, No. XVIII.

² Letter XV.

³ Private Letter, No. 5. 4 Letter LIV.

⁵ Letter LIX. 7 Letter I. 6 Letter XXIII. 8 Private Letter, No. 47.

⁹ Letter LXIX. 11 Heron's edition of the Letters of JUNIUS,

strictly and exemplarily pions, selecting for life, demanded, in order to penetrate the mystery, a knowledge never completely aconired till the present day, which has sufficiently demonstrated how impossible it is for a king of England to exercise at all his ministers. The severity with which our public decorum, at least entitles him to public gratitude, and does credit to the purity of his heart: and if his morality may be judged of by various occasional observations and advices scattered throughout his private intercourse with Mr Woodfall, some instances of which have already been selected, it is impossible to do otherwise than approve both his principles and his conduct.

Whether the writer of these letters had any other and less worthy object in view

by law, and who was resolved to renounce to be known, without having any personal and give up to public contempt and indig- object to acquire, any sinister motive of innation every man who should be capable of dividual aggrandizement or reward. Yet uttering a disrespectful word against it. To nothing either in his public or private letthe religion of the court, it must be con- ters affords us any tangible proof that he fessed that he was no friend; and to speak was thus actuated. Throughout the whole. the truth it constituted, at the period in from first to last, in the midst of all his question, an anomaly not a little difficult of warmth and rancour, his argument and desolution. To behold a sanctuary self-sur- clamation, his appeal to the public, and his rounded by a most of pollution; a prince notes to his confidential friend, he seems to have been influenced by the stimulus of his confidential advisers men of the most sound and genuine patriotism alone. With abandoned debauchery and profligacy of this he commenced his career, and with this he retired from the field of action, retaining, at least a twelvemonth afterwards, the latest period in which we are able to catch a glimpse of him, the same political sentiments he had professed on his first appeartimes a real option in the appointment of ance before the world, and still ready to renew his efforts the very moment he could author uniformly satirized every violation of perceive they had a chance of being attended with benefit. Under these circumstances. therefore, however difficult it may be to acquit him altogether of personal considerations, it is still more difficult, and must be altogether unjust, ungenerous, and illogical, to suspect his integrity.

It has often been said, from the general knowledge he has evinced of English jurisprudence, that he must have studied the law professionally; and in one of his Private Letters already quoted, he gives his personal opinion upon the mode in which than that he uniformly avowed, namely, a the information of the King against Wooddesire to subserve the best political interests fall was drawn up, in a manner that may of his country, it is impossible to ascertain serve to countenance such an opinion. Yet with precision. It is unquestionably no on other occasions he speaks obviously not common occurrence in history, to behold a from his own professional knowledge, but man thus steadily, and almost incessantly, from a consultation with legal practitioners: for five years, volunteering his services in 'The information,' says he, 'will only be for the cause of the people, amidst abuse and a misdemeanour, and I am advised that no slander from every party, exposed to uni- jury, escebially in these times, will find it, 3 versal resentment, unknown, and not daring. In like 4 manner, although he affirms in his

¹ See especially Letters XIII., XIV., and

² The only hints which can be gathered that

Private Letter, No. 18.

⁴ He speaks in like manner of legal consultation, and the difficulties he laboured under of obtaining legal advice, from the peculiarity of his situhe had any prospect at any time of engaging in ation, in Private Letter 70. And in the same public life, are in Private Letters, No. 27 and letter, he makes the following pointed confession. So 65: but even these are of questionable meaninjure me so much as to suspect I am a lawyer. I had as lief be a Scotchman

elaborate letter to lord Mansfield, 'I well take the turn I expect, you shall know me knew the practice of the court, and by what by my works.' To the latter, 'though I do legal rules it ought to be directed;" yet he not disclaim the idea of some personal views is for ever contemning the intricacies and to future honour and advantage (you would littlenesses of special pleading, and in his not believe me if I did), yet I can truly Preface declares unequivocally, 'I am no affirm, that neither are they little in themlawyer by profession, nor do I pretend to be selves, nor can they, by any possible conjectmore deeply read than every English gen- ure, be collected from my writings.'8 tleman should be in the laws of his country. If therefore the principles I maintain are style of his compositions, some have pretruly constitutional, I shall not think myself tended to prove that he must necessarily answered, though I should be convicted of have been of Irish descent or Irish education. a mistake in terms, or of misapplying the from the peculiarity of his idioms; while, to language of the law.'2

That he was of some rank and consequence seems generally to have been admitted by his opponents, and must indeed investigation, that he could not have been a necessarily follow, as has been already casu- native either of Scotland or Ireland, nor ally hinted at, from the facility with which have studied in any university of either of he acquired political information, and a those countries. The fact is, that there are knowledge of ministerial intrigues. In one a few phraseologies in his letters peculiar to place he expressly affirms that his 'rank and himself; such as occur in the compositions fortune place him above a common bribe;'3 in another, 'I should have hoped that even genius, but which are neither indicative of my name might carry some authority with it.'4 On one occasion he intimates an intention of composing a regular history of observations,' says he, 'general as they are, might easily be extended into a faithful history of your Grace's administration, and perhaps may be the employment of a future hour; '5 and on another, that of Lord Townshend's, 'the history of this ridiculous administration shall not be lost to the public.'6 And on two occasions, and on two occasions only, he appears to hint at some prospect, though a slender one, of taking a part in Wilkes: to the former he says, 'if things ed, and irresistible in their application."

Of those who have critically analyzed the show how little dependence is to be placed upon any such observations, others have equally pretended to prove, from a similar of all original writers of great force and any particular race, nor referable to any provincial dialect.

The distinguishing features of his style the duke of Grafton's administration. 'These are ardour, spirit, perspicuity, classical correctness, sententious, epigrammatic compression: his characteristic ornaments.keen. indignant invective, audacious interrogation. shrewd, severe, antithetic retort, proud, presumptuous disdain of the powers of his adversary, pointed and appropriate allusions that can never be mistaken, but are often overcharged, and at times perhaps totally unfounded, though derived from popular rumour, similies introduced, not for the purthe government of the country. They occur pose of decoration, but of illustration and in his Private Letters to Woodfall and energy brilliant, burning, admirably select-

Letter LXVIII. 2 Pref., p. 115. 3 Miscellaneous Letters, No. LIV. 4 Letter III. 6 Page 217, note. 17. 8 Id., No. 65. 5 Letter XI. 7 Private Letter, No. 17.

The following character of his style and talents is the production of a pen contempo-raneous but hostile to him. It occurs in a letter in the Public Advertiser subscribed Alciphron, and dated August 22, 1771. The writer had well studied him.

^{&#}x27;The admiration that is so lavishly bestowed upon this writer, affords one of the clearest proofs, perhaps, that can be found, how much more easily men are swayed by the imagination, than by the judgment; and that a fertile invention, glittering language, and sounding periods, act with far greater force upon the mind, than the simple deductions of sober reasoning, or the calm evidence of facts. For the talents of Junius never appeared in demonstration. 'Rapid, violent, and impetuous, he afterns

In his similes, however, he is once or twice upon them than attempted to conceal his did not revise.

occasionally imperfect (sometimes hurried | every work

too recondite, and in his grammatical con-labour. In recommending to Woodfall struction still more frequently incorrect, to introduce into his purposed edition Yet the latter should in most instances various letters of his own writing under perhaps, if not the whole, be rather attri- other signatures, he adds. 'If you adontbuted to the difficulty of revising the press, this plan, I shall point out those which I and the peculiar circumstances under which would recommend; for you know, I do his work was printed and published, than to not, nor indeed have I time to, give equal any inaccuracy or classical misconception care to them all.-As to JUNIUS, I must of his own. As to the surreptitious copies wait for fresh matter, as this is a character of his letters, he frequently complains of which must be kept up with credit.'3 The their numerous errors, 'indeed,' says he, private note accompanying his first letter 'they are innumerable;' and though the to lord Mansfield commences thus, 'The genuine edition labours under very con- inclosed, though begun within these few siderably fewer, and on several occasions days, has been greatly laboured. It is very received his approbation on the score of ac- correctly copied, and I beg that you will curacy, yet it would be too much to assert take care that it be literally printed as it that it is altogether free from errors. In truth stands, 4 The note accompanying his last this was not to be expected, for it is not and most celebrated letter observes as folknown that a single proof-sheet (excepting lows: 'At last I have concluded my great those containing the first two letters) was work, and I assure you with no small ever sent to him. 'You must correct the labour.'s On sending the additional papers press yourself,' says he in one of his letters for the genuine edition he asserts. 'I have to Woodfall: 'but I should be glad to see no view but to serve you, and consequently corrected proofs of the two first sheets.' have only to desire that the Dedication and The Dedication and Preface he certainly Preface may be correct. Look to it :- if you take it upon yourself. I will not forgive Yet if the grammatical construction be your suffering it to be spoiled. I weigh cerr alteration, in my over by the author, and sometimes mistaken ever at least, i. : 'emish.' In like manner by the printer), the general plan and outline, in his letter to Mr Horne, he interrogates the train of argument, the bold and fiery birn, 'What public question have I deimages, the spirited invective that pervade clined, what villain have I spared? Is there the whole, appear to have been always no labour in the composition of these selected with the utmost care and attention. *letters f* '7 In effect no excellence of any Such finished forms of composition bear in kind is to be attained without labour; and themselves the most evident marks of ela- the degree of excellence that characterizes borate forecast and revisal, and the author the style of these addresses, intrinsically rather boasted of the pains he had bestowed demonstrates the exercise of a labour un-

without reason, and decides without proof; as if scarcely know why, or even to what it is yielded.' he feared that the slow methods of induction and argument would interrupt him in his progress, i and throw obstacles in the way of his career. But though he advances with the largest strides, his steps are measured. His expressions are selected with the most anxious care, and his periods ter-ble's text; though many of them still remain un-minated in harmonious cadence. Thus he capti-touched. The letters in this and the former vates by his confidence, by the turn of his sen-edition have been carefully collated with the tences, and by the force of his words. His readers are persuaded because they are agitated, and convinced because they are pleased. Their as
scrit, therefore, is never withheld; though they

5 Id., No. 40.

6 Id., No. 46.

7 Letter

Private Letter, No. 5.

Private Letter, No. 7. Id., No. 24. 5 Id., No. 40. Id., No. 46 Letter LIV.

² Private Letter, No. 40. The truth is that the genuine edition was reprinted from Wheble's: the author correcting a few, and Woodfall a few more of the blunders which had crept into Whe-Public Advertisers, and a numerous list of other

conceited.

May 30, 1760; and one of his best and every great and good qualification.'5 most truly valuable, that to the printer of common cause.

so numerous, though seldom unnecessarily which the worst examples cease to be conintroduced, as to render it difficult to know tagious.'6 The change which is perpetually The following are ably managed, and re- it progressively a point of utmost activity; all things, let me guard my countrymen correct : vitriol cannot, properly speaking,

Letter LVI ² Letter XLII. 2 Letter LIX. 4 Letter LXVII.

sparing and unremitted. Mr Horne, in his of a tilling or moderate compensation for reply, attempts to ridicule this acknowledge extraordinary and essential injuries. Conment: 'I compassionate,' says he, 'your cessions, such as these, are of little moment labour in the composition of your letters, to the sum of things; unless it be to prove, and will communicate to you the secret of that the worst of men are sensible of the inmy fluency.-Truth needs no ornament; juries they have done us, and perhaps to and, in my opinion, what she borrows of demonstrate to us the imminent danger of the pencil is deformity.' Yet no man ever our situation. In the shipwreck of the state, bestowed more pains upon his compositions trifles float and are preserved; while every than Mr Horne has done; nor needed he thing solid and valuable sinks to the bottom, to have been more ashamed of the confes- and is lost for ever.' 3 Once more: 'The sion than his adversary. To have made it very sun-shine you live in, is a prelude to openly would have been honest to himself, your dissolution. When you are ripe, you useful to the young, and salutary to the shall be plucked.' 4 The commencement of his letter to lord Camden shall furnish His most elaborate letters are that to the another instance: 'I turn with pleasure. King, and that to lord Mansfield upon the from that barren waste, in which no salutary law of bail: one of his most sarcastic is plant takes root, no verdure quickens, to a that to the duke of Grafton, of the date of character fertile, as I willingly believe, in

In a few instances his metaphors are the Public Advertiser, dated Oct. 5, 1771, rather too far-fetched or recondite: 'Yet upon the best means of uniting the jarring for the benefit of the succeeding age, I sectaries of the popular party into one could wish that your retreat might be deferred, until your morals shall be happily His metaphors are peculiarly brilliant, and ripened to that maturity of corruption, at where to fix in selecting a few examples, taking place in the matter of infection gives quire no explanation. 'The ministry, it after which period, by the operation of the seems, are labouring to draw a line of dis- same continued change, it becomes protinction between the honour of the crown gressively less active, till at length it ceases and the rights of the people. This new to possess any effect whatever. The paralidea has yet been only started in discourse, lel is correctly drawn, but it cannot be for, in effect, both objects have been equally followed by every one. In the same letter sacrificed. I neither understand the dis- we have another example: 'His views and tinction, nor what use the ministry propose situation required a creature void of all to make of it. The king's honour is that these properties; and he was forced to go of his people. Their real honour and real through every division, resolution, compointerest are the same. I am not contend- sition, and refinement of political chemistry, ing for a vain punctilio.-Private credit is before he happily arrived at the caput morwealth; public honour is security.—The tuum of vitriol in your Grace. Flat and feather that adorns the royal bird, supports insipid in your retired state, but brought its flight. Strip him of his plumage and into action, you become vitriol again.'7 you fix him to the earth." 2 Again: 'Above This figure is too scientific, and not quite against the meanness and folly of accepting be said to be, in any instance, a caput

⁵ Letter LXIX. 6 Letter XV. 7 Letter XV.

mortuum. He seems, however, to have host of persons, whose friends have claimed been unjustly charged with an incongruity for them so distinguished an honour. of metaphor in his repartee upon the foldiction.' 3

are composed.

These few desultory and imperfect hints sultory and imperfect as they are, he still profession. hopes that they may not be utterly destitute both of interest and utility. Although they to enable us to reject the pretensions of a

From the observations contained in this lowing observation of sir W. Draper, 'You, essay it should seem to follow unquestionindeed, are a tyrant of another sort, and ably that the author of the Letters of upon your political bed of torture can ex- JUNIUS was an Englishman of highly culticruciate any subject, from a first minister vated education, deeply versed in the down to such a grub or butterfly as my-language, the laws, the constitution, and self.' To this remark his reply was as history of his native country; that he was follows: 'If sir W. Draper's bed be a bed a man of easy if not of affluent circumof torture, he has made it for himself. I stances, of unsulfied honour and generosity, shall never interrupt his repose.' We need who had it equally in his heart and in his not ramble so far as to vindicate the present power to contribute to the necessities of use of this last word by referring to its Latin other persons, and especially of those who origin: he himself has justly noticed under were exposed to troubles of any kind on the signature of Philo-Junius, that those his own account : that he was in habits of who pretend to espy any absurdity either confidential intercourse, if not with different in the idea or expression, 'cannot dis- members of the cabinet, with politicians tinguish between a sarcasm and a contra- who were most intimately familiar with the court, and entrusted with all its secrets: that To pursue this critique further would be he had attained an age which would allow to disparage the judgment of the reader. him, without vanity, to boast of an ample Upon the whole these letters, whether con-knowledge and experience of the world: sidered as classical and correct composi- that during the years 1767, 1768, 1769, tions, or as addresses of popular and im- 1770, 1771, and part of 1772, he resided pressive eloquence, are well entitled to the almost constantly in London or its vicinity. distinction they have accoured; and quoted devoting a very large portion of his time to as they have been, with admiration, in the political concerns, and publishing his polisenate by such nice judges and accomplish-tical lucubrations, under different signaed scholars as Mr Burke and lord Eldon, tures, in the Public Advertiser: that in his culogized by Dr Johnson, and admitted by natural temper he was quick, irritable, and the author of the Pursuits of Literature to impetuous : subject to political prejudices the same rank among English classics as and strong personal animosities; but pos-Livy or Tacitus among Roman, there can sessed of a high independent spirit; honestly be no doubt that they will live commen- attached to the principles of the constitusurately with the language in which they tion, and fearless and indefatigable in maintaining them; that he was strict in his moral conduct, and in his attention to pulare the whole that the writer of this essay the decorum; an avowed member of the has been able to collect concerning the established church, and, though acquainted author of the Letters of Junius. Yet de- with English judicature, not a lawyer by

What other characteristies he may have possessed we know not; but these are suffido not undertake positively to ascertain who client; and the claimant who cannot prothe author was; they offer a fair test to duce them conjointly is in vain brought forpoint out negatively who he was not; and ward as the author of the Letters of Junitys.

> The persons to whom this he nour has at different times, and on different grounds, been attributed are the following: Charles

Letter XXVI * Letter XXVII. 3 Letter XXIX.

Loyd, a clerk of the Treasury, and after- courage enough for such an undertaking.2 Sackville.

and which, together with the rest of our one letter under the signature of Zeno, adauthor's private letters to the Printer of the dressed 'to JUNIUS, alias Edmund, the the proprietor of this edition, and bears signature of Pliny Junior, a third under in the preceding year.

1772, et passim.

Hamilton, from his having ouce made a brilliant speech in the Lower House of Great Britain, and ever afterwards remaining silent, was called to the Public Advertise of November 30, 1771,
addressed to William Junius Single-speach, ary Logic.

Esq. The air of Dublin however should seem,
3 See Note to Letter LXL, p. 312.

wards a deputy teller of the Exchequer; and Burke could not have written in the John Roberts, also a clerk in the Treasury style of JUNIUS, which was precisely the at the commencement of his political life, reverse of his own, nor could he have conbut afterwards private secretary to Mr sented to disparage his own talents in the Pelham when successively chancellor of the manner in which JUNIUS has disparaged exchequer, member of parliament for Har- them in his letter to the Printer of the Pubwich, and commissioner of the board of lie Advertiser, dated October 5, 1771; intrade: Samuel Dyer, a man of consider- dependently of which, both of them solemnly able learning, and a friend of Mr Burke denied that they were the authors of these and of Dr Johnson; William Gerard Ham- letters, Hamilton to Mr Courtney in his last ilton, another friend and patron of Mr illness, as that gentleman has personally Burke; Edmund Burke himself; Dr But- informed the editor; and Burke expressly ler, late bishop of Hereford; the Rev. and satisfactorily to sir William Draper. Philip Rosenhagen; major-general Charles who purposely interrogated him upon the Lee. well known for his activity during the subject; the truth of which denial is, more-American war; John Wilkes; Hugh Ma- over, corroborated by the testimony of the cauley Boyd; John Dunning, lord Ash- late Mr Woodfall, who repeatedly declared burton; Henry Flood; and lord George that neither of them was the writer of these compositions. Why Burke was so early Of the first three of these reported and generally suspected of having written authors of the Letters of Junius, it will be them it is not easy to say; but that he was sufficient to observe, without entering into so suspected is obvious not only from the any other fact whatever, that Lloyd was on opinion at first entertained by sir William his death-bed at the date of the last of Draper, but from various public accusations JUNIUS's private letters; an essay, which conveyed in different newspapers and has sufficient proof of having been written pamphlets of the day; the Public Adverin the possession of full health and spirits; tiser in the month of October containing Public Advertiser, is in the possession of Jesuit of St Omers; 3 another under the date January 19th, 1773. While us to that of Querist, a fourth under that of Roberts and Dyer, they had both been Oxoniensis, and a fifth under that of dead for many months anterior to this Screvola, together with many others to the period: Lloyd died, after a lingering ill- same effect: and, as has already been ness, January 22nd, 1773; Roberts, July hinted at, an anonymous collector of many 13th, and Dyer on September 15th, both of the letters of JUNIUS, prefixing to his own edition certain anecdotes of Mr Burke, Of the two next reputed authors, which he confidently denominated 'Anec-Hamilton had neither energy nor personal dotes of Junius,' thus purposely, but fal-

x Anonymously accused of having written according to Mr Malone's account of him, to have these letters in the Public Advertiser, March 21, been more favourable to his rhetorical powers than that of Westminster: for this writer tells us that Mr Hamilton made not less than five speeches in the Irish Parliament in the single Session of 1761-2. Parliamentary Logic, Pref. p. xxii. Lord Orford, indeed, contrary Single-speech Hamilton. In allusion to this fact, Pref. p. xxii. Lord Orford, indeed, contrary and that he was the real Junius, there is a letter to general rumour, intimates that he was twice a speaker in the British Parliament. Parliament-

laciously, identifying the two characters.1 If however there should be readers so inflexible as still to believe that Mr Burke! was the real writer of the Letters of Junius, and that his denial of the fact to sir William Draper was only wrung from him under the influence of fear, it will be sufficient to satisfy even such readers by showing that a variety of the most important points. ingham, and continued so during the whole of that nobleman's life: JUNIUS, on the contrary, was as decided a friend to Mr George Grenville. Each was an antagonist to the other upon the great subject of the American Stamp Act. | JUNIUS was a warm and powerful advocate for triennial parliaments: Burke an inveterate enemy to them. To which the editor may be allowed to add, that while Mr Burke in correcting his manuscripts for the press, and revising

In addition to the above proofs that Burke and Junius were not the same person, the editor might refer to the prosecution which Mr Burke instituted against Mr Woodfall, the printer of the Public Advertiser, and conducted with the utappeared in this journal in the course of 1783. Considerable interest was made with Mr Burke to induce him to drop this prosecution in different stages of its progress, but he was inexotable. The cause was tried at Guidhall, July 15, 1784, and a verdict of a hundred pounds damages was obtained against the printer; the whole of which was paid to the prosecutor. It is morally impossible that Junius could have acted in this manner: every anecdote in the preceding sketch of his public life forbids the belief that he could,

them in their passage through it, is notori-

ous for the numerous alterations he was

Neither is it to be conceived, without greatly disparaging Mr Burke's memory, that he could have written the letter to Garrick which JUNIUS wrote (see No. 41); nor have spoken in the terms in which JUNIUS has spoken of Channer, while he professed for both a warm and unre

by Mr George Grenville, was immediately auswered by Mr Burke in a tract entitled 'Observa- tions on a late publication, entituled, The Present State of the Nation, -in which the political opinions of Mr Grenville, and consequently of Junius, who, as we have already observed, was the general advocate of Mr Geo. Grenville, are 1809.

perpetually making, the revised copy with which the late Mr Woodfall was furnished by Junius for such part of the gename edition of his Letters, as he re-exampled, contained very few amendments of any kind.

The following extracts from Mr Durke's celebrated speech on American taxation, delivered April 19, 1774, will put the reader the system of politics of the one was in into possession of that gentleman's argudirect opposition to that of the other upon ments upon each of the above public questions, and, compared with the short sub-Burke was a decided partisan of lord Rock- joined extracts from JUNIUS, will justify the contrast which the editor has thus ventured to offer. It will also present the reader with a brilliant specimen of the eloquence of both characters.

Mr Burke observes, in the course of this celebrated speech," that ' In the year 1763, being in a very private station, far enough from any line of business, and not having the honour of a seat in this House, it was my fortune, unknowing and unknown to the then ministry, by the intervention of a common friend, to become connected with a very noble person, and at the head of the

consured with a vehemence neculiar to Mr Burke. and altogether suturient, were there no other proof, to demonstrate that Borke and January could not be the same per on. The reader may take the following extractions specimens !-- ! This most acrimony for a paper deemed libellous that space is coiled. The present State of the Nation, It may be considered as a sort of digest of the avound maxims of a certain points of a hool, the effects of whose doctrines and practices this country will feel long and severely. A diversity of opinion upon almost every principle of polities had indeed drawn a strong line of separation between them and some others.

aims directly or obliquely in every page, is to persuade the public of three or four of the most difficult points in the world—that all the advantages of the late war were on the part of the Bourbon alliance; that the peace of Paris perfeetly consulted the dignity and interest of this country; and that the American Stamp Act was a master-piece of policy and finance; that the only good minister this nation has enjoyed since his Majesty's accession, is the earl of Hute; and the only good managers of revenue we have seen are lord Despenser and Mr George Grenville; and under the description of men of virtue and ability, he holds them out to us as the only persons fit to put our affairs in order. - Hurke's Works, vol. ii. 8vo cdit., pages 11, 12, and 15. 2 See 8vo edit. of his Works, vol. ii. p. 189, et

Treasury department [lord Rockingham]. man [general Conway] who made the mo-It was indeed in a situation of little rank tion for the repeal; in that crisis, when the and no consequence, suitable to the medi- whole trading interest of this empire, cramocrity of my talents and pretensions. But med into your lobbies, with a trembling and a situation near enough to enable me to see, as well as others, what was going on; and winter's return of light, their fate from your I did see in that noble person such sound resolutions. When, at length, you had deprinciples, such an enlargement of mind, such clear and sagacious sense, and such thrown open, showed them the figure of unshaken fortitude, as have bound me, as their deliverer in the well-earned triumph well as others much better than me, by an of his important victory, from the whole of inviolable attachment to him from that time that grave multitude there arose an inforward.' 1

mittee for six weeks; and at its conclusion long absent father. They clung about him this House, by an independent, noble, spirited, and unexpected majority; by a majority that will redeem all the acts ever done by majorities in parliament; in the teeth of all of all the speculators and augurs of political events, in defiance of the whole embattled legion of veteran pensioners and practised instruments of a court, gave a total repeal to the Stamp Act, and (if it had been so perpire.'2

it, to the honourable gentleman who led us in this House [general Conway]. Far from the duplicity wickedly charged on him, he acted his part with alacrity and resolution. We all felt inspired by the example he gave that phalanx. I declare for one, I knew well enough (it could not be concealed from anybody) the true state of things; but, in my life, I never came with so much man to act in. We had powerful enemies; but we had faithful and determined friends; and a giorious cause. We had a great battle to fight; but we had the means of fighting; not as now, when our arms are tied behind us. We did fight that day and conquer.'3

'I remember, Sir, with a melancholy pleasure, the situation of the hon, gentle-

anxious expectation, waited almost to a termined in their favour, and your doors voluntary burst of gratitude and transport. 'I think the enquiry lasted in the com- They jumped upon him like children on a as captives about their redeemer. England, all America, joined in his applause. Nor did he seem insensible to the best of all earthly rewards, the love and the old mercenary Swiss of state, in despite admiration of his fellow-citizens. "Hope elevated and joy brightened his crest. stood near him; and his face, to use the expression of the Scripture of the first martyr. "his face was as if it had been the face of an angel." I do not know how others mitted) a lasting peace to this whole em- feel; but if I had stood in that situation, I never would have exchanged it for all that 'I will likewise do justice, I ought to do kings in their profusion could bestow. I did hope, that that day's danger and honour would have been a bond to hold us all together for ever. But, alas! that, with other pleasing visions, is long since vanished.

'Sir, this act of supreme magnanimity has us, down even to myself, the weakest in been represented, as if it had been a measure of an administration, that, having no scheme of their own, took a middle line, pilfered a bit from one side and a bit from the other. Sir, they took no middle lines. They difspirits into this House. It was a time for a fired fundamentally from the schemes of both parties: but they preserved the objects of both. They preserved the authority of Great Britain. They preserved the equity of Great Britain. They made the Declaratory Act; they repealed the Stamp Act. They did both fully; because the Declaratory Act was without qualification, and the repeal of the Stamp Act total."4

'Sir, the agents and distributors of false-

Burke's Works, vol. ii. p. 399. ² Id., p. 403.

⁴ Burke's Works, vol. ii. p. 409.

hoods have, with their usual industry, cir- a noble and generous strain. It was to the former. It is this, that the disturbances arose from the account which had been received in America of the change in the ministry. No longer awed, it seems, with the spirit of the former rulers, they thought themselves a match for what our calumniators chuse to qualify by the name of so feeble a ministry as succeeded: Feeble in one sense these men certainly may be called; for with all their efforts, and thev have made many, they have not been able to resist the distempered vigour and insanc alacrity with which you are rushing to your ruin.' i

'On this business of America I confess I am serious, even to sadness. I have had but one opinion concerning it since I sat, and before I sat in parliament. * * * I honestly and solemnly declare. I have in all seasons adhered to the system of 1766, for no other reason than that I think it laid deep in your truest interests, and that by limiting the exercise, it fixes on the firmest foundations a real, consistent, well-grounded authority in parliament. Until you come back to that system, there will be no peace for England.' 2

'No man can believe, that at this time of day I mean to lean on the venerable memory of a great man whose loss we deplore in common. Our little party differences have been long ago composed; and I have acted more with him, and certainly with more pleasure with him, than ever I acted against him. Undoubtedly Mr Grenville was a first-rate figure in this country. With a masculine understanding, and a stout and resolute heart, he had an application undissipated and unwearied. He took public business not as a duty which he was to fulfil, but as a pleasure he was to enjoy; and he seemed to have no delight out of this House, except in such things as some way related to the business that was to be done within it. If he was ambitious, I will say this for him, his ambition was of of an annual parliament. * * * * *

culated another lie of the same nature with raise himself not by the low pimping politics of a court, but to win his way to power through the laborious gradations of public service: and to secure himself a well-earned rank in parliament, by a thorough know ledge of its constitution, and a perfect practice in all its business. He was bred in a profession. He was bred to the law, which is, in my opinion, one of the first and noblest of human sciences: a science which does more to quicken and invigorate the understanding, than all the other kinds of learning put together; but it is not apt, except in persons very happily born, to open and to liberalize the mind exactly in the same proportion.' 3

> Let the reader compare the opinions contained in the above extracts with the following, taken almost at hazard, from different productions of JUNIUS, and he will have no difficulty in determining that the writer of the one set could not be the writer of the other.

> 'To prove the meaning and intent of the legislature, will require a minute and tedious deduction. To investigate a question of law demands some labour and attention, though very little genius or sagacity. As a practical profession, the study of the law requires but a moderate portion of abilities, The learning of a pleader is usually upon a level with his integrity. The indiscriminate defence of right and wrong contracts the understanding, while it corrupts the heart. Subtlety is soon mistaken for wisdom, and impunity for virtue. If there be any instances upon record, as some there are undoubtedly, of genius and morality united in a lawyer, they are distinguished by their singularity, and operate as exceptions.' 4

> 'Whenever the question shall be seriously agitated, I will endeavour (and if I live, will assuredly attempt it.) to convince the English nation, by arguments to my understanding unanswerable, that they ought to insist upon a triennial, and banish the idea

² Burke's Works, vol. ii. p. 414. ² Id., p. 439.

I am convinced that, if shortening the dur- they had but a little time to live in office. keeping the representative under the rod of confirmed by experience. * * * * the constituent) be not made the basis of checks or improvements signify nothing.' 1

kingdom for four years.' 2

renew a discussion of the merits of the Stamp without a certainty of ruin, nor maintain it people who were most clamorous against it, empire. * * * * * Mr Coneither never understood, or wilfully misre- way since last December has, in the face of We see the prophecy verified in every parmistaken in any one instance, it was, perhaps, that he did not expect his predictions to be fulfilled so soon as they have been.'3

'It is not many months since you gave me an opportunity of demonstrating to the nation, as far as rational inference and probability could extend, that the hopes which some men seemed to entertain, or to profess at least, with regard to America, were without a shadow of foundation. * * * * But whatever were their views or expectations, whether it was the mere enmity of party, or the real persuasion that

> Letter LXIX. 3 Miscellar cous Letters, No. X.

ation of parliaments (which in effect is every circumstance that I then foretold is

We find ourselves at last reduced to our new parliamentary jurisprudence, other the dreadful alternative of either making war upon our colonies, or of suffering them 'When the Septennial Act passed, the to erect themselves into independent states. legislature did what, apparently and pal- It is not that I hesitate now upon the choice pably, they had no power to do; but they we are to make. Every thing must be did more than people in general were aware hazarded. But what infamy, what punishof: they, in effect, disfranchised the whole ment do those men deserve, whose folly or whose treachery hath reduced us to this 'It would be to no purpose at present to state, in which we cannot give up the cause Act, though I am convinced that even the without such a struggle as must shake the presented every part of it. But it is truly the House of Commons, defended the reastonishing that a great number of people sistance of the colonies upon what he called should have so little foreseen the inevitable revolution principles. * * * * * * consequence of repealing it. * * * * If we look for their motives, we shall find * * * * * There was indeed one man them such as weak and interested men [G. Grenville] who wisely foresaw every cir- usually act upon. They were weak enough cumstance which has since happened, and to hope that the crisis of Great Britain and who, with a patriot's spirit, opposed him- America would be reserved for their sucself to the torrent. He told us, that, if we cessors in office, and they were determined thought the loss of outstanding debts, and to hazard even the ruin of their country, of our American trade, a mischief of the rather than furnish the man [G. Grenville] first magnitude, such an injudicious com- whom they feared and hated, with the mepliance with the terms dictated by the lancholy triumph of having truly foretold colonies, was the way to make it sure and the consequences of their own misconduct. unavoidable. It was ne moriare, mori. But this, such as it is, the triumph of a heart that bleeds at every vein, they cannot ticular, and if this great and good man was deprive him of. They dreaded the acknowledgment of his superiority over them, and the loss of their own authority and credit, more than the rebellion of near half the empire against the supreme legislature. * * * * * It is impossible to conceal from ourselves, that we are at this moment on the brink of a dreadful precipice; the question is whether we shall submit to be guided by the hand which hath driven us to it, or whether we shall follow the patriot voice [G. Grenville's] which has not ceased to warn us of our dangers, and which would still declare the way to safety and to honour.' 4

⁴ Miscellaneous Letters, No. XXIX.

'Whether it be matter of honour or reproach, it is at least a singular circumstance, that whoever is hardy enough to maintain tion, is immediately pointed out to the publie for Mr Grenville's friend. * * * * It is true he professes doctrines which would be treason in America. but in England at least he has the laws on his side, and if it be a crime to support the supremacy of the British legislature, the the pretensions of the colonies had not been abetted by something worse than a faction here, the Stamp Act would have executed itself. Every clause of it was so full and explicit that it wanted no further instruction; nor was it of that nature that required a military hand to carry it into execution. For the truth of this I am ready to appeal even to the colonies themselves. * * * * * * * Your correspondent [who had answered Miscell, Lett. XXIX.] confesses that Mr Grenville is still respectable : yet he warns the friends of that gentleman not to provoke him, lest he should tell them what they may not like to hear. These are but words. He means as little when he threatens as when he condescends to applaud. Let us meet upon the fair ground of truth, and if he finds one vulnerable part in Mr Grenville's character, let

him fix his poisoned arrow there.' x 'If there be any thing improper in this address [a letter addressed to G. Grenville]. the singularity of your present situation will, I hope, excuse it. Your conduct attracts the attention, because it is highly interesting to the welfare of the public, and a private man who only expresses what thousands think, cannot well be accused of flattery or party friend, by men who expect no applause administration or any opposition with ve-

but from their dependents. But you, Sir. have the testimony of your enemies in your favour. After years of opposition, we see . the cause of Great Britain against subjects them revert to those very measures with who disown her authority, or to raise his violence, with hazard and disgrace, which voice in defence of the laws and constitution the first instance might have been conducted with ease, with dignity and moder-

'While parliament preserves its constitutional authority, you will preserve yours. As long as there is a real representation of the people, you will be heard in that great assembly with attention, deference, and Sovereign, the Lords and Commons, are as respect; and if, fatally for England, the guilty as he is. * * * * * If designs of the present ministry should at last succeed, you will have the consolation to reflect that your voice was heard, until the voice of truth and reason was drowned in the din of arms; and that your influence in parliament was irresistible, until every question was decided by the sword.' *

How far the same principles were supported by the same writer under the signature of Junius, the reader will find in Letters I, and LIX., and it is not necessary to copy farther.

Mr Malone, in his preface to a well-known work of Mr Hamilton, entitled Parliamentary Logic, 3 offers a variety of remarks in disproof that this gentleman was the writer of the letters, several of which are possessed of sufficient force, though few persons will perhaps agree with him in believing that if Flamilton had written them, he would have written them better. The following are his chief arguments:

'Now (not to insist on his own solemn asseveration near the time of his death, that he was not the author of Junius) 4 Mr Hamilton was so far from being an ardent party man, that during the long period above mentioned [from Jan. 1769 to Jan. 1772] he never closely connected himself with any party. * * * Notwithstanding his detraction. * * * * * * This extreme love of political discussion, he never, letter, I doubt not, will be attributed to some it is believed, was heard to speak of any

Miscellaneous Letters, No. XXXI.
Id., No. LIII.
P. xxx. et seq.

² Id., No. LIII. 4 'It has been said that he at the same time tion." MALONE.

declared that he know who was the author: but unquestionably he never made any such declara-

hemence either of censure or of praise; a ilton filled the office of chancellor of the * * * * n. I could have written better than vote for it.' papers than those of JUNIUS:" and so the himself distinguished for his rhetorical powers, and a very competent judge, as well as many other persons, thought.

'It may be added, that his style of composition was entirely different from that of this writer. * * * * That he had none of that minute commissarial knowledge of petty military matters, which is displayed in some of the earlier papers of TUNIUS.

'And finally it may be observed, that the figures and allusions of JUNIUS are often of so different a race from those which our author [Hamilton] would have used, that these papers; and bantering him on the printed. subject, taxed him with that passage in in the political zodiac, from the SCORPION, hopes of a VIRGIN," &c., as if this imagery father to the present lord Stawell. to good taste in composition for ever!"'

Mr Malone further observes, that Ham-

character so opposite to the fervent and exchequerin Ireland, from September, 1763, sometimes coarse acrimony of Junius, that to April, 1784, during the very period in this consideration alone is sufficient to which all the letters of JUNIUS appeared settle the point, as far as relates to our before the public; and it will not very author, for ever. * * * * On the question readily be credited by any one that this is -who was the author?-he was as free to likely to have been the exact quarter from talk as any other person, and often did ex- which the writer of the letters in question presshis opinion concerning it to the writer of fulminated his severe criminations against this short memoir; an opinion nearly coin-government. The subject moreover of parciding with that of those persons who appear liamentary reform, for which JUNIUS was so to have had the best means of information zealous an advocate, Mr Malone expressly on the subject. In a conversation on this tells us was considered by Hamilton to be much agitated point, he once said to an in- 'of so dangerous a tendency, that he once timate friend, in a tone between seriousness said to a friend now living, that he would and pleasantry,-"You know, H * * sooner suffer his right hand to be cut off,

The only reason indeed that appears for gentleman whom he addressed, who was these letters having ever been attributed to Hamilton is, that on a certain morning he told the duke of Richmond, as has been already hinted at, I the substance of a letter of Junius which he pretended to have just read in the Public Advertiser; but which, on consulting the Public Advertiser, was not found to appear there, an apology instead of it being offered for its postponement till the next day, when the letter thus previously adverted to by Hamilton did actually make its appearance. That Hamilton, therefore, had a knowledge of the existence and purport of this letter is unquestionable; but without conceiving him he never spoke of some of them without the the author of it, it is easy to account for the strongest disapprobation; and particularly fact, by supposing him (as we have supposed when a friend, for the purpose of drawing already) to have had it read to him by his him out, affected to think him the writer of friend Woodfall, antecedently to its being

Another character that has been started which a nobleman, then in a high office, is as a claimant to the letters of JUNIUS, is the said to have "travelled through every sign late Dr Butler, bishop of Hereford, formerly secretary to the right hon. Bilson in which he stung lord Chatham, to the Legge, chancellor of the exchequer, and were much in his style,-Mr Hamilton Butler was a man of some talents, and was with great vehemence exclaimed, "had I occasionally a political writer, and felt no written such a sentence as that, I should small disgust and mortification upon his have thought I had forfeited all pretensions patron's dismissal from office. But he

¹ See ante, p. 4, note.

never discovered those talents that could in any respect put him upon an equality with Tunius. He was moreover a man of mild disposition, and in no respect celebrated for political courage. To which general remarks, in contravention of this gentleman's claim, the editor begs leave to subjoin the following extract of a letter upon the subject, addressed by a friend of Dr Butler's, and who himself took an active part in the politics of the times, to a high official character of the present day, and which he has been allowed the liberty of copying :--

'Mr Wilkes showed me the letters he received privately from JUNIUS: parts of one of these were printed in the public papers at the request of the Bill of Rights. The autograph was remarkable-it was firm and precise, and did not appear to me at all disguised. Mr Wilkes had been intimate with bishop Butler when quartered as colonel of the militia at Winchester: and from some very curious concurrent circumstances, he had strong reasons for considering that the bishop was the author. and I had some reasons for conjectuing the same. Yet I must confess, that if these suspicions were stronger and more confirmed, yet I think I should require more substantial proofs; and my reasons are, that from all I was ever able to learn of the bishop's personal character, he was incapable of discovering, or feeling, those rancorous sentiments, so unbecoming his character as a Christian, and his station as a prelate, expressed towards the duke of Grafton, lord North, sir William Draper, and others-more especially the king. Nor do I think that his being the sole depositary of his own secret, which, as JUNIUS says, would be, and I fancy was, buried in everlasting oblivion when he was entombed. would have encouraged him to have used such opprobrious language.'

The pretensions of the Rev. Philip Rosenhagen, though adverted to in a preceding edition of these letters, are hardly worth to the 8th regiment of foot; and is said to from the spirit, style, patriotism, and poli-

have endeavoured to impose upon lord North with a story of his having been the author of the letters in order to induce his Lordship to settle a pension upon him. It is sufficient to observe, that Mr Rosenhagen, who was a school-fellow of Mr II. S. Woodfall, continued on terms of acquaintance with him in subsequent life; and occasionally wrote for the Public Advertiser: but was repeatedly declared by Mr Woodfall, who must have been a competent evidence as to the fact, not to be the author of Junius's Letters. A private letter of Rosenhagen's to Mr Woodfall is still in the possession of his son, and nothing can be more different from each other than this autograph and that of JUNIUS.

It has been said in an American periodical work entitled 'The Wilmington Mirror,' that general Lee in confidence communicated to a friend the important secret that he was the author of these celebrated letters; but, whether Lee ever made such a communication or not, nothing is more palpable than that he did not write themsince it is a notorious fact, that during the whole, or nearly the whole, of the period in which they successively appeared, this officer was on the continent of Europe. travelling from place to place, and occupying the whole of his time in very different pursuits.

The friend to whom this communication is said to have been made, is a Mr T. Rodney, who declares as follows in a communication inserted in the above-mentioned American periodical work.

'In the fall of 1773, not long after general Lee had arrived in America, I had the pleasure of spending an afternoon in his company, when there was no other person present. Our conversation chiefly turned on politics, and was mutually free and open. Among other things, the Letters of luxtus were mentioned, and general Lee asked me, who was conjectured to be the author of these letters. I replied, our conjectures here generally followed those started in noticing. He was at one time chaplain England; but for myself, I concluded,

acia with him.

'Feeling, in some degree, surprised at this unexpected declaration, after pausing you certainly know what you have affirmed. it can no longer remain solely with him; for, certainly, no one could know what you have affirmed, but the author himself!"

of his going over to the Continent, and ab- author of these letters. senting himself from England most of the necessary, lest, by some accident, the author should become known, or at least suspected, which might have been his ruin, had he been known to the court of London, &c.1

The account from which we have made this extract was reprinted in the St James's Chronicle for April 16, 1803, which the editor prefaces by observing, 'Of Mr Rodney, or of the degree of credit that may 1767, May 1. Mr Coleman, from Warreasonably be attached to his declaration, we know nothing; but the subject is so curious, that we think our readers will not be averse from having their attention once more drawn to it.'

The public do not in any degree appear work.

tical information which they displayed, to have been influenced either Dy general that lord Chatham was the author; and yet Lee's pretended assertion, or Mr Rodney's there were some sentiments there that indipositive declaration: and this claim had cated his not being the author. General totally died away like the rest, when in Lee immediately replied, with considerable 1807 it was revived by Dr Girdlestone of animation, affirming, that to his certain Yarmouth, Norfolk, who endeavoured to knowledge, lord Chatham was not the establish general Lee's pretensions by a author; neither did he know who the comparison of Rodney's statement with Mr author was, any more than I did: that Langworthy's Memoirs of the general's life, there was not a man in the world, no, not in a pamphlet published anonymously, even Woodfall, the publisher, that knew under the title of 'Reasons for rejecting who the author was : that the secret rested the presumptive evidence of Mr Almon, that solely with himself, and for ever would re- wir Hugh Boyd was the writer of JUNIUS. with passages selected to prove the real uthor of the Letters of JUNIUS.' And in consequence of this revival of Mr Lee's a little, I replied: "No, general Lee, if claim, the editor feels himself called upon to examine its foundation somewhat more in detail.

The passages selected are in no respect convincing to his mind, and do not appear 'Recollecting himself, he replied: "I to have been so to that of the public. But have unguardedly committed myself, and without entering upon so disputable a quesit would be but folly to deny to you that I tion as that of a superiority of literary taste, am the author; but I must request that it will be sufficient to remark that the great you will not reveal it during my life; for it distance of general Lee from England durnever was, nor never will be, revealed by ing the period in which the letters of JUNIUS me to any other." He then proceeded to were published, together with the different mention several circumstances to verify his line of politics which he pursued, render it being the author; and, among them, that impossible that Lee could have been the

The correspondence of general Lee pretime in which these Letters were first pub-vious to his quitting England for America, lished in London, &c., &c. This he thought in August, 1773, as published by Mr Langworthy in the memoirs of his life, and adverted to in Dr Girdlestone's pamphlet, extend through a period of about thirteen months, from Dec. 1, 1766, to Jan. 19, 1768, and give us the following dates.

> 1766, Dec. 1. To the King of Poland, from London.

> > The Prince of Poland, the same.

Mrs Macauley,1 the same.

The letter was not addressed to Mrs Macauley, but to lady Blake. Edit. of the present 1767, May 4. Louisa C., the same. 4. Lord Thanet, the same.

Aug. 16. King of Poland, Kamineck.

1768, Jan. 19. Sir C. Davers, Diion.

The dates of the letters written by JUNIUS under his occasional signatures are as follows:

1767, April 28. Poplicola.

May 28. Draper's, of May 21.

June 24. Anti-Sejanus, Jun.

Aug. 12.

Correggio. Sept. 16.

letter of October 6.

Grand Council. 22.

31. No signature, in answer to a letter of Oct. 27.

Dec. 5. Y.Z. on the King's speech. on opening the parliament Nov. 24, 1767: the receipt of which will be found acknowledged the 'answers to correspondents,' Nov. 30.

tics.

22. Downright.

written by the author of the former.

the year 1767. Let us see how the account stands for 1769, being the year in which the author first appeared before the public under his favourite signature (with the single exception of Miscellaneous Letter, No. L.II.). It is difficult to ascertain exactly at what places general Lee was residing during this period. Langworthy's Memoirs abound with erroneous dates, which are not ma-The same in answer to a terial however to the present question. letter of Sir William The only serviceable hint that can be collected from them is, that he was rambling somewhere or other abroad, and 'could Aug. 25. A Faithful Monitor, on never stay long in one place: ' to which the the subject of lord editor adds, 'that we can collect nothing Townshend's appoint- material relative to the adventures of his ment to be Lord Lieut. travels, as his memorandum-books only of Ireland, which took mention the names of the towns and cities place the preceding through which he passed. That he was a most rapid and very active traveller is certain, p. 8. The account furnished by Oct, 12. Moderator, in answer to a Rodney confirms this statement, by telling us, 'He then proceeded to mention several circumstances to verify his being the author: and, among them, that of his going over to the Continent, and absenting himself from England most of the time in which these letters were first published in London, &c., &c. This he thought necessary, lest, by some accident, the author should become known, or, at least, suspected, which might by the printer in his have been his ruin, had he been known to usual method among the court of London, &c.'

It is clear, therefore, that during the whole or the greater part of 1760, general 19. No signature, on the sub- Lee was rambling over the continent; and ject of American poli- of course had no possibility of keeping up a very close correspondence with any person at home. Yet the following table of the It is only necessary for the reader to com- Letters of JUNIUS, written either under his pare these two lists of dates, and places; as favourite or occasional signatures, or prifor example, London, and Warsaw, or vately to Mr Woodfall, will show that in the Kamineck, during the two months of May course of this very year, the author mainand August, and to observe the rapidity tained not less than fifty-four communicawith which the letters of Junius were fur- tions with Mr Woodfall: that not a single nished, in answer to the different subjects month passed without one or more acts of discussed, to obtain a full proof that the intercourse: that some of them had not less latter list of letters could not have been than seven, and many of them not less than six, at times directed to events that had These remarks however relate only to occurred only a few days antecedently: that

not more than three weeks apart, that several of them were daily, and the greater humber of them not more than a week from each other.

1769.					
Tanuary	21.	July	8.	October	5
February	7.	_	15.		5 13 17
	21.		17		17
March	3.		19.		19
	3. 18.		21.		20
A pril	7.	-	29.	November	8
	IO.	August		_	12
	12.		1. 6. 8.	_	14
-	20.	-	8.	_	15
	21.	l —	14.	_	15 16
	24.		16.	_	25
	27.	_	22.	_	25
May	6.	September	4.		29
	30.			December	29
June	30. 6.	-	7· 8.	~	12
·	IO.		IO		19
	12.	_	19.		19
	22.	-	25.	-	19 26

forms a diary, could not have been travel- field.'3 ling over the continent during the year 1760 to which it is limited, and consequently that of your arrival at Boston, which have been general Lee, who was travelling over the regularly contradicted the next morning; continent, and who appears to have been but as I now find it certain that you are chiefly in remote northern parts of it, could arrived, I shall not delay a single instant not be Junius.

upon them, that he fled to the United States, took a lead in their armies, and powerfully contributed to their independence. The en-

the two most distant communications were suing extracts taken from his letters contained in Mr Langworthy's Memoirs, give his own opinions in his own words; and they may be compared with those of JUNIUS that follow the preceding extracts from Mr Burke.

> 'You tell me the Americans are the most merciful people on the face of the earth: I think so too; and the strongest instance of it is, that they did not long ago hang up you, and every advocate for the Stamp Act.

> 'As to the rest who form what is called the opposition, they are so odious or contemptible, that the favourite himself is preferable to them; such as GRENVILLE, Bedford, Newcastle, and their associates. Temple is one of the most ridiculous order of coxcombs.'2

'A formidable opposition is expected; but the heads are too odious to the nation in There is but one conclusion that can be general, in my opinion, to carry their point. drawn from a perusal of this table : which Such as Bedford, Sandwich, G. GRENVILLE, is, that the writer of the letters, of which it and, with submission, your friend Mans-

'We have had twenty different accounts addressing myself to you. It is a duty I The editor has observed that it is equally owe to the friendship I have long and sinobvious general Lee could not have been corely professed for you; a friendship to JUNIUS, from the different line of politics which you have the strongest claims from professed by the two characters; and not the first moment of our acquaintance: there merely professed but fought for to his own is no man from whom I have received so outlawry by the former. JUNIUS, it has many testimonies of esteem and affection; been already remarked, was a warm and there is no man whose esteem and affection determined friend to Mr George Grenville; could in my opinion have done me greater a zealous advocate for the Stamp Act, Mr honour. * * * * * * * * I Grenville's most celebrated measure; and a shall not trouble you with my opinion of decided upholder of the power of the British the right of taxing America without her own parliament to legislate for America, in the consent, as I am afraid from what I have same manner as for any county in England. seen of your speeches, that you have already And it was because Mr Lee was an invete- formed your creed on this article; but I rate oppugner of these doctrines, and was will boldly affirm, had this right been determined to fight against them, and even established by a thousand statutes, had against his native country, if she insisted America admitted it from time immemorial,

Memoirs, p. 54, in a letter to W. H. Drayton, a member of congress. ² Ib., p. 294. 3 Ib., p. 297.

it would be the duty of every good English- ance with which they have been urged, to man to exert his utmost to divest parlia- examine them with some degree of detail. ment of this right, as it must inevitably yours, &c., C. Lee.'1

effect might be offered if necessary.

at either the public or the private letters. Wilkes could not have abused himself in the manner he is occasionally abused in the former; nor would he have said in the latter (since there was no necessity for his so saying), 'I have been out of town for three weeks' 2 at a time when he was closely confined in the King's Bench.

Of all the pretenders however to the honour of having written the letters of JUNIUS, Hugh Macaulay Boyd has been brought of all of them there is not one whose claims

Hugh Macaulay Boyd was an Irishman of work the subversion of the whole empire. * a respectable family, who was educated for * * * * * * On these principles, the bar, which he deserted, at an early age, I say, Sir, every good Englishman, ab- for politics, and an unsettled life, that perstracted of all regard for America, must petually involved him in pecuniary disoppose her being taxed by the British partresses; and who is known as the author liament: for my own part I am convinced of 'The Freeholder,' which he wrote at that no argument (not totally abhorrent from Belfast, in the beginning of 1776; 'The the spirit of liberty, and the British con- Whig,' consisting of a series of revolutionstitution) can be produced in support of any papers which he published in the Lowhis right. . , , , , , , , , I don Courant, between November, 1772, have now. Sir, only to cutrent, that what- and March, 1780; and the Indian Obever measure you pursue, whether those server,' a miscellany of periodical essays which your real friends (myself amongst published at Madras in 1793.3 In his pubthe rest) would wish, or unfortunately those lic conversation he was an enthusiastic which our accursed misrulers shall dictate, admirer of the style and principles of you will still believe me to be personally, JUNIUS; and in his political effusions he with the greatest sincerity and affection, perpetually strove to imitate his manner: and, in many instances, copied his sen-It would be waste of time to pursue the tences verbally. On this last account the claim of general Lee any further: though a three advocates for his fame, Mr Almon who multitude of similar proofs to the same has introduced him into his Biographical Anecdotes, Mr Campbell who has pub-Another character to whom these letters lished a life of him, and prefixed it to a have been ascribed is Mr Wilkes: but that new edition of 'Boyd's Works,' and Mr he is not the author of them must be clear George Chalmers, who has entered largely to every one who will merely give a glance into the subject, in his 'Appendix to the Supplemental Apology,' have strenuously contended that Boyd and Junius were the same person; an opinion which, they think, is rendered decisive from the following anecdote, as given in the words of Mr. Chalmers himself.

Boyd was in the habit of frequenting the shop of Almon, who detected him, as the writer of JUNIUS, as early as the autumn of 1769. At a meeting of the booksellers and printers, H. S. Woodfall read a letter forward with the most confidence: yet of JUNIUS, which he had just received, because it contained a passage that related are more easily and completely refuted. It to the business of the meeting. Almon had is nevertheless necessary, from the assur- thereby an opportunity of seeing the hand-

18, 1770.—See further the private correspondence between Junius and Mr Wilkes.

¹ Letter to persuade general Burgoyne to join the Americans. Memoirs, p. 323—330. See Junius's opinion of general Burgoyne, Letter

² Private Letters, No. 11. This letter is dated

³ He is also said by his friends to have written various letters in the Public Advertiser, in the years 1769, 1770, 1771, and afterwards in 1779; the former under a questionable signature, the Nov. 8, 1769. Wilkes entered the King's Bench the former under a questionable signature, the prison April 27, 1768, and was liberated April latter under that of Democrates or Democraticus.

writing of the manuscript, without dis- change were to a flush or a paleness, or any off the imputation, by the obvious remark, that comparison of hand-writing is not decisive evidence, to prove the writer. Add to this testimony, that Boyd was, by nature, confident, and, by habit, a man of the town, a sort of character, who is not apt to blush. From the epoch of this detection, it was man, without a guinea in his pocket.'

Mr Almon's own words in relating this anecdote are as follow: 'The moment I cion that it was Mr Boyd's, whose handacknowledge the truth of the suspicion. they do not, however, positively deny it.' I

precisely where it was at first.

Letter from J. Almon to L. D. Campbell,

Esq , Dec. 10, 1798.

closing his thoughts of the discovery. The other hue, does not appear. Let it be taken next time that Boyd called on him in Pic- for granted, however, that they mean Macadilly, Almon said to him, 'I have seen a caulay Boyd blushed, and consequently part of one of Junius's Letters, in manu- that he exhibited, on the spur of the moscript, which I believe is your hand-writing' ment, a secret sense of shame: yet what Boyd instantly changed colour; and after a had that man to be ashamed of upon a short pause, he said, 'the similitude of detection of this kind, who openly gloried hand-writing is not a conclusive fact,' in the principles of JUNIUS, who had carried [proof]. Now. Almon does not deliver his own avowed sentiments immeasurably these intimations, as mere opinions; but, farther, who was for ever publicly imitating he speaks, like a witness, to facts, which he his style and copying his phrases?-this knows to be true. It is a fact, then, that man, who was 'by nature confident, and Almon taxed Boyd with being the writer of by habit a man of the town, a sort of cha-TUNIUS'S Letters: that Boyd thereupon racter who is not apt to blush,' nothing changed colour; and that he only turned surely could have given him a higher delight than to have been suspected to have been JUNIUS himself: nothing could more agreeably have flattered his vanity. His cheeks glowed with a flush of rapture upon the supposed detection, and he could not even consent to dissipate the fond illusion by telling the whole truth. Shame he the practice of Almon, when he was asked could not feel; and as to the passion of who was the writer of Junius, to say, that | fear, it must not be mentioned for a mohe suspected JUNIUS was a broken gentle ment; fear would have made him turn pale, but not have blushed.

Yet these gentlemen, in the ardour of their pursuit, prove too much for their own saw the hand-writing I had a strong suspi- cause; since we at length find that, after all, there was NO SIMILITUDE of handwriting I knew, having received several writing whatever, or at least none that letters from him concerning books.' And he could answer their purpose. The letter afterwards adds in reference to Boyd's shown by Woodfall, Almon asserted to be reply to him, 'though these words do not in the common hand-writing of Boyd, the hand-writing employed by him in his common and avowed transactions, and that he This reply, that 'the similitude of hand- knew it to be Boyd's on this very account. writing is not a conclusive proof,' is called Now it so happened that Mr Woodfall was by Mr Chalmers an 'obvious remark;' also well acquainted, in consequence of a he might have added that the remark is similar correspondence, with the handjust as general as it is obvious, and conse- writing of Mr Boyd; and Woodfall, whose quently that it admits of no particular de- veracity could not be questioned, and who duction. It neither denies nor affirms, but had far better opportunities of comparing leaves the question, or rather the suspicion, the autographs together, denied that the letters of JUNIUS were written in the hand-But, say these gentlemen, it was preceded writing of Boyd; adding, that Almon, by a change of colour: yet whether this from the casual glance he had obtained, had conjectured erroneously. The difficulty was felt and acknowledged; and the follow-

ing ingenious expedient was devised to get mentary glance, while Woodfall was incadiscretion, and an additional demonstration identified by strangers as a matter of course. that he was the actual writer of these letconceive, that such a man, as Boyd, would hand-writing, if he had not had some design to deceive the world.'

even more self-contradiction than ever. Iuwriting, but in the open and avowed handwriting of Boyd, with which Almon was well acquainted, and which was made use of by Boyd in his common transactions and correspondence. Upon their own reasoning, therefore. Boyd could not have been the author of the letters of JUNIUS.

But we are told, in reply to this second difficulty, that the disguised hand-writing of Boyd, though different from his common hand-writing, was nevertheless not so different, but that those who were familiar with the latter could easily trace its origin, and identify it with the former: 'I have already proved,' says Mr Campbell, 'that those who were acquainted with the one, would, upon inspection of the other, discover a strong resemblance between them." The result of course is, that Almon penetrated the deception, although from a mo-

A disguised hand-writing that should conters. 'It would require strong proof in- ceal him from all who were ignorant of his deed,' says Mr Chalmers, 'to satisfy a real hand-writing, and expose him to all reasonable mind, that the writer of Junius's who were acquainted with it, was a truly Letters would send them to the printer in brilliant invention, and altogether worthy his real hand-writing. It is impossible to of Mr Boyd's country and pretensions. Yet. after all, we must not forget, that the handtake such successful pains to disguise his writing supposed to have been seen by AImon, if Boyd's at all, was not the mystical, esoteric autography, the ίερα γραμματα of But this is to involve the argument in the initiated, the disguised character that could be detected by nobody but those who NIUS. whoever he was, wrote his letters, we were acquainted with his common writing, are told, in a disguised hand-writing, in but the common and undisguised character order to avoid detection: the letter which itself, his general and avowed hand-writing Almon saw was not in a disguised hand- employed on purposes of ordinary business, and which, says Mr Almon, 'I knew,' in consequence of 'having received several letters from him CONCERNING BOOKS."

But this is not the only disguise which Mr Boyd must have had recourse to, and which he is admitted to have had recourse to. if he were the real author-of these celebrated epistles. He must have disguised his usual style even more than his usual hand-writing, and that by the very extraordinary assumption of an excellence which does not elsewhere appear to have belonged to him; for it is not pretended by any of his advocates that the general merit of any one of his acknowledged productions is equal to the general merit of the letters of JUNIUS; but merely asserted that there is in his works a general imitation of the manner of the latter, together with an occasional copy of his very phrases and images, and that he has at times produced passages not inferior to some of the best that Junius ever

rid of it. It was contended that Boyd had, pable of doing so, notwithstanding his about the period of Junius's first appear- superior opportunities. Yet surely never ance, accustomed himself to what he used was such a disguise either attempted or conto call, and his commentators and biogra- ceived before. The author wishes, we are phers call after him, a disguised hand; and told, to dissemble his hand-writing, in order that he uniformly employed this disguised to avoid detection; and he devises a dishand in writing these letters, in order to guised hand-writing that can only be traced prevent detection. And this ingenious dishome and identified by those who are covery was afterwards brought forward acquainted with his common hand-writing; as an evidence of Boyd's good sense and as if his common hand-writing could be

Life of Boyd, p. 157.

ductions of Boyd were written in a hurry, circumstances write ill.'2

as fairly applied to any one else of the sup- or a street-fiddler under that of Cramer. posed writers of the Letters of JUNIUS as to even had he done it in the present instance, ible alliteration of 'verdure of virtue.'

If Boyd therefore wrote Junius, he must perish with me.'

Supplement, Campbell's Life of yd. p. 31.

wrote. 'Of all the characters,' says Mr have been possessed of powers of which he Chalmers himself, 'who knew Boyd person- has never otherwise given any evidence ally, I have only met with one gentleman whatever, and must not only have disguised who is of opinion that he was able to write his hand, but, as was well observed on a JUNIUS'S letters.' And Mr Campbell has former occasion by the late Mr W. Woodhence conceived it necessary to offer two fall, have disguised his style at the same reasons for this palpable inferiority of style. time; and this too 'in that most extraordin-The one is, that all the acknowledged pro- ary way of writing above his own reach of literary talent,' judging of his abilities from stans pede in uno-while the letters of IU- every existing and acknowledged document. NIUS, contrary indeed to his otherwise To conceive that a man of versatile genius uniform method, were possibly composed might disguise his accustomed style of with considerable pains, and corrected by writing by adopting some other style on a numerous revisions. The other consists of level with his own, is not difficult; but to a long extract from the Rambler, in denial conceive, under the circumstances of his of the position that 'because a man has authenticated talents, that Boyd could disonce written well, he can never under any guise his avowed style by assuming that of TUNIUS, is to conceive, though the difference Now the whole of this reasoning, if rea- between them was not altogether so extreme, soning it may be called, is founded on gra- that a sign-post painter might disguise himtuitous assumptions alone, and may be just self under the style of sir Joshua Reynolds,

In effect Boyd appears to have been an Mr Boyd. It is admitted that he occasion- enthusiastic admirer of the writings of Jually wrote passages of considerable merit; NIUS, ambitious enough to try to imitate and it is admitted also, that he was an them, and vain enough to wish to be imitator of Junius's style, and a frequent thought the author of them. By the deep copyist of his very words and images. But interest he displayed in their behalf, he once this last fact is against Boyd, instead of or twice 3 induced his wife to challenge him being in his favour, for the style of JUNIUS with having written them; -when accidentis original and strictly his own, he is no- ally taxed by Almon with the same fact, he where a copyist, and much less a copyist of could not restrain his feelings, and his Boyd might characteristically cheeks flushed with rapture beneath the write, as he has done in his Freeholder, 'long suspicion; and when, upon a visit to Ireenough have our eyes ached over this barren land in the year 1776, he wrote his address prospect, where no verdure of virtue quick- to the electors of Antrim, under the title of ens,' because Junius before him had written, 'The Freeholder,' he so far succeeded by 'I turn with pleasure from that barren eulogizing JUNIUS, by quoting his letters, waste in which no salutary plant takes root, and imitating his manner, as to induce a no verdure quickens;' but JUNIUS could few other persons to entertain the same idea, not write so, because his genius was far too and, what was of no small gratification to fertile for him to be driven to the dire neces- him, to acquire the honour of being gensity of copying from his own metaphors, and erally denominated Junius the second. Yet, say his advocates, he never dared to avow he was too manly a writer to have introduced that he was JUNIUS, because JUNIUS had into the simile the affected and contempt- declared in his Dedication, 'I am the sole depositary of my own secret, and it shall

³ Campbell's Life of Boyd, p. 136.

Upon the whole, however, these visits to to a young and confidential friend, that has prosecution by the crown.

* Campbell in his Life of Boyd, p. 22, relates clined to write under any other, Sindercombe the following anecdote of that gentleman, which addresses the following card to him: occurred during the before-mentioned visit to Ireland in the summer of 1768. One evening while Mr Flood sat at his own table, after dinner, entertaining a large company, of which Mr Boyd was one, he received an anonymous note, enclosing a letter on the state of parties, signed Sindercombe. The note contained a request that Mr Flood would peruse the enclosed letter, and that if it met his approbation he would get it published, which he accordingly did in a paper of the following morning, and the letter produced a very strong sensation on the public mind.' Mr Campbell proceeds to state that 'every endeavour was made, without effect, to discover the author: that Mrs Boyd always thought that Sindercombe was her husband's production, and that many years afterwards she was satisfied that her conjecture was founded in fact. If Mrs Boyd were correct in her conjecture, as to her husband being the author of the letter under this signature. ture, it would of itself all but indisputably prove that he was not the writer of the letters of Ju-MIUS; as on Dec 26, 1772, nearly twelve months after Junius had ceased to publish under this signature, and many months after he had de-

Ireland are by no means favourable to Mr been conceived by these same gentlemen Boyd's claims; for the letters of JUNIUS as almost decisive in favour of Mr Boyd's published in August, 1768, under the sig- pretensions; the young man here alluded natures of Atticus and Lucius, were written to, having been, as it should seem one of during one of them; and from the rapidity Mrs Boyd's guardians; the two families to with which they seized hold of the events of which the fact relates, from the neculiar the moment, and replied to the numerous motives they possessed for keeping it a vindications and apologies of the govern- secret, not being supposed to have divulged ment-party, must have been written (not at it to any one, and Mrs Boyd herself having Belfast, but) in London, or its immediate vi- only communicated it in strict confidence cinity." While his visit to the same country to her husband. Yet the reader of the enin 1772 was chiefly in consequence of ex- suing Private Letters, after witnessing the treme pecuniary distress, which had oppress- rapidity with which JUNIUS became informed him for the preceding eighteen months ed of Mr Garrick's intimation to the king. or two years, and had driven him from the and Swinney's visit to lord G. Sackville. world, through a fear of being arrested; such will have no difficulty in conceiving that were the opposite circumstances of JUNIUS, JUNIUS, though totally unacquainted with that the latter was refusing, at this very Mr Boyd or his family, might have easily moment, the moiety of the profits resulting acquired a knowledge of secrets far more from the sale of his own edition of his let- securely locked up than the present. In ters, repeatedly pressed upon him, and to reality, from Mr Campbell's own relation which he was fairly entitled; and offering, of this anecdote, it seems rather a matter from a competent purse, a pecuniary in- of wonder that it should have been a secret demnification to Woodfall on account of his to any one, than that it should have been known to JUNIUS at the time of his narrat-There is, however, a note inserted in ing it; for it appears that at least six per-JUNIUS's own edition of these letters,2 in sons were privy to the transaction almost relation to lord Irnham, and his baseness from its first existence: the debauchee and

'For the Public Advertiser.

A CARD. Dec. 26, 1772. 'SINDERCOMBE laments that JUNIUS is silent at a season that demands his utmost eloquence. Sindercombe has long waited with eloquence. Sindercombe has long waited with impatience for the completion of that promise, in which every friend to liberty is so deeply interested. JUNIUS has long since pled. himself that the corrupt administration of lord Townshend in Ireland "shall not be lost to the public." He now calls upon Junius to fulfil that promise.'

That is, Boyd, the writer of Junius as Campbell contends, calls upon himself to fulfil a promise which he had not the smallest intention promise which he had not the smallest intention to perform, as may be seen by reference to Private Letter, No. 63. Sindercombe is a signature of some peculiarity, and never appeared in the Public Advertiser during the period in which the writer of the letters of Junius was a correspondent in that paper, which the reader will perceive was from April 28, 1767, to May 12, ² See p. 324 of this work.

prove nothing whatever, these gentlemen phlet, who thus observes and reasons: undertake to 'regard it as a moral certainty 'A few weeks after the publication of of Junius.'2

In point of fact, the anecdote here referred to was publicly known and propagated not less than three years earlier than the first edition of the Letters of Junius, in which it is introduced as a note. For it appears in a letter in the Public Advertiser of April 7, 1769, with the signature of Recens, written by this same JUNIUS, from which the note in question is but a mere transcript, and given without altering a word. And yet Mr Almon, in the preface to his own edition of Junius's Letters, in which he has taken care to bestow abundant abuse on the printer of the Public Advertiser and his brother, because they did not chuse to unfold to him all they were acquainted with on this subject, has not scrupled to assert with his usual confidence, that 'this note certainly was not written till after Junius, having finally ceased to write under that signature, collected his letters and published them together, with many additions; which was in the course of 1772. Pref. p. lvi. This, how-ever, is only one specimen of Mr Almon's general accuracy in the prosecution of his favourite topic: yet it is useless to add more: the death of the writer has put him beyond all power of reply; nor should even this have been noticed, but to show how absurd were the pretensions of a man, so vain, so precipitate, and so incautious, to the character of an oracle upon this or any other subject; and how insolent it was in him to charge others with ignorance, incapacity, and falsehood, who were possessed of better sources of information, and evinced a more punctilious adherence to truth. The letter itself is as follows: and it is copied for a comparison with the

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

7 April, 1769. MR WOODFALL.

THERE is a certain family in this country, on which nature seems to have entailed an bell's Life, 173, 277.

the prostitute, the injured bridegroom and them. But this testimony, it seems, though his two brothers, and Mrs Boyd as a part from the printer of the letters themselves, of the bridegroom's family, -Yet, from and who, moreover, through the whole these three slender facts, -Boyd's imitation period of their publication, was in habits of of the style of Junius, Almon's suspicion confidential correspondence with the author, concerning his hand-writing, and the anec- is of no consequence. Let us see by what dote of lord Irnham, in conjunction with curious process of logic this testimony is a few others of a nature merely collateral, attempted to be invalidated: the reader and which, when separated from them, will meet with it in Mr Chalmers's pam-

that Macaulay Boyd did write the Letters Almon's anecdotes, in 1797, Mr H. S. Woodfall, meeting the anecdote writer at The late Mr Woodfall, indeed, made no Longman's shop, complimented him on his scruple of denying the assertion peremp- entertaining book; but said that he was torsly, admitting at the same time, that he "mistaken, in supposing Mr Boyd to have was not absolutely certain who did write been the author of Junius's Letters;" and

> hereditary baseness of disposition. As far as their history has been known, the son has regularly improved upon the vices of his father, and has taken care to transmit them pure and undiminished into the bosom of his successor. In the senate, their abilities have confined them to those humble, sordid services, in which the scavengers of the ministry are usually employed. But in the memoirs of private treachery, they stand first and unrivalled. The following story will serve to illustrate the character of this respectable family, and to convince the world that the present possessor has as clear a title to the infamy of his ancestors, as he has to their estate. It deserves to be recorded for the curiosity of the fact, and should be given to the public as a warning to every honest member of society.

> The present lord Irnham, who is now in the decline of life, lately cultivated the acquaintance of a younger brother of a family, with which he had lived in some degree of intimacy and friendship. The young man had long been the dupe of a most unhappy attachment to a common prostitute. His friends and relations foresaw the consequences of this connexion, and did every thing that depended upon them to save him from ruin. But he had a friend in lord Irnham, whose advice rendered all their endeavours ineffectual. This hoary letcher, not contented with the enjoyment of his friend's mistress, was base enough to take advantage of the passions and folly of a young man, and persuaded him to marry her. He descended even to perform the office of father to the prostitute. He gave her to his friend, who was on the point of leaving the kingdom, and the next night lay with her himself.
> Whether the depravity of the human heart can

> produce any thing more base and detestable than this fact, must be left undetermined, until the son shall arrive at his father's age and experi-RECENS.

> ² See Chalmers's Supplement, p. 94. Camp-

then added, with an emphasis, that "Mr to be a man of affluence, considerably suthose letters." ever, that he knew the true author.'

they were different. He well knew that circuitous channels alone. JUNIUS he knew writer of JUNIUS'S Letters.

Boyd was not the author of them." To perior to his own wants, refusing remunerathese emphatical observations Mr Almon tions to which he was entitled, and offering replied, that "he had no doubt of Mr reimbursements to those who suffered on Boyd's being the author of those letters; his account; -Boyd to be labouring under that as you, Mr H. S. Woodfall, never great pecuniary difficulties, and ready to knew who was the author, you cannot un- accept whatever was offered him: or, in the dertake to say who was not the author of language of Mr Almon, 'a broken gentle-Mr Woodfall departed man without a guinea in his pocket.' without making any reply. What reply NIUS he knew to be a man of considerably could he make? It is absurd in any man, more than his own age, who from a long who does not know the true author of Ju- and matured experience of the world, was NIUS'S Letters, to say, that Macaulay Boyd entitled to read him lessons of moral and was not the writer of them, in opposition to prudential philosophy; Boyd to be at the affirmative proofs. Yet, Mr H. S. Wood- same time a very young man, who had not fall afterwards told Mr L. D. Campbell, even reached his majority, totally without that "Mr Boyd was not the writer of Ju- plan, and almost without experience of any NIUS'S letters," without pretending, how- kind, who in the prospect of divulging himself to Woodfall, could not possibly have Now every one who knew Mr H. S. written to him 'after LONG experience of Woodfall, knew him also to be a man of the world, I affirm before God I never knew strict, unimpeachable veracity; a man who a rogue who was not unhappy.'2 Boyd he would not have ventured to speak decisive- knew to be an imitator and copyist of Iuly upon this or any other point, if he had NIUS; JUNIUS to be no imitator or convist not had very sufficient grounds. We are of any man, and least of all of himself. IUasked what reply he could have made? and NIUS he knew to be a decided mixt-monare told that his negative assertion was ab- archist, who opposed the ministry upon surd against the affirmative proofs offered. constitutional principles; Boyd to be a These affirmative proofs have been already wild, random republican, who opposed sufficiently noticed; our next business then them upon revolutionary views: JUNIUS is to state what reply Mr Woodfall could to be a writer who could not have adopted have made if he had chosen, and perhaps the signature of Democrates or Democratiwould have made if he had been differently cus; Boyd a writer who could, and who. addressed, of the absurdity of which the we are told, did do so, in perfect uniformreader shall determine when he has pe- ity with his political creed. Woodfall, it is rused it : it shall be founded upon negative true, did not pretend to know JUNIUS perarguments alone. Woodfall well knew the sonally, but from his hand-writing, his style hand-writings of both JUNIUS and Boyd, of composition, age, politics, rank in life, and was in possession of many copies of and pecuniary affluence, he was perfectly both: and knowing them, he well knew assured that JUNIUS COULD NOT BE BOYD. It was possible therefore for Mr H. S.

JUNIUS was a man directly implicated in Woodfall to have made some reply if he had the circle of the court, and immediately chosen; and it was possible also for him to privy to its most secret intrigues: and that have said, without absurdity, and in oppo-Boyd was very differently situated, and that sition to the affirmative proofs of his biowhatever information he collected was by graphers, that Macaulav Boyd was not the

² Boyd was born in October, 1746, and Ju28, 1767, when Boyd had not, as yet, attained NIUS'S first letter, under the signature of Poplihis 21st year.
cola, appeared in the Public Advertiser April
2 Private Letter, No. 44.

proofs are unquestionable, that the above that no jury will find 'a bill.3 letter was written by JUNIUS; and that he Laughlin Maclean, who instead of being, it was written by himself?

Of all the reputed authors of these celebrated addresses. Dunning, lord Ashburton,

A thousand other proofs, equally cogent offers the largest aggregate of claim in his and insurmountable, might be advanced, if favour; and, but for a few facts which seem necessary, against the pretensions of Mr decisive against him, might fairly be ad-Boyd. Among these let the reader compare mitted to have been the real JUNIUS. His the letter of JUNIUS, subscribed Vindex, age and rank in life, his talents and learn-March 6, 1771, Miscellaneous Letters, No. ing (though perhaps not classical learning). XCI., in which he publicly ridicules Mr his brilliant wit, and sarcastic habit, his Laughlin Maclean, upon his defence of the common residence, during the period in ministry, in regard to the Falkland Islands, question, his political principles, attach-Mr Laughlin Maclean is well known to ments, and antipathies conspire in marking have been the best and steadiest friend that him as the man; but unfortunately for Boyd ever possessed; and a friend who such a conclusion, Dunning was solicitoradhered to him uninterruptedly from 1764 general at the time these letters first apto 1778, in which year Maclean com- peared, and for more than a twelvemonth menced a vovage to India upon official afterwards; and JUNIUS himself has openly business relating to the Nabob of Arcot. It and solemnly affirmed, 'I am no lawyer by was Maclean who, according to his bio-profession; nor do I pretend to be more grapher, furnished Boyd with the greater deeply read than every English gentleman part of the secret transactions of our own should be in the laws of his country,'2 government, and the intelligence he made Dunning was a man of high unblemished use of in relation to the oriental concerns honour as well as of high independent of the Nabob Mahomed Ali Khaan; who principles; it cannot therefore be supposed largely and liberally assisted him with that he would have vilified the king, while pecuniary aid while at home, and 'faith- one of the king's confidential servants and fully promised him he would, upon his counsellors: nor would he, as a barrister, return from India, assist in clearing him have written to Woodfall in the course of a from all his pecuniary difficulties. The confidential correspondence, 'I am advised

Another person who has had a claim adwrote it also in contempt and ridicule of vanced in his favour upon the same subject, is the late celebrated Henry Flood, M. P. as Mr Campbell affirms, an opponent of of Ireland. Now, without wandering at the ministry at this time, was an avowed large for proofs that Mr Flood could not defendant of them .- Will Mr Boyd's bio- have been the writer of the Letters of Jugraphers and advocates, after this anecdote. NIUS, it is only sufficient to call the reader's so far vilify his memory as to contend that attention to the two following facts, which are decisive of the subject in question:

> First, Mr Flood was in Ireland throughout a great part of the summer of 1768, and at

> ceased to write under that signature for the Public Advertiser.' The reader will perceive by a reference to Private Letters, Nos. 40 and 48, that the letter to lord Mansfield was finished some considerable time before it made its appearance in the Public Advertiser; and by comparing the dates of the Private Letters subsewriter of the Letters of Junius to have been in Ireland at the period described by Mr Campbell.
>
> Preface, p. 115.
>
> Private Letter, No. 18.

T See Mr Campbell's Life of Boyd, p. 117, 125, 209, 210. In p. 141, he gives us the following account of Mr Boyd, in support of his assertion that he was the writer of these letters From this time [Nov. 27, 1771,] till the 20th of January following, Mr Boyd's whole time was occupied in examining the law books and state paring the dates of the Private Letters subsertials above mentioned, and in writing with his quent to that publication, up to March 5, 1772, usual secrecy for the Public Advertiser: Ju-which there are no less than seven, he will be NIUS's elaborate letter to lord Mansfield, in satisfied that it was totally impossible for the which he strove hard to make good his charge against him, is dated the 21st of January, 1772: about three weeks after the publication of this letter Mr Boyd went to Ireland; and Junius

metropolis. This fact may be collected, for payment. among other authorities, from the following the one as of the other.

business. During his stay in Dublin he However, Mr Flood went on. was constantly in the company of Mr Flood.'

Advertiser of Dec. 18, 1771.

before the committee of supplies:

has pleased government to lay upon our some and unnecessary.' devoted shoulders, that which is the subject Let us proceed to the pretensions that him indeed, otherwise than by his name in present hour. Sir William Draper divided

a time when JUNIUS, whoever he may have our pension list; but there are others who been, was perpetually corresponding with know him by his actions. This is he who the printer of the Public Advertiser, and is endued with those happy talents, that he with a rapidity which could not have has served every administration, and served been maintained, not only in Ireland, but every one with equal success-a civil. plieven at a hundred, and occasionally at less able, good-natured gentleman, who will do than fifty, miles distance from the British what you will, and say what you please-

'Here Mr Flood was interrupted, and passage in Mr Campbell's Life of Boyd, called to order by Mr M-, who urged and is just as adverse to the pretensions of that more respect ought to be paid to Mr Dyson as one of his Majesty's officers, and. 'In the summer of 1768 Boyd went to as such, one whom his Majesty was gra-Ireland for a few months, on some private clously pleased to repose confidence in.

'As to the royal confidence reposed in Mr Dyson, his gracious Maiesty (whom Next, by turning to the Private Letter of God long preserve) has been graciously TUNIUS. No. 44, of the date of Nov. 27, lavish of it, not only to Mr Dyson, but to 1771, the reader will find the following the friends of Mr Dyson; and I think the paragraph: 'I fear your friend Jerry Dy- choice was good: The royal secrets will. son will lose his Irish pension.—Say I dare say, be very secure in their breasts, The mark 'received' occurs not only for the love they bear to his graaccordingly in the Public Advertiser of the cious Majesty, but for the love they bear to day ensuing. Now by turning to the Irish themselves. In the present case, however, debates of this period, we shall find that we do not want to be informed of that part the question concerning this pension was of Mr Dyson's character-we know enough actually determined by the Irish parliament of him-everybody knows enough of him just two days before the date of the above- -ask the British treasury-the British mentioned Private Letter, and that Mr council-ask any Englishman who he is, Flood was one of the principal opponents what he is-they can all tell you, for the of the grant, a circumstance which pre- gentleman is well known.-But what have cludes the possibility of believing him to we to do with him? He never served have written the letter in question. We Ireland, nor the friends of Ireland. And if shall extract the article from whence this this distressed kingdom was never benefited information is derived, from the Public by his counsel, interest, or service, I see no good cause why this kingdom should re-'Authentic copy of the conclusion of the ward him. Let the honourable members speech which Mr Flood made in the Irish of this House consider this, and give their House of Commons, on Monday the 25th voices accordingly.—For God's sake let of November last, when the debate on the every man consult his conscience: If Ierepension of Jeremiah Dyson, Esq., came on miah Dyson, Esq., shall be found to deserve this pension, let it be continued: if not, let -- But of all the burthens which it it be lopped off our revenue as burthen-

of the present debate is the most grievous have been offered on the part of lord and intolerable.-Who does not know George Sackville as the real JUNIUS. The Jeremiah Dyson, Esq.?-We know little of evidence is somewhat indecisive even to the · unequivocal denial of the latter, he trans- roundly have accused him of want of cournexions, so intimate as to excite no small character, but from the force of truth. degree of astonishment. JUNIUS was incertain then, that lord George Sackville was to his friend colonel Fitzroy. early and generally suspected, that JUNIUS knew him to be suspected without assert- Copy of lord G. Sackville's letter to col. ing, as in the case of the author of 'The Whig,' 2 &c., that he was suspected wrongfully; and that this nobleman, if not JUNIUS and intimate friendship with him. The known and admitted, and his political principles led him to the same side of the question that was so warmly espoused by JUNIUS. It is said, however, that on one occasion his Lordship privately observed to a friend of his, 'I should be proud to be capable of writing as JUNIUS has done; but there are many passages in his letters I should be very sorry to have written.' 3 Such a declaration, however, is too general to be in any way conclusive: even JUNIUS himself might, in a subsequent period, have regretted that he had written some of the passages that occur in his letters. In the case of his letter to Junia, we know he did from his own avowal. It is nevertheless

his suspicions between this nobleman and peculiarly hostile to the opinion in favour Mr Burke, and upon the personal and of lord George Sackville, that JUNIUS should ferred them entirely to the former: and age, as he has done in Miscell. Letters, No. that sir William was not the only person VII. And if we examine into his Lordwho suspected his Lordship even from the ship's style, and even into his own opinion of first, is evident from the Private Letter of his own style, we shall meet with facts not JUNIUS, which asserts that Swinney had much less hostile. Of his own composition actually called upon lord Sackville and he thus speaks in a letter published shortly taxed him with being JUNIUS, to his face." after his return from Germany, drawn up This letter is, in fact, one of the most in justification of his conduct at the battle curious of the whole collection: if written of Minden: - 'I had rather upon this occaby lord George Sackville it settles the point sion submit myself to all the inconveniences at once; and, if not written by him, pre- that may arise from the want of style than supposes an acquaintance with his Lord- borrow assistance from the pen of others. ship's family, his sentiments, and his con- as I can have no hopes of establishing my

And that his Lordship has not in this formed of Swinney's having called upon passage spoken with an undue degree of lord George Sackville, very shortly after his self-modesty, will, we think, be evident call, and he knew that before this time he from the following copy of a letter addresshad never spoken to him in his life. It is ed by himself, upon the preceding subject,

Fitzrov.

DEAR SIR. Minden, Aug. 2, 1750. The orders of yesterday, you may behimself, must have been in habits of close lieve, affect me very sensibly. His Serene Highness has been pleased to judge, contalents of lord George Sackville were well demn, and censure me, without hearing me, in the most cruel and unprecedented manner; as he never asked me a single question in explanation of any thing he might disapprove: and as he must have formed his opinion upon the report of others, it was still harder he would not give me an opportunity of first speaking to him upon the subject: but you know, even in more trifling matters, that hard blows are sometimes unexpectedly given. If anybody has a right to say that I hesitated in obeying orders, it is you. I will relate what I know of that, and then appeal to you for the truth of it.

> When you brought me orders to advance with the British cavalry, I was near the village of Halen, I think it is called, I mean that place which the Saxons burnt. I was there advanced by M. Malhorte's

² Private Letter, No. 5. ² Id., No. 23. ³ See Chalmers's Appendix to the Supplemental Apology, p. 7.

order, and no further, when you came to I heard the Prince was dissatisfied that the This I declare I did, as fast as I imagined the rear of our foot. it was right in cavalry to march in line.my forming the whole. Upon his advancup with him as regularly as I could, 'till you, before you go to England. we got to the rear of the infantry and our batteries .- We both halted together, and afterwards received no order, 'till that which was brought by col. Web and the duke of Richmond, to extend in one line to the dismount our men.

ter, and I was never so surprized, as when ment.

me. Ligonier followed almost instantly; cavalry did not move sooner up to the he said, the whole cavalry was to advance. infantry.-It is not my business to ask. I was puzzled what to do, and begged the what the disposition originally was, or to favour of you to carry me to the Duke, that find fault with any thing .- All I insist upon I might ask an explanation of his orders: is, that I obeyed the orders I received, as -But that no time might be lost, I sent punctually as I was able; and if it was to Smith with orders to bring on the British do over again, I do not think I would have cavalry, as they had a wood before they executed them ten minutes sooner than I could advance, as you directed; and I did, now I know the ground, and what was reckoned, by the time I had seen his Se- expected; but, indeed, we were above an rene Highness, I should find them forming hour too late, if it was the Duke's intention beyond the wood.-This proceeding of to have made the cavalry pass before our mine might possibly be wrong; but I am infantry and artillery, and charge the sure the service could not suffer, as no enemy's line.-I cannot think that was his delay was occasioned by it.-The Duke meaning, as all the orders ran to sustain then ordered me to leave some squad- our infantry :-- and it appears, that both rons upon the right, which I did, and to lord Granby and I understood we were at advance the rest to support the infantry. our posts, by our halting, when we got to

I hope I have stated impartially the part I once halted by lord Granby to compleat of this transaction that comes within your owledge.--If I have, I must beg you ing the left before the right I again sent to would declare it, so as I may make use of him to stop :- He said, as the Prince had it in your absence; for it is impossible to ordered us to advance, he thought we sit silent under such reproach, when I am should move forward.—I then let him pro- conscious of having done the best that was ceed at the rate he liked, and kept my right in my power. - For God's sake, let me see

I am, my dear sir. Your faithful humble servant. GEORGE SACKVILLE.

Upon the claim then of lord George morass.-It was accordingly executed; and Sackville, to the honour of having written then, instead of finding the enemy's cavalry the Letters of JUNIUS, the above are the to charge, as I expected, the battle was chief facts which the editor is able to lay declared to be gained, and we were told to before his readers: he has laid them accordingly, and shall conclude with leaving This, I protest, is all I know of the mat- them to the exercise of their own judg-

PRIVATE LETTERS

IUNIUS.

TO MR WOODFALL.

SIR.

No. 1.

SIR.

April 20, 1760.

I AM preparing a paper, which you shall have on or before Saturday night. Advertise it for Monday. I JUNIUS on Monday.

If any enquiry is made about these papers, I shall rely on your giving me a hint.

timited from nair past one o clock in the aircemoon, a division, there appeared for the petition 132, against it 221. The speakers on this occasion, in favour of the petition, were Mr Dowdeswell, lord J. Carendish, Mr Wedderburne, Mr Grenville, Mr Cornwall, Mr Burke, Mr Seymour, and air Caren Strille, these against the and sir George Saville: those against it, Mr counsel such measures as cannot fail to destroy Stanley, sir G. Osborne, Dr. Blackstone, Mr.W. that harmony and confidence which should ever Ellis, Mr. Thurlow, Mr.C. J. Fox, Mr. Moreton, subsist between a just and virtuous Prince, and and sir F. Notton.

In consequence of the rejection of the petition to the House of Commons, the following was soon afterwards presented to the King, which our happy, legal constitution, a certain unlimited we insert, as we shall also, in their due places, and indefinite discretionary power; to prevent those of London and Westminster, upon similar which is the sole aim of all our laws, and was subjects, with a view of giving some idea of the the sole cause of all those disturbances and regeneral politics of the day, and the warmth of volutions which formerly distracted this unhappy

No. 2.

Friday, May 5th, 1769.2

IT is essentially necessary that the inclosed should be published to-morrow, as the great question comes on on Monday, and lord Granby is already staggered.3

If you should receive any answer to it,

TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY.

'The humble petition of the Freeholders of

self only.

3 The letter is printed in the Miscellaneous Collection, No. LV, and the great question alluded to was upon the Middlesex petition alluded to was upon the Middlesex petition alluded to was upon the Middlesex petition and humility, to throw ourselves at your royal against the seating of colonel Luttrell for that county. The debate took place on Monday, the 8th of May, in the House of Commons, and continued from half past one o'clock in the afternoon till half-past four the next and him by to implore your paternal attendant of the whole nation complain and the country of Middlesex.

Most gracious So.

We, your Majesty's dutiful and loyal subjects, the Freeholders of the Country of Middlesex.

'With great grief and sorrow, we have long beheld the endeavours of certain evil-minded persons, who attempt to infuse into your royal mind, notions and opinions of the most dangerous and pernicious tendency, and who promote and

the respective controversies that distinguished it. country; for our ancestors, by their own fatal

you will oblige me much by not publishing it, till after Monday.

Ċ.

experience, well knew that in a state where discretion begins, law, liberty, and safety end. Under the pretence of this discretion, or, as it was formerly, and has been lately called-Law of state-we have seen

'English subjects, and even a member of the British Legislature, arrested by virtue of a general warrant issued by a secretary of state,

contrary to the law of the land .-

Their houses rifled and plundered, their papers seized, and used as evidence upon trial.—
Their bodies committed to close imprisonment.

'The Habeas Corpus eluded.~

'Trial by jury discountenanced, and the first law officer of the crown publicly insinuating that juries are not to be trusted .-

'Printers punished by the ministry in the supreme court without a trial by their equals, without any trial at all .-

'The remedy of the law for false imprisonment debarred and defeated.—

'The plaintiff and his attorney, for their appeal to the law of the land, punished by expenses and imprisonment, and made, by forced engagements, to desist from their legal claim.

'A writing determined to be a libel by a court where it was not cognizable in the first instance; contrary to law, because all appeal is thereby cut off, and inferior courts and juries influenced by such predetermination .-

A person condemned in the said courts as the author of the supposed libel unheard, without

defence or trial -

'Unjust treatment of petitions, by selecting only such parts as might be wrested to criminate the petitioner, and refusing to hear those which

might procure him recress.—
The thanks of one branch of the Legislature proposed by a minister to be given to an acknowledged offender for his offence, with the declared intention of screening him from the law .-

Attachments wrested from their original intent of removing obstructions to the proceedings of law, to punish by sentence of arbitrary fine and imprisonment, without trial or appeal, supposed offences committed out of court.

'Perpetual imprisonment of an Englishman without trial, conviction, or sentence, by the same mode of attachment wherein the same per-

son is at once party, accuser, judge, and jury.—
'Instead of the ancient and legal civil police, the military introduced at every opportunity, unnecessarily and unlawfully patrolling the streets to the alarm and terror of the inhabit-

'The lives of many of your Majesty's innocent stopped in the Exchequer, though the sums acsubjects destroyed by military execution.-

Such military execution solemnly adjudged to be legal.

'Murder abetted, encouraged, and rewarded. 'The civil magistracy rendered contemptible No. 3.

Saturday, July 15th, 1769. SIR.

I HAVE received the favour of From the contents of it. I

by the appointment of improper and incapable

persons. 'The civil magistrates tampered with by administration, and neglecting and refusing to dis-

charge their duty.

vour note.

'Mobs and riots hired and raised by the ministry, in order to justify and recommend their own illegal proceedings, and to prejudice your Majesty's mind by false insinuations against the loyalty of your Majesty's subjects .-

The freedom of election violated by corrupt and undue influence, by unpunished violence and

murder.-

'The just verdicts of juries, and the opinion of the judges, over-ruled by false representations to your Majesty: and the determinations of the law set aside, by new, unprecedented, and dangerous means; thereby leaving the guilty without re-straint, and the injured without redress, and the lives of your Majesty's subjects at the mercy of every ruffian protected by administration.—
'Obsolete and vexatious claims of the crown

set on foot for partial and election purposes.—
'Partial attacks on the liberty of the press:
the most daring and pernicious libels against
the constitution and against the liberty of the subject, being allowed to pass unnoticed, whilst the slightest libel against a minister is punished with the utmost rigour.

Wicked attempts to increase and establish a standing army, by endeavouring to vest in the crown an unlimited power over the militia, which, should they succeed, must, sooner or later, subvert the constitution, by augmenting the power of administration in proportion to their delinguency.

Repeated endeavours to diminish the importance of members of parliament individually, in order to render them more dependent on administration collectively. Even threats having been employed by ministers to suppress the freedom of debate; and the wrath of parliament denounced against measures authorized by the law of the land .-

'Resolutions of one branch of the Legislature, set up as the law of the land, being a direct usurpation of the rights of the two other branches, and therefore a manifest infringement of the constitution.-

'Public Imoney shamefully squandered and unaccounted for, and all enquiry into the cause of arrears into the civil list prevented by the ministry.

'Enquiry into a pay-master's public accounts counted for by that pay-master amount to above

forty millions sterling .-'Public loans perverted to private ministerial purposes,

Prostitution of public honours and rewards to

imagine you may have something to com- reprint JUNIUS'S Letters, might at least . you will be particular; and also that you stantly. will tell me candidly whether you know or suspect who I am. Direct a letter to Mr William Middleton 1 to be left at the bar of the New Exchange Coffee-house on Mon- Letter 7, - 4, - in all mazes day, as early as you think proper.

I am. Sir. your most obedient, and most humble servant.

No. 4.

(Private) SIR.

Fuly 17th, 1760.

MR Newberry having thought proper to reprint my Letters,2 I wish at least he had done it correctly. You will oblige me much by giving him the following hint 8 tomorrow. The inclosed 4 when you think proper.

'Mr Newberry having thought proper to

men who can neither plead public virtue nor

'Irreligion and immorality so eminently discountenanced by your Majesty's royal example, encouraged by administration, both by example and precept .-

'The same discretion has been extended by the same evil counsellors to your Majesty's dominions in America, and has produced to our suffering fellow-subjects in that part of the world, grievances and apprehensions similar to those which we complain of at home.-

'Most gracious Sovereign,
'Such are the grievances and apprehensions which have long discontented and dis-turbed the greatest and best part of your Ma-jesty's loyal subjects. Unwilling however to interrupt your royal repose, though ready to lay down our lives and fortunes for your Majesty's service, and for the constitution as by law established, we have waited patiently expecting a constitutional remedy by the means of our own representatives, but our legal and free choice having been repeatedly rejected, and the right of election now finally taken from us by the unprecedented seating of a candidate who was never chosen by the county, and who, even to become a candidate, was obliged fraudulently to vacate his seat in parliament, under the pretence of an insignificant place, invited thereto by the prior declaration of a minister, that whoever opposed our choice, though but with four votes, should be declared member for the county, we see ourselves, by this last act, deprived even of the franchises of Englishmen, reduced to the most abject state of slavery, and left without

municate to me. If that be the case, I beg have corrected the errata, as we did con-

Page's, Line 13, for national read rational. — 3, —, 4, — was — were. — 5, — 15, — indisputable — indispensable. in all the mazes. - 15, - 24, - rightest - brightest. - 48, - '2, - indiscreet - indi-

I did not expect more than the life of a newspaper, but if this man will keep me alive, let me live without being offensive.

Speciosa quæro pascere tigres.

SIR. July 21st, 1769, Friday Night. I CAN have no manner of objection to your reprinting the Letters, if you

hopes or means of redress but from your Majesty

or God.
'Deign then, most gracious Sovereign, to listen to the prayer of the most faithful of your Majesty's subjects; and to banish from your royal favour, trust, and confidence, for ever, those evil and pernicious counsellors, who have en-deavoured to alienate the affection of your Majesty's most sincere and dutiful subjects, and whose suggestions tend to deprive your people of their dearest and most essential rights, and who have traitorously dared to depart from the spirit and letter of those laws which have secured the crown of these realms to the House of Brunswick, in which we make our most earnest prayers to God that it may continue untarnished to the latest posterity.

Signed by 1565 Freeholders.

I Mr William Middleton's Letter is sent as desired.' Answer to correspondents in the P. A. of

July 20th, 1769.

2 Newberry had thought proper at this time to publish a spurious and surreptitious edition of the first fifteen Letters, as printed in the author's edition, under the title of 'The Political Contest;' and it was these unauthorised publications that gave the first idea of publishing a genuine edition of the whole,

3 This request does not appear to have been complied with; as the following answer to correspondents was inserted in the Public Advertiser of the 18th of July :- 'Reasons why the hint was not printed are sent to the last mentioned Coffee-house in the Strand, from whence our old correspondent will be pleased to send for

4 Letter XVI.

think it will answer, which I believe it Whenever you have any thing to comreally doubt whether I shall write any I did not mean the Latin to be printed. more under this signature.1 I am weary I wish lord Holland may acquit himself measures are too gross and direct to be the mayor.4 subject of argument, or to require illustra-

spoken to, and to ask him, whether or no wish you a good night. he was the author of Junius-take care of

1 See Dedication, p. 112.

² A correspondent of the Printer's. ³ The Editor has already observed, in the Preliminary Essay, that Junius appears to have uniformly entertained a good opinion of, or at least a partiality for, lord Holland. The remark is not new; it was noticed long ago by several of his opponents. Thus, in a letter subscribed by our author, Anti-Fox, and inserted in the Public Advertiser of October 16th, 1771, he thus

might, before Newberry appeared. If you municate to me, let the hint be thus. C at determine to do it, give me a hint, and I the usual place, and so direct to Mr John of will send you more errata (indeed they are Fretley, at the same Coffee-house, where it innumerable) and perhaps a Preface. I is absolutely impossible I should be known.

of attacking a set of brutes, whose writ- with honour.8 If his cause be good, he ings are too dull to furnish me even with should at once have published that account. the materials of contention, and whose to which he refers in his letter to the

Pray tell me whether George Onslow means to keep his word with you, about That Swinney 2 is a wretched but a dan- prosecuting. 5 Yes or No will be sufficient. gerous fool. He had the impudence to go Your Lycurgus 6 is a Mr Kent, a young to lord G. Sackville, whom he had never man of good parts upon town. And so I

Yours.

C.

speaks of him: 'I know nothing of Junius: but I see plainly that he has designedly spared lord Holland and his family.' See Miscell. Lett. C.

4 See note A below.

5 See note B at the conclusion of note A.

6 Lycurgus was a frequent writer in the Public Advertiser during the spring and summer of 1769; and opposed the ministry, but with less violence than most of his contemporaries.

He seems to refer to a charge of embezzlement of the public treasure, made in the City Petition presented to his Majesty, July 5th, 1769, of which the following is a copy:-

The humble Petition of the Livery of the City of London in Common Hall assembled.

'Most Gracious Sovereign,

'We, your Majesty's dutiful and loyal subjects, the Livery of the City of London, with all the humility which is due from free subjects to their lawful Sovereign, but with all the anxiety which the sense of the present oppressions, and the just dread of future mischiefs, produce in our minds, beg leave to lay before your Majesty some of those intolerable grievances which your people have suffered from the evil conduct of those who have been intrusted with the administration of your Majesty's government, and from the secret unremitting influence of the worst of counsellors.

'We should be wanting in our duty to your Majesty, as well as to ourselves and our posterity, should we forbear to represent to the throne the desperate attempts which have been and are too successfully made, to destroy that constitution,

to the spirit of which we owe the relation which subsists between your Majesty and the subjects of these realms, and to subvert those sacred laws which our ancestors have sealed with their blood Your ministers, from corrupt principles, and

in violation of every duty, have, by various enumerated means, invaded our invaluable and unalienable right of trial by jury.

'They have, with impunity, issued general warrants, and violently seized persons and private

They have rendered the laws non-effective to our security, by evading the Habeas Corpus.

'They have caused punishments, and even perpetual imprisonment, to be inflicted without trial, conviction, or sentence.

'They have brought into disrepute the civil magistracy, by the appointment of persons who are, in many respects, unqualified for that important trust, and have thereby purposely furnished a pretence for calling in the aid of a military power.

'They avow, and endeavour to establish a maxim, absolutely inconsistent with our constitution, that 'an occasion for effectually employing a military force always presents itself when the civil power is trifled with or insulted; and

by a fatal and false application of this maxim, they have wantonly and wickedly sacrificed the lives of many of your Majesty's innocent subjects, and have prostituted your Majesty's sacred name and authority, to Justify, applaud, and re-commend their own illegal and bloody actions.

'They have screened more than one murderer from punishment, and in its place have unnatu-

rally substituted reward.
They have established numberless unconstitutional regulations and taxations in our colonies. They have caused a revenue to be raised in some of them by prerogative. They have appointed civil law judges to try revenue causes, and to be paid from out of the condemnation money.

After having insulted and defeated the law on different occasions, and by different contrivances, both at home and abroad, they have at length completed their design, by violently wresting from the people the last sacred right we had left, the right of election; by the unprecedented seating of a candidate notoriously set up and chosen only by themselves. They have thereby taken from your subjects all hopes of parliamentary redress, and have left us no resource, under God, but in your Majesty.

'All this they have been able to effect by corruption, by a scandalous misapplication and embezzlement of the public treasure, and a shameful prostitution of public honours and employments; procuring deficiencies of the civil list to be made good without examination; and, instead of pun-ishing, conferring honours on a pay-master, the

public defaulter of unaccounted millions.

'From an unfeigned sense of the duty we owe to your Majesty, and to our country, we have ventured thus humbly to lay before the throne these great and important truths, which it has been the business of your ministers to conceal. We most earnestly beseech your Majesty to grant us redress. It is for the purpose of redress alone, and for such occasions as the present, that those great and extensive powers are intrusted to the crown, by the wisdom of that constitution, which your Majesty's illustrious family was chosen to defend, and which, we trust in God, it will for ever continue to support.

Lord Holland suspecting himself to be impli-cated in the last paragraph but one of the above petition, addressed the following letter to the Lord Mayor upon this subject :-

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE THE LORD MAYOR.

'My Lord,

'In a petition presented by your Lordship it is mentioned as a grievance, Instead of punishing, conferring honours on a pay-master, the public defaulter of unaccounted millions. I am told that I am the pay-master here censured: may I beg to know of your Lordship if it is so? If it is, I am sure Mr Beckford must have been against it, because he knows and could have shown your Lordship in writing, the utter falsehood of what is there insinuated.

I have not the honour to know your Lord-

to induce you to carry to our Sovereign a complaint of so atrocious a nature.

'Your Lordship, by your speech made to the king at delivering the petition, has adopted the contents of it; and I do not know of whom to enquire but of your Lordship concerning this injury done to an innocent man, who am by this means (if I am the person meant) hung out as an object of public hatred and resentment.

You have too much honour and justice not to

tell me whether I am the person meant, and if I am, the grounds upon which I am thus charged, that I may vindicate myself, which truth will enable me to do to the conviction of the bitterest enemy; and therefore I may boldly say, to your Lordship's entire satisfaction, whom I certainly have never offended,

'I am, with the greatest respect,

'My Lord, 'Your Lordship's most obedient And most humble servant HOLLAND.

'Holland House, Kensington, ' Yuly 9th, 1769.'

To this letter the Lord Mayor returned the

following answer:

The Lord Mayor presents his compliments to lord Holland, and in answer to the honour of his Lordship's letter delivered to him by Mr Selwyn, he begs leave to say that he had no concern in drawing up the petition from the Livery of London to his Majesty; that he looks on himself only as the carrier, together with other gentlemen charged by the Livery with the delivery of it; that he does not, nor ever did, hold himself accountable for the contents of it, and is a stranger to the nature of the supposed tharge against his Lordship.

'Mansson House, July 10th, 1769.'

Mr Beckford, seeing his name implicated in this correspondence, wrote-from the country the following letter to a friebath the was a Livery man of the city:—

Fonther July 15, 1769 'I am as much surprised a you seem to be, at seeing my name, and papers in my ossession, appealed to by a noble Lord. ou and my friends in the city think it incumbent on me to vindicate (as they are pleased to express themselves) my honour and character, which is called in question. The only proper satisfaction in my power to give you and my other friends, is to relate plain matters of fact, to the best of my recollection.

'In the last session of Parliament, on a ques-

tion of revenue (as far as my memory serves) I did declare to the House that the public revenue had been squandered away, and that the money of the nation had not been regularly audited and

accounted for.

'That in the department of the Pay-office I had been informed there were upwards of forty millions not properly accounted for; that the officers of the King's Exchequer were bound in ship, so I cannot tell what you may have heard duty to see justice done to the public; that process had issued out of the Court of Exchequer, and that all proceedings for a certain time had been suspended by the king's sign manual. I then did declare, that it was an high offence for any minister to advise the king to stop the course of public justice, without assigning a very good reason for such his advice. I desired the Chancellor of the Exchequer, and the Lords of the Treasury, who sat opposite to me, to set me right if my information was not well-founded; but not a single word was uttered in answer by any of the gentlemen in administration.

After some days had elapsed, I met my friend Mr Woodhouse in Westminster Hall, he told me I had been missinformed as to what I had mentioned in the House of Commons, and that, if I would give him leave, he would send me a paper from a noble Lord, which would convince me of my mistake. The paper alluded to is in London, I therefore cannot speak of the contents with accuracy and precision; but this I recollect, that the perusal of the paper did not convince me that all I had heard was talse. It was a private paper, and I do not recollect having shown it to more than a single person. I have no doubt Mr Woodhouse has a copy of the paper by him, and I hope he will submit the contents to the judgment of the public, in vindication of an INNOCENT man.

"I am, dear Sir,"

Your ever faithful and affectionate humble

servant, 'WILLIAM BECKFORD.'

It was in consequence of this letter that lord Holland was induced to publish the account above referred to by Junius, and again by Mr Beckford. Long as it is, it ought not to be omitted in this place.

FOR THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER. Letter to H. S. Woodfall.

'MR WOODFALL, Kingsgate, July 20, 1769
'Lord Holland seeing in your paper a
Letter from Mr Beckford to a Liveryman, of July 15, 1769, and Mr Wedhouse being at Spa, in Germany, sends ye an authentic copy of the paper which he sent by Mr Woodhouse to Mr Beckford. He hopes the perusal of it will convince the reader that all is false that can impute

any crime to lord Holland.

'The reader will see that some of lord Holland's accounts were then before the auditor; and there are two years' accounts since lodged there.

'He will see that lord Holland's accounts (voluminous and difficult beyond example) have not been kept back from inclination, but necessity; and not longer than those of his predecessors.

*He will see (and is desired to observe particularly) that savings, so far from remaining all in lord Holland's hands, had been given in and voted in aid of the public service to the amount of £500,541. And £43,533 10s. 7d. (upon some regimental and other accounts being adjusted this last winter) have been since paid and voted.

'He will read in it, that lord Holland desired to be shown how he could proceed faster than he

did. If nobody has shown or can show how that might have been, or may be done, does he deserve either punishment or censure? And had he not a right to think himself sure that Mr Beckford must have been against the article in the petition relating to him, because Mr Beckford knew, and could have shown the Lord Mayor in writing, the utter falsehood of what is there instinuated.

'Lord Holland prints the memorial examined by the Treasury, and the sign manual it obtained; stopping process (and accounts) for six months, which neither did nor could suspend or delay the pay-master's accounts an hour.

'HOLLAND'

OBSERVATIONS ON THE ACCOUNTS OF THE PAY-MASTER GENERAL.

Why were Lord Holland's accounts, as paymaster general, for the years 1755, 1758, and 1759, not delivered to the auditors before the year 1768?

ANSWER.

The pay-master general's officers being best acquainted with army accounts, are employed in making up the account of the preceding pay-masters. The accounts of the earls of Chatham, Darlington, and Kinnoul, and Mr. Potter, were made up by them, and regularly, and in due course delivered to the auditors.

Great as the army and its expenses were during the last war, beyond all former example, dispersed in all quarters of the world, and difficult as it must have been to keep the accounts any tolerable order, it will be found, upon examination, that the accounts of lord Holland as pay-master general, are not further back than those of his predecessors, and that his Lordship's accounts are not keep back, as has been suggested, from inclination, but necessity.

ed, from inclination, our necessary.

The late Mr Winnington's accounts, for two
years and a half, from December, 1743, to 24th
of June, 1746, were declared the 15th of May,
1760. The earl of Chatham's accounts for nine
years and a half, from the 25th June, 1746, to
the 24th of December, 1755, are not yet declared.

The earls of Darlington and Kinnoul for the year 1756, and the earl of Kinnoul's and Mr Potter's for six months, to the 24th of June,

1757, are now before the auditors. The accounts of lord Holland for the years 1757, 1758, and 1759; likewise the accounts of his deputies, attending the army in Germany, from the commencement to the end of the late war, are also before the auditors for their examination, and his Lordship's account for the year 1760, is almost ready to be delivered to

them. From the nature and extension of army accounts, it is most evident to those that are best acquainted with them, that it is tedious and difficult to bring even regimental accounts to a final adjustment; other parts of the accounts are more so. Lord Holland, in the course of the years 1759, 1760, 1761, 1762, 1763, and 1764, has paid to regiments and independent companies

\$320,391 95 11d., whose accounts are at this time be very much obliged to them. Let it be obunadjusted, for want of proper authorities, and served, that he has before the auditors already, till those authorities are obtained the auditor accounts for more years than Mr Winnington or will not allow one shilling of said sum in his Lordship's account. To obtain those authorities, his Lordship has often repeated his solicitations.

What is the balance of cash in lord Holland's hands?

ANSWER.

The meaning of this question can be no other than, what savings are in lord Holland's hands? Or, in other words, how much has the expense in any case fallen short of the sum voted?

As to the savings:-so far as the Pay-Office has been enabled to state the army accounts. they have been given in to parliament.

From services that have fallen short of the sums voted, and from monies paid in by army accomptants, lord Holland directed accounts to be made up and laid before the House of Commons; and accordingly (out of these savings in lord Holland's hands) parliament from time to time availed itself of the following sums, viz.

time availed usen of the following sums, viz.			
	£	s.	ı
Voted in aid of extraordinaries, } to December 24, 1763,	239,966	I	
Voted in the year 1764, in aid of German claims, }	170,906	2	
Voted in the year 1765, in aid of ditto service,	251,740	2	
Voted in the year 1766, in aid of extraordinary services,	60,638	2	I
Voted in the year 1767, in aid of extraordinaries and other services.	171,571	13	
Voted in the year 1768, in aid) of the supply	15,719	15	

His Lordship could by no other means ascertain and give in to parliament the savings on the votes for the army, but by the final adjustment of army accounts; what further savings may be, is very uncertain, as they cannot be known before the services are absolutely determined and closed.

His Lordship is very sorry to say it, that in the years 1759, 1760, 1761, 1762, 1763, and 1764, there are not less than fifty-six regiments and companies now standing open and unadjusted, for want of authorities; and in his ledgers there are accounts to a much greater extent, as the

pay of staff officers, &c. &c.

It may be seen here that though Mr Winning ton died in April, 1746, and his executor, Mr Ingram, used all possible industry to close his accounts, they could not be closed till 1760; fourteen years. The earl of Chatham went out in December, 1755, yet are not his accounts closed till 1768; thirteen years. The earl of Kinnoul's are not closed yet, though he has been out of the office eleven years. Lord Holland has Where is the been out three years and a half. wonder his are not closed?

lord Kinnoul had to account for.

MEMORIAL FOR LORD HOLLAND TO HAVE LONGER TIME TO MAKE UP HIS ACCOUNTS AS PAY-MASTER GENERAL

May it please your Lordships

I beg to inform your Lordships that a process is in the hands of the sheriffs of Middlesex against me to account to his Majesty for the monies imprested to me, as pay-master general of his Majesty's forces.

I most humbly apprehend that the regular ordinary course of accounting in the Exchequer was calculated (when established) for transactions at home, which are easily and readily to be collected and made up at short periods of

The accounts of the army when employed abroad, particularly, must unavoidably be much in arrear from the nature of the service.

The army payments are necessarily in arrear; and articles, from accidents inevitable, are obliged to remain often open a long time before they can finally be closed.

The accounts of the last war are voluminous and difficult beyond example. The great variety of operations, and the very great distance of the troops, made, and must make, the correspondence, and adjusting those accounts with the to pay-masters and accountants attending them, very slow and tedious. These therefore will require longer time to make up, both from their bulk and difficulty.

During the course of a war, the troops 7 constantly changing and moving, and the service in the utmost hurry, it cannot then be £910,541 18 3 done with the order and regularity absolutely necessary. Since the war the utmost diligence has been used in them The great intricate article of Foreign expense (viz. the German) has been got together for the whole time (which, after the former war, was several years about); and one year and a half's general account is now made out, and ready to be laid before the auditors; the rest will regularly be laid before them as fast as it is possible to make them up. Though I have been two years out of employment the payments for my time are not yet completed.

I therefore pray your Lordships will be pleased to obtain his Majesty's warrant, granting me longer time for making up my accounts as pay-master general of his Majesty's forces.

Which is, &c. &c. Pay-Office, Horse Guards, HOLLAND. 25th June, 1767.

KING'S WARRANT, STAY OF PROCESS AGAINST LORD HOLLAND FOR SIX MONTHS.

Copy.

George R.

onder his are not closed? Whereas our right, trusty, and well-If those who complain will show lord Holland beloved Henry lord Holland hath, by the annexed how he can proceed faster than he does, he will memorial, represented, that, from several unavoidable causes and difficulties, he hath been prevented making up his accompts as late paymaster general of our forces; and we having taken the said matter into our royal consideration, are graciously pleased to grant unto him a further time for making up his said accompts. Our will and pleasure therefore is, and we do hereby direct, authorize, and require you to cause all process against the said Henry lord Holland for his accompts, as late pay-master general of our forces, to be stayed for and during the term of six months, computed from the day of the date hereof. And for so doing this shall be your warrant Given at our Court at Saint James's the eighth day of July, 1767, in the seventh year of our reign.

By his Majesty's command. GRAFTON. C. TOWNSHEND. T. TOWNSHEND.

To our right, trusty, and well-beloved Samuel lord Marsham, our Remembrancer in our Court of Exchequer.

The Mr Onslow here spoken of, as well as in various other parts of this work, is the present lord Onslow. The history of his dispute with the late Mr Horne Tooke is as follows:—In the Public Advertiser of July 14th, 1769, the following letter made its appearance, addressed

TO THE RIGHT HON. GEORGE ONSLOW, ESO.

Sir,

I have heard from very good authority that one of the Lords of the Treasury has lately gained a thousand pounds in a very common and usual manner, which is yet likely to be attended with a very uncommon and unusual consequence. Mr --- applied to the right honourable Mr for his interest for a certain lucrative post in America. The gentleman was informed that a thousand pounds placed in the hands of Mrs would insure him the place. Mr - not having the money, prevailed on colonel -- to join with him in a bond for that sum to the lady to whom he was directed. So far, Sir, all is in the common track: What follows is the wonderful part of the transaction. This Lord of the Treasury kept his word, and the gentleman was appointed to the office he had paid for ! And stranger still, lord , who discovered this bargain and sale, is offended at it, and insists on the dismission of this Lord of the Treasury. Now, Sir, I must intreat you to favour one of your constnuents with the name of this Lord of the Treasury, for you, no doubt, who sit at that Board yourself, must be acquainted with him

ANOTHER FREEHOLDER OF SURREY.

Ash-Court, July 11.

To this letter Mr Onslow made the following reply, which was published in the same newspaper, July 18, ensuing.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

Sir,
Having just now read a letter containing by evident insinuation, a most audacious attack upon my character, printed by you, in your paper of Friday last, asserting a gross and infamous lie from beginning to end; I do hereby publicly call upon you to name the person from whom you received the account you have pre-sumed to publish. If you are either unable or unwilling to do this, I shall most certainly treat you as the author, and, in justice both to myself and others who are every day thus malignantly and wickedly vilified, shall take the best advice in the law if an action will not lie for such atrocious defamation, and if I may not hope to make an example of the author of it

The scurrility in general which has been of late so heaped upon me in the public papers, I have hitherto treated with the contempt my friends and myself thought it deserved, and suffered it to pass with impunity; but this last is so outrageous, and tends so much to wound my character and honour in the tenderest part, that I am determined, if practicable, to see if a jury will not do me and the public justice against such a libeller, and whether they will not think the robbing an innocent man of his character is a robbery of the most dangerous kind, and that the

perpetrators of it will stick at nothing.

For the present I must content myself with only laying before the public the two following letters, which will explain to them all the know-ledge I had of the detestable fraud, which has been taken advantage of to charge me with corruption; a crime, which, of all others, I hold the most in abhorrence. I defy the whole world to prove a single word in your libellous letter to be true, or that the whole is not a barefaced, posi-tive, and entire lie. That it is so I do assert, and I call upon anybody, if they can, to disprove what I say.

GEORGE ONSLOW.

Copy of a letter to Mr Onslow, received the 27th of June.

New Bond Street, June 25, 1769 I beg you will pardon my thus addressing you, a liberty I could not think of, was any thing less than my family's bread at stake. Some weeks past my husband paid a large sum of money (which gave us inexpressible sorrow to raise) to a party, who protest they are empowered by you to insure him, in return, the collectorship of Piscataway in New Hampshire. I have been told this day one Hughes is in possession of the same, and the Treasury Books confirm the news I beg leave most earnestly to intreat you will inform me whether Mr Hughes is under any engagement to resign, or whether we are duped by those who have taken our money.

Mr Burns has had the strongest recommendations from persons of undoubted veracity, and I believe, on all accounts, will be found to be perfectly capable and worthy of the employment.

Once more I intreat, good Sir, you will excuse

this trouble, which is caused by a heart almost broken with the fear and terror of a disappointment. With the profoundest respect,

I am, Sir, Your most obedient humble Servant. MARY BURNS.

Mr Onslow's Answer.

MADAM. Ember Court, June 27, 1769. Your letter was brought down to me hither only to-day, or I should have answered it sooner. Without having the honour of being known to you or Mr Burns, it gives me much concern that anybody should be so imposed upon as you have been, and as much indignation that my name should be made so infamous a use of. I should have been under an equal degree of surprise, had I not this morning had some intimation of the matter from Mr Pownal and Mr Bradshaw, and made some enquiry into it of Mr Watkins at Charing Cross, with a determination to sift this shocking scene of villany to the bottom, and which I shall now be encouraged in by the hopes of getting you your money restored to you, as well as the earnest desire I have to bring the perpetrators of this roguery to the punishment and shame they deserve

For this purpose, might I beg the favour of Mr Burns to meet me at my house in Curzon Street, about ten o'clock on Friday morning.—I will go with him to Mr Pownal's, of which I have given him notice; and I wish Mr Burns would bring with him Mr Watkins, or anybody else that can give light into this unhappy and wicked

Till this morning I never in my life heard a single word of either the office itself, nor of any of the parties concerned. You will judge then of my astonishment, and indeed horror, at hearing of it to-day from Mr Bradshaw.

I am, Madam, &c., GEORGE ONSLOW.

Since writing of the above letters, more of this fraud has been detected, and further enquiry is making, in order to bring the actors in it to justice. A woman of the name of Smith, who lives near Broad Street, is the person who appears to be principally concerned in the fraud, the money being, it seems, for her use.

The writer of the first address, now authorizing the printer to give Mr Onslow his name (which he did, and which was that of the Rev. John Horne), once more attacked the Right Honourable Gentleman as follows, in the same paper, July 28.

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE GEORGE ONSLOW.

COOD SIR,

If with another INNOCENT man, Lord Holland, you were ambitious to add to the list of Mr Walpole's Right Honourable authors, you might, like him, have exposed yourself with more temper, and have called names in better English.

I should be sorry to libel you by mistaking your meaning, but the strange manner of wording your first sentence leaves me at a loss to know whether you intend that my letter, or -your own character, is 'a gross and infam-ous lie from beginning to end.'

You may save yourself the expense of taking 'the best advice in the law.' Depend upon it The best dance in the law.

you can never 'hole to make an example of the
author, when the publisher is unable or unwilling to give up his name.' And you need
not wait for a jury to determine 'that robbing a
man is certainly a robbery' But you should have considered some months since that it is the same thing whether the man be guilty or innocent; and whether he be robbed of his reputa-tion or-of his seat in parliament.

In the Public Advertiser of Friday, July 14, there is a letter FROM you as well as TO you. If that is the scurrility you speak of, I agree with you that it has been treated with the contempt it deserves by all the world; but how you can say that it has passed with impunity, I own I cannot conceive, unless indeed you are of opinion with those hardened criminals who think that, because there is no corporal sufferance in it, the being gibbeted in chains and exposed as

a spectacle makes no part of their punishment. The letter written by you to Mr Wilkes tends more 'to wound your character and honour, than any other, and yet you pass it over in silence. But you shall, if you please, prove to the world that those who have neither character nor honour, may still be wounded in a very tender part-their interest. And I believe lord Hillsborough is too noble to suffer any Lord of the Treasury to prostitute his name and commission to bargains like that I have exposed; but will, if he continues to preside at the Board of Trade, resolutely insist either on such Lord's full justification or dismission .- Hinc alla lachrymæ.

You 'defy the whole world to prove a single word in my letter to be true; or that the whole is not a barefaced, positive, and entire lie." The language of the last part of the sentence is such as I can make no use of, and therefore I return it back on you to whom it belongs: The defiance in the first part, I accept, and will dis-

prove what you say.

My letter can only be false in one particular; for it contains only one affirmation, namely, that I heard the story I relate from very good authority. It then concludes with a question to you of-who is this Lord of the Treasury that so abhors corruption? Which question since you have answered, I too will gratify you, and in return for yours do hereby direct the printer to give you my name, which, humble as it is, I should not consent to exchange with you in any other manner.

Now, Sir, I do again affirm that I heard the story from the best authority: And that it is not my invention your own letter is a proof, for I might have heard it either from Mrs Burns, or from Mr Pownal, or Mr Bradshaw, but I heard it from better authority. I go further. I do

still believe the story as I related it to be true; seem almost to doubt whether you 'might beg to the contrary. I do not mean to charge you or any one; but since you have condescended to answer my former question, be kind enough

to explain what follows.

Mr Pownal is secretary to the Board of Trade. Mr Bradshaw is secretary to the Treasury. Why did these two secretaries come together to you? Were they sent by their principals or not? Who first detected this very scandalous though very common traffic? Has not lord Hillsborough that honour? And is not your exaggerated 'abhorrence of corruption, your astonishment, and indeed HORROR at this shocking scene of villany' vastly heightened by the calm, and therefore unsuspected, disapprobation of his Lordship: who does not seem to think with you that every whore should be hanged alive: but only that they should be TURNED OUT of honest company.

How came you so instantly to entertain hopes of getting the money restored to Mrs Burns? when you declared, that, 'till that morning, you never in your life heard a single word of either the office itself, nor of any of the parties concerned. Jonathan Wild used to return such answers, because he knew the theft was com-

mitted by some of his own gang.

You pretend to have given to the public 'all the knowledge you have of this detestable fraud.' I cannot believe it, because I find nothing in your letter on which to found your hopes of restoring the money to Mrs Burns; and especially because in three weeks after this letter, i. e from cause in three weeks after this felter, i.e. non-June 27 to July 18, you have only discovered that Mrs Smith appears to be principally con-cerned in this detestable fraud, the money being, it seems, for her use. Sin do you not know WHOSE wife Mrs Smith is? And are you not caused Mrs Smith or any one else to be taken which you abhor more than corruption, and for to those who give him the opportunity by making a story public. Malicious and false slander never

nor has any thing you have said convinced me the favour of Mr Burns to meet you at your house in Curzon Street; that is, you humbly solicit Mr Burns to do you the favour of accepting your assistance in the recovery of his money. Archbishop Laud thought to clear himself to posterity from all aspersions relative to popery, by inserting in his diary his refusal of a cardinal's hat; not perceiving the disgrace indelibly fixed on him by the offer. 'Mr Burns has had the strongest recommendations from persons of un-doubted veracity, and I believe on all accounts will be found to be perfectly capable and worthy the employment.' The letter from Mrs Burns to you does by no means declare her to be an ideot. - (whom you forbear to mention) is a man of sense, and well acquainted with the world. It is strange they should all three believe you capable of this crime, which 'of all others you most hold in abhorrence' Mr Pownal, Mr Bradshaw, and their principals, are supposed to know something of men and things, and therefore I conclude they did not believe you concerned in this business: though I wonder much that, not believing it, both the secretaries should wait on you so seriously about it; but perhaps they may think, that when honour and justice are not the rules of men's actions, there is nothing incredible that may be for their advantage, But, Sir, whatever may be their sentiments of you, I must intreat you to entertain no resentment to me, my opinion of your character would never suffer me to doubt your innocence. If indeed the charge of corruption had been brought against a low and ignorant debauchee, who, without the gratifications and enjoyments of a gentleman, had wasted a noble patrimony amongst the lowest prostitutes; whose necessities had driven him to hawk about a reversion on the moderate terms of one thousand for two acquainted with that gentleman? Have you hundred; whose desperate situation had made him renounce his principles and desert his friends, into custody? Have you taken 'the best advice those principles and those friends to which he in law, and are you determined to see if a jury stood indebted for his chief support; who for a will not do you and the public justice' for this paltry consideration had stabbed a DEAR OLD detestable fraud? Or is there yet left one crime | FRIEND, and violated the sacred rights of that grateful country that continued to the son the which you reserve all your indignation? But reward of his father's services: if the charge had why this anger? He that is innocent can easily been brought against such an one, more fit to prove himself to be so; and should be thankful receive the public charity than to be trusted with the DISPOSAL and MANAGEMENT of the public money, small proof would have been sufacts in this open manner, but seeks the covert, ficient; and instead of considering it as a crime and cautiously conceals itself from the party the most to be abhorred, we might have suffered maligned, in order to prevent a justification. If any corruption to pass amongst the virtues of such a

as to pay a large sum of money on the suppo- ancestors have long been respectable, with a have offered to you, though you had negotiated

sition (an indignity which I protest I would not pension of three thousand, and a place of one thousand a year; with the certain prospect of the matter and given the promise yourself); and lord Onslow's large fortune, which your prudence your honour is not like Casar's wife. Nay, you

than seventy-two eye-witnesses are necessary. Thus, Sir, you see how far I am from casting any reflection on your integrity: however if notwithstanding all I have said you are still resolved to try the determination of a jury, take one piece of advice from me: do not think of prosecuting me for an insinuation: alter your charge before it comes upon record, to prevent its being done afterwards; for though lord Mansfield did not know the difference between the words when he substituted the one for the other, we all know very well now that it is the TENOR and not the PURPORT that must convict for a libel, which indeed almost every student in the law knew before

ANOTHER FREEHOLDER OF SURRY.

The names of lord Hillsborough and Mr Pownal having been introduced into the preceding letter, they thought proper to deny any other knowledge of Mr Onslow's supposed turpitude, than that proceeding from common report, and accordingly inserted the following letters in the Public Advertiser on the day after their respective dates. Long as this note is, we cannot, in justice to Mr Onslow, here omit them.

TO H. S. WOODFALL,

Printer of the Public Advertiser.

HAVING observed in a newspaper of the 28th of July last, that it is insinuated that I have been the detector of a supposed crime, imputed to the right honourable George Onslow, Esq., I do think it an act of common justice to declare, in this public manner, that I am entirely ignorant of the onslow upwa said supposed crime, and of all circumstances relative to it, except that I have heard the story the occasion.

mentioned in common conversation, and constantly treated as a calumny propagated to injure Mr Onslow's reputation.

HILLSBOROUGH. Hanover Square, August 2, 1760.

IT having been suggested in a letter addressed to the right honourable George Onslow. Esq., published in a newspaper dated the 28th of July last, that I was, together with Mr Brad-shaw, sent to Mr Onslow, on the subject of a scandalous transaction, in which Mr Onslow is. in the said letter, stated to be concerned; it is become necessary for me, in justice to that gentleman, to declare, that I never was sent to Mr Onslow, on that or any other occasion; but having heard this story, I thought it but common justice to communicate it to Mr Onslow, which I did through the channel of Mr Bradshaw

J. POWNAL. Whitehall, August 2, 1760.

An action for defamation against Mr Horne, was brought by Mr Onslow, agreeably to his menace, and the damages were laid at \$10,000. It was tried before Mr Justice Blackstone, at the Surry Assizes held at Kingston, April 6, 1770, and terminated in Mr Onslow's nonsuit, in consequence of the word pounds being inserted in the record, instead of the word pound. The cause was re-heard before lord chief justice Mansfield at the ensuing Summer Assizes, held at Guildford, when Mr Onslow was again non-suited. The trial is supposed to have cost Mr Onslow upwards of £1500 in consequence of his having retained all the principal counsel upon

No. 6.

SIR. Sunday, Aug. 6, 1769.

THE spirit of your letter1 convinces me that you are a much better writer than most of the people whose works you publish. Whether you have guessed well or ill must be left to our future acquaintance. For the matter of assistance, be assured that, if a question should arise upon any writings of mine, you shall not want it. Yet you see how things go, and I fear my assistance would not avail you much. For depend upon us at present. My own works you shall constantly have, and in point of rection was perfectly right. The sense remoney, be assured you never shall suffer.

I wish the enclosed 2 to be announced tomorrow conspicuously for Tuesday. I am not capable of writing anything more finished.

Your friend.

Your Veridicus 3 is Mr Whitworth. assure you I have not confided in him.

No. 7.

Sir. Wednesday night, Aug. 16, 1760. I HAVE been some days in the the other points of printing, &c., it does not country, and could not conveniently send for your letter until this night. Your cor-

> 3 Veridicus was a frequent writer in the Public Advertiser, in the year 1769, and, as already observed in the Preliminary Essay, was Richard Whitworth, Esq., M.P. for Stafford.

The substance of Mr Woodfall's reply to Private Letter, No. 3, 1s not known. Letter XX.

When I spoke of innumerable blunders, I meant Newberry's pamphlet; for I must confess that upon the whole your papers the real Junius, though the observation are very correctly printed.

Do with my letters exactly what you they are by the same author. If you adopt humble servant, this plan, I shall point out those which I would recommend: for you know, I do not, nor indeed have I time to, give equal care to them all.

I know Mr Onslow perfectly. He is a false silly fellow. Depend upon it he will get nothing but shame by contending with Home.1

I believe I need not assure you, that I have never written in any other paper since I began with yours. As to Junius, I must wait for fresh matter, as this is a character which must be kept up with credit. Avoid prosecutions if you can; but, above all things, avoid the Houses of Parliament,there is no contending with them. At present you are safe, for this House of Commons has lost all dignity, and dare not do any thing.

Adieu. c.

No. 8.

(Private)

SIR.

Sept. 10, 1769.

THE last letter you printed was idle and improper, and I assure you printed against my own opinion.2 The truth is, there are people about me, whom I would wish not to contradict, and who had rather see Junius in the papers ever so improperly than not at all. I wish it could be recalled.

quired it, and I am much obliged to you. Suppose you were to say-We have some reason to suspect that the last letter signed Funius in this paper, was not written by escaped us at the time: or, if you can hit off any thing yourself more plausible, you will please. I should think that, to make a much oblige me, but without a positive better figure than Newberry, some others assertion. Don't let it be the same day of my letters may be added, and so throw with the enclosed. Begging your pardon out a hint, that you have reason to suspect for this trouble, I remain your friend and C.

No. o.

(Private) Friday night, Sept. 15, 1769. Sir.

I BEG vou will to-morrow advertise Junius to another duke in our next.3 If Monday's paper be engaged, then let it be for Tuesday, but not advertised till Monday. You shall have it some time tomorrow night. It cannot be corrected and copied sooner. I mean to make it worth printing.

> Yours. c.

No. 10.

Thursday night, Oct. 5, 1769.

I shall be glad to see the pacquet you speak of.4 It cannot come from the Cavendishes, though there be no end of the family. They would not be so silly as to put their arms on the cover. As to me, be assured that it is not in the nature of things, that they, or you, or any body else should ever know me, unless I make myself known. All arts, or enquiries, or rewards would be equally ineffectual.

As to you, it is clearly my opinion, that you have nothing to fear from the duke of Bedford. I reserve some things expressly to awe him, in case he should think of bringing you before the House of Lords .-I am sure I can threaten him privately

announced agreeably to the above request in the Public Advertiser for September 18, 1769.

4 The nature of this communication is not

This contest is already related in the note to Private Letter, No. 5.

It occurs in the Miscellaneous Letters, No.

LIX. In the genuine edition it was omitted for the reason which the author has here specified.

³ This note accompanied the letter to his Grace the duke of Bedford, Letter XXIII., and was known.

with such a storm, as would make him write common English, nor spell, is hardly tremble even in his grave. You may send worth attending to. It is probably a trap to-morrow to the same place without fur- for me. I should be glad, however, to ther notice; and if you have any thing of know what the fool means. If he writes your own to communicate. I shall be glad again, open his letter, and if it contains any to hear it.

С.

No. 11.

SIR. Nov. 8, 1769.

I HAVE been out of town these three weeks, and, though I got your last, could not conveniently answer it. Be so good as to signify to A. B. C., either by word of mouth, or in your own hand, 'that any body's sins but my own, I must desire his papers are received, and that I should have been ready to do him the service he desires; but at present it would be quite useless to the parties, and might offend some persons who must not be offended.' civil excuse.

I should be much obliged to you, if you would reprint (and in the front page, if not improper or inconvenient) a letter in the London Evening Post of last night, to the duke of Grafton.2 If it had not been anticipated, I should have touched upon the subject myself. However, it is not ill done, and it is very material that it should spread. The person alluded to is lord Denbigh. I should think you might venture him with a D. As it stands few people might be advertised for Tuesday.5 can guess who is meant. The only thing poor devil Gansel, and those other block- Barrack-master to the Savoy. heads.-But as soon as a good subject offers .- Your types really wanted mending.

No. 12.

SIR. Nov. 12, 1769.

I RETURN you the letters you sent me yesterday. A man who can neither

I Mr Mortimer was either at this time, or shortly afterwards, employed by Mr Woodfall to procure intelligence for the Public Advertiser.

2 See Miscellaneous Letters, No. LXI.

thing worth my knowing, send it: otherwise not. Instead of C. in the usual place, say only A Letter when you have occasion to write to me again .- I shall understand you.

No. 13.

Thursday, Nov. 16, 1769.

As I do not chuse to answer for you to say to-morrow, 'We can assure the Public that the letter, signed A. B., relative to the duke of Rutland, is not written by the author of [UNIUS.' 3

I sometimes change my signature, but As to Mr Mortimer, only make him some could have no reason to change the paper. especially for one that does not circulate half so much as yours.

For the future, open all letters to me, and don't send them, unless of importance. -I can give you light about Veridicus.4

No. 14.

Sunday, Dec. 10, 1769.

I WOULD wish the paper (No. 2.)

By way of intelligence you may inform that hinders my pushing the subject of my the public that Mr De La Fontaine, for last letter, is really the fear of ruining that his secret services in the Alley, is appointed

I hope A. B. C. has got his papers again.

No. 15.

SIR, Dec. 12, 1769.

You may tell Mr A. B. C. that I

did not receive his letter till last night, and

3 See Miscellaneous Letters, No. LXI., and the first note appended to it.

See note to Private Letter, No. 6.

5 The paper here referred to is the letter of JUNIUS, No. XXXIV. The ensuing intelligence was published verbally in the Public Advertiser of the next day, Dec. 11.

have not had time to look into the paper annexed. I cannot at present understand what use I can make of it. It certainly shall not be an ungenerous one to him If begin with you.

Tell A. B. C. his paper shall be returned. I am now meditating a capital, and I hope a final piece; -you shall hear of it shortly.2

No. 16.

Dec. 19, 1769.

sake read maternal; it is in the sixth paragraph.3 The rest is excellently done.

No. 17.

SIR. Dec. 26, 1760.

WITH the enclosed alterations I he or his counsel know how to act, I have should think our paper might appear.4 As saved him already, and really without in- to embowelling, do whatever you think tending it.—The facts are all literally true. proper, provided you leave it intelligible to Mr Hine's place is customer at the port of vulgar capacities; but would not it be the Exeter. Colonel Burgoyne received £4000 shortest way at once to print it, in an anon-To mend the matter, the money ymous pamphlet? judge for yourself. was raised by contribution, and the sub- enter sincerely into the anxiety of your scribers quartered upon Mr Hine. Among situation. At the same time I am strongly the rest, one doctor Brook, a physician at inclined to think that you will not be called Exeter, has £ 100 a year out of the salary. upon.5 They cannot do it without subject-I think you might give these particulars in ing Hine's affair to an enquiry, which would vour own way to the public.1 As to your- be worse than death to the minister. As it self, I am convinced the ministry will not is, they are more seriously stabbed with this venture to attack you. They dare not sub- last stroke than all the rest .- At any rate, mit to such an enquiry. If they do, show stand firm-(I mean with all the humble no fear, but tell them plainly you will appearances of contrition)-if you trim or justify, and subpœnâ Mr Hine, Burgoyne, faulter, you will lose friends without gaining and Bradshaw of the Treasury-that will others. A. B. C. has done right in pubsilence them at once.—As to the House of lishing his letter. It defends him more Commons there may be more danger. effectually than all his nonsense.-- I believe But even there I am fully satisfied the min- I shall give him a lift, for I really think he istry will exert themselves to quash such an has been punished infinitely beyond his enquiry, and on the other side, you will merits. -I doubt much whether I shall ever have friends :- but they have been so grossly have the pleasure of knowing you; but if abused on all sides, that they will hardly things take the turn I expect, you shall know me by my works.

No. 18.

C.

(Private) SIR. Fan. 12, 1770.

I DESIRED A. B. C. not to write FOR material affection for God's to me until I gave him notice. He must therefore blame himself, if the detention of his papers has been inconvenient to him. Pray tell him this, and that he shall have them in a day or two. I shall also keep my promise to him,6 but to do it imme-

an explanation of the fact and papers here referred to.

The facts were given to the public by JUNIUS himself, in Letter XXXIV., and are indeed touched upon more than once in his subsequent letters.

² He refers to the Letter to the King, No. XXXV.

³ Letter to the King, No. XXXV. ⁴ This paper is supposed to have been totally suppressed, the alterations introduced into it not having perhaps satisfied the printer of his safety in publishing it, as the signal of a private communication from him to the author appeared in the P. A. of the next day.

⁵ The printer was threatened by the minister with a prosecution for publishing the letter of JUNIUS, No. XXXIII., and the Court of King's Bench was actually moved on his behalf; but, probably for the reason mentioned above, the threat was never executed.

6 See Letters XXXIII. and XXXVI., for

diately would be useless to him, and unadvisable with respect to myself. I believe you may banish your fears. The information1 will only be for a misdemeanour, and I am advised that no jury, especially in these times, will find it. I suspect the channel, through which you have your intelligence. It will be carried on coldly. You must not write to me again, but be assured I will never desert you. I received your letters regularly, but it was impossible to answer them sooner. You shall hear from me again shortly.

No. 19.

(Private)

SIR. Beginning of Feb. 1770.

WHEN you consider to what excessive enmities I may be exposed, you will not wonder at my caution. I really have not known how to procure your last. If it be not of any great moment I would wish you to recall it. If it be, give me a hint. If your affair should come to a trial,2 and you should be found guilty, you will then let me know what expense falls particularly on yourself; for I understand you are engaged with other proprietors. Some way or other you shall be reimbursed. But seriously and bona fide, I think it is im-

¹ The information was for publishing the Letter to the King, No. XXXV., for the particulars of which see the author's Preface, and

note appended to it, p. 117.

The trial referred to is stated more fully in another part of this publication, and alludes to an information filed by the Attorney-General, in consequence of the printer's having published the letter of Junius to the King, No. XXXV. The copy of the information was procured in Hulary term, 1770, and the trial took place at Guildhall, June 13th following. The costs to the printer in defending himself, though ultimately successful, amounted to about £120, a somewhat heavy fine for a person not found

3 The information here referred to, is that noticed in the note to the preceding letter.

4 The letter referred to is XXXVII.

5 Agreed upon at a general meeting of the electors of the city and liberty of Westminster,

No. 20.

About Feb. 14, 1770.

I HAVE carefully perused the information.8 It is so loose and ill-drawn. that I am persuaded Mr De Grev could not have had a hand in it. Their inserting the whole, proves they had no strong passages to fix on. I still think it will not be tried. If it should, it is not possible for a jury to find you guilty.

> No. 21. Saturday, March, 17, 1770.

To-morrow before twelve you shall have a Junius, it will be absolutely necessary that it should be published on Monday.

Would it be possible to give notice of it to-night or to-morrow, by a dispersing a few hand-bills? Pray do whatever you think will answer this purpose best, for now is the crisis.4

C.

No. 22.

Sunday, March 18, 1770.

THIS letter is written wide, and I suppose will not fill two columns. For God's sake let it appear to-morrow. I hope you received my note of yesterday.

Lord Chatham is determined to go to the Hall to support the Westminster remonstrance.5 I have no doubt that we shall conquer them at last.

c.

in consequence of their petition to his Majesty, requesting him to dissolve the Parliament which had expelled Mr Wilkes, having been rejected. The following is a copy of the remonstrance:-

'The humble address, remonstrance, and petition of the electors of the city and liberty of West-minster, assembled in Westminster Hall the 28th day of March, 1770.

'We, your Majesty's most dutiful and loyal subjects, the electors of the city and liberty of Westminster, having already presented our humble, but ineffectual, application to the throne, find ourselves, by the misconduct of your Majesty's ministers, in confederacy with many of our representatives, reduced to the necessity assembled in Westminster Hall, March 28, 1770, of again breaking in by our complaints upon your No. 23.

(Private)

Friday Morn. Oct. 19, 1770.

By your affected silence,1 you encourage an idle opinion that I am the

Majesty's repose, or of acquiescing under grievances so NEW and so EXORBITANT, that none but those who patiently submit to them, can

deserve to suffer them.

By the same secret and unhappy influence to which all our grievances have been originally owing, the redress of those grievances has been now prevented; and the grievances themselves have been repeatedly confirmed; with this additional circumstance of aggravation, that while the invaders of our rights remain the directors of your Majesty's councils, the defenders of those rights have been dismissed from your Majesty's service—your Majesty having been advised by your ministers to remove from his employment for his vote in Parliament, the highest officer of the law; because his principles suited ill with theirs, and his pure distribution of justice with their corrupt administration of it in the House of Commons.

We beg leave, therefore, again to represent to your Majesty, that the House of Commons have struck at the most valuable liberties and franchises of all the electors of Great Britain; and by assuming to themselves a right of chusing, instead of receiving a member when chosen, by transferring to the representative what belonged to the constituent, they have taken off

from the dignity, and, we fear, impaired the authority of Parliament itself.

'We presume again therefore humbly to implore from your Majesty, the only remedies which are any way proportioned to the nature of the evil: that you would be graciously pleased to dismiss for ever from your councils, those min-isters who are ill-suited by their dispositions to preserve the principles of a free, or by their capacities to direct the councils of a great and mighty kingdom; and that by speedily dissolving the present Parliament, your Majesty will show, by your own example, and by their dissolution, that the rights of your people are to be inviolable, and that you will never necessitate so many injured, and, by such treatment, exasperated subjects to continue to commit the care of their interests to those from whom they must withdraw their confidence; to repose their in-valuable privileges in the hands of those who have sacrificed them; and their trust in those who have betraved it

Your subjects look up with satisfaction to the powers which the constitution has vested in your Majesty—for it is upon them that they have placed their last dependance, and they trust, that the right of dissolving Parliaments, which has, under former princes, so often answered the purposes of power, may under your Majesty prove an happy instrument of liberty.

author of the Whig,2 &c., though you very well know the contrary. I neither admire the writer nor his idol. I hope you willsoon set this matter right.

'We find ourselves compelled to urge with the greater importunity, this our humble but earnest application to the throne, as every day seems to produce the confirmation of some old or to threaten the introduction of some new injury .- We have the strongest reason to apprehend that the usurpation begun by the House of Commons upon the right of electing, may be extended to the right of petitioning; and that under the pretence of restraining the abuse of this right, it is meant to bring into disrepute, and to intimidate us from the exercise of the right itself.

'But whatever may be the purposes of others, your Majesty hath, in your answer to the city of London, most graciously declared, that you are always ready to receive the requests, and to listen to the complaints of your subjects. Your Ma-jesty condescends likewise to esteem it a duty to secure to them the free enjoyment of those rights

which your family were called to defend.
We rely, therefore, upon the Royal word
thus given, that our grievances will meet with
full redress, and our complaints with the most favourable interpretation-that your Majesty will never consider the arraignment of your ministers as a disrespect to your person; a charge confined, by the very terms of it, to this House of Commons, as injurious to Parliament at large (the constitution of which we admire, and the abuse of which is the very thing we lament); or a request for the dissolution of Parliament, which your subjects have a right to make, and your Majesty to grant, as irreconcileable to the principles of the constitution.

'The printer really did not AFFECT a silence

on a CERTAIN OCCASION, with a view of encouraging his readers or correspondents in an idle opinion: the motives for his conduct were, the fear of being thought impertinent by declaring (without direction) what he knew; and the probability of rendering himself liable to incur the displeasure of either of those who were pleased to favour him with their correspondence.'-An-

swer to Correspondents, Oct. 25, 1770.

This letter was printed in the Public Advertiser under the signature of a Whig and an Englishman, Oct. 11, 1770, and refers chiefly to the American Stamp Act, and the opinion of lord Chatham, whom the author panegyrized in very warm terms. The same writer had already published several other letters in the same name; and the printer, in compliance with the request of Junius, gave the following notice :-

October 20.

'The printer thinks it his duty to declare, that the Letters which have appeared in this paper under the signature of a Whig and an Englishman, were not written by the author of those signed Junius.' No. 24.

SIR, Monday Evening, Nov. 12, 1770.

THE enclosed,1 though begun printed as it stands. I don't think you run trifles with me, he shall hear of it.5 the least risque. We have got the rascal down, let us strangle him if it be possible. This paper should properly have appeared to-morrow, but I could not compass it, so let it be announced to-morrow, and printed Wednesday. If you should have any fears, I entreat you to send it early enough to Miller, to appear to-morrow night in the London Evening Post. In that case, you not advertise it. will oblige me by informing the Public tomorrow, in your own paper, that a real JUNIUS will appear at night in the London .- Miller, I am sure, will have no scruples.

Lord Mansfield has thrown ministry into confusion, by suddenly resigning the office of Speaker of the House of Lords.

No. 25.

Wednesday Night, Nov. 21, 1770.2

I SHALL be very glad to hear from your friend at Guildhall .-- You may, if you think proper, give my compliments to him, and tell him, if it be possible, I will make use of any materials he gives me. will never rest till I have destroyed or expelled that wretch,-I wish you joy of vesterday.-The fellow truckles already.3

Letter XLI. Junius to the Right Hon.

Lord Mansfield.

On the outside of this note was written, 'the enclosed strikes deeper than you may imagine. C.' The Letter here referred to, is printed in the Miscellaneous Collection, No. LXXVIII., and is subscribed Testiculus.

3 In allusion to the unanimous judgment of the Court of King's Bench, on the verdict for printing the Letter to the King even Nov. 20th, 1770; by which lord Man lost his object, and the printer was granted a new trial.

⁴The paper here referred to, is Miscellaneous Letter, No. LXXIX., signed *Domitian*, and was

printed as requested.

5 The allusion is to a communication between of thanks and approbation.

No. 26.

Friday, I o'clock, Dec. 7, 1770.

I WISH it were possible for you to within these few days, has been greatly print the enclosed to-morrow.4—Observe laboured. It is very correctly copied, and the Italies strictly where they are marked. I beg you will take care that it be literally Why don't I hear from Guildhall .- If he

No. 27.

SIR. Fanuary 2, 1771.

I HAVE received your mysterious epistle. I dare sav a letter may safely be left at the same place; but you may change the direction to Mr John Fretly. You need

Yours,

C.

No. 28.

Fan. 16, 1771.

You may assure the Public that a squadron of four ships of the line is ordered to be got ready with all possible expedition for the East Indies. It is to be commanded by Commodore Spry. Without regarding the language of ignorant or interested people, depend upon the assurance I give you, that every man in administration looks upon war as inevitable.6

No. 20.

Thursday, Fan. 31, 1771. THE paper is extremely well

the writer and Mr Wilkes, which had been promised by the latter, but had not been at this time received.

6 Inserted in the Public Advertiser, January 17, nearly in the same words. The predicted war, however, did not follow, but the preparation was actually made in the full belief, on the part of the cabinet themselves, that they would be compelled to go to war, by the existing temper of the people, irritated by the dishonourable negotiation concerning the Spanish seizure of Falkland Islands, and that they should be accused of indolence, and even cowardice, by the approaching Parliament. The session opened only four days afterwards, and the question of hostilities was so much upon a balance, that in the Lower House not fewer than 159 members divided against the minister, upon the address printed, and has a great effect. 1-It is of the utmost importance to the public cause that the doors of the House of Lords should be opened on Tuesday next. Perhaps the following may help to shame them into it.

We hear that the ministry intend to move for opening the doors of both Houses of Parliament on Tuesday next, in the usual manner, being desirous that the nation should be exactly informed of their whole conduct in the business of Falkland Island.

(Next Day.)

The nation expect, that on Tuesday next at least, both Houses will be open as usual; otherwise there will be too much reason to suspect, that the proceedings of the ministry have been such as will not bear a public discussion.

We hear that the ministry intend to move, that no gentleman may be refused admittance into either House on Tuesday next. Lord North in particular thinks i touches his character, to have no part o his conduct concealed from the nation.

The resolution of the ministry to move for opening both Houses on Tuesday next does them great honour. If they were to do otherwise, it would raise and justify sus picions very disadvantageous to their own reputation, and to the king's honour.

Pray keep it up.

No. 20.

Tuesday Noon, Feb. 5, 1771. SIR. not receive your letter

'It refers to Letter XLII. For the natur of the subject alluded to, see the Letter, and the notes subjoined to it; as also Miscella neous Letter, No. LXXXVIII., and the not

in explanation.

2 In reference to a note from the Attorne, General for publishing Letter of Junius, No XLII., but which was never farther proceeded

3 This note accompanied No. XC. of the Mis cellaneous Letters. The printer had some scruples about publishing the whole of it; and i the Public Advertiser of Feb. 20, gave the usus mark, 'A Letter,' that a private letter was in waiting upon this subject.' In consequence o intil this day. I shall be very glad to hear that you have to communicate.

You need not advertise any notice.

No. 31.

(Private)

Monday, Feb. 11, 1771.

Our correspondence is attended rith difficulties. Yet I should be glad to see the paper you mention. Let it be left .o-morrow without farther notice. I am seriously of opinion that it will all end in smoke.2

No. 32.

Monday, Feb. 18, 1771.

IT you are not grown too ministerial in your politics, I shall hope to see the enclosed announced to-morrow, and published on Wednesday.3

No. 33.

SIR. Feb. 21, 1771.

IF will be very difficult, if not impracticable, for me to get your note. I presume it relates to Vindex.4 I leave it to you

which the subsequent note was received, dated Feb. 21.

4 The following is a copy of the letter which Mr Woodfall addressed to the author under the feigned name of Mr John Fretly, and directed it to him at the New Exchange Coffee House, in the Strand.

'To have deserved any portion of your good opinion, affords me no small degree of satisfaction—to preserve it shall be my constant endeavour. Always willing to oblige you as much as lies in my power, I, with great avidity, open your letters; and sometimes, without reading the contents, promise the publication.—Such is my present situation, and I hope you will not be offended at my declining to publish your letter, as I am convinced the subject of it must, if I was to insert it, render me hable to very severe

hope, sufficiently evince; though I rather hope nope, summenty evince; mough 1 rather nope some little regard to prudence will not by you be deemed squeamishness, or tend to lessen me in your opinion, as I shall ever think myself your 'Much obliged humble Servant, 'Feb. 19, 1771. 'HENRY SAMPSON WOODFALL.

Gibraltar, 1 &c., is too good to be lost. As a personal danger, because it admits of no with positive certainty), that our gracious regarded, and I hope these papers have re-- is as callous as stockfish to everything imbursed you. I never will send you any but the reproach of cowardice. That alone thing that I think dangerous, but the is able to set the humours afloat. After a risque4 is yours, and you must determine for paper of that kind he won't eat meat for a vourself. week 2

You may rely upon it, the ministry are sick of prosecutions. Those against JUNIUS cost the Treasury above six thousand pounds, and after all they got nothing but disgrace. After the paper you have printed to-day (signed Brutus) 3 one would think you feared nothing. For my own part I

P. S. I shall wait your directions what to do with the paper in question, as I did not chuse to trust it under cover till I was further acquainted with your pleasure.'

¹ For the explanation of this passage, see Miscellaneous Letter, No. XC., signed Vindex.

See p. 235, note i

3 This letter was addressed to lord North, and as it is short, it is here transcribed, in proof that JUNIUS was not severe in his opinion of it, nor ngularly acrimonious in the phraseology originally adopted by himself.

TO THE RIGHT HON. LORD NORTH.

My LORD.

I never address your Lordship but I feel the utmost horror and indignation; for I consider you as a man totally regardless of your own honour, and the welfare of your country.

The severity of a writer cannot be supposed to give your Lordship any uneasiness, a minister, whose schemes extend only to the exigencies of a year, but little regards his present or future reputation; yet it is a duty we owe to the public to trace out and expose the villain wherever we can perceive him working up the ruin of his

The choice of your friends is an eminent indication of your abilities and the blackness of your

Nam quicung; impudicus, adulter, ganeo, alea, manu, ventre, bona patria laceravit, quique alienum æs grande conflavit, immediately flies into your arms, and reimburses himself with the plunder of his country.

Such are the guardians of our liberties and law: such are the men to whom our constitution is entrusted; and cannot we then without any particular discernment, or any remarkable acuteness of observation, trace out the origin of our present discontents?

Lit would be needless to follow you through that maze of villany, in which you have long delighted to wander; I shall only attack those

to alter or omit as you think proper ;-or can very truly assure you that nothing would burn it .- I think the argument about afflict me more than to have drawn you into to the satirical part, I must tell you (and recompence. A little expense is not to be

All the above is private.

No. 34. Friday Noon, April 19, 1771. I HOPE you will approve of an-

measures which occur to our more immediate consideration.

In what manner can you answer to your king for the scandalous prostitution of his crown and himself? In what manner can you answer to your

country for the total disregard of its welfare and

dignity? After all these formidable preparations; after all this expensive armament, you have made shift to patch up a temporary ignominious compromise, at the trifling expense of about three millions, and the British honour.

You imagine yourself sufficiently secured in the pursuit of your infamous intentions, and in the practice of every illegal and unconstitutional measure, by the countenance of the king Rely not too much on that protection. His Majesty must not be suffered, through a blind and ridiculous attachment to an individual, or through a filtal obedience, which then becomes criminal, to

ruin and subvert his infatuated kingdoms

Your late acquisition of lord Suffolk will not do you much honour; he is of the same stamp with the rest of your adherents. His Lordship has given the world a very strong impression of his character, and the disposition of his heart, by deserting his principal, and the cause in which he originally embarked, and by betraying that friendship, which in the more early and virtuous time of his life he had contracted. His former party need not regret the loss of him, for they are by his desertion disencumbered of a —.

But I will now leave you, my Lord, to that mature insensibility which is only to be acquired

by a steady perseverance in infamy.

Every principle of conscience you have long ago been hardy enough to discard. There has not been an action in the last two years of your life but what separately deserves imprisonment. The time may come; and remember, my Lord, there is a very short period between a minister's imprisonment and his grave.

4 This peculiarity of spelling the word risk, is

the author's.

nouncing the enclosed Junius to-morrow, 1 Mr Horne. I presume you know where he and publishing it on Monday. If, for any is to be found.5 reasons that do not occur to me, you should think it unadviseable to print it as it stands. I must entreat the favour of you to transmit it to Bingley,2 and satisfy him that it is a real JUNIUS, worth a North Briton Extrait.

I am, very truly, your friend,

No. 35. Thursday, June 20, 1771.

I AM strangely partial to the enclosed.3 It is finished with the utmost care. If I find myself mistaken in my judgment of this paper, I positively will never write again.

Let it be announced to-morrow, JUNIUS to the duke of Grafton for Saturday.

I think Wilkes has closed well. I hope he will keep his resolution not to write any more.4

No. 36.

Fuly 16, 1771.

To prevent any unfair use being made of the enclosed, I entreat you to keep

Letter XLIV., which was printed as requested.

The printer of the North Briton.

3 Letter XLIX., to the duke of Grafton. 4 In allusion to the dispute between Mr Wilkes and Mr Horne, conducted with great acrimony, till the former resolved, as here advised, not to answer after a definite period any additional letters, in consequence of the total accumulate fetters, in consequence of the other of Sheriff of London, for which he was then a candidate, and to which situation he ultimately sucreeded. The following is the conclusion of the letter here spoken of, which was, of course, addressed to Mr Horne.

addressed to Mr Horne.

'Whether you proceed, Sir, to a thirteenth, or
a thirtieth letter, is to me a matter of the most
entire indifference. You will no longer have me
your correspondent. All the efforts of your
malue and rancour cannot give me a moment's
edition it is by mistake dated the 15th and 18th of August. disquietude. They will only torment your own breast. I am wholly indifferent about your sentiments of me, happy in the favourable | 28th, 1771.

No. 37.

August 13, 1771.

PRAY make an erratum for ultiordinary. It will be impossible for me to mate in the paragraph about the duke of have an opportunity of altering any part of Grafton, it should be intimate. The rest is very correct.6 If Mr Horne answers this letter handsomely and in point, he shall be my great Apollo.

No. 38.

Wednesday Noon, Sept. 25, 1771.

THE enclosed is of such importance, so very material, that it must be given to the public immediately.7

I will not advise :- though I think you perfectly safe :- all I say is that I rely upon your care to have it printed either to-morrow in your own paper, or to-night in the Pac-

I have not been able to get yours from that place, but you shall hear from me soon.

No. 39.

About Nov. 5, 1771.

Your reasons are very just about a copy of it. Then seal and deliver it to printing the Preface, &c. It is your own

opinion of many valuable friends, in the most honourable connexions, both public and private, and in the prospect of rendering myself eminently useful to my country. Formerly in exile, when I was urbe patriaque exterrus, and torn from every sacred the of friendship, I have moistened my bread with my tears. The rest of my life I hope to enjoy my morsel at home in peace and cheerfune ...,
from the malignant eye
the insidious hypocrite.
I am, Sir,
Your humble servant,
JOHN WILK cheerfulness, among those I love and honour, far from the malignant eye of the false friend and

' JOHN WILKES.'

7 The Letter referred to is No. LVII., and was printed in the Public Advertiser, Saturday, Sept. affair. Do whatever you think proper. I see corrected proofs of the two first sheets. But of all this you are the best judge. I of the difficulty of getting your letters. think you should give money to the waiters at that place to make them more attentive.2 The notes should be in a smaller type.

the late duke of Bedford was flogged on mond to tell the king I should write no the course at Litchfield by Mr Heston Homphrey.8

No. 40.

Friday, Nov. 8, 1771.

THE above to that Scotchman should be printed conspicuously to-morthe press yourself, but I should be glad to dared to interfere with

The present respectable proprietor and publisher of the County Chronicle, who took a conspicuous part in the dispute with the House of Commons respecting the publication of their de-bates, for an account of which, see p. 471, note.

A coffee-house at which letters, &c., were

left for Junius

3 See Letter XXIII., p. 190, note.

4 See Letter LXVI.

Rights.
Garrick had received a letter from Woodfall just before the above note of Junius was sent to Garrick flew with the intelligence to Mr Ramus, one of the pages to the king, who immediately berlain's control by Act of Parliament over the conveyed it to his Majesty, at that time reading pleasures of the public is exercised only over new at Richmond, and from the peculiar sources of plays.' information that were open to this extraordinary writer, Junius was apprized of the whole transaction on the ensuing morning, and wrote the above postscript, and the letter that follows it,

threatened for his supposed political bias to the court, as will appear from a charge which Mr a little by taking me to the theatre, and recalling

am convinced the book will sell, and I sup- Show the Dedication and Preface to Mr pose will make two volumes, -the type Wilkes, and if he has any material objecmight be one size larger than Wheble's.1 tion, let me know. I say material because

(Secret) Beware of David Garrick.6 He was sent Pray find out, if you can, upon what day to pump you, and went directly to Richmore. The Dedication must stand first.

No. 41.

TO MR DAVID GARRICK.

Nov. 10, 1771.

I AM very exactly informed of your row.4 At last I have concluded my great impertinent inquiries, and of the informawork, and I assure you with no small tion you so busily sent to Richmond, and labour. I would have you begin to adver- with what triumph and exultation it was tise immediately, and publish before the received. I knew every particular of it the meeting of parliament. Let all my papers next day.—Now mark me, vagabond—in defence of JUNIUS be inserted. I shall Keep to your pantomimes, or be assured now supply you very fast with copy and you shall hear of it. Meddle no more, notes. The paper and type should at least thou busy informer !- It is in my power to be as good as Wheble's. You must correct make you curse the hour in which you

> Horne brought forward against Mr Wilkes, during the personal altercation which took place between them in the months of May and June preceding the date of this letter, and which is more particularly noticed in the note to Letter LII., p. 279. Mr Horne's accusation is as fol-

'Whilst Mr Wilkes was in the King's Bench, he sent a threatening message to Mr Garrick to 5 The Letters signed Philo Junius: those tanged of Junius: those numbered LXIII. and LXIV. and the extracts tragedy of June Shore; on account of some from the Letters to the Supporters of the Bill of Rights. plained exceedingly of the cruelty of such an interdict, and wished to be permitted to proceed the printer, in which Carrick was told, in confidence, and washed to be public in the ence, that there were some doubts whether common course of his profession. The patriot Junius would continue to write much longer, was inexorable; and Mr Garrick has not appeared in that character since. The Lord Cham-

Plays.

To this charge Mr Wilkes replied as follows, the hitherto unrivalled genius of Mr Garrick.

in consequence.

7 Mr Garrick had, before this period, been 'SIR, Prince's Court, Thursday, June 6, 1771. 'Your ninth Letter has relieved me not

I would send the above to Garrick di- my destruction. Act honourably by me. rectly, but that I would avoid having this and at a proper time you shall know me. hand too commonly seen. Oblige me, ever. I am sure I should not survive a disset Coffee-house, and let no mortal know the

to my delighted remembrance the amazing

powers both of nature and art in the most won-

I think the second page, with the widest then, so much as to have it copied in any lines, looks best. What is your essential hand, and sent by the penny post, that is, reason for the change?" I send you some if you dislike sending it in your own more sheets.-I think the paper is not unting. I must be more cautious than so good as Wheble's -but I may be mistaken-the type is good. The aspersions covery three days; or, if I did, they would thrown upon my letter to the Bill of attaint me by bill. Change to the Somer- Rights 2 should be refuted by publication.

Prevail upon Mr Wilkes to let you have alteration. I am persuaded you are too extracts of my second and third letters to honest a man to contribute in any way to him. It will make the book still more new.

derful genius that ever trod the English, or perhaps any stage, for his rival, Roscius, had a great defect, evat perversissimis oculis You say whilst Mr Wilkes was in the King's Bench, &c. The whole of this pompous tale is, that some warm friends of Mr Wilkes imagined that Mr Garnek acted the part of *Hastings* at that time in a manner very different from what he had usually done, and marked too strongly some particular passages, unfavourable to the generous principles, and to the friends, of freedom. They talked of expressing their disapprobation in the theatre, at the next representation of Jane Shore, and likewise in the public prints. Mr Wilkes therefore thought it prudent to state the case by two or three gentlemen to Mr Garrick himself, and said, he feared the part of Hastings might bring on many disagreeable consequences to the great actor himself as well as to Mr Wilkes and his connexions, if continued in the manner then stated. Mr Garrick received the friendly admonition in the most friendly way, but declared that the gentlemen, who had given Mr Wilkes the account of his acting Hastings, had greatly mis-taken, that he had not made the least alteration in the usual manner of acting that part on account of the political disputes of the times, but been solely guided by his own feelings: that he always had acted that part, and always should play it in the same manner, not however slavishly copying himself, but with all the variety which from time to time his genius might dictate, preserving still the cast and spirit of the original character. Nothing more passed on this subject

of an interdict, which never existed.
Did it escape your memory, Sir, that one of the objections made at that time by my friends, was the peculiar emphasis Mr Garrick was said to give to the following lines of Hastings, which some thought applicable to your situation :-Ill befall

between Mr Garrick and me, nor has that gentleman ever expressed the slightest displeasure against Mr Wilkes, or his friends; so far has he

been from complaining exceedingly of the cruelty

Such meddling priests, who kindle up confusion,

And vex the quiet world with their vain scruples: By heaven 'tis done in perfect spite to peace.

"You say, 'I think with half his (Mr Garrick's) merit I should have had twice his courage." If you mean theatrical merit, I can tell you of some parts, in which you would infinitely exceed our great English actor. I mean all those parts from which-fugiunt Pudor, Verumque, Fidesque. In quorum subeunt locum Fraudes, Dolique, Insidiaque, &c. &c. You would act, and be Iago with success Mr Garrick has that in him, which must ever prevent his acting well in that character. You have that in you, which would make it easy and natural Shylack too our Roscius must never attempt. The Christian priest of Brentford has no vain scruples to prevent his undertaking and being applauded in that part He might then talk of dying his black coat red with blood in an innocent way on the stage, which at Brentford inspired a savage horror.

'The pleasing hours, which Mr Garrick gave me at the King's Bench, I have deducted from the injury of a long and cruel imprisonment, and I think of him as Cicero did of the great Roman Actor, cum artifex ejusmodi sit, ut solus dignus videatur esse, qui in scena spectetur; tum vir ejusmodi est, ut solus dignus videatur, qui es non accedat.

'I am, &c., 'IOHN WILKES.'

In allusion to a specimen of the intended genuine edition of the Letters.

² In the correspondence which took place between Mr Wilkes and Junius, two of his letters related to the Bill of Rights Society, and were written in disapprobation of several of their measures. These letters were, in many respects, misrepresented to the public, and in his own opinion, purposely so by Mr Horne. The explanatory extracts here referred to, were re-published at the close of the second volume of the genuine edition, and will be found at end of Letter LXIX. The letters are given at length in the private correspondence between Junius and Mr Wilkes, Nos. 66 and 70.

but keep this last to yourself.1

No. 42.

Nov. 11, 1771.

PRINT the following as soon as you think proper, and at the head of your paper.2

I sent you three sheets of copy last night. When you send to me, instead of the usual signal, say, Vindex shall be considered, and keep the alteration a secret to everybody.

No. 43.

About Nov. 15, 1771.

IF you can find the date of the duke of Bedford's flogging, insert it in the note.3 I think it was soon after the Westminster election.—The Philos are not to be absurd Latin verse will answer the purpose.6 placed as notes, except where I mention it particularly. I have no doubt of what you want more copy. say about David Garrick-so drop the note. The truth is, that in order to curry favour, he made himself a greater rascal than he was. Depend upon what I tell you :- the king understood that he had found out the secret by his own cunning and activity.appeal to the public from Junius would few days. Cumbriensis 8 has taken greatly.

Let me know whether Mr Wilkes will give you the extracts.4

I cannot proceed without answers to those seven queries.

Think no more of Junius Americanus.5-

On the outside of this letter was written private and particular.

² Certain paragraphs relating to the marriage of the late duke of Cumberland, inserted in the Preliminary Essay, p. 12.

3 See note to Letter XXIII., p. 190.

4 Referred to in the last paragraph of No. 41. 5 Junius Americanus was a frequent writer in the Public Advertiser during the years 1769, 1770, and 1771. His letters chiefly related, as his signature readily suggests, to the disputes of the cabinet with the American colonies; and, in the course of his strictures, he attributed to JUNIUS

I would see them before they are printed, Let him reprint his letters himself. He acts most dishonourably, in suffering Iu-NIUS to be so traduced; but this falsehood will all revert upon Horne. In the mean time. I laugh at him.

With submission I think it is not your interest to declare that I have done.

As to yourself, I really think you are in no danger. You are not the object, and punishing you (unless it answered the purpose of stopping the press) would be no gratification to the king. If undesignedly I should send you anything you may think dangerous, judge for yourself, or take any opinion you think proper. You cannot offend or afflict me but by hazarding your own safety. They talk of farther informations, but they will always hold that language in terrorem.

Don't always use the same signal-any Let me know about what time you may

Upon reflection, I think it absolutely necessary to send that note to D. G., only say practices instead of impertinent inquiries. I think you have no measures to keep with a man who could betray a confidential letter, for so base a purpose as As it is important to deter him from pleasing * * * * * * * * * * . meddling, I desire you will tell him that I Tell me how long it may be before you am aware of his practices, and will certainly want more copy. I want rest most severely, be revenged, if he does not desist. An and am going to find it in the country for a

No. 14.

Nov. 27, 1771.

THE postscript to Titus must be omitted.9—I did never question your under-

doctrines, in relation to their dependence on the legislature of Great Britain, which he had never avowed, nor even inclined to. At this time there was some idea of publishing them collectively. They were written by a Dr Charles Lee, as may be seen by a reference to the private correspondence between Junius and Mr Wilkes, No. 60.

6 See Preliminary Essay, p. 14. 7 David Garrick. See No. 41. 8 See Miscellaneous Letter, No. CII. It was printed in the Public Advertiser, Nov. 21, 1771, upon the marriage of the late duke of Cumberland with Mrs Horton, the sister of col. Luttrell. 9 His postscript addressed to Titus was added

standing. Far otherwise. The Latin word your.3 This is a woeful mistake :- prav simplex conveys to me an amiable charactake care for the future-keep a page for ter, and never denotes folly. Though we errata. may not be deficient in point of capacity, it is very possible that neither of us may be break my resolution of writing no more.4 cunning enough for Mr Garrick. But with a sound heart, be assured you are better gifted, even for worldly happiness, than if you had been cursed with the abilities of a Mansfield. After long experience of the world, I affirm before God, I never knew a rogue who was not unhappy.

Your account of my letter to the Bill of Rights astonishes me. I always thought the misrepresentation had been the work of Mr Horne. I will not trust myself with suspecting. The remedy is in my own hands, but, for Mr Wilkes's honour, I wish it to come freely and honourably from himself. Publish nothing of mine until I have seen it. In the mean time be assured, that nothing can be more express, than my declaration against long parliaments.-Try Mr Wilkes once more.-Speak for me in a most friendly but firm tone.-That I will not submit to be any longer aspersed .- Between ourselves let me recommend it to you to be much upon your guard with patriots. -I fear your friend Jerry Dyson will lose his Irish pension.2 Say received.

In page 25, it should be the instead of

to his letter to sir Wm Draper of Feb. 21, 1769, Letter V. It engaged to give Titus a severe Castigation for having written with some degree of acrimony on the same side as the Knight of the Bath. The engagement, however, was not fulfilled under his signature of JUNIUS, and hence the propriety of omitting the postscript in ques-tion in his own edition. See further on this sub-ject, note to Letter IV., in which Titus's letter is inserted.

He here admits that he was mistaken in the conjecture that Horne had misrepresented the sentiments conveyed in his letters to the Bill of Rights Society. Yet as he published the same opinion in his own edition, which is reprinted in Letter LXIX., he must afterwards have had fresh grounds for re-accrediting it, while in the present letter he seems more than half to suspect Wilkes

² He feared with reason. Jeremiah Dyson, Etq., was one of the lords of the admiralty, and in Feb. 1770, resigned his seat in favour of our late lamented foreign minister Mr Fox, pon an Irish pension of £1500 per annum for is own life, and that of his three sons. The

David Garrick has literally forced me #

No. 45.

Dec. 5. 1771.

THESE papers are all in their exact order. Take great care to keep them so. In a few days more I shall have sent you all the copy. You must then take care of it yourself, except that I must see proof sheets of the Dedication and Preface, and these. if at all. I must see before the end of next week. You shall have the extract to go into the second volume, it will be a short one. Scævola, I see, is determined to make me an enemy to lord Camden.5 If it be not wilful malice, I beg you will signify to him, that when I originally mentioned lord Camden's declaration about the Corn Bill, it was without any view of discussing that doctrine, and only as an instance of a singular opinion maintained by a man of great learning and integrity. Such an instance was necessary to the plan of my letter. I think he has in effect injured the man whom he meant to defend.

When you send the above-mentioned proof sheets, return my own copy with them. following is an account of the mode in which he lost it:

'In a committee of supply of the House of Commons of Ireland, Nov. 25, 1771, after a long debate the question was put, and, on a division, it was carried against the pension, by a majority of one, the numbers being for it 105, against it of one, the humoers being for too, against it of, on which the House immediately resolved, "That the pension granted to Jeremiah Dyson, Esq., and his three sons, is an unnecessary charge upon the establishment of Ireland, and ought not to be provided for." Ordered, "That the said pension be struck off the list of pensioners upon the establishment of Ireland." For the late Mr Flood's speech upon this subject, see

Preliminary Essay, p. 52.

3 In the opening of Letter III., it was originally printed in the genuine edition, 'Your defence,' &c. In the present edition the correction has been duly adopted.

4 The letter alluded to is LXVII.

5 For further particulars of this dispute, see

No. 46.

Dec. 10, 1771.

THE enclosed completes all the materials that I can give you. I have done my part. Take care you do yours. There are still two letters wanting, which I expect you will not fail to insert in their places. One is from Philo Junius to Scavola about duty by you, so farewel. lord Camden, the other to a Friend of the People about pressing.1 They must be in the course of October. -- I have no view but to serve you, and consequently have only to desire that the Dedication and Preface may a blemish.

has done harm .- Every fool can do mis- dirty knave. chief: therefore signify to him what I said.

These two letters are numbered Philo Junius. LX. and LXII.

2 The allusion is to a cause which was tried at the Summer Assizes for the County of Surry, in 1771, Meares and Shepley against Ansell, for a trespass, in which his Lordship was supposed to have given a very partial charge in favour of the Defendant, who thereby obtained a verdict. Detendant, who thereby obtained a vertict. The Plaintiffs, however, on the Michaelmas Term following, moved the Court of Common Pleas for a new trial, on the ground of the misdirection of the judge. The judge was called upon for his report, which he could not make without sending to the Plaintiffs' attorney for his affidavit of the transaction —He made his report at last, to which he subjoined that he was perfectly satisfied with the verdict of the jury.— The Court of Common Pleas was clearly of opinion, that Lord Mansfield had acted contrary to every principle of evidence both in law and equity, in admitting Matthews and Hiscox to give parol evidence, contrary to a clear explicit agreement in writing, which they had attested— and asserted that, if such a practice was to obtain, it would go a great way towards subverting the Statute of Frauds and Perjuries, and would be a most dangerous inlet to perjury, and a means of rendering men's properties very pre-carious and insecure. The Court therefore set aside the verdict, and ordered a new trial; and it appeared to the Court to be so gross a misdirection, that it dispensed with the usual terms

Garrick has certainly betrayed himself, probably * * * who makes it a rule to betray everybody that confides in him. That new disgrace of Mansfield is true: 2 what do you mean by affirming that the Dowager is better? I tell you she suckles toads from morning till night.3 I think I have now done ay

No. 47. Dec. 17, 1771.

MAKE your mind easy about me. be correct. Look to it. If you take it I believe you are an honest man, and I upon yourself, I will not forgive your suffer- never am angry.4-Say to-morrow 'We are ing it to be spoiled. I weigh every word; desired to inform Scævola, that his private and every alteration, in my eyes at least, is note was received with the most profound indifference and contempt.' 5 I see his de-I should not trouble you or myself about sign. The duke of Grafton has been long that blockhead Scævola, but that his absurd labouring to detach Camden. This Scæfiction of my being lord Camden's enemy vola is the wretchedest of all fools, and

Upon no account, nor for any reason

passes as small and insignificant, and the action as litigious, the Court of Common Pleas said the trespasses were obstinate, wilful, and mali-

Mr Rowlinson, the Plaintiffs' attorney, felt so dissatisfied with the conduct of lord Mansfield upon the occasion, that in the same term a motion was made at his instigation, to have his name struck off the Rolls of the Court of King's Bench, which, as a motion of course, was acquiesced in, when he was immediately admitted into the Common Pleas.

3 He refers to the following paragraph, which appeared in the Public Advertiser, Dec. 6.

'We have the pleasure to assure the public, we have the pleasure to assure the public, from the most undoubted authority, that the repeated accounts of her Royal Highness the Princess Dowager of Wales being very ill, and her life in great danger, are entirely false, such reports being only calculated to promote the shameful spirit of gambling, by insurance on lives. The Princess Dowager was at this time.

afflicted with a cancer, and died on the 8th of January in the following year. 4 He had received a note from Mr Woodfall, vindicating himself from any improper motive in his communication to Mr Garrick, which has

been already referred to.

5 The information to Scavola was duly communicated in the Public Advertiser: and the flippancy of this writer's style, and the coquetry of payment of costs. Although lord Mansfield, of his political attachments, fully merited the in his direction to the jury, represented the tres- contempt here expressed for him.

E 2

whatsoever are you to write to me, until I proofs, as you engaged to do, disappoints give you notice.

When the book is finished, let me have a set bound in vellum, gilt, and lettered [UNIUS r. 2. as handsomely as you canthe edges gilt. Let the sheets be well dried before binding .- I must also have two sets in blue paper covers. This is all the fee I shall ever desire of you. I think you ought highest style of JUNIUS, and cannot fail to not to publish before the second week in Tanuary.

The London Packet is not worth our notice. I suspect Garrick, and I would upon reflection, I think it may answer have you hint so to him.

> No. 48. 6 Fanuary, 1772. I HAVE a thing to mention to you

in great confidence. I expect your assistance, and rely upon your secrecy.

There is a long paper ready for publication, but which must not appear until the morning of the meeting of parliament, nor be announced in any shape whatsoever.1 Much depends upon its appearing unexpectedly. If you receive it on the 8th or oth instant, can you in a day or two have it composed, and two proof sheets struck off and sent me; and can you keep the fidelity? Consider of it, and, if it be pos- nounce it on Monday. sible, say YES, in your paper to-morrow.

I think it will take four full columns at the least, but I undertake that it shall sell. -It is essential that I should have a proof sheet, and correct it myself.

Let me know if the books are ready that I may tell you what to do with them.

No. 49.

Saturday, Fanuary II, 1772. Your failing to send me the

and distresses me extremely.2 It is not merely to correct the press (though even that is of consequence), but for another most material purpose,3 This will be entirely defeated, if you do not let me have the two proofs on Monday morning.

The paper itself, is, in my opinion, of the sell .- My reason for not announcing it was that the party might have no time to concert his measures with the ministry. But, better (in order to excite attention) to advertise it the day before, JUNIUS to lord chief justice Mansfield to-morrow.

Quoting from memory, I have made a mistake about Blackstone, where I say that he confines the power to the Court, and does not extend it to the Judges separately. Those lines must be omitted.-The rest is right.-If you have any regard for me, or for the cause, let nothing hinder your sending the proofs on Monday.

No. 50.

January 16, 1772.

I RETURN you the proof, with the press standing ready for the Public Adver- errata, which you will be so good as to tiser of the 21st, and can all this be done correct carefully. I have the greatest with such secrecy that none of your people reason to be pleased with your care and shall know what is going forward, except attention, and wish it were in my power to the composer, and can you rely on his render you some essential service .- An-

No. 51.

(Private)

Saturday, Jan. 18, 1772.

THE gentleman 4 who transacts the conveyancing part of our correspondence tells me there was much difficulty last night. For this reason, and because it could be no way material for me to see a paper on Saturday which is to appear on Monday, I

Letter to Lord Mansfield. Letter LXVIII. Of Letter LXVIII. referred to in the preceding letter.

³ He seems to allude to a promise, or expectation, of legal assistance from some friendly

⁴ Of this gentleman nothing is known.

of this morning, I suppose, relates to this.1 me. Such an insignificant creature is not lication of the book is so long delayed.—It impatient for the book. ought to have appeared before the meeting of parliament.-By no means would I have you insert this long letter, if it made more than the difference of two days in the publication. Believe me the delay is a real injury to the cause. The letter to M.2 may come into a new edition.

should set him right.

No. 52.

appointed a French broker his deputy, for no be brought to you for me (except from Mr reason but his relation to Bradshaw. I hear Wilkes and not forward it unless it be from all quarters, that it is looked upon as material. a most impudent insult to the army.—Be

respondents, Jan. 18th, 1772.
² Letter LXVIII.

3 Mr Robert Morris was a barrister, who took a very active part in the city disputes, and on the popular side, and was secretary to the Bill of Rights' Society. For a further account of him, see note to Miscellaneous Letter, No. XCIII., p. 475. He occasionally wrote in the P. A. The publication of the letter alluded to, Wilkes had attributed to a Mr Cawdron. See Private Letter, No. 82.

4 Mr Chamier, brother-in-law to Bradshaw, the duke of Grafton's private secretary, here, and elsewhere, so slightingly mentioned by JUNIUS, is thus undervalued solely as a mode of Jonios, is this undervalued sole, as a more attacking lord Barrington. He was not a mere broker in the Alley, preferred only for the chicanery which may be learned there. We are told by Sir John Hawkins, in his entertaming life of Johnson, that Mr Chamier was selected by the sage as one of the original nine composing his club at the Turk's Head in Gerard Street.

'He was descended from a French refugee family Having had a liberal education, his deportment and manner of transacting the business of a stock-broker, distinguished him greatly from most others of that calling. He was well skilled in the modern languages, particularly the

Spanish, in the study whereof he took great delight.

He had acquired such a fortune as part in their affairs, at that period.

had resolved not to send for it.-Your hint careful not to have it known to come from -I am truly concerned to see that the pub- worth the generous rage of TUNIUS. I am

No. 53.

Monday, Feb. 3, 1772.

I CONFESS I do not see the use of the table of contents. I think it will be Mr Wilkes seems not to know that endless and answer no purpose :- An index Morris published that letter. 8-I think you of proper names and materials would in my opinion be sufficient.-You may safely defy the malice of Mr Wheble.5 Whoever buys such a book will naturally prefer the Author's Edition, and I think it will always Fanuary 25, 1772. be a book for sale. I really am in no hurry HAVING nothing better to do, I about that set. Purling, I hear, is to come propose to entertain myself and the public in for Eastlow .- A sure proof of the conwith torturing that * * * * nexion between him and government.6 I * * * * Barrington. He has just would have you open any thing that may

That large roll contained a Pamphlet.

" Mutare necessarium est." Answer to corenabled him, though young, to quit business, and become, what indeed he seemed by nature intended for, a gentleman. This club was instituted in 1763.

Mr Dyer, upon his return from Germany, where he had been a commissary with the army, was allowed to become the tenth member. Per-haps it may not be unimportant to show that thus Mr Chamier was well known to Mr Burke and to Mr Dyer, at the time when Junius began to write, and was an esteemed member of the club, of which they were distinguished ornaments. The reader, even in a political work, may not be displeased to see the names recorded of men, who thus met for social objects, and among whom politics never intruded.

Dr Johnson, Sir John Hawkins,
Sir Joshua Reynolds, Mr Topham Beauclerk,
Mr Edmund Burke, Bennet Langton, Christ. Nugent, M.D. Oliver Goldsmith, M.B. Anthony Chamier, Samuel Dyer.

5 Wheble had already reprinted an imperfect edition of the Letters of Junius, but certainly without any intention of injuring the original publisher of them. The word malice, as applied to Mr Wheble, merely meant rivalry. See Priv. Lett No. 56.

No. 54. Monday, Feb. 10, 1772.

IF you have any thing to communicate, you may send it to the original place for once N.E.C .- and mention any new place you think proper, West of Temple Bar. The delay of the book spoils every thing.

No. 55.

Monday Night, Feb. 17, 1772.

SURELY you have misjudged it very much about the book. I could not have conceived it possible that you could protract the publication so long .- At this time, particularly before Mr Sawbridge's motion,1 it would have been of singular use. You have trifled too long with the public expectation .- At a certain point of time the appetite palls.-I fear you have already lost the season.-The book, I am sure, will lose the greatest part of the effect I expected from it.—But I have done.

No. 56.

About Feb. 22, 1772.

I po you the justice to believe that the delay has been unavoidable. The expedient you propose of printing the Dedication and Preface in the P. A. is unadvisable. The attention of the public would then be quite lost to the book itself. I think your rivals will be disappointed. Nobody will apply to them when they can be supplied at the fountain head. I hope you are night, and the waiter as often attempted to too forward to have any room for that letter of Domitian,2 otherwise it is merely indifferent. The Latin I thought much superior to the English.—The intended

In fayour of triennial parliaments, as already noticed in a note to the Preliminary Disserta-

This letter, for the reason here stated, was

not printed in the genuine edition.

The bill here spoken of is the Royal Mar-

riage Act.

4 In allusion to the partial and impolitic conduct of Mr Nash, at this time lord mayor, upon the common questions of city politics brought lished on the third of March, 1772.

bill, in consequence of the message, will be a most dangerous innovation in the internal policy of this country.3-What an abandoned prostituted idiot is your lord mayor.4 The shameful mismanagement which brought him into office, gave me the first and an unconquerable disgust .- All I can now say is make haste with the book .-

The appointment of this broker 5 I am told gives universal disgust. That * * * * * * * * * * * * 6 Would never have taken a step apparently so absurd, if there were not some wicked design in it, more than we are aware of. At any rate the broker should be run down. That at least is due to his master.

No. 57. Saturday, 29, Feb. 1772.

I AM very glad to see that the book will be out before Sawbridge's motion. There is no occasion for a mark of admiration at the end of the motto. But it is of no moment whatsoever. When you see Mr W. pray 1eturn him my thanks for the trouble he has taken. I wish he had taken more.7-I should be glad to have a set, sewed, left at the same place to-morrow evening. Let it be well sealed up. C.

No. 58.

Tuesday, March 3, 1772.

Your letter was twice refused last see the person who sent for it .- I was impatient to see the book, and think I had a right to that attention a little before the general publication.8 When I desired to have two sets sewed, and one bound in

before him, especially in refusing to call a common hall, agreeably to a request very generally signified to him for this purpose.

5 Chamier.

6 Lord Barrington.

5 Chamier. 7 Mr Wilkes, at the request of Junius, perused and revised the Dedication and Preface to the genuine edition of the letters.

8 The genuine edition of the letters was pub-

vellum, it was not from a principle of œconomy. I despise such little savings, and shall still be a purchaser. - If I was to buy as many sets as I want, it would be remarked.

Pray let the two sets be well parcelled up and left at the bar of Munday's Coffeehouse, Maiden Lane, with the same direction, and with orders to be delivered to a chairman who will ask for them in the course of to-morrow evening. Farewel.

> No. 59. Thursday, March 5, 1772.

Your letters with the books are come safe to hand. The difficulty of corresponding arises from situation and necessity, to which we must submit. Be assured I will not give you more trouble than is unavoidable .- If the vellum books are not vet bound, I would wait for the index. they are, let me know by a line in the P.A. -When they are ready, they may safely be left at the same place as last night.

On vour account I was alarmed at the price of the book.-But of the sale of books I am no judge, and can only pray for your success .- What you say about the profits 1 is very handsome. I like to deal with such men. As for myself, be assured that I am far above all pecuniary views, and no other person, I think, has any claim to share with you. Make the most of it therefore, and let all your views in life be directed to a moirs of Lord Barrington in our next.5 solid, however moderate, independence. Keep the author a secret. Without it no man can be happy, nor even honest .-

If I saw any prospect of uniting the city once more, I would readily continue to labour in the vineyard. Whenever Mr Wilkes can tell me that such an union is in prospect, he shall hear of me.

Ouòd si quis existimat me aut voluntate esse mutatâ, aut debilitatâ virtute, aut animo fracto, vehementer errat. Farewel.

In the Preface, p. 20, line 7, read unseasonable: p. 26, line 18, read accuracy.2

No. 60.

May 4, 1772.

IF pars pro toto 3 be meant for me. I must beg the favour of you to recall it. At present it would be difficult for me to receive it .- When the books are ready, a Latin verse will be sufficient.

No. 61.

Sunday, May 3, 1772.

I AM in no manner of hurry about the books. I hope the sale has answered. -I think it will always be a saleable book. The enclosed is fact, and I wish it could be printed to-morrow. It is not worth announcing. The proceedings of this wretch are unaccountable. There must be some mystery in it which I hope will soon be discovered to his confusion .- Next to the duke of Grafton. I verily believe that the blackest heart in the kingdom belongs to lord Barrington.4

No. 52.

May 10, 1772.

PRAY let this be announced, Me-

No. 63. 19, Fanuary 1773.

I HAVE seen the signals thrown out for your old friend and correspondent.

parcel, was in waiting for him at the usual place. 4 This note accompanied the letter signed Scotus, addressed to his Lordship, and was printed as requested. See Miscellaneous Letters, No. CXI. The Autograph is still in the hands of

the proprietor of this edition.

5 The annunciation under this title, appeared in the notice to correspondents, Public Advertiser, May 11, and the Memoirs were printed 3 A line in the printer's notice to correspond- in a letter bearing the signature of Nemesis, May

¹ Woodfall made Junius an offer of half the profits of the book, or if he should decline accepting them for himself, to give a sum of money equal to their amount, to any charity which he should chuse to name.

² These errors are corrected in the present edition.

ents, introduced as a signal that a letter, or 12. See Miscellaneous Letter, No. CXIII.

Be assured that I have had good reason for should never entertain the most distant wish temptible.

prosperity.

address, and give a hint.1

No. 64.

SIR.

I HAVE troubled you with the perusal of two letters, as that of the prior date accounts for the delay of not sending the books sooner; and this acquaints you that I did not get them out of the bookbinder's hands till yesterday; nor though I desired them to be finished in the most elegant manner possible, are they done so well as I wished. But, Sir, if the manner of the contents and index are not agreeable to you, they shall be done over again according to any directions you shall please to favour me with.-With respect to City politics, I fear the breach is too wide ever to be again closed, and even my friend Mr Wilkes lost some of his wonted coolness at the late election on Sawbridge, Oliver, &c., scratching against him.2 I hope you will believe that however agreeable to me it must be to be honoured with your correspondence, I

not complying with them. In the present that one ray of your splendour should be state of things, if I were to write again, I diminished by your continuing to write. - " must be as silly as any of the horned cattle. Mr Wilkes indeed mentioned to me the that run mad through the city, or as any other day that he thought the East India of your wise aldermen. I meant the cause Company a proper subject; and asked if I and the public. Both are given up. I feel could communicate anything to you, to for the honour of this country, when I see which my reply was that I could not tell that there are not ten men in it, who will (as I did not know whether you might unite and stand together upon any one chuse to be intruded upon). You will perquestion. But it is all alike, vile and con-ceive by the papers that two persons have forced themselves upon us, who, without a You have never flinched that I know of: tythe of Mr Wilkes's abilities, imagine the and I shall always rejoice to hear of your public will look up to them as their deliverers; but they are most egregiously If you have any thing to communicate (of mistaken, as every one who possesses a grain moment to yourself) you may use the last of common sense hold them in almost utter contempt. You will probably guess who I mean, and were I capable of drawing a parallel. I should borrow some part of it from Shakespeare's Iago and Roderigo. -Should it please the Almighty to spare your life till the next general election, and I should at that time exist, I shall hope you will deign to instruct me for whom I should give my vote, as my wish is to be represented by the most honest and able, and I know there cannot be any one who is so fit to judge as yourself. I have no connexions to warp me, nor am I acquainted with but one person who would speak to me on the subject, and that gentleman is, I believe, a true friend to the real good of his country; I mean Mr Glover, the author of Leonidas. As I thought serieant Glyn deserving of something more than the mere fees of his profession, for the pains he took upon my trial, I have made a purchase of a small freehold at Brentford by way of qualification, in order to convince him, if he should offer himself at the next election, whenever it should happen, that I hold his services in

> when their choice fell upon Mr Alderman Townshend, in consequence of Sawbridge and Oliver scratching against Wilkes. The candidates for that office, with the numbers which they polled, were as under:

> > Mr Alderman Wilkes Townshend 2301 2278 Hallifax 2126 Shakespeare 1912

This letter was thus noticed in the answer to correspondents in the P. A. March 8, 1773.

'The letter from AN OLD FRIEND and CORRESPONDENT, dated Jan. 19, came safe to hand, and his directions are strictly followed. Quod si quis existimat, aut, &c.'
2 Mr Wilkes and Mr Townshend were, after a

sharp contest, returned to the court of aldermen for them to make their election of one of these gentlemen to the mayoralty for the year 1772,

grateful remembrance. But I am since in- Contents and Index, I thought of advertisolord Percy is to be joined with sir W. B. form, if I have your permission so to do, have heard much of a most trimming letter with gratitude and respect, from Mr Stewart to lord Mansfield on the Douglas cause, but cannot possibly get a copy, which probably would be a good letter to print .--

formed that it is not his intention, and that ing them in the manner of the enclosed Proctor, who is to be supported by the but not otherwise .- May I beg the favour duke of Northumberland's interest. - I of a line in answer? Believe me, Sir, to be

Your much obliged humble servant to command. HENRY SAMPSON WOODFALL.

If, Sir, you should not disapprove of the Sunday, March 7, 1773.

PRIVATE CORRESPONDENCE

JUNIUS AND MR WILKES.

No. 65.

TO JOHN WILKES, ESQ.

London, 21st August, 1771.1

I PRESUME. Sir. you are satisfied that I mean you well, and that it is not necessary to assure you that while you adhere to the resolution of depending only upon the public favour (which, if you have half the understanding I attribute to you, you never can depart from), you may rely upon my utmost assistance. Whatever imaginary views may be ascribed to the author, it must always make part of Ju-NIUS'S plan to support Mr Wilkes while he makes common cause with the people. would engage your favourable attention to what I am going to say to you; and I intreat you not to be too hasty in concluding, from the apparent tendency of this letter, to any possible interests or connexions of my own. It is a very common mistake in judgment, and a very dangerous one in conduct, first to look for nothing in the argument proposed to us, but the motive of the man who uses it. and then to measure the truth of his argument by the motive we have assigned to With regard to me, Sir, any refinement in this way would assuredly mislead you; and though I do not disclaim the idea of some personal views to future honour and advantage (you would not believe me if I did), yet I can truly affirm. that neither are they little in themselves. nor can they by any possible conjecture be collected from my writings.

Mr Horne, after doing much mischief, is now, I think, completely defeated and disarmed. The author of the late unhappy divisions in the city is removed.—Why should we suffer his works to live after him? In this view, I confess, I am vindicitive, and would visit his sins upon his children. I would punish him in his offspring, by repairing the breaches he has made.—Convinced that I am speaking to a man who has spirit enough to act if his judgment be satisfied. I will not scruple to declare at once, that Mr Sawbridge ought to be lord mayor, and that he ought to owe it to your first motion, and to the exertion of all your credit in the city.-I affirm, without a doubt, that political prudence, the benefit of the cause, your public reputation and personal interest, do all equally demand this conduct of you. - I do not deny that a stroke like this is above the level of vulgar policy, or that if you were a much less considerable man than you are, it would not suit you. But you will recollect, Sir, that the public opinion of you rises every day, and that you must enlarge your plan as you proceed, since you have every day a new acquisition of credit to maintain .- I offer you the sincere opinion of a man, who, perhaps, has more leisure to make reflections than you have, and who, though he stands clear of all business and intrigue, mixes sufficiently for the purpose of intelligence in the conversation of the world.

Whatever language you in prudence assume to the public, you cannot but be sensible that the separation of those gentlemen who withdrew from the Bill of Rights was of considerable disservice to you. It required, in my opinion, your utmost dexterity and resolution, and not a little of your good fortune, to get the better of it. But are you now really upon the best ground on which Mr Wilkes might stand in the city? Will you say, that to separate Mr Sawbridge from a connexion every way hostile to you, and to secure him against the insidious arts of Mr Horne, and the fury of Mr Townshend (if it could be done without embarrassing your leading measures, and much more if it promoted them), would not give you a considerable personal gratification?-Will you say, that a public declaration of Mr Sawbridge in your favour, and the appearance of your acting together (I do not speak at present of a hearty coa-

man, who said he brought it from a gentleman whom he saw in Lancaster Court, in the Strand.

J. W.

On this letter is written, in Mr Wilkes's own hand, the following memorandum:

^{&#}x27;August 21, 1771.
'Received on Wednesday noon by a chair-

lition or confidence) would not contribute may be a capital resource to you,-you to give you a more secure, a more per- have too much sagacity not to perceive how manent, and, without offence to any man, far this reasoning might be carried. a more honourable hold upon the city In the very outset, you reap a considerlarity, and direct the people by their pas- affairs .sions. I attribute to you both the most not wish to be popular; but, if I am not propose to you. greatly mistaken, his virtues have not osten-

than you have at present? What sensations able advantage, either from his acceptance do you conceive a union between you and or refusal,-What a copious subject of Mr Sawbridge would excite in the breast of ostentation !--what rich colours to the pub-Mr Horne? Would it not amount to a de- lic! Your zeal to restore tranquillity to the cisive refutation of all the invidious argu- city :- the sacrifice of all personal recollecments he has drawn from your being de-tions in favour of a man whose general serted by so many of the considerable character you esteem;—the public good figures of the party? The answer to these preferred to every private or interested conquestions is too obvious to be mistaken. sideration, with a long et cætera to your own But you will say to yourself what you would advantage. - Yet I do not mean to persuade not confess to Junius .-- 'Mr Sawbridge is you to so simple a part as that of cona man of unquestionable probity, and the tributing to gratify Mr Sawbridge, without concurrence of his reputation would un- a reciprocal assurance from him, that upon doubtedly be of service to me; but he has fair and honourable occasions he will in renot pliancy enough to yield to persuasion, turn promote your advantage.-Your own and I. Wilkes, am determined not to suffer judgment will easily suggest to you such another to reap the harvest of my labours: terms of acknowledgment as may be bindthat is, to take the lead of me in the city.'- ing upon him in point of gratitude, and Sir, I do not mean or expect that you not offensive to his delicacy.- I have not should make such a sacrifice to any man. entered into the consideration of any objec-But besides difference in point of conduct tions drawn from the fertile field of provobetween leading and going foremost, I cation and resentment.-Common men are answer your thoughts when I say, that influenced by common motives :- but you. although Mr Sawbridge is not to be directed. Sir, who pretend to lead the people, must (and even this perhaps is not so literally and act upon higher principles. To make our completely true as he himself imagines), on passions subservient to you, you must the other hand he does not mean to direct. command your own. The man who. for His disposition, as you well know, is not any personal indulgence whatsoever. can fitted for that active management and sacrifice a great purpose to a little one is intrigue which acquire an operating popu- not qualified for the management of great

Let me suppose then that every material honourable intentions for the public, but difficulty on your part is removed; and, you travel different roads, and never can be that, as far as you alone are concerned, rivals.-It is not that Mr Sawbridge does you would be ready to adopt the plan I

If you are a man of honour you will still tation enough for the ordinary uses of have a powerful objection to oppose to me. party, and that they lead rather to the Admitting the apparent advantage to your esteem of individuals than to popular opin- own purposes, and to the cause you are enion. - This I conceive is exactly the man gaged in, you will tell me 'that you are no you want-you cannot always support a longer at liberty to chuse ;-that the deserferment in the minds of men. There will tion of those persons who once professed a necessarily be moments of languor and warm attachment to you, has reduced you fatigue; and upon these occasions Mr to a situation in which you cannot do that Sawbridge's reputed firmness and integrity which is absolutely best;-that Mr Crosby

JUNIUS AND MR WILKES.

diately affect Mr Crosby.

of success.-Do you think that the city will for London in the next Parliament. her for a long winter's night, because he The common good required it. could not dance with her himself?

has deserved everything from you and from and are well assured that Mr Crosby will be the city, and that you stand engaged to chosen lord mayor; -otherwise we allow contribute your whole strength to continue that upon your plan he might acquire credit him another year in the mayoralty.' - My without forfeiting any real advantage.' reply to this very just objection is addressed. Upon this ground I expect you, for I conrather to Mr Crosby than to Mr Wilkes. fess it is incumbent upon me to meet your He ought at all events to be satisfied; and argument, where it lies strongest against if I cannot being him over to my opinion, me.-Taking it for granted, then, that Mr there is an end of the argument; for I do Crosby may be lord mayor. I affirm that it is agree with you most heartily, that it is as not his interest, because it is not his greatgross a breach of policy as of morals, to est interest. The little profit of the salary sacrifice the man who has deserved well of cannot possibly be in contemplation with us to any temporary benefit whatsoever, him.-I do not doubt that he would rather Far from meaning to separate you from make it an expensive office to himself. His Mr Crosby, it is essential to the measure I view must be directed then to the flattering recommend, that it should be your joint distinction of succeeding to a second mayoract. Nav. it is he who in the first instance alty, and, what is still more honourable, to should open the communication with Mr the being thought worthy of it by his fellow-Sawbridge: nor is it possible for you to citizens.—Placing this advantage in its gain any credit by the measure in which he strongest light, I say that every purpose of will not of necessity be a considerable sharer. distinction is as completely answered by his But now for considerations which imme- being known to have had the employment in his power (which may be well insisted Your plan, as I am informed, is to en- upon in argument, and never can be disgage the livery to return him with Mr proved by the fact) as by his accepting it. Bridgen.-In my own opinion the court of To this I add the signal credit he will aldermen will choose Bridgen, consequently acquire with every honest man by renouncthe sacrifice I require of Mr Crosby would ing, upon motives of the clearest and most in effect be nothing. That he will be de- disinterested public spirit, a personal honfeated is to my judgment inevitable. It is our, which you may fairly tell the world for him to consider whether the idea of a was unquestionably within his reach.—But defeat be not always attended with some these are trifles. - I assert that by now acloss of reputation. In that case too he will cepting the mayoralty (which he may take have forced upon the citizens (whom he hereafter whenever he pleases) he precludes professes to love and respect) a magistrate, himself from soliciting, with any colour of upon whose odious and contemptible cha-decency, a real and solid reward from the racter he at present founds his only hopes city.—I mean that he should be returned not once in the course of a twelvemonth be think his conduct entitles him to it, and sensible of the displeasure you have done that he cannot fail of succeeding, if he does them?—Or that it will not be placed in not furnish his opponents with too just a strong terms to your account. I appeal to pretence for saying that the city have al-Miss Wilkes, whose judgment I hear high- ready rewarded him. On the contrary, with ly commended,-would she think herself what force and truth may he tell his fellowmuch indebted to her favourite admirer, if citizens at the next election, 'for your sakes he forced a most disagreeable partner upon I relinquished the honour you intended me. But I did not mean to renounce my hopes that upon You will now say ;-- 'Sir, we understand a proper occasion you would honour me the politics of the city better than you do, with a public mark of your approbation.'

that it is not danger that will deter him, the people with you, he will, upon princi- accommodation with Mr Wilkes. ple, support their choice at the hazard of his life and fortune.

myself to your judgment.

siring a private interview between him, Mr Mr Sawbridge, rather than to Mr Crosby. Crosby, 2 and yourself. Very little preface dour, and hazard nothing by the confidence Sawbridge and my lord mayor. you repose in him.-Notwithstanding any passages in this letter. I would show him the whole of it: in a great business there is nothing so fatal as cunning management: -and I would tell him it contained the plan upon which Mr Crosby and you were desirous to act, provided he would engage to concur in it bond fide, so far forth as he was concerned. There is one condition, I own. which appears to me a sine qua non; and yet I do not see how it can be proposed in terms, unless his own good sense suggests

You see I do not insist upon the good the necessity of it to him-I mean the total effects of Mr Sawbridge's gratitude, yet I and absolute renunciation of Mr Hornes am sure it may be depended upon. I do It is very likely indeed that this gentleman not say that he is a man to go all lengths may do the business for himself, either by with Mr Wilkes; but you may be assured laying aside the masque at once, or by abusing Mr Sawbridge for accepting the and that wherever you have the voice of mayoralty upon any terms whatsoever of

This letter, Sir, is not intended for a correct or polished composition; but it con-Now, Sir, supposing all objections are re- tains the very best of Junius's understandmoved, and that you and Mr Crosby are ing. Do not treat me so unworthily, or agreed, the question is in what manner is rather do not degrade yourself so much, as the business to be opened to Mr Sawbridge. to suspect me of any interested view to Mr Upon this point too I shall offer you my Sawbridge's particular advantage. By all opinion, because the plan of this letter that's honourable I mean nothing but the would not otherwise be complete.-At the cause; and I may defy your keenest penesame time I do very unaffectedly submit tration to assign a satisfactory reason why IUNIUS, whoever he be, should have a per-I would have my lord mayor begin by de- sonal interest in giving the mayoralty to

I am heartily weary of writing, and shall will be necessary. You have a man to deal reserve another subject, on which I mean with who is too honourable to take an un- to address you, for another opportunity .fair advantage of you. With such a man I think that this letter, if you act upon it. you gain everything by frankness and can-should be a secret to everybody but Mr

IUNIUS.2

No. 66.

TO JOHN WILKES, ESO. London, 7th Sept. 1771.8

As this letter, Sir, has no relation to the subject of my last, the motives upon which you may have rejected one of my opinions, ought not to influence your judgment of another. I am not very sanguine

ment, which brought him into office, gave me the first, and an unconquerable disgust.' subjoined is a list of the candidates for that office with the numbers affixed to their respective names as they stood at the close of the poll :-

For Mr Alderman Nash .	2199
Mr Alderman Sawbridge The Lord Mayor	1879
Mr Alderman Halifax	
Mr Alderman Townshend	151
Sir Henry Bankes	36

³ Marked by Mr Wilkes, 'Received in Prince's Court, Saturday, Sept. 7, 1771.

I Mr Crosby was, at the date of this letter, lord mayor, and the author has here, obviously through mistake, substituted his name for that of

Mr Sawbridge.

The plan recommended by Junius in the above letter was not acted upon by Mr Wilkes, for the reasons assigned by him in his letter of Sept. 12, 1771 (No. 67). The consequence was, that Mr Alderman Wash, the ministerial candidate, was elected lord mayor, to the infinite mortification of Junius, who, in Private Letter, No. 56, makes the following observation upon him and his election. 'What an abandoned, prostituted idiot is your lord mayor! The shameful mismanage-

the business.

common cause by the assembly at the Lon- and measured language. don Tavern, nor can I conceal from you I object, in the first place, to the bulk,

A copy of which is subjoined, to enable the reader the better to understand Junius's objections to them. They are as follow:-

London Tavern, July 23, 1771. SUPPORTERS OF THE BILL OF RIGHTS.

SAVAGE BARRELL, ESQ., IN THE CHAIR. Resolved,

THAT the preamble, with the articles reported this day from the committee, be printed and published from this Society.

Whoever seriously considers the conduct of administration, both at home and abroad, can hardly entertain a doubt, that a plan is formed to subvert the constitution.

In the same manner, whoever attentively ex-amines into the proceedings of the present House of Commons, must apprehend, that such another House for seven years, after the termination of the present parliament, would effectually accomplish the views of the court, and leave no hope of redress but in an appeal to God.

The Middlesex election, taken on its true ground; the employment of the standing army, in St George's Fields; the granting half a mil-

in my expectations of persuading, nor do I effectual service to the nation; and we exthink myself intitled to quarrel with any pected from them a proof, not only of their • man, for not following my advice; yet this, zeal, but of their judgment.-Whereas the I believe, is a species of injustice you have measure they have adopted is so shamefully often experienced from your friends. From injudicious, with regard to its declared you, Sir. I expect in return that you will object, that, in my opinion, it will, and not remember how unsuccessfully I have reasonably ought, to make their zeal very recommended one measure to your con- questionable with the people they mean to sideration, lest you should think yourself serve. When I see a measure excellent in bound to assert your consistency, and, in itself, and not absolutely unattainable, the true spirit of persecution, to pass the either not made the principal object, or same sentence indifferently upon all my extravagantly loaded with conditions palopinions. Forgive this levity, and now to pably absurd or impracticable. I cannot easily satisfy myself, that the man, who A man, who honestly engages in a public proposes it, is quite so sincere as he precause, must prepare himself for events tends to be. You at least, Mr Wilkes, which will at once demand his utmost pa- should have shown more temper and prutience, and rouse his warmest indignation. dence, and a better knowledge of mankind. I feel myself, at this moment, in the very No personal respects whatsoever should situation I describe; yet from the common have persuaded you to concur in these enemy I expect nothing but hostilities ridiculous resolutions. But my own zeal, against the people. It is the conduct of I perceive, betrays me: I will endeavour to our friends that surprises and afflicts me. keep a better guard upon my temper, and I cannot but resent the injury done to the apply to your judgment in the most cautious

my own particular disappointment. They and much more to the style of your resoluhad it in their power to perform a real, tions of the 23rd of July; 1 though some

as the debts of the nation; and encroaching, to discharge them, upon the sinking fund, the great support of public credit; the attempts made on juries, the last sacred bulwark of liberty and law; the arbitrary and venal hand with which government is conducted in Ireland; the new and most unconstitutional mode of raising a revenue on the people of America, without asking the consent of their representatives; the introduction of an universal excise in America, instead of the laws of customs; the advancing the military above the civil power, and employing troops to awe the legislature :- All these are measures of so marked, so mischievous a nature, that it is impossible they should be unfelt or misunderstood; yet these are measures which the House of Commons have acquiesced in, countenanced, or executed.

If the present House of Commons then have given such vital wounds to the constitution, who is it can doubt, who is it can hope, that the conduct of such another House, will not be mortal to our liberties?

The trustees of the people should be pure of all interested communication with the court or its in St George's Fields; the granting half a mil-on, without enquiring into the expenditure of tween the members of the House and the court the civil list money, and upon the dangerous is as notorious now as it is abhorrent from every principle of considering the debts of the civil list great and good purpose of their institution. could wish. You talk of yourselves with assuming this false pomp and air of conse-

of lottery tickets, abound to such a degree in the House of Commons, that it is impossible a House so constituted can do their duty to the people.

It must be plain to the most common apprehension, that men deputed by the people, to watch over and guard their rights against the crown and its ministers, and, for that purpose, vested with the transcendent powers of refusing aid to the one, and impeaching the other, can never duly exercise those powers, or fulfil the intention of their election, if they are kept in pay of that crown and those ministers. What is the plain and inevitable consequence then of entrusting such men with the guardianship of our rights, but that our rights must be betrayed and violated? Thus we have seen a House of Commons infringing, as the court had pre-ordained, the sacred birthright of the people in the freedom of elec-tion; erasing a judicial record; committing to the Tower, and threatening with impeachment, the friends of the people, and the defenders of the law; while the favourites of the court are suffered to sport with the laws, and trample on the constitution, not only with impunity, but with approbation; curbing the people rigorously, and without feeling; while they uphold ministers, who are abhorred by the nation, in the most dangerous and alarming exertions of power; granting money with the most liberal, the most licentious hand to those ministers against whom the voice of the people calls loudly for impeachment. We have a suspecting people, and a confiding representative: a complaining people, and an exulting representative; a remonstrating people, and an addressing, adulating representative,a representative, that is an engine of oppression in the hand of the crown, instead of being a grand controuling inquest in favour of the people. Such a representative is a monster in the constitution, which must fill every considerate man with grief, alarm, astonishment, and indignation,

It is corruption that has engendered, nursed, and nourished this monster. Against such corruption, then, all men, who value the preservation of their dearest rights, are called upon to unite. Let us remember, that we ourselves, our children, and our posterity, must be freemen or slaves; as we preserve or prostitute the noble birthright our ancestors bequeathed us: for should this corruption be once firmly rooted, we

shall be an undone people.

Already is it fixed among the representative, and we taste, a thousand ways, the bitter fruit which it produces; should it extend equally to the electors, we must fall, as Greece and Rome have fallen, by the same means, from the same liberty and glory, to slavery, contempt, and wretchedness.

Impressed with these ideas, the gentlemen who compose the Society of the Bill of Rights, have determined to use their utmost endeavours to exterminate this corruption, by providing for the

part of the preamble is as pointed as I too much authority and importance. Be

Placemen, pensioners, contractors and receivers freedom of election, the equal representation of the people, the integrity of the representative. and the redress of grievances. It is their great wish to render the House of Commons what it constitutionally ought to be, the temple of liberty. With these views they have drawn up the following articles, which they now submit to the electors of Great Britain. At the same time they, with great deference, take the liberty of recommending to the independent electors to form those articles into a solemn declaration, which the candidates, whom they support, shall be required, as the indispensable condition of their being supported, to sign and seal, publicly, at the general meeting, or at the place of election, bind-ing themselves, by oath, to a due and sacred observance of what is therein contained.

The declaration so executed, may be deposited in the hands of the coroner, clerk of the peace. or magistrate before whom the oath was made, as a public memorial of what the constituent has demanded, and the representative has pledged

himself to perform.

I. You shall consent to no supplies, without a

previous redress of grievances.

2. You shall promote a law, subjecting each candidate to an oath, against having used bribery, or any other illegal means of compassing his election.

3. You shall promote, to the utmost of your power, a full and equal representation of the

people in parliament.

4. You shall endeavour to restore annual parliaments.

5. You shall promote a pension and place-bill, enacting, That any member who receives a place. pension, contract, lottery ticket, or any other emolument whatsoever from the crown, or enjoys profit from any such place, pension, &c., shall not only vacate his seat, but be absolutely ineligible during his continuance under such undue influence.

6. You shall impeach the ministers who advised the violating the right of the freeholders in the Middlesex election, and the military murders

in St George's Fields.

7. You shall make strict enquiry into the con-

duct of judges touching juries.

8. You shall make strict enquiry into the application of the public money.

9. You shall use your utmost endeavours to

have the resolution of the House of Commons expunged, by which the magistrates of the city of London were arbitrarily imprisoned, for strictly adhering to their charter and their oaths; and also that resolution by which a judicial record was erased to stop the course of justice.

10. You shall attend to the grievances of our fellow-subjects in Ireland, and second the com-

plaints they may bring to the throne.

11. You shall endeavour to restore to America the essential right of taxation, by representatives of their own free election; repealing the acts

quence, you either give general disgust, or, buted and accepted, or do you only intend what is infinitely more dangerous, you ex- to mortify Townshend and Harley? In short, pose yourselves to be laughed at. The Sir, would you, bond fide, and as a man of English are a fastidious people, and will honour, give it for your expectation and not submit to be talked to in so high a tone, opinion that there is a single county or by a set of private gentlemen, of whom borough in the kingdom, that will form the they know nothing, but that they call them- declaration recommended to them in these selves Supporters of the Bill of Rights, resolutions, and enforce it upon the candi-There are questions, which, in good policy, dates? For myself, I will tell you freely, you should never provoke the people in not what I think, but what I know; the general to ask themselves. At the same resolutions are either totally neglected in time, Sir, I am far from meaning to under- the country, or, if read, are laughed at, value the institution of this Society. On the and by people who mean as well to the contrary, I think the plan was admirable; cause as any of us. that it has already been of signal service to With regard to the articles taken separtion.

through both Houses such a place-bill, as ment. you describe in the fifth article; or, supevaded? When you talk of contracts and

the public, and may be of much greater; ately. I own I am concerned to see that and I do most earnestly wish, that you the great condition, which ought to be the would consider of and promote a plan for sine qua non of parliamentary qualification, forming constitutional clubs all through the which ought to be the basis, as it assuredly kingdom. A measure of this kind would will be the only support, of every barrier alarm government more, and be of more raised in defence of the constitution, I essential service to the cause, than anything mean a declaration upon oath to shorten the that can be done relative to new-modelling duration of parliaments, is reduced to the the House of Commons. You see then, fourth rank in the esteem of the Society; that my objections are directed to the par- and, even in that place, far from being inticular measure, not to the general institu- sisted on with firmness and vehemence, seems to have been particularly slighted in In the consideration of this measure, my the expression, you shall endeavour to refirst objection goes to the declared purpose store annual parliaments. Are these the of the resolutions, in the terms and mode terms which men who are in earnest make in which you have described it, viz. the ex- use of, when the salus reipublicae is at stake! termination of corruption. In my opinion, I expected other language from Mr Wilkes. you grasp at the *impossible*, and *lose the* Besides my objection in point of form, I really attainable. Without plaguing you disapprove highly of the meaning of the or myself with a logical argument upon a fourth article, as it stands :- Whenever the speculative question, I willingly appeal to question shall be seriously agitated, I will enyour own candour and judgment. Can any deavour (and if I live will assuredly attempt man in his senses affirm, that, as things are it) to convince the English nation, by argunow circumstanced in this country, it is ments, to my understanding unanswerable, possible to exterminate corruption? Do that they ought to insist upon a triennial, you seriously think it possible to carry and banish the idea of an annual parlia-

Article 1. The terms of the first article posing it carried, that it would not be would have been very proper a century or two ago, but they are not adapted to the lottery tickets, do you think that any human present state of the constitution. The king law can really prevent their being distri- does not act directly either in imposing or

passed in violation of that right, since the year liberty, which has been lately substituted, in the 1753; and the universal excise, so notoriously colonies, for the laws of customs. incompatible with every principle of British

SAVAGE BARRELL, ESQ., CHAIRMAN.

redressing grievances. We need not now unquestionable. But let us take care what conditions. So much, in general, for grievall those we complain of are, apparently, the acts either of the Lords or the Commons. those ministers are arraigned and convicted king should persist in keeping them in office, it would be a grievance in the strict, legal sense of the word, and would unaddresses to the throne. They ought to be incessantly repeated. The people, by the singular situation of their affairs, are compelled to do the duty of the House of Commons.

Article 2. I object to the second article, because I think that multiplying oaths is only multiplying perjury. Besides this, I am satisfied that, with a triennial parliangent (and without it all other provisions are nugatory) Mr Grenville's bill is, or may gross; or flagrant offences in this way.

bribe the crown to do us justice; and, as we attempt. We may demolish the vento the refusal of supplies, we might punish erable fabric we intend to repair; and where ourselves indeed, but it would be no way is the strength and virtue to erect a better compulsory upon the king. With respect in its stead? I should not, for my own part. to his civil list, he is already independent, be so much moved at the corrupt and odious or might be so, if he has common sense, or practices, by which inconsiderable men get common resolution: and as for refusing to into parliament; nor even at the want of a vote the army or navy. I hope we shall perfect representation (and certainly nothing never be mad enough to try an experiment can be less reconcileable to the theory, than every way so hazardous. But, in fact, the the present practice of the constitution), if effort would be infinitely too great for the means could be found to compel such men occasion. All we want is an honest repre- to do their duty (in essentials at least) when sentative, or at least such a one as will have they are in parliament. Now, Sir, I am some respect for the constituent body, convinced that, if shortening the duration Formerly the House of Commons were of parliaments (which in effect is keeping compelled to bargain with the Sovereign. the representative under the rod of the con-At present they may prescribe their own stituent) be not made the basis of our new parliamentary jurisprudence, other checks ances: as to particular grievances, almost or improvements signify nothing. On the contrary, if this be made the foundation, other measures may come in aid, and, as The appointment of unworthy ministers, is auxiliaries, be of considerable advantage. not strictly a grievance (that is, a legal Lord Chatham's project, for instance, of subject of complaint to the king), until increasing the number of Knights of Shires, appears to me admirable, and the moment in due course of law. If, after that, the we have obtained a triennial parhament, it ought to be tried. As to cutting away the rotten boroughs, I am as much offended as any man at seeing so many of them under doubtedly justify rebellion according to the the direct influence of the crown, or at the forms, as well as the spirit, of the constitu- disposal of private persons; vet I own I tion. I am far from condemning the late have both doubts and apprehensions, in regard to the remedy you propose. I shall be charged, perhaps, with an unusual want of political intrepidity, when I honestly confess to you, that I am startled at the idea of so extensive an amputation. In the first place, I question the power, de jure, of the legislature to disfranchise a number of boroughs upon the general ground of improving the constitution. There cannot be a doctrine more fatal to the liberty and property we are contending for, than that be made, a sufficient guard against any which confounds the idea of a supreme and an arbitrary legislature. I need not point Apricle 3. The terms of the third article out to you, the fatal purposes to which it are too loose and indefinite to make a dis- has been and may be applied. If we are finct or serious impression. That the peo- sincere in the political creed we profess, ple are not equally and fully represented is there are many things which we ought to

affirm, cannot be done by King, Lords, When all your instruments of amputation purpose of improving the constitution.

When the Septennial Act passed, the legis- meanest of the human species, the whole lature did what apparently and palpably race of the Conways. I have but one word they had no power to do; but they did to add, -I would not give representatives more than people in general were aware of: to those great trading towns, which have they disfranchised the whole kingdom for none at present. If the merchant and the four years. For argument's sake, I will manufacturer must be really represented. now suppose, that the expediency of the measure, and the power of parliament, were alluded to; as Junus, in Letter LXIX., seems unquestionable. Still you will find an information of this nobleman, from his renewed intimacy with the duke of surmountable difficulty in the execution. Grafton,

and Commons. Among these I reckon the are prepared-when the unhappy patient disfranchising a borough with a general lies bound at your feet, without the posview to improvement. I consider it as sibility of resistance, by what infallible rule equivalent to robbing the parties concerned, will you direct the operation? When you of their freehold, of their birthright. I say, propose to cut away the rotten parts, can that although this birthright may be for- you tell us what parts are perfectly sound? feited, or the exercise of it suspended in Are there any certain limits, in fact or particular cases, it cannot be taken away theory, to inform you at what point you by a general law, for any real or pretended must stop—at what point the mortification ends? To a man so capable of observation believe there is no power in this country to and reflection as you are, it is unnecessary make such a law. Supposing the attempt to say all that might be said upon the submade. I am persuaded you cannot mean ject. Besides that I approve highly of lord that either King or Lords should take an Chatham's idea of 'infusing a portion of active part in it. A bill, which only touches new health into the constitution to enable the representation of the people, must it to bear its infirmities' (a brilliant expresoriginate in the House of Commons, in the sion, and full of intrinsic wisdom), other formation and mode of passing it. The reasons concur in persuading me to adopt exclusive right of the Commons must be it. I have no objection to paying him such asserted as scrupulously as in the case of a compliments as carry a condition with Money Bill. Now. Sir, I should be glad them, and either bind him firmly to the to know by what kind of reasoning it can cause, or become the bitterest reproach to be proved, that there is a power vested in him if he deserts it. Of this last I have not the representative to destroy his immediate the most distant suspicion. There is constituent; from whence could be possibly another man, indeed, with whose conduct derive it? A courtier, I know, will be ready I am not so completely satisfied. Yet enough to maintain the affirmative. The even he, I think, has not resolution enough doctrine suits him exactly, because it gives to do any thing flagrantly impudent in the an unlimited operation to the influence of face of his country. At the same time that the crown. But we, Mr Wilkes, must hold I think it good policy to pay those complia different language. It is no answer to ments to lord Chatham, which, in truth, me to say, that the bill, when it passes the he has nobly deserved, I should be glad to House of Commons, is the act of the ma- mortify those contemptible creatures who iority, and not of the representatives of the call themselves noblemen, whose worthless particular boroughs concerned. If the importance depends entirely upon their inmajority can disfranchise ten boroughs, fluence over boroughs, which cannot be why not twenty? Why not the whole safely diminished, but by increasing the kingdom? Why should not they make power of the counties at large. Among their own seats in parliament for life? these men, I cannot but distinguish the

let them become freeholders by their in- and really paid, demands a particular excounty be increased. You will find the in- pudent outrage offered to a patient people. terruption of business in those towns, by the triennial riot and cabals of an election, but I think the matter of it rather fit for intoo dear a price for the nugatory privilege struction, than for the declaration you have of sending members to parliament.

long discussion ;-of the fourth and fifth I long. have spoken already.

peachment.

tory Bill, to say the best of it, useless.

dustry, and let the representation of the amination. Never was there a more im-

Article o. The ninth is indispensable: in view. I am very apprehensive of cloz-The remaining articles will not require a ging the declaration, and making it too

Articles 10 and 11. In the tenth and Article 6. The measures recommended eleventh you are very civil to Ireland and in the sixth are unexceptionable. My only America; and if you mean nothing but doubt is, how can an act, apparently done ostentation, it may possibly answer your by the House of Commons, be fixed, by purpose. Your care of Ireland is much to sufficient legal evidence, upon the duke of be commended. But, I think, in good Grafton, or lord North, of whose guilt I am policy, you may as well complete a reformanevertheless completely satisfied. As for tion at home, before you attempt to carry lord Weymouth and lord Barrington, their your improvements to such a distance. own letters are a sufficient ground of im- Clearing the fountain is the best and shortest way to purify the stream. As to taxing Article 7. The seventh article is also the Americans by their own representatives. very proper and necessary. The impeach- I confess I do not perfectly understand you. ment of lord Mansfield, upon his own paper, If you propose that, in the article of taxais indispensable. Yet suffer me to guard tion, they should hereafter be left to the you against the seducing idea of concurring authority of their respective assemblies, I in any vote, or encouraging any bill, which must own I think you had no business to may pretend to ascertain, while in reality it revive a question which should, and prolimits, the constitutional power of juries. I bably would, have lain dormant for ever. If would have their right, to return a general you mean that the Americans should be verdict in all cases whatsoever, considered authorized to send their representatives to as a part of the constitution, fundamental, the British parliament, I shall be contented sacred, and no more questionable by the with referring you to what Mr Burke has legislature, than whether the government said upon this subject, and will not venture of the country shall be by King, Lords, to add anything of my own, for fear of disand Commons. Upon this point, an En-covering an offensive disregard of your acting Bill would be pernicious; a Declara- opinion. Since the repeal of the Stamp Act, I know of no acts tending to tax the Article 8. I think the eighth article would Americans, except that which creates the be more properly expressed thus: You shall tea duty; and even that can hardly be grant no money, unless for services known called internal. Yet it ought to be reto, and approved of, by Parliament. In pealed, as an impolitic act, not as an opgeneral the supplies are appropriated, and pressive one. It preserves the contention cannot easily be misapplied. The House between the mother country and the of Commons are indeed too ready in grant- colonies, when every thing worth contending large sums under the head of extraor- ing for is in reality given up. When this dinaries incurred, and not provided for. act is repealed, I presume you will turn But the accounts lie before them; it is your thoughts to the postage of letters; a their own fault if they do not examine them. tax imposed by authority of parliament, and The manner in which the late debt upon levied in the very heart of the colonies. I the civil list was pretended to be incurred, am not sufficiently informed upon the subprehend, that admitting the necessity of by a hint in the Public Advertiser. raising a revenue for the support of government there, any other revenue laws, but those of excise, would be nugatory in such a country as America. I say this with great diffidence as to the point in question, and with a positive protest against any conclusion from America to Great Britain.

If these observations shall appear to deserve the attention of the Society, it is for them to consider what use may be made of them. I know how difficult and irksome it is to tread back the steps we have taken; vet, if any part of what I have submitted to you carries reason and conviction with it. I hope that no false shame will influence our friends at the London Tavern.

I do not deny that I expect my opinions upon these points should have some degree of weight with you. I have served Mr Wilkes, and am still capable of serving him. I have faithfully served the public, without the possibility of a personal advantage. As explanations with him whatsoever.2 Junius, I can never expect to be rewarded. -The secret is too important to be committed to any great man's discretion. If views of interest or ambition could tempt me to betray my own secret, how could I flatter myself that the man I trusted would not act upon the same principles, and sacrifice me at once to the king's curiosity and resentment? Speaking therefore as a disinterested man, I have a claim to your attention. Let my opinions be fairly examined.

JUNIUS.

P. S. As you will probably never hear from me again, I will not omit this opportunity of observing to you, that I am not embarrasses me. properly supported in the newspapers. One would think that all the fools were of the other side of the question. As to myself it is of little moment. I can brush away the swarming insects whenever I think proper. But it is bad policy to let it appear,

ject of that excise, which you say is substi- in any instance, that we have not numbers tuted in North America to the laws of cus- as well as justice of our side. I wish you toms, to deliver such an opinion upon it as would contrive that the receipt of this letter I would abide by. Yet I can easily com- and my last, might be barely acknowledged

> No. 67. TO JUNIUS.

Prince's Court, Monday, Sept. 9.

MR WILKES had the honour of receiving from the same gentleman two excellent letters on important subjects, one dated Aug. 21st, the other Sept. 7th. He begs the favour of the author to prescribe the mode of Mr Wilkes's communicating his answer.1

No. 68.

TO J. WILKES, ESQ.

10 Sept. 1771.

You may intrust Woodfall with a letter for me. Leave the rest to his management.

I expect that you will not enter into any

No. 69. TO JUNIUS.

SIR.

Sept. 12, 1771.

I DO not mean to indulge the impertinent curiosity of finding out the most important secret of our times, the author of Junius. I will not attempt with profane hands to tear the sacred veil of the sanctuary; I am disposed with the inhabitants of Attica, to erect an altar to the unknown god of our political idolatry, and will be content to worship him in clouds and darkness.

This very circumstance, however, deeply The first letter with which I was honoured by JUNIUS, called for a thousand anecdotes of Crosby, Saw-

1 This note was inserted in the P. A. of Sept.

10, 1771. Mr Wilkes has written on it, 'Received by the Penny Post,'

bridge, and Townshend, too tedious, too man so obnoxious that it is impossible for pledged to Crosby, who has fed himself course! with the hope of that and the membership.

minute, to throw upon paper, which yet them to elect him. Bridgen I take to be must be acted upon, and, as he well knows, this man. While he presided in the city, he mark the character of men. Junius has, in treated them with insolence, was exceedmy idea, too favourable sentiments of Saw- ingly rude and scurrilous to them personbridge. I allow him honest, but think he ally, starved them at the few entertainments has more mulishness than understanding, he gave, and pocketed the city cash. As he more understanding than candour. He is has always voted on the popular side, we become the absolute dupe of Malagrida's are justified to the livery in the recomgang. He has declared, that if he was mendation of him, and the rest will be chosen mayor this year, he would not serve guessed. Crosby will probably be the the office, but fine, because Townshend locum tenens of Bridgen, if Bridgen is ought to be mayor. Such a declaration is elected. I wrote the letter on this subject certain, and in my opinion it borders on in the Public Advertiser of Sept. 5. The insanity. To me Sawbridge complained argument there is specious, although my the last year that his sheriffalty passed private opinion is, the House of Commons in a continual secret cabal of Beckford, will not again fall into that snare. Into Townshend, and Horne, without the com- another I am satisfied they will. The munication of anything to him till the House of Lords too, will, I think, furnish a moment of execution. Sawbridge has most interesting scene, in consequence of openly acted against us. Our troops will the powers they usurp, and the sheriff not be brought at present to fight his bat- means the attack. I wish this great busi-Mrs Macauley has warmly espoused ness, as I have projected it, could be the common cause, and severely condemns unravelled in a letter or two to Junius, her brother. Any overtures to Sawbridge, but the detail is too long and intricate. I believe, would have been rejected, per- How greatly is it to be lamented that the haps treated with contempt, by not the best few real friends of the public have so little bred man in the island. How could I communication of counsels, so few and begin a negotiation when I was already only distant means of a reserved inter-

I have no where met with more excellent by which I overcame his natural timidity? and abundant political matter than in the JUNIUS sees the confidence I place in him. letter of JUNIUS respecting the Bill of Could there be a prospect of any cordiality Rights. He ought to know from me, that between Sawbridge and the popular party, the American Dr Lee (the Gazetteer's Juat least so soon as his mayoralty? I should nius Americanus) was the author of the too fear the Mansion House would be besieged, long Preamble, Articles, &c. They were, and taken by the banditti of the Shelburnes. indeed, submitted to me on the morning of But what I am sure will be decisive to Ju- the day on which they passed, but I made NIUS, I was engaged to Crosby before I few corrections. I disliked the extreme verreceived the letter of Aug. 21, and I have biage of every part, and wished the whole not since found in him the least inclination put again on the anvil. Sir Joseph Mawto yield the favourite point. The member- bey and I were of opinion to adjourn the ship of the city is a security to the public business for a re-consideration, but the for his steadiness in the cause. Surely then majority of the members were too impait would have been imprudent to have tient to have something go forth in their wished a change. My duty to the people names to the public. It would have been only makes me form a wish for Crosby. To highly imprudent in sir Joseph or me to make Crosby mayor, it is necessary to thwart them in so favourite a point, and return to the court of aldermen another the substance I indeed greatly approve. At

all times I hate taking in other people's foul linen to wash. The Society of the Bill of Rights have been called my committee. and it has been said that they were governed entirely by me. This has spread a jealousy even among my friends. I was therefore necessitated to act the most cautious and prudent part. You cannot always do all the good you wish, and you are sometimes reduced to the necessity of yielding in a particular moment to conciliate the doubtful, the peevish, or the refractory. IU-NIUS may be assured that I will warmly recommend the formation of constitutional clubs in several parts of the kingdom. I am satisfied that nothing would more alarm the ministry. I agree that the shortening the duration of parliaments is the first and most important of all considerations, without which all the rest would be nugatory; but I am unhappy to differ with JUNIUS in so essential a point as that of triennial parliaments. They are inadequate to the cure of destroying dependance in the members on the crown. They only lessen, not root out, corruption, and only reduce the purchase money for an annuity of three instead of seven years. I have a thousand arguments against triennial and in favour of annual parliaments. The question was fairly agitated at the London Tavern, and several of your friends owned that they NIUS out of compliment to Wilkes. for a letter. I hope to read JUNIUS'S lic man I think myself secure of his supmature and deliberate thoughts on this subject. I own that in the House of Commons sound policy would rather favour tional points of liberty. As a private person triennial parliaments as the necessary road I figure to myself that Junius is as amiable to annual, but the constitutional question is different.

I am sorry likewise to differ with JUNIUS as to the power de jure of the legislature to daughter, whom JUNIUS has noticed in the disfranchise any boroughs. How origin- most obliging manner. ated the right, and why was it granted? Old Sarum and Gatton, for instance, were with Woodfall on the subject of our correpopulous places, when the right of representation was first given them. They are him. All he can guess, will be from the now desolate, and therefore in everything following card, which I shall send by my should return to their former state. A servant with this letter. 'Mr Wilkes prebarren mountain or a single farm-house sents his compliments to Mt Woodfall, and

can have no representation in parliament. I exceedingly approve lord Chatham's idea of increasing the number of knights of shires. If parliaments are not annual, I should not disapprove of a third part of the legislative body going out every year by ballot, and of consequence an annual reelection in part.

I am so much narassed with business at present, that I have not time to mention many particulars of importance, and these three days I have had the shivering fits of a slow lurking fever, a strange disorder for Wilkes, which makes writing painful to me. I could plunge the patriot dagger in the heart of the tyrant of my country, but my hand would now tremble in doing it. In general I enjoy settled confirmed health, to which I have for some years paid great attention, chiefly from public views.

I am satisfied that JUNIUS now means me well, and I wish to merit more than his regard, his friendship. He has poured balm into my wounds, the deepest of which, I sigh when I recollect, were made by that now friendly hand. I am always ready to kiss his rod, but I hope its destination is changed, and that it will never again fall as heavy upon me as towards the conclusion of the year 1769, when Thurlow said sneeringly, the government prosecuted Juwere convinced. The subject is too copious warmly wish Junius my friend. As a pubport, for I will only depend on popular favour, and pursue only the true constituin the private as he is great in the public walk of life. I now live very much at home, happy in the elegant society of a sensible

> I have not had a moment's conversation spondence, nor did I mean to mention it to

desires him to direct and forward the en-JUNIUS to me, I did not go to Woodfall to The letter itself bore the stamp of Tove. was neither doubting nor impertinent. Ι wish to comply with every direction of Iu-NIUS, to profit by his hints, and to have the nermission of writing to him on any important occasion. I desire to assure him, that in all great public concerns, I am perfeetly free from every personality either of The Stoic apathy is dislike or affection. then really mine.

Lord Chatham said to me ten years ago, * * * * * * * s the falsest hypocrite in Europe.' I must hate the man as much as even JUNIUS can, for through this whole reign almost it has been * * * * * * * * versus Wilkes. This conduct will probably make it Wilkes versus * * * * * * * * * TUNIUS must imagine that no man in the island feels what he writes on that occasion more than I do.

This letter is an emanation of the heart, not an effort of the head. It claims attention from the honest zeal and sincerity of the writer, whose affection for his country will end only with his life.

JOHN WILKES.

No. 70.

TO JOHN WILKES, ESQ.1

London, 18th Sept., 1771. SIR.

Your letter of the 12th instant was carefully conveyed to me. I am much flattered, as you politely intended I should be, with the worship you are pleased to pay to the unknown god of politics. I find I am treated as other gods usually are by their votaries, with sacrifice and ceremony in abundance, and very little obedience. The profession of your faith is unexceptionable: but I am a modest deity, and should be full as well satisfied with good works and morality.

There is a rule in business that would closed to Junius.' After the first letter of save much time if it were generally adopted. A question once decided is no longer a subprv into a secret I had no right to know. ject of argument. You have taken your I resolution about the mayoralty. What I have now to say is not meant to alter it. but, in perfect good humour, to guard you against some inconveniences, which may attend the execution. It is your own affair. and though I still think you have chosen injudiciously, both for yourself and for the public, I have no right to find fault or to tease you with reflections, which cannot divert you from your purpose.

> I cannot comprehend the reason of M1 Crosby's eagerness to be lord mayor, unless he proposes to disgrace the office and himself by pocketing the salary. In that case he will create a disgust among the citizens, of which you and your party will feel the bad effects, and as for himself he may bid adieu to all hopes of being returned for the That he should live with unusual splendor is essentially your interest and his own; and even then I do not perceive that his merits are so distinguished as to entitle him to a double reward. Of the dignity or authority of a locum tenens, I know nothing; nor can I conceive what credit Mr Crosby is likely to derive from representing Mr Bridgen. But suppose Bridgen should be lord mayor, and should keep his word in appointing Crosby his lieutenant, I should be glad to know, who is to support the expense and dignity of the office? It may suit such a fellow as Bridgen to shut up the Mansion-house, but I promise you his economy will be of no service to Mr Wilkes. If you make him mayor, you will be made answerable for his conduct; and if he and Crosby be returned, you may depend upon it the court of aldermen will choose him.

> With regard to Mr Sawbridge, since I cannot prevail with you to lay the foundation of a closer union between you, by any positive sacrifice in his favour, at least let me entreat you to observe a moderate and guarded conduct towards him. I should be much concerned to see his character

Written on by him, 'Received Monday afternoon, September 18, 1771.

traduced, or his person insulted. He is constitution. Naturally phlegmatic, these the cause.-You will have ruined yourself, never forgive you is nothing; 1-you could never forgive yourself .- JUNIUS from that moment will be compelled to consider you as a man who has sacrificed the public to views which were every way unworthy of you. If then, upon a fair canvass of the livery, you should see a probability that Bridgen may not be returned, let that point be given up at once, and let Sawbridge be returned with Crosby; -a more likely way, in my judgment, to make Crosby lord mayor.

be of greater benefit to the community, cannot pretend to answer those arguments than your intended attack upon the un- in favour of annual parliaments, by which constitutional powers assumed by the House you say the friends of JUNIUS were conof Lords. You have my warmest applause; vinced. The question is not what is best and if I can assist, command my assistance. in theory (for there I should undoubtedly The arbitrary power of fine and imprison- agree with you), but what is most expedient pient, assumed by these men, would be a in practice. You labour to carry the condisgrace to any form of legal government stitution to a point of perfection which it not purely aristocratical. - Directly, it can never reach to, or at which it cannot invades the laws; indirectly, it saps the long be stationary. In this idea I think I

not a dupe to any set of men whatsoever, questions warm me. I envy you the laurels nor do I think he has taken any violent or you will acquire.—Banish the thought that decided part against you.-Yet to be ex- JUNIUS can make a dishonourable or an cluded from those honours which are the imprudent use of the confidence you repose only rewards he pretends to, and to which in him. When you have leisure, communihe is so justly entitled, and to see them be- cate your plan to me, that I may have time stowed upon such men as Crosby and Bridgen, to examine it, and to consider what part I is enough to excite and justify his resent- can act with the greatest advantage to the ment. All this, Sir, is matter of conveni- cause. The constitutional argument is ence, which I hope you will consider obvious. I wish you to point out to me There is another point, upon which I must where you think the force of the formal be much more serious and earnest with legal argument lies. In pursuing such in-You seem to have no anxiety or quiries I lie under a singular disadvantage. apprehension but lest the friends of lord Not venturing to consult those who are Shelburne should get possession of the qualified to inform me, I am forced to Mansion-house. In my opinion they have collect every thing from books or common no chance of success whatsoever. The conversation. The pains I took with that real danger is from the interest of govern- paper upon privilege, were greater than I ment: from Harley, and the Tories.-If can express to you. Yet after I had blindwhile you are employed in counteracting ed myself with poring over journals, de-Mr Townshend, a ministerial alderman bates, and parliamentary history, I was at should be returned, you will have ruined last obliged to hazard a bold assertion, which I am now convinced is true (as I and for ever. To say that JUNIUS could really then thought it), because it has not been disproved or disputed .- There is this material difference upon the face of the two questions. We can olearly show a time when the Lower House had not an unlimited power of commitment for breach of privilege. Whereas I fear we shall not have the same advantage over the House of Lords. It is not that precedents have any weight with me in opposition to principles; but I know they weigh with the multitude.

My opinion of the several articles of the Nothing can do you greater honour, nor proposed declaration remains unaltered. I see the mistake of a speculative man, who is either not conversant with the world. cr

See note to Private Letter, No. 56.

PRIVATE LETTERS.

of taking things as they are. The objection turn members to parliament has neither drawn from the purchase of an annuity for fact nor theory to support it .- 'They have.' three years instead of seven, is defective, bond fide, no electors.' Consequently there because it applies in the same proportion is no man to be dispossessed of his free. to an annuity for one year. This is not hold. No man to be disfranchised of his the question. The point is to keep the right of election. At the worst, supposing the representative as much under the check annihilation of these pretended boroughs and controul of the constituent, as can be could no way be reconciled to my own done consistently with other great and principles, I shall only say, give me a essential objects. But without entering healthy, vigorous constitution, and I shall further into the debate, I would advise, hardly consult my looking-glass to discover that this part of the declaration be expressed a blemish upon my skin. in general terms; viz. to shorten the duration of parliaments. This mediating ex- originate, and for what purpose was it pedient will, for the present, take in both granted? I do not see the tendency of opinions, and leave open the quantum of these questions, but I answer them without time to a future discussion.

to form the third part of the legislature is defended, you urge against me two gross cases, which undoubtedly call for correction. These cases, you may believe, did not escape me, and by the bye, admit of a particular answer. But it is not treating me fairly to oppose general principles with particular abuses. It is not in human policy to form an institution from which no possible inconvenience shall arise. I did not pretend to deliver a doctrine, to which there could be no possible objection. We are to chuse between better and worse. Let us come fairly to the point .- Whether is it safer to deny the legislature a power of disfranchising all the electors of a borough; admit the power, and so leave it with the If you deny the consequence, it will be in- which cannot safely be removed. cumbent upon you to prove by positive

not sufficiently persuaded of the necessity do not embarrass me. Their right to re-

You ask me, from whence did the right scruple: 'In general it arose from the In answer to a general argument, by king's writs, and it was granted with a view which the uncontroulable right of the people to balance the power of the nobility, and to obtain aids from the people.'-But without looking back to an obscure antiquity, from which no certain information can be collected, you will find that the laws of England have much greater regard to possession (of a certain length) than to any other title whatsoever; and that, in every kind of property which sayours of the reality, this doctrine is most wisely the basis of our English jurisprudence. Though I use the terms of art, do not injure me so much as to suspect I am a lawver .- I had as lief be a Scotchman.-It is the encouragement given to disputes about titles, which has supported that iniquitous profession at the expense of the community.-As to this (which, if denied, entails a number of rotten whole argument about rotten boroughs, if boroughs upon the constitution)-or to I seem zealous in supporting my opinion, it is not from a conception that the constitulegislature to disfranchise ad arbitrium tion cannot possibly be relieved from them every borough and county in the kingdom. -I mean only to reconcile you to an evil

Now, Mr Wilkes, I shall deal very reasoning that a power which holds in the plainly with you. The subject of my first case of Aylesbury or New Shoreham, does letter was private and personal, and I am not hold in the case of York, London, or content it should be forgotten. Your letter Middlesex. To this question I desire a to me is also sacred. But my second letter direct answer; and when we have fixed our is of public import, and must not be supprinciples, we may regularly descend to the pressed. I did not mean that it should be detail. The cases of Gatton and Old Sarum buried in Prince's Court. It would be unshort, and rational declaration (whether by his importance. laying my letter before the Society, or by any other mode that you shall think advisable), I shall hold myself obliged, by a duty paramount to all other considerations, to institute an amicable suit against the Society before the tribunal of the public. Without asperity, without petulance or disrespect, I propose to publish the second letter, and to answer or submit to argument. The necessity of taking this step will indeed give me pain, for I well know that differences between the advocates are of no service to the cause. But the lives of the best of us are spent in chusing between evils.—As to you, Sir, you may as well take the trouble of directing that Society, since whatever they do is placed to your account.

The domestic society you speak of is much to be envied. I fancy I should like it still better than you do. I too am no enemy to good fellowship, and have often cursed that canting parson for wishing to deny you your claret. It is for him, and men like him, to beware of intoxication. Though I do not place the little pleasures of life in competition with the glorious business of instructing and directing the people, yet I see no reason why a wise man may the indulgence of Epicurus.

and the scar shall be no disgrace to you.

I willingly accept of as much of your ness and a feeling of gratitude. friendship as you can impart to a man whom you will assuredly never know. Besides every personal consideration, if I were known, I could no longer be an useful servant to the public. At present there is

fair to embarrass you with a new question, something oracular in the delivery of my while your city election is depending. But opinions. I speak from a recess which no if I perceive that within a reasonable time human curiosity can penetrate, and darkafter that business is concluded, no steps are ness, we are told, is one source of the subtaken with the Bill of Rights to form a new, lime. - The mystery of UNIUS increases

JUNIUS.

No. 71.

Prince's Court, Thursday, Sept. 19. MR WILKES thanks Mr Woodfall

for the care of the former letter, and desires him to transmit the enclosed to JUNIUS.

TO JUNJUS.

SIR, Sept. 19, 1771

I HAD last night the honour of your letter of vesterday's date. I am just going to the Common Hall, but first take up the pen to thank you for the kindness you express to me, and to say that the Bill of Rights meet next Tuesday. thought it necessary not to lose a moment in giving you this information, that whatever you judge proper may be submitted to that Society as early as possible. Tunius may command me in every thing. When he says, 'my second letter is of public import. and must not be suppressed. I did not mean that it should be buried in Prince's Court.'-does he wish it should be comnot unite the public virtues of Cato, with municated to the Society, and in what manner? The beginning of the second Continue careful of your health. Your letter refers to a first letter, and some other head is too useful to be spared, and your expressions may be improper for the knowhand may be wanted. Think no more of ledge of the Society. I wait JUNIUS'S what is past. You did not then stand so directions. I beg his free sentiments on all well in my opinion; and it was necessary occasions. I mean next week to state a to the plan of that letter to rate you lower variety of particulars for his consideration than you deserved. The wound is curable, and in answer to his letter. I had now only a moment to mention a point of busi-

JOHN WILKES.

No. 72.

TO J. WILKES, ESO.

Sir.

21 Sept. 1771.1

SINCE you are so obliging as to say, you will be guided by my opinion as to the manner of laying my sentiments before the Bill of Rights, I see no reason why the whole of the second letter may not be read there next Tuesday, except the postscript, which has no connexion with the rest, and the word ridiculous, which may naturally give offence ;-as I mean to persuade and soften, not irritate or offend. be copied over in a better hand.

JUNIUS.

By all means let it be copied. - This manuscript is for private use only.

> No. 73. TO J. WILKES, ESQ.

SIR. Monday.2

When I wrote to you on Saturday. would therefore be to no purpose.

There is more in it than perhaps you are aware of. Depend upon it, the perpetual union of Wilkes and mob does you no service. Not but that I love and esteem the moh .-It is your interest to keep up dignity and gravity besides. I would not make myself cheap by walking the streets so much as you do. Verbum sat.

> No. 74. TO JUNIUS.

SIR. Wednesday, Sept. 25.

YESTERDAY I attended the meet-Let that word be expunged. The prefatory ing of the Society of the Bill of Rights. part you may leave or not as you think and laid before them the letter, which I had proper. You are not bound to satisfy any the honour of receiving from you on the man's curiosity upon a private matter, and 7th of September. The few lines of the upon my silence you may, I believe, depend preamble I omitted, the word ridiculous, entirely. As to other passages I have no according to your directions, and a very few favour or affection, so let all go. It should more lines towards the conclusion. All the rest was a faithful transcript, the exact If any objections are raised, which are tenor.3 The season of the year occasioned answered in my third letter, you will, I am the meeting to be ill attended. Only eleven sure, answer for me, so far forth, ore tenus. members were present. The following resolution passed unanimously: 'That Mr Wilkes be desired to transmit to JUNIUS the thanks of the Society for his letter, and to assure him, that it was received with all the respect due to his distinguished character and abilities.' Soon after my fever obliged me to return home, and I have not heard of anything further being done: but Mr Lee told me he thought the letter capait did not occur to me that your own ad- ble of a full answer, which he meant, on a vertisement had already informed the pub- future day, to submit to the Society, and lic of your receiving two letters; your would previously communicate to me. The omitting the preamble to the second letter letter is left in the hands of Mr Reynolds. who has the care of the other papers of the In my opinion you should not wish to Society, with directions to permit every decline the appearance of being particu- member to peruse, and even transcribe it, larly addressed in that letter. It is calcu- on the promise of non-publication. Some lated to give you dignity with the public, particular expressions appeared rather too

Written on it by Mr Wilkes, 'Received Sept. 2 Ibid.

amended by striking out the word PURPORT, in the several places where it is mentioned in the said information (except in the first place), and inserting, instead thereof, the word TENOR.' The Chief Justice was accused of having suggested attorney or solicitor to attend at his house, on this alteration, and several objections were taken the morning previous to the trial, 'to show cause to it, which, in argument, were overruled by the

^{23, 1771.}When Mr Wilkes was prosecuted in the year
No. 45. 1764, for publishing the North Briton, No. 45, lord Mansfield issued an order for Mr Wilkes's why the information in this cause should not be Court.

members.

in the most tender part.

I am too ill to-day to add more. JOHN WILKES.

No. 75.

TO J. WILKES, ESQ.

16th October, 1771. SIR.

my thanks and approbation of your letter ence of opinion. I shall say a few words of this day.1 I think it proper, manly, and to-morrow on this subject, under the signato the purpose. In these altercations ture of Philo Junius.-The letters under nothing can be more useful, than to pre- that name have been hastily drawn up, but serve dignity and sang froid-fortiter in re, the principles are tenable. I thought your suaviter in modo, increases both the force letter about the military very proper and and the severity. Your conduct to Mr well drawn.5

the livery of London, in his own defence, from note to Miscellaneous Letter, No. XCII.] an attack which had been made upon him by Mr Alderman Townshend. We shall extract such parts of it as are more particularly alluded to by

JUNIUS in this letter.

2 'Mr Townshend asks, "Does he (Mr Wilkes) allow one man in the court of aldermen to be worthy of your confidence, except himself and Mr Crosby?" Let me state the question about Mr Sawbridge. Mr Wilkes has declared under his hand, in all the public papers, "No man can honour Mr Sawbridge more than I do, for can infloring and private wirtue, which constitutes a great and amiable character." Was this praise cold or penurious? Was it not deserving a better return than it seems to have found? Is not such a character worthy of your confidence?" Mr Willow's better for the seems to have found? Wilkes's letter of Oct. 15.

3 'Mr Morris told us at the Bill of Rights, that when he pressed Mr Townshend about the affair of the printers, his answer was, that he did not find he should be supported by any great man, and otherwise it would be imprudent, therefore did not chuse to act in it. The prudent Mr Townshend may wait the consent of great men. I will on a national call follow as the most cruel species of general warrants.' instantly the line of my duty, regardless of their Id. applause or censure. Public spurit and virtue are seldom in the company of his Lordship or his

S A few days previous to Messrs Wilkes and Bull entering upon their office of sheriffs of Lon-

harsh and grating to the ears of some of the Sawbridge is every thing I could wish.2 Be assured, you will find it both honourable Surely, Sir. nothing in the advertisement and judicious. Had it been adopted a I inserted in the Public Advertiser, could little sooner, you might have returned him lead to the idea of the two letters I men- and Crosby, and taken the whole merit of tioned coming from JUNIUS. I entreat him it to yourself. If I am truly informed of to peruse once more that guarded adver- Mr S.'s behaviour on the hustings, I must tisement. I hope that Mr Bull's and my confess it does not satisfy me. But peraddress of Saturday, was approved where severance, management, and determined I most desire it should be thought of favour- good humour, will set every thing right, ably. I know it made our enemies wince and, in the end, break the heart of Mr Horne. Nothing can be more true than what you say about great men.3 They are indeed a worthless, pitiful race. Chatham has gallantly thrown away the scabbard, and never flinched. From that moment I began to like him.

> I see we do not agree about the strict right of pressing.4 If you are as sincere as I CANNOT help expressing to you I am, we shall not quarrel about a differ-JUNIUS.

This was a long address from Mr Wilkes to Grace. [The case of the printers is detailed in

' Has not, by the conduct of your magistrates, a complete victory been gained over the usurped bowers both of the Crown and the House of Commons? The two questions had been frequently agitated among the friends of liberty, even while I remained at the King's Bench. When the city and the nation had clearly decided when the dry and the fation and charry declined in favour of the cause, the great men followed, as they generally do, joined the public cry, and thronged to the Tower to pay then tardy tribute of praise to the persecuted patriots. The business had been completed without their assistance. In all such cases I am persuaded we shall find, that the people will be obliged to do their own business; but if it succeeds, they may be sure of the concurrence and applause of the great, and their even entering the most loathsome

prisons or dungeons—on a short visit of parade.'
Mr Wilkes's letter of Oct. 15.

4 'As a good Englishman and citizen, I thanked
my brethren Sawbridge and Oliver for having so nobly discharged their duty as aldermen in the business of press warrants, on which I expatiated

No. 76. TO JUNIUS.

SIR.

Oct. 17, 1771.

7 AM not yet recovered, and to-day have been harassed with complaints against the greatest villains out of hell, the bailiffs; but so very polite and friendly a letter as Tunius's of vesterday, demands my earliest and warmest acknowledgments. I only take up the pen to say, that I think myself happy in this approbation, that a line of applause from him gives the same brisk circulation to my spirits, as a kiss from rant would do equally well for ship money. Chloe, and that I mean soon to communicate to him a project of importance. - I will will therefore be so far from quarrelling skirmish with the great almost every day in with him for any difference of opinion, that, some way or other. Does Junius approve when I find we disagree, I will act with the following manœuvre, instead of going double caution, and some distrust of the in a gingerbread chariot to yawn through a certainty of my being clearly in the right. dull sermon at St Paul's.

Old Bailey, Oct. 24th, 1771.

don, they addressed a short letter to the livery, containing a paragraph respecting the military, of which the following is a copy:-

We have observed with the deepest concern, that a military force has, on several late occahappy men who suffered in July, near Bethnal Green, was truly patriotic. We are determined to follow so meritorious an example, and as that melancholy part of our office will commence in a very few days, we take this opportunity of declaring, that as the constitution has entrusted us with the whole power of the county, we will not,

people, and who still leaves the most intolerable grievances of his subjects unredressed. - This card to be published at length. Will Junius suggest any alteration or addition? It is a bold step .- The sessions will not be ended on the 25th, and it is the duty of the sheriff to attend. I will follow all your hints about Mr Sawbridge. -I am sorry to differ so much from you about press warrants. I own that I have warmly gone through that opposition upon the clear conviction that every argument alledged for the legality of the press war-I believe TUNIUS as sincere as myself: I

I hope the sheriff's letter to Mr Akerman has your approbation. Does JUNIUS wish for any dinner or ball tickets for the 'Mr Sheriff Wilkes presents his lord mayor's day, for himself, or friends, or duty to the Lord Mayor, and asks his a favourite, or Junia? The day will be Lordship's leave to prefer the real service worth observation. Whether cretâ an carof his country to-morrow in the administra- bone notandus, I do not know; but the tion of justice here, to the vain parade on people, Sir, the people are the sight. How the anniversary of the accession of a prince, happy should I be to see my Portia here under whose inauspicious government an dance a graceful minuet with JUNIUS BRUuniversal discontent prevails among the TUS! but JUNIUS is inexorable and I sub-

in office, the sending of any detachments from the regular forces on such a service, and the possibility of all future alarming disputes. civil power of this country we are sure is able to support itself and a good government. The magistrate, with the assistance of those in his ministration, under the pretence of assisting the enough to enforce all legal commands, without civil power in carrying the sentence of the laws the aid of a standing army. Where that is not into execution. The conduct of the present the case, a nation must sink into an absolute sheriffs, in the remarkable case of the two unmilitary government, and every thing valuable contracts. and their commander. We leave to our brave countrymen of the army the glory of conquering our foreign enemies. We pledge ourselves to the public for the faithful and exact discharge of our duty in every emergency without their assistance. We desire to save them a service we during our sherifialty, suffer any part of the army to interfere, or even to attend, as on many former occasions, on the pretence of our office calls upon us to superintend. The laws aiding or assisting the civil magistrate. This resolution we declare to the public, and to administration, to prevent, during our continuance mit. I would send your tickets to Wood- that it may be attended with consequences fall.

night.

to my conduct. He shall find me no less grateful than ductile.

JOHN WILKES.

No. 77. TO J. WILKES, ESQ. London, 21 October, 1771.

MANY thanks for your obliging offer :- but alas! my age and figure would do but little credit to my partner.-I acknowledge the relation between Cato and between Junius and a minuet.

You shall have my opinion whenever you think proper to ask it, freely, honestly, and heartily.-If I were only a party man, I should naturally concur in any enterprise, likely to create a bustle without risk or trouble to myself. But I love the cause inde-Wilkes independent of the cause. Feeling, as I really do, for others where my own safety is provided for, the danger to which I expose a simple punter, afflicts and distresses me. It lowers me to myself to draw another into a hazardous situation which I cannot partake of with him. This consideration will account for my abstaining from * * * * deserved moderation with which I have

which (compared with the single purpose To-morrow I go with the lord mayor of * * * * * * * * * * * * and my brother sheriff to Rochester to take are not worth hazarding-non est tantiup our freedoms. We return on Sunday consider it is not JUNIUS or Jack Wilkes, but a grave sheriff (for grave you should be) I entreat of JUNIUS to favour me with who marks his entrance into office with a every idea, which occurs to him for the direct outrage to the * * * * * common cause, in every particular relative * * * * * * * * * ; that it is only an outrage, and leads to nothing .-Will not courtiers take advantage? Will not Whigs be offended? And whether offended or not, will not all parties pretend to condemn you? If measures and not men has any meaning (and I own it has very little), it must hold particularly in the case of * * * * * * * and if truth and reason be on one side, and all the common-place topics on the other, can you doubt to which side the multitude will incline? Besides that it is too early to begin Portia, but in truth I see no connexion this kind of attack, I confess I am anxious for your safety. I know that in the ordinary course of law they cannot hurt you; but did the idea of a Bill of Banishment never occur to you? And don't you think a demonstration of this kind on your part might furnish government with a specious pretence for destroying you at once, by a pendent of persons, and I wish well to Mr summary proceeding? Consider the measure coolly and then determine. If these loose thoughts should not weigh

with you as much as I could wish, I would then recommend a little alteration in the message. I would have it stated thus :-

'Prince's Court, 21 October, 1771.

'Mr Wilkes presents his duty to * * * * so long, and for the un- the Lord Mayor, and flatters himself he shall be honoured with his Lordship's treated him. I know my ground thoroughly approbation, if he prefers the real service of when I affirm that he alone is the mark. It his country to-morrow in the administration is not Bute, nor even the Princess Dowager. of justice at the Old Bailey, to the vain It is * * * * * * * * * * * * parade of a procession to St Paul's.—With whom every honest man should detest, and the warmest attachment to the House of every orave man should attack. Some Hanover, and the most determined allemeasures of dignity and prudence must giance to the chief magistrate, he hopes it nevertheless be preserved for our own sakes. Will not be thought incumbent on him to I think your intended message to the lord take an active part in celebrating the accesmayor is more spirited than judicious, and sion of a prince, under whose inauspicious reign the English constitution has been able, wen he insists upon more than an grossly and deliberately violated, the civil absolute surrender of the fact. I agree with rights of the people no less daringly invaded, and their humble petitions for redress reiected with contempt.'-

In the first part, to ask a man's leave to prefer the real service of our country to a vain parade, seems, if serious, too servile :if jest, unseasonable, and rather approaching to burlesque.-The rest appears to me not less strong than your own words, and better guarded in point of safety, which you neglect too much .- I am now a little hurried. and shall write to you shortly upon some other topics.

JUNIUS.

No. 78. TO JUNIUS.

Prince's Court, Monday Morning, Nov. 4.

On my return home last night I had the very great pleasure of reading the Dedication and Preface which Mr Woodfall left for me. I am going with the city officers to invite the little great to the custard on Saturday. Perditur hoc inter misero lux. I shall only add. accepi. legi. probavi. I am much honoured by the polite attention of JUNIUS.1

> No. 79. TO J. WILKES, ESQ. 6 November, 1771.

I ENTREAT you to procure for me copies of the informations against Eyre betaken in writing. If not, I beg you will favour me with the most exact account of the substance of them, and any observations of your own that you think material. If I am right in my facts, I answer for my law, and mean to attack lord Mansfield as soon as possible.

My American namesake is plainly a man of abilities, though I think a little unreason-

" Upon this letter was written by Mr Wilkes, 'On returning Junius the Dedication and Preface he sent me.

him that it is a hardship on the Americans to be taxed by the British legislature; but it is a hardship inseparable in theory from the condition of colonists, in which they have voluntarily placed themselves. If emigration be no crime to deserve punishment, it is certainly no virtue to claim exemption; and however it may have proved eventually beneficial, the mother country was but little obliged to the intentions of the first emigrants. But, in fact, change of place does not exempt from subjection : -the members of our factories settled under foreign governments, and whose voluntary banishment is much more laudable with regard to the mother country, are taxed with the laws of consulage. Au reste. I see no use in fighting this question in the newspapers, nor have I time. You may assure Dr Lee, that to my heart and understanding the names of American and Englishman are synonymous, and that as to any future taxation of America, I look upon it as near to impossible as the highest improbability can go.

I hope that, since he has opposed me where he thinks me wrong, he will be equally ready to assist me when he thinks me right. Besides the fallibility natural to us all, no man writes under so many disadvantages as I do. I cannot consult the learned, I cannot directly ask the opinion of my acquaintance, and in the newspapers I never am assisted.

Those who are conversant with books, fore the lord mayor. I presume they were well know how often they mislead us, when we have not a living monitor at hand to assist us in comparing practice with theory.

No. 80.

TO JUNIUS. Prince's Court, Wednesday, Nov. 6.

SIR.

I no not delay a moment giving you the information you wish. I enclose a copy of Eyre's commitment. Nothing else in this business has been reduced to writing.

The examination was before the sitting I differ with JUNIUS in one point: I think justice, alderman Hallifax, at Guildhall; by being concealed he has infinite advanand it is not usual to take it in writing on tages which I want. I am on the Indian account of the multiplicity of business there coast, where, from the fire kindled round The paper was found upon him. He was me, I am marked out to every hostile arrow well known. I was present at the examina-perhaps nodding to its fall. tion before Hallifax, but as sheriff could not interfere, only I whispered Hallifax he could not bail Eyre. Anglus in to-day's Public Advertiser told some particulars 1 had mentioned. I did not know of that letter; it is Mr Bernard's of Berkeley Square. As to the Americans, I declare I know no difference between an inhabitant of Boston in Lincolnshire, and of Boston in New your information about Eyre. The facts England. I honour the Americans; but are as I understood them, and, with the our ancestors who staid and drove out the blessing of God, I will pull Mansfield to tyrant, are justly greater in merit and fame the ground. than those who fled and deserted their countrymen. Their future conduct has against the Lords was voluntary. Do now been a noble atonement, and their sons as you think proper. I have no resentwhose connexions I am totally ignorant. House of Lords, I would still appeal to

asked what he had to say in his defence, which knows its way to me. Those who his answer was, I hope you will bail me. are in the dark are safe, from the want of Mr Holder, the clerk, answered, That is direction of the pointless shaft. I followed impossible. There never was an instance Junius's advice about the card on the anof it, when the person was taken in the fact, niversary of the king's accession. I dropor the goods found upon him. I believe ped the idea. I wish to know his senti-Holder's law is right. Alderman Hallifax ments about certain projects against the likewise granted a search warrant prior to usurped powers of the House of Lords. the examination. At Eyre's lodgings many The business is too vast to write, too more quires of paper were found, all marked hazardous to communicate, to an unknown on purpose, from a suspicion of Eyre. person. Junius will forgive me. What After Eyre had been some time at Wood can be done?-Alas! where is the man, Street Compter, a key was found in his after all Wilkes has experienced, in whose room there, which appears to be a key to friendly bosom he can repose his secret the closet at Guildhall, from whence the thoughts, his noble but most dangerous paper was stolen. The lord mayor re-designs? The person most capable he can fused to bail Eyre, but I do not find that have no access to, and all others he will not any fresh examination was taken at the trust. I stand alone, isole as the French Mansion-house. The circumstances were call it, a single column, unpropped, and

JOHN WILKES.

No. 81.

TO J. WILKES, ESQ.

o November, 1771.

I AM much obliged to you for

Your offer to communicate your plat have much surpassed them. I will mention ments but against the common enemy, and to Dr Lee what you desire. You shall will assist you in any way that you will have every communication you wish from suffer yourself to be assisted. When you me. Yet I beg JUNIUS to reflect a moment. have satisfied your understanding that there To whom am I now writing? I am all may be reasons why JUNIUS should attack doubt and uncertainty, though not mistrust the King, the Minister, the Court of King's or suspicion. I should be glad to canvass Bench, and the House of Commons, in the freely every part of a great plan. I dare way that I have done, and yet should denot write it to a man I do not know, of sert or betray the man who attacks the

PRIVATE LETTERS.

your heart. Or if you have any scruples next week. I believe that the sheriffs will able daughter whom you so implicitly conslavery of writing.

Farewell.

No. 82. TO IUNIUS.

Prince's Court. near Storey's Gate. Westminster, Wednesday, Jan. 15, 1772.

health engrossed my time entirely in the to the House. They will order the printer few holidays I spent at Bath, and I am re- into custody, and set a heavy fine. The warded with being perfectly recovered, sheriffs the next morning will go to New-The repairs of the clay cottage, to which I gate, examine the warrant of commitment, am tenant for life, seem to have taken place very successfully; and the building will prisoner by the hand, and conduct him out probably last a few more years in tolerable of prison; afterwards they will probably condition.

Yesterday I met the Supporters of the discourse passed about the publication of their proceedings. JUNIUS'S letter. Dr Lee and Mr Watkin Cawdron keeps the papers of the Society.

The winter campaign will begin with the

about that kind of evidence, ask that ami- have the old battle renewed with the Commons, and I suppose the lord mayor and fide in-Is at possible that JUNIUS should the courtly aldermen will commit the printer betray me? Do not conceive that I solicit for us to release. Another scene will pronew employment. I am overcome with the bably open with the Lords. JUNIUS has observed, 'the arbitrary power they have assumed of imposing fines, and committing during pleasure, will now be exercised in its fullest extent.' The progress of the business I suspect will be this-a bitter libel against Pomfret, Denbigh, or Talbot, attacking the peer personally, not in his legislative or judicial capacity, will appear, A NECESSARY attention to my His Lordship, passion's slave, will complain and, like the angel to Peter, take the make their appeal to the public against the usurpation of their Lordships, and their Bill of Rights at the London Tavern. Much entirely setting aside the power of juries in

Are there more furious wild beasts to be Lewes, who were both suspected, fully ex- found in the upper den than the three I culpated themselves. I believe the publica- have named? Miller, the printer of the tion was owing to the indiscretion of Mr Lon on Evening Post, at No. 2, Queen's Patrick Cawdron, a linen-draper in Cheap- Head Passage, Paternoster Row, is the best side, who showed it to his partner on the man I know for this business. He will print Saturday. The partner copied it on the whatever is sent him. He is a fine Oliveri-Sunday, and the Monday following it ap- an soldier. I intend a manifesto with my peared in the Morning Chronicle. The name on Monday to give spirit to the Gazetteer only copied it from thence. The printers, and to show them who will be their Society directed a disavowal of their pub- protector. I foresee it will make the two lication of it to be sent to you, and are to Houses more cautious, but it is necessary take the letter into consideration at the for our friends, and the others shall be next meeting. I forgot to mention that Mr baited till they are driven into the snare. Adieu.

JOHN WILKES.

LETTERS

OF

JUNIUS.

CAREFULLY COLLATED WITH

THE AUTHOR'S CORRECTED EDITION.

DEDICATION

ENGLISH NATION.

of Letters, written by one of Yourselves for perish with me.2 the common benefit of us all. They would never have grown to this size, without Your laborious zeal for the public service has continued encouragement and applause.1 given me any weight in Your esteem, let me To me they originally owe nothing, but exhort and conjure You never to suffer an a healthy, sanguine constitution. Under invasion of Your political constitution, howare indebted for whatever strength or pass by, without a determined, persevering beauty they possess. When Kings and resistance. One precedent creates another. Ministers are forgotten, when the force and They soon accumulate, and constitute law. direction of personal satire is no longer What yesterday was fact, to-day is docunderstood, and when measures are only trine. Examples are supposed to justify felt in their remotest consequences, this the most dangerous measures, and where book will, I believe, be found to contain they do not suit exactly, the defect is supprinciples, worthy to be transmitted to pos- plied by analogy.—Be assured that the terity. When You leave the unimpaired, laws, which protect us in our civil rights, hereditary freehold to Your enildren, You grow out of the constitution, and that they do but half Your duty. Both liberty and must fall or flourish with it. This is not the property are precarious, unless the possess- cause of faction, or of party, or of any indiors have sense and spirit enough to defend vidual, but the common interest of every them.—This is not the language of vanity. man in Britain. Although the King should If I am a vain man, my gratification lies continue to support his present system of

I DEDICATE to You a collection positary of my own secret, and it shall

If an honest, and, I may truly affirm, a Your care they have thriven. To You they ever minute the instance may appear, to within a narrow circle. I am the sole de- government, the period is not very distant,

¹ See Private Letter, No. 5, in which the sons to whom the writer unbosomed himself; author, shortly after his appearance before the although there is still every reason for believed it public under the signature of Junuts, expresses that such persons formed, as he has expressed an intention to discontinue writing under that above, only a narrow circle.—'The last letter an intention to discontinue writing inder that above, only a narrow circle.— The last letter name; nor would be in all probability have you printed was isle and improper, and, I assure persevered, but for the reason assigned above.

Property of the reason assigned above.

PLATTY of the reason assigned above.

**PLA vent it.1

I cannot doubt that You will unanimously should be equally clear and unanimous. Let it be impressed upon Your minds, let it be instilled into Your children, that the liberty of the press is the palladium of all the civil, political, and religious rights of an Englishman, and that the right of juries to return a general verdict, in all cases whatsoever, is an essential part of our constitution, not to be controuled or limited by the

1 The object to have been accomplished by obtaining a new parliament does not appear to have been of sufficient force to have precipitated such a measure; and was, in consequence, relinquished: on which account the parliament in question was not dissolved till September 30th, 1774, after having existed six years, four months, and twenty-one days. Many of the letters of Junius turning upon the elective franchise, and the necessity of triennial parliaments, the reader may not be displeased to see, at one view, the respective dates of the dissolution and re-assembling of the several parliaments during the present reign.

Met.	Dissolved.	Exist	ed.
		Y. M.	D.
26 Oct. 1760	20 March 1761	0 4	25
19 May 1761	11 March 1768	69	22
10 May 1768	30 Sept. 1774	6 4	21
29 Nov. 1774	1 Sept. 1780	5 9	4
31 Oct. 1780	25 March 1784	3 4 6 0	26
18 May 1784	11 June 1790	6 0	25
10 Aug. 1790	20 May 1796	5 11	_
12 July 1796	31 Dec. 1800 2)	
UNITED KINGE	юм, G.B. & I.	}5 II	18
22 Jan. 1801	29 June 1802	.)	
31 Aug. 1802	24 Oct. 1806	4 2	25
15 Dec. 1806	29 April 1807	0 4	15
22 June 1807	29 Sept. 1812	5 3	7
24 Nov. 1812			

² Stat. 39-40 Geo. III. c. 67. Art. 4 .- EDIT.

at which You will have the means of re- judges, nor in any shape questionable by dress in Your own power. It may be the legislature. The power of King, Lords. nearer perhaps than any of us expect, and I and Commons is not an arbitrary power.2 would warn you to be prepared for it. The They are the trustees, not the owners of King may possibly be advised to dissolve the estate. The fee-simple is in US. They the present parliament a year or two before cannot alienate, they cannot waste. When it expires of course, and precipitate a new we say that the legislature is supreme, we election, in hopes of taking the nation by mean that it is the highest power known to surprise. If such a measure be in agita- the constitution:-that it is the highest tion, this very caution may defeat or pre- in comparison with the other subordinate powers established by the laws. In this sense, the word subreme is relative, not assert the freedom of election, and vindi- absolute. The power of the legislature is cate Your exclusive right to chuse Your limited, not only by the general rules of representatives. But other questions have natural justice, and the welfare of the combeen started, on which Your determination munity, but by the forms and principles of our particular constitution. If this doctrine be not true, we must admit, that King, Lords, and Commons have no rule to direct their resolutions, but merely their own will and pleasure. They might unite the legislative and executive power in the same hands, and dissolve the constitution by an act of parliament. But I am persuaded You will not leave it to the choice of seven hundred persons, notoriously corrupted by the crown, whether seven millions of their equals shall be freemen or slaves. The certainty of forfeiting their own rights, when they sacrifice those of the nation, is no check to a brutal degenerate mind. Without insisting upon the extravagant concession made to Harry the Eighth, there are in-

> ² This positive denial, of an arbitrary power being vested in the legislature, is not in fact a new doctrine. When the earl of Lindsey, in the year 1075, brought a bill into the House of Lords, To prevent the dangers which might arise from persons disaffected to government, by which an oath and penalty was to be imposed upon the members of both Houses, it was affirmed, in a protest signed by twenty-three lay-peers (my lords the bishops were not accustomed to protest), 'That the privilege of sitting and voting in parliament was an honour they had by birth, and a right so inherent in them, and inseparable from them, that nothing could take it away, but what, by the law of the land, must withal take away their lives, and corrupt their blood.'-These noble peers (whose names are a reproach to their posterity) have, in this instance, solemnly denied the power of parliament to alter the constitution. Under a particular proposition, they have asserted a general truth, in which every man in England is concerned.

stances, in the history of other countries, act passed, has been constant and uniform the virtue of either House of parliament.

last session of a septennial parliament be usually employed in courting the favour of the people, consider that, at this rate, Your representatives have six years for offence, and but one for atonement. A death-bed repentance seldom reaches to restitution. If you reflect that in the changes of administration, which have marked and disgraced the present reign, although your warmest patriots have, in their turn, been invested with the lawful and unlawful authority of the crown, and though other reliefs or impeople, yet that no one man in office has ever promoted or encouraged a bill for shortening the duration of parliaments, but may still last the life of that (whoever was minister) the opposition to this measure, ever since the septennial

of a formal, deliberate surrender of the pub- on the part of government, -You cannot lic liberty into the hands of the sovereign, but conclude, without the possibility of a If England does not share the same fate, it doubt, that long parliaments are the foundis because we have better resources, than in ation of the undue influence of the crown. This influence answers every purpose of I said that the liberty of the press is the arbitrary power to the crown, with an expalladium of all Your rights, and that the pense and oppression to the people, which right of juries to return a general verdict is would be unnecessary in an arbitrary gopart of Your constitution. To preserve the vernment. The best of our ministers find whole system, You must correct Your legis- it the easiest and most compendious mode lature. With regard to any influence of of conducting the King's affairs; and all the constituent over the conduct of the re- ministers have a general interest in adpresentative, there is little difference be- hering to a system, which of itself is suffitween a seat in parliament for seven years | cient to support them in office, without any and a seat for life. The prospect of Your assistance from personal virtue, popularity, resentment is too remote; and although the labour, abilities, or experience. It promises every gratification to avarice and ambition. and secures impunity.-These are truths unquestionable.-If they make no impression, it is because they are too vulgar and notorious. But the inattention or indifference of the nation has continued too long. You are roused at last to a sense of Your danger .- The remedy will soon be in Your power. If JUNIUS lives, You shall often be reminded of it. If, when the opportunity presents itself, You neglect to do Your duty to Yourselves and to your posterity,-to provements have been held forth to the God and to Your country, -I shall have one consolation left, in common with the meanest and basest of mankind.-Civil liberty

JUNIUS.

me of any view to my cwn profit.1 I un- works. dertake this troublesome task, merely to his own works to another.

order in which they appeared in the Public construction of me. Advertiser.² The auxiliary part of Philo

THE encouragement given to a References to facts not generally known. multitude of spurious, mangled publications or allusions to the current report or opinion of the Letters of JUNIUS, persuades me, of the day, are in a little time unintelligible. that a complete edition, corrected and im- Yet the reader will not find himself overproved by the author, will be favourably loaded with explanations. I was not born received. The printer will readily acquit to be a commentator, even upon my own

It remains to say a few words upon the serve a man who has deserved well of me, liberty of the press. The daring spirit, by and of the public; and who, on my account, which these letters are supposed to be dishas been exposed to an expensive, tyran-tinguished, seems to require that something nical prosecution. For these reasons, I serious should be said in their defence. I give to Mr Henry Sampson Woodfall, and am no lawyer by profession, nor do I preto him alone, my right, interest, and pro- tend to be more deeply read than every perty in these letters, as fully and com- English gentleman should be in the laws of pletely, to all intents and purposes, as an his country. If therefore the principles I author can possibly convey his property in maintain are truly constitutional, I shall not think myself answered, though I should This edition contains all the letters of be convicted of a mistake in terms, or of Junius, Philo Junius, and of sir William misapplying the language of the law. I Draper and Mr Horne to Funius, with speak to the plain understanding of the their respective dates, and according to the people, and appeal to their honest, liberal

Good men, to whom alone I address my-Junius was indispensably necessary to self, appear to me to consult their piety as defend or explain particular passages in little as their judgment and experience, JUNIUS, in answer to plausible objections; when they admit the great and essential but the subordinate character is never advantages accruing to society from the guilty of the indecorum of praising his freedom of the press, yet indulge themprincipal. The fraud was innocent, and I selves in peevish or passionate exclamations always intended to explain it.3 The notes against the abuses of it. Betraying an unwill be found not only useful but necessary, reasonable expectation of benefits, pure

pended to it.-EDIT.

3 It was, in point of fact, publicly avowed by -EDIT.

1 See Private Letter, No. 59, and note ap- the author, in the following notice inserted in

From this order there are two or three devia-

the Public Advertiser, October 20, 1771:
'We have the author's consent to say, that the tions, but too trivial to be worth pointing out. letters published in this paper under the signature of Philo Junius, are written by Junius.'

fail in their duty to society, and are unjust have left. to themselves. If, from an unwarrantable secute.

prosecution for having written an obscene copied into a variety of other newspapers; and parody on Pope's Essay on Man, which he called the result was a verdict against him, although it 'An Essay on Woman.' Almon was prosecuted did not appear to the court that he was privy to merely for having sold in a magazine, entitled the sale, or even knew that the magazine, sold at The London Museum, which he did not print, a his shop, contained the Letter to the King, transcript of Junius's Letter to the King, first —EDIT.

and entire, from any human institution, the legislature, our ministers and magis they in effect arraign the goodness of Pro- trates have in reality little punishment to vidence, and confess that they are dis- fear, and few difficulties to contend with. satisfied with the common lot of humanity. beyond the censure of the press, and the In the present instance they really create spirit of resistance which it excites among to their own minds, or greatly exaggerate, the people. While this censorial power is the evil they complain of. The laws of maintained, to speak in the words of a most England provide, as effectually as any ingenious foreigner, both minister and human laws can do, for the protection of magistrate is compelled, in almost every the subject, in his reputation, as well as in instance, to chuse between his duty and his his person and property. If the characters reputation. A dilemma of this kind, perof private men are insulted or injured, a petually before him, will not indeed work a double remedy is open to them, by action miracle upon his heart, but it will assuredly and indictment. If, through indolence, operate, in some degree, upon his conduct. false shame, or indifference, they will not At all events, these are not times to admit appeal to the laws of their country, they of any relaxation in the little discipline we

But it is alledged, that the licentiousness distrust of the integrity of juries, they of the press is carried beyond all bounds of would wish to obtain justice by any mode decency and truth; -that our excellent of proceeding, more summary than a trial ministers are continually exposed to the by their peers, I do not scruple to affirm, public hatred or derision :- that, in prosethat they are in effect greater enemies to cutions for libels on government, juries are themselves, than to the libeller they pro- partial to the popular side; and that, in the most flagrant cases, a verdict cannot be With regard to strictures upon the cha- obtained for the King.-If the premises racters of men in office and the measures were admitted. I should deny the concluof government, the case is a little different. sion. It is not true that the temper of the A considerable latitude must be allowed in times has, in general, an undue influence the discussion of public affairs, or the liberty over the conduct of juries. On the conof the press will be of no benefit to society. trary, many signal instances may be pro-As the indulgence of private malice and duced of verdicts returned for the King, personal slander should be checked and when the inclinations of the people led resisted by every legal means, so a constant strongly to an undistinguishing opposition examination into the characters and con- to government. Witness the cases of Mr duct of ministers and magistrates should Wilkes and Mr Almon. In the late probe equally promoted and encouraged, secutions of the printers of my address to a They, who conceive that our newspapers great personage, the juries were never are no restraint upon bad men, or impedifairly dealt with.-Lord Chief Justice ment to the execution of bad measures, Mansfield, conscious that the paper in know nothing of this country. In that question contained no treasonable or libelstate of abandoned servility and prostitu- lous matter, and that the severest parts of tion, to which the undue influence of the it, however painful to the King, or offencrown has reduced the other branches of sive to his servants, were strictly true,

¹ The case of Wilkes here alluded to is his published in the Public Advertiser, and thence

would fain have restricted the jury to the general purpose to contract the power of finding of special facts, which, as to guilty juries, will account for the charge he deor not guilty, were merely indifferent. livered in Woodfall's trial. He told the This particular motive, combined with his

Memorable as this charge is on various accounts, and especially as having laid the foundation for a very warm and animated discussion both in and out of parliament, it is very extraor-dinary that it is no where reported in our senatorial documents, and is indeed difficult to be obtained from any other quarter. The fact is, that although it was laid by lord Mansfield on the table of the House of Lords, when sum-The fact is. moned at his request to take it into consideration, yet as he did not make any express motion upon the subject, it was not entered into the journals, and was only left with the clerk for any noble lord to take a copy of it, who was desirous of so doing. The reader, therefore, will feel gratified by being put into possession of the charge, together with lord Camden's interrogatories in regard to it, proposed to the lord chief justice in his proper place in the Upper House, and to which the latter did not chuse to make any reply. To these it may be also advantageous to subjoin a summary of the speeches of the late Mr Fox and Mr Burke upon the same subject, when introduced into the House of Commons, as containing, in a condensed form, the argument of the oppo-site sides of the question. Mr Fox, it is well known, was, at this period, in favour of the ministry; but the political error he then laboured under, he nobly redeemed a few years afterwards, and amply atoned for to the public, by the excellent and truly constitutional bill 'to remove doubts respecting the functions of juries in cases of libel,' introduced into the senate in the session of 1791, as more particularly noticed in another part of this work, and which (by his indefatigable perseverance was at length carried through the legislature) has nullified lord Mansfield's doctrine, and set the important question completely at rest.

Mr Woodfall, as a party concerned, modestly forbore to offer any statement of this celebrated trial in the Public Advertiser, in consequence of which the writer is obliged to avail himself of the following extract, though very imperfectly given, from a contemporary journal.

An account of the trial at Guildhall of the original publisher of JUNIUSS Letter to the

Yesterday morning, [June 13, 1770.] about nine o'clock, came on before lord Mansfield in the Court of King's Bench at Guildhall, the trial of Mr Woodfall, the original printer of Junus's letter in the Public Advertiser of December 19. Only seven of the special jury attended, viz. William Bond, foreman; Peter Cazalet, Alexander Peter Allen, Frederick Commercell, Hermen Meyer, John Thomas, and Barrington Buggin.
Upon which the following five talesmen were

taken out of the box, viz. William Hannard,

Paul Verges, William Sibley, William Willett, and William Davis.

The trial was opened by Mr Wallis. Nathaniel Crowder swore he bought the paper of Mr Woodfall's publishing servant, whom he named

Mr Harris proved that the duty for the advertisements and stamps were paid by Mr Woodfall And

A clerk of sir John Fielding proved, by a receipt from Mr Woodfall, his concern in and for

the paper.

The publication and direction of the paper by Mr Woodfall being thus proved,

Lord Mansfield, in his charge, told the jury, That there were only two points for their consideration: the first, the printing and publishing the paper in question; the second, the sense and meaning of it: That as to the charges of its being malicious, seditious, &c., they were inferences in law about which no evidence need be given, any more than that part of an indictment need be proved by evidence, which charges a men with being moved by the instigation of the Devil: That therefore the printing and sense of the paper were alone what the jury had to consider of; and that if the paper should really contain no breach of the law, that was a matter which might afterwards be moved in arrest of judgment: That he had no evidence to sum up to them, as the defendant's counsel admitted the printing and publication to be well proved: That as to the sense, they had not called in doubt the manner in which the dashes in the paper were filled up in the record, by giving any other sense to the passages; if they had, the jury would have been to consider which application was the have been to consucer which application was the true one, that charged in the information, or suggested by the defendant: That the jury might now compare the paper with the informa-tion: That if they did not find the application wrong, they must find the defendant guilty; and if they did find it wrong, they must acquit him: That this was not the time for alleviation or aggravation, that being for future consideration: That every subject was under the controul of the law, and had a right to expect from it protection for his person, his property, and his good name: That if any man offended the laws, he was amenable to them, and was not to be censured or punished, but in a legal course: That any per-son libelled had a right either to bring a civil or a criminal prosecution: That in the latter, which is by information or indictment, it is immaterial whether the publication be false or true: That it is no defence to say it is true, because it is a breach of the peace, and therefore criminal; but in a civil prosecution, it is a defence to say the charges in the publication are true; because the plaintiff there sues only for a pecuniary satisfaction to himself, and that this is the distinction as to that nature of defence. - His Lordship

jury, in so many words, that they had printing and publishing, and whether or

nothing to determine, except the fact of no the blanks or innuendoes were properly

said, he was afraid it was too true that few characters in the kingdom escaped libels: That many were very injuriously treated-and if so, that the best way to prevent it was by an application to the law, which is open to every man: That the liberty of the press consisted in every man having the power to publish his sentiments without first applying for a licence to any one; but if any man published what was against law, he did it at his peril, and was answerable for it in the same manner as he who suffers his hand to commit an assault, or his tongue to utter blasphemy.

Between eleven and twelve the jury withdrew; at four the court adjourned; and a little after nine the jury waited on lord Mansfield at his house in Bloomsbury-square, with their verdict, which was Guilty of PRINTING and PUBLISHING

ONLY.

This charge having been laid upon the table of the House of Lords, December 10, 1770, by the lord chief justice, the following questions were put to him, in his place, by lord Camden, on the

day ensuing.

1. Does the opinion mean to declare, that upon
the Carlot Children in the case of a the general issue of Not Guilty, in the case of a seditious libel, the jury have no right, by law, to examine the innocence or criminality of the paper, if they think fit, and to form their ver-dict upon such examination?

2. Does the opinion mean to declare, that in the case above-mentioned, when the jury have delivered in their verdict, Guilty, that this verdict has found the fact only and not the law?

Is it to be understood by this opinion, that if the jury come to the bar, and say that they find the printing and publishing, but that the paper is no libel, that in that case the jury have found the defendant guilty generally, and the verdict must be so entered up?

4. Whether the opinion means to say, that if the judge, after giving his opinion of the inno-cence or criminality of the paper, should leave the consideration of that matter, together with the printing and publishing, to the jury, such a direction would be contrary to law?

I beg leave to ask, whether dead, and living judges then absent, did declare their opinions in open court, and whether the noble lord has any

note of such opinions?

Whether they declared such opinions, after solid arguments, or upon any point judicially before them?

To these queries lord Mansfield made no reply, briefly observing, that he would not answer in-

terrogatories

The subject was introduced into the Lower House, December 6, 1770, on a motion made by Mr serjeant Glynn, That a committee should be appointed to enquire into the administration of criminal justice, and the proceedings of the judges in Westminster-hall, particularly in cases

relating to the liberty of the press, and the constitutional power and duty of juries.

In the course of the discussion, the speakers on both sides alluded not only to the charge in Mr Woodfall's case, but also to Mr baron Smythe's conduct in trying a Scotch serieant at Guildford, which will be found more particularly detailed in the editor's note to Junius's Letter LXVIII. Amongst the chief speakers on this occasion were, as we have already observed, on the side of the ministry, Mr Fox, and on that of the people, Mr Burke. The following summary the people, Mr Burke. The following summary of their argument, which in truth contains the general argument of the rest, is extracted from a pamphlet entitled Vox Senatus, printed previous to the contest which soon afterwards ensued, between the House of Commons and the printers, respecting the publication of parliamentary debates, and which, in a great measure, led the way to that controversy. The speeches in this pamphlet were altogether reported by the late Mr Wm Woodfall, whose strength of memory, nice accuracy, and rigid impartiality, were equally subjects of commendation, and held in the highest esteem, by the members of both Houses of parliament, to whatever party they might belong, during the many years that he continued to exercise his talents in that most laborious, and we trust we may ald, most important branch of public duty.

Mr Fox spoke as follows :-'We are told by the abettors of this motion, that jealousies, murmurs, and ascontents increase and multiply throughout the nation; that the people are under terrible apprehensions that the law is perverted, the juries are deprived of their constitutional powers, that the courts of justice are not sound and untainted; in a word, that the judges have, like a dozen of monstrous Patagonian giants, either swallowed, or are going to swallow up both law and gospel. And how do they prove the truth of these allegations?

The manner is pleasant enough. They refer us to their own libellous remonstrances, and to those infamous lampoons and satires, which they have taken care to write and circulate. They modestly substitute themselves in the place of the nation, and call their own complaints the grievances of England. Their meaning is plain enough, and we understand perfectly how all their grievances might be redressed. For my part I am not disposed to take the voice of a miserable faction for the voice of my country. Were the people really dissatisfied, I should be glad to know how I am to ascertain the reality of that dissatisfaction? I must freely confess, that I know no other way but that of consulting this House. Here the people are represented, and here their voice expressed. There is no other criterion but the majority of this assembly, by which we can judge of their sentiments This man, in order to answer one purpose, and

filled up in the information; -but that, crime or not, was no matter of considerawhether the defendant had committed a tion to twelve men, who yet, upon their

that man, in order to answer another, will tell and not by humouring, as foolish nurses humour you that a general cry has gon, abroad against certain men and measures; but will you be so credulous as to take him upon his word, when you can easily penetrate his interested views and find him the original and prime mover of all the clamour? I can easily trace the authors of the outcry raised against the judges; and I would point them out, had not they, as well as their selfish ends, been already exposed in all their deformity. Why, then, should we hesitate to put a negative upon a question which sprung from such a low source? from dirt it came, and to dirt let it return. As to myself, I certainly will, as I can never acknowledge for the voice of the nation, what is not echoed by the majority of the House; and I do not find that the majority of us entertain any suspicions, much less terrible apprehensions, of the judges; though, if there were any just foundation for complaint, we muc-certainly have been better informed of it than the

people.

'Indeed if the adoption of this enquiry would answer any good purpose, I should not be such a violent opposer, convinced as I am that the judges are blameless. But I am fully persuaded, that would not be the case. For, as I have shown, it would be an attempt to remove discontents which do not exist but among those who have generated, fostered, and reared them up to their present magnitude, and would not, there-fore, be satisfied, though Justice, though Astrea herself, should descend naked from heaven to exculpate our judges. And what is more, it would, on their own principles, prove fruitless and nugatory, even if we suppose the people to be really discontented. For what have they been doing for these two last years, but ringing constantly in our ears the contempt in which we are held by the people? have they not made these walls echo with the terms of reproach, which they alledged were cast upon us by men of every degree, by high and low, rich and poor, learned and unlearned? were we not, and are we not still, according to their account, held in universal detestation and abhorrence? does not the whole empire, from one end to the other, reckon us equally weak and wicked? in a word, are we not become an abomination in the land? such is the language of the minority. How can they, with a serious face, desire us to undertake this enquiry, in order to satisfy the people? the people, if their former assertions are to be credited. will receive no good at our hands. They will regard what we say no more than a knot of coffee-house politicians. We are too ridiculous, as well as odious, to do any thing that will appear gracious in their eyes. What is the conclusion to be drawn? Let us satisfy ourselves. Let us act according to the dictates of honour and conscience, and be at peace with our own minds. It is thus that we shall sooner or later regain the

great lubberly boys, the wayward whims of a misled multitude. The characteristic of this House should be a firm and manly steadiness, an unshaken per everance in the pursuit of great and noble plans of general utility, and not a wavering inconstant fluctuation of counsels, regulated by the shifting of the popular breeze. If we are not to judge for ourselves, but to be ever at the command of the vulgar, and their capricious shouts and hisses, I cannot see what advantage the nation can reap from a representative body, which they might not have reaped from a tunultuous assembly of themselves, collected at random on Salisbury Plain or Runningmede. It is very well known, that such an irregular and notous crowd are but very ill qualiintegral and mouse crown are our very in quan-fied to judge truly of their own interest, or to pursue it, even when they form a right judg-ment. They are but very unsteady guardians of liberty and property. Do you want proofs? consult the English history, and you will find them in every page.'

Mr Burke, in answer to Mr Fox, and in sup-

port of the motion, spoke as follows:—
The subject of our present debate is, in my opinion, a matter of a very serious and important nature; and it is not therefore to be dismissed without mature deliberation. The honourable gentleman who introduced it, boldly arraigns the general conduct of our courts of justice; and the gentleman who seconded him, as boldly arraigns the conduct of a particular judge. Either charge should be alone sufficient judge. Either charge should be alone sumdent to excite our closest attention. What effect ought then both in conjunction to produce? they ought to impel us, if not to enquiry, at least to a minute and elaborate discussion. For what has the mover of the question arraigned? he has arraigned the general principles of jurisprudence now adopted by our judges, and has, in his way, proved them not only unconstitutional, but illegal. He has laid before you two heads of accusation, two points, in which he conceives the judges have not done their duty. These two points are a rule of law, and a rule of evidence, authorized, as he asserts, neither by precedent nor by the spirit of liberty. First he tells you that judges act illegally and unconstitutionally, in directing juries not to take cognizance of the malice or innocence of a culprit's intention in cases of libels; and secondly he tells you, that in cases of libels, they act illegally and unconstitutionally in acquainting the jury that the law infers guilt from the prima facie evidence, a position by which masters become, even in criminal cases, responsible for the conduct of their servants.

These are the doctrines which he arraigns, and which are now in issue before you. He asserts that they are not sanctioned by prececonfidence of our constituents, if we have lost it; dent, But here his reading seems not to have oaths, were to pronounce their peer guilty sense delivered from the bench, and find it

or not guilty. When we hear such non- supported by a laboured train of sophistry.

been sufficiently extensive. There are precedents, and those too, I fear, of too much weight and authority. You have heard lord chief justice Raymond's words quoted, and nothing can be more explicit than they are, in favour of these doctrines What! you will say, can these be the doctrines of lord Raymond, and yet be unknown to the learned serjeant? Why it is impossible. A case so much in point could never escape his industry and learning: and to render the thing certain, he gives the assertion a flat contradiction. But I say that it is not only possible and probable, but certain; and let me tell you, that the way to overturn the credit of grave and universally esteemed historians, is not to give them a flat contradiction. The most positive asseverations of a modern go for nothing, when they are unsupported by the contradictory testi-mony of some ancient contemporary author. Was this heresy then adopted as an article of faith, by Raymond? Yes, Sir, it was, the fact s too clear, too well known, to bear dispute. Nor was it an innovation introduced by that great judge. No; he received it as a legacy from still greater judges, and among the rest, from the very bulwark of the revolution, lord

But what though this opinion has been sanctioned by a series of precedents; what though it has been embraced by men as deep skilled in law and casuistry as remarkable for inflexible patriotism; have not the greatest lawyers, the profoundest casuists, and the staunchest patriots erred? Why then should the judges be thought exempted from the common lot of humanity? Why should they be deemed infallible more than other mortals? believe me, the wisdom of the whole nation can see farther than the sages of Westminster-hall. In a constitutional point like this, the collective knowledge and penetration of the people at large, are more to be depended on than the boasted discernment of all the bar. The reason is clear. Their eyes are not dazzled by the prospect of an opposite interest. The crown has no lure sufficiently tempting to make them forget themselves and the general good.

'Why then should not we, on this occasion listen to their voice, as it is heard sufficiently loud and distinct? Because, forsooth, they have no voice! because their sentiments are only to be gathered from the determinations of the majority of this House! because after a general election is closed, they have no legal existence, and have therefore no other mouth but that of their representatives! Strange doctrine! What then is become of petitioning? Are they not legally entitled to that right? You cannot deny it, without denying the authority of the Bill of Rights. How then can you pretend that they have no legal voice, but that of their representatives? they have both a real and a legal voice,

that performance, which will be an everlasting monument of the folly, incapacity, and pernicious politics of our late and present ministers, you will find it demonstrated, that the majority of Englishmen have petitioned the king, and have consequently expressed their own sentiments by their own mouth, without the intervention of their deputies. By what rule then does the majority of this House square its conduct, when it acts in direct opposition to the majority of the people? that rule of arithmetic, which, by its almighty fiat, overturned the laws of nature. almigny hat, ore trained the latter and read ago to be greater than 1146, gave us colonel Luttrell for John Wilkes, a cuckoo in a magpy's nest to suck its eggs.

'That there should be found gentlemen who

would annihilate the people, and acknowledge no other voice but that of this House, is to me not at all surprising! because the conduct of the most violent sticklers for this doctrine, has not deserved much applause or favour from them. But that they should have renounced reason and common sense so far, as to maintain that the majority of this assembly is the only organ by which their sentiments can be expressed, is to me truly surprising: for where, in the name of wonder, should the House acquire the necessary knowledge or intelligence? is it by turning over these musty volumes, or by rummaging these gaudy boxes which lie on your table? No; they contain none of these mysteries. How then are they to be explored? Is there any virtue or inspiration in these benches or cushions, by which they are communicated? or does the echo of these walls whisper the secret in your ears? No; but the echo of every other wall, the mumur of every stream, the shouts, ay, and the hoots and hisses, of every street in the nation, ring it in your ears, and deafen you with their din. Deafen you, did I say? alas! you were deaf before, or rather dead, else you would have heard; for their voice is loud enough to waken almost the dead. For shame, gentlemen, let us hear no more such weak reasonings and sophistical refinements. Far from producing conviction, they cannot even extort a smile, except peradventure at the author, who resembles a hunter that would catch an elephant in toils made of cobweb. The people have a voice of their own, and it must, nay it will, be sooner or later heard; and I, as in duty bound, will always exert every nerve, and every power, of which I am master, to hasten the completion of so desirable an event. My reverence for the judges, against whom the any reverence for the judges, against whom the popular cry is now so loud, will not deter me; because I know all judges are but men. Not only former judges, but juries have erred. Why not the present? Yes, Sir, juries have erred, and they may err again. When they do, I shall be a ready to entire the state of the second of be as ready to enquire into their conduct, as I am now into that of the judges. Gentlemen may and they have uttered that voice. Consult the talk of their great respect for juries, and their History of the reign of George the Third. In readiness to acquiesce in their determinations;

which a plain understanding is unable to he should have sent the jury back. - I speak

follow, and which an unlearned jury, how- advisedly, and am well assured that no ever it may shock their reason, cannot be lawyer of character, in Westminster-hall, supposed qualified to refute, can it be won- will contradict me. To show the falsehood dered that they should return a verdict, of lord Mansfield's doctrine, it is not necesperplexed, absurd, or imperfect?-Lord sary to enter into the merits of the paper Mansfield has not yet explained to the which produced the trial. If every line of world, why he accepted of a verdict, which it were treason, his charge to the jury the court afterwards set aside as illegal, would still be false, absurd, illegal, and unand which, as it took no notice of the constitutional. If I stated the merits of my innuendoes, did not even correspond with letter to the King, I should invitate LORD his own charge. If he had known his duty MANSFIELD, and TRAVEL OUT OF THE

but I am not disposed to be so complaisant, I order to wash away your sins, let Moses and the will make no man, nor any set of men, a compliment of the constitution. It is too valuable an inheritance to be so lightly relinquished. When the actions of juries are praiseworthy, let them be applauded; when they are criminal, let them be punished. Popularity should not be bought at so high a price. For my own part, let the malicious and the ungenerous say what they will, I am a blind follower of no man, nor a bond slave to any party. I have always acted according to the best information of my judgment, and the clear dictates of my conscience. On this occasion I solemnly protest before God, that I entertain no personal enmity against any man, nor have I any interested schemes to promote. My sole object in supporting the proposed en-quiry, is the public welfare and the acquittal of the judges, for I am satisfied that an acquittal will be the consequence. In acting thus, I think myself their best friend; because no other plan will clear their character. Till this step is taken, in vain do they pretend to superior sanctury; in vain do some gentlemen tread their halls as holy ground, or reverence their courts as the temples of the divinity. To the people they appear the temples of idols, and false oracles, or rather as the dwellings of truth and justice converted into dens of thieves and robbers. For what greater robbers can there be, than those who rob men of their laws and liberties? No man has a greater veneration than I have for the doctors of the law; and it is for that reason that I would thus render their characters pure and unsullied as the driven snow. But will any of unsumed as the curves show. Dut was any or you pretend that this is at present the case? are not their temples profaned? has not pollution entered them, and penetrated into the holy of holies? are not the priests suspected of being no better than those of Bel and the Dragon, or rather of being worse than those of Baal? and has not therefore the fire of the people's wrath almost consumed them? The lightning has pierced the sanctuary, and rent the vail of their

prophets ascend Mount Sinai, and bring us down the second table of the law in thunder and lightnings; for in thunder and lightnings the constitution was first, and must now be established. Let the judges mount up to the source of precedents and decisions, and trace the law clear and unpolluted along the stream of time, and the silent lapse of years. Let them march in procession to this House, ushered in by a long train of precedents and opinions, and lay them all in a bundle in the middle of the room. Then, and not till then, will they stand justified. Then, and not till then, will you stand justified. In vain do you trust to the virtue of that furred gown, or to the magic of that bauble, as Crom-well truly called it. They confer neither real power, nor, what is often its parent, a fair character. These desirable possessions are acquired by an upright conduct, and the confidence of the people. —EDIT.

The following quotation from a speech delivered by lord Chatham, on the eleventh of

denveree by total Charman, on the eleventh of December, 1770, is taken with exactness. The reader will find it curious in itself, and very fit to be inserted here. 'My Lords, the vecicit, given in Woodfall's trial, was guilty of printing and publishing ONLY; upon which too motions were made in court ,-one, in arrest of judgment, by the defendant's counsel, grounded upon the am-biguity of the verdict;—the other, by the counsel for the crown, for a rule upon the defendant, to show cause, why the verdict should not be entered up according to the legal import of the words. On both motions, a rule was granted, and son after the matter was argued before the Court of King's Bench. The noble judge, when he delivered the opinion of the court upon the verdict, went regularly through the whole of the proceedings at Nisi Prius, as well the evidence that had been given, as his own charge to the jury. This proceeding would have been very proper, had a motion been made of either side for a new trial, because either a verdict given contemple from the top even to the bottom. No- trary to evidence, or an improper charge by the thing is whole, nothing is sound. The ten tables judge at Nisi Prius, is held to be a sufficient of the law are shattered and splintered. The ground for granting a new trial. But when a ark of the covenant is lost, and passed into the motion is made in arrest of judgment, or for hands of the uncircumcised. Both they and ye establishing the verdict, by entering it up accordare become an abomination unto the Lord. In ing to the legal import of the words, it must be RECORD. When law and reason speak our understandings. Yet, for the honour

plainly, we do not want authority to direct of the profession, I am content to oppose

on the ground of something appearing on the face the record; and the court, in considering whether the verdict shall be established or not, are so confined to the record, that they cannot take notice of any thing that does not appear on the face of it; in the legal phrase, they cannot travel out of the record. The noble judge did travel out of the record, and I affirm that his discourse was irregular, extrajudicial, and unprecedented. His apparent motive, for doing what he knew to be wrong, was, that he might have an opportunity of telling the public extrayudicially, that the other three judges concurred in the doctrine laid down in his charge. —AUTHOR.

The opinion of the court here referred to, was as follows; and we give it as an extraordinary dictum, not readily to be met with in the present day. It was delivered by the lord chief

justice, Nov. 20, 1770.

This matter comes on before the court upon two rules which have been obtained; the one by the defendant's counsel, to stay the entering up the interlocutory judgment in this cause; the other by the attorney-general, to enter up the judgment according to the legal import of the verdict. In considering these rules, we are naturally led to begin with the last, because the last may decide the former; and in doing this, it will be previously necessary to state a report of the trial. The defendant was tried for the printing and publishing, in a paper called the Public Advertiser, a libel signed JUNIUS; and in the information the tenor of the libel was set forth, with innuendoes, to complete the blanks, and with the usual epithets. (r.) The first wit-ness, Crowder, proved the buying of the paper, which was produced, and twelve others, at the defendant's printing-house, of his servant. (2.) Harris proved payments at the stamp-office, by the defendant, for the Public Advertiser, and that the duty for the stamp upon this paper was paid by the defendant's servant. (3.) Lee, sir John Fielding's clerk, proved several payments to the defendant for advertisements in the Public Advertiser, and produced his receipt." The proof upon the trial was clear, and not controverted by the defendant's counsel, who called no witnesses. They rested their defence in objecting to some of the innuendoes, but principally applied to the jury to convince them that the paper was inno-cent, and that some of the epithets in the information did not apply to the intention of the defendant. No fact, in case the paper be innocent, can make the publication a subject of guilt; and if the jury find it so, the defendant may have advantage of its innocence by arrest of judgment in this court; but that is not any question here. Nor is this a case, like some of those, where a publication of a paper may be justified from particular circumstances. I directed the jury, that if they believed the innuendoes, as to persons and no objection was taken by his counsel. Where things, to have been properly filled up in the there are more charges in an information than

paper, and if they gave credit to the witnesses, they must find the defendant guilty; for, if they believed them, there is no doubt but there was sufficient evidence of the defendant's printing and publishing. If the jury were obliged to determine, whether the paper was in law a libel or no. or to judge whether it was criminal, or to what degree, or if they were to require proofs of a criminal intention, then this direction was wrong. I told them, as I have always done before, that whether a libel or not, was a mere question of law, arising out of the record; and that all the epithets inserted in the information, were also formal inferences of law. A general verdict of the jury, finds only what the law implies from the fact. There is no necessary proof of malice to be made; for that is scarce possible to be pro-duced. The law implies, from the fact of publi-cation, a criminal intent. The jury stayed out a long while—many hours—and at last delivered in their verdict at my house (the objection to its being out of the city being cured by consent). To the usual question of the officer, the foreman answered in these words, Guilty of printing and publishing only. The officer has entered up the words literally, without so much as adding the usual words of reference to connect the sense. An affidavit of one of the jury has been attempted to be laid before the court by the defend-ant's counsel; but we are all of opinion that it cannot be received .- Such affidavit can only be admitted in motion for a new trial, where there is a doubt upon the words in which the verdict was delivered, or upon the judge's notes of the evidence; but an affidavit of a juryman cannot be admitted to explain or assert, what he thought, or intended, at the time of giving in the verdict. of intended, at the unite of giving in the vertuce.

The motion of the attorney-general divides itself into two parts; (x.) the first, to fill up the finding of the jury, with the usual words of reference, so as to connect the verdict with the information. The omission of these words, we are of opinion, is a technical mistake of the clerk. and may be now supplied. (2.) The second head of argument, is to omit the word only in the entry of the verdict. This we are all of opinion cannot be done; the word only must stand in the verdict. No reason can be urged for omitting the word only, but what goes to prove that it adds nothing to the sense of the verdict. If this word was omitted, the verdict would then be, word was omitted, the verticet would men ac, guilty of printing and publishing, which is a general verdict of guilty; for there is no other charge in the information, but printing and publishing, and that alone the jury had to enquire. In the case of the King and Williams, for the North Briton, the jury found the defendant guilty of printing and publishing. The officer averaged in the average coultry assembly that entered up the verdict guilty generally: the defendant received the sentence of this court, and information, and to be the true meaning of the one the finding the defendant guilty of printing

one lawyer to another, especially when it If any honest man should still be inclined as I do, what he said was at least consistent and to the purpose.

and publishing only, would be an acquittal of the other charges; but here the jury had nothing

with which he was charged. We are all of opinion, that my direction to the jury is right, and according to law; the positions contained in it never were doubted; it never has been, nor is it now, complained of in this court. There clearly can be no judgment of acquittal, because the fact found by the jury is the only question they had to try. The single doubt that remains, is as to the meaning of the word only. It would be improper now to make a question of the law, as I the whole sense put upon the innuendoes. This lay it down. In all the reports which I have made upon trials for libels, where my direction defendant, to which the judges will always lean. has been uniformly the same, the bar may remember the dead, and the living who are now the court should grant a venure facias de novo, absent, all to have concurred in agreeing that it which it is in their power to do, when a verdict was law thus to direct the jury in matter of libel. of acquittal has not been found for the defend-Taking then the law to be thus, the only question ant.—EDIT.

happens that the king's attorney-general to leave the construction of libels to the has virtually disclaimed the doctrine by court. I would intreat him to consider what which the chief justice meant to insure a dreadful complication of hardships he success to the prosecution. The opinion imposes upon his fellow-subject.—In the of the plaintiff's counsel (however it may first place, the prosecution commences by be otherwise insignificant) is weighty in the information of an officer of the crown, not scale of the defendant.-My Lord Chief by the regular constitutional mode of in-Justice De Grey, who filed the information dictment before a grand jury .- As the fact ex officio, is directly with me. If he had is usually admitted, or in general can easily concurred in lord Mansfield's doctrine, the be proved, the office of the petty jury is trial must have been a very short one. The nugatory.—The court then judges of the facts were either admitted by Woodfall's nature and extent of the offence, and detercounsel, or easily proved to the satisfaction mines ad arbitrium, the quantum of the of the jury. But Mr De Grey, far from punishment, from a small fine to a heavy thinking he should acquit himself of his one, to repeated whipping, to pillory, and duty by barely proving the facts, entered unlimited imprisonment. Cutting off ears largely, and I confess not without ability, and noses might still be inflicted by a resointo the demerits of the paper, which he lute judge; but I will be candid enough to called a seditious libel. He dwelt but suppose that penalties, so apparently shocklightly upon those points, which (according ing to humanity, would not be hazarded in to lord Mansfield) were the only matter of these times. - In all other criminal prosecuconsideration to the jury. The criminal tions, the jury decides upon the fact and intent, the libellous matter, the pernicious the crime in one word, and the court protendency of the paper itself, were the topics nounces a certain sentence, which is the on which he principally insisted, and of sentence of the law, not of the judge. If which, for more than an hour, he tortured lord Mansfield's doctrine be received, the his faculties to convince the jury. If he jury must either find a verdict of acquittal, agreed in opinion with lord Mansfield, his contrary to evidence (which, I can condiscourse was impertinent, ridiculous, and ceive, might be done by very conscientious unseasonable. But, understanding the law men, rather than trust a fellow-creature to

> is whether any meaning, which will affect the verdict, can be put upon the word only, as it stands upon this record. If the jury meant to say, they did not find the paper a libel, or the intent of the defendant to be criminal in publishing it, or that they did not find the truth and application of the epithets in the information, all this would have vitiated the verdict; for it would have been entering into matters not before them. But if they meant to say, that they did not find the meaning put upon the innuendoes, we should enter up judgment of acquittal; but this would be contradictory to the former part of their verdict. It is impossible to say with certainty, what they meant. Possibly they meant differently, and some of them might intend not to find But if a doubt arises on the import of the verdict,

lord Mansfield's mercy), or they must leave would prove nothing as to the strict right awarding punishment.

arrest of judgment, I shall only observe, a language unknown to them. that no reasonable man would be so eager determined to make use of it.

find the fact, and the judge to deliver the trines laid down by lord Mansfield. the sense given to it by lord Mansfield. proper to return a special verdict.

to this objection (which, if well founded, against my general argument. If juries are

to the court two offices, never but in this of returning a general verdict). I might instance united, of finding guilty, and safely deny the truth of the assertion. Englishmen of that rank from which juries But, says this honest lord chief justice, are usually taken, are not so illiterate as 'If the paper be not criminal, the defend- (to serve a particular purpose) they are now ant' (though found guilty by his peers) 'is represented. Or, admitting the fact, let a in no danger, for he may move the court in special jury be summoned in all cases of diffiarrest of judgment.'-True, my good Lord, culty and importance, and the objection is but who is to determine upon the motion?— removed. But the truth is, that if a paper. Is not the court still to decide, whether supposed to be a libel upon government. judgment shall be entered up or not; and be so obscurely worded, that twelve common is not the defendant this way as effectually men cannot possibly see the seditious meandeprived of judgment by his peers, as if he ing and tendency of it, it is in effect no were tried in a court of civil law, or in the libel. It cannot inflame the minds of the chambers of the inquisition? It is you, my people, nor alienate their affections from Lord, who then try the crime, not the jury, government; for they no more understand As to the probable effect of a motion in what it means, than if it were published in

Upon the whole matter, it appears to my to possess himself of the invidious power of understanding clear beyond a doubt, that inflicting punishment, if he were not pre- if, in any future prosecution for a seditious libel, the jury should bring in a verdict of Again :- We are told that judge and jury acquittal not warranted by the evidence, it have a distinct office; -that the jury is to will be owing to the false and absurd doclaw. De jure respondent judices, de facto gusted at the odious artifices made use of jurati. The dictum is true, though not in by the judge to mislead and perplex them, guarded against his sophistry, and con-The jury are undoubtedly to determine the vinced of the falsehood of his assertions, fact, that is, whether the defendant did or they may perhaps determine to thwart his did not commit the crime charged against detestable purpose, and defeat him at any The judge pronounces the sentence rate. To him, at least, they will do subannexed by law to that fact so found; and stantial justice.—Whereas, if the whole if, in the course of the trial, any question of charge, laid in the information, be fairly law arises, both the counsel and the jury and honestly submitted to the jury, there is must, of necessity, appeal to the judge, and no reason whatsoever to presume that leave it to his decision. An exception, or twelve men, upon their oaths, will not deplea in bar, may be allowed by the court; cide impartially between the king and the but, when issue is joined, and the jury have defendant. The numerous instances, in our received their charge, it is not possible, in state trials, of verdicts recovered for the the nature of things, for them to separate king, sufficiently refute the false and scanthe law from the fact, unless they think dalous imputations thrown by the abettors of lord Mansfield upon the integrity of It has also been alledged that, although juries.—But even admitting the supposition a common jury are sufficient to determine a that, in times of universal discontent, plain matter of fact, they are not qualified arising from the notoricus maladministrato comprehend the meaning, or to judge of tion of public affairs, a seditious writer the tendency, of a seditious libel. In answer should escape punishment, it makes nothing

fallible, to what other tribunal shall we cordially hate their neighbours. For the shall we unite the offices of judge and jury, appeal to the reader's observation, unless so wisely divided by the constitution, and he will accept of my lord Barrington's a decisive answer along with them.1

made of it in the present publication.

planted from their own country, are always stood than may safely be expressed.

appeal?-If juries cannot safely be trusted, remainder of their good qualities, I must trust implicitly to lord Mansfield?-Are authority. In a letter to the late lord Melthe judges of the Court of King's Bench combe, published by Mr Lee, he expresses more likely to be unbiassed and impartial, himself with a truth and accuracy not very than twelve yeomen, burgesses, or gentle- common in his lordship's lucubrations .men taken indifferently from the county at 'And Cockburn, like most of his countrylarge?-Or, in short, shall there be no men, is as abject to those above him, as he decision, until we have instituted a tribunal, is insolent to those below him.' 2-I am far from which no possible abuse or incon- from meaning to impeach the articles of the venience whatsoever can arise?—If I am Union. If the true spirit of those articles not grossly mistaken, these questions carry were religiously adhered to, we should not see such a multitude of Scotch com-Having cleared the freedom of the press moners in the Lower House as representfrom a restraint, equally unnecessary and atives of English boroughs, while not a illegal, I return to the use which has been single Scotch borough is ever represented by an Englishman. We should not see National reflections, I confess, are not to English peerages given to Scotch ladies, or be justified in theory, nor upon any general to the elder sons of Scotch peers, and the principles. To know how well they are number of sixteen doubled and trebled by deserved, and how justly they have been a scandalous evasion of the Act of Union .applied, we must have the evidence of facts If it should ever be thought advisable to before us. We must be conversant with the dissolve an act, the violation or observance Scots in private life, and observe their prin- of which is invariably directed by the adciples of acting to us, and to each other; vantage and interest of the Scots, I shall - the characteristic prudence, the selfish say very sincerely with Sir Edward Coke, nationality, the indefatigable smile, the 'When poor England stood alone, and had persevering assiduity, the everlasting pro- not the access of another kingdom, and yet fession of a discreet and moderate resent- had more and as potent enemies as it now ment.—If the instance were not too import- hath, yet the king of England prevailed.' 8

ant for an experiment, it might not be Some opinion may now be expected amiss to confide a little in their integrity.— from me, upon a point of equal delicacy Without any abstract reasoning upon to the writer, and hazard to the printer. causes and effects, we shall soon be con- When the character of the chief magisvinced by experience, that the Scots, trans- trate is in question, more must be undera distinct and separate body from the it be really a part of our constitution, and people who receive them. In other settle- not a mere dictum of the law, that the ments, they only love themselves; -in Eng- King can do no wrong, it is not the only land, they cordially love themselves, and as instance, in the wisest of human institutions, where theory is at variance with practice.-

The questions are so decisive, and the general train of reasoning here advanced so clear and convincing, that the point has been ever since settled upon the authority of common sense, in the feelings and understanding of every man, whether professional or unprofessional. And all that remained to be done, was an interference of the legislature to prevent a revival of the question by any future judge, upon any future case whatsoever; a business patriotically undertaken

by a statesman, whose name will ever be connected with genitine patriotism, the late Mr Fox, who in 1791 introduced a bill into parliament for who in 1791 introduced a bit into partiament for this purpose, and in 1792 succeeded in carrying it through both Houses. See farther on this subject, note to Letter XLI, p. 247.—EDIT.

2 See the same passage quotted in Miscellaneous Letter, No CXI.—EDIT.

3 Publication Williams Williams

³ Parliamentary History, 7. V. p. 400.

PREFACE. 126

That the sovereign of this country is not councils?-Do You profess to govern acgovernment, which defeats itself, and an- ishment.' swers no one purpose of real power, profit,

amenable to any form of trial, known to the cording to law, and is it consistent with laws, is unquestionable. But exemption that profession, to impart Your confidence from punishment is a singular privilege and affection to those men only, who, annexed to the royal character, and no way though now perhaps detached from the excludes the possibility of deserving it. desperate cause of the Pretender, are How long, and to what extent, a king of marked in this country by an hereditary England may be protected by the forms, attachment to high and arbitrary principles when he violates the spirit of the constitu- of government?—Are You so infatuated as tion, deserves to be considered. A mistake to take the sense of Your people from the in this matter proved fatal to Charles and representation of ministers, or from the his son.—For my own part, far from think- shouts of a mob. notoriously hired to suring that the k ig can do no wrong, far round Your coach, or stationed at a from suffering myself to be deterred or im- theatre?-And if You are, in reality, that posed upon by the language of forms, in public Man, that King, that Magistrate, opposition to the substantial evidence of which these questions suppose You to be, truth, if it were my misfortune to live under is it any answer to Your people, to say that, the inauspicious reign of a prince, whose among Your domestics You are good-huwhole life was employed in one base, con- moured ;-that to one lady You are faithful; temptible struggle with the free spirit of -that to Your children You are indulgent? his people, or in the detestable endeavour -Sir, the man who addresses You in these to corrupt their moral principles, I would terms is Your best friend. He would willnot scruple to declare to him, - 'Sir, You ingly hazard his life in defence of Your alone are the author of the greatest wrong title to the crown; and, if power be Your to Your subjects and to Yourself. Instead object, would still show You, how possible of reigning in the hearts of Your people, it is for a King of England, by the noblest instead of commanding their lives and for- means, to be the most absolute prince in tunes through the medium of their affec- Europe. You have no enemies, Sir, but tions, has not the strength of the crown, those who persuade You to aim at power whether influence or prerogative, been uni- without right, and who think it flattery to formly exerted, for eleven years together, tell You, that the character of King dissolves to support a narrow, pitiful system of the natural relation between guilt and pun-

I cannot conceive that there is a heart so or personal satisfaction to You?-With the callous, or an understanding so depraved. greatest unappropriated revenue of any as to attend to a discourse of this nature. prince in Europe, have we not seen You and not to feel the force of it. But where reduced to such vile and sordid distresses, is the man, among those who have access as would have conducted any other man to to the closet, resolute and honest enough a prison?-With a great military, and the to deliver it. The liberty of the press is greatest naval power in the known world, our only resource. It will command an have not foreign nations repeatedly insulted audience when every honest man in the You with impunity '- Is it not notorious kingdom is excluded. This glorious privithat the vast revenues, extorted from the lege may be a security to the king, as well labour and industry of Your subjects, and as a resource to his people. Had there given You to do honour to Yourself and to been no star-chamber, there would have the nation, are assipated in corrupting their been no rebellion against Charles the First. representatives?-Are You a Prince of the The constant censure and admonition of House of Hanover, and do You exclude all the press would have corrected his conduct, the leading Whig families from Your prevented a civil war, and saved him from

PREFACE. 127

an ignominious death.-I am no friend to the press to exist in a despotic government, again.

foreign writer, whose essay on the English constitution I beg leave to recommend to the public, as a performance deep, solid, and ingenious.

'In short, whoever considers what it is, fellow-creatures, will not hesitate to affirm

1 Monsieur de Lolme.

the doctrine of precedents exclusive of right, and (what is not less difficult) for it to though lawyers often tell us, that whatever exist without changing the constitution, this has been once done, may lawfully be done liberty of the press would alone form a counterpoise to the power of the prince. I shall conclude this preface with a quot- If, for example, in an empire of the East, a ation, applicable to the subject, from a sanctuary could be found, which, rendered respectable by the ancient religion of the people, might insure safety to those who should bring thither their observations of any kind; and that, from thence, printed papers should issue, which, under a certain that constitutes the moving principle of seal, might be equally respected; and what we call great affairs, and the invinci- which, in their daily appearance, should ble sensibility of man to the opinion of his examine and freely discuss the conduct of the cadis, the bashaws, the vizir, the divan, that, if it were possible for the liberty of and the sultan himself, that would introduce immediately some degree of liberty.'

LETTERS

IUNIUS.

LETTER L.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

21 Fanuary, 1769.

abroad, and while justice is impartially ad- home to ourselves! ministered at home, the obedience of the and, whatever foreigners may imagine, we with moderation. know that Englishmen have erred as much ing to themselves.

partment; earl of Hillsborough (since marquis solicitor-general,-EDIT,

It naturally fills us with resentment, to see such a temper insulted, or abused. In reading the history of a free people, whose rights have been invaded, we are interested in their cause. Our own feelings tell us THE submission of a free people how long they ought to have submitted. to the executive authority of government and at what moment it would have been is no more than a compliance with laws, treachery to themselves not to have resisted. which they themselves have enacted. While How much warmer will be our resentment. the national honour is firmly maintained if experience should bring the fatal example

The situation of this country is alarming subject will be voluntary, cheerful, and I enough to rouse the attention of every man. might almost say, unlimited. A generous who pretends to a concern for the public nation is grateful even for the preservation welfare. Appearances justify suspicion: of its rights, and willingly extends the re- and, when the safety of a nation is at stake. spect due to the office of a good prince into suspicion is a just ground of enquiry. Let an affection for his person. Loyalty, in the us enter into it with candour and decency. heart and understanding of an Englishman, Respect is due to the station of ministers; is a rational attachment to the guardian of and, if a resolution must at last be taken, the laws. Prejudices and passion have there is none so likely to be supported with sometimes carried it to a criminal length; firmness, as that which has been adopted

The ruin or prosperity of a state depends in a mistaken zeal for particular persons so much upon the administration of its and families, as they ever did in defence of government, that, to be acquainted with what they thought most dear and interest- the merit of a ministry, we need only observe the condition of the people.1 If we

I The arrangement of the ministry, at the period in question, was as follows:—duke of Gratfon, first lord of the treasury; lord North, privy seal; sir Edw. Hawke, first lord of the chancellor of the excheque; lord canden, lord chancellor of the treasury to the chancellor of the the excheque; lord canden, lord chancellor; lord viscount Townshend, lord-lieu- war; margus of Granby, master-gen. of the tenant of Ireland; earl Rochford, minister for ordnance; lord Howe, treasurer of the navy; the foreign department; viscount Weymouth Me Grand Mr Dunning (subsequently (afterwards marquis of Bath), for the home delords Walsingham and Ashburton), attorney and partment; earl of Hillshorusch (since marquis solitons, general—Extra

trade, dissensions in all parts of the empire, the pernicious hand of government, which and a total loss of respect in the eyes of alone can make a whole people desperate. foreign powers, we may pronounce, without indignation, and hurry them into excesses, that befalls us, but the original fault is in government. Perhaps there never was an instance of a its debts and expenses, are committed to a change, in the circumstances and temper of young nobleman already ruined by play.1 a whole nation, so sudden and extraor- Introduced to act under the auspices of dinary as that which the misconduct of lord Chatham, and left at the head of ministers has, within these very few years, affairs by that nobleman's retreat, he beproduced in Great Britain. When our came minister by accident, but deserting gracious Sovereign ascended the throne, the principles and professions which gave we were a flourishing and a contented him a moment's popularity, we see him. people. If the personal virtues of a king from every honourable engagement to the could have insured the happiness of his public, an apostate by design. As for busisubjects, the scene could not have altered ness, the world yet knows nothing of his so entirely as it has done. The idea of talents or resolution; unless a wayward. uniting all parties, of trying all characters, wavering inconsistency be a mark of genius, and of distributing the offices of state by and caprice a demonstration of spirit. It rotation, was gracious and benevolent to an may be said perhaps, that it is his Grace's extreme, though it has not yet produced province, as surely it is his passion, rather the many salutary effects which were in- to distribute than to save the public money, tended by it. To say nothing of the wisdom and that while lord North is chancellor of of such a plan, it undoubtedly arose from the Exchequer, the first lord of the Treaan unbounded goodness of heart, in which sury may be as thoughtless and as extravafolly had no share. It was not a capricious gant as he pleases. I hope, however, he partiality to new faces:-it was not a will not rely too much on the fertility of natural turn for low intrigue; nor was it lord North's genius for finance. His Lordthe treacherous amusement of double and ship is yet to give us the first proof of his triple negotiations. No, Sir, it arose from abilities: It may be candid to suppose that a continued anxiety, in the purest of all he has hitherto voluntarily concealed his possible hearts, for the general welfare. talents; intending perhaps to astonish the Unfortunately for us, the event has not world, when we least expect it, with a been answerable to the design. After a knowledge of trade, a choice of expedients,

And the cuts of Granton took the office of secretary of state, with an engagement to support the marquis of Rockingham's administration. He resigned however in a little time, under pretence that he could not act without lord Chatham's dismission. When lord Chatham came in, then the could not act without lord Chatham, nor bear to see Mr Wilkes abandoned; but The duke of Grafton took the office of secre-

see them obedient to the laws, prosperous rapid succession of changes, we are reduced in their industry, united at home, and re- to that state, which hardly any change can spected abroad, we may reasonably presume mend. Yet there is no extremity of disthat their affairs are conducted by men of tress, which of itself ought to reduce a great experience, abilities, and virtue. If, on the nation to despair. It is not the disorder. contrary, we see an universal spirit of dis- but the physician :- it is not a casual contrust and dissatisfaction, a rapid decay of currence of calamitous circumstances, it is

Without much political sagacity, or any hesitation, that the government of that extraordinary depth of observation, we need country is weak, distracted, and corrupt. only mark how the principal departments The multitude, in all countries, are patient of the state are bestowed, and look no to a certain point. Ill-usage may rouse their farther for the true cause of every mischief

> The finances of a nation, sinking under and a depth of resources equal to the ne-

power of his capacity, if he would wish us melancholy pity to his friends. to forget, that, since he has been in office, adopted for the relief of public credit. If to their common country. comes the royal dignity to have the debts the empire from the other. of a king provided for, like the repairs of a country bridge, or a decayed hospital. The is made; under the second it is repealed: management of the king's affairs in the House of Commons cannot be more disgraced than it has been. A leading minister repeatedly called down for absolute ignorance.; - ridiculous motions ridiculously withd awn ;-deliberate plans disconcert and a week's preparation of graceful oratory lost in a moment, give us some, though not an adequate, idea of lord North's before he had the misfortune to be chan-

The public debt at the conclusion of the

peace in 1763, amounted to £148,377,618.—EDIT.

This happened frequently to poor lord North.

Yet Junius has been called the partisan of

lord Chatham!

cessities, and far beyond the hopes, of his cellor of the Exchequer, he was neither an country. He must now exert the whole object of derision to his enemies. nor of

A series of inconsistent measures had no plan has been formed, no system ad- alienated the colonies from their duty as hered to, nor any one important measure subjects, and from their natural affection his plan for the service of the current year Grenville was placed at the head of the he not irrevocably fixed on, let me warn Treasury, he felt the impossibility of Great him to think seriously of consequences be- Britain's supporting such an establishment fore he ventures to increase the public debt.1 as her former successes had made indis-Outraged and oppressed as we are, this pensable, and at the same time of giving nation will not bear, after a six years' peace, any sensible relief to foreign trade, and to to see new millions borrowed, without an the weight of the public debt. He thought eventual diminution of debt, or reduction of it equitable that those parts of the empire. interest. The attempt might rouse a spirit which had benefited most by the expenses of resentment, which might reach beyond of the war, should contribute something to the sacrifice of a minister. As to the debt the expenses of the peace, and he had no upon the civil list, the people of England doubt of the constitutional right vested in expect that it will not be paid without a parliament to raise that contribution. But, strict enquiry how it was incurred. If it unfortunately for this country, Mr Grenmust be paid by parliament, let me advise ville was at any rate to be distressed, bethe chancellor of the Exchequer to think cause he was minister, and Mr Pitt3 and of some better expedient than a lottery. To lord Camden were to be the patrons of support an expensive war, or in circum- America, because they were in opposition. stances of absolute necessity, a lottery may Their declarations gave spirit and arguperhaps be allowable; but, besides that it ment to the colonies, and while perhaps is at all times the very worst way of raising they meant no more than the ruin of a money upon the people, I think it ill be- minister, they in effect divided one half of

Under one administration the Stamp Act under the third, in spite of all experience. a new mode of taxing the colonies is invented, and a question revived, which ought to have been buried in oblivion. In these circumstances a new office is established for the business of the plantations. and the earl of Hillsborough called forth. at a most critical season, to govern America.4 The choice at least announced to us parliamentary abilities and influence. Yet a man of superior capacity and knowledge. Whether he be so or not, let his despatches. as far as they have appeared, let his meaupon the cessation of the rebellion. In 1768, for

the purpose of finding a post for the earl of Hillsborough, the office of third secretary was revived; and Scotland having no peculiar demand for his talents, he was denominated secre-tary for America. For the rest see our author's preceding letters, subscribed Atticus and Lucius, in the Miscellaneous Collection.—Eurr.

⁴ Upon the death of queen Anne a third secretaryship, antecedently unknown to the consti-tution, was created, professing to be for the superintendence of Scotland, which terminated

our military force may perhaps be spared of his judgment. to support the earl of Hillsborough's meadeclamation.

the secretary's office. Lord Rochford was ture that bears the name of Manners, and,

sures as far as they have operated, de- acquainted with the affairs and temper of termine for him. In the former we have the southern courts: lord Weymouth was seen strong assertions without proof, de- equally qualified for either department.2 clamation without argument, and violent By what unaccountable caprice has it hapcensures without dignity or moderation; pened, that the latter, who pretends to no but neither correctness in the composition, experience whatsoever, is removed to the nor judgment in the design. As for his most important of the two departments. measures, let it be remembered, that he and the former by preference placed in an was called upon to conciliate and unite; office, where his experience can be of no and that, when he entered into office the use to him? lord Weymouth had distinmost refractory of the colonies were still guished himself in his first employment by a disposed to proceed by the constitutional spirited, if not judicious conduct. He had methods of petition and remonstrance, animated the civil magistrate beyond the Since that period they have been driven tone of civil authority, and had directed into excesses little short of rebellion. Pe- the operations of the army to more than titions have been hindered from reaching military execution. Recovered from the the throne; and the continuance of one of errors of his youth, from the distraction of the principal assemblies rested upon an play, and the bewitching smiles of Burarbitrary condition, which, considering the gundy, behold him exerting the whole temper they were in, it was impossible they strength of his clear, unclouded faculties, should comply with, and which would have in the service of the crown. It was not the availed nothing as to the general question, heat of midnight excesses, nor ignorance if it had been complied with. So violent, of the laws, nor the furious spirit of the and I believe I may call it so unconstitu- house of Bedford: No, Sir, when this retional, an exertion of the prerogative, to spectable minister interposed his authority say nothing of the weak, injudicious terms between the magistrate and the people, in which it was conveyed, gives us as hum- and signed the mandate, on which, for ble an opinion of his Lordship's capacity, aught he knew, the lives of thousands deas it does of his temper and moderation, pended, he did it from the deliberate While we are at peace with other nations, motion of his heart, supported by the best

It has lately been a fashion to pay a sures in America. Whenever that force compliment to the bravery and generosity shall be necessarily withdrawn or diminish- of the commander-in-chief,3 at the expense ed, the dismission of such a minister will of his understanding. They who love him neither console us for his imprudence, nor least make no question of his courage, remove the settled resentment of a people, while his friends dwell chiefly on the facility who, complaining of an act of the legisla- of his disposition. Admitting him to be as ture, are outraged by an unwarrantable brave as a total absence of all feeling and stretch of prerogative, and, supporting reflection can make him, let us see what their claims by argument, are insulted with sort of merit he derives from the remainder of his character. If it be generosity to Drawing lots would be a prudent and accumulate in his own person and family a reasonable method of appointing the officers number of lucrative employments; to proof state, compared to a late disposition of vide, at the public expense, for every crea-

lutions, and erase the entiry of it appointed to the northern departs appointed to the Prench minister. while ambassador in France, had quarrelled with 3 The late lord Granby.

¹ That they should retract one of their reso- the duke of Choiseuil, and that therefore he was appointed to the northern department, out of

draw from the independency of never per- notorious to require an application, forming? And if the discipline of the army This, Sir, is the detail. In one view be in any degree preserved, what thanks behold a nation overwhelmed with debt; into a broker of commissions!

affluent retreat.

right and wrong are justly decided, nor that perform the sacrifice. He will not scruple to prostitute his dignity, and betray the point is to be carried for government, or the of the kingdom. resentments of a court are to be gratified.

These principles and proceedings, odious and contemptible as they are, in effect are no less injudicious. A wise and generous

neglecting the merit and services of the people are roused by every appearance rest of the army, to heap promotions upon of oppressive, unconstitutional measures. his favourites and dependants, the present whether those measures are supported commander-in-chief is the most generous openly by the power of government. or man alive. Nature has been sparing of masked under the forms of a court of justher gifts to this noble lord; but where birth ice. Prudence and self-preservation will and fortune are united, we expect the noble oblige the most moderate dispositions to pride and independence of a man of spirit, make common cause, even with a man not the servile, humiliating compliances of whose conduct they censure, if they see him a courtier. As to the goodness of his heart, persecuted in a way which the real spirit of if a proof of it be taken from the facility of the laws will not justify. The facts, on never refusing, what conclusion shall we which these remarks are founded, are too

are due to a man, whose cares, notoriously her revenues wasted; her trade declining; confined to filling up vacancies, have de- the affections of her colonies alienated : the graded the office of commander-in-chief duty of the magistrate transferred to the soldiery; a gallant army, which never With respect to the navy, I shall only say, fought unwillingly but against their fellowthat this country is so highly indebted to sir subjects, mouldering away for want of the Edward Hawke, that no expense should be direction of a man of common abilities and spared to secure to him an honourable and spirit; and, in the last instance, the administration of justice become odious and The pure and impartial administration of suspected to the whole body of the people. justice is perhaps the firmest bond to secure This deplorable scene admits but of one a cheerful submission of the people, and to addition—that we are governed by counsels, engage their affections to government. It from which a reasonable man can expect is not sufficient that questions of private no remedy but poison, no relief but death.

If, by the immediate interposition of judges are superior to the vileness of pe- Providence, it were possible for us to cuniary corruption. Jefferies himself, when escape a crisis so full of terror and despair, the court had no interest, was an upright posterity will not believe the history of the judge. A court of justice may be subject to present times. They will either conclude another sort of bias, more important and per- that our distresses were imaginary, or that nicious, as it reaches beyond the interest of we had the good fortune to be governed by individuals, and affects the whole community. men of acknowledged integrity and wis-A judge under the influence of government, dom: they will not believe it possible that may be honest enough in the decision of their ancestors could have survived, or private causes, yet a traitor to the public. recovered from so desperate a condition, When a victim is marked out by the while a duke of Grafton was prime minister, ministry, this judge will offer himself to a lord North chancellor of the Exchequer.

Weymouth and a Hillsborough secretaries of state, a Granby commander-insanctity of his office, whenever an arbitrary chief, and a Mansfield chief criminal judge

JUNIUS.

Mr Wilkes .- EDIT.

LETTER II.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

26 January, 1769.

dom. For when our deluded people at from the common soldier, home, and foreigners abroad, read the A very long, uninterrupted, impartial, poisonous and inflammatory libels that are and I will add, a most disinterested friend-

without attempting his justification, as to be the author of the calumny against him.

their good qualities and eminent virtues; and scandalous. Lord Granby's courage, when they find no notice taken of, or reply though of the brightest and most ardent given to, these slanderous tongues and kind, is among the lowest of his numerous pens, their conclusion is, that both the good qualities; he was formed to excel in ministers and the nation have been fairly war by nature's liberality to his mind as well described, and they act accordingly. I as person. Educated and instructed by his think it therefore the duty of every good most noble father, and a most spirited as citizen to stand forth, and endeavour to well as excellent scholar, the present bishop undeceive the public, when the vilest arts of Bangor, 1 he was trained to the nicest are made use of to defame and blacken sense of honour, and to the truest and the brightest characters among us. An noblest sort of pride, that of never doing or

eminent author affirms it to be almost as suffering a mean action. A sincere love criminal to hear a worthy man traduced, and attachment to his king and country,

daily published with impunity, to vilify ship with lord Granby, gives me the right to those who are any way distinguished by affirm, that all JUNIUS's assertions are false

For my own part, I think it a sort of misprision of treason against society. No man therefore who knows lord Granby, can possibly hear so good and great a character most vilely abused, without a warm and just indignation against this JUNIUS, this THE kingdom swarms with such high-priest of envy, malice, and all unnumbers of felonious robbers of private charitableness, who has endeavoured to character and virtue, that no honest or good sacrifice our beloved commander-in-chief man is safe; especially as these cowardly, at the altars of his horrid deities. Nor is base assassins stab in the dark, without the injury done to his Lordship alone, but having the courage to sign their real names to the whole nation, which may too soon to their malevolent and wicked productions feel the contempt, and consequently the A writer, who signs himself Junius, in the attacks, of our late enemies, if they can be Public Advertiser of the 21st instant, opens induced to believe that the person on the deplorable situation of this country in a whom the safety of these kingdoms so very affecting manner; with a pompous much depends, is unequal to his high parade of his candour and decency, he tells station, and destitute of those qualities us, that we see dissensions in all parts of which form a good general. One would the empire, an universal spirit of distrust have thought that his Lordship's services in and dissatisfaction, and a total loss of the cause of his country, from the battle of respect towards us in the eyes of foreign Culloden to his most glorious conclusion of powers. But this writer, with all his the late war, might have entitled him to boasted candour, has not told us the real common respect and decency at least: but cause of the evils he so pathetically enumer- this uncandid, indecent writer, has gone so ates. I shall take the liberty to explain the far as to turn one of the most amiable men cause for him. JUNIUS, and such writers of the age, into a stupid, unfeeling, and as himself, occasion all the mischiefs com- senseless being; possessed indeed of a perplained of, by falsely and maliciously sonal courage, but void of those essential traducing the best characters in the king- qualities which distinguish the commander

Dr John Ewer.-EDIT.

and to their glory, first impelled him to the where a breach of promise would be a ing, if it has any at all. But where is the ridiculous! man living who can justly charge his Lordas all such defamatory writers do.

-sbareere voces In vulgum ambiguas-

gagements: Where are Junius's proofs? Although I could give some instances,

field, where he never gained aught but virtue, especially in the case of those who honour. He impaired, through his bounty, would pervert the open, unsuspecting mohis own fortune, for his bounty, which this ments of convivial mirth, into sly, insidious writer would in vain depreciate, is founded applications for preferment, or party sysupon the noblest of the human affections, tems, and would endeavour to surprise a it flows from a heart melting to goodness good man, who cannot bear to see any one from the most refined humanity. Can a leave him dissatisfied, into unguarded man, who is described as unfeeling, and promises. Lord Granby's attention to his void of reflection, be constantly employed own family and relations is called selfish. in seeking proper objects on whom to ex- Had he not attended to them, when fair ercise those glorious virtues of compassion and just opportunities presented themselves. and generosity? The distressed officer, the I should have thought him unfeeling and soldier, the widow, the orphan, and a long void of reflection indeed. How are any list besides, know that vanity has no share man's friends or relations to be provided in his frequent donations; he gives, be- for, but from the influence and protection cause he feels their distresses. Nor has he of the patron? It is unfair to suppose that ever been rapacious with one hand to be lord Granby's friends have not as much bountiful with the other; yet this uncandid merit as the friends of any other great man: JUNIUS would insinuate, that the dignity If he is generous at the public expense, as of the commander-in-chief is depraved JUNIUS invidiously calls it, the public is at into the base office of a commission broker; no more expense for his Lordship's friends, that is, lord Granby bargains for the sale of than it would be if any other set of men commissions; for it must have this mean- possessed those offices. The charge is

The last charge against lord Granby is of ship with such mean practices? Why does a most serious and alarming nature indeed. not JUNIUS produce him? JUNIUS knows JUNIUS asserts, that the army is mouldering that he has no other means of wounding away for want of the direction of a man of this hero, than from some missile weapon, common abilities and spirit. The present shot from an obscure corner: He seeks, condition of the army gives the directest lie to his assertions. It was never upon a more respectable footing with regard to discipline, and all the essentials that can to raise suspicion in the minds of the people. form good soldiers. Lord Ligonier de-But I hope that my countrymen will be livered a firm and noble palladium of our no longer imposed upon by artful and de- safeties into lord Granby's hands, who has signing men, or by wretches, who, bank- kept it in the same good order in which he rupts in business, in fame, and in fortune, received it. The strictest care has been mean nothing more than to involve this taken to fill up the vacant commissions. country in the same common ruin with with such gentlemen as have the glory of themselves. Hence it is, that they are con-their ancestors to support, as well as their stantly aiming their dark, and too often own, and are doubly bound to the cause of fatal, weapons against those who stand their king and country, from motives of forth as the bulwark of our national safety. private property, as well as public spirit. Lord Granby was too conspicuous a mark The adjutant-general, who has the immenot to be their object. He is next attacked diate care of the troops after lord Granby, for being unfaithful to his promises and en- is an officer who would do great honour to

Harvey .- EDIT.

any service in Europe, for his correct honour to the goodness of your heart. You assertions without proof, declamation with- decorum, you have heaped upon me rather out argument, and violent censures without too liberally, I place them to the account dignity or moderation.'

LETTER III.

THE BATH.

SIR. 7 February, 1769.

As a correspondent of Junius in this and several other letters, the following short notice of sir William Draper cannot be unacceptable to the reader. We take it by Mr Chalmers's permission from his Appendix to the Supplemental Apology for the Believers in the supposititious

Shakespeare papers, p. 8o.
Sir William, as a scholar, had been bred at Eton, and King's college, Cambridge; but he chose the sword for his profession. In India, he ranked with those famous warriors, Clive and Laurence. In 1761, he acted at Bellisle, as a Brigadier. In 1763, he commanded the troops who conquered Manilla, which place was saved from plunder, by the promise of a ransom, that was never paid. His first appearance, as an able writer, was in his clear refutation of the objections of the Spanish court. His services were rewarded with the command of the sixwere rewarded with the command of the six of the color of when he had the misfortune to lose his wife, who

arrangements, good sense and discernment feel, as you ought to do, for the reputation of upon all occasions, and for a punctuality your friend, and you express yourself in the and precision which give the most entire warmest language of the passions. In any satisfaction to all who are obliged to con- other cause, I doubt not, you would have sult him. The reviewing generals, who cautiously weighed the consequences of inspect the army twice a year, have been committing your name to the licentious selected with the greatest care, and have discourses and malignant opinions of the answered the important trust reposed in world. But here, I presume, you thought them in the most laudable manner. Their it would be a breach of friendship to lose reports of the condition of the army are one moment in consulting your understandmuch more to be credited than those of ing; as if an appeal to the public were no JUNIUS, whom I do advise to atone for his more than a military coup de main, where a shameful aspersions, by asking pardon of brave man has no rules to follow, but the lord Granby, and the whole kingdom, dictates of his courage. Touched with whom he has offended by his abominable your generosity, I freely forgive the excesses scandals. In short, to turn Junius's own into which it has led you; and, far from battery against him, I must assert, in his resenting those terms of reproach, which, own words, 'that he has given strong considering that you are an advocate for of an honest unreflecting indignation, in WILLIAM DRAPER.1 which your cooler judgment and natural politeness had no concern. I approve of the spirit with which you have given your name to the public; and, if it were a proof TO SIR WILLIAM DRAPER, KNIGHT OF of any thing but spirit, I should have thought myself bound to follow your example. I should have hoped that even my THE defence of lord Granby does name might carry some authority with it,2

> foiled, he was, no doubt, mortified. And he set out, in October of that year, to make the tour of the Northern Colonies, which had now become objects of notice, and scenes of travel. He arrived at Charlestown, South Carolina, in January, 1770; and travelling northward, he arrived, during the summer of that year, in Maryland; where he was received with that hospitality which she always paid to strangers, and with the attentions, that were due to the merit of such a

> 'From Maryland, sir William passed on to New York, where he married Miss De Lancy, a lady of great connexions there, and agreeable endowments, who died in 1778; leaving him a daughter. In 1779, he was appointed fieutenant-governor of Minorca; a trust, which, however discharged, ended unhappily. He died at Bath, on the 8th of January, 1787.—Eorr.
>
> 2 This expression will receive some farther

Miscellaneous Letter, No. LIV., as also from other views of his sentiments and conduct as died on the 1st of September, 1760. As he was casually evinced in the Private Letters .- EDIT.

William Draper.

writers, such as I am, are the real cause of at least as much as you are equal to. all the public evils we complain of. And Without disputing lord Granby's courage. colonies have been alienated, if Corsica 1 to wear. has been shamefully abandoned, if com- You say, he has acquired nothing but the present ministry) to take a single right served them. step for the honour or interest of the nation.

1 Corsica, in modern times, was first subjugated by the Genoese, who made use of so much insolence and oppression, as to induce the natives to throw off the yoke, and endeavour to recover their independence. The contest was long and severe, and the Corsicans were reduced to beggary in the generous struggle. Nieuhoff and Paoli chiefly figured as leaders of the Corsicans, the first of whom was actually elected king, but could not maintain his throne against the invaders. The Corsicans applied to many foreign courts for assistance, and among the rest to Great Britain; and lord Shelburne (afterwards marquis of Lansdown) was one of the warmest supporters of their cause, and most desirous, when in administration, to engage in it. But his colleagues opposed him, and the cause of Corsica contragues opposed min, and the cutsers of London was abandoned, though the cutsens of London contributed largely to its support. Yet the Genoese could not totally subdue it; and in consequence they sold it to France to be subdued by the French arms: and the tyranny which was at first exercised over it by the Genoese, it was now doomed to suffer from the French. Reader, with the soldiers and sailors under their commark the result \(\subseteq \text{Corsica} \) is at this moment reaping an ample revenge: for through the mewithout redress,—Edit.

if I had not seen how very little weight or insinuated to you, that it would be prudent consideration a printed paper receives even to leave the characters of Grafton, North. from the respectable signature of sir Hillsborough, Weymouth, and Mansfield. to shift for themselves; and truly, sir You begin with a general assertion, that William, the part you have undertaken is

do you really think, sir William, that the we are yet to learn in what articles of mililicentious pen of a political writer is able tary knowledge nature has been so very to produce such important effects? A little liberal to his mind. If you have served calm reflection might have shown you, that with him, you ought to have pointed out national calamities do not arise from the some instances of able disposition and description, but from the real character and well-concerted enterprise, which might conduct of ministers. To have supported fairly be attributed to his capacity as a your assertion, you should have proved that general. It is you, sir William, who make the present ministry are unquestionably the your friend appear aukward and ridiculous, best and brightest characters of the king- by giving him a laced suit of tawdry qualifidom; and that, if the affections of the cations, which nature never intended him

merce languishes, if public credit is threat- honour in the field. Is the Ordnance noened with a new debt, and your own Ma- thing? Are the Blues nothing? Is the nilla ransom most dishonourably given up,2 command of the army, with all the patronit has all been owing to the malice of po- age annexed to it, nothing? Where he got litical writers, who will not suffer the best these nothings I know not; but you at and brightest of characters (meaning still least ought to have told us where he de-

As to his bounty, compassion, &c., it But it seems you were a little tender of would have been but little to the purpose. coming to particulars. Your conscience though you had proved all that you have

> dium of Bonaparte she is now loading both France and Genoa with as severe a tyranny as

herself ever submitted to from either.—Edit.

In the preceding war with Spain, sir William (then col. Draper) had commanded an expedition against the Spanish settlements in the Philippine Isles It succeeded completely; and the capital of Manilla was taken by assault. Yet the gen-erous conquerors, instead of plundering the city, consented to accept for the value of the spoil. bills drawn upon the Spanish government ade-quate to its supposed amount. These bills the quate to its supposed amount. These bills the Spanish government undertook to pay, but dis-honourably forfeited its word on their becoming honourably fortetted us word on unca occording due. Sir William Draper, on his return from India, repeatedly pressed the English minister to interpose upon the subject, on behalf of his self and his fellow-soldiers. The English minister however did not interpose: Draper was personally rewarded by an election into the order of the Bath, in conjunction with certain pecuniary emoluments referred to in this correspondence; while his colleague, admiral Cornish, together with the soldiers and sailors under their comasserted. I meddle with nothing but his of your appearance, I could wish that, in Wilkes?

public expense. I did not urge the last as adjutant-general cannot correct.2 an absolute vice in his disposition, but to could have described him so well.

am sorry to tell you, sir William, that, in this article, your first fact is false; and as there is nothing more painful to me than to give a direct contradiction to a gentleman

character as commander-in-chief; and your future publications, you would pay a though I acquit him of the baseness of greater attention to the truth of your preselling commissions, I still assert that his mises, before you suffer your genius to military cares have never extended beyond hurry you to a conclusion. Lord Ligonier the disposal of vacancies; and I am justi- did not deliver the army (which you, in fied by the complaints of the whole army, classical language, are pleased to call a when I say that, in this distribution, he palladium) into lord Granby's hands. It consults nothing but parliamentary inte- was taken from him much against his inrests, or the gratification of his immediate clination, some two or three years before dependants. As to his servile submission lord Granby was commander-in-chief. As to the reigning ministry, let me ask, whe- to the state of the army, I should be glad ther he did not desert the cause of the to know where you have received your inwhole army, when he suffered sir Jeffery telligence. Was it in the rooms at Bath, Amherst to be sacrificed, and what share or at your retreat at Clifton? The reports he had in recalling that officer to the serv- of reviewing generals comprehend only a ice? Did he not betray the just interests few regiments in England, which, as they of the army, in permitting lord Percy to are immediately under the royal inspection. have a regiment? And does he not at this are perhaps in some tolerable order. But moment give up all character and dignity do you know any thing of the troops in the as a gentleman, in receding from his own West Indies, the Mediterranean, and North repeated declarations in favour of Mr America, to say nothing of a whole army absolutely ruined in Ireland? Inquire a In the two next articles I think we are little into facts, sir William, before you agreed. You candidly admit, that he often publish your next panegyric upon lord makes such promises as it is a virtue in Granby, and believe me, you will find there him to violate, and that no man is more is a fault at head-quarters, which even the assiduous to provide for his relations at the acknowledged care and abilities of the

Permit me now, sir William, to address prove that a careless disinterested spirit is myself personally to you, by way of thanks no part of his character; and as to the for the honour of your correspondence. other. I desire it may be remembered, that You are by no means undeserving of notice: I never descended to the indecency of en- and it may be of consequence even to lord quiring into his convivial hours. It is you, Granby to have it determined, whether or sir William Draper, who have taken pains no the man who has praised him so lavishly. to represent your friend in the character of be himself deserving of praise. When you a drunken landlord, who deals out his returned to Europe, you zealously underpromises as liberally as his liquor, and will took the cause of that gallant army, by suffer no man to leave his table either 50r- whose bravery at Manilla your own fortune rowful or sober. None but an intimate had been established. You complained, friend, who must frequently have seen him you threatened, you even appealed to the in these unhappy, disgraceful moments, public in print. By what accident did it happen, that in the midst of all this bustle, The last charge, of the neglect of the and all these clamours for justice to your army, is indeed the most material of all. I injured troops, the name of the Manilla

¹ See upon this subject our author's Miscellaneous Letters subscribed Lucius, and particularly that of Atticus, Letter LI.—EDIT.

Adjutant-general Harvey.—EDIT.

ransom was suddenly buried in a profound, tage by the help of his mask; it is an excelpreferment.

IUNIUS.

LETTER IV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 17 February, 1769. I RECEIVED JUNIUS'S favour last night; he is determined to keep his advan-

Whether such a conclusion were forced or natural from sir William's description of his friend, Junius, it seems, was not the only person who deduced it, if we may judge from a dispute the Knight of the Bath was involved in upon this very subject, with two other invisible correspondents, of whom the one signed himself Neocles, and the other the Ghost, and who wrote in the same newspaper (The Public Advertiser). To the first correspondent, sir William replies as follows.

SIR, Clifton, Feb. 13, 1769.

I must beg the favour of Neocles not to believe that I have described my friend to be frequently in a state of ebriety. Had I done so, I might indeed be justly accused of being insufficient to support his cause.

If Neocles is an officer, or a man of business, he must know that a commander-in-chief, or a

and, since that time, an uninterrupted lent protection, it has saved many a man silence? Did the ministry suggest any from an untimely end. But whenever he motives to you strong enough to tempt a will be honest enough to lay it aside, ayow man of honour to desert and betray the himself, and produce the face which has so cause of his fellow-soldiers? Was it that long lurked behind it, the world will be blushing ribband, which is now the per- able to judge of his motives for writing petual ornament of your person? Or was such infamous invectives. His real name it that regiment, which you afterwards (a will discover his freedom and independency. thing unprecedented among soldiers) sold or his servility to a faction. Disappointed to colonel Gisborne? Or was it that govern- ambition, resentment for defeated hopes. ment, the full pay of which you are con- and desire of revenge, assume but too often tented to hold, with the half-pay of an the appearance of public spirit; but be his Irish colonel? And do you now, after a designs wicked or charitable, JUNIUS should retreat not very like that of Scipio, presume learn that it is possible to condemn meato intrude yourself, unthought-of, uncalled- sures, without a barbarous and criminal for, upon the patience of the public? Are outrage against men. JUNIUS delights to your flatteries of the commander-in-chief mangle carcases with a hatchet; his landirected to another regiment, which you guage and instrument have a great conmay again dispose of on the same honour- nection with Clare-market, and, to do him able terms? We know your prudence, sir justice, he handles his weapon most ad-William, and I should be sorry to stop your mirably. One would imagine he had been taught to throw it by the savages of America. It is therefore high time for me to step in once more to shield my friend from this merciless weapon, although I may be wounded in the attempt. But I must first ask Junius, by what forced analogy and construction the moments of convivial mirth are made to signify indecency, a violation of engagements, a drunken landlord. and a desire that every one in company should be drunk likewise? 1 He must have

> tions, cannot trust their memories with the whole of them: minutes and memorandums are necessary: when business is over, these are left with their secretaries, or in their bureaus. Should therefore any insidious man, either at dinner, or after dinner, importune a great person to give him some preferment, which, from the want of these minutes, he might not then recollect to be engaged, and thus obtain a promise of it; yet, if it should appear from the inspection of these memorandums afterwards, that such preferment was pre-engaged, I must again repeat, that in such a case it would be a virtue to break the unguarded promise made at dinner, or in convivial mirth, and to adhere to the first engagement. These things have happened, do happen, and may happen again, to the most temperate men living.

m

Neocles' most humble servant,

W. D.

The fact is, that lord Granby, and his friend minister of state, from a multiplicity of applica- sir William, appear to have been both jolly comculled all the flowers of St Giles's and Bil- oratory. Here the hatchet descends with

lingsgate to have produced such a piece of tenfold vengeance; but, alas! it hurts no

panions. Mr Campbell says of the latter-that his favourite wine was Burgundy-the bewitching smiles of which had an irresistible influence on his heart.—Life of Boyd, p. 186. Junius seems to have appealed to a known fact, as well as to an unguarded expression of the pen. Sir William's answer to the Ghost occurs in the same Newspaper, Mar. 2, 1760.

SIR, Clifton, Feb. 24. 'Sir W. D. presents his compliments to the Ghost, and hopes, that when he shall please to revisit us, the cock may not crow too suddenly, and warn him hence, before he has sufficiently considered what sir W. says with regard to anonymous writers. They are not condemned by him merely for being anonymous, but as they are defamatory and wicked, as they act as incendiaries, as they privily shoot at those who are true
of heart, and as they basely stab in the dark.
When they are thus guilty, they are worthy of the severest censures. A very fine writer, Mr Addison, has not stuck to rank them with murall such writers would read the paper upon this subject, No. 451, Vol. VI. Sir W. hopes likewise, that the Ghost will not believe that flattery, or gladiatorial vanity, or any desire of the golden

cup, or its contents, called him forth.

He stood forth upon a principle that no honest man should be ashamed of, upon the principle of Horace, who nobly and truly said,

-Amicum

Oui non defendit, alio culpante-Hic nigerest : more especially when that friend is most unjustly attacked. He thinks that a real signature is better than a fictitious one, as the knowledge of the man is the surest guide to form a judgment of his motives for writing. He has indeed the vanity to think that no man living writes from more disinterested motives than himself, having studiously quitted what is called the great world and all its pursuits. But he is not so totally lost to the sense of worldly knowledge, as not to foresee that the many distractions of this poor afflicted country must end in its ruin, if some salutary means are not speedily taken to prevent This kingdom abounds with great men, capable of advising and of acting in the most efficacious manner for the public good; but unanimity must be the basis. If they can be prevailed upon to forgive, to forget, to unite, sincerely, there is no occasion to despair of the commonwealth. Sir W. cannot subscribe to the Ghost's opinion, that the vox populi is the vox Dei. It would be too irreverent, it would vainly attempt to convert the immutable Deity into a most changeable and capricious being; nor would he take even the Ghost's word, or that of the greatest lawyer in the kingdom, should he affirm it. The voice of the people was heard loudly and strongly in favour of our great minister, Mr Pitt. In this one instance it was just; but was

it formerly less strong, less loud, in the favour of Titus Oates, the most abandoned of men? The voice of the people, and the voice of truth, are not always together: the latter must descend from above, the former but too often arises from below. In plain English, it generally comes out of the barrel and the cellar, as some honest bottlemen know full well."

In the following letter, inserted in the Public Advertiser about the same time, lord Granby appears to have found a fuller, if not an abler, advocate than even his friend sir William. has various claims for an introduction in the present place; but chiefly, because Junius himself, in a postscript to Letter V. (inserted in the copy that appeared in the Public Advertiser, but omitted in his own edition) notices it with a view of answering it; although from a second resolution, not to reply under this signature to anonymous addresses, he never fulfilled his intention. The postscript is as follows:

'I had determined to leave the commander-inchief in the quiet enjoyment of his friend and his bottle; but Titus deserves an answer, and shall have a complete one.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, Long and impatiently have I waited to see justice done to a much-injured character. From the goodness of the cause, my expectations were great, but I have been cruelly disap-pointed. To enter the lists against such an able antagonist upon equal terms, would be the height of presumption; but truth, plainly and simply told, I doubt not, will show itself superior to falsehood, though dressed in the most beautiful language of the elegant JUNIUS.

Unprejudiced by party, unbiassed by faction, it grieves me exceedingly, that a spirit of licentiousness should be able so far to influence some of the greatest geniuses of this nation. Is it possible to see without concern some of the most respectable names, and the most unexceptionable characters, so undeservedly attacked, and detraction conveyed in the most persuasive language, from the masterly pen of an accomplished writer? When every man of superior talents ought to exert himself to the utmost to support the dignity of government, how unfortunate is it that the greatest abilities are made subservient to a factious spirit, totally subversive of all the principles of social happiness! But the times are become so unpardonably licentious, that the greater the name, the higher the rank, the more dignified the character, and the more exalted the station, the more they become the objects of envy, while the envenomed darts of illiberal abuse are pointed by the sons of sedition from every quarter, with unparalleled malice and un-relenting fury.

Subordination and subjection is the province

one but its master! For JUNIUS must not seem too foul even for his own.

of some; superiority and command undoubtedly belongs to the station of others. Obedience to the laws, respect for the magistrate, and duty to superiors, are essentially necessary in every wellgoverned state Every attempt then to make the laws, or the magistrate, be less respected, and every endeavour to break the chain of subordination, so necessary in civil society, tends to the destruction of government, and to the introtion of anarchy and confusion.

That factious, turbulent, licentious minds should make this their constant employment, is not to be wondered at; but for people of sense, judgment, and abilities, to make it their study, is Judgitelit, and contines, to make it the a study, is amazing indeed!—What end can it answer? What purpose can it serve? If our superiors should do any thing contrary to the laws, or pre-judicial to the interests of this country; if they should use any means to encroach upon our liberties, to deprive us of our privileges, or to subvert that happy form of government which we now enjoy; surely there are other means of redress left, besides calumniating magis-trates, judges, generals, and ministers. Though party prejudice, and the influence of passion, may carry even men of sense to extraordinary lengths sometimes, yet I am convinced that a cool dispassionate moment's reflection, will point out more constitutional remedies for all our misfortunes, than a factious appeal to a giddy, unthinking, uninformed mob. and very little demonstration will be necessary to make it evident, that the unbounded abuse of dignities, tends to make the bulk of the people trample on all law, despise subordination, and destroy that government from which they claim protection.

JUNIUS is possessed of superior abilities; he nas a flow of fine language at his command, his composition is masterly, his style elegant, and the arrangement of his words is beautiful and harmonious. What excellent purposes might these talents serve, were they employed for the service of his country! What a pity they should be prostituted to depreciate government, and made subservient to such unphilosophic passions! un-worthy of the mar—unworthy of the pen of the accomplished Junius !- Not one of the king's servants escapes him; but (for what cause heaven knows) his most pointed shafts have been directed against the commander-in-chief, who is, perhaps, the most unexceptionable character in the present administration. I was in hopes sir W. Draper would have continued a defence so worthy of his abilities; but I imagine he is so busy at present about building his temple to Concord, and perhaps so taken up with his new friend, Mr Wilkes, that he has forgot the correspondence he gave rise to, where lord Granby is attacked in a most unpardonable manner, where he has been insulted as a soldier, despised as a general, his generosity laughed at, and even his private hours of social relaxation have been most un-

My friend's political engagements I know think to put words into my mouth, that not, so cannot pretend to explain them, or assert their consistency. I know not

> generously held up as an object of ridicule to the public eye.—For shame, Junius —this was not well done.—Whatever censure may be due to a man's public character, it is unmanly-it is cruel -it is unjust, to bring the secrets of social anusement, and the unguarded hour of convivial enjoyment, to be held out as an object of censure to the unfriendly world!—Have you a spark of generosity left, Junius! and can you

read this without a blush?

My lord Granby's character, as a man, as a soldier, and even as a general, will stand the test. The honesty of his heart, the integrity of his intentions, his intrepidity as a soldier, and his conduct as an officer, are unimpeached. It is true, his talents as commander-in-chief have never been tried in the field; but if we may be allowed to judge from the whole of his conduct during the late war in Germany, where the execution of many important enterprises were entrusted to him by one of the greatest generals, and one of the best judges of military merit, in Europe, we may form great expectations, with the highest probability of not being disappointed.—He knows how to obey; he knows that a good soldier never disputes the commands of his superior. He always discharged his duty to the satisfaction of duke Ferdinand, whose approbation, thanks, and acknowledgments he repeatedly obtained. Wherever he was employed, he gained honour to himself-he was beloved and esteemed by the army under his command-he was honoured and respected by the enemy—dear to the victors! generous to the vanquished! You know, JUNIUS, that he feared not to lead on the cavalry at Minden. He gained glory and honour at Warburg. It was the corps under his command who fought and gained the battle of Phillinghausen. He was principally concerned, and acted as became the soldier and general, at Wilhelmstahl. And towards the end of the war, when the army was so situated, that if a rising ground on the left had been taken possession of by the French, it might have been attended with the worst consequences; and when the generals des-tined to lead a corps to occupy it, declared the service impracticable, my lord Granby arose from a sick-bed, in the middle of the night assumed the command of the corps, marched, with a fever upon him, in an inclement season, took possession of the post, and secured the army.—This did the soldier

Is it necessary to ask where my Lord deserved every thing he has got after this? These are but few instances, among many others, where his Lordship acquired unfading laurels. But after all, what are the posts—what are the employments of trust and profit which he has centered in himself and family, since he became com-mander-in-chief? He is at the head of the army without pay; one of the name of Manners has been promoted from half-pay to a troop, and

whether JUNIUS be considerable enough to army too well, not to wish that such influto that I shall return.

JUNIUS repeats the complaints of the army undone. Let me ask JUNIUS, if he knows against parliamentary influence. I love the

another he has appointed his aide-de-camp : and those of his friends who have been distinguished by royal favour, are so eminent in their profesby royal tayour, are so emment in their profession, that hitherto the tongue of malice has not dared to move against them. His own employments are marks of royal favour and confidence, the consequence of long and faithful services.—
These were not acquired by factious conduct, or licentious scribbling: no, Sir, he, like every man of honour, would disdan to be distinguished by such inelarities means. such inglerious means.

Well do you know, Junius, that it would have been in vain for my lord Granby to have opposed

belong to any party; if he should be so, ence were less. Let JUNIUS point out the can he affirm that he has always adhered time when it has not prevailed. It was of to one set of men and measures? Is he, the least force in the time of that great sure that he has never sided with those man, the late duke of Cumberland, who, whom he was first hired to abuse? Has he as a prince of the blood, was able as well never abused those he was hired to praise? as willing to stem a torrent which would To say the truth, most men's politics sit have overborne any private subject. In much too loosely about them. But as my time of war this influence is small. In friend's military character was the chief peace, when discontent and faction have object that engaged me in this controversy, the surest means to operate, especially in this country, and when, from a scarcity of JUNIUS asks what instances my friend public spirit, the wheels of government are has given of his military skill and capacity rarely moved but by the power and force as a general? When and where he gained of obligations, its weight is always too great. his honour? When he deserved his emolu- Yet, if this influence at present has done no ments? The united voice of the army greater harm than the placing earl Percy at which served under him, the glorious testi- the head of a regiment, I do not think that mony of prince Ferdinand, and of van- either the rights or best interests of the army quished enemies, all Germany will tell him. are sacrificed and betrayed, or the nation

> mony reigned through the whole army, disputes had no existence, and officers of different nations emulated the social virtues of the British chief. By such means he gained the hearts of all the army; they followed him with confidence, and fought under him from attachment. No danger was too much-no attempt too daring, under his command Whatever Junius may think (though he may, for aught I know, be perfectly unacquainted with them himself) the gaining the affections of the soldiers will always be esteemed no mean qualification in a general.
>
> My lord Granby has his foibles and weaknesses,

no doubt of it; so has every man. Is there one

commander. It is equally ungenerous and unjust therefore, to say that he has betrayed the interests of the army. It is well known, that the ests or the army. It is well known, that the cannot imitate general condition of the army is better, much the gentleman better, at present than it has been for many You will for years, even in America, and the garrisons abroad : your information therefore is ill founded in this point; but the truth is, Junus is no friend to lord Granby, and is willing to believe, and ready to propagate, every infamous report to his disadvantage

My lord Granby's generosity, Sir, knows no bounds; but it is directed to much nobler objects than you would endeavour to insinuate. Often have I seen his generous hand stretched out to supply the wants of the needy soldier, nor did the meanest follower of the camp go hungry from his door. His house was open equally to British and foreigners: his table was hospitality itself, and his generous, open countenance gave a hearty welcome to all his guests. Hence har-

powerful for a multary language, while you conceal, slightly pass of or endeavour to ridicule those shining parts of his character, those eminent virtues which you cannot imitate, it is ungenerous, and very unlike

> You will forgive me, I hope, Mr Printer, for troubling you with an epistle of such an amazing length; but I hope you will think with me that the subject required it. I acknowledge myself very unequal to speak of my Lord as he deserves; but I have attempted this much, from an opinion, that when such an unexceptionable character is attacked, the defence becomes a public concern. It matters not, whether the malicious dart be pointed from the closet courage of a disgraced soldier, the oratorical powers of a disappointed dependant politician, or from the mad ravings of a lunatic adventurer; the sensible, unprejudiced part of mankind will see their infamous motives, and they will alike despise the illiberal producand they will alike despite tion and the ungenerous author.
>
> TITUS.—Edit.

had a regiment by seniority? I feel myself sible bill of naturalization. happy in seeing young noblemen of illus- I must now take the liberty to talk to wished for. But the main point is still to capacity, to believe it.

any one nobleman in the army, who has repaired by a long peace, or by some sen-

trious name and great property come Junius on my own account. He is pleased among us. They are an additional se- to tell me that he addresses himself to me curity to the kingdom from foreign or personally. I shall be glad to see him. It domestic slavery. Junius needs not be is his impersonality that I complain of and told, that should the time ever come, when his invisible attacks; for his dagger in the this nation is to be defended only by those, air is only to be regarded, because one canwho have nothing more to lose than their not see the hand which holds it: but had arms and their pay, its danger will be great he not wounded other people more deeply indeed. A happy mixture of men of quality than myself. I should not have obtruded with soldiers of fortune is always to be myself at all on the patience of the public.

Mark how a plain tale shall put him be contended for. I mean the discipline and down, and transfuse the blush of my ribcondition of the army, and I still must band into his own cheeks. JUNIUS tells maintain, though contradicted by Junius, me, that, at my return, I zealously underthat it was never upon a more respectable took the cause of the gallant army, by footing, as to all the essentials that can whose bravery at Manilla my own fortunes form good soldiers, than it is at present, were established; that I complained that TUNIUS is forced to allow that our army at I even appealed to the public. I did so: home may be in some tolerable order; yet I glory in having done so, as I had an unhow kindly does he invite our late enemies doubted right to vindicate my own characto the invasion of Ireland, by assuring them ter, attacked by a Spanish memorial, and that the army in that kingdom is totally to assert the rights of my brave companions. ruined! (The colonels of that army are I glory likewise that I have never taken up much obliged to him.) I have too great my pen, but to vindicate the injured. Juan opinion of the military talents of the NIUS asks by what accident did it happen. lord-lieutenant, and of their diligence and that in the midst of all this bustle, and all If from some these clamours for justice to the injured strange, unaccountable fatality, the people troops, the Manilla ransom was suddenly of that kingdom cannot be induced to con- buried in a profound, and, since that time, sult their own security, by such an effectual an uninterrupted silence? I will explain augmentation, as may enable the troops the cause to the public. The several minthere to act with power and energy, is the listers who have been employed since that commander-in-chief here to blame? Or time have been very desirous to do us jusis he to blame, because the troops in the tice from two most laudable motives, a Mediterranean, in the West Indies, in strong inclination to assist injured bravery, America, labour under great difficulties from and to acquire a well, deserved popularity to the scarcity of men, which is but too visible themselves. Their efforts have been in vain. all over these kingdoms! Many of our Some were ingenuous enough to own, that forces are in climates unfavourable to British they could not think of involving this disconstitutions: their loss is in proportion. tressed nation into another war for our pri-Britain must recruit all these regiments vate concerns. In short, our rights for the from her own emaciated bosom, or, more present are sacrificed to national conveniprecariously, by Catholics from Ireland. ence; and I must confess, that although I We are likewise subject to the fatal drains may lose five-and-twenty thousand pounds to the East Indies, to Senegal, and the by their acquiescence to this breach of faith alarming emigrations of our people to other in the Spaniards, I think they are in the countries: Such depopulation can only be right to temporize, considering the critical

situation of this country, convulsed in every tives for retiring afterwards are foreign to

and by my half-pay as an Irish colonel.

ed those assurances many months before he has insinuated with respect to myself. the Spaniards had protested the ransom bills. To accommodate lord Clive, then going upon a most important service to Bengal, I waved my claim to the vacancy which then happened. As there was no other vacancy until the duke of Grafton and lord Rockingham were joint ministers, I was then honoured with the order, and it is surely no small honour to me, that in such a succession of ministers, they were all pleased to think that I had deserved it; in my favour they were all united. Upon the reduction of the 70th regiment, which had served so gloriously in the East Indies, his Majesty, unsolicited by me, gave me the 16th of foot as an equivalent. My mo-

Yarmouth.-EDIT.

part by poison infused by anonymous, the purpose; let it suffice, that his Majesty wicked, and incendiary writers. Lord was pleased to approve of them; they are Shelburne will do me the justice to own, such as no man can think indecent, who that, in September last, I waited upon him knows the shocks that repeated vicissitudes with a joint memorial from the admiral sir of heat and cold, of dangerous and sickly S. Cornish and myself, in behalf of our in-climates, will give to the best constitutions jured companions. His Lordship was as in a pretty long course of service. I refrank upon the occasion as other secretaries signed my regiment to colonel Gisborne, a had been before him. He did not deceive very good officer, for his half-pay, and us by giving any immediate hopes of relief. £200 Irish annuity; 2 so that, according to JUNIUS would basely insinuate, that my JUNIUS, I have been bribed to say nothing silence may have been purchased by my more of the Manilla ransom, and sacrifice government, by my blushing ribband, by those brave men by the strange avarice of my regiment, by the sale of that regiment, accepting three hundred and eighty pounds per annum, and giving up eight hundred! His Majesty was pleased to give me my If this be bribery, it is not the bribery of government,1 for my services at Madras, these times. As to my flattery, those who I had my first regiment in 1757. Upon know me will judge of it. By the asperity my return from Manilla, his Majesty, by of Junius's style, I cannot indeed call him lord Egremont, informed me, that I should a flatterer, unless it be as a cynic or a mashave the first vacant red ribband, as a re-tiff; if he wags his tail, he will still growl, ward for my services in an enterprise, which and long to bite. The public will now I had planned as well as executed. The judge of the credit that ought to be given duke of Bedford and Mr Grenville confirm- to JUNIUS'S writings, from the falsities that

WILLIAM DRAPER.

LETTER V.

TO SIR WILLIAM DRAPER, KNIGHT OF THE BATH.

SIR. 21 February, 1769.

I SHOULD justly be suspected of acting upon motives of more than common enmity to lord Granby, if I continued to give you fresh materials or occasion for writing in his defence. Individuals who hate, and the public who despise him, have read your letters, sir William, with infinitely more satisfaction than mine. Unfortunately for him, his reputation, like

I BEG the favour of you to correct the following error in my answer to Junius. Instead of £ 1200 please to put, 'and £200 Irish annuity.'

I am, Sir, Yours, &c. W. DRAPER. Edi EDIT. Feb. 19. G 2

² The letter, as it appeared in the Public Advertiser, stated, by mistake, 'twelve hundred pounds Irish annuity!' and the error has been hitherto propagated through every edition of JUNIUS'S Letters, without a single exception. In a note addressed to the printer, however, and published in the same newspaper, Feb. 22, 1769, the mistake is announced, and corrected as fol-

that unhappy country to which you refer understand, permit me to explain to the tion.

With respect to lord Percy, it means no-imitation. thing, for he was already in the army. He friendless officer. - The other concerns vourself. After selling the companions of your victory in one instance, and after sellauthority do you presume to call yourself a soldier? The plain evidence of facts is but for your own inward peace of mind. superior to all declarations. Before you were appointed to the 16th regiment, your complaints were a distress to government; -from that moment you were silent. The conclusion is inevitable. You insinuate to us that your ill state of health obliged you to guit the service. The retirement necessary to repair a broken constitution would have been as good a reason for not accepting, as for resigning the command of a regiment. There is certainly an error of the press, or an affected obscurity in that paragraph, where you speak of your bargain with colonel Gisborne. Instead of attempting to answer what I really do not

me for his last military achievements, has public what I really know. In exchange suffered more by his friends than his ene- for your regiment, you accepted of a colmies. In mercy to him, let us drop the onel's half-pay (at least £220 a year) subject. For my own part, I willingly and an annuity of £200 for your own and leave it to the public to determine whether lady Draper's life jointly. --- And is this your vindication of your friend has been as the losing bargain, which you would able and judicious, as it was certainly well represent to us, as if you had given up an intended; and you. I think, may be satis- income of £800 a year for £380? Was it fied with the warm acknowledgments he decent, was it honourable, in a man who already owes you, for making him the prin- pretends to love the army, and calls himself cipal figure in a piece, in which, but for a soldier, to make a traffic of the royal your amicable assistance, he might have favour, and turn the highest honour of an passed without particular notice or distinc- active profession into a sordid provision for himself and his family? It were unworthy In justice to your friends, let your future of me to press you faither. The contempt labours be confined to the care of your own with which the whole army heard of the reputation. Your declaration, that you manner of your retreat, assures me that as are happy in seeing young noblemen come your conduct was not justified by precedent, among us, is liable to two objections, it will never be thought an example for

The last and most important question rewas aid-de-camp to the king, and had the mains. When you receive your half-pay, rank of colonel. A regiment therefore do you, or do you not, take a solemn oath, could not make him a more military man, or sign a declaration upon honour, to the though it made him richer, and probably following effect? That you do not actually at the expense of some brave, deserving, hold any place of profit, civil or military. under his Majesty. The charge which this question plainly conveys against you, is of so shocking a complexion, that I sincerely ing your profession in the other, by what wish you may be able to answer it well, not merely for the colour of your reputation, IUNIUS

LETTER VI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, 27 February, 1769.

I HAVE a very short answer for JUNIUS'S important question: I do not either take an oath, or declare upon honour, that I have no place of profit, civil or military, when I receive the half-pay as an Irish colonel. My most gracious sovereign gives it me as a pension; he was pleased to think I deserved it. The annuity of Esee the error corrected in the editor's note \$200 Irish, and the equivalent for the halfpay together, produce no more than £380

to the preceding Letter.-EDIT.

per annum, clear of fees and perquisites of inspiration. I will not contend with you in me.

of the most virtuous man in the kingdom; I could take the decalogue, and say to one man, Did you never steal? To the next. Did you never commit murder? And to JUNIUS himself, who is putting my life and conduct to the rack, Did you never bear false witness against thy neighbour? JUNIUS must easily see, that unless he affirms the contrary in his real name, some people who may be as ignorant of him as I am, will be apt to suspect him of having deviated a little from the truth: therefore let IUNIUS ask no more questions. You bite against a file : cease, viper.

W.D.

LETTER VII.

TO SIR WILLIAM DRAPER, KNIGHT OF THE BATH.

SIR. . 3 March, 1769.

An academical education has hatchets, racks, and vipers dance through your letters in all the mazes of metaphorical confusion. These are the gloomy companions of a disturbed imagination; the am not your enemy, nor did I begin this melancholy madness of poetry, without the contest with you 2) that you have been able

office. I receive £167 from my government point of composition. You are a scholar, of Yarmouth. Total £547 per annum. sir William, and, if I am truly informed, My conscience is much at ease in these you write Latin with almost as much purity particulars; my friends need not blush for as English. Suffer me then, for I am a plain unlettered man, to continue that style JUNIUS makes much and frequent use of of interrogation, which suits my capacity, interrogations: they are arms that may be and to which, considering the readiness of easily turned against himself. I could, by your answers, you ought to have no objecmalicious interrogations, disturb the peace tion. Even Mr Bingley 1 promises to answer, if put to the torture.

> Do you then really think that, if I were to ask a most virtuous man whether he ever committed theft, or murder, it would disturb his peace of mind? Such a question might perhaps discompose the gravity of his muscles, but I believe it would little affect the tranquillity of his conscience. Examine your own breast, sir William, and you will discover, that reproaches and enquiries have no power to afflict either the man of unblemished integrity, or the abandoned profligate. It is the middle compound character which alone is vulnerable; the man who, without firmness enough to avoid a dishonourable action, has feeling enough to be ashamed of it.

> I thank you for your hint of the decalogue, and shall take an opportunity of applying it to some of your most virtuous friends in both Houses of parliament.

You seem to have dropped the affair of given you an unlimited command over the your regiment; so let it rest. When you most beautiful figures of speech. Masks, are appointed to another, I dare say you will not sell it either for a gross sum, or for an annuity upon lives.

I am truly glad (for really, sir William, I

continued as refractory as in the King's Bench Court-he was at length discharged, on the motion of the attorney-general, without any submission on his own part, from the mere idea that he had suffered severely enough for his con-tumacy.—See a further account of this transac-tion, Letter XLI, p. 247, note.—EDIT.

The politics of sir William Draper were cer-

tainly not violent, and he appears to have been rather a private friend of the marquis's than a partisan on either side of the question. The fol-fowing letter, published by him in the Public Advertiser, in the very midst of his dispute with

This man, being committed by the Court of King's Bench for a contempt, voluntarily made oath, that he would never answer interrogatories, unless he should be put to the torture .- AUTHOR.

Bingley was by trade a printer; and in the character here referred to, a witness for the crown, in a cause between government and Wilkes. It is difficult to say for what purpose this man was subpoenzed on either side; for his obstinacy was so extreme, that he could not be induced to answer the interrogatories addressed to him on the part either of the plaintiff or defendant. It was on this account he was committed to the King's Bench prison, where he Junius, is highly creditable to his liberality, and

to clear yourself of a crime, though at the say that your balf-pay was given you by

TO THE PRINTER.

Clifton, February 6th, 1769.

If the voice of a well-meaning individual could be heard amidst the clamour, fury, and madness of the times, would it appear too rash and presumptuous to propose to the public, that an act of indemnity and oblivion may be made for all past transactions and offences, as well with respect to Mr Wilkes as to our colonies? Such salutary expedients have been embraced by the wisest of nations: such expedients have been made use of by our own, when the public confusion had arrived to some very dangerous and alarming crisis; and I believe it needs not the gift of prophecy to foretell that some such crisis is now approaching. Perhaps it will be more wise and praiseworthy to make such an act immediately, in order to prevent the possibility (not to say the probability) of an insurrection at home and in our dependencies abroad, than it will be to be obliged to have recourse to one after the mischief has been done, and the kingdom has groaned under all the miseries that avarice, ambition, hypocrisy, and madness, could inflict upon it. An act of grace, indemnity, and oblivion, was passed at the restoration of king Charles the Second; but I will venture to say that had such an act been seasonably passed in the reign of his unhappy father, the civil war had been prevented, and no restoration had been necessary. Is it too late to recall all the messengers and edicts of wrath? Cannot the money that is now wasted in endless and mutual prosecutions, and in stopping the mouth of one pers and opening that of another, be better employ in erecting a temple to Concord? Let Mr Wil lay the first stone, and such a stone as I hope the builders will not refuse. May this parliament, to builders will not relieve. May this parliament, we use lord Clarendon's expression, be called 'The healing parliament!' May our foul wounds be cleansed and then closed! The English have been as famous for good-nature as for valour: let it not be said that such qualities are degener-ated into savage ferocity. If any of my friends in either House of legislature shall condescend to listen to and improve these hints, I shall think that I have not lived in vain.

WILLIAM DRAPER.

Sir William, in return, if he ever had any personal enmity against Junius, appears to have relinquished it completely a short time after the contest, if we may judge from the following anecdote given by Mr Campbell in his Life of Hugh Boyd, p. 247.

Some months after the Letters of Junius were published collectively, Boyd met sir William Draper at the tennis court, where their acquaint-ance was originally formed in the year 1769, and where (being both great tennis players) they

expense of the highest indiscretion. You way of pension. I will not dwell upon the sufficiently proves the truth of the assertion of used often to meet; the conversation turning JUNIUS, that he could not be, at least upon political principles, sir William observed, 'That though JUNIUS had treated him with extreme severity, he now looked upon him as a very honest fellow; that he freely forgave him for the bitterness of his censures, and that there was no

man with whom he would more gladly drink a bottle of old Burgundy.'—EDIT.

It has been said, and I believe truly, that it was signified to sir William Draper, as the request of lord Granby, that he should desist from writing in his Lordship's defence. Sir William Draper certainly drew Junius forward to say more of lord Granby's character than he originally intended. He was reduced to the dilemma of either being totally silenced, or of supporting his first letter. Whether sir William had a right to reduce him to this dilemma, or to call upon him for his name, after a voluntary attack on his side, are questions submitted to the candour of the public. The death of lord Granby was lamented by JUNIUS. He undoubtedly owed some compensations to the public, and seemed determined to acquit himself of them. In private life, he was unquestionably that good man, who, for the interest of his country, ought to have been a great one. Bonum virum facile dixeris; -mag-num libenter. I speak of him now without partiality ;-I never spoke of him with resentment. His mistakes, in public conduct, did not arise either from want of sentiment, or want of judgment, but in general from the difficulty of saying No to the bad people who surrounded him.

As for the rest, the friends of lord Granby should remember, that he himself thought proper to condemn, retract, and disavow, by a most solemn declaration in the House of Commons, that very system of political conduct, which Ju-NIUS had held forth to the disapprobation of the public .- Author.

This took place January the 30th, 1770, in a committee on the state of the nation, in which the affair of the Middlesex election was particularly discussed; and on which occasion the mar-

quis of Granby delivered himself as follows:—
'I am sorry I am obliged to declare myself against the motion; but I cannot see what right this House can have to receive any person into it as a member except by the full choice of his constituents. It was for want of considering the nice distinction between expulsion and incapacitation that I gave my vote for the sitting of a member who was not returned in the last session of this parliament. That vote I shall always lament as the greatest misfortune of my life. I now see the Middlesex election in another light: I now see that though this House has an unquestionable and long-established right to expel, yet that a right to incapacitate is lodged only in the legislature collectively. I see that I was in an error, and I am not ashamed to make this public declaration of it, and give my vote for the amendment.

The belief of Junius, 'that it was signified to

singularity of uniting in your own person have called this dishonourable transaction act in your favour, notoriously against law. only a disgrace to you! The half-pay, both in Ireland and England,

two sorts of provision, which in their own by its true name; a job to accommodate nature, and in all military and parliament- two persons, by particular interest and ary views, are incompatible; but I call upon management at the Castle. What sense you to justify that declaration, wherein you must government have had of your services, charge your sovereign with having done an when the rewards they have given you are

And now, sir William, I shall take my is appropriated by parliament; and if it be leave of you for ever. Motives very differgiven to persons who, like you, are legally ent from any apprehension of your resent-incapable of holding it, it is a breach of law. ment, make it impossible you should ever It would have been more decent in you to know me. In truth, you have some reason

sir W. D. as the request of lord G, that he should desist from writing in his Lordship's defence,' is farther confirmed by the following notice appended to a letter on the subject of this controversy, signed Aurelius, inserted in the Public Advertiser, March 11, 1769. 'We must now beg leave to drop this dispute, as the printer has received a hint that its continuance

will be disagreeable.'
Sir W. Draper, as far as lord Granby was implicated, dropped the subject; though he subsequently wrote the following letter in defence of his own conduct, in which he again calls upon

JUNIUS to avow himself.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

Clifton, April 24th, 1769.

A GENTLEMAN who signed himself An Half-pay Subaltern, has called upon me to stand forth in the behalf of the much-distressed officers now upon half-pay. He was pleased to say, that I have an effectual method of being really serviceable to the officers of my reduced regiment. I should have been happy in receiving, by a private letter, that gentleman's idea of rehef for them; could have wished he had made use of a more agreeable mode of application than a public newspaper; as unluckily these ill-seasoned brovocatives are more apt to disgust than quicken the desire of doing good, especially when they are accompanied by invidious reflections, both rash and ill founded: at present I am quite at a loss to find out by what means a person out of parliament, who has long retired from the great world, and who of course has but very little influence or interest, can be of much use to those gallant and distressed gentlemen; to many of whom I have the greatest obligations; of which I have, upon all occasions, made the most public and grateful acknowledgments; nor was there the smallest necessity to wake me in this loud manner to a remembrance of their important fortune; which however is not so great as the subterfuge of a mask. gentleman imagines; he himself forgets that the

Spaniards have also forgot to pay the ransom. If he could quicken their memory, instead of mine, the officers would be more obliged to him.

Their bravery has given me a competency, a golden mediocrity, but not much affluence or luxury, which is a stranger to my house as well as to my thoughts; and I here most solemnly declare notwithstanding the false assertions of a Junius, who has told the world that I had sold asked me if I were not guilty of perjury; that my income is now less than when I first went to Manilla. It is true, that its being so is by my own choice: I am voluntarily upon an equivalent for half-pay; and although I would most will-ingly stand forth in the service of my king and country, should the necessity of the times demand my poor assistance, yet I would not again accept of any regiment whatsoever, or interfere with the pretensions of those officers, whose good fortune has been less than their ments; and I here most solemnly declare, that I never received either from the East India Company, or from the Spaniards, directly or indirectly, any present or gratification, or any circumstance of emolument whatsoever, to the amount of five shillings, during the whole course of the expedition, or afterwards, my legal prize-money excepted. The Spaniards know that I refused the sum of fifty thousand pounds offered me by the archbishop, to mitigate the terms of the ransom, and to reduce it to half a million, instead of a whole one: so that had I been dis-posed to have basely sold the partners of my victory, avarice herself could not have wished for a richer opportunity.

The many base insinuations, that have been of late thrown out to my disadvantage in the public papers, oblige me to have recourse to the same channel for my vindication; and flatter myself that the public will be candid enough not to impute it to arrogance, vanity, or the impertinence of egotism; and hope that as much credit services, although the writer has been pleased to will be given to the assertions of a man, who is charge me with forgetfulness; a most heavy im- ready to seal his testimony with his blood, as to putation! as it implies ingratitude towards those a writer, who when repeatedly called upon to by whom I have been so essentially assisted, and avow himself, and personally maintain his acto whom I am so much indebted for my good cusation, still skulks in the dark, or in the mean

LETTERS OF JUNIUS.

to hold vourself indebted to me. From the them a strong appearance of personal ination.

TUNIUS.

LETTER VIII.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON. minister. My Lord. 18 March, 1769.

lessons I have given you, you may collect a terest, and even of personal enmity, in a profitable instruction for your future life. quarter, where no such interest or enmity They will either teach you so to regulate can be supposed to exist, without the your conduct, as to be able to set the most highest injustice and the highest dishonour? malicious enquiries at defiance; or, if that On the other hand, by what judicious be a lost hope, they will teach you prudence management have you contrived it, that enough not to attract the public attention the only act of mercy, to which you ever to a character, which will only pass without advised your sovereign, far from adding to censure, when it passes without observ- the lustre of a character, truly gracious and benevolent, should be received with universal disapprobation and disgust? I shall consider it as a ministerial measure, because it is an odious one; and as your measure. my Lord Duke, because you are the

As long as the trial of this chairman was BEFORE you were placed at the depending,2 it was natural enough that head of affairs, it had been a maxim of the government should give him every possible English government, not unwillingly ad- encouragement and support. The honourmitted by the people, that every ungracious able service for which he was hired, and or severe exertion of the prerogative should the spirit with which he performed it, made be placed to the account of the minister; common cause between your Grace and but that whenever an act of grace or bene- him. The minister, who by secret corrupvolence was to be performed, the whole tion invades the freedom of elections, and ment of it should be attributed to the the ruffian, who by open violence destroys sovereign himself. I It was a wise doctrine, my that freedom, are embarked in the same Lord, and equally advantageous to the king bottom. They have the same interests, and and to his subjects; for while it preserved mutually feel for each other. To do justice that suspicious attention, with which the to your Grace's humanity, you felt for Macpeople ought always to examine the con- Quirk as you ought to do, and if you had duct of ministers, it tended at the same been contented to assist him indirectly, time rather to increase than to diminish without a notorious denial of justice, or their attachment to the person of their openly insulting the sense of the nation, sovereign. If there be not a fatality at- you might have satisfied every duty of potending every measure you are concerned litical friendship, without committing the in, by what treachery or by what excess honour of your sovereign, or hazarding the of folly has it happened, that those un-reputation of his government. But when gracious acts, which have distinguished this unhappy man had been solemnly tried, your administration, and which I doubt not convicted, and condemned; -- when it apwere entirely your own, should carry with peared that he had been frequently employed in the same services, and that no

Ils renvoient les condamnations vers leurs lutely he fulfilled his office in heading one of the court mobs may be collected from his having been 2 The contest for the Middlesex election, in chiefly concerned in a fray, in which a man of which Wilkes, though an outlaw, was four times the name of Clarke, belonging to the opposite returned through the favour of the populace, was mob, was killed. M'Quirk was committed to conducted on both sides with the utmost violence prison, and on his trial the jury found him guilty

Les rois ne se sont reservé que les graces. officiers.—Montesquieu.

The contest for the Middlesex election, in

and outrage. The court as well as the popular of murder, and he was of course condemned to party had its committees and its hired mobs. be executed. By the advice of the minister, howelfward M'Quirk was one of the persons ever, his Majesty interposed with his royal grace, employed in the latter capacity, and how reso-

excuse for him could be drawn either from than one of them suffer death by regular the innocence of his former life, or the course of law.2 How does it happen, my simplicity of his character, was it not ha- Lord, that, in your hands, even the mercy zarding too much to interpose the strength of the prerogative is cruelty and oppression of the prerogative between this felon and to the subject? the justice of his country? You ought to! The measure it seems was so extraordinhave known that an example of this sort ary, that you thought it necessary to give was never so necessary as at present; and some reasons for it to the public. Let them certainly you must have known that the lot be fairly examined. could not have fallen upon a more guilty 1. You say that Messrs Bromfield and object. What system of government is Starling were not examined at MacQuirk's offence, and are not ashamed to give the neglect to call in such material evidence? sanction of government to the riots you complain of, and even to future murders. deceased until after his death. A surgeon, You are partial perhaps to the military mode my Lord, must know very little of his proof execution, and had rather see a score of fession, if, upon examining a wound, or a

his death, and expressed their opinions, that he did not die of the blow he received at Brentford:

guilty: Our will and pleasure therefore is, That and whereas it appears to Us, that neither of the said persons were produced as witnesses upon the trial, though the said Solomon Starling had be inserted, for the said murder, in our first been examined before the coroner, and the only person called to prove that the death of the said George Clarke was occasioned by the said blow, was John Foot, surgeon, who never saw the deceased till after his death; We thought fit deceased and after his deau; we intolgen thereupon to refer the said representations, together with the report of the Recorder of Our
city of London, of the evidence given by Richard
and William Beale, and the said John Foot, on
the trial of Edward Quirk, otherwise called Edward Kirk, otherwise called Edward M'Quirk, for the murder of the said Clarke, to the master, wardens, and the rest of the court of examiners of the Surgeons' company, commanding them likewise to take such further examination of the said persons so representing, and of the said John Foot, as they might think neces-

this? You are perpetually complaining of trial. I will tell your Grace why they the riotous disposition of the lower class of were not. They must have been examined people, yet when the laws have given you upon oath; and it was foreseen, that their the means of making an example, in every evidence would either not benefit, or might sense unexceptionable, and by far the most be prejudicial to the prisoner. Otherwise. likely to awe the multitude, you pardon the is it conceivable that his counsel should

2. You say that Mr Foot did not see the these wretches butchered by the guards, contusion, he cannot determine whether

**Whitehall, March 11, 1769. His Majesty to form and aport to Us their opinion, 'Whether has been graciously pleased to extend his royal it did or did not appear to them, that the said mercy to Edward M'Quirk, found guilty of the George Clarke died in consequence of the blow murder of George Clarke died in consequence of the blow froyal warrant to the tenor following.

**Total Control of the Majesty to form and aport to Us their opinion, 'Whether has been graciously pleased to extend his royal warrant to the tenor following. royal warrant to the tenor following.

GEORGE R.

WHEREAS a doubt had arisen in Our Koyal ported to Us their opinion, "That it did not breast concerning the evidence of the death of appear to them that he did;" We have thought breast concerning the evidence of the death of appear to their day, it has along the George Clarke, from the representations of Wil- proper to extend Our royal mercy to him broad-lad Pop charge and Salamon Star, and Edward Ourk, otherwise Edward Kirk,

tirk, and to grant urder of the said

and next general pardon that shall come out for the poor convicts of Newgate, without any condition whatsoever; and that in the mean time you take bail for his appearance, in order to plead Our said pardon. And for so doing this shall be your warrant.

Given at Our court at St James's the 10th day of March, 1769, in the minth year of our

reign.
By his Majesty's command,
ROCHFORD.

To Our trusty and well beloved James Eyre, Esq., Recorder of Our city of London, the Sheriffs of Our said city and county of Middlesex, and all others whom it may concern.

2 See this subject farther touched upon in Missary, together with the premises above-mentioned, cellaneous Letter No. XXIV .. - EDIT.

with a certainty confirmed by experience.

Vet we are to thank your Grace for the establishment of a new tribunal. Your Nor is it necessary. The character of your inquisitio post mortem is unknown to the private life, and the uniform tenor of your laws of England, and does honour to your public conduct, is an answer to them all. invention.1 The only material objection to it is that if Mr Foot's evidence was insufficient, because he did not examine the wound till after the death of the party, much less can a negative opinion, given by TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON. gentlemen who never saw the body of Mr Clarke, either before or after his decease, authorize you to supersede the verdict of a jury, and the sentence of the law.

country, whose pardon would have been political character is concerned.-Your first

forcible and quaintly allusive expression, hastily used it, and blundered into nonsense in the use.' The reader however shall now determine whether it is the author or the commentator who has blundered into nonsense.

it was mortal or not.-While the party is accepted with gratitude, whose pardon alive, a surgeon will be cautious of pro- would have healed all our divisions?2 nouncing? whereas, by the death of the Have you quite forgotten that this man was patient, he is enabled to consider both once your Grace's friend? Or is it to murcause and effect in one view, and to speak derers only that you will extend the mercy of the crown?

These are questions you will not answer.

IUNIUS.

LETTER IX.

My LORD, 10 April. 1760.

I HAVE so good an opinion of your Grace's discernment, that when the Now, my Lord, let me ask you, Has it author of the vindication of your conduct never occurred to your Grace, while you assures us, that he writes from his own mere were withdrawing this desperate wretch motion, without the least authority from from that justice which the laws had your Grace,3 I should be ready enough awarded, and which the whole people of to believe him, but for one fatal mark, England demanded, against him, that there which seems to be fixed upon every meais another man, who is the favourite of his sure, in which either your personal or your

This sentence, in a note to one of the diction of the court of this name, by which the editions of the Letters of JUNIUS, is said to have sentence of outlawry had been pronounced no correct meaning. JUNIUS, says the comwhich he had opposed the existing cabinet, and especially the odium and disgrace in which the ministry had involved themselves by issuing a general warrant to seize all the papers and persons of whomsoever they suspected to be con-The expression is, in fact, perfectly correct, though liable to be misunderstood without some famous political and periodical paper called the attention. Every coroner's inquest, indeed, except in the cases of ship-wreck and treasuretrove, is, when exercised judicially, an inquisition of general warrants was hereby necessions.

produced; and by a jury summoned from the neighbourhood. In the instance before us none of these constitutional requisites were attended to; and Junius might hence remark with the strictest accuracy, as well as the keenest irony, Your inquisitio post mortem is unknown to the laws of England.—EDIT.

2 John Wilkes, formerly, and before the duke

of Grafton had ahandoned the party of lord Chatham, and had formed a party for himself, was one of his Grace's most confidential friends. He was at this time confined in the King's Bench attributed to the pen of Mr prison, having surrendered himself to the juris- writer of the Gazette.—EDIT.

bility; and Wilkes, upon the next general election that ensued, was chosen member of parliament for the county of Middlesex, notwithstanding his outlawry, as a proof of the utter contempt in which the ministry were at this time held by the nation, rather than out of any personal regard for Wilkes himself, whose own misconduct must otherwise have been the ruin of him .- EDIT.

3 He alludes to a pamphlet containing a long and laboured vindication of the duke of Grafton, attributed to the pen of Mr Edward Weston, attempt to support sir William Proctor understood. I cannot help observing, howof your principles and conduct in reality laid before his Majesty. with all the sincerity, and in the very terms | main assertion on which it was founded. of an Irish evidence, to the best of his know- The conviction of MacQuirk had remind us of the remedy.

You have already much to answer for, to the public. You have provoked this unhappy gentleman

ended in the election of Mr Wilkes; the ever, that, when the pardon of MacQuirk second ensured success to Mr Glynn. The was the principal charge against you, it extraordinary step you took to make sir would have been but a decent compliment James Lowther lord paramount of Cumber- to your Grace's understanding, to have deland, has ruined his interest in that county fended you upon your own principles. for ever.1 The House List of Directors What credit does a man deserve, who tells was cursed with the concurrence of govern- us plainly, that the facts set forth in the ment; 2 and even the miserable Dingley king's proclamation were not the true could not escape the misfortune of your motives on which the pardon was granted, Grace's protection.3 With this uniform and that he wishes that those chirurgical experience before us, we are authorized to reports, which first gave occasion to certain suspect, that when a pretended vindication doubts in the royal breast, had not been You see, my contains the bitterest reflections upon both, Lord, that even your friends cannot defend it could not have been written without your your actions, without changing your princiimmediate direction and assistance. The ples, nor justify a deliberate measure of author, indeed, calls God to witness for him, government, without contradicting the

ledge and belief. My Lord, you should not duced you to a dilemma, in which it was encourage these appeals to Heaven. The hardly possible for you to reconcile your pious Prince, from whom you are supposed political interest with your duty. You were to descend, made such frequent use of them obliged either to abandon an active useful in his public declarations, that at last the partisan, or to protect a felon from public people also found it necessary to appeal to justice. With your usual spirit, you pre-Heaven in their turn. Your administration ferred your interest to every other considerhas driven us into circumstances of equal ation; and with your usual judgment, you distress; -----beware at least how you re- founded your determination upon the only motives, which should not have been given

I have frequently censured Mr Wilkes's to play the fool once more in public life, in conduct, yet your advocate reproaches me spite of his years and infirmities, and to with having devoted myself to the service show us, that, as you yourself are a singu- of sedition. Your Grace can best inform lar instance of youth without spirit, the us. for which of Mr Wilkes's good qualities man who defends you is a no less remarka- you first honoured him with your friendship, ble example of age without the benefit of or how long it was before you discovered experience. To follow such a writer those bad ones in him, at which, it seems, minutely would, like his own periods, be a vour delicacy was offended. Remember, labour without end. The subject too has my Lord, that you continued your conbeen already discussed, and is sufficiently nexion with Mr Wilkes long after he had been convicted of those crimes, which you

¹ See note upon the Nullum Tempus bill, Letter LVII., in which the contest between sir James Lowther and the duke of Portland is detailed at large.—EDIT.

2 At this period the whole four and twenty

directors were annually chosen, and ten gentlemen, whose names were not inserted in the house list, were elected, notwithstanding the influence of government was exerted in its support. - EDIT. the hands of the populace,

³ This unfortunate person had been persuaded by the duke of Grafton to set up for Middlesex, his Grace being determined to seat him in the House of Commons, if he had but a single vote. It happened unluckily, that he could not prevail upon any one freeholder to put him in nomination, and it was with difficulty he escaped out of

to the public, even his vices plead for him. of your ambition. The people of England have too much discernment to suffer your Grace to take advantage of the failings of a private character, to establish a precedent by which the public liberty is affected, and which you may hereafter, with equal ease and satisfaction, employ to the ruin of the best men in the kingdom. --- Content yourself.

'Under the presumption that the pamphlet alluded to in the preceding letter, entitled a 'Vindication of the Duke of Grafton,' was written by Mr Weston, and which was avowedly defended by the author, whoever he was, in the Public Advertiser, under the signature of a 'Volunteer in the Government's Service,' the following short letter, addressed to that gentle-man, obviously from the pen of JUNIUS, appeared in the same paper.

TO THE RIGHT HON. EDWARD WESTON.

April 20, 1760 SIR.

Your age, though oppressed with bodily and mental infirmities, which, for the world's edification, you have published to it, demands some respect, or the cause you have embarked in would entitle you to none. The last glimsome respect to in cause you have timear to me, in would entitle you to none. The last glimmerings of your expiring taper, however, do your hero no honour; and I fear the principle that has kindled it obtains you no credit. You are a privy counsellor in Ireland, writer of the Gazette, counsellor in Ireland, writer of the Gazette, comproller of the sale-office, a clerk of the signet, and a pensioner on the Irish establishment: such is the Volunteer! And you may remember, when you were under sceretary of state, the division of £500 among ten people left to your discretion, of which you modestly claimed £400 for yourself. So honest, so upright, and so disinterested is the man I Let JUNIUS be the division trated you call him, I know, you know, and the world knows, what you are,—Chiro.

This letter produced a short realy from the

have since taken pains to represent in the friend. Avail yourself of all the unforgivblackest colours of blasphemy and treason. ing piety of the court you live in, and bless How unlucky is it, that the first instance God that you 'are not as other men are: you have given us of a scrupulous regard extortioners, unjust, adulterers, or even as to decorum is united with the breach of a this publican.' In a heart void of feeling. moral obligation! For my own part, my the laws of honour and good faith may be Lord. I am proud to affirm, that, if I had violated with impunity, and there you may been weak enough to form such a friend- safely indulge your genius. But the laws ship. I would never have been base enough of England shall not be violated, even by to betray it. But, let Mr Wilkes's charac- your holy zeal to oppress a sinner; and ter be what it may, this at least is certain, though you have succeeded in making him that, circumstanced as he is with regard the tool, you shall not make him the victim

JUNIUS.

LETTER X.

TO MR EDWARD WESTON.

SIR.

21 April, 1769.

I SAID you were an old man withmy Lord, with the many advantages, which out the benefit of experience. It seems the unsullied purity of your own character you are also a volunteer with a stipend of has given you over your unhappy deserted twenty commissions; and at a period

> Volunteer, in which he denies that Mr Weston is the author of the pamphlet, or of the letters under that signature, and one from Poetikastos, who

> Attacks JUNIUS in the following words:
>
> 'You conclude your despicable vindication of an honour which you do not possess, by asserting "that you are a master in the art of repreabused simplicity of the minister, and the abused simplicity of a "" Villain! of whom? You who write under the name of Junius, are a base scoundrel. You lie; and you may find out who gives you the lie.

> These letters occasioned the under-written answer :-

TO THE RIGHT HON. EDWARD WESTON.

April 27, 1769.

The old fox has been unkennelled. but is ashamed of his stinking tail. Either several people of intelligence and consideration have been grossly deceived, or our doughty Volunteer declares upon his honour an untruth. I cannot believe a misinformation, unless the world should have thought that no impertinent, expectant old fellow, could have been found to dispatch so lame an errand but you.

You seem ashamed of your generous distribution: I applaud your modesty! but it shall not be at the expense of truth. You did claim £400 out of \$500 for your own self; and there are, I suppose, at least half a dozen people who can attest it. And you shall find that I dare say This letter produced a short reply from the something else to your mortification, if you supwhen all prospects are at an end, you are common dauber writes rascal and villain still looking forward to rewards, which you under his pictures, because the pictures cannot enjoy. No man is better acquainted themselves have neither character nor rewith the bounty of government than you semblance. But the works of a master arė.

-ton imbudence. Temeraire vieillard, aura sa recompense.

But I will not descend to an altercation either with the impotence of your age, or whether they represent the treachery of a the peevishness of your diseases. Your minister, or the abused simplicity of a king. pamphlet.1 ingenious as it is, has been so little read, that the public cannot know how far you have a right to give me the lie. without the following citation of your own words.

Page 6-'1. That he is persuaded that the motives, which he (Mr Weston) has alleged, must appear fully sufficient, with or without the opinions of the surgeons,

'2. That those very motives MUST HAVE BEEN the foundation, on which the earl of Rochford thought proper, &c.

'3. That he CANNOT BUT REGRET that the earl of Rochford seems to have thought proper to lay the chirurgical reports before the king, in preference to all the other sufficient motives,' &c.

Let the public determine whether this be defending government on their principles or your own.

The style and language you have adopted are, I confess, not ill suited to the elegance the cause you have undertaken.

pose the world is not heartily tired of you, your

petulance, and your crudities.

perulance, and your crudities.

I don't believe the governors of Bedlam indulge their patients with newspapers, or I should have supposed that Poetikastos had obtained his genteel residence there. The poor raving creature bawls aloud for swords and pistols, and requires the last argument instead of the best. The public has pronounced upon his reason the judgment of Felo de se, from his own pen;—I am so impressed with humanity as to wish the coroner may not have the trouble of passing the same sentence upon his person from his sword. as a sentence upon its berson that in savely who well deserves the thanks of the independent public, if he was obliged to take notice of every fool, sycophant, and bully.—CRITO. EDIT.

It is possible JUNIUS, though his informa

generally accurate, was incorrect in attribute for Middlesex, liberated, in order to take his seating this spamphlet to Mr Weston. For, in a in the senate, in the course of which several per-letter inserted by Mr Weston in the Public sons were killed by the firing of the military, and

require no index. His features and colouring are taken from nature. The impression they make is immediate and uniform; nor is it possible to mistake his characters, IUNIUS.

LETTER XI.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD. 24 April, 1760.

THE system you seemed to have adopted, when lord Chatham unexpectedly left you at the head of affairs, gave us no promise of that uncommon exertion of vigour, which has since illustrated your character, and distinguished your administration. Far from discovering a spirit bold enough to invade the first rights of the people, and the first principles of the constitution, you were scrupulous of exercising even those powers, with which the executive branch of the legislature is legally invested. We have not yet forgotten how long Mr Wilkes was suffered to appear at large, nor how long he was at liberty to canvass for of your own manners, or to the dignity of the city2 and county, with all the terrors of

> Advertiser a few months afterwards, October 14. he solemply denies his having written this and a variety of pamphlets and letters attributed to him.—Epit.
>
> Prior to his offering himself for the county of

Middlesex, Wilkes had become a candidate for the metropolis, and it was in consequence of his failure in the city, that he pressed forwards to the county. The populace, in both cases, were so numerously and so violently attached to him, that many serious riots were the consequence; and so outrageous were they in two or three instances, that the court party strenuously asserted that the city and even the palace itself were not free from danger. Of these riots, the two most serious that occurred, were on the meeting of parliament, when the populace surrounded the King's Bench prison from an expectation of sec-ing Wilkes, who had then been elected member for Middlesex, liberated, in order to take his seat

an outlawry hanging over him.1 Our gra- extraordinary care you took of his dignity cions sovereign has not yet forgotten the and of the safety of his person, when at a

on the counter-address to that of the city being carried to St James's by those who were deputed for this purpose; on which last occasion the Riot Act was read at the palace gate, and lord Talbot, the lord-steward, had his staff of office broken in his hand .- EDIT.

As Junius was extremely severe in his censures on lord Mansfield, it is deemed a mere act of justice to extract a part of his Lordship's speech on the reversal of Mr Wilkes's outlawry, by which it will appear, such was the temper of the times, that the chief justice was even privately threatened upon the occasion, should his eloquence not often equalled, and rarely excelled; it forms the conclusion of his address.

'I have now gone through the several errors assigned by the defendant, and which have been ingeniously argued and confidently relied on by his counsel at the bar; I have given my senti-ments upon them, and if upon the whole, after the closest attention to what has been said, and with the strongest inclination in favour of the defendant, no arguments which have been urged. no cases which have been cited, no reasons that occur to me, are sufficient to satisfy me in my conscience and judgment that this outlawry should be reversed, I am bound to affirm it—and

here let me make a pause.

' Many arguments have been suggested, both in and out of court, upon the consequences of establishing this outlawry, either as they may affect the defendant as an individual, or the public in general. As to the first, whatever they may be, the defendant has brought them upon himself; they are inevitable consequences of law arsing from his own act; if the penalty, to which he is thereby subjected, is more than a punishment adequate to the crime he has committed, he should not have brought himself into situation, it is not in our power, God forbid it should ever be in our power, to deliver him from it; we cannot prevent the judgment of the law by creating irregularity in the proceedings; we cannot prevent the consequence of that judgment by pardoning the crime; if the defendant has any pretensions to mercy, those pretensions must be urged, and that power exercised, in another place, where the constitution has wisely and necessarily vested it: the crown will judge for itself; it does not belong to us to interfere with punishment, we have only to declare the law: none of us had any concern in the prosecution of this business, nor any wishes upon the event of it: it was not our fault that the defend- shield me from such arrows. If I have ever

ant was prosecuted for the libels upon which he has been convicted; I took no share in another place, in the measures which were taken to prosecute him for one of them; it was not our fault that he was convicted; it was not our fault that he fled; it was not our fault that he was outlawed: it was not our fault that he rendered himself up to justice; none of us revived the prosecution against him, nor could any one of us stop that prosecution when it was revived; it is not our fault if there are not any errors upon the record. nor is it in our power to create any if there are none; we are bound by our oath and in our condecision of the cause be in opposition to the sciences, to give such a judgment as the law will popular opinion of the day. The extract is well warrant, and as our reason can prove; such a worthy the reader's perusal, as a specimen of judgment as we must stand or fall by, in the sciences, to give such a judgment as the law will opinion of the present times, and of posterity; in doing it, therefore, we must have regard to our reputation as honest men, and men of skill and knowledge competent to the stations we hold: no considerations whatsoever should mislead us from this great object to which we everlought, and I trust ever shall, direct our attention. But

open avowed publications which have been judicially noticed, and may therefore be mentioned, have endeavoured to influence or intimidate the court, and so prevail upon us to trifle and prevaricate with God, our consciences, and the public: it has been intimated that consequences of a frightful nature will flow from the establishment of this outlawry; it is said the people expect the reversal, that the temper of the times demand it, that the multitude will have it so; that the continuation of the outlawry in full force, will not be endured; that the execution of the law upon the defendant will be resisted: these are arguments which will not weigh a feather with me. If insurrection and rebellion are to follow our determination, we have not to institute predicament, by nying from the justice of his country; he thought proper to do be the innocent cause—we can only say, ***—so, and he must take the fruits of his own on duct, however bitter and unpalatable they may duct, however bitter and unpalatable they may be heartly sorry for apprehensions of censure; if we are subjected to the control of the latter unjustive. We must submit to it; we cannot prevent it, we will take care not to deserve it. He must be a weak man indeed who can be staggered by such a consideration.

'The misapprehension or the misrepresentation of the ignorant or the wicked, the Mendax Infamia, which is the consequence of both, are equally indifferent to, unworthy the attention of, and incapable of making any impression on, men of firmness and intrepidity.—Those who imagine judges are capable of being influenced by such unworthy, indirect means, most grossly deceive themselves; and for my own part I trust that my temper, and the colour and conduct of my life, have clothed me with a suit of armour to

crisis which courtiers affected to call alarm- conduct. But these it seems were not and moderate men, who wish well to the the firmness of the understanding. peace and good order of society, might

supported the king's measures; if I have ever afforded any assistance to government; if I have discharged my duty as a public or private character, by endeavouring to preserve pure and perfect the principles of the constitution, maintaining unsullied the honour of the courts of justice, and by an upright administration of, to give a due effect to the laws, I have hitherto done it without any other gift or reward than that most pleasing and most honourable one, the conscientions conviction of doing what right. I do not affect to scorn the opinion of mankind; I wish earnestly for popularity; but I will seek and will have popularity; but I will tell you how I will obtain it; I will have that popularity which follows, and not that which is not that which is a constant. popularly when the applause of a day, it is not the huzzas of thousands, that can give a moment's satisfaction to a rational being; that man's mind must indeed be a weak one, and his ambition of a most depraved sort, who can be captivated by such wretched allurements, or satisfied with such momentary gratifications. I say with the Roman orator, and can say it with as much truth as he did, 'Ego hoc animo semper fui, ut invidiam virtule partam, gloriam non infamiam putarem:' But the threats have been carried further; personal violence has been de-nounced, unless public humour be complied with: I do not fear such threats; I do not believe there is any reason to fear them; it is not the genius of the worst of men in the worst of times to proceed to such shocking extremities: but if such an event should happen, let it be so; even such an event might be productive of wholesome effects; such a stroke might rouse the better part of the nation from their lethargic condition to a state of activity, to assert and execute the law, and punish the daring and impious hands which had violated it; and those who now supinely behold the danger which threatens all liberty, from the most abandoned licentiousness, lady Maynard.-EDIT.

ing, you left the metropolis exposed for two occasions worthy of your Grace's interposinights together, to every species of riot and tion. You reserved the proofs of your indisorder. The security of the royal resid- trepid spirit for trials of greater hazard and ence from insult was then sufficiently pro- importance; and now, as if the most disvided for in Mr Conway's firmness 1 and graceful relaxation of the executive aulord Weymouth's discretion; while the thority had given you a claim of credit to prime minister of Great Britain, in a rural indulge in excesses still more dangerous. retirement, and in the arms of faded beauty, 2 you seem determined to compensate amply had lost all memory of his sovereign, his for your former negligence; and to balance country, and himself. In these instances the non-execution of the laws with a breach you might have acted with vigour, for you of the constitution. From one extreme would have had the sanction of the laws to you suddenly start to the other, without support you. The friends of government leaving, between the weakness and the fury might have defended you without shame, of the passions, one moment's interval for

These observations, general as they are. have had a pretence for applauding your might easily be extended into a faithful history of your Grace's administration, and

> might, by such an event, be awakened to a sense of their situation, as drunken men are oftentimes stunned into sobriety. If the security of our persons and our property, of all we hold dear and valuable, are to depend upon the caprice of a giddy multitude, or to be at the dis-posal of a giddy mob; if, in compliance with the humours and to appease the clamours of those, all civil and political institutions are to be disreall civil and political institutions are to be disregarded or overthrown, a life somewhat more than sixty is not worth preserving at such a price, and he can never die too soon, who lays down his life in support and vindication of the policy, the government, and the constitution of his country.—EDIT.
>
> ² The Hon. Henry Seymour Conway was brother to lord Hertford, and father of the present Mrs Damer, who constitutes indeed his only issue. He had enjoyed several places of high rank and confidence at court during the berinning of his Maiesty's reien. After the

> beginning of his Majesty's reign. After the prorogation of parliament, in the year 1764, G. Grenville, then first lord of the Treasury and chancellor of the Exchequer, in conjunction with the duke of Bedford, lord president, took from him his regiment and dismissed him from his office as groom of the bedchamber to the king, in consequence of having voted in the Lower House, in opposition to government, upon the question of General Warrants. Mr Conway was made a secretary of state in the Rockingham adminissecretary of sace in the contengant administration of 1765, and retained that post till lord Chatham, who succeeded lord Rockingham, quitted office in Oct. 1768. Mr. C. was a man of an independent mind, but often wavering in his opinion, and like his favourite cousin, Horace Walpole, much attached to literature and the

> ine arts.—EDIT.
>
> The duke of Grafton was, at that time, living with the celebrated Nancy Parsons, afterwards

all our resentment.

In the contest for the county of Middlesex, the House of Commons, on the 3rd of February, ryo, had proceeded to the severe step of expelling Mr Wilkes, for, among other offences, republishing, in the St James's Chronicle, lord Weymouth's letter to Mr Justice Ponton, one of the magistrates for Surry, with the ensuing prefa-tory remarks: 'I send you the following au-thentic state paper, the date of which, prior by more than three weeks to the fatal roth of May, 1768, shows how long the horrid massacre in St George's Fields had been planned and deter-George's Fields had been planned and deter-mined upon, before it was carried into execution, and how long a hellish project can be brooded over by some infernal spirits, without one moment's remorse. Mr Wilkes having admitted the publication, the House resolved, 'That John Wilkes, Esq., a member of this House, who hat at the bar of this House, confessed himself to be the author and publisher of what this House has resolved to be an insolent, scandalous, and seditious libel; and who has been convicted in the Court of King's Bench, of having printed and published a seditious libel, and three obscene and impious libels; and, by the judgment of the said court, has been sentenced to undergo twenty-two months' imprisonment, and is now in execution under the said judgment, be expelled this House,' which was carried in the affirmative by 219 against 137. On the 16th of February,

perhaps may be the employment of a future your Grace for not contenting yourself with But the business of the present a temporary expedient, but entailing upon moment will not suffer me to look back to them the immediate blessings of your ada series of events, which cease to be interest- ministration. Boroughs were already too ing or important, because they are succeed- much at the mercy of government. Couned by a measure so singularly daring, that ties could neither be purchased nor init excites all our attention, and engrosses timidated. But their solemn determined election may be rejected, and the man they Your patronage of Mr Luttrell has been detest may be appointed, by another choice. crowned with success.1 With this pre- to represent them in parliament. Yet it is cedent before you, with the principles on admitted, that the sheriffs obeyed the laws which it was established, and with a future and performed their duty.2 The return House of Commons, perhaps less virtuous they made must have been legal and valid. than the present, every county in England, or undoubtedly they would have been cenunder the auspices of the Treasury, may be sured for making it. With every goodrepresented as completely as the county of natured allowance for your Grace's youth Middlesex. Posterity will be indebted to and inexperience, there are some things which you cannot but know. You cannot

> soever they pleased, let parliament expel him as often as it pleased. Wilkes was a third time expelled: and to oppose him with a certainty of success, another device was now contrived, and under the promise that he should certainly be seated for the county in opposition to Wilkes, col. Luttrell was prevailed upon to relinquish the seat he then held, and to oppose him with all the force that could be mustered up on the occasion. With every possible effort exerted in his favour, however, Luttrell was incapable of obtaining more than two hundred and ninety-six votes, and Wilkes was again returned almost unanimously. The ministry were intimidated: but still resolved to carry their new device into offect. Wilkes was not now, therefore, to be openly re-expelled, but, which amounted to the same thing, to be declared incapable of sitting in parliament in consequence of his previous expulparliament in consequence or mis previous capu-sion, and Luttrell was of course declared the sitting member. Yet, with an incongruity not often to be parallelled, the sheriffs, instead of being punished, were admitted to have done their duty, in allowing Wilkes to have become a candidate, and in returning him as fairly elected.

The nation at large now joined in the cause of the Middlesex freeholders; the parliament from exercising the unconstitutional act of rejecting one person who was a real member of its body, by 219 against 23. On the form of recording, one person who was a real member on all body, 1750, he was a second time returned for Middlesex without an adequate cause, and in admitting without opposition. On the day following the another person to be a member who had never election was vacated, and he was declared by a been returned by a majority of votes, was

to, elected without opposition; for Dingley, as the throne was thronged with petitions and before observed, had not been able to obtain even a nomination. This election, however, was also declared void the next day. The great mass of Sir Fletcher Norton, when it was proposed to Middley and the control of declared void the next day. The great mass of Middlesex freeholders were in consequence thrown into a more violent commotion than ever, and insisted upon their right to return whom-

but know that the right of the freeholders stitutional point, on the decision of which in argument, true in fact.

This measure, my Lord, is however athave created a new one, in which Mr as the birthright of Englishmen. Wilkes is no more concerned than any other English gentleman. You have united to that easy insipid system, which you first this country against you on one grand con- set out with. Take back your mistress;2

to adhere to their choice (even supposing it our existence as a free people absolutely improperly exerted), was as clear and in-depends. You have asserted, not in words disputable as that of the House of Com- but in fact, that representation in parliamons to exclude one of their own members: ment does not depend upon the choice of -nor is if possible for you not to see the the freeholders. If such a case can possibly wide distance there is between the negative happen once, it may happen frequently; it power of rejecting one man, and the posi- may happen always: - and if three hundred tive power of appointing another. The votes, by any mode of reasoning whatsoright of expulsion, in the most favourable ever, can prevail against twelve hundred, sense, is no more than the custom of par- the same reasoning would equally have liament. The right of election is the very given Mr Luttrell his seat with ten votes, or essence of the constitution. To violate even with one. The consequences of this that right, and much more to transfer it to attack upon the constitution are too plain any other set of men, is a step leading im- and palpable not to alarm the dullest apmediately to the dissolution of all govern- prehension. I trust you will find that the ment. So far forth as it operates, it con- people of England are neither deficient in stitutes a House of Commons which does spirit nor understanding, though you have not represent the people. A House of treated them as if they had neither sense to Commons so formed would involve a con- feel nor spirit to resent. We have reason tradiction and the grossest confusion of to thank God and our ancestors, that there ideas; but there are some ministers, my never yet was a minister in this country Lord, whose views can only be answered who could stand the issue of such a conflict; by reconciling absurdities, and making the and with every prejudice in favour of your same proposition, which is false and absurd intentions, I see no such abilities in your Grace, as should entitle you to succeed in an enterprise, in which the ablest and basest tended with one consequence favourable to of your predecessors have found their dethe people, which I am persuaded you did struction. You may continue to deceive your not foresee.1 While the contest lay between gracious master with false representations the ministry and Mr Wilkes, his situation of the temper and condition of his subjects. and private character gave you advantages. You may command a venal vote, because over him, which common candour, if not it is the common established appendage of the memory of your former friendship, your office. But never hope that the freeshould have forbidden you to make use of. holders will make a tame surrender of their To religious men, you had an opportunity rights, or that an English army will join of exaggerating the irregularities of his past with you in overturning the liberties of their life; -- to moderate men you held forth the country. They know that their first duty, pernicious consequences of faction. Men as citizens, is paramount to all subsequent who, with this character, looked no farther engagements, nor will they prefer the disthan to the object before them, were not cipline, nor even the honours, of their prodissatisfied at seeing Mr Wilkes excluded fession, to those sacred original rights, from parliament. You have now taken which belonged to them before they were care to shift the question; or, rather, you soldiers, and which they claim and possess

Return, my Lord, before it be too late,

The reader is desired to mark this prophecy. himself from Ann Parsons, but proposed to con-² The duke, about this time, had separated tinue united with her, on some platonic terms of

-the name of friend may be fatal to her, never enter heartily into a debate until they for it leads to treachery and persecution. have divided. Indulge the people. Attend Newmarket. Mr Luttrell may again vacate his seat: and Mr Wilkes, if not persecuted, will soon be forgotten. To be weak and inactive is safer than to be daring and criminal; and wide is the distance between a riot of the kingdom. You may live to make the experiment, but no honest man can wish you should survive it.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XII.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON. 30 May, 1769.

MY LORD.

more compendious system of government respectable in mine, and logic. You neither address yourself to immediately to the feelings of your friends, who, contrary to the forms of parliament,

friendship, which she rejected with contempt. His baseness to this woman is beyond description or belief.

Relinquishing, therefore, all idle views of amendment to your Grace, or of benefit to the public, let me be permitted to consider your character and conduct merely as a subject of curious speculation.-There is something in both, which distinguishes populace and a convulsion of the whole you not only from all other ministers, but all other men. It is not that you do wrong by design, but that you should never do right by mistake. It is not that your indolence and your activity have been equally misapplied, but that the first uniform principle, or, if I may so call it, the genius of your life, should have carried you through every possible change and contradiction of conduct, without the momentary imputation or colour of a virtue: If the measures in which you have and that the wildest spirit of inconsistency been most successful, had been supported should never once have betrayed you into a by any tolerable appearance of argument, wise or honourable action. This, I own. I should have thought my time not ill em- gives an air of singularity to your fortune. ployed, in continuing to examine your con- as well as to your disposition. Let us look duct as a minister, and stating it fairly to back together to a scene, in which a mind the public. But when I see questions, of like yours will find nothing to repent of. the highest national importance, carried as Let us try, my Lord, how well you have they have been, and the first principles of supported the various relations in which the constitution openly violated, without you stood, to your sovereign, your country, argument or decency, I confess, I give up your friends, and yourself. Give us, if it the cause in despair. The meanest of your be possible, some excuse to posterity, and predecessors had abilities sufficient to give to ourselves, for submitting to your admina colour to their measures. If they invaded istration. If not the abilities of a great the rights of the people, they did not dare minister, if not the integrity of a patriot. to offer a direct insult to their understand- or the fidelity of a friend, show us, at least, ing; and, in former times, the most venal the firmness of a man .- For the sake of parliaments made it a condition, in their your mistress, the lover shall be spared. I bargain with the minister, that he should will not lead her into public, as you have furnish them with some plausible pretences done, nor will I insult the memory of defor selling their country and themselves, parted beauty. Her sex, which alone You have had the merit of introducing a made her amiable in your eyes, makes her

The character of the reputed ancestors of the passions, nor to the understanding, but some men, has made it possible for their simply to the touch. You apply yourself descendants to be vicious in the extreme. without being degenerate. Those of your Grace, for instance, left no distressing examples of virtue, even to their legitimate posterity, and you may look back with pleasure to an illustrious pedigree, in which

heraldry has not left a single good quality equal share of power with lord Rockingwithout the reputation of a martyr.

the Opposition, he gave you to the world cause you have been a friend. with an air of popularity, which young

* The first duke of Grafton was a natural son of Charles II. During the progress of the revo-lution he abandoned the Stuarts for king William; and his descendants had hitherto generally ranked themselves among the party of the Whigs .-

² To understand these passages, the reader is referred to a noted pamphlet, called the *History*

of the Minority.

3 The duke of Grafton was first introduced into the political world at an early period of life, under the auspices and protection of lord Chatham (then Mr Pitt) as a determined Whig. To the administration of lord Bute succeeded that of G. Grenville and the duke of Bedford, who

upon record to insult or upbraid you. I ham. When the duke of Cumberland's You have better proofs of your descent, first negotiation failed, and when the my Lord, than the register of a marriage, favourite was pushed to the last extremity, or any troublesome inheritance of reputa- you saved him, by joining with an administion. There are some hereditary strokes of tration, in which lord Chatham had refused character, by which a family may be as to engage. Still, however, he was your clearly distinguished as by the blackest friend, and you are yet to explain to the features of the human face. Charles the world, why you consented to act without First lived and died a hypocrite. Charles him, or why, after uniting with lord Rockthe Second was a hypocrite of another sort, ingham, you deserted and betrayed him. and should have died upon the same scaf- You complained that no measures were fold. At the distance of a century, we see taken to satisfy your patron, and that your their different characters happily revived, friend, Mr Wilkes, who had suffered so and blended in your Grace. Sullen and much for the party, had been abandoned severe without religion, profligate without to his fate. They have since contributed. gaiety, you live like Charles the Second, not a little, to your present plenitude of without being an amiable companion, and, power; yet, I think, lord Chatham has for aught I know, may die as his father did, less reason than ever to be satisfied; and as for Mr Wilkes, it is, perhaps, the great-You had already taken your degrees with est misfortune of his life, that you should credit in those schools, in which the Eng- have so many compensations to make in the lish nobility are formed to virtue, when you closet for your former friendship with him. were introduced to lord Chatham's protec- Your gracious master understands your tion.2 From Newmarket, White's, and character, and makes you a persecutor, be-

Lord Chatham formed his last adminismen usually set out with, and seldom pre- tration upon principles which you certainly serve:—grave and plausible enough to be concurred in, or you could never have been thought fit for business, too young for placed at the head of the Treasury. By detreachery, and, in short, a patriot of no serting those principles, and by acting in unpromising expectations. Lord Chatham direct contradiction to them, in which he was the earliest object of your political found you were secretly supported in the wonder and attachment.3 Yet you deserted closet, you soon forced him to leave you to him, upon the first hopes that offered of an yourself, and to withdraw his name from

ple, and lord Lyttleton. They, however, objected to the undue influence of the noble favourite, and the proposal was declined. Lord Rockingham was now applied to, and prevailed upon to take the lead, and form an administration of his own: Mr Pitt refused to unite in it, but the duke of Grafton deserted him, and accepted the office of secretary of state. With this administration, however, he soon became chagrined and resigned his office. Lord Chatham again received him into communion; and in the ministry, shortly after planned and carried into effect by himself, in which he held the privy seal, he nominated the duke of Grafton first lord of the Treasury. or G. Grenvine and the duke of bedford, who the duke of Gratton irst forth of the Freedom soon became obnoxious to lord Bute, the guardian A the head of this new system, however, but of his may system, however, the dential adviser. The duke of Cumberland, uncle in disgust; but the noble duke, instead of folto the king, was deputed to propose another lowing him, took the lead upon himself, and administration conjointly to Mr Pitt, lord Temcommenced an administration of his own.—EDIT.

rake is stationary at last; and truly, my Lord you may well be weary of the circuit

gm-m menouse or broomsbury. One would There has been a large consumption of it in his own family; and, in the way of traffic. I dare say, he has bought and sold more than half the representative integrity of the nation.

In a political view, this union is not imprudent. The favour of princes is a perishable commodity. You have now a strength sufficient to command the closet; and if it

His Grace had lately married Miss Wrottes ley, niece of the Good Gertrude, duchess of Bed-

2 Miss Liddel, after her divorce from the duke,

married lord Upper Ossory.

3 Mr Stuart Mackenzie was brother to the earl of Bute. The duke of Bedford's abuse of power here referred to, is again noticed in JUNIUS,

after his appointment, in

Campbell. In this act of coercion Mr Grenville bore an equal part with the noble duke. Upon the resignation of these ministers, Mr stuart Mackenzie was reinstated in his former post.— EDIT.

4 See notes above .- EDIT.

5 At the period here referred to, the American colonies had acquired such a population, and proportion of public wealth, as to render it ne-

an administration, which had been formed be necessary to be tray one friendship more. on the credit of it. You had then a pros- you may set even lord Bute at defiance. pect of friendships better suited to your Mr Stuart Mackenzie may possibly rememgenius, and more likely to fix your disposi- ber what use the duke of Bedford usually tion. Marriage is the point on which every makes of his power; 3 and our gracious sovereign, I doubt not, rejoices at this first appearance of union among his servants. His late Majesty, under the happy influence of a family connexion between his ministers, was relieved from the cares of government. A more active prince may perhaps observe, with suspicion, by what degrees think that you had had sufficient experi- an artful servant grows upon his master. ence of the frailty of nuptial engagements, from the first unlimited professions of duty or, at least, that such a friendship as the and attachment, to the painful representaduke of Bedford's might have been secured tion of the necessity of the royal service. to you by the auspicious marriage of your and soon, in regular progression, to the late duchess with2 his nephew. But ties humble insolence of dictating in all the of this tender nature cannot be drawn too obsequious forms of peremptory submission. close; and it may possibly be a part of the The interval is carefully employed in formduke of Bedford's ambition, after making ing connexions, creating interests, collecther an honest woman, to work a miracle of ing a party, and laying the foundation of the same sort upon your Grace. This double marriages;4 until the deluded worthy nobleman has long dealt in virtue. prince, who thought he had found a creature prostituted to his service, and insignificant enough to be always dependent upon his pleasure, finds him at last too strong to be commanded, and too formidable to be removed.

> Your Grace's public conduct, as a minister, is but the counterpart of your private history;-the same inconsistency, the same contradictions. In America we trace you. from the first opposition to the Stamp Act, 5

hitherto been done, into the peculiar mode of its political connexion with the mother country, and to bind it to the latter in a more definite bond. It was found that most of the provincial departments were chartered by the crown and expressly exempted from legislative taxation, but that others were not chartered in any way, and of course possessed no such privilege. From the capacity of their being now able to contribute to the exigencies of the state, from a desire to equalize the entire colonization, and from a professed belief that charters granted by the crown with such an exemption as above, displayed an undue stretch of the prerogative, it was deter-mined upon, by Mr Grenville's administration, to bring the matter boldly to an issue, and for the legislature to claim an authority over the colonies by passing an act which should immediately affect them. The statute enacted for this purpose was the Stamp Act, which imposed a cessary to enquire, more critically than had duty upon many of the articles most current

on principles of convenience, to Mr Pitt's Corsica would never have been invaded.2 you have been united, and of deserting every political principle in which you had concurred?

Your enemies may turn their eyes without regret from this admirable system of provincial government. They will find gratification enough in the survey of your domestic and foreign policy.

If, instead of disowning lord Shelburne, the British court had interposed with dignity and firmness, you know, my Lord, that

through the colonies. The colonies were thrown into a general commotion by this measure, the duty could not be collected, and almost every province became ripe for rebellion.

At home the members of opposition doubted, or affected to doubt, both the propriety and or anceted to doubt, both the propriety and legality of the conduct of administration. Mr Patt denied the right, the marquis of Rockingham admitted the right, but denied the explaincy; while many politicians, perplexed by the sophistry advanced by the pleaders on all sides, vacillated in their opinion, and sometimes united with one party and sometimes with another. Of this last description was the duke of Grafton, who occasionally favoured Mr Pitt's opinion, occasionally the marquis of Rockingham's, and at last sided with Mr Charles Townshend in a determined resolution to carry the system of taxation into effect at all hazards.—EDIT

¹ Mr Knox, in his 'Extra-official State Papers,' from which extracts have been made in notes to Miscellaneous Letters, Nos. XXXI. and LIII., narrates the following anecdote as having happened to himself on the repeal of the

'The morning after the resolution passed in the House of Commons, to repeal the Stamp Russia. The growing extent of French influ-Act, and to bring in the declaratory bill, I was ence ever the continent, might, in this inspace sent for to a meeting of the Opposition at Mr perhaps, have easily been curtailed, by a little

surrender of the right; then forward to The French saw the weakness of a dislord Rockingham's surrender of the fact; tracted ministry, and were justified in treatthen back again to lord Rockingham's de- ing you with contempt. They would proclaration of the right; then forward to bably have yielded in the first instance. taxation with Mr Townshend; and in the rather than hazard a rupture with this last instance, from the gentle Conway's un- country; but, being once engaged, they determined discretion, to blood and com- cannot retreat without dishonour. Compulsion with the duke of Bedford: 1 Yet monsense foresees consequences which have if we may believe the simplicity of lord escaped your Grace's penetration. Eitherwe North's eloquence, at the opening of suffer the French to make an acquisition, the next sessions you are once more to be the importance of which you have probably no patron of America. Is this the wisdom of conception of, or we oppose them by an a great minister? or is it the ominous vibra- underhand management, which only distion of a pendulum? Had you no opinion graces us in the eyes of Europe, without of your own, my Lord? or was it the grati- answering any purpose of policy or prufication of betraying every party with which dence. From secret, indirect assistance, a transition to some more open decisive measures becomes unavoidable; till at last we find ourselves principals in the war, and are obliged to hazard every thing for an object which might have originally been obtained without expense or danger. I am not versed in the politics of the north; but this I believe is certain, that half the money you have distributed to carry the expulsion of Mr Wilkes, or even your secretary's share in the last subscription, would have kept the Turks at your devotion.3 Was it

Rigby's in Parliament Street; when I came there, Mr Grenville and Mr Rigby came out to me, and told me, the duke of Bedford and several others desired to know my opinion of the effects which those resolutions would produce in America. My answer was in few words—ad-dresses of thanks and measures of rebellion. Mr Graville smiled and shook his head, and Mr Rigby swore by G—d he thought so, and both wished me a good morning. —EDIT.

2 Lord Shelburne, father to the present marquis of Lansdowne, while secretary of state, instructed our ambassador at the court of Versailles to remonstrate, in very spirited terms, on the intended invasion of Corsica by the French. His Lordship's conduct, however, was disavowed by his colleagues, and he resigned his situation, Oct 21, 1768. But see note to Letter III., ante,

p. 136.—EDIT.

3 The Ottoman Porte was at this time in the hands of French influence; the court of Tuilleries supplying it with French officers, and instructing it, through their means, in modern tactics, so as to enable it to support more successfully the war in which it was engaged with Russia. The growing extent of French influ-ence over the continent, might, in this instance

SIR.

economy, my Lord? or did the coy resist- of human nature, suppose that you can if five hundred pounds a year can be spared in pension to sir John Moore,1 it would not have disgraced you to have allowed something to the secret service of the public.

You will say perhaps that the situation the whole of your attention. Here, I con- struction to your successors for ever. fess, you have been active. An amiable, accomplished Prince ascends the throne under the happiest of all auspices, the acclamations and united affections of his subjects. The first measures of his reign, and even the odium of a favourite, were not able to shake their attachment. Your services, my Lord, have been more successand contemptible. We have seen the laws sometimes scandalously relaxed, sometimes -violently stretched beyond their tone. We or interest, you have done more than lord appear in his last letter. Bute could accomplish, with all Scotland at his heels.

desire to be handed down in these colours appointment of Mr Luttrell? to posterity. You have reason to flatter sonal character, I will not, for the honour and shame under the ruins?--Is this the

James's.—EDIT.

Sir John Moore was an old Newmarket acquaintance of his Grace's, where he succeeded in completely squandering away his private for-tune. The duke of Grafton, out of compassion, obtained for him the pension in question.-EDIT

ance you have constantly met with in the wish to have it remembered. The con-British senate, make you despair of cor- dition of the present times is desperate rupting the Divan? Your friends indeed indeed; but there is a debt due to those have the first claim upon your bounty, but who come after us, and it is the historian's office to punish, though he cannot correct. I do not give you to posterity as a pattern to imitate, but as an example to deter: and as your conduct comprehends every thing that a wise or honest minister should of affairs at home demanded and engrossed avoid, I mean to make you a negative in-

TUNIUS.

LETTER XIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

12 June, 1769.

THE duke of Grafton's friends. ful. Since you were permitted to take the not finding it convenient to enter into a lead, we have seen the natural effects of a contest with Junius, are now reduced to system of government at once both odious the last melancholy resource of defeated argument, the flat general charge of scurrility and falsehood. As for his style, I hall leave it to the critics. The truth of have seen the sacred person of the sovereign his facts is of more importance to the pubinsulted; and in profound peace, and with lic. They are of such a nature, that I think an undisputed title, the fidelity of his sub- a bare contradiction will have no weight iects brought by his own servants into pub- with any man, who judges for himself. lic question.2 Without abilities, resolution, Let us take them in the order in which they

- I. Have not the first rights of the people and the first principles of the constitution Your Grace, little anxious perhaps either been openly invaded, and the very name of for present or future reputation, will not an election made ridiculous, by the arbitrary
- 2. Did not the duke of Grafton freyourself that the memory of your adminis- quently lead his mistress into public, and tration will survive even the forms of a con- even place her at the head of his table, stitution, which our ancestors vainly hoped as if he had pulled down an ancient8 temwould be immortal; and as for your per- ple of Venus, and could bury all decency

address, and even transferred to the court of St the influence of government to procure addresses to satisfy the king of the fidelity of his subjects. They came in very thick from Scotland; but, after the appearance of this letter, we heard no

3 Miss Parsons had at this time surpassed the prime both of her youth and beauty.-AUTHOR. prr. See this anecdote related more in detail in The wise duke, about this time, exerted all Miscellaneous Letters, No. XX.—Eprr. man who dares to talk of Mr Wilkes's it wealth, or wit, or beauty, -or is the morals?

- 3. Is not the character of his presumptive ancestors as strongly marked in him. as if he had descended from them in a direct legitimate line? The idea of his death is only prophetic; and what is prophecy but a narrative preceding the fact?
- 4. Was not lord Chatham the first who raised him to the rank and post of a minister, and the first whom he abandoned?
- 5. Did he not join with lord Rockingham, and betray him?
- 6. Was he not the bosom friend of Mr Wilkes, whom he now pursues to destruction?
- 7. Did he not take his degrees with credit at Newmarket, White's, and the Opposition?
- 8. After deserting lord Chatham's principles, and sacrificing his friendship, is he not now closely united with a set of men, who, though they have occasionally joined with all parties, have in every different situation, and at all times, been equally and constantly detested by this country?
- 9. Has not sir John Moore a pension of five hundred pounds a year?-This may probably be an acquittance of favours upon the turf; but is it possible for a minister to offer a grosser outrage to a nation, which has so very lately cleared away the beggary of the civil list, at the expense of more than half a million?
- 10. Is there any one mode of thinking or acting with respect to America, which the duke of Grafton has not successively adopted and abandoned?
- II. Is there not a singular mark of shame set upon this man, who has so little delicacy and feeling as to submit to the opprobrium of marrying a near relation of one who had debauched his wife?-In the name of decency, how are these amiable cousins to meet at their uncle's table?-It will be a scene in Œdipus, without the distress .- Is

Though Philo Junius is, in every sense, un-

amorous vouth in love?

The rest is notorious. That Corsica has been sacrificed to the French: that in some instances the laws have been scandalously elaxed, and in others daringly violated; and that the king's subjects have been called upon to assure him of their fidelity. in spite of the measures of his servants.

A writer, who builds his arguments upon facts such as these, is not easily to be conuted. He is not to be answered by general assertions, or general reproaches. He may want eloquence to amuse or persuade, but, speaking truth, he must always convince.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER XIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 22 June, 1769.

THE name of Old Noll is destined to be the ruin of the house of Stuart. There is an ominous fatality in it, which even the spurious descendants of the family cannot escape. Oliver Cromwell had the merit of conducting Charles the first to the block. Your correspondent OLD NOLL 1 appears to have the same design upon the duke of Grafton. His arguments consist better with the title he has assumed, than with the principles he professes; for though he pretends to be an advocate for the duke, he takes care to give us the best reasons, why his patron should regularly follow the fate of his presumptive ancestor .- Through the whole course of the duke of Grafton's life, I see a strange endeavour to unite contradictions, which cannot be reconciled. He marries to be divorced; -he keeps a mistress to remind him of conjugal endearments, and he chuses such friends, as it is

worthy of an answer as a writer; yet as he has compressed into small compass what he calls the facts advanced by JUNIUS, I will answer them briefly one by one, and for ever drop a subject that could only acquire consequence by discussing it in a serious manner. - EDIT.

A correspondent under this signature replied to the preceding letter of Philo Junius, in the Public Advertiser, dated June 19; introducing his observations with the following paragraph.

a virtue in him to desert. If it were possible have now the good fortune to understand guide in those paths, which naturally con- sulting the Doctor himself. duct a minister to the scaffold.

he had a place to preserve, though he for- think of his understanding?

for the genius of that accomplished presi- the Doctor's principles, as well as his writdent, who pronounced sentence upon ings. For the defence of truth, of law, and Charles the First, to be revived in some reason, the Doctor's book may be safely modern sycophant. I his Grace, I doubt not, consulted; but whoever wishes to cheat a would, by sympathy, discover him among neighbour of his estate, or to rob a country the dregs of mankind, and take him for a of its rights, 6 need make no scruple of con-

The example of the English nobility The assertion that two-thirds of the may, for aught I know, sufficiently justify nation approve of the acceptance of Mr the duke of Grafton, when he indulges his Luttrell (for even Old Noll is too modest genius in all the fashionable excesses of the to call it an election) can neither be main- age; yet, considering his rank and station, tained nor confuted by argument. It is a I think it would do him more honour to be point of fact, on which every English gen- able to deny the fact, than to defend it by tleman will determine for himself. As to such authority. But if vice itself could be lawyers, their profession is supported by excused, there is yet a certain display of it, the indiscriminate defence of right and a certain outrage to decency, and violation wrong, and I confess I have not that opinion of public decorum, which, for the benefit of their knowledge or integrity, to think it of society, should never be forgiven. It is necessary that they should decide for me not that he kept a mistress at home, but upon a plain constitutional question. With that he constantly attended her abroad. respect to the appointment of Mr Luttreil, It is not the private indulgence, but the the chancellor has never yet given any public insult of which I complain. The authentic opinion.2 Sir Fletcher Norton 3 name of Miss Parsons would hardly have is indeed an honest, a very honest man; been known, if the first lord of the Treaand the attorney-general 4 is ex officio the sury had not led her in triumph through guardian of liberty, to take care, I presume, the Opera House, even in the presence of that it shall never break out into a criminal the queen. When we see a man act in excess. Doctor Blackstone is solicitor to this manner, we may admit the shameless the queen. The Doctor recollected that depravity of his heart, but what are we to

got that he had a reputation to lose. We His Grace, it seems, is now to be a regu-

of the name of Bradshaw. - AUTHOR.

And as little so that Old Noll was the nick-name of Oliver Cromwell. There is a peculiar severity in the comparison of the two periods and seventry in the comparison of the two periods and the two families. The duke of Grafton was descended from the Stuarts; and Bradshaw was the name of the president of the regicide court, which, under the secret influence of Oliver Cromwell (or Old Noll), condemned Charles the First to death. Bradshaw was the name of the duke of Grafton's private secretary at the present moment, and Junius here insinuates that he was also the author of the letter signed to his Grace's cause, as ever the names of Bradshaw or Old Noll had proved fatal to his Grace's government in its rejection of Mr. Wilkes, as

snaw or our void had proven related in States government. In its rejection of Mr. Wiles, as a member for the county of Middlesex. See Bradshaw, before his present appointment, had been an under-clerk in the War-office, and was no LVI.—EDIT. as also Miscellaneous Letters, been an under-clerk in the War-office, and was raised to the rank of private secretary, for his See this transaction more fully detailed in raised to the rank of private secretary, for his 7 See this transaction more fully deta activity and despatch of business. In the month Miscellaneous Letters, No. XX.—Entr.

It is hardly necessary to remind the reader of May, 1772, he was appointed a lord of the Admiralty.

See further, p. 225, note.—EDIT.

Lord Camden.—EDIT.

At this time chief justice in Eyre, with a salary of £3000, and just appointed a privy counsellor.—EDIT.

4 Mr De Grey, afterwards lord Walsingham .-

5 Doctor Blackstone had been, unfortunately for himself, an adviser of sir James Lowther against the duke of Portland, in the dispute concerning the Cumberland crown lands, upon the obsolete law of nullum tempus. See further,

zar domestic man, and as an omen of the be an unquestionable proof of his Grace's future delicacy and correctness of his con- humanity. duct, he marries a first cousin of the man, ma cousine.

support of virtuous families in distress, will position, it is not of such corrigible stuff,

As to public affairs, Old Noll is a little who had fixed that mark and title of tender of descending to particulars. He infamy upone him, which, at the same does not deny that Corsica has been sacrimoment, makes a husband unhappy and ficed to France, and he confesses, that with ridiculous. The ties of consanguinity may regard to America, his patron's measures possibly preserve him from the same fate a have been subject to some variation; but second time, and as to the distress of meet- then he promises wonders of stability and ing, I take for granted the venerable uncle firmness for the future. These are mysof these common cousins has settled the teries, of which we must not pretend to etiquette in such a manner, that, if a mis- judge by experience; and truly, I fear, we take should happen, it may reach no farther shall perish in the Desart, before we arrive than from Madame ma femme to Madame at the Land of Promise. In the regular course of things, the period of the duke of The duke of Grafton has always some Grafton's ministerial manhood should now excellent reason for deserting his friends.- be approaching. The imbecility of his The age and incapacity of lord Chatham; infant state was committed to lord Chat--the debility of lord Rockingham; -or ham. Charles Townshend took some care the infamy of Mr Wilkes. There was a of his education 6 at that ambiguous age, time indeed when he did not appear to be which lies between the follies of political quite so well acquainted, or so violently childhood, and the vices of puberty. The offended with the infirmities of his friends, empire of the passions soon succeeded. But now I confess they are not ill ex- His earliest principles and connexions were changed for the youthful, vigorous virtue of course forgotten or despised. The comof the duke of Bedford; -the firmness of pany he has lately kept has been of no general Conway;2-the blunt, or if I may service to his morals; and, in the conduct call it, the aukward integrity of Mr Rigby, 3 of public affairs, we see the character and the spotless morality of lord Sandwich.4 of his time of life strongly distinguished. If a large pension to a broken gambler An obstinate ungovernable self-sufficiency be an act worthy of commendation, the plainly points out to us that state of imduke of Grafton's connexions will furnish perfect maturity, at which the graceful him with many opportunities of doing levity of youth is lost, and the solidity of praiseworthy actions; and as he himself experience not yet acquired. It is possible bears no part of the expense, the generosity the young man may in time grow wiser, of distributing the public money for the and reform; but, if I understand his dis-

Lord Chatham, it is well known, laboured under a premature decrepitude of body, from frequent and violent attacks of the gout: but his mind was never affected by such paroxysms .-

² See his character in Letter XI., p. 155,

note.—Edit.

3 Mr Rigby was introduced into political life by the duke of Bedford, to whom he had chiefly recommended himself by his convivial talents. He at length attained the lucrative post of pay-master of the British forces. His pretensions to

their lordships' interference; in consequence of which, the writer was prosecuted by the crown. The irony of the expression here adopted, proceeds from the well-known fact that lord Sandwich was at this very time the most profligate and blasphemous of all the Bedford party.— EDIT. 5 Sir John Moore.

⁶ Charles Townshend, younger brother of the first marquis Townshend, who had been inducted into political life under the banners of the first lord Holland, drew up the plan for taxing America, which the duke of Grafton was persuaded to integrity are well known even to the present adopt, and thus avowed himself to be, in this moment to have been rather natheurst.—Entr.

4 It was lord Sandwich, who, in conjunction
with Dr Warburton, complained to the House of
Lords, of Wilkes's Essay on Woman, and induced

Lords, of Wilkes's Essay on Woman, and induced

that we should hove for any amendment in est capacity, may determine for himself. not until he has ruined his estate.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER XV.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON. MY LORD. 8 Fuly, 1769.

wishes and principles of your heart, she there is but one appeal. would have made you, perhaps, the most ployed, under a limited monarch, to acmon dexterity. But truly, my Lord, the resentment. execution has been as gross as the de-

him, before he has accomplished the de- It is not an alarm to the passions, but a struction of this country. Like other rakes, calm appeal to the judgment of the people. he may perhaps live to see his error, but upon their own most essential interests. A more experienced minister would not have hazarded a direct invasion of the first principles of the constitution, before he had made some progress in subduing the spirit of the people. With such a cause as yours, my Lord, it is not sufficient that you have the court at your devotion, unless you can find means to corrupt or intimidate the IF nature had given you an under- jury. The collective body of the people standing qualified to keep pace with the form that jury, and from their decision

Whether you have talents to support formidable minister that ever was em- you, at a crisis of such difficulty and danger, should long since have been considered. complish the ruin of a free people. When Judging truly of your disposition, you have neither the feelings of shame, the reproaches perhaps mistaken the extent of your capaof conscience, nor the dread of punishment, city. Good faith and folly have so long form any bar to the designs of a minister, been received for synonymous terms, that the people would have too much reason to the reverse of the proposition has grown lament their condition, if they did not find into credit, and every villain fancies himself some resource in the weakness of his un- a man of abilities. It is the apprehension derstanding. We owe it to the bounty of of your friends, my Lord, that you have Providence, that the completest depravity drawn some hasty conclusion of this sort, of the heart is sometimes strangely united and that a partial reliance upon your moral with a confusion of the mind, which coun-character has betrayed you beyond the teracts the most favourite principles, and depth of your understanding. You have makes the same man treacherous without now carried things too far to retreat. You art, and a hypocrite without deceiving, have plainly declared to the people what The measures, for instance, in which your they are to expect from the continuance of Grace's activity has been chiefly exerted, your administration. It is time for your as they were adopted without skill, should Grace to consider what you also may exhave been conducted with more than com- pect in return from their spirit and their

Since the accession of our most gracious sign. By one decisive step, you have de-sovereign to the throne, we have seen a feated all the arts of writing. You have system of government, which may well be fairly confounded the intrigues of opposi- called a reign of experiments. Parties of tion, and silenced the clamours of faction. all denominations have been employed and A dark, ambiguous system, might require dismissed. The advice of the ablest men and furnish the materials of ingenious illus- in this country has been repeatedly called tration; and, in doubtful measures, the for and rejected; and when the Royal disvirulent exaggeration of party must be empleasure has been signified to a minister, ployed, to rouse and engage the passions the marks of it have usually been proporof the people. You have now brought the tioned to his abilities and integrity. The merits of your administration to an issue, spirit of the FAVOURITE had some apparent on which every Englishman, of the narrow- influence upon every administration; and

compliances which were expected from their station. A submissive administration was at last gradually collected from the deserters of all parties, interests, and connexions: and nothing remained but to find a leader for these gallant well-disciplined troops. Stand forth, my Lord, for thou art the man. Lord Bute found no resource of dependence or security in the proud imposing superiority of lord Chatham's abilities, the shrewd inflexible judgment of Mr Grenville,1 nor in the mild but determined integrity of lord Rockingham. His views and situation required a creature void of all these properties; and he was forced to go through every division, resolution, composition, and refinement of political chemistry. before he happily arrived at the caput mortuum of vitriol in your Grace. Flat and insipid in your retired state, but brought into action, you become vitriol again. Such are the extremes of alternate indo-

^x Mr G. Grenville, younger brother of lord Temple, and brother-in-law to lord Chatham, was a political élève of his maternal uncle lord Cobham. He first attached himself to the Tory party, in consequence of marrying the daughter of sir W. Wyndham, the confidential friend of Bolingbroke, and father of lord Egremont; and was made one of the secretaries of state, when lord Bute in 1762 was appointed first lord of the Treasury. He planned the American Stamp

every set of ministers preserved an appear- that your sovereign has been persuaded to ance of duration, as long as they submitted doubt of the affections of his subjects, and to that influence. But there were certain the people to suspect the virtues of their services to be performed for the Favourite's sovereign, at a time when both were unsecurity, or to gratify his resentments, questionable. You have degraded the which your predecessors in office had the royal dignity into a base, dishonourable wisdom or the virtue not to undertake. competition with Mr Wilkes, nor had you The moment this refractory spirit was disabilities to carry even this last contemptible covered, their disgrace was determined, triumph over a private man, without the Lord Chatham, Mr Grenville, and lord grossest violation of the fundamental laws Rockingham have successively had the of the constitution and rights of the people. honour to be dismissed, for preferring their But these are rights, my lord, which you duty as servants of the public, to those can no more annihilate, than you can the soil to which they are annexed. The guestion no longer turns upon points of national honour and security abroad, or on the degrees of expedience and propriety of measures at home. It was not inconsistent that you should abandon the cause of liberty in another country,2 which you had persecuted in your own; and in the common arts of domestic corruption, we miss no part of sir Robert Walpole's system 3 except his abilities. In this humble imitative line, you might long have proceeded, safe and contemptible. You might, probably, never have risen to the dignity of being hated, and even have been despised with moderation. But it seems you meant to be distinguished, and, to a mind like yours, there was no other road to fame but by the destruction of a noble fabric, which you thought had been too long the admiration of mankind. The use you have made of the military force introduced an alarmlence or fury, which have governed your ing change in the mode of executing the whole administration. Your circumstances laws. The arbitrary appointment of Mr with regard to the people soon becoming Luttrell invades the foundation of the laws desperate, like other honest servants, you themselves, as it manifestly transfers the determined to involve the best of masters right of legislation from those whom the in the same difficulties with yourself. We people have chosen, to those whom they owe it to your Grace's well-directed labours, have rejected. With a succession of such

> Act, and commenced the opposition to Wilkes. He afterwards, however, became disgusted with lord Bute, and, upon his resignation, firmly attached himself to the party of lord Rocking-ham; the most pure and unmixt Whig leader of his day, with whom also lord Temple and the earl of Chatham had now united themselves. See further, pages ooo and 196.—EDIT.
>
> Corsica.—EDIT.

³ See note to Letter XVI., p. 170.-EDIT.

appointments, we may soon see a House of enemies of the constitution. Consider. mv county of Middlesex.

sulted.

juries you have done this country are such as demand not only redress, but vengeance. In vain shall you look for protection to that venal vote, which you have already paid save a minister, the House of Commons must declare themselves not only independent of their constituents, but the determined

Commons collected, in the choice of which Lord, whether this be an extremity to the other towns and counties of England which their fears will permit them to adwill have as little share as the devoted vance; or, if their protection should fail you, how far you are authofized to rely Yet. I trust, your Grace will find that the upon the sincerity of those smiles, which a people of this country are neither to be in- pious court lavishes without reluctance timidated by violent measures, nor deceived upon a libertine by profession. It is not. by refinements. When they see Mr Luttrell indeed, the least of the thousand contraseated in the House of Commons by mere dictions which attend you, that a man, dint of power, and in direct opposition to marked to the world by the grossest violathe choice of a whole county, they will tion of all ceremony and decorum, should not listen to those subtleties, by which be the first servant of a court, in which every arbitrary exertion of authority is ex- prayers are morality, and kneeling is replained into the law and privilege of parlia- ligion. Trust not too far to appearances, ment. It requires no persuasion of argu- by which your predecessors have been dement, but simply the evidence of the senses, ceived, though they have not been injured. to convince them, that to transfer the right Even the best of princes may at last disof election from the collective to the repre- cover, that this is a contention, in which sentative body of the people, contradicts everything may be lost, but nothing can be all those ideas of a House of Commons, gained; and as you became minister by which they have received from their fore- accident, were adopted without choice. fathers, and which they have already, trusted without confidence, and continued though vainly perhaps, delivered to their without favour, be assured that, whenever children. The principles, on which this an occasion presses, you will be discarded violent measure has been defended, have without even the forms of regret. You added scorn to injury, and forced us to feel, will then have reason to be thankful, if you that we are not only oppressed, but in, are permitted to retire to that seat of learning, which in contemplation of the system With what force, my Lord, with what of your life, the comparative purity of your protection are you prepared to meet the manners with those of their high steward, united detestation of the people of England? and a thousand other recommending cir-The city of London has given a generous cumstances, has chosen you to encourage example to the kingdom, in what manner a the growing virtue of their youth, and to king of this country ought to be addressed; 1 preside over their education.2 Whenever and I fancy, my Lord, it is not yet in your the spirit of distributing prebends and courage to stand between your sovereign bishoprics shall have departed from you, and the addresses of his subjects. The in- you will find that learned seminary perfectly recovered from the delirium of an installation, and, what in truth it ought to be, once more a peaceful scene of slumber and thoughtless meditation. The venerafor-another must be purchased; and to ble tutors of the university will no longer distress your modesty, by proposing you for a pattern to their pupils. The learned dulness of declamation will be silent; 3 and

> 3 Dr Hinchliffe, afterwards bishop of Peterborough, in his official situation as Vice-Chan-cellor of Cambridge, made an oration in praise of the duke of Grafton, on introducing him to the Senate-house, on the morning of his installa-

² See this subject farther noticed in Letter XXXVII.

² The duke of Grafton was chancellor, and lord Sandwich high steward, of the university of Cambridge.-EDIT.

even the venal must 1 though happiest in expel one of their own members; that it wish that your retreat might be deferred. until your morals shall happily be ripened to that maturity of corruption, at which the worst examples cease to be contagious.

IUNIUS.

LETTER XVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

19 Fuly, 1769.

A GREAT deal of useless argument might have been saved, in the political contest which has arisen upon the expulsion of Mr Wilkes, and the subsequent appointmain question, and never arrives at truth. ber.' Both parties in the dispute are apt enough man, who is conscious of the weakness of his cause, is interested in concealing it: and, on the other side, it is not uncommon to see a good cause mangled by advocates. who do not know the real strength of it.

that the House of Commons have a right to will it be thought reasonable to prove the

tion to the chancellorship of that university.-

fiction, will forget your virtues. Yet, for belongs to them to judge of the validity of the benefit of the succeeding age. I could elections; or that the law of parliament is part of the law of the land?2 After all these propositions are admitted, Mr Luttrell's right to his seat will continue to be just as disputable as it was before. Not one of them is at present in agitation. et it be admitted that the House of Commons were authorized to expel Mr Wilkes; that they are the proper court to judge of elections, and that the law of parliament is binding upon the people : still it remains to be enquired whether the House, by their resolution in favour of Mr Luttrell, have, or have not, truly declared that law. To facilitate this enquiry. I would have the question cleared of all foreign or indifferent matter. The following state of it will probably be ment of Mr Luttrell, if the question had thought a fair one by both parties; and been once stated with precision, to the satis- then. I imagine, there is no gentleman in faction of each party, and clearly under- this country, who will not be capable of stood by them both. But in this, as in forming a judicious and true opinion upon almost every other dispute, it usually hap- it. I take the question to be strictly this: pens that much time is lost in referring to a 'Whether or no it be the known, establishmultitude of cases and precedents, which ed law of parliament, that the expulsion of prove nothing to the purpose, or in main- a member of the House of Commons of taining propositions, which are either not itself creates in him such an incapacity to disputed, or, whether they be admitted or be re-elected, that, at a subsequent election, denied, are entirely indifferent as to the any votes given to him are null and void, matter in debate; until at last the mind, and that any other candidate, who, except perplexed and confounded with the endless the person expelled, has the greatest numsubtleties of controversy, loses sight of the ber of votes, ought to be the sitting mem-

To prove that the affirmative is the law to practise these dishonest artifices. The of parliament, I apprehend it is not sufficient for the present House of Commons to declare it to be so. We may shut our eyes indeed to the dangerous consequences of suffering one branch of the legislature to declare new laws, without argument or I should be glad to know, for instance, to example, and it may perhaps be prudent what purpose, in the present case, so many enough to submit to authority; but a mere precedents have been produced to prove, assertion will never convince, much less

Hence 1 avaunt! 'tis holy ground— Comus and his midnight crew, &c.—EDIT.

He alludes to Gray's celebrated Ode to Music, composed and performed on the installa-tion of his Grace as chancellor of the university; beginning,

² The reader will observe that these admissions are made, not as of truths unquestionable, but for the sake of argument, and in order to bring the real question to issue.

right by the fact itself. The ministry have statute existing, by which that specific disfairly, it will either be necessary to produce will then be at an end. some statute, in which that positive provithe common and statute law.

The consideration of what may be reason- and probabilities. able or unreasonable makes no part of this

will venture to affirm, 1st, That there is no

* Precedents, in opposition to principles, have little weight with JUNIUS; but he thought it necessary to meet the ministry upon their own ground.

² Case of the Middlesex Election considered,

his fact occurred while Mr Walpole was in an inferior capacity to that in which he afterwards appeared so conspicuously as prime minister of George I. and George II. At the period in question, the Tories having obtained a majority in parliament, expelled him for the crime of having accepted profits upon a military contract, while secretary at war, and at the same time possessed influence enough to have him committed to the Tower. He was member for Lynn Regis, the burgesses of which borough were warmly attached to him. It was for this borough he had been returned at an early period of his

leading part in the Tory administration of

not yet pretended to such a tyranny over ability, which we speak of, is created. If our minds. To support the affirmative there be, let it be produced. The argument

andly, That there is no precedent in all sion shall have been made, that specific dis- the proceedings of the House of Commons ability clearly created, and the consequences which comes entirely home to the present of it declared; or, if there be no such case, viz. 'where an expelled member has statute, the custom of parliament must then been returned again, and another candibe referred to, and some case or cases, 1 date, with an inferior number of votes, has strictly in point, must be produced, with been declared the sitting member.' If there the decision of the court upon them; for I be such a precedent, let it be given to us readily admit that the custom of parliament, plainly, and I am sure it will have more once clearly proved, is equally binding with weight than all the cunning arguments which have been drawn from inferences

The ministry, in that laborious pamphlet, question. We are enquiring what the law which, I presume, contains the whole is, not what it ought to be. Reason may strength of the party, have declared.2 'That be applied to show the impropriety or expe- Mr Walpole's 3 was the first and only indience of a law, but we must have either stance, in which the electors of any county statute or precedent to prove the existence or borough had returned a person expelled of it. At the same time I do not mean to to serve in the same parliament.' It is not admit that the late resolution of the House possible to conceive a case more exactly in of Commons is defensible on general prin-point. Mr Walpole was expelled, and ciples of reason, any more than in law, having a majority of votes at the next This is not the hinge on which the debate election, was returned again. The friends of Mr Taylor, a candidate set up by the Supposing, therefore, that I have laid ministry, petitioned the House that he might down an accurate state of the question, I be the sitting member.4 Thus far the cir-

> From the disgrace into which he was hereby for a long time plunged, he was at length re-lieved by the failure of the minister's favourite expedient of the South Sea incorporation, and the extreme unpopularity in which he was con-sequently involved. Walpole now triumphed upon the ruin of his rival; became prime minister, retained the post through the whole of the existing and part of the next reign, and for his services was created earl of Orford .- EDIT.

> 4 The following are the particulars of this case, as extracted from the journals of the House of

Commons:

'On the 23 of February 1711, a petition of the freemen and free-burghers of the borough of King's Lynn, in the county of Norfolk, was presented to the House, and read; setting forth, that Monday the eleventh of February last, being appointed for chusing a member to serve in parliament for this borough, in the room of Robert Walpole, Esq., expelled this House, Samuel Taylor, Esq. was elected their burgess; but John Bagg, present mayor of the said borough, refused to return the said Samuel Taylor, though required so to do; and returned the said Robert Walpole, though expelled this

cumstances tally exactly, except that our poses with itself, could possibly under-House of Commons saved Mr Luttrell the stand. trouble of petitioning. The point of law If, in a case of this importance, I thought however was the same. It came regularly myself at liberty to argue from suppositions might correct their error. I answer,

prove, to a fact which proves itself.

II. That if this were the intention of the House. House of Commons, it must have defeated had before rejected.

parliament, and what I presume no man, this country, which cannot be deceived. whose understanding is not at cross-pur-

House, and then a prisoner in the Tower, and

House, and then a prisoner in the Tower, and praying the consideration of the House.

March 6th. The order of the day being read of taking into consideration the merits of the petition of the freemen and free-burghers of the borough of King's Lynn in the county of Norfolk, and a motion being made that council be called in, upon a division, it was resolved in the negative. Tellers for the yeas sir Charles Turmer, Mr Pulteney, 127. Tellers for the noes, Sir Simeon Stuart, Mr Foster, 212.—A motion being made, and the question put, that Robert Walsole. Esc. having been this session of parlia-Walpole, Esq., having been this session of parliament committed a prisoner to the Tower of Lon-don, and expelled this House for an high breach of trust in the execution of his office, and notorious corruption, when secretary at war was, and

before the House, and it was their business rather than from facts. I think the proto determine upon it. They did determine bability, in this instance, is directly the it, for they declared Mr Taylor not duly reverse of what the ministry affirm; and elected. If it be said that they meant this that it is much more likely that the House resolution as matter of favour and indulg- of Commons at that time would rather have ence to the borough, which had retorted strained a point in favour of Mr Taylor, Mr Walpole upon them, in order that the than that they would have violated the law burgesses. knowing what the law was, of parliament, and robbed Mr Taylor of a right legally vested in him, to gratify a I. That it is a strange way of arguing, refractory borough, which, in defiance of to oppose a supposition, which no man can them, had returned a person branded with the strongest mark of the displeasure of the

But really, Sir, this way of talking, for I itself. The burgesses of Lynn could never cannot call it argument, is a mockery of have known their error, much less could the common understanding of the nation, they have corrected it, by any instruction too gross to be endured. Our dearest they received from the proceedings of the interests are at stake. An attempt has House of Commons. They might perhaps been made, not merely to rob a single have foreseen, that, if they returned Mr county of its rights, but, by inevitable con-Walpole again, he would again be rejected: sequence, to alter the constitution of the but they never could infer, from a resolution House of Commons. This fatal attempt by which the candidate with the fewest has succeeded, and stands as a precedent, votes was declared not duly elected, that, at recorded for ever. If the ministry are a future election, and in similar circum- unable to defend their cause by fair argustances, the House of Commons would ment, founded on facts, let them spare us reverse their resolution, and receive the at least the mortification of being amused same candidate as duly elected, whom they and deluded like children. I believe there is yet a spirit of resistance in this country. This indeed would have been a most ex- which will not submit to be oppressed; but traordinary way of declaring the law of I am sure there is a fund of good sense in

JUNIUS.

is, incapable of being elected a member to serve in this present parliament, it was resolved, upon a division, in the affirmative. Then a motion being made, and the question put, that Samuel Taylor, Esq. is duly elected a burgess to serve in the present parliament for the borough of King's Lynn in the county of Norfolk, it passed in the negative. Resolved, that the late election of a burgess to serve in the present parliament for the said borough of King's Lynn, in the county of Norfolk, is a void election. — Edit. 1 See the Editor's note to Letter XLVI., in

which the reader will find a particular account of the steps taken by Mr Wilkes to procure the erasure of these proceedings from the journals of the House of Commons.—EDIT.

LETTER XVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, I August, 1769.

IT will not be necessary for JUNIUS

It seems but fair that the reader should be put into possession of both the papers which it is the object of the present letter to oppose; but more especially the latter, which was written by Dr Blackstone, and a passage from another part of which JUNIUS, fost, p. 187, contrasts with one from the Commentaries. The Editor has therefore extracted them from the journal referred to. TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

I HAVE perused, with all due attention. the letter of Junius, inserted in your paper of the 19th inst. I perfectly agree with him, that a great deal of useless argument might have been saved in the political contest which has arisen upon the expulsion of Mr Wilkes, and the subsequent appointment of Mr Luttrell, if the ques-tion had been once stated with precision to the satisfaction of each party. Yet after all the in-genious pains Junius has taken, I much doubt whether the question, as he has thought fit to state it, will at all satisfy more than one party. The question, as he has given it, is, 'Whether or no it be the known established law of parliament, that the expulsion of a member of the House of Commons, of itself creates in him such an incapacity of being re-elected, that at a subsequent election, any votes given to him are null and void, and that any other candidate who, except the person expelled, has the greatest number of votes, ought to be the sitting member?' JUNIUS having thus formed his question, entertains the reader with a few spirited flourishes, not perhaps directly ad rem; and then asserts, what probably the party he opposes will not deny, viz. That to support the affirmative fairly, it will either be necessary to produce some statute, in which that positive provision shall have been made, that specific disability clearly created, and the consequences of it declared; or if there be no such statute, the custom of parliament must then be referred to, and some case, or cases, strictly in point, must be produced, with the decision of the court upon them.' Suppose, for argument's sake, that no such statute, no such custom of parliament, no such case in point can be produced, does it therefore follow that the determination of the House of Commons, in regard to Mr Wilkes and Mr Luttrell, was wrong? Have not the members of the present House as good a right to establish a precedent, as the members of any antecedent House ever had? JUNIUS admits a right in the House to expel. But was there not a time prior to all expulsion? and was the first expulsion therefore wrong? Was

to take the trouble of answering your correspondent G. A., or the quotation from a speech without-doors, published in your paper of the 28th of last month. The

or any such, precedent therefore wrong? Are things wrong merely because they were never done before? Or do wrong things become right by mere dint of repetition? Should JUNIUS think fit to answer these questions, I may be induced perhaps to enlarge upon the subject.

I am, Sir,

Your humble servant,

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, Suly 28, 1769.

In answer to the arguments and observations of your correspondent JUNIUS (relating to the vote of the 9th of May, in favour of colonel Luttrell) I send you the following extract from a pamphlet just published, which please to insert as soon as you can, and thereby oblige,

AN OLD CORRESPONDENT.

A speech without-doors upon the subject of a vote given on the 9th day of May, 1769.

'Your question I will answer, having first premised, that if you are satisfied we did right in setting aside Mr Wilkes's election, I cannot believe it will be a very difficult task to convince you that the admitting of Mr Luttrell was the unavoidable consequence. "No (say you); for surely you might have declared it a void election. Why go greater lengths than in former times, even the most heated and violent; it was ever though proper to go? Or upon what ground, either of reason or authority, can you justify the vote you gave, that Mr Luttrell, who, certainly had not the majority, was duly elected?" The question you have a right to put to me, and I mean to give it a direct answer.

'Now the principle upon which I voted was this, that in all cases of election by a majority of votes, wherever the candidate for whom the most votes are given, appears to have been, at the time of the election, under a known legal incapacity, the person who had the next greatest number of votes ought to be considered as the person duly elected. And this, as a general principle, I take to be altogether uncontroverti-ble. We may differ in our ways of expressing the principle, or of explaining the grounds of it: some chusing to state it, that the electors voting for such incapable person, do for that time forfeit their right of voting; others, that their votes are thrown away; and others, that votes for a person not legally capable, are not legal votes. in whatever way we assign the ground of the rule, the result and conclusion is still the same, that, in every such case, the election of the capable person by the inferior number of votes, is a good and valid election.

and was the first expulsion therefore wrong? Was "Nor is this rule, founded as it is in sound there not a time prior to every other precedent sense and public necessity, to be put on in the journals of the House? But was every such, countenance by a little ingenious sophistry, play-

speech appeared before Junius's letter, and depends, viz. that Mr Wilkes was under

ing upon the ambiguity of certain undefined terms, taunting us with the reproach of elections by a minority, of inverting the rules of arithme-tic, and the like. Not even the sacredness of the rights of the electors can stand against its authority; for sacred as those rights ought ever to be held, the exercise of them, as well as of all the other rights of individuals, must ever be confined within such bounds, and governed by such rules, as are consistent with the attainment of the great public ends for which they were established. But could any thing be more preposterous than if, while you are securing to individuals the right they have to take part in determining who shall be appointed to discharge the several public offices and trusts, no care should be taken that the public, in all events, may be secure of having any persons appointed at all? Yet to this inconvenience the public must be perpetually exposed, if the rule were to be strictly and invariably followed, that nothing but a majority of the electors could ever make a good election. That a majority of the whole number entitled to have voice in the election, is not necessary, will be readily admitted; for at that rate, the absence of one half of the electors might defeat the possibility of any election at all. Neither is it necessary, in order to a candidate's being duly elected, that he should have the votes of more than one half of the electors present; since, if it were, diversity of inclinations among the electors, and the put-ting up of three candidates, might as completely frustrate all possibility of supplying the vacancy, as the absence of one half of the electors would in the former case. Accordingly, therefore, we constantly see, that wherever there are more than two candidates for one vacancy, the election is determined, not so properly by a majority, as by a plurality of voices; and the candidate who has more voices than any one of his competitors, although fewer than one half of the electors present, is always determined to be well and duly elected; there being, indeed, no other method allowed by the constitution, of voting against one candidate, but by voting for another; nor any liberty of declaring whom I would prefer in the second place, in case my first vote should prove ineffectual; either of which allowances might prevent any election being made.

'Thus far then we are guarded against the public service being disappointed, either by the remissness of the electors in absenting themselves from the election, or by such a diversity of opinions among the electors present, as, though innocent in itself, would yet be of fatal consequence to the public, should it be suffered to operate so far as to prevent any effectual election from taking place. But much in vain have these rules been established, if it is still to be in the power of the same number of electors, by a little management, same number of electors, by a little management, to effect the same purpose, and put an effectual six as one of the representatives for the county bar to all possibility of a valid election. Had they, of Middlesx."—EDIT.

as the author seems to consider the great that known legal incapacity, of which proposition, on which all his argument Junius speaks, as a point granted, his

> by staying away, declared that they would take no part in supplying the vacancy, their fellowelectors, who chose to exercise their franchise, and upon whom, in that case, the complete right would have devolved, might have exercised their right accordingly, and the public service would have been provided for. But shall they be allowed to come, and by declaring that they will vote against one candidate, but for no other, or by voting for a person whom they know to be incapable of holding the office, as truly, to all intents and purposes, deprive their fellows of their right, and the public of its due, as if, instead of coming, they had only sent a prohibition of proceeding to any election till it should be their good pleasure to suffer one? Against such a mockery of the public authority common sense reclaims; and has, therefore, provided against this abuse, by pointing out this farther qualifica-tion of the rule by which elections are to be decided. That, as the electors who give no vote at all, have no power of excluding any candidate for whom other electors do vote, so those who give their votes for a person whom they know to be by law incapable, are to be considered exactly on the same footing as if they gave no votes at all? Not to give any vote, to declare I vote for nobody, or to vote for the Great Mogul, must

undoubtedly have the same effect.
Thus then it appeared to me, that the general rule, that in case of a known legal incapacity in the person having the majority of voices, the capable person next upon the poll, although chosen by a minority, is duly elected, is consonant to reason, is the dictate of common sense.

'That it had also the sanction of authority, I was as clearly convinced. The practice of the courts of law, in such cases, seems not to be disputed; they have, by repeated decisions, estab-

lished the principle.

'Upon these grounds, therefore, both of reason and authority, I not only thought myself fully justified in giving my vote, that Mr Luttrell was duly elected, but in truth I could not think myself at liberty to vote otherwise, being convinced that as, on the one hand, by so voting I should do no wrong to the 1143 freeholders of Middlesex, who, for the chance of being able to overbear the authority of the House of Commons, which had adjudged Mr Wilkes to be incapable, had chosen to forego their right of taking part in the nomination of a capable person in his room; so, by a contrary decision, I should have done a most manifest injustice to Mr Luttrell, and to the 296 freeholders who voted for him; and who in failure of a nomination by an equal number of freeholders of any other capable candidate, had, upon every principle of reason, and every rule of law, as well as according to the uniform usage speech is, in no shape, an answer to arisen, it has invariably been disputed or dehate.

favour of Mr Luttrell.

and false as the former.

The privileges of either House of Parliament, it is true, are indefinite, that is, they have not been described or laid down in but whenever a question of privilege has

TUNIUS, for this is the very question in maintained upon the footing of precedents alone.1 In the course of the proceedings As to G. A., I observe first, that if he did upon the Aylesbury election, the House of not admit of Junius's state of the question, Lords resolved, 'That neither House of he should have shown the fallacy of it, or Parliament had any power, by any vote or given us a more exact one, -secondly, that declaration, to create to themselves any considering the many hours and days, new privilege that was not warranted by which the ministry and their advocates have the known laws and customs of parliawasted, in public debate, in compiling large ment.' And to this rule the House of quartos, and collecting innumerable prece- Commons, though otherwise they had acted dents, expressly to prove that the late pro- in a very arbitrary manner, gave their ceedings of the House of Commons are assent, for they affirmed that they had warranted by the law, custom, and practice guided themselves by it, in asserting their of parliament, it is rather an extraordinary privileges .- Now, Sir, if this be true with supposition, to be made by one of their own respect to matters of privilege, in which the party, even for the sake of argument, that House of Commons, individually and as a no such statute, no such custom of parlia- body, are principally concerned, how much ment, no such case in point, can be pro- more strongly will it hold against any preduced. G. A. may however make the sun-tended power in that House, to create or position with safety. It contains nothing, declare a new law, by which not only the but literally the fact, except that there is a rights of the House over their own member. case exactly in point, with a decision of the and those of the member himself, are con-House, diametrically opposite to that which cluded, but also those of a third and separthe present House of Commons came to in ate party, I mean the freeholders of the kingdom. To do justice to the ministry, they The ministry now begin to be ashamed have not yet pretended that any one or any of the weakness of their cause, and, as it two of the three estates have power to make usually happens with falsehood, are driven a new law, without the concurrence of the to the necessity of shifting their ground, third. They know that a man who mainand changing their whole defence. At first tains such a doctrine, is liable, by statute, we were told that nothing could be clearer to the heaviest penalties. They do not than that the proceedings of the House of acknowledge that the House of Commons Commons were justified by the known law have assumed a new privilege, or declared and uniform custom of parliament. But a new law.—On the contrary, they affirm now it seems, if there be no law, the House that their proceedings have been strictly of Commons have a right to make one, and conformable to and founded upon the if there be no precedent, they have a right ancient law and custom of parliament, to create the first :- for this, I presume, is Thus therefore the question returns to the the amount of the questions proposed to point, at which JUNIUS had fixed it, viz. JUNIUS. If your correspondent had been Whether or no this be the law of parliaat all versed in the law of parliament, or ment. If it be not, the House of Commons generally in the laws of this country, he had no legal authority to establish the prewould have seen that this defence is as weak | cedent; and the precedent itself is a mere fact, without any proof of right whatsoever.

Your correspondent concludes with a

This is still meeting the ministry upon their any one code or declaration whatsoever; own ground: for, in truth, no precedents will but whenever a question of privilege has positive right.

question of the simplest nature: Must a hold it, Sir, that an injury offered to an whole county, and in effect the entire col- but address myself to you directly. lective body of the people, may again be legislature.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER XVIII.

GENERAL TO HER MAJESTY.

29 Fuly, 1769.

I SHALL make you no apology for considering a certain pamphlet, in which your late conduct is defended, as written by yourself.1 The personal interest, the personal resentments, and above all, that wounded spirit, unaccustomed to reproach, and I hope not frequently conscious of de-

This was at last admitted by the friends of sex considered,' attributed to Mr Dyson, who the solicitor-general. The pamphlet was en-titled, 'An answer to the question stated;' and was a reply to a pamphlet from sir William Meredith, one of the most active members of parlament of the Whig party, entitled, 'The question stated,' in reference to the adjudication of Willhed in the control of the of Wilkes's incapacity to sit in parliament after his last election; in the course of which also, the inconsistency of opinion between that delivered by the solicitor-general in his Commentaries, and that on the point in question, was severely animadverted upon.

The press was overwhelmed with tracts on this dispute from both sides. Of these, the chief, independently of sir William Meredith's and the reply to it by sir William Blackstone, were 'The

thing be wrong because it has never been individual is interesting to society. On done before? No. But admitting it were this principle the people of England made proper to be done, that alone does not common cause with Mr Wilkes. On this convey an authority to do it. As to the principle, if you are injured, they will join present case, I hope I shall never see the in your resentment. I shall not follow you time, when not only a single person, but a through the insipid form of a third person,

You seem to think the channel of a robbed of their birthright by a vote of the pamphlet more respectable and better House of Commons. But if, for reasons suited to the dignity of your cause than which I am unable to comprehend, it be that of a newspaper. Be it so. Yet if necessary to trust that House with a power newspapers are scurrilous, you must conso exorbitant and so unconstitutional, at fess they are impartial. They give us, least let it be given to them by an act of the without any apparent preference, the wit and argument of the ministry, as well as the abusive dulness of the opposition. The scales are equally poised. It is not the printer's fault if the greater weight inclines the balance.

Your pamphlet then is divided into an TO DR WILLIAM BLACKSTONE, SOLICITOR- attack upon Mr Grenville's character, and a defence of your own. It would have been more consistent, perhaps, with your professed intentions, to have confined yourself to the last. But anger has some claim to indulgence, and railing is usually a relief to the mind. I hope you have found benefit from the experiment. It is not my design to enter into a formal vindication of Mr Grenville, upon his own principles. I have neither the honour of being personally serving it, are signals which betray the known to him,2 nor do I pretend to be author to us as plainly as if your name completely master of all the facts. I need were in the title-page. You appeal to the not run the risk of doing an injustice to his public in defence of your reputation. We opinions, or to his conduct, when your

> sex 'considered,' attributed to Mr Dyson, who was nick-named, by his opponents, Mungo: 'Serious considerations;' 'Mungo on the use of Quotations;' 'Mungo's case considered;' 'Letter to Junus;' 'Postscript to Junus;' yublished in a subsequent edition to sir William Blackstone's reply, and 'The False Alarm,' written by Doctor Johnson. Of all these some incidental notice is taken in the course of the volume before us.-EDIT.

2 This, as already observed in the Preliminary Essay, is a truly singular assertion when taken in connexion with the fact, that Mr Grenville, of all the political characters of the day, appears to have been our author's favourite. He voluntarily independently of sir William Meredith's and the omits every opportunity of censuring him, and reply to it by sir William Blackstone, were 'The readily embraces every occasion of defending case of the last election for the county of Middle and extolling his conduct and principles.—EDIT. pamphlet alone carries, upon the face of it, with the first. It conveys a sneer as little a full vindication of both.

was, of all men, the person who should moment to the public to enquire, by whom not have complained of inconsistence with the charge was conceived, or by whom it regard to Mr Wilkes.2 This, Sir, is either was adopted. The only question we ask an unmeaning sneer, a peevish expression is, whether or no it be true. The remainof resentment, or, if it means any thing, der of your reflections upon Mr Grenville's you plainly beg the question; for whether conduct destroy themselves. He could not his parliamentary conduct with regard to possibly come prepared to traduce your in-Mr Wilkes has or has not been inconsist- tegrity to the House. He could not foreent, remains yet to be proved. But it see that you would even speak upon the seems he received upon the spot a sufficient question, much less could he foresee that chastisement for exercising so unfairly vou would maintain a direct contradiction his talent of misrepresentation. You are a of that doctrine, which you had solemnly. lawyer. Sir. and know better than I do, disinterestedly, and upon soberest reflection upon what particular occasions a talent delivered to the public. He came armed for misrepresentation may be fairly exert- indeed with what he thought a respectable ed; but to punish a man a second time, authority, to support what he was contised, is rather too severe. It is not in the not he intended to give you, in the course of existence but in your own heart. After all, pleased to call a plan coolly formed to immight have occurred to you that, although pique and invective, therefore unworthy you could have succeeded in fixing a charge of regard. But, Sir, I am sensible I have of inconsistence upon Mr Grenville, it followed your example too long, and wanwould not have tended in any shape to dered from the point. exculpate yourself.

glosses of his new ally, is of the same sort ed by your enemies; and I am willing to

worthy of the gravity of your character, as Your first reflection is, that Mr Grenville 1 it is useless to your defence. It is of little when he has been once sufficiently chas- vinced was the cause of truth, and I doubt laws of England; it is not in your own the debate, an honourable and public testi-Commentaries, nor is it yet, I believe, in mony of his esteem. Thinking highly of the new law you have revealed to the House his abilities, I cannot however allow him of Commons. I hope this doctrine has no the gift of divination. As to what you are Sir, if you had consulted that sober discre- pose upon the House of Commons, and his tion, which you seem to oppose with producing it without provocation at midtriumph to the honest jollity of a tavern, it night, I consider it as the language of

The quotation from your Commentaries Your next insinuation, that sir William is matter of record. It can neither be Meredith had hastily adopted the false altered by your friends, nor misrepresent-

* Mr Grenville had quoted a passage from the Doctor's excellent Commentaries, which directly contradicted the principles maintained by the Doctor in the House of Commons.

account of his personal character, but as the instrument of the people at large, whose rights and privileges the ministry have grossly violated by their conduct towards him.—EDIT.

3 An inaccurate expression in the pamphlet alluded to. The chastisement that ensued is related, p. 179. Blackstone was thunderstruck at the contradiction pointed out by Grenville, and was incapable of uttering a word in his defence: —a pause ensued, and Mr Grenville insultingly shook his head: for the rest see the page as above referred to .- EDIT.

4 When Wilkes was prosecuted for publishing the North Briton, lord Mansfield was charged with having altered the record. See p. 104,

² It has been already observed that the opposition to Wilkes commenced with Mr George Grenville, who advised the issue of the General Warrant. It is observed also in the same note, that Grenville afterwards deserted the ministry, and attached himself strenuously to the Whig party, See note, p. 167. Upon this apparent inconsistency Junius shrewdly remarks, that whatever propriety or impropriety there might have been in Mr Grenville's opposing Wilkes the North B personally—the present question has nothing to with having do with it—as he now supports him not on note.—Edit.

take your own word for what you have said returned Mr Wilkes, when colonel Luttrell confess that your book ought to be the ject of their contempt and detestation. WARY.1

us how far the House of Commons were what they will do. authorized to proceed in it by the law and

If, in stating the law upon any point, a judge deliberately affirms that he has included every case, and it should appear that he has purlay a snare for the unwary. - AUTHOR.

in the House of Commons. If there be a was a candidate against him. They would real difference between what you have have chosen some indifferent person, rather written and what you have spoken, you than submit to be represented by the ob-

standard. Now. Sir. if words mean any Your attempt to distinguish between disthing. I apprehend that, when a long abilities which affect whole classes of men. enumeration of disqualifications (whether and those which affect individuals only, is by statute or the custom of parliament) really unworthy of your understanding. concludes with these general comprehen- Your Commentaries had taught me that, sive words, 'but subject to these restrictions although the instance in which a penal and disqualifications, every subject of the law is exerted be particular, the laws themrealm is eligible of common right, a reader, selves are general. They are made for the of plain understanding, must of course rest benefit and instruction of the public, though satisfied that no species of disqualification the penalty falls only upon an individual. whatsoever had been omitted. The known You cannot but know. Sir. that what was character of the author, and the apparent Mr Wilkes's case vesterday may be yours accuracy with which the whole work is or mine to-morrow, and that consequently compiled, would confirm him in his ppin- the common right of every subject of the ion; nor could he possibly form any other realm is invaded by it. Professing therejudgment, without looking upon your Com- fore to treat of the constitution of the mentaries in the same light in which you House of Commons, and of the laws and consider those penal laws, which, though customs relative to that constitution, you not repealed, are fallen into disuse, and certainly were guilty of a most unpardonare now in effect A SNARE TO THE UN- able omission in taking no notice of a right and privilege of the House, more extra-You tell us indeed that it was not part of ordinary and more arbitrary than all the your plan to specify any temporary in- others they possess put together. If the capacity, and that you could not, without expulsion of a member, not under any a spirit of prophecy, have specified the dis- other legal disability, of itself creates in ability of a private individual, subsequent him an incapacity to be re-elected. I see a to the period at which you wrote. What ready way marked out, by which the mayour plan was I know not; but what it jority may at any time remove the honestshould have been, in order to complete the est and ablest men who happen to be in work you have given us, is by no means opposition to them. To say that they will difficult to determine. The incapacity, not make this extravagant use of their which you call temporary, may continue power, would be a language unfit for a seven years; and though you might not man so learned in the laws as you are. have foreseen the particular case of Mr By your doctrine. Sir, they have the power, Wilkes, you might and should have fore- and laws you know are intended to guard seen the possibility of such a case, and told against what men may do, not to trust to

Upon the whole, Sir, the charge against custom of parliament. The freeholders of you is of a plain, simple nature: It ap-Middlesex would then have known what pears even upon the face of your own they had to trust to, and would never have pamphlet. On the contrary, your justification of yourself is full of subtlety and

This last part of the sentence is a quotation posely omitted a material case, he does in effect artfully selected from Blackstone's own works. and turned against himself .- EDIT.

refinement, and in some places not very James's Chronicle first wilfully misunderenemy, I should dwell, with a malignant reasoner. Junius does not say that it was pleasure, upon those great and useful quali- incumbent upon Dr Blackstone to foresee fications, which you certainly possess, and and state the crimes, for which Mr Wilkes by which you once acquired, though they was expelled. If, by a spirit of prophecy. could not preserve to you, the respect and he had even done so, it would have been esteem of your country. I should enum- nothing to the purpose. The question is. erate the honours you have lost, and the not for what particular offences a person virtues you have disgraced: but having no may be expelled, but generally, whether by private resentments to gratify, I think it the law of parliament expulsion alone sufficient to have given my opinion of your creates a disqualification? If the affirmpublic conduct, leaving the punishment it ative be the law of parliament, Doctor deserves to your closet and to yourself.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

14. August, 1769.

A CORRESPONDENT of the St

to:--For the St James's Chronicle.

TO JUNIUS.

Once more, Mr Junius, and but once, let me address a few words to you on the sub-ject of your Antiblackstonian letter, reminding you at the same time, that I am no formal defender of the celebrated commentator (who wants no such defence), but that it is my sole purpose to show that you are not a competent reader of his works, or that you have wilfully

and malevolently perverted them.

You tell Mr Blackstone that 'his attempt to distinguish between disabilities that affect whole classes of men, and those which affect individuals only, is really unworthy his understanding.' And yet, Sir, that is no new distinction; it is not framed and invented by Mr Blackstone. Private or personal laws, whether inflicting penalties and disabilities, or conferring privileges and immu-nities, on the particular object of them, and distinguished from the general and permanent course of law, have been known under all states, and under every legislation, both ancient and modern. They are enacted pro re nata, and lose all their force as soon as they have operated upon the individuals marked out by them But, 'you have been taught, you say (yet surely not from the Commentaries), that, although the instance in which a penal law is exerted be particular, the laws themselves (I must suppose you to speak of the laws now under debate) are general? But, before you could write thus, what demon of confusion must have seized your

If I were personally your stands Junius, then censures him for a bad Blackstone might and should have told us so. The question is not confined to this or that particular person, but forms one great general branch of disqualification. too important in itself, and too extensive in its consequences, to be omitted in an accurate work expressly treating of the law of parliament.

The truth of the matter is evidently this.

The following is a copy of the letter alluded noddle! Were the votes of the House, by which sir Robert Walpole, Mr Ward, and many others, have been expelled, and the act of parliament which inflicted a perpetual exclusion on the S. S. Directors, general laws? Was the vote to expel Mr Wilkes in the last parliament, a generative of the second of the seco al law? So far from it, that its force was quite evaporated, and it could not operate even upon was necessary; and the two votes put together could no more expel Mr Townshend and Mr Sawbridge from the House of Commons, than the decree of the Roman senate on Catiline and the rest of the conspirators, could send our hero

and his whole gang to Tyburn.

Acts of attainder come under the same description of personal, temporary, and particular laws; and that I may help you the better to understand this whole matter, and show you, at the stand thus whole matter, and show you, at the same time, the accuracy and consistency of Mr Blackstone, I shall give you his account of them (Comm. b. IV. p. 250): 'As for acts of parliament to attaint particular persons of treason and felony, or to inflict pains and penalties, beyond or contrary to the common law, to serve a special purpose, I steak not of them (mark that, JUNIUS); being to all intents and purposes, and some particular persons of them of the property of th and purposes new laws, made pro re nata, and by no means an execution of those already in being. I shall now take my leave of you, hav-ing, I hope, sufficiently proved to Mr Baldwin's readers, in the instance you have afforded me, how prettily sometimes a man may write without being able to read.

PUBLITIS

Middle Temple, August 6, 1769.-EDIT.

Dr Blackstone, while he was speaking in pulsion of a member of the House of Comwas unexpectedly urged, and stared him in the face. Instead of defending himself upon the spot, he sunk under the charge, in an agony of confusion and despair. It is well known that there was a pause of some minutes in the House, from a general expectation own defence; but it seems, his faculties were too much overpowered to think of those subtleties and refinements, which have since occurred to him. It was then Mr Grenville received that severe chastisement which the Doctor mentions with so much triumph. I wish the honourable gentleman. instead of shaking his head, would shake a good argument out of it. If to the elegance, novelty, and bitterness of this ingenious sarcasm, we add the natural melody of the amiable sir Fletcher Norton's pipe, we shall able to make him any reply.

hear again from JUNIUS himself.

PHILO JUNIUS.

Postscript 1 to a pamphlet intitled. 'An Answer to the Question stated.' Supposed to be written by Dr Blackstone, solicitor Letter.

ever, bestow a very few words upon him. pacity in law of being re-elected. It will cost me very little trouble to unravel and expose the sophistry of his argument.

the House of Commons, never once thought mons of itself creates in him such an incaof his Commentaries, until the contradiction pacity to be re-elected, that, at a subsequent election, any votes given to him are null and void, and that any other candidate, who, except the person expelled, has the greatest number of votes, ought to be the sitting member.'

Waving for the present any objection I that the Doctor would say something in his may have to this state of the question, I shall venture to meet our champion upon his own ground; and attempt to support the affirmative of it, in one of the two ways, by which he says it can be alone fairly supported. 'If there be no statute,' says he. 'in which the specific disability is clearly created, &c. (and we acknowledge there is none), the custom of parliament must then be referred to, and some case or cases, strictly in point, must be produced, with the decision of the court upon them.' Now I assert, that this has been done. Mr Walnot be surprised that Mr Grenville was un- pole's case is strictly in point, to prove that expulsion creates absolute incapacity of As to the Doctor, I would recommend it being re-elected. This was the clear deto him to be quiet. If not, he may perhaps cision of the House upon it; and was a full declaration, that incapacity was the necessarv consequence of expulsion. The law was as clearly and firmly fixed by this resolution, and is as binding in every subsequent case of expulsion, as if it had been declared by an express statute, 'That a to the Queen, in answer to Junius's member expelled by a resolution of the House of Commons shall be deemed inca-SINCE these papers were sent to pable of being re-elected.' Whatever doubt the press, a writer in the public papers, who then there might have been of the law besubscribes himself JUNIUS, has made a feint fore Mr Walpole's case, with respect to the of bringing this question to a short issue. full operation of a vote of expulsion, there Though the foregoing observations contain, can be none now. The decision of the in my opinion at least, a full refutation of House upon this case is strictly in point to all that this writer has offered, I shall, how- prove, that expulsion creates absolute inca-

But incapacity in law in this instance must have the same operation and effect 'I take the question,' says he, to be with incapacity in law in every other instrictly this: Whether or no it be the known stance. Now, incapacity of being re-electestablished law of parliament, that the ex- ed implies in its very terms, that any votes

William Meredith's pamphlet, as noticed, p. 175, 172, note.-Edit.

This is the Postscript, added in a subsequent note; see also a further extract on this subject, edition, to sir William Blackstone's reply to sir from a 'Speech without-doors,' by sir W. B., p.

given to the incapable person, at a subset o put a case; which, I fancy, will be quite stood, the proposition is self-evident.

Wilkes.

sufficient. But we are stopped short, and may arise.' told, that none of our precedents come proceedings of the House of Commons that stand thus: does come home to it, viz. where an expelled ber.

quent election, are null and void. This as decisive to the present point. Suppose is its necessary operation, or it has no another Sacheverel (and every party must operation at all. It is vox et præterea have its Sacheverel) should, at some future nihil. We can no more be called upon to election, take it into his head to offer himself prove this proposition, than we can to prove a candidate for the county of Middlesex. that a dead man is not alive, or that twice He is opposed by a candidate, whose coat two are four. When the terms are under- is of a different colour; but however of a very good colour. The divine has an in-Lastly. It is in all cases of election, the disputable majority; nay, the poor layman known and established law of the land, is absolutely distanced. The sheriff, after grounded upon the clearest principles of having had his conscience well informed by reason and common sense, that if the votes the reverend casuist, returns him, as he given to one candidate are null and void, supposes, duly elected. The whole House they cannot be opposed to the votes given is in an uproar, at the apprehension of so to another candidate. They cannot affect strange an appearance amongst them. A the votes of such candidate at all. As they motion however is at length made, that the have, on the one hand, no positive quality person was incapable of being elected, that to add or establish, so have they, on the his election therefore is null and void, and other hand, no negative one to subtract or that his competitor ought to have been redestroy. They are, in a word, a mere non-turned. No, says a great orator, First show entity. Such was the determination of the me your law for this proceeding. 'Either House of Commons in the Malden and produce me a statute, in which the specific Bedford elections; cases strictly in point to disability of a clergyman is created; or the present question, as far as they are produce me a precedent where a clergyman meant to be in point. And to say, that has been returned, and another candidate, they are not in point, in all circumstances, with an inferior number of votes, has been in those particularly which are independent declared the sitting member.' No such of the proposition which they are quoted to statute, no such precedent, is to be found. prove, is to say no more than that Malden What answer then is to be given to this deis not Middlesex, nor serjeant Comyns Mr mand? The very same answer which I will give to that of JUNIUS: That there is more Let us see then how our proof stands. than one precedent in the proceedings of Expulsion creates incapacity; incapacity the House--- where an incapable person annihilates any votes given to the incapable has been returned, and another candidate. person. The votes given to the qualified with an inferior number of votes, has been candidate stand upon their own bottom, declared the sitting member; and that this firm and untouched, and can alone have is the known and established law, in all effect. This, one would think, would be cases of incapacity, from whatever cause it

I shall now therefore beg leave to make home to the present case; and are chal- a slight amendment to JUNIUS's state of the lenged to produce 'a precedent in all the question, the affirmative of which will then

'It is the known and established law of member has been returned again, and an- parliament, that the expulsion of any memother candidate, with an inferior number ber of the House of Commons creates in of votes, has been declared the sitting mem- him an incapacity of being re-elected; that any votes given to him at a subsequent Instead of a precedent, I will beg leave election are, in consequence of such inought to be the sitting member.'

the House, and it was their business to elected.

representation, I shall beg leave to oppose ings, was clear beyond the reach of doubt, able.

mons, that they had power to expel, to candidate? disable, and to render incapable for ofpelled him.

proper to fix it, and explicitly to declare the to be law.

capacity, null and void; and that any other full consequences of their former vote. candidate, who, except the person rendered before they suffered these consequences to incapable, has the greatest number of votes, take effect. And in this proceeding they acted upon the most liberal and solid prin-But our business is not yet quite finished. ciples of equity, justice, and law. What Mr Walpole's case must have a re-hearing. then did the burgesses of Lynn collect from 'It is not possible,' says this writer, 'to the second vote? Their subsequent conconceive a case more exactly in point. Mr duct will tell us: it will with certainty tell Walpole was expelled, and having a ma- us, that they considered it as decisive jority of votes at the next election, was against Mr Walpole; it will also, with returned again. The friends of Mr Taylor, equal certainty, tell us, that, upon suppoa candidate set up by the ministry, petition- sition that the law of election stood then ed the House that he might be the sitting as it does now, and that they knew it to member. Thus far the circumstances tally stand thus, they inferred, 'that at a future exactly, except that our House of Com- election, and in case of a similar return, the mons saved Mr Luttrell the trouble of House would receive the same candidate. petitioning. The point of law, however, as duly elected, whom they had before was the same. It came regularly before rejected.' They could infer nothing but this.

It is needless to repeat the circumstance determine upon it. They did determine of dissimilarity in the present case. It will it; for they declared Mr Taylor not duly be sufficient to observe, that as the law of parliament, upon which the House of Com-Instead of examining the justness of this mons grounded every step of their proceedagainst it my own view of this case, in as so neither could the freeholders of Middleplain a manner and as few words as I am sex be at a loss to foresee what must be the inevitable consequence of their proceedings It was the known and established law of in opposition to it. For upon every return parliament, when the charge against Mr of Mr Wilkes, the House made enquiry, Walpole came before the House of Com- whether any votes were given to any other

But I could venture, for the experiment's fences. In virtue of this power they ex- sake, even to give this writer the utmost he asks: to allow the most perfect similarity Had they, in the very vote of expulsion, throughout in these two cases; to allow, adjudged him, in terms, to be incapable of that the law of expulsion was quite as clear being re-elected, there must have been at to the burgesses of Lynn, as to the freeonce an end with him. But though the holders of Middlesex. It will, I am confiright of the House, both to expel, and ad-dent, avail his cause but little. It will only judge incapable, was clear and indubitable, prove, that the law of election at that time it does not appear to me, that the full was different from the present law. It will operation and effect of a vote of expulsion prove, that, in all cases of an incapable singly was so. The law in this case had candidate returned, the law then was, that never been expressly declared. There had the whole election should be void. But been no event to call up such a declaration. now we know that this is not law. The I trouble not myself with the grammatical cases of Malden and Bedford were, as has meaning of the word expulsion. I regard been seen, determined upon other and only its legal meaning. This was not, as I more just principles. And these determinthink, precisely fixed. The House thought ations are, I imagine, admitted on all sides

I would willingly draw a veil over the re- prefer that channel of conveyance, which is that they are something much worse.

The dearest interests of this country are reasoning, or false patriotism.

LETTER XX.1

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

8 August, 1769.

THE gentleman who has published an answer to sir William Meredith's pamphlet, having honoured me with a postscript of six quarto pages, which he moderately calls, bestowing a very few words upon me, I cannot, in common politeness, refuse him a reply. The form and magnitude of a quarto imposes upon the labour of discussing an intricate argument, or wish to avoid it, are willing proved, because much has been said. Mine, I confess, are humble labours. I do not presume to instruct the learned, but simply to inform the body of the people; and I

maining part of this paper. It is astonish- likely to spread farthest among them. The ing, it is painful, to see men of parts and advocates of the ministry seem to me to ability, giving in to the most unworthy write for fame, and to flatter themselves. artifices, and descending so much below that the size of their works will make them their true line of character. But if they immortal, They pile up reluctant quarto are not the dupes of their sophistry (which upon solid folio, as if their labours, because is hardly to be conceived), let them consider they are gigantic, could contend with truth and heaven.

The writer of the volume in question its laws and its constitution. Against every meets me upon my own ground. He acattack upon these, there will, I hope, be knowledges there is no statute by which always found amongst us the firmest spirit the specific disability we speak of is created, of resistance: superior to the united efforts but he affirms, that the custom of parliaof faction and ambition. For ambition, ment has been referred to, and that a case though it does not always take the lead of strictly in point has been produced, with faction, will be sure in the end to make the the decision of the court upon it .- I thank most fatal advantage of it, and draw it to him for coming so fairly to the point. He its own purposes. But, I trust, our day of asserts, that the case of Mr Walpole is trial is yet far off; and there is a fund of strictly in point to prove that expulsion good sense in this country, which cannot creates an absolute incapacity of being relong be deceived, by the arts either of false elected; and for this purpose he refers generally to the first vote of the House upon that occasion, without venturing to recite the vote itself. The unfair, disingenuous artifice of adopting that part of a precedent which seems to suit his purpose, and omitting the remainder, deserves some pity, but cannot excite my resentment. He takes advantage eagerly of the first resolution, by which Mr Walpole's incapacity is declared; but as to the two following, by which the candidate with the fewest votes was declared 'not duly elected,' and the election itself vacated, I dare say he would be well satisfied, if they were for ever blotted out of the journals of the House of Commons. In fair argument, no part the mind; and men who are unequal to of a precedent should be admitted, unless the whole of it be given to us together. The author has divided his precedent, for enough to suppose, that much has been he knew, that, taken together, it produced a consequence directly the reverse of that, which he endeavours to draw from a vote of expulsion. But what will this honest person say, if I take him at his word, and demonstrate to him, that the House of Commons never meant to found Mr Walpole's incapacity upon his expulsion only? What subterfuge will then remain?

[&]quot; 'I wish the enclosed to be announced tomorrow conspicuously. I am not capable of writing anything more finished. Private Letter, No. 6.-EDIT.

It is well worth remarking, that the compiler of a certain quarto, called The case of the late election for the county of Middleese considered, has the impudence to recite this very te, in the following terms, vide page 11. Yes colved, that Robert Walpole, Esq., having been that session of parliament expelled the House, was and is incapable of being elected a member to serve in that present parliament. There cannot be a stronger positive round of the treachery not be a stronger positive proof of the treachery of the compiler, nor a stronger presumptive proof that he was convinced that the vote, if truly recited, would overturn his whole argument .-AUTHOR.

The editor has already remarked that the pamphlet alluded to in the above note of the author was from the pen of Mr Dyson. See p. 175, note.-Epir.

> "TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

22 May, 1771.

Very early in the debate upon the decision of the Middlesex election, it was observed by JUNIUS, that the House of Commons had not only exceeded their boasted precedent of the expulsion and subsequent incapacitation of Mr Walpole, but that they had not even adhered to it strictly as far as it went. After convicting Mr

Let it be remembered that we are speak- committed, not from the punishment the ing of the intention of men, who lived more House annexed to them. The high breach than half a century ago, and that such of trust, the notorious corruption, are stated intention can only be collected from their in the strongest terms. They do not tell words and actions, as they are delivered to us he was incapable because he was exus upon record. To prove their designs by pelled, but because he had been guilty of a supposition of what they would have done, such offences as justly rendered him unopposed to what they actually did, is mere worthy of a seat in parliament. If they had trifling and impertinence. The vote, by intended to fix the disability upon his exwhich Mr Walpole's incapacity was de-pulsion alone, the mention of his crimes in clared, is thus expressed, 'That Robert the same vote would have been highly im-Walpole, Esq., having been this session of proper. It could only perplex the minds of parliament committed a prisoner to the the electors, who, if they collected any Tower, and expelled this House for a high thing from so confused a declaration of the breach of trust in the execution of his office, law of parliament, must have concluded and notorious corruption when secretary at that their representative had been declared war, was and is incapable of being elected incapable because he was highly guilty, not a member to serve in this present parlia- because he had been punished. But even ment.'1 Now, Sir, to my understanding, admitting them to have understood it in 110 proposition of this kind can be more the other sense, they must then, from the evident, than that the House of Commons, very terms of the vote, have united the by this very vote, themselves understood, idea of his being sent to the Tower with and meant to declare, that Mr Walpole's that of his expulsion, and considered his incapacity arose from the crimes he had incapacity as the joint effect of both.2

declared, viz .- 'Resolved, that Robert Walpole, Esq., having been this session of parliament com-mitted a prisoner to the Tower, and expelled this House for a high breach of trust in the execution of his office, and notorious corruption when secretary at war, was and is incapable of being elected a member to serve in this present parliament:'-and then observes that, from the terms of the vote, we have no right to annex the incapacitation to the expulsion only, for that, as the proposition stands, it must arise equally from the expulsion and the commitment to the Tower. the expussion and the commitment to the Lower. I believe, Str, no man, who knows any thing of dialectics, or who understands English, will dispute the truth and fairness of this construction. But Junus has a great authority to support him, which, to speak with the duke of Grafton, I accidentally met with this morning in the course of my reading. It contains an admonition, which cannot be repeated too often. Lord Somers, in his excellent tract upon the rights of the people, after reciting the vote of the convention of the 28th of January, 1689, viz.—'That King James the Second, having endeavoured to subvert the constitution of this kingdom by breaking the original contract between king and people, and by the advice of Jesuits and other wicked perexpulsion and subsequent incapacitation of Mr by the advice of Jesuits and other wicked per-Walpole, but that they had not even adhered to so having violated the fundamental laws, and it strictly as far as it went. After convicting Mr having withdrawn himself out of this kingdom, Dyson of giving a false quotation from the Journals, and having explained the purpose which that contemptible fraud was intended to relates to all the clauses aforegoing, as well as answer, he proceeds to state the vote itself, by other than the purpose of the clauses aforegoing, as well as answer, he proceeds to state the vote itself, by other than the process to state the vote itself, by other than the purpose of the process of the proces

I do not mean to give an opinion upon Such, however, is the precedent, which in law or reason, that a man, convicted of stated to us, in the same vote, the crimes a high breach of trust, and of a notorious for which they expelled Mr Wilkes : wherecorruption, in the execution of a public as they resolve simply, that, 'having been office, was and ought to be incapable of expelled, he was and is incapable.' In this sitting in the same parliament. Far from proceeding I am authorized to affirm, they attempting to invalidate that vote, I should have neither statute, nor custom, nor reason, have wished that the incapacity declared by nor one single precedent to support them. it could legally have been continued for On the other side, there is indeed a pre-

declared Mr Taylor 'Not duly elected.' and the election itself void.

be no pretence for confining the abdication merely to the withdrawing, lord Somers farther obto the minarawing, not somers farther ob-serves, that King James, by refusing to govern us according to that law, by which he held the crown, implicitly renounced his title to it.

If Junius's construction of the vote against Mr Walpole be now admitted (and indeed I cannot comprehend how it can honestly be disputed), the advocates of the House of Commons must either give up their precedent entirely, or be reduced to the necessity of maintaining one of the grossest absurdities imaginable, viz.a commitment to the Tower is a constituent part of, and contributes half at least to the incapacitation of the person who suffers it.

I need not make you any excuse for en-deavouring to keep alive the attention of the public to the decision of the Middlesex election. The mare I consider it, the more I am convinced that, as a fact, it is indeed highly injurious to the rights of the people; but that, as a precedent, it is one of the most dangerous that ever was established against those who are to come after us. Yet I am so far a moderate man, that I verily believe the majority of the House of

Commons when they passed this dangerous

the justice of the proceedings of the House my honest friend assures us is strictly in of Commons with regard to Mr Walpole; point to prove, that expulsion of itself but certainly, if I admitted their censure to creates an incapacity of being elected. If be well founded. I could no way avoid it had been so, the present House of Comagreeing with them in the consequence they mons should at least have followed strictly drew from it. I could never have a doubt, the example before them, and should have cedent so strongly in point, that all the en-Now, Sir, observe how forcibly the chanted castles of ministerial magic fall argument returns. The House of Com- before it. In the year 1698 (a period which mons, upon the face of their proceedings, the rankest Tory dare not except against) had the strongest motives to declare Mr Mr Wollaston was expelled, re-elected, and Walpole incapable of being re-elected, admitted to take his seat in the same par-They thought such a man unworthy to sit liament. The ministry have precluded among them.-To that point they pro- themselves from all objections drawn from ceeded:-no farther; for they respected the the cause of his expulsion, for they affirm rights of the people, while they asserted absolutely, that expulsion of itself creates their own. They did not infer, from Mr the disability. Now, Sir, let sophistry Walpole's incapacity, that his opponent evade, let falsehood assert, and impudence was duly elected; on the contrary, they deny-here stands the precedent, a landmark to direct us through a troubled sea of

> vote, neither understood the question, nor knew the consequence of what they were doing. Their motives were rather despicable, than criminal, in the extreme. One effect they certainly did not foresee. They are now reduced to such a situation, that if a member of the present House of Commons were to conduct himself ever so im-properly, and in reality deserve to be sent back to his constituents with a mark of disgrace, they would not dare to expel him; because they know that the people, in order to try again the great question of right, or to thwart an odious House of Commons, would probably overlook his insmediate unworthiness, and return the same person to parliament.—But, in time, the precedent will gain strength. A future House of Commons will have no such apprehensions, consequently will not scruple to follow a precedent, which they did not establish. The miser himself seldom lives to enjoy the fruit of his extortion: but his heir succeeds to him of course, and takes possession without censure. No man expects him to make restitution, and, no matter for his title. he lives quietly upon the estate.

controversy, conspicuous and unremoved.

PHILO JUNIUS,

sion of this point, because, in my opinion, be silent. it comprehends the whole question. The riety, for it was created by act of parlia- tegrity of the House of Commons. ment. But, really, Sir, my honest friend's of the House, and that the law of parlia- body of the people. wild discourses are from solid argument.

present.

rouse their indignation? are the ministry miserable, but contemptible. daring enough to affirm, that the House of Commons have a right to make and unmake the law of parliament at their pleasure?-Does the law of parliament, which we are so often told is the law of the land, -does the common right of every subject of the realm,-depend upon an arbitrary capricious vote of one branch of the legis-

I have dwelt the longer upon the discus- lature?—The voice of truth and reason must

The ministry tell us plainly that this is no rest is unworthy of notice. We are en-longer a question of right, but of power quiring whether incapacity be or be not and force alone. What was law vesterday created by expulsion. In the cases of Bed- is not law to-day; and now, it seems, we ford and Malden, the incapacity of the per- have no better rule to live by, than the sons returned, was matter of public noto- temporary discretion and fluctuating in-

Professions of patriotism are become suppositions are as unfavourable to him as stale and ridiculous. For my own part, I his facts. He well knows that the clergy, claim no merit from endeavouring to do a besides that they are represented in common service to my fellow-subjects. I have done with their fellow-subjects, have also a separ- it to the best of my understanding: and, ate parliament of their own ;-that their without looking for the approbation of other incapacity to sit in the House of Commons men, my conscience is satisfied. What rehas been confirmed by repeated decisions mains to be done concerns the collective They are now to ment, declared by those decisions, has been determine for themselves, whether they will for above two centuries notorious and un-firmly and constitutionally assert their disputed. The author is certainly at liberty rights; or make an humble, slavish surto fancy cases, and make whatever com- render of them at the feet of the ministry. parisons he thinks proper; his suppositions To a generous mind there cannot be a still continue as distant from fact, as his doubt. We owe it to our ancestors to preserve entire those rights which they have The conclusion of his book is candid to delivered to our care: we owe it to our an extreme. He offers to grant me all I posterity not to suffer their dearest inheritdesire. He thinks he may safely admit that ance to be destroyed. But if it were posthe case of Mr Walpole makes directly sible for us to be insensible of these sacred against him, for it seems he has one grand claims, there is yet an obligation binding solution in petto for all difficulties. If, upon ourselves, from which nothing can says he, I were to allow all this, it will only acquit us, -a personal interest, which we prove, that the law of election was different, cannot surrender. To alienate even our in queen Anne's time, from what it is at own rights, would be a crime as much more enormous than suicide, as a life of civil This indeed is more than I expected. security and freedom is superior to a bare The principle, I know, has been maintained existence: and if life be the bounty of in fact, but I never expected to see it so heaven, we scornfully reject the noblest part formally declared. What can he mean? of the gift, if we consent to surrender that does he assume this language to satisfy the certain rule of living, without which the doubts of the people, or does he mean to condition of human nature is not only

JUNIUS.

LETTER XXI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

Sir, 22 August, 1769. I must beg of you to print a few lines in explanation of some passages in my last letter, which I see have been misunderstood.

- T. When I said, that the House of Commons never meant to found Mr Walpole's incapacity on his expulsion only, I meant no more than to deny the general proposition, that expulsion alone creates the incapacity. If there be any thing ambiguous in the expression, I beg leave to explain it by saying, that in my opinion, expulsion neither creates, nor in any part contributes to create, the incapacity in question.
- 2. I carefully avoided entering into the merits of Mr Walpole's case. I did not enquire, whether the House of Commons acted justly, or whether they truly declared the law of parliament. My remarks went only to their apparent meaning and intention, as it stands declared in their own resolution.
- 3. I never meant to affirm, that a commitment to the Tower created a disqualification. On the contrary, I considered that idea as an absurdity, into which the ministry must inevitably fall, if they reasoned right upon their own principles.

The case of Mr Wollaston speaks for itself. The ministry assert that expulsion alone creates an absolute, complete incapacity to be re-elected to sit in the same This proposition they have parliament. uniformly maintained, without any condition or modification whatsoever. Wollaston was expelled, re-elected, and admitted to take his seat in the same parliament.-I leave it to the public to determine, whether this be a plain matter of fact, or mere nonsense and declamation.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XXII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

4 Sept., 1769.

faction of their friends, that expulsion alone creates a complete incapacity to be re-elected; alias, that a subject of this realm may be robbed of his common right, by a vote of the House of Commons.

FIRST FACT.

Mr Wollaston, in 1698, was expelled, re-elected, and admitted to take his seat.

ARGUMENT.

As this cannot conveniently be reconciled with our general proposition, it may be necessary to shift our ground, and look back to the cause of Mr Wollaston's expulsion. From thence it will appear clearly that, 'although he was expelled, he had not rendered himself a culprit too ignominious to sit in parliament, and that having resigned his employment, he was no longer incapacitated by law.' Vide Serious Considerations, page 23. Or thus, 'The House, somewhat inaccurately, used the word EXPELLED; they should have called it A MOTION.' Vide Mungo's case considered, page 11. Or, in short, if these arguments should be thought insufficient, we may fairly deny the fact. For example: 'I affirm that he was not re-elected. same Mr Wollaston, who was expelled. was not again elected. The same individual, if you please, walked into the House. and took his seat there; but the same person in law was not admitted a member of that parliament, from which he had been discarded.' Vide Letter to JUNIUS, page 12.

SECOND FACT.

Mr Walpole, having been committed to the Tower, and expelled for a high breach of trust and notorious corruption in a public office, was declared incapable, &c.

ARGUMENT.

From the terms of this vote, nothing can be more evident than that the House of Commons meant to fix the incapacity upon ARGUMENT against FACT; or, A new the punishment, and not upon the crime; System of political Logic, by which the but lest it should appear in a different light ministry have demonstrated, to the satis- to weak, uninformed persons, it may be

liament.' Vide quotations, page 11.

in Mr Walpole's case.'

THIRD FACT.

His opponent, Mr Taylor, having the smallest number of votes at the next election, was declared NOT DULY ELECTED.

ARGUMENT.

This fact we consider as directly in point to prove that Mr Luttrell ought to be the sitting member, for the following reasons, 'The burgesses of Lynn could draw no other inference from this resolution, but this, that at a future election, and in case of a similar return, the House would receive the same candidate as duly elected, whom they had before rejected.' Vide Postscript to JUNIUS, p. 37. Or thus: 'This their resolution leaves no room to doubt what part they would have taken, if, upon a subsequent re-election of Mr Walpole, there had been any other candidate in competition with him. For, by their vote, they could have no other intention than to admit such other candidate.' Vide Mungo's case considered, p. 30. Or take it in this light. -The burgesses of Lynn, having, in defiance of the House, retorted upon them a person, whom they had branded with the most ignominious marks of their displeasure, were thereby so well intitled to favour and indulgence, that the House could do no less than rob Mr Taylor of a right legally vested in him, in order that

advisable to gut the resolution, and give it the burgesses might be apprized of the law to the public, with all possible solemnity, of parliament; which law the House took in the following terms, viz. 'Resolved, a very direct way of explaining to them, by that Robert Walpole, Esq. having been resolving that the candidate with the fewest that session of parliament expelled the votes was not duly elected:- 'And was House, was and is incapable of being elect- not this much more equitable, more in the ed a member to serve in that present par- spirit of that equal and substantial justice, on the use of which is the end of all law, than if they had violently adhered to the strict maxims N.B. The author of the answer to sir of law?' Vide Serious Considerations, p. William Meredith1 seems to have made 33 and 34. 'And if the present House of use of Mungo's quotation, for in page 18, Commons had chosen to follow the spirit he assures us. 'That the declaratory vote of this resolution, they would have received of the 17th of February, 1769, was indeed and established the candidate with the a literal copy of the resolution of the House fewest votes.' Vide Answer to sir W. M. p. 18.

> Permit me now, Sir, to show you, that the worthy Dr Blackstone sometimes contradicts the ministry as well as himself. The speech without-doors asserts,2 p. q. 'That the legal effect of an incapacity, founded on a judicial determination of a competent court, is precisely the same as that of an incapacity created by act of parliament.' Now for the Doctor .- The law and the opinion of the judge are not always convertible terms, or one and the same thing; since it sometimes may happen that the judge may mistake the law. Commentaries, Vol. I. p. 71.

> The answer to sir W. M. asserts, page 23. 'That the returning officer is not a judicial, but a purely ministerial officer. His return is no judicial act.'-At 'em again, Doctor. The eheriff, in his judicial capacity, is to hear and determine causes of 40 shillings value and under in his county court. He has also a judicial power in divers other civil cases. He is likewise to decide the elections of knights of the shire (subject to the control of the House of Commons), to judge of the qualification of voters, and to return such as he shall DETERMINE to be duly elected. Vide Commentaries, page 332, Vol. I.

> What conclusion shall we draw from such facts, such arguments, and such contradictions? I cannot express my opinion

I Sir W. Blackstone-

² See an extract from this speech, inserted in the note to Letter XVII., p. 172.-EDIT.

in the words of sir R. Steele, 'that we are hands. Your friends have a privilege to governed by a set of drivellers, whose folly play upon the easiness of your temper, or takes away all dignity from distress, and possibly they are better acquainted with makes even calamity ridiculous.' 1

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER XXIII.2

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF BEDFORD. 19 Sept. 1769. My Lord.

receive any marks of respect or esteem from From the first you derived a constitutional the public. 8 that if, in the following lines, a claim to respect; from the second, a natural complimentor expression of applause should extensive authority; -the last created a escape me. I fear you would consider it as partial expectation of hereditary virtues. a mockery of your established character, The use you have made of these uncommon and perhaps an insult to your understand- advantages might have been more honouring. You have nice feelings, my Lord, if able to yourself, but could not be more inwe may judge from your resentments. structive to mankind. We may trace it in Cautious therefore of giving offence, where the veneration of your country, the choice you have so little deserved it, I shall leave of your friends, and in the accomplishment

¹ In a pamphlet written by Steele upon the issue of the South-Sea incorporation, at the period when Walpole was just re-emerging from obscurity, to take a more decided and loftier management of public affairs.—EDIT.

management of public anaris.—LDT.

In requesting the announcement of this letter, JUNIUS says, 'I mean to make it worth printing,' Private Letter, No. 9—EDIT.

The unpopular peace of 1763 was negotiated by the duke of Bedford, and gave rise to a variety of public commotions which at length broke out into acts of open insurrection among the Spital-fields weavers, who exclaimed that their trade was ruined by its commercial stipulations. The rumour became current that the French court had purchased this peace by bribes to the Princess Dowager of Wales, lord Bute, the duke of Bedford, and Mr Henry Fox, afterwards lord Holland: and such was its general belief that the House of Commons thought proper to appoint a committee to examine into its truth; who traced it chiefly to a Dr Musgrave, who nevertheless does not appear to have suffered from this libellous report, which, as he affirmed, he had brought home with him from Paris. The public disfavour with which the rans. Ane public disayors with which the terms of the peace were received, produced a fresh disagreement between lord Bute and the duke of Bedford on his return home, and he resigned the office of lord privy seal. Upon the death of lord Egremont, however, lord Bute found himself each live and the contract of the cont found himself compelled once more to apply to the duke of Bedford for his interest, who, con-scious of his importance, exacted not only from lord Bute but from the king himself 2 submission EDIT.

of the present ministry more exactly than the illustration of your virtues to other your good qualities than I am. You have done good by stealth. The rest is upon record. You have still left ample room for speculation, when panegyric is exhausted.

You are indeed a very considerable man. The highest rank; a splendid fortune: and a name, glorious till it was yours. were sufficient to have supported you with You are so little accustomed to meaner abilities than I think you possess.

> to whatever terms he chose to impose. After the Regency Bill, which had been recommended in a speech from the throne in April, 1765, had passed both Houses, an attempt was made to change the administration, from a belief that this bill had not received their cordial support during its progress through parliament, but without success. It was upon this occasion that the duke of Bedford insisted upon the dismissal of lord Bute's brother, Mr Stuart Mackenzie, from his office, although Mackenzie had received his Majesty's solemn promise that he should pre-serve it for life; that he recalled lord Northum-berland from the lord-lieutenancy of Ireland, and removed lord Holland from the Pay-office.

> Incapable of submitting to such severe treatment, his Majesty soon afterwards intreated the duke of Newcastle and lord Rockingham to rescue him from the Befford party. They consented, and the duke was again dismissed with scheck, and the tike was again dishinsed with contumely. When his Majesty became disgusted, as he soon did, with this ministry also, lord Bute applied in the king's name to George Grenville for support, and the duke of Bedford, who was on terms of the closest friendship with him, once more strove to enter into the cabinet; but on this occasion lord Bute had spirit enough to treat this offer with the utmost contempt. Lord Chatham was next applied to, who consented to take the lead; and on his resignation, the duke of Grafton was made prime minister, who, to strengthen his own hands, re-introduced the duke of Bedford into the cabinet, without however appointing him to any particular office .-

name of Russell.

but through the whole kingdom; compare and blessings of his country. these glorious distinctions with the ambiemoluments of a place, the sale of a borough, or the purchase of a corporation; 1 and though you may not regret the virtues which create respect, you may see with anguish how much real importance and authority you have lost. Consider the character of an independent virtuous duke of Bedford; imagine what he might be in this country, then reflect one moment upon what you are. If it be possible for me to withdraw my attention from the fact, I will tell you in theory what such a man might be.

Conscious of his own weight and importance, his conduct in parliament would be directed by nothing but the constitutional duty of a peer. He would consider himself as a guardian of the laws. Willing to support the just measures of government, but determined to observe the conduct of the minister with suspicion, he would oppose the violence of faction with as much firmness as the encroachments of prerogative. He would be as little capable of bargaining with the minister for places for himself, or his dependents, as of descending to mix himself in the intrigues of opposition. Whenever an important question called for his opinion in parliament, he would be constitution, as openly to avow, in a court

of every sanguine hope, which the public heard, by the most profligate minister, with might have conceived from the illustrious deference and respect. His authority would either sanctify or disgrace the measures of The eminence of your station gave you a government. - The people would look up to commanding prospect of your duty. The him as to their protector, and a virtuous road which led to honour, was open to your prince would have one honest man in his view. You could not lose it by mistake, dominions, in whose integrity and judgment and you had no temptation to depart from he might safely confide. If it should be it by design. Compare the natural dignity the will of Providence to afflict him with a and importance of the richest peer of Eng- domestic misfortune,2 he would submit to land;-the noble independence which he the stroke with feeling, but not without might have maintained in parliament, and dignity. He would consider the people as the real interest and respect which he his children, and receive a generous heartmight have acquired, not only in parliament, felt consolation, in the sympathizing tears

Your Grace may probably discover sometion of holding a share in government, the thing more intelligible in the negative part of this illustrious character. The man I have described would never prostitute his dignity in parliament by an indecent violence either in opposing or defending a minister. He would not at one moment rancorously persecute, at another basely cringe to, the favourite of his sovereign. After outraging the royal dignity with peremptory conditions, little short of menace and hostility, he would never descend to the humility of soliciting an interview 8 with the favourite, and of offering to recover, at any price, the honour of his friendship. Though deceived perhaps in his youth, he would not, through the course of a long life, have invariably chosen his friends from among the most profligate of mankind. His own honour would have forbidden him from mixing his private pleasures or conversation with jockeys, gamesters, blasphemers, gladiators, or buffoons. He would then have never felt, much less would he have submitted to the humiliating, dishonest necessity of engaging in the interest and intrigues of his dependents, of supplying their vices, or relieving their beggary, at the expense of his country. He would not have betrayed such ignorance, or such contempt of the

See note, p. 190—EDIT.
The duke had lately lost his only son, by a fall from his horse.

³ At this interview, which passed at the house trayed him.

of the late lord Eglintoun, lord Bute told the duke that he was determined never to have any connexion with a man who had so basely be-

of justice. the purchase and sale 1 of a tude of his crimes, finds a rescue from conborough. He would not have thought it tempt. Your Grace is every way unforconsistent with his rank in the state, or unate. Yet I will not look back to those even with his personal importance, to be ridiculous scenes, by which in your earlier the little tyrant of a little corporation.2 He days you thought it an honour to be diswould never have been insulted with inguished; the recorded stripes,3 the pubvirtues, which he had laboured to extin- lic infamy, your own sufferings, or Mr guish, nor suffered the disgrace of a mortify- Rigby's fortitude. These events undoubting defeat, which has made him ridiculous edly left an impression, though not upon and contemptible, even to the few by whom your mind. To such a mind, it may perhe was not detested.—I reverence the afflichaps be a pleasure to reflect, that there is tions of a good man, his sorrows are hardly a corner of any of his Majesty's sacred. But how can we take part in the kingdoms, except France, in which, at one distresses of a man. whom we can neither time or other, your valuable life has not love nor esteem; or feel for a calamity of been in danger. Amiable man! we see which he himself is insensible? Where was and acknowledge the protection of Providthe father's heart when he could look for ence, by which you have so often escaped or find an immediate consolation for the the personal detestation of your fellow-subloss of an only son, in consultations and jects, and are still reserved for the public bargains for a place at court, and even in justice of your country. the misery of balloting at the India House! Your history begins to be important at

or deserted those honourable principles, deputed to represent the earl of Bute, at the which ought to have directed your con- court of Versailles. It was an honourable duct; admitting that you have as little office, and executed with the same spirit claim to private affection as to public es- with which it was accepted. Your patrons teem, let us see with what abilities, with wanted an ambassador, who would submit what degree of judgment, you have carried to make concessions, without daring to vour own system into execution. A great insist upon any honourable condition for his man, in the success and even in the magni- sovereign.4 Their business required a man,

Admitting then that you have mistaken that auspicious period at which you were

In an answer in Chancery, in a suit against him to recover a large sum paid him by a person, whom he had undertaken to return to parliament, for one of his Grace's boroughs. He was compelled to repay the money.

Of Bedford, where the tyrant was held in

such contempt and detestation, that in order to deliver themselves from him, they admitted a great number of strangers to the freedom. To

great number or strangers to the freedom. To make his defeat truly ridiculous, he tried his whole strength against Mr Horne, and was beaten upon his own ground.—AUTHOR.

This contest took place September 4th, 17 on the election of mayor, bailiffs, and chamber-lains for the borough of Bedford. His Grace having in wain objected to the melajurg of suring beauting in wain objected to the melajurg of suring objects. having in vain objected to the making of any new freemen, at length prevailed on the corpora-tion to allow some of his own particular friends to be put in nomination, when about twenty of them were made accordingly. The names of the freemen proposed to be elected on the popular meaning of the word—out net comes the duke side of the question, were then read, and were heard with profound silence by his Grace, till the name of John Horne was pronounced, when the duke expressed himself with great bitterness towards that gentleman in particular; the cor-

poration however divided upon the point, and Mr Horne was elected, there being seventeen votes in his favour and eleven against him. The candidates for the office of mayor were on the popular side Mr Cawne, and on the part of the duke of Bedford, Mr Richards, the former of whom was elected by 458 votes against 26. The triumph over his Grace was of course complete .-

EDIT.

3 Mr Heston Homphrey, a country attorney, instinct. horsewhipped the duke with equal justice, sevenity, and perseverance, on the course at Litchfield. Rigby and lord Trentham were also

the French a drubbing, his Majesty, who had never received that kind of chastisement, was pleased to ask lord Chesterfield the meaning of the word. Sir, says lord Chesterfield, the meaning of the word—but here comes the duke

who had as little feeling for his own dignity as for the welfare of his country; and they terms on which you were invited to support found him in the first rank of the nobility. Belleisle, Goree, Guadaloupe, St Lucia, tended to leave in full possession of their Martinique, the Fishery, and the Havanna, are glorious monuments of your Grace's of themselves. He was not of a temper to talents for negotiation. 1 My Lord, we are relinquish power, though he retired from too well acquainted with your pecuniary employment. Stipulations were certainly character, to think it possible that so many made between your Grace and him, and public sacrifices should have been made, certainly violated. After two years' subwithout some private compensations. Your conduct carries with it an internal evidence. beyond all the legal proofs of a court of and that it was your turn to be a tyrant, justice. Even the callous pride of lord because you had been a slave. When you Egremont was alarmed.2 He saw and found yourself mistaken in your opinion of felt his own dishonour in corresponding your gracious Master's firmness, disappointwith you; and there certainly was a moment, ment got the better of all your humble at which he meant to have resisted, had not discretion, and carried you to an excess of a fatal lethargy prevailed over his faculties, outrage to his person, as distant from true

and carried all sense and memory away with spirit, as from all decency and respect.4 there is some reason to believe it authentic. As because I have overlooked her interest unacthe duke in this letter is arraigned in the most severe terms for the concessions made in negotiating the peace of 1763, it is but justice to his Grace, that a circumstance so honourable should be more generally known. The paragraph runs thus:

'The following anecdote of the late duke of Bedford may be depended upon as fact :- When his Grace negotiated the late peace at Paris, he signed the preliminaries with the French minister Choiseul, and stipulated no farther for the possessions of the East India Company than he was sessions to the East India Company than he was advised to stipulate by the court of directors. A gentleman (a Dutch Jew of great abilities and character), hearing this, wrote a letter to the duke of Bedford, informing him that the English East India Company had materially neglected their own interest, as their chief conquests were made subsequent to the period at which they had fixed their claim of sovereignty; and if these latter conquests were to be restored, an immense annual revenue would necessarily be taken from England. The duke, struck with the force of the fact, yet embarrassed how to act, as pre-liminaries were really signed, repaired to Choi-seul at Versailles, and addressed him thus: 'My Lord, I have committed a great mistake in signing the preliminaries, as the affair of the India possessions must be carried down to our last con-quest in Asia. To this Choiseul replied, 'Your Grace astonishes me; I thought I had been treating with the minister of a great nation, and not with a student in politics, who does not consider the validity of written engagements.'
'Your reproach, my Lord, is just,' returned the duke, 'but I will not add treachery to negligence, nor betray my country deliberately,

I will not pretend to specify the secret an administration 3 which Lord Bute preministerial authority, and perfectly masters mission, you thought you had collected a strength sufficient to controul his influence, After robbing him of the rights of a king,

countably in a single circumstance; therefore, unless your Lordship agrees to cede the latter conquests in India, I shall return home in twelve hours, and submit the fate of my head to the discretion of an English parliament.' Choiseul, staggered at the duke's intrepidity, complied; and this country now enjoys above half a million annually through the firmness of a man, whom it is even patriotism at present to calumniate, but whose virtues have never yet received justice from the community. On the termination of the affair to his satisfaction, he gave his informant, the Dutch gentleman, the warmest recommendations to England, who accordingly came over, and receives at this moment a pension of £500

and receives at this moment a persion of 8500 as year from the India Company as a reward for his services.—EDIT.

The peace of 1963 was negotiated by his Grace of Bedford; the conquests here specified were relinquished by its conditions: and the rumour, as already observed, was in general circulation that the duke and his friends had been

cuiation that the duke and his irrends had been bribed into so prodigal a surrender. See the note in p. 188.—EDIT. *

2 This man, notwithstanding his pride and Tory principles, had some English stiff in him, Upon an official letter he wrote to the duke of Bedford, the duke desired to be recalled, and it was with the utmost difficulty that lord Bute could appease him.

3 Mr Grenville, lord Halifax, and lord Egre-

4 The ministry having endeavoured to exclude the Dowager out of the regency bill, the earl of Bute determined to dismiss them. Upon this the duke of Bedford demanded an audience of the king, reproached him in plain terms, with his you would not permit him to preserve the sures you have taken to obtain and confirm well knew he was never to execute.1

more the friendship of lord Bute. You ford! begged an interview, at which he had spirit enough to treat you with contempt.

direction of affairs.

The possession of absolute power is now

honour of a gentleman. It was then lord it, are too gross to escape the eyes of a Weymouth was nominated to Ireland, and discerning judicious prince. His palace is despatched (we well remember with what besieged; the lines of circumvallation are indecent hurry) to plunder the treasury of drawing round him; and unless he finds a the first fruits of an employment which you resource in his own activity, or in the attachment of the real friends of his family. This sudden declaration of war against the best of princes must submit to the conthe favourite might have given you a finement of a state prisoner, until your momentary merit with the public, if it had Grace's death, or some less fortunate event. either been adopted upon principle, or shall raise the siege. For the present, you maintained with resolution. Without look- may safely resume that style of insult and ing back to all your former servility, we menace, which even a private gentleman need only observe your subsequent conduct, cannot submit to hear without being conto see upon what motives you acted. Ap- temptible. Mr Mackenzie's history is not parently united with Mr Grenville, you yet forgotten, and you may find precedents waited until lord Rockingham's feeble enough of the mode, in which an imperious administration should dissolve in its own subject may signify his pleasure to his weakness .- The moment their dismission sovereign. Where will this gracious monwas suspected, the moment you perceived arch look for assistance, when the wretched that another system was adopted in the Grafton could forget his obligations to his closet, you thought it no disgrace to return master, and desert him for a hollow allito your former dependence, and solicit once ance with such a man as the duke of Bed-

Let us consider you, then, as arrived at the summit of worldly greatness; let us It would now be of little use to point out, suppose, that all your plans of avarice and by what a train of weak, injudicious mea- ambition are accomplished, and your most sures it became necessary, or was thought sanguine wishes gratified in the fear as well so, to call you back to a share in the as the hatred of the people: Can age itself administration.2 The friends, whom you forget that you are now in the last act of did not in the last instance desert, were not life? Can grey hairs make folly venerable? of a character to add strength or credit to and is there no period to be reserved for government; and at that time your alliance meditation and retirement? For shame! with the duke of Grafton was, I presume, my Lord : let it not be recorded of you, hardly foreseen. We must look for other that the latest moments of your life were stipulations, to account for that sudden dedicated to the same unworthy pursuits, resolution of the closet, by which three of the same busy agitations, in which your your dependants 8 (whose characters, I youth and manhood were exhausted. Conthink, cannot be less respected than they sider, that, although you cannot disgrace are) were advanced to offices, through your former life, you are violating the chawhich you might again controul the racter of age, and exposing the impotent minister, and probably engross the whole imbecility, after you have lost the vigour of the passions.

Your friends will ask, perhaps, Whither once more within your reach. The mea- shall this unhappy old man retire? Can he

duplicity, baseness, falsehood, treachery, and hypocrisy,—repeatedly gave him the lie, and left him in convulsions.

'A when earl Gower was appointed president of the council, the king, with his usual sincerity, assured him, that he had not had one happy moment since the duke of Bedford left him and equipage money.

'A when earl Gower was appointed president of the council, the king, with his usual sincerity, assured him, that he had not had one happy moment since the duke of Bedford left him.

'A Lords Gower, Weymouth, and Sandwich.

inevitable. No honest Englishman will ever without repentance.1 forget his attachment, nor any honest Scotchman forgive his treachery, to lord Bute. At every town he enters, he must change his liveries and his name. Which ever way he flies, the Hue and Cry of the country pursues him.

In another kingdom, indeed, the blessings of his administration have been more sensibly felt: his virtues better understood: or at worst, they will not, for him alone, forget their hospitality.--As well might VERRES have returned to Sicily. You have twice escaped, my Lord; beware of a third experiment. The indignation of a whole people, plundered, insulted, and oppressed as they have been, will not always be disappointed.

It is in vain therefore to shift the scene. You can no more fly from your enemies than from yourself. Persecuted abroad, you look into your own heart for consolation, and find nothing but reproaches and despair. But, my Lord, you may quit the field of business, though not the field of danger; and though you cannot be safe, you may cease to be ridiculous. I fear you have listened too long to the advice of those pernicious friends, with whose interests you have sordidly united your own, and for whom you have sacrificed every thing that ought to be dear to a man of honour. vices of your youth. As little acquainted

As some apprehension was entertained by the printer, that he might be brought before the House of Lords, for inserting this letter in his paper, Junius wrote to him in Private Letter, No. 10, as follows:—'As to you, it is clearly my opinion that you have nothing to fear from the duke of Bedford. I reserve some things expressly to awe him, in case he should think of

remain in the metropolis, where his life has with the rules of decorum, as with the laws been so often threatened, and his palace so of morality, they will not suffer you to profit often attacked? If he returns to Wooburn, by experience, nor even to consult the proscorn and mockery await him. He must priety of a bad character. Even now they create a solitude round his estate, it he tell you, that life is no more than a drawould avoid the face of reproach and matic scene, in which the hero should prederision. At Plymouth, his destruction serve his consistency to the last, and that as would be more than probable; at Exeter, you lived without virtue, you should die

TUNIUS.

LETTER XXIV.

TO JUNIUS.

SIR. 14 September, 1769.

HAVING accidentally seen a rebublication of your letters, wherein you have been pleased to assert, that I had sold the companions of my success; I am again obliged to declare the said assertion to be a most infamous and malicious falsehood: and I again call upon you to stand forth. avow yourself, and prove the charge. If you can make it out to the satisfaction of any one man in the kingdom, I will be content to be thought the worst man in it; if you do not, what must the nation think of you? Party has nothing to do in this affair: you have made a personal attack upon my honour, defamed me by a most vile calumny, which might possibly have sunk into oblivion, had not such uncommon pains been taken to renew and perpetuate this scandal,2 chiefly because it has been told in good language; for I give you full credit for your elegant diction, well turned periods, and attic wit; but wit is oftentimes false, though it may appear brilliant; which is exactly the case of your whole per-They are still base enough to encourage formance. But, Sir, I am obliged in the the follies of your age, as they once did the most serious manner to accuse you of being guilty of falsities. You have said the thing

> bringing you before the House of Lords. I am sure I can threaten him privately with such a storm, as would make him tremble even in his grave. See also p 199.—EDIT.
>
> The reader will perceive, by a reference to Private Letter, No. 4, that this republication was without the author's knowledge or consent.

-EDIT.

you, the premises as well as the conclusion enemy. I allow that Gothic appeals to cold my being colonel of that regiment. As I soldier's honour is as delicate as a woman's: colonel Monson and sir Samuel Cornish, you cannot but know the consequences. to negotiate for me; in the last autumn, I which even the meekness of Christianity personally delivered a memorial to the earl would pardon me for, after the injury you of Shelburne at his seat in Wiltshire. As have done me. you have told us of your importance, that you are a person of rank and fortune, and above a common bribe,2 you may in all probability be not unknown to his Lordship. who can satisfy you of the truth of what I say. But I shall now take the liberty, Sir. to seize your battery, and turn it against yourself. If your puerile and tinsel logic could carry the least weight or conviction with it, how must you stand affected by the inevitable conclusion, as you are pleased to term it? According to Junius, silence is guilt. In many of the public papers, you have been called in the most direct and offensive terms a liar and a coward. When did you reply to these foul accusations? provocation attacked by an anonymous you have been quite silent; quite chopfallen: therefore, because you was silent, the nation has a right to pronounce you to be both a liar and a coward from your own argument: but, Sir, I will give you fairer were content to set your name in opposition play; will afford you an opportunity to wipe off the first appellation; by desiring concealment. You understood the terms the proofs of your charge against me. Pro- upon which we were to correspond, and duce them! To wipe off the last, produce gave at least a tacit assent to them. After

LIV.-EDIT.

that is not. To support your story, you yourself. People cannot bear any longer have recourse to the following irresistible your lion's skin, and the despicable imposargument: 'You sold the companions of ture of the old Roman name which you your victory, because when the 16th regi- have affected. For the future assume the ment was given to you, you was silent. name of some modern 3 brayo and dark The conclusion is inevitable.' I believe assassin: let your appellation have some that such deep and acute reasoning could affinity to your practice. But if I must only come from such an extraordinary perish, JUNIUS, let me perish in the face of writer as JUNIUS. But unfortunately for day; be for once a generous and open are absolutely false. Many applications iron are no better proofs of a man's honesty have been made to the ministry on the sub- and veracity, than hot iron and burning ject of the Manilla ransom since the time of ploughshares are of female chastity; but a have for some years quitted London, I was it must not be suspected; you have dared obliged to have recourse to the honourable to throw more than a suspicion upon mine:

WILLIAM DRAPER.

LETTER XXV.

Hæret lateri lethalis arundo. TO SIR WILLIAM DRAPER, K. B.

SIR. 25 September, 1769.

AFTER so long an interval, I did not expect to see the debate revived between us. My answer to your last letter shall be short; for I write to you with reluctance, and I hope we shall now conclude our correspondence for ever.

Had you been originally and without writer, you would have some right to demand his name. But in this cause you are a volunteer. You engaged in it with the unpremeditated gallantry of a soldier. You to a man, who would probably continue in voluntarily attacking me under the character of Junius, what possible right have you to

Philippines.—Edit.

3 Was Brutus an aucient brave and dark

2 See Miscellaneous Letter of the author, No. assassin? or does sir W. D. think it criminal to stab a tyrant to the heart?

These gentlemen accompanied sir William as brother officers in his expedition against the

know me under any other? Will you for- in the right to temporize with Spain. This were not quite indifferent to the display of your literary qualifications?

You cannot but know that the republication of my letters was no more than a catchpenny contrivance of a printer, in which it was impossible I should be concerned, and for which I am no way answerable. At the same time I wish you to understand, that if I do not take the trouble of reprinting these papers, it is not from any fear of giving offence to sir William Draper.

merely for distinction, are unworthy of notice; but when you tell me I have submitted to be called a liar and a coward. I must ask you in my turn, whether you seriously think it any way incumbent upon me to take notice of the silly invectives of every simpleton, who writes in a newspaper; and the dupe of so shallow an artifice?

Your appeal to the sword, though consistent enough with your late profession, will neither prove your innocence nor clear vou from suspicion. --- Your complaints with regard to the Manilla ransom were, for a considerable time, a distress to government. You were appointed (greatly out of your turn) to the command of a regiment, and during that administration we heard no more of sir William Draper. The facts, of which I speak, may indeed be variously accounted for, but they are too notorious to be denied; and I think you might have learnt at the university, that a false conclusion is an error in argument, not a breach of veracity. Your solicitations, I doubt not, were renewed under another administration. Admitting the fact, I fear an indifferent person would only where to look for the commentary. infer from it, that experience had made you acquainted with the benefits of complaining. Remember, Sir, that you have yourself confessed, that, considering the critical continues to entertain some apprehensions consituation of this country, the ministry are _EDIT.

give me if I insinuate to you, that you confession reduces you to an unfortunate foresaw some honour in the apparent spirit dilemma. By renewing your solicitations, of coming forward in person, and that you you must either mean to force your country into a war at a most unseasonable juncture: or, having no view or expectation of that kind, that you look for nothing but a private compensation to yourself.

> As to me, it is by no means necessary that I should be exposed to the resentment of the worst and the most powerful men in this country,1 though I may be indifferent about yours. Though you would fight, there are others who would assassinate.

But after all. Sir. where is the injury? Your remarks upon a signature, adopted You assure me, that my logic is puerile and tinsel; that it carries not the least weight or conviction; that my premises are false and my conclusions absurd. If this be a just description of me, how is it possible for such a writer to disturb your peace of mind, or to injure a character so well established as yours? Take care, sir William, how you what opinion you would have conceived of indulge this unruly temper, lest the world my discretion, if I had suffered myself to be should suspect that conscience has some share in your resentments. You have more to fear from the treachery of your own passions, than from any malevolence of mine.

I believe, Sir, you will never know me. A considerable time must certainly elapse before we are personally acquainted. You need not, however, regret the delay, or suffer an apprehension that any length of time can restore you to the Christian meekness of your temper, and disappoint your present indignation. If I understand your character, there is in your own breast a repository, in which your resentments may be safely laid up for future occasions, and preserved without the hazard of diminution. The Odia in longum jaciens, quæ reconderet, auctaque promeret, I thought had only belonged to the worst character of antiquity. The text is in Tacitus:--vou know best

JUNIUS.

¹ See Private Letter, No. 41, in which he cerning the effects of a discovery of his person,

LETTER XXVI.

A WORD AT PARTING TO JUNIUS.

1 SIR. 7 October, 1769.

As you have not favoured me with either of the explanations demanded of you, I can have nothing more to say to you upon my own account. Your mercy to me, or tenderness for yourself, has been very detested tyrant of antiquity, can make the wretched sufferer fit the bed, if the bed will not fit the sufferer, by disjointing or tearing the trembling limbs until they are stretched to its extremity. But courage, constancy, and patience, under torments, have sometimes caused the most hardened monsters to relent, and forgive the object of their cruelty You, Sir, are determined to try all that human nature can endure, until she expires: else, was it possible that you could be the author of that most inhuman letter to the duke of Bedford? I have read it with astonishment and horror. Where, Sir. where were the feelings of your own heart. when you could upbraid a most affectionate father with the loss of his only and most amiable son? Read over again those cruel

cussed without descending to the most odious personalities? Must you go wantonly out of your way to torment declining age, because the duke of Bedford may have quarrelled with those whose cause and politics you espouse? For shame! for shame! As you have spoke daggers to him. you may justly dread the use of them against your own breast, did a want of courage, or of noble sentiments, stimulate him to such great. The public will judge of your mean revenge. He is above it; he is brave. motives. If your excess of modesty forbids Do you fancy that your own base arts have you to produce either the proofs of your- infected our whole island? But your own self, I will excuse it. Take courage; I reflections, your own conscience, must and have not the temper of Tiberius, any more will, if you have any spark of humanity than the rank or power. You, indeed, are remaining, give him most ample vengeance. a tyrant of another sort, and upon your Not all the power of words with which you political bed of torture can excruciate any are so graced, will ever wash out, or even subject, from a first minister down to such palliate, this foul blot in your character. I a grub or butterfly as myself; like another have not time at present to dissect your letter so minutely as I could wish, but I will be bold enough to say, that it is (as to reason and argument) the most extraordinary piece of florid impotence that was ever imposed upon the eyes and ears of the too credulous and deluded mob. It accuses the duke of Bedford of high treason. Upon what foundation? You tell us, 'that the duke's pecuniary character makes it more than probable, that he could not have made such sacrifices at the peace, without some private compensations: that his conduct carried with it an interior evidence, beyond all the legal proofs of a court of justice.'

My academical education, Sir, bids me tell you that it is necessary to establish the truth of your first proposition, before you presume to draw inferences from it. First lines of yours, and let them wring your very prove the avarice, before you make the soul! Cannot political questions be dis- rash, hasty, and most wicked conclusion.

1 Measures and not men is the common cant of affected moderation;—a base, counterfeit language, fabricated by knaves, and made current among fools. Such gentle censure is not fitted to the present degenerate state of society. What does it avail to expose the absurd contrivance, or pernicious tendency, of measures, if the man who advises or executes, shall be suffered not only to escape with impunity, but even to preserve his power, and insult us with the favour of his sovereign! I would re-temmend to the reader the whole of Mr Pope's | thing else, have appeared touched by my satires

letter to Doctor Arbuthnot, dated 26 July, 1734, from which the following is an extract. To reform and not to chastise I am afraid is impossible; and that the best precepts, as well as the best laws, would prove of small use, if there were no examples to enforce them. To attack vices in the abstract, without touching persons, may be safe fighting indeed, but it is fighting with shadows. My greatest comfort and en-couragement to proceed, has been, to see that stealth? It is upon record.

If exact order, method, and true economy as a master of a family: if splendour and just magnificence, without wild waste and thoughtless extravagance: may constitute the character of an avaricious man, the duke is guilty. But for a moment let us admit that an ambassador may love money too much: what proof do you give that he worth considering, excepting for the elehas taken any to betray his country? Is it hearsay; or the evidence of letters, or ocular; or the evidence of those concerned in this black affair? Produce your authorities to the public. It is a most impudent kind of sorcery to attempt to blind us with the smoke, without convincing us that the fire has existed. You first brand him with a vice that he is free from, to render him odious and suspected. Suspicion is the foul weapon with which you make all your chief attacks: with that you stab. But skall one of the first subjects of the realm be ruined in his fame, shall even his life be in constant danger, from a charge built upon such sandy foundations? Must his house be besieged by lawless ruffians, his journies impeded, and even the asylum of an altar be insecure, from assertions so base and false? Potent as he is, the duke is amenable to justice; if guilty, punishable. The parliament is the high and solemn tribunal for matters of such great moment. To that be they submitted. But I hope also that some notice will be taken of, and some punishment inflicted upon, false accusers, especially upon such, JUNIUS, who are wilfully false. In any truth I will agree even with JUNIUS; will agree with him that it is highly unbecoming the dignity of peers to tamper with

This father, JUNIUS, whom you call avariboroughs. Aristocracy is as fatal as democious, allowed that son eight thousand cracy. Our constitution admits of neither. pounds a year. Upon his most unfortunate It loves a King, Lords, and Commons death, which your usual good nature took really chosen by the unbought suffrages of care to remind him of, he greatly increased a free people. But if corruption only shifts the jointure of the afflicted lady, his widow. hands; if the wealthy commoner gives the Is this avarice? Is this doing good by bribe, instead of the potent peer, is the state better served by this exchange? Is the real emancipation of the borough effected, because new parchment bonds may possibly supersede the old? To say the truth, wherever such practices prevail. they are equally criminal to and destructive of our freedom.

> The rest of your declamation is scarce gance of the language. Like Hamlet in the play, you produce two pictures: you tell us, that one is not like the duke of Bedford: then you bring a most hideous caricature. and tell us of the resemblance : but multum abludit imago.

> All your long tedious accounts of the ministerial quarrels, and the intrigues of the cabinet, are reducible to a few short lines : and to convince you, Sir, that I do not mean to flatter any minister, either past or present, these are my thoughts: they seem to have acted like lovers, or children: have pouted, quarrelled, cried, kissed, and been friends again,1 as the objects of desire, the ministerial rattles, have been put into their hands. But such proceedings are very unworthy of the gravity and dignity of a great nation. We do not want men of abilities ; but we have wanted steadiness; we want unanimity: your letters, Junius, will not contribute thereto. You may one day expire by a flame of your own kindling. But it is my humble opinion that lenity and moderation, pardon and oblivion, will disappoint the efforts of all the seditious in the land, and extinguish their wide-spreading fires. I have lived with this sentiment: with this I shall die.

WILLIAM DRAPER.3

I Sir William gives us a pleasant account of men, who, in his opinion at least, are the best qualified to govern an empire.

² A few days subsequent to the publication of this letter, a report was circulated, that sir William Draper, in consequence of his defence of lord Granby, had been appointed to a govern-

LETTER XXVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

13 October, 1769. SIR. IF sir William Draper's bed be a bed of torture, he has made it for himself. certainly do no discredit to a newspaper.

find her.

1760.

'Sir.

port that sir William Draper is appointed a men whosoever.'-EDIT.

But it seems I have outraged the feelings of a father's heart .- Am I indeed so injudicious? Does sir William Draper think I would have hazarded my credit with a generous nation, by so gross a violation of the laws of humanity? Does he think I am so little acquainted with the first and noblest characteristic of Englishmen? Or I shall never interrupt his repose. Having how will he reconcile such folly with an changed the subject, there are parts of his understanding so full of artifice as mine? last letter not undeserving of a reply. Leav- Had he been a father, he would have been ing his private character and conduct out of but little offended with the severity of the the question, I shall consider him merely reproach, for his mind would have been in the capacity of an author, whose labours filled with the justice of it. He would have seen that I did not insult the feelings of a We say, in common discourse, that a man father, but the father who felt nothing. may be his own enemy, and the frequency. He would have trusted to the evidence of of the fact makes the expression intelligible. his own paternal heart, and boldly denied But that a man should be the bitterest the possibility of the fact, instead of deenemy of his friends, implies a contradic- fending it. Against whom then will his tion of a peculiar nature! There is some- honest indignation be directed, when I thing in it which cannot be conceived assure him, that this whole town beheld the without a confusion of ideas, nor expressed duke of Bedford's conduct, upon the death without a solecism in language. Sir of his son, with horror and astonishment. William Draper is still that fatal friend lord Sir William Draper does himself but little Granby found him. Yet I am ready to do honour in opposing the general sense of iustice to his generosity; if indeed it be not his country. The people are seldom wrong something more than generous, to be the in their opinions ;-in their sentiments they voluntary advocate of men, who think are never mistaken. There may be a vanity themselves injured by his assistance, and to perhaps in a singular way of thinking ;-consider nothing in the cause he adopts, but when a man professes a want of those but the difficulty of defending it. I thought feelings, which do honour to the multitude, however he had been better read in the he hazards something infinitely more imhistory of the human heart, than to com- portant than the character of his underpare or confound the tortures of the body standing. After all, as sir William may with those of the mind. He ought to have possibly be in earnest in his anxiety for the known though perhaps it might not be his duke of Bedford, I should be glad to interest to confess, that no outward tyranny relieve him from it. He may rest assured can reach the mind. If conscience plays that this worthy nobleman laughs, with the tyrant, it would be greatly for the bene- equal indifference, at my reproaches, and fit of the world that she were more arbi- sir William's distress about him. But here trary, and far less placable, than some men let it stop. Even the duke of Bedford, insensible as he is, will consult the tranquillity

orship in America, which sir William contra-governor in America. The story has been dicted, in the following short note, addressed to raised to make the public believe that he has the Printer of the Public Advertiser, Oct. 20, endeavoured to vindicate those whom he knows to have been most infamously traduced for the sake of a reward. His motive for this voyage is entirely curiosity. He has nothing to do with the politics of this ministry, or any other set of said of him was lenity and compassion.1

regular and magnificent. He pays his it should not have been omitted.3

¹ See Private Letter, No. 10.

same idea of blushing, that a man blind from his

birth has of scarlet or sky-blue.

3 In answer to this heavy charge, two instances of the noble duke's benevolence were brought forward in two separate letters in the Public Advertiser. The one dated Oct, 17, and signed Frances, which states his having relieved with a patent employment, the husband of the writer of Frances, in which the author, a Mrs Griffiths, fictitiously depicted their own real distress. The other dated Oct. 20, and signed Jere. Mears, lieut. of the 20th regt. relates the duke's generous and unsolicited bestowment upon him of a pair of colours, upon a knowledge, when lord-lieutenant of Ireland, of the writer's destitute

A much abler reply to Junius's severe attack son his Grace was afterwards introduced into the Public Advertiser in a letter to Junius subscribed M. Tullius, dated Dec. 8, from which the editor feels bound, on the score of impartiality, to make the following extract:

'In these strictures I have principally in view the treatment which Junius, in two publications, has thought proper to offer to the duke of Bedford. His animadversions on this illustrious nobleman are intended to reflect both on his public and private character. With regard to the first of these, nothing of consequence is urged besides his Grace's conduct as ambassador at the court of Versailles in the making of the late peace. I mean not to enter here into the merits or demerits of that important transaction. - Thus much is known to all, the riches of the nation were at that time well nigh exhausted, public credit was on the brink of ruin, the national debt increased to such an enormous height as to threaten us with a sudden

of his life, in not provoking the moderation debts, abhors a beggar, and makes a handof my temper. If, from the profoundest some provision for his son. His charity contempt, I should ever rise into anger, he has improved upon the proverb, and ended should soon find, that all I have already where it began. Admitting the whole force of this single instance of his domestic gen-Out of a long catalogue, sir William erosity (wonderful indeed, considering the Draper has confined himself to the refuta- narrowness of his fortune, and the little tion of two charges only. The rest he had merit of his only son), the public may still not time to discuss; and indeed it would perhaps be dissatisfied, and demand some have been a laborious undertaking. To other less equivocal proofs of his munifidraw up a defence of such a series of cence. Sir William Draper should have enormities, would have required a life at entered boldly into the detail-of indigence least as long as that which has been uni-relieved-of arts encouraged-of science formly employed in the practice of them patronized; men of learning protected, and The public opinion of the duke of Bedford's works of genius rewarded; in short, had extreme economy is, it seems, entirely with- there been a single instance, besides Mr out foundation. Though not very prodigal Rigby,2 of blushing merit brought forward abroad, in his own family, at least, he is by the duke, for the service of the public,

and universal crush; and whatever be said of This gentleman is supposed to have the the concessions that were made to bring that me idea of blushing, that a man blind from his memorable event to bear, Canada, among other instances, will ever remain a glorious monument; the interests of this kingdom were not forgotten in that negotiation: But JUNIUS, hackneyed in the tricks of controversy, where a man's open and avowed actions are innocent, has the art to hint at secret terms and private compensations; and though he is compelled by the force of truth to own 'no document of any treasonable practice is to be found,' we are given plainly to understand, so many public sacrifices were not made at that period without a valuable con-sideration, and that in practice there is very little difference in the ceremony of offering a bribe, and of that duke's accepting it. To a charge that is alledged, not only without proof, but even with a confession that no proof is to be expected, no answer is to be returned but that of a contemptuous silence. When a writer takes upon him to attack the character of a nobleman of the highest rank, and in a matter of so capital a nature as that of selling his country for a bribe, common policy, as well as prudence, require that an accusation of such importance be supported with at least some show of evidence, and that even this be not done but with the utmost moderation of temper and expression: but so sober a conduct would have been beside the purpose of Junius, whose business it was not to reason, but rail. The Roman rhetorician, among the other arts of oratory, mentions one, which he dignifies with the title of a "Canine eloquence," that of filling up the empty places of an argument with railings, convitus implere vacua causarum. In the knowledge of this rule Junius is without a rival; and the present instance, among a thousand others, is a convincing testimony of his dexterity in the application of it.

But here it will be said, it is not from cir.

inference with the same certainty, on which conclusion however was not drawn from the

I wish it were possible to establish my I believe the principle is founded. My

against the duke of Bedford is founded; the general character of every one takes its colour and complexion from that quality in him which predominates, and the allowed avarice of the man affords an evidence not to be resisted of the rapacity of the ambassador; and is it then so incontestible a point that the duke is indeed the sorded man which TUNIUS has delineated? are there no instances to be produced that denote a contrary disposition? one would think if a vicious thirst of gain had borne so large a share, as is pretended, in his Grace's composition, this would have discovered itself in the pecuniary emoluments he had secured for himself when he engaged in a share of Government. But what advantages of this kind has he obtained; or to what bargains with the minister does Junius allide, when he knows that his Grace, though willing to assist the friends of administration with his interest and weight, has not accepted any department either of power or profit? had Junius and candour not shaken hands, this circumstance alone would have afforded him an evidence beyond all the legal proofs of a court of justice, of the iniquity of his own insinuations. But we are not at a loss for other instances, and those no ordinary ones, of the duke's munifi-cence. To what principle shall we attribute the payment of the elder brother's debts to the amount of not much less than one hundred thousand pounds? the splendid provision he made for his unfortunate son; and afterwards for that son's more unfortunate widow? what shall we say to his known attachments to the interests of his friends, his kindness to his domestics, and annual bounty to those who have served him faithfully? his indulgence to his de-pendants? or what are, if these be not, une-quivocal proofs of genume liberality and benevolence?

'When to these symptoms of an enlarged and generous mind, we add what are equally constituent parts of his Grace's character, the decency and decorum of his conduct in private life, his regularity in his family, and what is now so rare a virtue among the great, his constant attendance on all the public offices of Divine Worship, we shall hardly find, in the whole circle of the nobility, a man that has a juster and much more than a constitutional claim to respect, or one that less deserved the censures of a saturist, such as JUNIUS, than his Grace of Bedford. But in the reflections of JUNIUS there is a more surprising piece of profligacy yet behind. As if all the former instances of his malignity had been too little, he has filled up the measure of his crimes by calling back to our remembrance the loss, which not the father alone, but the kingdom The cruelty of this accusation is only to be public interests are not concerned: he will be

cumstance and conjecture alone that this charge paralleled by the falsehood of it, and in a better age than the present would have been deemed a produgy. To one who possessed the proper sentiments of a man, the dwelling at all on a calamity which is still so recent, which in all its circumstances was so truly pitiable, would have appeared in the highest degree ungenerous and mean; but to represent the principal sufferer in this scene of woe as the only one not sensible of his misfortune; to paint a father destitute of a father's love, and even professing a want of those feelings which do honour to the multitude, is an instance of barbarity of which a savage would have been ashamed, and which no prettinesses of style, no powers of language, no literary merit, can ever excuse or expiate: and indeed. corrupt as the times are said to be, I have the satisfaction to observe Junius for once has reckoned without his host, and mistaken the taste and temper of his countrymen: we can allow for the petulance which want and hunger extort from an opposition; we can pity the wretch who is obliged to draw his venal quill, and say and unsay as is dictated to him by his superiors: but we are not yet so far gone in the road to ruin, or dead to all the movements of compassion. as to behold without abhorrence the man, who can so totally resign all pretences to humanity, or regard him in any other light than as the object of general detestation.

'JUNIUS in his letter to the duke of Bedford,

amuses himself with describing, in theory, the dignity and importance of an independent nobleman: by way of conclusion to these re-marks, I shall delineate for him in return, what I conceive should be the character of one who sets up for a political writer; and this, in imita-tion of his own method, both by the positive and negative marks which may be given of it. A writer then of this class, though he will ever be suspicious of the conduct of those in power, will be sure to watch with equal jealousy over himself, lest in his zeal for exciting a reasonable love of liberty, he encourage a dangerous spirit of licentiousness: he will be as cautious of weakening the constitutional powers of the prince, as he will be careful of supporting the undoubted rights of the people; and will expose with the same freedom, in their turns, the exorbitances of prerogative, and the lawless efforts of a faction. In the negative parts of his cha-racter, he will not give occasion to the most distant suspicion that his opposition to government proceeds not so much from a dislike to measures, as to men: in times of real security he will not inflame the minds of the populace with affected apprehensions: before he complains of grievances he will be sure they exist: in his freest writings he will never violate, knowingly, the sustained in the death of his only son, and to repreach him for the insensibility he supposes him
to have discovered, on that affecting occasion.

The much suppose the follies of youth, the infirmities of age,
or the irregularities of private life, in which the

reason from one crime to another; though with the extravagant concessions made by the ambassador : and though I doubt not sufficient care was taken to leave no docuof triumphant security, as if nothing could ing the distresses of his country. be true but what could be proved in a court of justice. Yet a religious man might with respect to the present condition of have remembered, upon what foundation affairs, is too loose and undetermined to be some truths, most interesting to mankind, of any service to the public. How strange have been received and established. If it it is that this gentleman should dedicate so were not for the internal evidence, which much time and argument to the defence of the purest of religions carries with it, what worthless or indifferent characters, while he would have become of his once well-quoted gives but seven solitary lines to the only decalogue, and of the meekness of his subject, which can deserve his attention, or Christianity?

The generous warmth of his resentment makes him confound the order of events. He forgets that the insults and distresses which the duke of Bedford has suffered. and which sir William has lamented with many delicate touches of the true pathetic, were only recorded in my letter to his Grace. not occasioned by it. It was a simple, in certain circumstances, a wise man would question turned upon the personal gener-

principle alone. I am not so unjust as to do well to prepare himself for the event.

But I have a charge of a heavier nature I think, that, of all the vices, avarice is against sir William Draper. He tells us most apt to taint and corrupt the heart. I that the duke of Bedford is amenable to combined the known temper of the man, justice; -that parliament is a high and solemn tribunal; and that, if guilty, he may be punished by due course of law: and all this, he says, with as much gravity ment of any treasonable negotiation, I still as if he believed every word of the matter. maintain that the conduct 1 of this minister I hope, indeed, the day of impeachments carries with it an internal and a convincing will arrive before this nobleman escapes evidence against him. Sir William Draper out of life :- but to refer us to that mode seems not to know the value or force of of proceeding now, with such a ministry, such a proof. He will not permit us and such a House of Commons as the preto judge of the motives of men, by the sent, what is it, but an indecent mockery manifest tendency of their actions, nor by of the common sense of the nation? I think the notorious character of their minds. He he might have contented himself with decalls for papers and witnesses, with a sort fending the greatest enemy, without insult-

> His concluding declaration of his opinion. do credit to his abilities.

> > JUNIUS.

LETTER XXVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 20 October, 1769.

I VERY sincerely applaud the spirit candid narrative of facts; though, for aught with which a lady has paid the debt of I know, it may carry with it something pro- gratitude to her benefactor.3 Though I phetic. His Grace undoubtedly has re-think she has mistaken the point, she shows ceived several ominous hints; and I think, a virtue which makes her respectable. The

ing the innocent or satirising the unhappy: in a word, he will not take advantage of his own security to stab in the dark, or with Solomon's fool, divert himself with holding out the most and ridicule, and say, am not I in sport?'-EDIT.

If sir W. D. will take the trouble of looking See note, ante, p. 200.—EDIT.

restrained by a sense of honour from calumniat- into Torcy's Memoirs, he will see with what little ceremony a bribe may be offered to a duke, and with what little ceremony it was only not accepted .- AUTHOR.

It is too generally known to need further exrespectable characters as objects of contempt planation that the first duke of Marlborough is

the nobleman here referred to.—EDIT.

The letter of Mrs Griffiths, signed Frances.

Treland, but of a rich English duke, whose whether the charge be fairly supported. wealth gave him the means of doing as First then,—the leaving a man to enjoy in the present argument.

TUNIUS.

LETTER XXIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR,

19 October, 1769.

will never descend to a dispute with such a to compound his ideas, and you will soon writer as Modestus (whose letter appeared see how little either of them understand in the Gazetteer of Monday 1) especially as you. It is not a simple idea arising from a the dispute must be chiefly about words, single fact, but a very complex idea arising Notwithstanding the partiality of the pub- from many facts well observed, and aclic, it does not appear that JUNIUS values curately compared. himself upon any superior skill in composiarticle of any importance to the public.

JUNIUS be an Irishman?—The absurdity of hazard and expense; but, under the pre-

The gentleman who wrote several letters Dalrymple, a Scotch Advocate, For a specimen under this signature in the Gazetteer, and subsequently in the Public Advertiser, was a Mr Eddr.

osity or avarice of a man, whose private his writings betrays him.-Waving all confortune is immense. The proofs of his sideration of the insult offered by Modestus munificence must be drawn from the uses to the declared judgment of the people to which he has applied that fortune. I (they may well bear this among the rest), was not speaking of a lord-lieutenant of let us follow the several instances, and try

much good in this country, as he de- such repose as he can find upon a bed of rived from his power in another. I am far torture, is severe indeed; perhaps too much from wishing to lessen the merit of this so, when applied to such a trifler as sir single benevolent action ;--perhaps it is the William Draper; but there is nothing abmore conspicuous from standing alone, surd either in the idea or expression. Mo-All I mean to say is, that it proves nothing destus cannot distinguish between a sarcasm and a contradiction.

- 2. I affirm with JUNIUS, that it is the frequency of the fact, which alone can make us comprehend how a man can be his own enemy. We should never arrive at the complex idea conveyed by those words, if we had only seen one or two instances of a man acting to his own prejudice. Offer I AM well assured that JUNIUS the proposition to a child, or a man unused
- 3. Modestus could not, without great tion, and I hope his time will always be affectation, mistake the meaning of Junius. more usefully employed than in the trifling when he speaks of a man who is the bitterrefinements of verbal criticism. Modestus, est enemy of his friends. He could not but however, shall have no reason to triumph know, that JUNIUS spoke, not of a false or in the silence and moderation of JUNIUS. hollow friendship, but of a real intention to If he knew as much of the propriety of serve, and that intention producing the language, as I believe he does of the facts worst effects of enmity. Whether the dein question, he would have been as cautious scription be strictly applicable to sir William of attacking Junius upon his composition, Draper is another question. Junius does as he seems to be of entering into the sub- not say that it is more criminal for a man ject of it; yet after all, the last is the only to be the enemy of his friends than his own. though he might have affirmed it with I do not wonder at the unremitted ran- truth. In a moral light a man may cercour with which the duke of Bedford and tainly take greater liberties with himself his adherents invariably speak of a nation, than with another. To sacrifice ourselves which we well know has been too much in- merely, is a weakness we may indulge in, jured to be easily forgiven. But why must if we think proper, for we do it at our own

tude between them. They are totally farther than to the duke.1 different both in their cause and operation. acts upon itself.

ous, by making it his own.

So much for composition. Now for fact.

Within a fortnight after lord Tavistock's death, the venerable Gertrude had a rout at Bedford-house. The good duke (who had only sixty thousand pounds a year) ordered an inventory to be taken of his son's wearing apparel, down to his slippers, sold them all, and put the money in his pocket. The amiable marchioness, shocked at such brutal, unfeeling avarice, gave the value of the clothes, to the marquis's servant, out of her own purse. That incomparable woman ford's only son, who was killed, as already redid not long survive her husband. When she lated, by a fall from his horse, had married the died, the duchess of Bedford treated her as the sister of the late earl of Albemarle.—EDIT.

tence of friendship, to sport with the reput- suppress the appearance of them. Yet 't ation, or sacrifice the honour of another, was an occasion, one would think, on which is something worse than weakness; and if, he need not have been ashamed of his in favour of the foolish intention, we do grief; -on which less fortitude would have not call it a crime, we must allow at least done him more honour. I can conceive that it arises from an overweening, busy, indeed a benevolent motive for his endeameddling impudence.-Junius says only, vouring to assume an air of tranquillity in and he says truly, that it is more extra- his own family, and I wish I could disordinary, that it involves a greater contra- cover any thing, in the rest of his character. diction than the other; and is it not a to justify my assigning that motive to his maxim received in life, that in general we behaviour. But is there no medium? Was can determine more wisely for others than it necessary to appear abroad, to ballot at for ourselves? The reason of it is so clear the India House, and make a public disin argument, that it hardly wants the con- play, though it were only of an apparent firmation of experience. Sir William insensibility? - I know we are treading Draper, I confess, is an exception to the on tender ground, and JUNIUS, I am congeneral rule, though not much to his credit, vinced, does not wish to urge this question 4. If this gentleman will go back to his farther. Let the friends of the duke of ethics, he may perhaps discover the truth Bedford observe that humble silence, which of what Junius says, that no outward becomes their situation. They should retyranny can reach the mind. The tortures collect that there are still some facts in of the body may be introduced by way of store, at which human nature would shudornament or illustration to represent those der. I shall be understood by those whom of the mind, but strictly there is no simili- it concerns, when I say that these facts go

It is not inconsistent to suppose that a The wretch who suffers upon the rack, is man may be quite indifferent about one merely passive; but when the mind is tor- part of a charge, yet severely stung with tured, it is not at the command of any out- another, and though he feels no remorse, ward power. It is the sense of guilt which that he may wish to be revenged. The constitutes the punishment, and creates charge of insensibility carries a reproach that torture with which the guilty mind indeed, but no danger with it .- JUNIUS had said, there are others who would assassinate. 5. He misquotes what JUNIUS says of Modestus, knowing his man, will not suffer conscience, and makes the sentence ridicul- the insinuation to be divided, but fixes it all upon the duke of Bedford.

Without determining upon what evidence -- JUNIUS it seems has mistaken the duke JUNIUS would choose to be condemned, I will of Bedford. His Grace had all the proper venture to maintain, in opposition to Modesfeelings of a father, though he took care to tus, or to Mr Rigby (who is certainly not Modestus), or any other of the Bloomsbury

> duke had treated his only son. She ordered every gown and trinket to be sold, and pocketed the money .- These are the monsters, whom sir William Draper comes forward to defend.—May God protect me from doing anything that may require such defence, or deserve such friend-

ship —AUTHOR.
The marquis of Tavistock, the duke of Bed-

gang, that the evidence against the duke of are suffered to pass by without punishment was not refused.

opprobrium to his Grace.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER XXX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

Sir.

17 October, 1769.

It is not wonderful that the great cause, in which this country is engaged, should have roused and engrossed the whole attention of the people. I rather admire the generous spirit, with which they feel and assert their interest in this important quesopenly invaded, when the first original right isilence, and utterly forgotten. of the people, from which all laws derive

Bedford is as strong as any presumptive or observation. The present ministry are evidence can be. It depends upon a com- as singularly marked by their fortune, as by bination of facts and reasoning, which require their crimes. Instead of atoning for their no confirmation from the anecdote of the former conduct by any wise or popular duke of Marlborough. This anecdote was measure, they have found, in the enormity referred to merely to show how ready a of one fact, a cover and defence for a series great man may be to receive a great bribe; of measures, which must have been fatal to and if Modestus could read the original, he any other administration. I fear we are would see that the expression, only not ac- too remiss in observing the whole of their cepted, was probably the only one in our proceedings. Struck with the principal language that exactly fitted the case. The figure, we do not sufficiently mark in what bribe, offered to the duke of Marlborough, manner the canvass is filled up. Yet surely it is not a less crime, nor less fatal in its I cannot conclude without taking notice consequences, to encourage a flagrant of this honest gentleman's learning, and breach of the law by a military force, than wishing he had given us a little more of it. to make use of the forms of parliament to When he accidentally found himself so near destroy the constitution.—The ministry speaking truth, it was rather unfair of him seem determined to give us a choice of difto leave out the non potuisse refelli. As it ficulties, and, if possible, to perplex us with stands, the pudet has opprobria may be the multitude of their offences. The expedivided equally between Mr Rigby and the dient is well worthy of the duke of Grafton. duke of Bedford. Mr Rigby, I take for But though he has preserved a gradation granted, will assert his natural right to the and variety in his measures, we should remodesty of the quotation, and leave all the member that the principle is uniform. Dictated by the same spirit, they deserve the same attention. The following fact, though of the most alarming nature, has not yet been clearly stated to the public, nor have the consequences of it been sufficiently understood. Had I taken it up at an earlier period, I should have been accused of an uncandid, malignant precipitation, as if I watched for an unfair advantage against the ministry, and would not allow them a reasonable time to do their duty. They now stand without excuse. Instead of employing the leisure they have had, in a strict examination of the offence, and punishing the offenders, they seem to have considered that indulgence as a security to tion, than blame them for their indifference them, that, with a little time and manageabout any other. When the constitution is ment, the whole affair might be buried in

A major-general of the army is arrested their authority, is directly attacked, inferior by the sheriff's officers for a considerable grievances naturally lose their force, and debt. He persuades them to conduct him

¹ Major-general Gausel was arrested September 21, 1769, in Piccadilly, for two thousand him to a spunging-house. When they came to pounds. He told the bailift, if he would go down with him to the Tilt-yard, he should there find a and file of musqueteers to secure the bailiff, on

to the Tilt-yard in St Tames's Park, under made. Neither is it my design to dwell

some pretence of business, which it imported upon the misconduct of the parties conhim to settle before he was confined. He cerned, any farther than is necessary to applies to a serjeant, not immediately on show the behaviour of the ministry in its duty, to assist with some of his companions true light. I would make every compasin favouring his escape. He attempts it. sionate allowance for the infatuation of the A bustle ensues. The bailiffs claim their prisoner, the false and criminal discretion prisoner. An officer of the guards, 1 not of one officer, and the madness of another. then on duty, takes part in the affair, ap- I would leave the ignorant soldiers entirely plies to the lieutenant commanding the out of the question. They are certainly the Tilt-yard guard,2 and urges him to turn out least guilty, though they are the only perhis guard to relieve a general officer. The sons who have yet suffered, even in the lieutenant declines interfering in person, but 'appearance of punishment.3 The fact itself, stands at a distance, and suffers the business, however atrocious, is not the principal to be done. The other officer takes upon point to be considered. It might have himself to order out the guard. In a happened under a more regular governmoment they are in arms, quit their guard, ment, and with guards better disciplined march, rescue the general, and drive away than ours. The main question is, in what the sheriffs' officers, who in vain represent manner have the ministry acted on this their right to the prisoner, and the nature extraordinary occasion. A general officer of the arrest. The soldiers first conduct the calls upon the king's own guard, then actugeneral into their guard room, then escort ally on duty, to rescue him from the laws him to a place of safety, with bayonets of his country; yet at this moment he is in fixed, and in all the forms of military a situation no worse, than if he had not triumph. I will not enlarge upon the committed an offence, equally enormous in various circumstances which attended this a civil and military view .-- A lieutenant atrocious proceeding. The personal injury upon duty designedly quits his guard, and received by the officers of the law in the suffers it to be drawn out by another officer, execution of their duty, may perhaps be for a purpose, which he well knew (as we atoned for by some private compensation. may collect from an appearance of caution, I consider nothing but the wound which which only makes his behaviour the more has been given to the law itself, to which criminal), to be in the highest degree illegal. no remedy has been applied, no satisfaction Has this gentleman been called to a court

a pretence that he had been insulted by him, which they did, while the prisoner escaped.

Adjutant-general Harvey having heard of the affair, ordered the serjeant and his men close prisoners to the Savoy, and sent captain Cox to notify to the sheriffs the steps he had taken in consequence of the proceedings of general Gan-sel, who had, in the mean while, surrendered sel, who had, in the mean while, surrendered have done; and strictly orders for the future, himself into custody. In consequence of the that no commissioned officer or soldier do pre-

that serjeant Bacon of the first regiment, and serjeant Parke of the Coldstream regiment, William Powell, William Hart, James Porter, and Joseph Collins, private soldiers in the first regiment of foot-guards, were more or less con- as already observed, reprimanded.-Edit.

cerned in the rescue of major-general Gansel, in September last; the king hopes, and is willing to believe, they did not know the major-general was arrested, and only thought they were delivering an officer in distress: however his Majesty commands, that they should be severely reprimanded for acting in this business as they himsel into custody. In consequence of the that no commissioned oncer or soldier to preabove circumstance, on the east of April following, was issued to the brigade of guards, the
Order as under:

'Parole Hounslow,
B. O. His Majesty has signified to the field
Severely punished. This order to be read immediately at the head of every company in the officer in waiting, that he has been acquainted brigade of guards, that no man may plead ignorance for the future.' - EDIT. Lieutenant Dodd.

² Lieutenant Garth. 3 A few of them were confined, and the rest, martial to answer for his conduct? No. would be better subjects. It is not that to pass by unpunished, and unnoticed? Are whether or no I speak truth. they aware of the outrage offered to their sovereign, when his own proper guard is ordered out, to stop, by main force, the execution of his laws? What are we to conclude from so scandalous a neglect of their duty, but that they have other views which can only be answered by securing the attachment of the guards? The minister would hardly be so cautious of offending them, if he did not mean, in due time, to call for their assistance.

With respect to the parties themselves, let it be observed, that these gentlemen are neither young officers, nor very young men. Had they belonged to the unfledged race of ensigns, who infest our streets, and dishonour our public places, it might perhaps be sufficient to send them back to that discipline, from which their parents, judging lightly from the maturity of their vices, had removed them too soon. In this case, I am sorry to see, not so much the folly of youth, as the spirit of the corps, and the connivance of government. I do not question that there are many brave and worthy officers in the regiments of guards. But considering them as a corps, I fear it will be found that they are neither good soldiers, men were better soldiers, I am sure they soldiers, would long since have been sacri-

Has it been censured? No. Has it been there is any internal vice or defect in the in any shape inquired into? No.—Another profession itself, as regulated in this country. lieutenant, not upon duty, nor even in his but that it is the spirit of this particular regimentals, is daring enough to order out corps to despise their profession, and that the king's guard, over which he had pro- while they vainly assume the lead of the perly no command, and engages them in a army, they make it matter of impertinent violation of the laws of his country, per- comparison and triumph over the bravest haps the most singular and extravagant troops in the world (I mean our marching that ever was attempted.—What punish- regiments), that they indeed stand upon ment has he suffered? Literally none, higher ground, and are privileged to neg-Supposing he should be prosecuted at com- lect the laborious forms of military dismon law for the rescue, will that circum- cipline and duty. Without dwelling longer stance, from which the ministry can derive upon a most invidious subject, I shall leave no merit, excuse or justify their suffering it to military men, who have seen a service so flagrant a breach of military discipline more active than the parade, to determine

How far this dangerous spirit has been encouraged by government, and to what pernicious purposes it may be applied hereafter, well deserves our most serious consideration. I know indeed, that when this affair happened, an affectation of alarm ran through the ministry. Something must be done to save appearances. The case was too flagrant to be passed by absolutely without notice. But how have they acted? Instead of ordering the officers concerned (and who, strictly speaking, are alone guilty,) to be put under arrest, and brought to trial, they would have it understood, that they did their duty completely, in confining a serieant and four private soldiers, until they should be demanded by the civil power; so that while the officers, who ordered or permitted the thing to be done, escape without censure, the poor men who obeyed those orders, who in a military view are no way responsible for what they did, and who for that reason have been discharged by the civil magistrates, are the only objects whom the ministry have thought proper to expose to They did not venture to punishment. bring even these men to a court martial, nor good subjects. Far be it from me to in- because they knew their evidence would be sinuate the most distant reflection upon the fatal to some persons, whom they were dearmy. On the contrary, I honour and termined to protect. Otherwise, I doubt esteem the profession; and if these gentle- not, the lives of these unhappy, friendless ficed, without scruple, to the security of their guilty officers.

I have been accused of endeavouring to enflame the passions of the people.-Let me now arbeal to their understanding. If there be any tool of administration daring enough to deny these facts, or shameless with the public.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XXXI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 14 November, 1769.

THE variety of remarks, which enough to defend the conduct of the min- have been made upon the last letter of istry, let him come forward. I care not JUNIUS, and my own opinion of the Writer, under what title he appears. He shall find who, whatever may be his faults, is cerme ready to maintain the truth of my nar- tainly not a weak man, have induced me rative, and the justice of my observations to examine, with some attention, the subupon it, at the hazard of my utmost credit ject of that letter. I could not persuade myself that, while he had plenty of im-Under the most arbitrary governments, portant materials, he would have taken up the common administration of justice is a light or trifling occasion to attack the suffered to take its course. The subject, ministry; much less could I conceive that though robbed of his share in the legisla- it was his intention to ruin the officers conture, is still protected by the laws. The cerned in the rescue of general Gansel, or political freedom of the English constitution to injure the general himself. These are was once the pride and honour of an Eng- little objects, and can no way contribute to lishman. The civil equality of the laws the great purposes he seems to have in preserved the property, and defended the view, by addressing himself to the public. safety of the subject. Are these glorious -Without considering the ornamented privileges the birthright of the people, or style he has adopted, I determined to look are we only tenants at the will of the min- farther into the matter, before I decided istry?-But that I know there is a spirit of upon the merits of his letter. The first resistance in the hearts of my countrymen, step I took was to enquire into the truth of that they value life, not by its conveniences, the facts; for if these were either false or but by the independence and dignity of misrepresented, the most artful exertion of their condition, I should, at this moment, his understanding, in reasoning upon them, appeal only to their discretion. I should would only be a disgrace to him .- Now. persuade them to banish from their minds Sir, I have found every circumstance stated all memory of what we were; I should tell by JUNIUS to be literally true. General them this is not a time to remember that Gansel persuaded the bailiffs to conduct we were Englishmen; and give it as my him to the parade, and certainly solicited a last advice, to make some early agreement corporal and other soldiers to assist him in with the minister, that since it has pleased making his escape. Captain Dodd did him to rob us of those political rights, certainly apply to captain Garth for the which once distinguished the inhabitants assistance of his guard. Captain Garth of a country, where honour was happiness, declined appearing himself, but stood aloof, he would leave us at least the humble, while the other took upon him to order out obedient security of citizens, and graciously the king's guard, and by main force rescondescend to protect us in our submission. cued the general. It is also strictly true. that the general was escorted by a file of musqueteers to a place of security.-These are facts, Mr Woodfall, which I promise you no gentleman in the guards will deny. If all or any of them are false, why are they not contradicted by the parties themselves? However secure against military ministry, but dwells entirely upon their subopinion of the public.

has been a cry raised against JUNIUS for his

censure, they have yet a character to lose, sequent conduct. He does not say that and surely, if they are innocent, it is not they are answerable for the offence, but for heneath them to pay some attention to the the scandalous neglect of their duty, in suffering an offence, so flagrant, to pass by The force of Junius's observations upon without notice or enquiry. Supposing them these facts cannot be better marked, than ever so regardless of what they owe to the by stating and refuting the objections which public, and as indifferent about the opinion have been made to them. One writer says, as they are about the interests of their 'Admitting the officers have offended, they country, what answer, as officers of the are punishable at common law, and will crown, will they give to JUNIUS, when he you have a British subject punished twice asks them, Are they aware of the outrage for the same offence?' I answer that they offered to their sovereign, when his own have committed two offences, both very proper guard is ordered out to stop, by main enormous, and violated two laws. The force, the execution of his laws ?-And when rescue is one offence, the flagrant breach we see a ministry giving such a strange unof discipline another, and hitherto it does accountable protection to the officers of the not appear that they have been punished, guards, is it unfair to suspect, that they or even censured, for either. Another have some secretand unwarrantable motives gentleman lays much stress upon the for their conduct? If they feel themselves calamity of the case, and, instead of dis-injured by such a suspicion, why do they proving facts, appeals at once to the com- not immediately clear themselves from it. passion of the public. This idea, as well by doing their duty? For the honour of as the insinuation that depriving the par- the guards, I cannot help expressing another ties of their commissions would be an in- suspicion, that, if the commanding officer jury to their creditors, can only refer to had not received a secret injunction to the general Gansel. The other officers are in contrary, he would, in the ordinary course no distress, therefore have no claim to of his business, have applied for a court compassion, nor does it appear that their martial to try the two subalterns; the one creditors, if they have any, are more likely for quitting his guard;-the other for to be satisfied by their continuing in the taking upon him the command of the guard. guards. But this sort of plea will not hold and employing it in the manner he did. I in any shape. Compassion to an offender, do not mean to enter into or defend the who has grossly violated the laws, is in severity, with which JUNIUS treats the effect a cruelty to the peaceable subject guards. On the contrary, I will suppose. who has observed them; and, even ad- for a moment, that they deserve a very mitting the force of any alleviating circum- different character. If this be true, in what stances, it is nevertheless true, that, in this light will they consider the conduct of the instance, the royal compassion has inter- two subalterns, but as a general reproach posed too soon. The legal and proper and disgrace to the whole corps? And mercy of a king of England may remit the will they not wish to see them censured in punishment, but ought not to stop the trial. a military way, if it were only for the credit Besides these particular objections, there and discipline of the regiment.

Upon the whole, Sir, the ministry seem malice and injustice in attacking the to me to have taken a very improper administry upon an event, which they could vantage of the good-nature of the public, neither hinder nor foresee. This, I must whose humanity, they found, considered affirm, is a false representation of his argu- nothing in this affair but the distress of ment. He lays no stress upon the event general Gansel. They would persuade us itself, as a ground of accusation against the that it was only a common rescue, by a few

to enquire into the facts, and for the just highly improper. commentary with which he has given them publicly and with the most atrocious vio- shall hear from me again.3 lence to stop the execution of the laws, and strikes deep.

PHILO JUNIUS.1

LETTER XXXII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 15 *Nov.* 1760. I ADMIT the claim of a gentleman. who publishes in the Gazetteer under the name of Modestus.2 He has some right to expect an answer from me: though, I think, not so much from the merit or import- the violation they have suffered. ance of his objections, as from my own voluntary engagement. I had a reason for not taking notice of him sooner, which, as he is a candid person. I believe he will think sufficient. In my first letter, I took for to his grace the duke of grafton. granted, from the time which had elapsed, that there was no intention to censure, nor even to try, the persons concerned in the rescue of general Gansel: but Modestus

disorderly soldiers, and not the formal having since either affirmed, or strongly deliberate act of the king's guard, headed insinuated, that the offenders might still be by an officer, and the public has fallen into brought to a legal trial, any attempt to prethe deception. I think, therefore, we are judge the cause, or to prejudice the minds obliged to IUNIUS for the care he has taken of a jury, or a court martial, would be

A man more hostile to the ministry than to the world.-For my own part, I am as I am, would not so often remind them of unwilling as any man to load the unfor- their duty. If the duke of Grafton will tunate; but, really, Sir, the precedent, with not perform the duty of his station, why respect to the guards, is of a most import- is he minister?—I will not descend to ant nature, and alarming enough (consider- a scurrilous altercation with any man : but ing the consequences with which it may be this is a subject too important to be passed attended) to deserve a parliamentary en- over with silent indifference. If the genquiry: when the guards are daring enough, tlemen, whose conduct is in question, are not only to violate their own discipline, but not brought to a trial, the duke of Grafton

The motives on which I am supposed to when such extraordinary offences pass with have taken up this cause, are of little imimpunity, believe me, Sir, the precedent portance, compared with the facts themselves, and the observations I have made upon them. Without a vain profession of integrity, which, in these times, might justly be suspected. I shall show myself in effect a friend to the interests of my countrymen, and leave it to them to determine, whether I am moved by a personal malevolence to three private gentlemen, or merely by a hope of perplexing the ministry, or whether I am animated by a just and honourable purpose of obtaining a satisfaction to the laws of this country, equal, if possible, to

JUNIUS.

LETTER XXXIII.

My Lord. 29 Nov. 1769.

Though my opinion of your Grace's integrity was but little affected by the coyness with which you received Mr

¹ This letter was originally printed in the Public Advertiser, with the signature of Moderatus. It shows that Junius himself was peculiarly pleased with the composition, or he would not have raised it, in his own edition, to the rank of those letters which possess the signature

was printed, he gives directions to omit the letters under this signature in the following words:-'Modestus is too stupid, and must not be inserted.' For a specimen of his style, however, see Miscellaneous Letters, No. LXVII.—EDIT.

of his chief auxiliary.—Edit.

See this subject further pursued in Miscella
1 In the copy corrected by the author, and
from which the original edition of these letters

EDIT.

See this subject further pursued in Miscellaneous Letters, LXIV. to LXVIII, inclusive.—

EDIT.

some credit for your discretion. You had is indeed highly your interest to maintain a fair opportunity of displaying a certain the present House of Commons. Having delicacy, of which you had not been sus- sold the nation to you in gross, they will pected; and you were in the right to make undoubtedly protect you in the detail; for use of it. By laying in a moderate stock while they patronize your crimes, they feel of reputation, you undoubtedly meant to for their own, provide for the future necessities of your character, that with an honourable resistance upon record, you might safely indulge your genius, and yield to a favourite inclination with security. But you have district to his grace the duke of grafton. covered your purposes too soon; and, instead of the modest reserve of virtue. have shown us the termagant chastity of a of another.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XXXIV.

MY LORD. 12 Dec. 1760.

I FIND with some surprise, that prude, who gratifies her passions with dis- you are not supported as you deserve. tinction, and prosecutes one lover for a Your most determined advocates have rape, while she solicits the lewd embraces scruples about them, which you are unacquainted with; and, though there be Your cheek turns pale; for a guilty con- nothing too hazardous for your Grace to science tells you, you are undone.-Come engage in, there are some things too inforward, thou virtuous minister, and tell famous for the vilest prostitute of a newsthe world by what interest Mr Hine has paper to defend.3 In what other manner been recommended to so extraordinary a shall we account for the profound, submark of his Majesty's favour; what was missive silence, which you and your friends the price of the patent he has bought, and have observed upon a charge, which called to what honourable purpose the purchase- immediately for the clearest refutation, and money has been applied. Nothing less would have justified the severest measures than many thousands could pay colonel of resentment? I did not attempt to blast Burgoyne's expenses at Preston.² Do you your character by an indirect, ambiguous dare to prosecute such a creature as insinuation, but candidly stated to you a Vaughan, while you are basely setting up plain fact, which struck directly at the inthe royal patronage to auction? Do you tegrity of a privy counsellor, of a first comdare to complain of an attack upon your missioner of the Treasury, and of a leading own honour, while you are selling the minister, who is supposed to enjoy the first favours of the crown, to raise a fund for share in his Majesty's confidence.4 In corrupting the morals of the people? And every one of these capacities I employed do you think it possible such enormities the most moderate terms to charge you

No. 15, December 12, 1769 - EDIT.

4 And by the same means preserves it to this

Vaughan's proposals, I confess I gave you should escape without impeachment? It

The facts are detailed by JUNIUS in a obviously from political motives, but which was note, p 212, and in Letter XXXVI. Mr dropped, as subsequently stated by JUNIUS, after Samuel Vaughan was a merchant in the city, of the affair of Hine's patent was brought before hitherto tunblemished character, and strongly the public.—EDIT. attached to the popular cause. The office he attempted to procure, had, at times, been preattempted to produce, nad, at times, been previously disposed of for a pecuniary consideration, and had, on one particular occasion, been sold date, not one word was said in defence of the by an order of the Court of Chancery, and conintamous duke of Gratton. But vice and impusted in the reversion of the clerkship to the Supreme Courtin the island of Jamaica. A Mr the royal favour was openly avowed and defend-Howell was, in fact, at this very time in treaty with the patentee for the purchase of his resignation, which clearly disproved any criminal in-tention in Mr V. He was however prosecuted, hour.

ed. We acknowledge the piety of St James's; but what is become of his morality?

with treachery to your sovereign, and I thank God there is not in human nafor one hundred pounds a year.-No sale No man is more tender of his reputation. by the candle was ever conducted with He is not only nice, but perfectly sore in pounds) was, with your commance and fair opportunity of engaging a drunken consent.1 paid to colonel Burgovpe, to young nobleman at piquet, he would unreward him. I presume, for the decency of doubtedly consider it as an infamous asperminister are so strangely at variance in have been pleased to reward him with a their opinions of men and things.

1 The following is the answer to the charge of

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

Dec. 14, 1769. The infamous traduction of that heeller JUNIUS, his daring falsehoods, and gross misrepresentations, excite in me the utmost abhorrence and contempt, and I hope all his deadly poisons will be sheathed in the natural antidote every good mind has to malevolent and bitter invective. What act of delinquency has the duke of For that act is definition, as the duke of Grafton committed, by colonel Burgoyne disposing of a patent obtained of his Grace? Will JUNIUS dare to assert it was with the duke's privity, or for his emolument? Let us state the fact, and disarm the assassin at once. A place in the custom-house at Exeter becomes vacantthe custom-house at Exeter becomes vacant-colonel Burgoyne asks it of the duke of Grafton —he gives it.—The colonel says, I cannot hold it myself; will you give it my friend?—The duke consents — the colonel nominates — the duke appoints; but, says Juxius, the colonel set it up to sale, and actually received a sum of money for it. Be it so—he took a gross sum for what was given him as an annual income; and who is injured by this? If the duke of Grafton and it has it inventible it he cays it to be sold it, he is impeachable; if he gave it to be sold it, he is impeachable; in it has Grace did sold, he is blameable; but if his Grace did neither, which is the fact, he is basely belied, and most impudently and wickedly vilified.

EDIT.

JUSTICE.

breach of trust in your office. I accused ture a degree of impudence daring enough you of having sold, or permitted to be sold, to deny the charge I have fixed upon you. a patent place in the collection of the cus- Your courteous secretary, 3 your confitoms at Exeter, to one Mr Hine, who, dential architect. are silent as the grave. unable or unwilling to deposit the whole Even Mr Rigby's countenance fails him. purchase-money himself, raised part of it. He violates his second nature, and blushes by contribution, and has now a certain whenever he speaks of you. Ferhaps the doctor Brooke quartered upon the salary noble colonel himself will relieve you. greater formality. - I affirm that the price every thing that touches his honour. If at which the place was knocked down (and any man, for example, were to accuse him which, I have good reason to think, was of taking his stand at a gaming-table, and not less than three thousand five hundred watching, with the soberest attention, for a his deportment at Preston; 2 or to reim- sion upon his character, and resent it like a burse him, perhaps, for the fine of one man of honour.-Acquitting him therefore thousand pounds, which, for that very of drawing a regular and splendid subsistdeportment, the Court of King's Bench ence from any unworthy practices, either thought proper to set upon him.-It is not in his own house or elsewhere, let me ask often that the chief justice and the prime your Grace, for what military merits you military government?6 He had a regiment

> ² Colonel, afterwards general, Burgoyne, was a candidate, together with sir Harry Houghton, for Preston, at the general election in 1768, on the interest of the earl of Derby, who had a house in the town, in which he occasionally resided, who was accustomed to return one, if not both the members, and whose daughter the both the members, and whose daugner the colonel had run away with. The corporation supported sir Frank Standish and 'sir Peter Leicester, who were returned. Burgoyne and Houghton petitioned the House of Commons, and setupthe right of the inhabitants at large to vote, which was so decided by the House. The corporation endeavoured to controvert this de-cision in 1784, and supported Mr M. A. Taylor, and Mr (now serjeant' Clayton; a double return ensued. Mr Fox was nominee of Burgoyne and his colleague: when the committee, after a very long hearing, confirmed the decision of 1768. It was during the former contest that colonel Burgoyne suffered his partisans to commit the most disgraceful excesses, and for which he was, upon the close of the election, prosecuted and fined, as stated in the text.—EDIT.

3 Tommy Bradshaw.

4 Mr Taylor. He and George Ross (the Scotch agent and worthy confident of Lord Mansfield) managed the business.

5 Mr Rigby was proverbially remarked for a countenance not easily abashed by any occurrence.-EDIT.

6 Col. Burgoyne, only a few days before the

very conspicuous in his profession But it deration? seems, the sale of a civil employment was We are told, by the highest judicial

date of this letter, had been promoted to the government of Fort William.—Edit.

A little before the publication of this and

the preceding letter, the chaste duke of Grafton ne preceding fetter, the chaste duck of Oration had commenced a prosecution against Mr Samuel Vaughan, for endeavouring to corrupt his integrity, by an offer of five thousand pounds for a patent place in Jamaica. A rule to show cause, why an information should not be exhibted against Vaughan for certain misdemeanours, being granted by the Court of King's Bench, the matter was solemnly argued on the 27th of November, 1769, and, by the unanimous opinion of the four judges, the rule was made absolute. the neutrous junges, the rule was made absolute. The pleadings and speeches were accurately taken in short-hand and published. The whole of lord Mansfield's speech, and particularly the following extracts from it, deserve the reader's attention. 'A practice of the kind complained of here is extracted with the complained of the six of the complained of the co of here is certainly dishonourable and scandalous. -If a man, standing under the relation of an officer under the king, or of a person in whom impeachment, the prosecution against Vaughan the king puts confidence, or of a minister, takes was immediately dropped upon my discovery and money for the use of that confidence the king publication of the duke's treachery. The sufputs in him, he basely betrays the king, -he stitution does not intend the crown should sell of the press is complained of!

of dragoons, which one would imagine, tion with yours; and does not your heart was at least an equivalent for any services inform you, that you are degraded below he ever performed. Besides, he is but a the condition of a man, when you are young officer, considering his preferment, obliged to hear these insults with suband, except in his activity at Preston, not mission, and even to thank me for my mo-

not sufficient, and military governments, authority, that Mr Vaughan's offer to purwhich were intended for the support of chase the reversion of a patent in Jamaica worn-out veterans, must be thrown into the (which he was otherwise sufficiently enscale, to defray the extensive bribery of a titled to) amounted to a high misdemeancontested election. Are these the steps you our. 1 Be it so: and if he deserves it. let take to secure to your sovereign the attach- him be punished. But the learned iudge ment of his army? With what countenance might have had a fairer opportunity of disdare you appear in the royal presence, playing the powers of his eloquence. Havbranded as you are with the infamy of a ing delivered himself with so much energy notorious breach of trust? With what upon the criminal nature and dangerous countenance can you take your seat at the consequences of any attempt to corrupt a Treasury-board or in council, when you feel man in your Grace's station, what would that every circulating whisper is at your he have said to the minister himself, to that expense alone, and stabs you to the heart? very privy counsellor, to that first com-Have you a single friend in parliament so missioner of the Treasury, who does not shameless, so thoroughly abandoned, as to wait for, but impatiently solicits the touch undertake your defence? You know, my of corruption; who employs the meanest Lord, that there is not a man in either of his creatures in these honourable serv-House, whose character, however flagitious, ices, and, forgetting the genius and fidelity would not be ruined by mixing his reputa- of his secretary, descends to apply to his house-builder for assistance?

those offices, to raise a revenue out of them.-Is it possible to hesitate, whether this would not be criminal in the duke of Grafton ;-contrary to his duty as a privy counsellor — contrary to his duty as a minister—contrary to his duty as a subject.— His advice should be free according to his judgment;—it is the duty of his office;—he has sworn to it.—Notwithstanding all this, the chaste duke to it.—Notwithstanding all this, the chaste dute of Grafton certainly sold a patent place to Mr Hine for three thousand five hundred pounds; and, for so doing, is now lord privy seal to the chaste George, with whose piety we are perpetually deafened. If the House of Commons had done their duty, and impeached the black duke for this most infamous breach of trust, how was fully must now house! Mansfald have been considered. woefully must poor, honest Mansfield have been puzzled! His embarrassment would have afforded the most ridiculous scene that ever was exhibited. To save the worthy judge from this perplexity, and the no less worthy duke from fering this charge to pass, without any enquiry, basely betrays his trust.—If the king sold the fixes shameless prostutution upon the face of the office, it would be acting contrary to the trust the constitution hath reposed in him. The contract the Middlesex election.—Yet the licentiousness

This affair, my Lord, will do infinite circumstances, it may be matter of curious credit to government, if, to clear your SPECULATION to consider, if an honest man character, you should think proper to bring were permitted to approach a king, in what it into the House of Lords, or into the terms he would address himself to his Court of King's Bench. But, my sovereign. Let it be imagined, no matter Lord, you dare not do either.

IUNIUS.

LETTER XXXV.1 FOR THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

19 December, 1769.

time will soon arrive at which every inferior not without respect. consideration must yield to the security of the sovereign, and to the general safety of the state. There is a moment of difficulty can no longer deceive, and simplicity itself it arrived. Let us suppose a gracious, wellintentioned Prince, made sensible at last of the great duty he owes to his people, and of his own disgraceful situation; that he looks round him for assistance, and asks for no advice, but how to gratify the wishes and

The address to the King through the medium of this letter, made a very great impression upon the public mind at the moment of its appearance, and though 500 copies of the P. A. were printed in addition to the usual numbers circulated, not a single copy was to be procured in a few hours after its publication. The author himself, indeed, seemed to entertain a very favourable speaking of this letter, he says, 'I am now meditating a capital, and, I hope, a final piece.' It was for this production that the printer was prosecuted, and obtained the celebrated verdict of section, and obtained the electronact value of guilty of printing and publishing only, the consequence of which, as already observed in note to p. 122, was, that two distinct motions were made in court; one by the counsel for the

how improbable, that the first prejudice against his character is removed, that the ceremonious difficulties of an audience are surmounted, that he feels himself animated by the purest and most honourable affections to his king and country, and that the great person, whom he addresses, has spirit WHEN the complaints of a brave enough to bid him speak freely, and underand powerful people are observed to increase standing enough to listen to him with atin proportion to the wrongs they have suf- tention. Unacquainted with the vain imfered: when, instead of sinking into sub- pertinence of forms, he would deliver his mission, they are roused to resistance, the sentiments with dignity and firmness, but

SIR.

IT is the misfortune of your life. and danger, at which flattery and falsehood and originally the cause of every reproach and distress which has attended your govcan no longer be misled. Let us suppose ernment, that you should never have been acquainted with the language of truth, until you heard it in the complaints of your people. It is not, however, too late to correct the error of your education. We are still inclined to make an indulgent allowance for the pernicious lessons you secure the happiness of his subjects. In these received in your youth, and to form the

cordingly commenced, when the attorney-genercordingly commenced, when the attorney-general observing to the chief justice, that he had not the original newspaper by which he could prove the publication; his Lordship laconically replied, 'that's not my fault, Mr Attorney.' and in this manner terminated the second trial. The fact is, that the foreman of the jury upon the first trial had pocketed the paper, upon its being handed to the jury box for inspection, and had afterwards destroyed it. The expense the defendant was put to in this prosecution, as stated in Private Letter, No. 29, amounted to about £120. The late Mr Almon, who was also prosecuted for selling a reprint of this letter, unat the legal expense incurred in defending his own action, which could not exceed that of the original printer, amounted to between five and ambiguity, and another by the counsel for the original printer, amounted to between five and crown, to compel the defendant to show cause why the verdict should not be entered up according to the legal import. The case being against the Court of Kingley asserts, in a note to another edition of this work, why the verdict should not be entered up according to the legal import. The case being argued, facts he has attempted to advance, with a view the Court of King's Bench ultimately decided that a new trial should be granted. This acmost sanguine hopes from the natural and, I doubt not, a sincere resolution of remonstrance very distant from the humility our laws. That the King can do no wrong, is admitted without reluctance. We separate the amiable, good-natured prince from the folly and treachery of his servants, and the private virtues of the man from the vices of his government. Were it not for this just distinction. I know not whether your Majesty's condition, or that of the English nation, would deserve most to be lamented: I would prepare your mind for a favourable reception of truth, by removing every painful, offensive idea of personal reproach. Your subjects, Sir, wish for nothing but that, as they are reasonable and affectionate enough to separate your person from your government, so you, in your turn, should distinguish between the conduct which becomes the permanent dignity of a king, and that which serves only to promote the temporary interest and miserable ambition of a minister.

You ascended the throne with a declared,

benevolence of your disposition. I We are giving universal satisfaction to your subfar from thinking you capable of a direct, jects.² You found them pleased with the deliberate purpose to invade those original novelty of a young prince, whose counterights of your subjects, on which all their nance promised even more than his words. civil and political liberties depend. Had it and loyal to you not only from principle. been possible for us to entertain a suspicion but passion. It was not a cold profession so dishonourable to your character, we of allegiance to the first magistrate, but a should long since have adopted a style of partial, animated attachment to a favourite prince, the native of their country. They of complaint. The doctrine inculcated by did not wait to examine your conduct, nor to be determined by experience, but gave you a generous credit for the future blessings of your reign, and paid you in advance the dearest tribute of their affections. Such. Sir, was once the disposition of a people, who now surround your throne with reproaches and complaints. Do justice to yourself. Banish from your mind those unworthy opinions, with which some interested persons have laboured to possess you. Distrust the men, who tell you that the English are naturally light and inconstant :- that they complain without a cause. Withdraw your confidence equally from all parties, from ministers, favourites, and relations; and let there be one moment in your life, in which you have consulted your own understanding.

> When you affectedly renounced the name of Englishman,8 believe me, Sir, you were persuaded to pay a very ill-judged compliment to one part of your subjects, at the expense of another. While the natives of Scotland are not in actual rebellion, they

> to settle the present king's household as Prince of Wales, it is well known that the earl of Bute was forced into it, in direct contradiction to the late king's inclination. That was the salient point, from which all the mischiefs and disgraces of the present reign took life and motion. From that moment lord Bute never suffered the Prince of Wales to be an instant out of his sight.-We

> in the name of Briton; and the peculiar happiness of my life will ever consist in promoting the welfare of a people, whose loyalty and warm affection to me, I consider as the greatest and most permanent security of my throne. Speech of the King, on opening his first parliament, November 18, 1760.—EDIT.

> The author here alludes to the application of the word Briton, as used in the quotation from the King's speech, in the preceding note.—See,

The plan of tutelage and future dominion over the heir-apparent, laid many years ago at Carlton-house between the Princess Dowager and her favourite the earl of Bute, was as gross and palpable, as that, which was concerted between Anne of Austria and cardinal Mazarin, to govern Lewis the Fourteenth, and in effect to prolong his minority until the end of their lives. That prince had strong natural parts, and used frequently to blush for his own ignorance and want of education, which had been wilfully neg-lected by his mother and her minion. A little experience, however, soon showed him how shamefully he had been treated, and for what infamous purposes he had been kept in ignorance. Our great Edward too, at an early period, had sense enough to understand the nature of the connexion between his abandoned mother and the detested Mortimer. But, since that time, human nature, we may observe, is greatly altered for the better. Dowagers may be chaste, and minions may be honest. When it was proposed also, p. 218 .- EDIT.

are undoubtedly entitled to protection; nor France, we doubt not that your Majesty ready to hope for every thing from their cause of their present discontent. new-born zeal, and from the future steadithem with a determined predilection and tion of your own? confidence, in exclusion of your English ready to allow for your inexperience.

Hitherto, Sir, you had been sacrificed ness of their allegiance. But hitherto they to the prejudices and passions of others. have no claim to your favour. To honour With what firmness will you bear the men-

A man, not very honourably distinguishsubjects, who placed your family, and, in ed in the world, commences a formal attack spite of treachery and rebellion, have sup- upon your favourite, considering nothing, ported it upon the throne, is a mistake too but how he might best expose his person gross, even for the unsuspecting generosity and principles to detestation, and the naof youth. In this error we see a capital tional character of his countrymen to conviolation of the most obvious rules of policy tempt. The natives of that country, Sir, and prudence. We trace it, however, to are as much distinguished by a peculiar an original bias in your education, and are character, as by your Majesty's favour. Like another chosen people, they have To the same early influence we attribute been conducted into the land of plenty, it, that you have descended to take a share where they find themselves effectually not only in the narrow views and interests marked, and divided from mankind, of particular persons, but in the fatal There is hardly a period, at which the malignity of their passions. At your acces- most irregular character may not be resion to the throne, the whole system of deemed. The mistakes of one sex find a government was altered, not from wisdom retreat in patriotism; those of the other, in or deliberation, but because it had been devotion. Mr Wilkes brought with him adopted by your predecessor. A little per- into politics the same liberal sentiments, sonal motive of pique and resentment was by which his private conduct had been sufficient to remove the ablest servants of directed, and seemed to think, that, as the crown; 1 but it is not in this country, there are few excesses in which an English Sir, that such men can be dishonoured by gentleman may not be permitted to inthe frowns of a king. They were dismissed, dulge, the same latitude was allowed him but could not be disgraced. Without enter- in the choice of his political principles, and ing into a minuter discussion of the merits in the spirit of maintaining them.-I mean of the peace, we may observe, in the im- to state, not entirely to defend his conduct. prudent hurry with which the first overtures In the earnestness of his zeal, he suffered from France were accepted, in the conduct some unwarrantable insinuations to escape of the negotiation, and terms of the treaty, him. He said more than moderate men the strongest marks of that precipitate would justify; but not enough to entitle spirit of concession, with which a certain him to the honour of your Majesty's perpart of your subjects have been at all times sonal resentment. The rays of royal inready to purchase a peace with the natural dignation, collected upon him, served only enemies of this country. On your part we to illuminate, and could not consume. are satisfied that everything was honourable Animated by the favour of the people on and sincere, and if England was sold to one side, and heated by persecution on the

do I mean to condemn the policy of giving was equally betrayed. The conditions of some encouragement to the novelty of their the peace were matter of grief and surprise affections for the house of Hanover. I am to your subjects, but not the immediate

To One of the first acts of the present reign was lord Bute. This was the reason publicly assigned to dismiss Mr Legge, because he had some by his Lordship.—AUTHOR. years before refused to yield his interest in The person here alluded to, was sir Simeon Hampshire to a Scotchman recommended by Suart.—Edit.

a king? Are you not sensible how much dishonour to the conduct of the piece. the meanness of the cause gives an air of of one man has been now, for many years, there can be any thing still more disgraceful, we have seen, for such an object, the utmost influence of the executive power, and every ministerial artifice, exerted without success. Nor can you ever succeed, unless he should be imprudent enough to forfeit the protection of those laws to which you owe your crown, or unless your ministers should persuade you to make it a question of force alone, and try the whole strength of government in opposition to the people. The lessons he has received from experience, will probably guard him from such excess of folly; and in your Majesty's virtues we find an unquestionable assurance that no illegal violence will be attempted.

Far from suspecting you of so horrible a design, we would attribute the continued violation of the laws, and even this last enormous attack upon the vital principles of the constitution, to an ill-advised, unworthy, personal resentment. From one false step you have been betrayed into another, and as the cause was unworthy of you, your ministers were determined that the prudence of the execution should correspond with the wisdom and dignity of the design. They have reduced you to the necessity of chusing out of a variety of difficulties; -to a situation so unhappy, that governed by so flexible a House of Comyou can neither do wrong without ruin, nor right without affliction. These worthy servants have undoubtedly given you many _EDIT.

other, his views and sentiments changed singular proofs of their abilities. Not conwith his situation. Hardly serious at first, tented with making Mr Wilkes a man of he is now an enthusiast. The coldest importance, they have judiciously transbodies warm with opposition, the hardest ferred the question, from the rights and insparkle in collision. There is a holy mis- terests of one man, to the most important taken zeal in politics as well as in religion. rights and interests of the people, and By persuading others, we convince our- forced your subjects, from wishing well to selves. The passions are engaged, and the cause of an individual to unite with create a maternal affection in the mind, him in their own. Let them proceed as which forces us to love the cause for which they have begun, and your Majesty need we suffer.—Is this a contention worthy of not doubt that the catastrophe will do no

The circumstances to which you are reridicule to the serious difficulties into which duced, will not admit of a compromise with you have been betrayed? the destruction the English nation. Undecisive, qualifying measures will disgrace your government the sole object of your government; and if still more than open violence, and, without satisfying the people, will excite their contempt. They have too much understanding and spirit to accept of an indirect satisfaction for a direct injury. Nothing less than a repeal, as formal as the resolution itself, can heal the wound, which has been given to the constitution, 1 nor will any thing less be accepted. I can readily believe that there is an influence sufficient to recall that pernicious vote. The House of Commons undoubtedly consider their duty to the crown as paramount to all other obligations. To us they are only indebted for an accidental existence, and have justly transferred their gratitude from their parents to their benefactors ;-from those who gave them birth, to the minister, from whose benevolence they derive the comforts and pleasures of their political life; -- who has taken the tenderest care of their infancy. and relieves their necessities without offending their delicacy. But, if it were possible for their integrity to be degraded to a condition so vile and abject, that, compared with it, the present estimation they stand in is a state of honour and respect, consider, Sir, in what manner you will afterwards proceed. Can you conceive that the people of this country will long submit to be

> ² See note to Letter XLVI., p. 267, in which the repeal of this resolution is distinctly detailed.

society, that any form of government, in wrongs; if, following the glorious example such circumstances, can long be preserved. of their ancestors, they should no longer In ours, the general contempt of the people appeal to the creature of the constitution, is as fatel as their detestation. Such, I am but to that high Being, who gave them the persuaded, would be the necessary effect rights of humanity, whose gifts it were of any base concession made by the present sacrilege to surrender, let me ask you. Sir. House of Commons, and, as a qualifying upon what part of your subjects would you measure would not be accepted, it remains rely for assistance? for you to decide whether you will, at any solving the parliament

consistent with the good of your subjects, representation of him. I think you cannot hesitate long upon the . The distance of the colonies would make

mons! It is not in the nature of human to a submissive representation of their

The people of Ireland have been unihazard, support a set of men, who have formly plundered and oppressed. In rereduced you to this unhappy dilemma, or turn, they give you every day fresh marks whether you will gratify the united wishes of their resentment. They despise the of the whole people of England, by dis- miserable governor you have sent them.1 because he is the creature of lord Bute: Taking it for granted, as I do very sin- nor is it from any natural confusion in their cerely, that you have personally no design ideas, that they are so ready to confound against the constitution, nor any views in- the original of a king with the disgraceful

choice, which it equally concerns your in- it impossible for them to take an active terest and your honour to adopt. On one concern in your affairs, if they were as well side, you hazard the affections of all your affected to your government as they once English subjects; you relinquish every hope pretended to be to your person. They were of repose to yourself, and you endanger the ready enough to distinguish between you establishment of your family for ever. All and your ministers. They complained of this you venture for no object whatsoever, an act of the legislature, but traced the or for such an object, as it would be an origin of it no higher than to the servants affront to you to name. Men of sense will of the crown: They pleased themselves examine your conduct with suspicion; with the hope that their sovereign, if not while those who are incapable of compre- favourable to their cause, at least was imhending to what degree they are injured, partial. The decisive, personal part you afflict you with clamours equally insolent took against them, has effectually banished and unmeaning. Supposing it possible that first distinction from their minds.2 that no fatal struggle should ensue, you They consider you as united with your determine at once to be unhappy, without servants against America, and know how the hope of a compensation either from in- to distinguish the sovereign and a venal terest or ambition. If an English king be parliament on one side, from the real sentihated or despised, he must be unhappy; ments of the English people on the other. and this perhaps is the only political truth, Looking forward to independence, they which he ought to be convinced of without might possibly receive you for their king; experiment. But if the English people but, if ever you retire to America, be asshould no longer confine their resentment sured they will give you such a covenant to

Viscount Townshend, sent over on the plan it was declared 'That the spirit of faction had public .- Author.

ancy .- EDIT.

of being resident governor. The history of his broken out afresh in some of the colonies, and, in ridiculous administration shall not be lost to the one of them, proceeded to acts of violence and resistance to the execution of the laws ;-that This promise the author did not fulfil; but see Boston was in a state of disobedience to all law his Miscellaneous Letter, No. IV., on the ap- and government, and had proceeded to measures pointment of this nobleman to the lord lieuten- subversive of the constitution, and attended with circumstances, that manifested a disposition to In the king's speech of November 8, 1768, throw off their dependence on Great Britain.

digest, as the presbytery of Scotland would house of Stuart, and find an earnest of ous hypocrisy of a bishop.

family you are descended, the choice of and truly, Sir, if you had not lost the Whig interest of England, I should admire your dexterity in turning the hearts of your church and state, which they inherit from considerable, that they have long since been obliged to give up the principles and language which distinguish them as a party, and to fight under the banners of their enemies? Their zeal begins with hypocrisy. they deceive: at last they betray.

As to the Scotch, I must suppose your heart and understanding so biassed, from your earliest infancy, in their favour, that nothing less than your own misfortunes can undeceive you. You will not accept of the uniform experience of your ancestors; and when once a man is determined to believe, the very absurdity of the doctrine confirms him in his faith. A bigoted understanding can draw a proof of attachment to the house of Hanover from a notorious zeal for the

have been ashamed to offer to Charles the future loyalty in former rebellions. Ap-Second. They left their native land in pearances are however in their favour : so search of freedom, and found it in a desert. strongly indeed, that one would think they Divided as they are into a thousand forms had forgotten that you are their lawful of policy and religion, there is one point in king, and had mistaken you for a pretender which they all agree :- they equally detest to the crown. Let it be admitted then that the pageantry of a king, and the supercili- the Scotch are as sincere in their present professions, as if you were in reality not an It is not then from the alienated affec- Englishman, but a Briton of the North. tions of Ireland or America, that you can You would not be the first prince, of their reasonably look for assistance; still less native country, against whom they have from the people of England, who are rebelled, nor the first whom they have actually contending for their rights, and in basely betrayed. Have you forgotten. Sir. this great question, are parties against you. or has your favourite concealed from you, You are not, however, destitute of every that part of our history, when the unhappy appearance of support: You have all the Charles (and he too had private virtues) fled Jacobites, Nonjurors, Roman Catholics, from the open, avowed indignation of his and Tories of this country, and all Scotland English subjects, and surrendered himself without exception. Considering from what at discretion to the good faith of his own countrymen. Without looking for support your friends has been singularly directed; in their affections as subjects, he applied only to their honour as gentlemen, for protection. They received him as they would your Majesty, with bows, and smiles, and enemies. Is it possible for you to place falsehood, and kept him until they had any confidence in men, who, before they settled their bargain with the English parare faithful to you, must renounce every liament; then basely sold their native king opinion, and betray every principle, both in to the vengeance of his enemies. This. Sir, was not the act of a few traitors, but their ancestors, and are confirmed in by the deliberate treachery of a Scotch parliatheir education? whose numbers are so in-ment, representing the nation. A wise prince might draw from it two lessons of equal utility to himself. On one side he might learn to dread the undisguised resentment of a generous people, who dare openly assert their rights, and who, in a and must conclude in treachery. At first just cause, are ready to meet their sovereign in the field. On the other side, he would be taught to apprehend something far more formidable ;-a fawning treachery, against which no prudence can guard, no courage can defend. The insidious smile upon the cheek would warn him of the canker in the heart.

From the uses to which one part of the army has been too frequently applied,1

² See Miscellaneous Letter, No. XXIV., in which the author discusses this subject more at large.-Enit.

you have some reason to expect, that there On the other, how different is the prosempire.

turn your eyes, you see nothing but per- to determine.

are no services they would refuse. Here pect! How easy, how safe and honourable too we trace the partiality of your under- is the path before you! The English nation standing. You take the sense of the army declare they are grossly injured by their from the conduct of the guards, with the representatives, and solicit your Majesty to same justice with which you collect the exert your lawful prerogative, and give sense of the people from the representations them an opportunity of recalling a trust, of the ministry. Your marching regiments, which, they find, has been scandalously Sir, will not make the guards their example abused. You are not to be told that the either as soldiers or subjects. They feel power of the House of Commons is not and resent, as they ought to do, that original, but delegated to them for the invariable, undistinguishing favour with welfare of the people, from whom they which the guards are treated: 1 while those received it. A question of right arises gallant troops, by whom every hazardous, between the constituent and the representevery laborious service is performed, are ative body. By what authority shall it be left to perish in garrisons abroad, or pine decided? Will your Majesty interfere in a in quarters at home, neglected and forgot- question in which you have properly no imten. If they had no sense of the great mediate concern?-It would be a step original duty they owe their country, their equally odious and unnecessary. Shall the resentment would operate like patriotism. Lords be called upon to determine the and leave your cause to be defended by rights and privileges of the Commons?those to whom you have lavished the They cannot do it without a flagrant breach rewards and honours of their profession. of the constitution. Or will you refer it to The Prætorian bands, enervated and de- the judges?-They have often told your anbauched as they were, had still strength cestors, that the law of parliament is above enough to awe the Roman populace: but them. What party then remains, but to when the distant legions took the alarm, leave it to the people to determine for they marched to Rome, and gave away the themselves? They alone are injured; and since there is no superior power, to which On this side then, whichever way you the cause can be referred, they alone ought

plexity and distress. You may determine I do not mean to perplex you with a to support the very ministry who have tedious argument upon a subject already so reduced your affairs to this deplorable discussed that inspiration could hardly situation: you may shelter yourself under throw a new light upon it. There are, howthe forms of a parliament, and set your ever, two points of view, in which it parpeople at defiance. But be assured, Sir, ticularly imports your Majesty to consider that such a resolution would be as im- the late proceedings of the House of Comprudent as it would be odious. If it did mons. By depriving a subject of his birthnot immediately shake your establishment, right, they have attributed to their own it would rob you of your peace of mind for vote an authority equal to an act of the whole legislature; and, though perhaps

The number of commissioned officers in the 'quently expire. With these encouragements, it guards are to the marching regiments as one to eleven;—the number of regiments given to the ever a certain person thinks it n-cessary to guards, compared with those given to the line, butcher his fellow-subjects.—AUTHOR.

The impolicy here pointed out has been since consequently the partiality in favour of the acknowledged and acted upon: and the soldier guards is as thirty-three to one.—So much for of the present day has no reason to complain the officers.—The private men have fourpence a leither of poverty of income, or severity of disday to subsist on; and five hundred lashes, if cipline.—EDIT.

they desert. Under this punishment, they fre-

is supposed, they may be depended upon, when-

same pretended power, which robs an the slave and tyrant are allied. English subject of his birthright, may rob an English king of his crown. In another the rest, admit the abandoned profligacy of view, the resolution of the House of Com- the present House of Commons, but oppose mons, apparently not so dangerous to your their dissolution upon an opinion, I confess Majesty, is still more alarming to your not very unwarrantable, that their succespeople. Not contented with divesting one ors would be equally at the disposal of the man of his right, they have arbitrarily con- Treasury. I cannot persuade myself that the veyed that right to another. They have set nation will have profited so little by experiaside a return as illegal, without daring to ence. But if that opinion were well founded. censure those officers, who were particularly you might then gratify our wishes at an apprized of Mr Wilkes's incapacity not only easy rate, and appease the present clamour by the declaration of the House, but ex- against your government, without offering pressly by the writ directed to them, and any material injury to the favourite cause of who nevertheless returned him as duly corruption. elected. They have rejected the majority proceedings should be directed, who will place.1

not with the same motives, have strictly answer for their future moderation? Or followed the example of the long parlia- what assurance will they give you, that ment, which first declared the regal office when they have trampled upon their equals. useless, and soon after, with as little cere-they will submit to a superior? Your mony, dissolved the House of Lords. The Majesty may learn hereafter, how nearly

Some of your council, more candid than

You have still an honourable part to act. of votes, the only criterion by which our The affections of your subjects may still be laws judge of the sense of the people; they recovered. But before you subdue their have transferred the right of election hearts, you must gain a noble victory over from the collective to the representative your own. Discard those little, personal body; and by these acts, taken separately resentments, which have too long directed or together, they have essentially altered your public conduct. Pardon this man the the original constitution of the House of remainder of his punishment; and if re-Commons. Versed, as your Majesty un-sentment still prevails, make it, what it doubtedly is, in the English history, it can-should have been long since, an act, not of not easily escape you, how much it is your mercy, but contempt. He will soon fall interest, as well as your duty, to prevent back into his natural station, -a silent one of the three estates from encroaching senator, and hardly supporting the weekly upon the province of the other two, or eloquence of a newspaper. The gentle assuming the authority of them all, breath of peace would leave him on the When once they have departed from the surface, neglected and unremoved. It is great constitutional line, by which all their only the tempest, that lifts him from his

¹ It is evident from other passages, as well as the present, that Junius was not, strictly speaking, a partisan of Mr Wilkes, though he was a determined enemy to the decision of the House of Commons with respect to the Middlesex election. Mr Wilkes, previous to the judgment of the Court of King's Bench for two libels, which are more particularly touched upon in the editor's note to Letter XLVI., presented the following address and petition to the King, to neither of which, however, was any answer returned. It is to these documents that JUNIUS alludes in the recommendation given in the foregoing paragraph.

TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY.

I beg to throw myself at your Majesty's feet, and to supplicate that mercy and clemency which shine with such lustre among your many princely virtues.

Some former ministers, whom your Majesty, in condescension to the wishes of your people, thought proper to remove, employed every wicked and deceifful art to oppress your subject, and to revenge their own personal cause on me, whom they imagined to be the principal author of bringing to the public view their ignorance, insufficiency, and treachery to your Majesty and the nation.

of your subjects: and leave it to themselves favourite the ruin of his affairs. to determine, by their conduct at a future election, whether or no it be in reality the house of Hanover, not from a vain pregeneral sense of the nation, that their ference of one family to another, but from rights have been arbitrarily invaded by the a conviction that the establishment of that stitution betrayed. They will then do just- civil and religious liberties. This, Sir, is a selves.

are conveyed in, may be offensive, perhaps. because they are new to you. Accustomed

I have been the innocent but unhappy victim of their revenge. I was forced by their injustice and violence into an exile, which I have never ceased for several years to consider as the most cruel oppression, because I no longer could be under the benign protection of your Majesty in the land of liberty

With a heart full of zeal for the service of your Majesty, and my country, I implore, Sire, your clemency. My only hopes of pardon are founded in the great goodness and benevolence of your Majesty; and every day of freedom you may be graciously pleased to permit me the enjoyment of in my dear native land, shall give proofs of my zeal and attachment to your service.

I am, SIRE, Your Majesty's most obedient, and dutiful subject, JOHN WILKES. March 4, 1768.

TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY. The humble Petition of John Wilkes, SHEWETH,

That your Petitioner, having stood forth in support of the constitutional rights of this

Without consulting your minister, call expressions: and, when they only praise together your whole council. Let it appear you indirectly, you admire their sincerity. to the public that you can determine and But this is not a time to trifle with your act for yourself. Come forward to your fortune. They deceive you, Sir, who tell people. Lay aside the wretched formal- you that you have many friends, whose afities of a king, and speak to your subjects fections are founded upon a principle of with the spirit of a man, and in the lan- personal attachment. The first foundation guage of a gentleman. Tell them you have of friendship is not the power of conferring been fatally deceived. The acknowledg- benefits, but the equality with which they ment will be no disgrace, but rather an are received, and may be returned. The honour to your understanding. Tell them fortune, which made you a king, forbade you are determined to remove every cause you to have a friend. It is a law of nature of complaint against your government; which cannot be violated with impunity. that you will give your confidence to no The mistaken prince, who looks for friendman, who does not possess the confidence ship, will find a favourite, and in that

The people of England are loyal to the present House of Commons, and the con- family was necessary to the support of their ice to their representatives and to them- principle of allegiance equally solid and rational :- fit for Englishmen to adopt, and These sentiments, Sir, and the style they well worthy of your Majesty's encouragement. We cannot long be deluded by nominal distinctions. The name of Stuart. to the language of courtiers, you measure of itself, is only contemptible :- armed with their affections by the vehemence of their the sovereign authority, their principles are formidable. The prince who imitates their conduct, should be warned by their example: and while he plumes himself upon the security of his title to the crown, should

> kingdom, in opposition to a late violent administration, hath been severely prosecuted at law. and sentenced to pay a heavy fine, and to suffer an imprisonment of twenty-two months; that the unfair methods employed to convict your petitioner have been palpable and manifest; that the petitioner has always been your Majesty's loyal subject, zealously attached to your illustrious house, and will remain the same to the end of his life; that he looks up to the throne only for that protection and justice which eminently distinguish your Majesty's royal character; that your petitioner, with the greatest deference, submits the whole of his case to your Majesty's consideration, and humbly supplicates your royal clemency.

And your Petitioner, as in duty bound, shall ever pray.

JOHN WILKES. King's Bench Prison. Nov. 28, 1768.

revolution, it may be lost by another.1 JUNIUS.

LETTER XXXVI.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON. 14 Feb. 1770. My LORD.

IF I were personally your enemy, every claim to compassion, that can arise myself. For my own part, I do not pretend and exhausted. to understand those prudent forms of

lord of the Treasury, harassed and worn out by coward pretends to be planet-struck.

remember that, as it was acquired by one Neither the abject submission of deserting his post in the hour of danger, nor even the sacred shield of cowardice, should protect him.3 I would pursue him through life. and try the last exertion of my abilities to preserve the perishable infamy of his name, and make it immortal.

What then, my Lord, is this the event of all the sacrifices you have made to lord Bute's patronage, and to your own unfor-I might pity and forgive you. You have tunate ambition? Was it for this you abandoned your earliest friendships,-the from misery and distress. The condition warmest connexions of your youth, and all vou are reduced to would disarm a private those honourable engagements, by which enemy of his resentment, and leave no con- you once solicited, and might have acquired. solation to the most vindictive spirit, but the esteem of your country? Have you that such an object, as you are, would dis-grace the dignity of revenge. But in the honour?—Unhappy man! what party will relation you have borne to this country, you receive the common deserter of all parties? have no title to indulgence; and if I had Without a client to flatter, without a friend followed the dictates of my own opinion, I to console you, and with only one comnever should have allowed you the respite panion from the honest house of Bloomsof a moment. In your public character, bury, you must now retire into a dreadful you have injured every subject of the em- solitude. At the most active period of life, pire; and though an individual is not you must quit the busy scene, and conceal authorized to forgive the injuries done to yourself from the world, if you would hope society, he is called upon to assert his to save the wretched remains of a ruined separate share in the public resentment. I reputation. The vices operate like age,submitted however to the judgment of men, bring on disease before its time, and in the more moderate, perhaps more candid, than prime of youth leave the character broken

Yet your conduct has been mysterious, decorum, those gentle rules of discretion, as well as contemptible. Where is now which some men endeavour to unite with that firmness, or obstinacy, so long boasted the conduct of the greatest and most hazard- of by your friends, and acknowledged by ous affairs. Engaged in the defence of an your enemies? We were taught to expect, honourable cause, I would take a decisive that you would not leave the ruin of this part .- I should scorn to provide for a future country to be completed by other hands, retreat, or to keep terms with a man, who but were determined either to gain a depreserves no measures, with the public cisive victory over the constitution, or to

- Sacro tremuere timore.

ployed the signature of Modestus, and is once noticed by Junius himself, and occasionally by him under some one of his auxiliary signatures, published in the same newspaper a counter-epistle to the King, but it does not appear to have attracted much attention. It was the peculiar misfortune of the administration of the period before us, to be more ruined by their own writers than their own misdeeds. The date of this letter of Modestus is Dec. 23, 1769.—EDIT.

The duke had now resigned the office of first

^{*} A writer in the Public Advertiser, who emoyed the signature of Modestus, and is once parliament, and of Junius, and the petitioners onced by Junius himself, and occasionally by out of parliament. He resigned abruptly, and left the cabinet in some confusion, lord Camden having not long before been compelled to leave the office of lord chancellor, and Mr Charles Yorke, who had been called to succeed him, having killed himself through mere political vex-ation. The duke of Grafton was succeeded by ation. The duke of lord North. -EDIT.

perish bravely at least behind the last dyke level by revenge. This neglect of the petisubjects, and if he will not redress their ought to be a dreadful lesson for ever.5 grievances, not to make them a topic of

of the prerogative. You knew the danger, tions was however a part of your original and might have been provided for it. You plan of government, nor will any consetook sufficient time to prepare for a meeting quences it has produced account for your with your parliament, to confirm the mer-deserting your sovereign, in the midst cenary fidelity of your dependants, and to of that distress, in which you and your2 suggest to your sovereign a language new friends had involved him. One would suited to his dignity at least, if not to his think, my Lord, you might have taken this benevolence and wisdom. Yet, while the spirited resolution before you had dissolved whole kingdom was agitated with anxious the last of those early connexions, which expectation upon one great point, you once, even in your own opinion, did honour meanly evaded the question, and, instead to your youth ;-before you had obliged of the explicit firmness and decision of a lord Granby to quit a service he was atking, gave us nothing but the misery of a tached to ;-before you had discarded one ruined grazier. I and the whining piety of a chancellor, and killed another. I To what Methodist. We had reason to expect, that an abject condition have you laboured to notice would have been taken of the reduce the best of princes, when the unpetitions which the king has received from happy man, who yields at last to such perthe English nation; and although I can sonal instance and solicitation, as never conceive some personal motives for not can be fairly employed against a subject, yielding to them, I can find none, in com- feels himself degraded by his compliance. mon prudence or decency, for treating them and is unable to survive the disgraceful with contempt. Be assured, my Lord, the honours which his gracious sovereign had English people will not tamely submit to compelled him to accept! He was a man this unworthy treatment ;-they had a right of spirit, for he had a quick sense of shame, to be heard, and their petitions, if not and death has redeemed his character. I granted, deserved to be considered. What- know your Grace too well to appeal to your ever be the real views and doctrine of a feelings upon this event; but there is court, the sovereign should be taught to another heart, not yet, I hope, quite calpreserve some forms of attention to his lous to the touch of humanity, to which it

Now, my Lord, let us consider the situajest and mockery among lords and ladies tion to which you have conducted, and in of the bedchamber. Injuries may be at oned which you have thought it advisable to for and forgiven; but insults admit of no abandon, your royal master. Whenever the compensation. They degrade the mind in people have complained, and nothing its own esteem, and force it to recover its better could be said in defence of the measures of government, it has been the fashion

presented from the City, from Westminster, from Surry, York, and other parts of the kingdom, were purposely disregarded and treated with silent contempt.—EDIT.

The Bedford party.

3 See note, p. 222. Lord Granby had re-signed about the time of the dismission of lord Camden, and for similar reasons .- EDIT.

4 Honourable Charles Yorke, brother of lord Hardwicke. See the note before referred to .-

¹ There was something wonderfully pathetic in the mention of the horned cattle .- AUTHOR.

It was with this term that the speech from the throne may be said to have commenced-in allusion to the distemper among the horned cattle, a kind of murrain which had prevailed largely on the continent, and to prevent the importation of which into this country, various regulations had been adopted by the privy council during the recess. It was impossible for JUNIUS to refrain from this stroke of pleasantry upon the duke of Grafton, the inditer or composer-of the

royal speech.

5 The most secret particulars of this detestable transaction shall, in due time, be given to the notice of the veterinary concerns of the nation, the petitions and remonstrances that had been man they have to deal with.

abilities, not for want of integrity, or of self of the only plausible pretence you had attention to his duty, but for delivering his for leaving your sovereign overwhelmed with honest opinion in parliament, upon the distress; I call it plausible, for, in truth, greatest constitutional question that has there is no reason whatsoever, less than the arisen since the revolution. We care not frowns of your master, that could justify a theory of such a government is falsehood moment so critical and important? It is in only plausible answer that ever was given conclude, that you either differed from your in defence of his government, -of the colleagues, whose measures you still affect opinion, which the people had conceived of to defend, or that you thought the adminishis personal honour and integrity.-The tration of the king's affairs no longer duke of Bedford was more moderate than tenable. You are at liberty to chuse your Grace. He only forced his master to between the hypocrite and the coward. violate a solemn promise made to an indi- Your best friends are in doubt which way vidual.² But you, my Lord, have success- they shall incline. Your country unites the fully extended your advice to every political, characters, and gives you credit for the fully extended your advice to every political, every moral engagement, that could bind both. For my own part, I see nothing ineither the magistrate or the man. The con- consistent in your conduct. You began dition of a king is often miserable, but it with betraying the people, -you conclude required your Grace's abilities to make it with betraying the king.

The question here alluded to, was the traitor to my trust, and an enemy to my country. legality of the vote of the House of Commons,

This public avowal of an opinion, so contrary to which seated Mr Luttrell for the county of Midther the proceedings, if not to the views, of adminisdlesex. A great debate arose upon this subject the House of Lords on the opening of the session, January 9, 1770, in which lord Camden expressed his decided disapprobation of the conduct pursued by the Lower House, in the following energetic terms:—'I consider the decision upon that affair, as a direct attack upon the first principles of the constitution; and if, in the judicial exercise of my office, I were to pay any regard to that, or to any other such vote, passed

3 Lord Sandwich had been first lord of the in opposition to the known and established laws
Admiralty, and was again nominated to this post of the land, I should look upon myself as a in 1771.—EDIT.

to answer us, though not very fairly, with contemptible. -You will say perhaps that an appeal to the private virtues of our the faithful servants in whose hands you sovereign. 'Has he not, to relieve the have left him, are able to retrieve his honour. people, surrendered a considerable part of and to support his government. You have his revenue? Has he not made the judges publicly declared, even since your resignaindependent, by fixing them in their places tion, that you approved of their measures. for life?'-My Lord, we acknowledge the and admired their characters, particularly gracious principle, which gave birth to these that of the earl of Sandwich.3 What a concessions, and have nothing to regret, pity it is, that, with all this approbation. but that it has never been adhered to. At you should think it necessary to separate the end of seven years, we are loaded with yourself from such amiable companions. a debt of above five hundred thousand You forget, my Lord, that while you are pounds upon the civil list, and we now see lavish in the praise of men whom you the chancellor of Great Britain tyrannically desert, you are publicly opposing your conforced out of his office, not for want of duct to your opinions, and depriving yourto whose private virtues you appeal; the man of spirit for abandoning his post at a and mockery; -the practice is oppression. vain to evade the question. If you will not You have laboured then though I confess speak out, the public have a right to judge to no purpose) to rob your master of the from appearances. We are authorized to

> tration, was considered by them as a total defection; and on the 17th of the same month, lord Camden received a message from the secretary of state's office, desiring, in his Majesty's name, that he would deliver up the seals that evening at seven o'clock; which he did accordingly, into his Majesty's own hands.—EDIT.
>
> Mr Stuart Mackenzie.—See the instance

referred to in p. 160, note.—EDIT.

3 Lord Sandwich had been first lord of the

In your treatment of particular persons, that ground, I doubt not he is prepared to vou deserted lord Rockingham, lord Chatham, lord Camden, and the duke of Portland. We can easily account for your violating your engagements with men of honour. but why should you betray your natural connexions? Why separate yourself from lord Sandwich, lord Gower, and Mr Rigby. or leave the three worthy gentlemen above mentioned to shift for themselves? With all the fashionable indulgence of the times, this country does not abound in characters like theirs; and you may find it a difficult matter to recruit the black catalogue of your friends.

The recollection of the royal patent you sold to Mr Hine,2 obliges me to say a word in defence of a man whom you have taken the most dishonourable means to injure. I do not refer to the sham prosecution which you affected to carry on against him. On

you have preserved the uniformity of your meet you with tenfold recrimination, and character. Even Mr Bradshaw declares, set you at defiance. The injury you have that no man was ever so ill used as himself. done him affects his moral character. You As to the provision 1 you have made for knew that the offer to purchase the reverhis family, he was entitled to it by the sion of a place, which has heretofore been house he lives in. The successor of one soldunder a decree of the court of Chancery. chancellor might well pretend to be the however imprudent in his situation, would rival of another. It is the breach of private no way tend to cover him with that sort of friendship which touches Mr Bradshaw: guilt which you wished to fix upon him in the and to say the truth, when a man of his eyes of the world. You laboured then by rank and abilities had taken so active a every species of false suggestion, and even part in your affairs, he ought not to have by publishing counterfeit letters, to have it been let down at last with a miserable understood, that he had proposed terms of pension of fifteen hundred pounds a year. accommodation to you, and had offered to Colonel Luttrell, Mr Onslow, and governor abandon his principles, his party, and his Burgovne, were equally engaged with you, friends. You consulted your own breast and have rather more reason to complain for a character of consummate treachery, than Mr Bradshaw. These are men, my and gave it to the public for that of Mr Lord, whose friendship you should have Vaughan. I think myself obliged to do this adhered to on the same principle, on which justice to an injured man, because I was deceived by the appearances thrown out by your Grace, and have frequently spoken of his conduct with indignation. If he really be, what I think him, honest, though mistaken, he will be happy in recovering his reputation, though at the expense of his understanding. Here, I see, the matter is likely to rest. Your Grace is afraid to carry on the prosecution. Mr Hine keeps quiet possession of his purchase; and governor Burgoyne, relieved from the apprehension of refunding the money, sits down, for the remainder of his life, IN-FAMOUS AND CONTENTED.

I believe, my Lord, I may now take my leave of you for ever. You are no longer that resolute minister, who had spirit to support the most violent measures; who compensated for the want of great and good qualities, by a brave determination

Britain. As to the pension, lord North very solemnly assured the House of Commons, that no pension was ever so well deserved as Mr Bradshaw's. — N. B. Lord Camden and sir Jeffery Amherst are not near so well provided for, and sir Edward Hawke, who saved the state, retires with two thousand pounds a year, on the Irish establishment, from which he in fact receives less than Mr Bradshaw's pension.

which the earl of Northington had resided, 2 This subject is more particularly discussed while he was lord high chancellor of Great in Letters XXXIII. and XXXIV.—EDIT.

¹ A pension of £1500 per annum, insured upon the 4 r-half per cents (he was too cunning to trust to Irish security), for the lives of himself and all his sons. This gentleman, who a very few years ago was clerk to a contractor for forage, and afterwards exalted to a petty post in the war-office, thought it necessary (as soon as he was appointed secretary to the Treasury) to take that great house in Lincoln's Inn Fields, in which the earl of Northington had resided,

on) to maintain himself without them. sess the power, and distribute the emolu-The reputation of obstinacy and persever- ments, of government as they think proper. ance might have supplied the place of all They still adhere to the spirit of that calcuthe absent virtues. You have now added lation, which made Mr Luttrell representthe last negative to your character, and ative of Middlesex. Far from regretting meanly confessed that you are destitute of your retreat, they assure us very gravely. the common spirit of a man. Retire then, that it increases the real strength of the my Lord, and hide your blushes from the ministry. According to this way of reasonworld; for, with such a load of shame, ing, they will probably grow stronger, and even BLACK may change its colour. A mind such as yours, in the solitary hours of for I think there is hardly a day passes in domestic enjoyment, may still find topics of which some one or other of his Majesty's consolation. You may find it in the me-servants does not leave them to improve by mory of violated friendship; in the afflic- the loss of his assistance. But, alas! their tions of an accomplished prince, whom you countenances speak a different language. have disgraced and deserted, and in the When the members drop off, the main agitations of a great country, driven by body cannot be insensible of its approachyour councils to the brink of destruction. -The palm of ministerial firmness is now transferred to lord North. He tells us so himself, with the plenitude of the ore rotundo; 1 quit the premises, they curse their landlord. and I am ready enough to believe, that, destroy the fixtures, throw every thing into while he can keep his place, he will not confusion, and care not what mischief they easily be persuaded to resign it. Your do to the estate. Grace was the firm minister of vesterday: Lord North is the firm minister of to-day. To-morrow, perhaps, his Majesty, in his wisdom, may give us a rival for you both. You are too well acquainted with the temper of your late allies, to think it possible that lord North should be permitted to govern this country. If we may believe common fame, they have shown him their superiority already. His Majesty is indeed too gracious to insult his subjects, by chusing his first minister from among the domestics of the duke of Bedford. That would have been too gross an out- faction, or from an arbitrary system of rage to the three kingdoms. Their purpose, however, is equally answered by apprehensions, and calls for the exertion of pushing forward this unhappy figure,2 and whatever wisdom or vigour is left among forcing it to bear the odium of measures, us. The king's answer to the remonstrance which they in reality direct. Without im- of the city of London,3 and the measures

(which some people admired and relied mediately appearing to govern, they posmore flourishing, every hour they exist: ing dissolution. Even the violence of their proceedings is a signal of despair. Like broken tenants, who have had warning to

JUNIUS.

LETTER XXXVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

19 March, 1770.

I BELIEVE there is no man, however indifferent about the interests of this country, who will not readily confess that the situation, to which we are now reduced. whether it has arisen from the violence of government, justifies the most melancholy

discipline of Demosthenes. speaks with pebbles in his mouth, to improve his articulation.

x This eloquent person has got as far as the mated his virtues, will feel the bitterness of this He constantly sarcasm, though they must acknowledge is a, to improve his truth.—Edit.

ticulation.

3 The city of London, the city and liberty of
Westminster, the counties of Middlesex, Surry, quainted with the amiable earl of Guildford, here &c., had presented petitions to his Majesty to spoken of, or have in any other way duly esti dissolve the parliament, in consequence of the

since adopted by the ministry, amount to a which Mr Luttrell was seated in the House

illegal rejection of Wilkes by the Lower House, after having been returned for the fourth time as a knight of the shire for the county of Middlesex. These petitions had not been graciously received; and the petitioners next assumed a bolder tone, and approached the throne with remonstrances upon the answers that had been returned to them. The remonstrance presented by the city of Westminster is contained in note to Private Letter, No. 22. The remonstrance of the Lord Mayor, Aldermen, and Livery, here referred to, was, after some difficulty, presented to his Ma-jesty, Mar. 14, 1770. For the particulars of this dispute, see Editor's note to Miscellaneous Let-ter, No. LXX. The following is a copy of the remonstrance, &c.

TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY.

The humble Address, Remonstrance, and tion, of the Lord Mayor, Alderme

Livery of the city of London, in Common Hall assembled.

' May it blease your Maiesty.

We have already in our petition dutifully represented to your Majesty, the chief injuries we have sustained. We are unwilling to believe that your Majesty can slight the desires of your people, or be regardless of their affection, and deaf to their complaints. Yet their complaints remain unanswered; their injuries are confirmed; and the only judge removeable at the pleasure of the crown, has been dismissed from his high office, for defending in parliament the law and the constitution.

'We, therefore, venture once more to address ourselves to your Majesty, as to the father of your people; as to him who must be both able and willing to redress our grievances; and we epeat our application with the greater propriety, because we see the instruments of our wrongs, who have carried into execution the measures of which we complain, more particularly distinguished by your Majesty's royal bounty and

Under the same secret and malign influence, which through each successive administration has defeated every good, and suggested every bad intention, the majority of the House of Commons have deprived your people of their dearest rights.

They have done a deed more ruinous in its consequences than the levying of ship-money by Charles the First; or the dispensing power assumed by James the Second. A deed, which must vitiate all the future proceedings of this parliament, for the acts of the legislature itself can no more be valid without a legal House of Commons, than without a legal prince upon the

'Representatives of the are essential is a time when to the making of laws, and it is morally demonstrable, that men cease to be representatives; that time is now arrived: The of my conduct, esteeming it my chief glory to

plain declaration that the principle, on of Commons, is to be supported in all its

present House of Commons do not represent the

People.

We owe to your Majesty an obedience under the restrictions of the laws for the calling and duration of parliaments; and your Majesty owes to us, that our representation, free from the force of arms or corruption, should be preserved to us in parliament. It was for this we successfully struggled under James the Second; for this we seated, and have faithfully supported, your Majesty's family on the throne: The people have been invariably uniform in their object, though the different mode of attack has called for a different defence.

'Under James the Second, they complained that the suting of parliament was interrupted, because it was not corruptly subservient to his designs: We complain now, that the sitting of

Majesty' parliament, t James the Second been as submissive to his commands, as the parliament is at this day to the dictates of a minister; instead of clamours for its meeting, the nation would have rung, as now, with outcries for its dissolution.

'The forms of the constitution, like those of religion, were not established for the form's sake, but for the substance; and we call GOD and men to witness, that as we do not owe our liberty to those nice and subtle distinctions, which places and pensions and lucrative employments have invented, so neither will we be deprived of it by them; but as it was gained by the stern virtue of our ancestors, by the virtue of their descend-

ants it shall be preserved.

'Since, therefore, the misdeeds of your Majesty's ministers, in violating the freedom of election, and depraving the noble constitution of parliaments, are notorious, as well as subversive of the fundamental laws and liberties of this realm; and since your Majesty, both in honour and justice, is obliged inviolably to preserve them, according to the oath made to GOD and your subjects at your coronation: We your Maesty's remonstrants assure ourselves, that your Majesty will restore the constitutional government and quiet of your people, by dissolving this parliament, and removing those evil ministers for ever from your councils.'

To which His Majesty returned the following answer:

'I shall always be ready to receive the requests, and to listen to the complaints, of my subjects; but it gives me great concern, to find that any of them should have been so far misled, as to offer me an address and remonstrance, the contents of which I cannot but consider as disrespectful to me, injurious to my parliament, and irreconcileable to the principles of the constitu-

'I have ever made the law of the land the rule

reign over a free people: with this view, I have always been careful, as well to execute faithfully the trust reposed in me, as to avoid even the ap-pearance of invading any of those powers which the constitution has placed in other hands. It is only by persevering in such a conduct, that I can omy by persevering in stata a contact that I can either discharge my own duty, or secure to my ubjects the free enjoyment of those rights which my family were called to defend, and, while I act upon these principles, I shall have a right to expect, and I am confident I shall continue to receive, the steady and affectionate support of my people.

There was at the same time a declaration against the remonstrance, drawn up and subscribed by the aldermen on the ministerial side, and the following address to his Majesty was jointly presented by both Houses of Parliament.

The humble Address of the Right Honourable the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in Parliament assembled, presented to His Majesty on Friday the 23rd day of March,

'Most gracious Sovereign.

'We your Majesty's most dutiful and faithful subjects, the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons of Great Britain, in parliament assembled, having taken into consideration the address lately presented to your Majesty under the title of 'The humble Address, Remonstrance, and Petition of the Lord Mayor, Aldermen, and Livery of the City of London, in Common Hall assembled,' together with the answer which your Majesty was pleased to make to the same; think ourselves indispensably obliged, upon this occasion, to express to your Majesty the extreme concern and indignation which we feel at finding that an application has been made to your Majesty, in terms so little corresponding with that grateful and affectionate respect which your Majesty is so justly intitled to from all your subjects, at the same time aspersing and calumniating one of the branches of the legislature, and expressly denying the legality of the present parliament, and the validity of its proceedings.

'To present petitions to the throne has at all times been the undoubted right of the subjects of The free enjoyment of that right this realm. was one of the many blessings restored by the Revolution, and continued to us, in its fullest extent, under the princes of your Majesty's illustrious house: And as we are duly sensible of its value and importance, it is with the deepest concern that we now see the exercise of it so grossly perverted, by being applied to the purpose, not of preserving, but of overturning the constitution, and of propagating doctrines, which, if generally adopted, must be fatal to the peace of the kingdom, and which tend to the subversion of all

lawful authority.

'Your Majesty, we acknowledge with grati-

consequences, and carried to its utmost the freedom of election, now invades the The same spirit, which violated declaration and bill of rights, and threatens

> tude, has ever shown the most tender regard to the rights of your people, not only in the exercise of your own power, but in your care to pre-serve from every degree of infringement or violation the powers intrusted to others. And we beg leave to return your Majesty our unfeigned thanks for the fresh proof you have now given us, of your determination to persevere in your adherence to the principles of the constitution.

> Permit us also to assure your Majesty, that it is with the highest satisfaction we see your Majesty expressing so just a confidence in your people. In whatever unjustifiable excesses some few misguided persons may in this instance have been seduced to join, your Majesty's subjects in general are too sensible of what they owe both to your Majesty and to your illustrious family, ever to be capable of approaching your Majesty with any other sentiments than those of the most entire respect and affection; and they understand too well their own true interests to wish to loosen the bands of obedience to the laws, and of due subordination to lawful authority. are therefore fully persuaded that your Majesty's people, as well as your parliament, will reject with disdain every insidious suggestion of those ill-designing men, who are in reality undermining the public liberty, under the specious pretence of zeal for its preservation; and that your Majesty's attention to maintain the liberties of your subjects inviolate, which you esteem your chief glory, will, upon every occasion, prove the sure means of strength to your Majesty, and secure to you that zealous and effectual support, which none but a free people can bestow.

> > His Majesty's Answer.

'My Lords and Gentlemen, I return you many thanks for this very loyal and dutiful address. It is with great satisfaction that I receive from my parliament so grateful an acknowledgment of my tender regard for the rights of my subjects. Be assured that I shall continue to adhere to the true principles of our excellent constitution; from which I cannot deviate without justly forfeiting the affections of a free people.'

The city in its corporate capacity, however, dissatisfied with his Majesty's reply, and still more so with the notice which had been taken of its remonstrance in parliament, ventured to draw up and present another address and remonstrance, which was done on the ensuing May 23, and ran as follows:

TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY.

The humble Address, Remonstrance, and Petition, of the Lord Mayor, Aldermen, and Commons of the City of London, in Common Council assembled.

'May it please your Majesty,
'When your Majesty's most faithful subjects, the citizens of London, whose loyalty and affeclege, hitherto undisputed, of petitioning

tion has been so often and so effectually proved and experienced by the illustrious house of Brunswick, are labouring under the weight of that displeasure, which your Majesty has been advised to lay upon them, in the answer given from the throne to their late humble application, we feel ourselves constrained with all humility to approach the royal father of his people.

'Conscious, Sire, of the purest sentiments of

veneration which they entertain for your Majesty's person, we are deeply concerned that what the law allows, and the constitution teaches, hath been misconstrued into disrespect to your Majesty, by the instruments of that influence

which shakes the realm.

'Perplexed and astonished as we are, by the awful sentence of censure, lately passed upon the citizens of London, in your Majesty's answer

deign to grant a more favourable interpretation to this dutiful though persevering claim to our invaded birthrights; nothing doubting, that the benignity of your Majesty's nature will, to our unspeakable comfort, at length break through all the secret and visible machinations, to which the city of London owes its late severe repulse, and that your kingly justice, and fatherly tenderness, will disclaim the malignaut and pernicious advice which suggested the answer we deplore; an advice of most dangerous tendency, in as much as thereby the exercise of the clearest rights of the subject, namely to petition the king for redress of grievances, to complain of the viola-tion of the freedom of election, and to pray dissolution of parliament, to point out mal-practices in administration, and to urge the removal of evil ministers, hath, by the generality of one compendious word, been indiscriminately checked with reprimand; and your Majesty's afflicted citizens of London have heard from the throne itself, that the contents of their humble address, remonstrance, and petition, laying their complaints and injuries at the feet of their sovereign, cannot but be considered by your Majesty, as disrespectful to yourself, injurious to your par-liament, and irreconcileable to the principles of the constitution.

'Your Majesty cannot disapprove that we here assert the clearest principles of the con stitution, against the insidious attempt of evil counsellors, to perplex, confound, and shake them. We are determined to abide by those rights and liberties, which our forefathers bravely vindicated at the ever-memorable revolution, and which their sons will always resolutely de-i to alienate your Majesty's affections from your fend: We therefore now renew, at the foot of loyal subjects in general, and from the city of the throne, our claim to the indispensable right London in particular, and to withdraw your conof the subject, a full, free, and ummutilated parfidence in, and regard for, your people, is an
liament, legally chosen in all its members: A
enemy to your Majesty's person and family, a
right, which this House of Commons have maniviolator of the public peace, and a betrayer of festly violated, depriving, at their will and plea-sure, the county of Middlesex of one of its legal the glorious revolution.

to punish the subject for exercising a privi- the crown. The grievances of the people are aggravated by insults: their complaints

> representatives, and arbitrarily nominating, as a knight of the shire, a person not elected by a majority of the freeholders. As the only constitutional means of reparation now left for the injured electors of Great Britain, we implore, with most urgent supplication, the dissolution of this present parliament, the removal of evil ministers, and the total extinction of that fatal influence which has caused such a national discontent. In the mean time, Sire, we offer our constant prayers to heaven, that your Majesty may reign, as kings can only reign, in and by the hearts of a loyal, dutiful, and free people.'

His Majesty's Answer, delivered the 23rd May. 1770.

'I should have been wanting to the public, as well as to myself, if I had not expressed my dis-

ubject continue the same, and I should ill deserve to be considered as the father of my people, if I should suffer myself to be prevailed upon to make such an use of my prerogative, as I cannot but think inconsistent with the interest, and dangerous to the constitution, of the kingdom,

The lord mayor then addressed his Majesty in the following words:

'Most gracious Sovereign,

'Will your Majesty be pleased so far to condescend as to permit the mayor of your loyal city of London, to declare in your royal presence, on behalf of his fellow-citizens, how much the bare apprehension of your Majesty's dis-pleasure would at all times affect their minds. The declaration of that displeasure has already filled them with inexpressible anxiety and with the deepest affliction. Permit me, Sire, to assure your Majesty, that your Majesty has not in all your dominions any subjects more faithful, more dutiful, or more affectionate to your Majesty's person and family, or more ready to sacrifice their lives and fortunes in the maintenance of the true honour and dignity of your crown.

'We do therefore, with the greatest humility and submission, most earnestly supplicate your Majesty, that you will not dismiss us from your presence, without expressing a more favourable opinion of your faithful citizens, and without some comfort, without some prospect at least of

redress.

'Permit me, Sire, farther to observe, that whoever has already dared, or shall hereafter endeavour, by false insinuations and suggestions, our happy constitution, as it was established at not merely disregarded, but checked by that part vitiates and corrupts the whole. authority; and every one of those acts, If there be a defect in the representation of against which they remonstrated, confirmed the people, that power, which alone is by the king's decisive approbation. At equal to the making of laws in this country. such a moment, no honest man will remain is not complete, and the acts of parliament. silent or inactive. However distinguished under that circumstance, are not the acts by rank or property, in the rights of free- of a pure and entire legislature. I speak dom we are all equal. As we are English- of the theory of our constitution; and men, the least considerable man among us whatever difficulties or inconveniences may has an interest, equal to the proudest attend the practice, I am ready to mainnobleman, in the laws and constitution of tain, that, as far as the fact deviates from his country, and is equally called upon to the principle, so far the practice is vicious make a generous contribution in support of and corrupt. I have not heard a question them :--whether it be the heart to conceive, raised upon any other part of the remonthe understanding to direct, or the hand to strance. That the principle, on which the execute. It is a common cause, in which Middlesex election was determined, is more we are all interested, in which we should pernicious in its effects, than either the all be engaged. The man who deserts it at levying of ship-money by Charles the First, this alarming crisis, is an enemy to his or the suspending power assumed by his country, and, what I think of infinitely less son, will hardly be disputed by any man importance, a traitor to his sovereign, who understands or wishes well to the The subject, who is truly loyal to the chief English constitution. It is not an act of magistrate, will neither advise nor submit open violence done by the king, or any to arbitrary measures. The city of London direct and palpable breach of the laws have given an example, which, I doubt not, attempted by his minister, that can ever will be followed by the whole kingdom. endanger the liberties of this country. The noble spirit of the metropolis is the Against such a king or minister the people life-blood of the state, collected at the would immediately take the alarm, and all heart: from that point it circulates, with parties unite to oppose him. health and vigour, through every artery of may be grossly violated in particular inthe constitution. The time is come when stances, without any direct attack upon the the body of the English people must assert whole system. Facts of that kind stand their own cause: conscious of their strength, and animated by a sense of their duty, they will not surrender their birthright to be really in danger, until the forms of parministers, parliaments, or kings.

sentiments with freedom and firmness; they have spoken truth boldly; and, in whatever light their remonstrance may be subtle lawyer in this country to point out a body, to stab the constitution. single instance, in which they have exceeded the truth. Even that assertion, which we are told is most offensive to parliament, in the theory of the English constitution, is

Mr Beckford was at this time lord mayor, and engraven beneath the statue erected to his me-

The laws alone; they are attributed to necessity, not defended upon principle. We can never liament are made use of to destroy the The city of London have expressed their substance of our civil and political liberties; -until parliament itself betrays its trust. by contributing to establish new principles of government, and employing the very represented by courtiers, I defy the most weapons committed to it by the collective

As for the terms of the remonstrance, I presume it will not be affirmed, by any person less polished than a gentleman usher, that this is a season for compliments. strictly true. If any part of the representa- Our gracious king indeed is abundantly tive body be not chosen by the people, civil to himself. Instead of an answer to a

it is this reply which the corporation has had mory at the West end of Guildhall.-EDIT.

Esee Letters LVII, and LXVII, and notes .- am persuaded it is unnecessary to suggest to you, EDIT.

² See p. 149, note.—EDIT. ³ Previous to the riot which took place May 10, 1768, as noticed in Miscellaneous Letter, No. XXIV., the following letter was issued by lord Barrington, to which Mr Wilkes wrote an introduction, as noticed in Letter XI., an act which formed a part of the offence for which that gentleman was expelled the House of Commons. Curious as this letter is, it has not been preserved even in the Annual Register.

Copy. St Yames's, April 17, 1768. Having already signified the king's pleasure to the lord-lieutenant of the county in which you reside, with regard to the measures to be taken in general for preserving the peace. at a time that so very riotous a disposition has discovered itself among the common people, I make no doubt but that either some steps have, or will immediately be taken by him on that head; and, I take it for granted, that as chairman of the sessions, you will meet the gentlemen, who act in the commission of the peace for the Borough of Southwark and East Hundred of Brixton, to consult together, and fix upon some plan for securing the public tranquillity against any mischiefs which may happen, should the same indecent spirit of tumult and disorder which has appeared in the city and liberties of Westminster, spread itself to those parts which

petition, his Majesty very gracefully pro- parliament is irreconcileable with the prinnounces his own panegyric; and I confess, ciples of the constitution is a new doctrine. that, as far as his personal behaviour, or His Majesty perhaps has not been informthe royal purity of his intentions, is con- ed, that the House of Commons themselves cerned, the truth of those declarations, have, by a formal resolution, admitted it to which the minister has drawn up for his be the right of the subject. His Majesty master, cannot decently be disputed. In proceeds to assure us that he has made the every other respect, I affirm, that they are laws the rule of his conduct.-Was it in absolutely unsupported, either in argument ordering or permitting his ministers to apor fact. I must add too, that supposing prehend Mr Wilkes by a general warrant? the speech were otherwise unexceptionable, -Was it in suffering his ministers to revive it is not a direct answer to the petition of the obsolete maxim of nullum tembus to the city. His Majesty is pleased to say, rob the duke of Portland of his property, that he is always ready to receive the re- and thereby give a decisive turn to a county quests of his subjects; yet the sheriffs were election? Was it in erecting a chamber twice sent back with an excuse, and it was consultation of surgeons, with authority to certainly debated in council, whether or no examine into and supersede the legal verthe magistrates of the city of London should dict of a jury?2 Or did his Majesty conbe admitted to an audience. Whether the sult the laws of this country, when he perremonstrance be or be not injurious to par- mitted his secretary of state to declare, that liament, is the very question between the whenever the civil magistrate is trifled with, parliament and the people, and such a a military force must be sent for, without question as cannot be decided by the asser- the delay of a moment, and effectually emtion of a third party, however respectable. ployed?3 Or was it in the barbarous ex-That the petitioning for a dissolution of actness with which this illegal, inhuman

or the gentlemen who will meet you, any part of your duty on such an occasion, yet, after the recent alarming instances of riot and confusion, I can't help apprizing you, that much will depend upon the preventive measures which you shall, in conjunction with the other gentlemen in the commission of the peace, take, upon your meeting; and much is expected from the vigilance and activity with which such measures will be carried into execution. When I inform you, that every into execution. when I miorin you, that every possible precaution is taken to support the dignity of your office; that upon application from the civil majestrate at the Tower, the Savoy, or the War Office, he will find a military force ready to march to his assistance, and to torce reasy to march to ms assuance, and to act according as he shall find it expecient and necessary; I need not add, that if the public peace is not preserved, and if any rictous precedings, which may happen, are not suppressed, the blame will, most probably, be imputed to a want of prudent and spirited conduct in the civil magnitude. At I have no reason to doubt them. want or prudent and spincet contact in the type magistrate. As I have no reason to doubt your caution and discretion in not calling for troops till they are wanted; so, on the other hand, I hope you will not delay a moment calling for their aid, and making use of them effectually, where there is occasion; that occasion always presents itself, when the civil power is trifled with and insulted, nor can a military force ever be employed to a more constitutional purpose, than in support of the authority and dignity of are within the line of your duty; and though I magistracy.-BARRINGTON.

standing to deserve.

hope to see the person who dared to pre- MAY BE FREE.2 sent such a petition immediately impeach-

P.S. I have, for the greater caution, sent copies of this letter to the members for the Borough, and Mr Pownall. If you should have received no directions from lord Onslow for a meeting, you will consider this as sufficient authority for that purpose.

tor that purpose.

Daniel Ponton, Esq., Chairman of the
Quarter Sessions, Lambeth.—Edir.

When his Majesty had done reading his
speech, the lord mayor, &c. had the honour of
vissing his Majesty's hand; after which, as they were withdrawing, his Majesty instantly turned round to his courtiers, and burst out a laughing.

' Nero fiddled, whilst Rome was burning.'-

JOHN HORNE. AUTHOR.
Mr Horne having furnished the printer of the
Public Advertiser with a detail of the proceedings on presenting the address of the 14th of March, concluded it with the whole of the words quoted by Junius as the foregoing note, for which a prosecution was commenced against the printer, but which was not persevered in.

Mr Horne also sent to the printer the particulars which occurred on presenting the remonstrance of the 14th of May at St James's, to

which he added as follows :--

N.B. The writer of the above account, having given great offence to some persons by inserting in a former paper, that—Nero fiddled, whilst Rome was burning—and an Information having been filed by the attorney-general against the printer in consequence, takes this opportunity to declare, that it was not his intention to falsify an historical fact, or to give offence to better memories; he hopes therefore it will be admit-

doctrine was carried into execution?-If ed.1 They solicit their sovereign to exert his Majesty had recollected these facts, I that constitutional authority, which the think he would never have said, at least laws have vested in him, for the benefit of with any reference to the measures of his his subjects. They call upon him to make government, that he had made the laws use of his lawful prerogative in a case. the rule of his conduct. To talk of pre- which our laws evidently supposed might serving the affections, or relying on the happen, since they have provided for it by support of, his subjects, while he continues trusting the sovereign with a discretionary to act upon these principles, is indeed pay- power to dissolve the parliament. This ing a compliment to their loyalty, which I request will, I am confident, be supported hope they have too much spirit and under- by remonstrances from all parts of the kingdom. His Majesty will find at last, His Majesty, we are told, is not only that this is the sense of his people, and punctual in the performance of his own that it is not his interest to support either duty, but careful not to assume any of ministry or parliament, at the hazard of a those powers which the constitution has breach with the collective body of his subplaced in other hands. Admitting this last jects.—That he is the king of a free peoassertion to be strictly true, it is no way to ple, is indeed his greatest glory. That he the purpose. The city of London have not may long continue the king of a free peodesired the king to assume a power placed ple, is the second wish that animates my in other hands. If they had, I should heart. The first is, THAT THE PEOPLE

JUNIUS.

ted as a recompence, if he now declares that Nero did not fiddle whilst Rome was burning .-

² As the Letters of Junius have been by some attributed to Mr Dunning, and as the beginning of the third paragraph of that gentleman's answer to the chamberlain of London, on being presented with the freedom of the city, has a striking resemblance to the structure and sentiments with which this letter concludes, the reader will excuse its insertion in this place, though it has no reference to the subject of the letter itself.

' Mr Chamberlain,

'I am to request the favour of you to represent me to the lord mayor, aldermen, and commons of London, as duly sensible of the great, but, I must add, unmerited honour they have done me; for surely. Sir, there is little merit in acting on one's own opinions, and I cannot, with a safe conscience, pretend to any other.

'Convinced as I am, that our happy constitution has given us the most perfect system of government the world has ever seen, and that it is therefore our common interest and duty to oppose every practice, and combat every princi-ple, that tends to impair it, any other conduct than that which the city of London has been pleased to distinguish by its approbation, must, in my own judgment, have rendered me equally unworthy of the office I had then the honour to hold through his Majesty's favour, and of the trust reposed in me as one of the representatives of the people.

'To discharge faithfully the duties of what-

LETTER XXXVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

3 April, 1770.

opinion of the truth and propriety of his of his government, what antidote can re-Majesty's answer to the city of London, store him to his political health and honour, considering it merely as the speech of a but the firm sincerity of his English subminister, drawn up in his own defence, and jects? delivered, as usual, by the chief magistrate. animated expression of the heart.

ever situation we are placed in, is among the rights which are essential to the general interests first objects of honest ambition. To be thought of the community. to have done so, I consider as a secona. Consequently I cannot but feel a high degree of satisfaction in this testimony of the good opinion of you have used in executing your commission.

March 27, 1771. J. DUNNING.—EDIT. to have done so, I consider as a second. Conseand it is no inconsiderable addition to that satisfaction, that it gives me a nearer relation to men who have been usually among the foremost to assert and maintain those legal and constitutional remonstrance. - EDIT.

of Tories, Jacobites, and Scotchmen, has once taken possession of an unhappy prince. it seldom leaves him without accomplishing his destruction. When the poison of their doctrines has tainted the natural benevolence of his disposition, when their in-In my last letter I offered you my sidious counsels have corrupted the stamina

It has not been usual in this country, at I would separate, as much as possible, the least since the days of Charles the First, to king's personal character and behaviour see the sovereign personally at variance, or from the acts of the present government, engaged in a direct altercation, with his I wish it to be understood that his Majesty subjects. Acts of grace and indulgence are had in effect no more concern in the sub- wisely appropriated to him, and should stance of what he said, than sir James constantly be performed by himself. He Hodges 1 had in the remonstrance, and that never should appear but in an amiable as sir James, in virtue of his office, was light to his subjects. Even in France, as obliged to speak the sentiments of the peo- long as any ideas of a limited monarchy ple, his Majesty might think himself bound, were thought worth preserving, it was a by the same official obligation, to give a maxim, that no man should leave the royal graceful utterance to the sentiments of his presence discontented. They have lost or minister. The cold formality of a well- renounced the moderate principles of their repeated lesson, is widely distant from the government, and now, when their parliaments venture to remonstrate, the tyrant This distinction, however, is only true comes forward, and answers absolutely for with respect to the measure itself. The himself. The spirit of their present conconsequences of it reach beyond the min- stitution requires that the king should be ister, and materially affect his Majesty's feared, and the principle, I believe, is honour. In their own nature they are for- tolerably supported by the fact. But, in midable enough to alarm a man of pru- our political system, the theory is at varidence, and disgraceful enough to afflict a ance with the practice, for the king should man of spirit. A subject, whose sincere be beloved. Measures of greater severity attachment to his Majesty's person and may, indeed, in some circumstances, be family is founded upon rational principles, necessary; but the minister who advises, will not, in the present conjuncture, be should take the execution and odium of scrupulous of alarming, or even of afflicting, them entirely upon himself. He not only his sovereign. I know there is another betrays his master, but violates the spirit of sort of loyalty, of which his Majesty has the English constitution, when he exposes had plentiful experience. When the loyalty the chief magistrate to the personal hatred

I have nothing to add, sir, but my acknow-ledgments to you for the obliging expressions

¹ Town-clerk to the city of London, who signed for the corporation the city petition and or contempt of his subjects. When we speak whom he had been advised to charge with office of rejecting the petitions and censuring the conduct of his subjects : and let created so extraordinary an occasion. On this principle, which I presume no friend of administration will dispute, let the wisdom and spirit of the ministry be examined. They advise the king to hazard his dignity, by a positive declaration of his own sentiments;-they suggest to him a language full of severity and reproach. What follows? When his Majesty had taken so decisive a part in support of his ministry and parliament, he had a right to expect from them a reciprocal demonstration of firmness in their own cause, and of zeal for his honour. He had reason to expect (and such. I doubt not, were the blustering promises of lord North) that the persons,

Lord North. This graceful minister is oddly constructed. His tongue is a little too big for his constituted. This string is a great deal too big for their sockets. Every part of his person sets natural proportion at defiance. At this present writing, his head is supposed to be much too heavy for his shoulders.

It was at first intended by the cabinet that the House should be requested to unite with his ings; and the conduct of the metropolitan Marasty in punishing the lord mayor and sheriffs corporation was, in consequence, merely cen-

of the firmness of government, we mean an having failed in their respect to him, with uniform system of measures, deliberately having injured parliament, and violated the adopted, and resolutely maintained by the principles of the constitution, should not servants of the crown, not a peevish asperity have been permitted to escape without some in the language or behaviour of the sove- severe marks of the displeasure and vengereign. The government of a weak, irreso- ance of parliament. As the matter stands, lute monarch, may be wise, moderate, and the minister, after placing his sovereign in firm ;-that of an obstinate, capricious the most unfavourable light to his subjects. prince, on the contrary, may be feeble, and after attempting to fix the ridicule and undetermined, and relaxed. The reputation odium of his own precipitate measures of public measures depends upon the min- upon the royal character, leaves him a ister, who is responsible, not upon the king, solitary figure upon the scene, to recall. if whose private opinions are not supposed to he can, or to compensate, by future comhave any weight against the advice of his pliances, for one unhappy demonstration of counsel, whose personal authority should ill-supported firmness, and ineffectual retherefore never be interposed in public sentment. As a man of spirit, his Majesty affairs.-This, I believe, is true, constitu- cannot but be sensible, that the lofty terms tional doctrine. But, for a moment, let us in which he was persuaded to reprimand suppose it false. Let it be taken for the city, when united with the silly congranted, that an occasion may arise, in clusion of the business, resemble the pomp which a king of England shall be com- of a mock-tragedy, where the most pathetic pelled to take upon himself the ungrateful sentiments, and even the sufferings of the hero, are calculated for derision.

Such has been the boasted firmness and the City remonstrance be supposed to have consistency of a minister, 1 whose appearance in the House of Commons was thought essential to the king's service :-- whose presence was to influence every division :--who had a voice to persuade, an eye to penetrate, a gesture to command. The reputation of these great qualities has been fatal to his friends. The little dignity of Mr Ellis has been committed. The mine was sunk ;-combustibles provided, and Welbore Ellis, the Guy Faux of the fable, waited only for the signal of command. All of a sudden the country gentlemen discover how grossly they have been deceived : -the minister's heart fails him, the grand plot is defeated in a moment, and poor Mr Ellis and his motion taken into custody. From the event of Friday last 2 one would

> for the insult offered to the sovereign by their petition and remonstrance; and a vote to this effect was to have been moved by Mr Ellis, afterwards lord Mendip, and in the author's own note called Mannikin, on account of his diminutive stature. But it was subsequently determined to have recourse to less violent proceed

imagine that some fatality hung over this how much his sacred character is prostigentleman. Whether he makes or sup-tuted and dishonoured. presses a motion, he is equally sure of his Ireland with impunity.1

defend his servants. They persuade him laughing, to do what is properly their business, and

sured by a vote of the House, and by the preceding address to his Majesty from both Houses, apprizing him of such censure: a measure which was followed by another address from the city, as noticed in note, p. 228.—

EDIT.

About this time the courtiers talked of nothing but a bill of pains and penalties against the lord mayor and sheriffs, or impeachment at the least. Little mannikin Ellus told the king that, if the business were left to his management, he would engage to do wonders. It was thought very odd that a motion of so much importance should be entrusted to the most contemptible little piece of machinery in the whole kingdom. His honest zeal however was disappointed. The minister took fright, and at the very instant that Mr Yorke, -EDIT.

If I thought it possible for this paper to disgrace. But the complexion of the times reach the closet, I would venture to appeal will suffer no man to be vice-treasurer of at once to his Majesty's judgment. I would ask him, but in the most respectful terms, I do not mean to express the smallest 'As you are a young man, Sir, who ought anxiety for the minister's reputation. He to have a life of happiness in prospect, as acts separately for himself, and the most you are a husband.—as you are a father. shameful inconsistency may perhaps be no [your filial duties I own have been relidisgrace to him. But when the sovereign, giously performed,] is it bond fide for your who represents the majesty of the state, interest or your honour to sacrifice your doappears in person, his dignity should be mestic tranquillity, and to live in a perpetual supported. The occasion should be im- disagreement with your people, merely to portant :-- the plan well considered ;-- the preserve such a chain of beings as North, execution steady and consistent. My zeal Barrington, Weymouth, Gower, Ellis, Onsfor his Majesty's real honour compels me low, Rigby, Jerry Dyson, and Sandwich? to assert, that it has been too much the Their very names are a satire upon all gosystem of the present reign, to introduce vernment, and I defy the gravest of your him personally, either to act for or to chaplains to read the catalogue without

For my own part, Sir, I have always condesert him in the midst of it.2 Yet this is sidered addresses from parliament as a an inconvenience to which he must for ever fashionable, unmeaning formality. Usurpbe exposed, while he adheres to a ministry ers. idiots, and tyrants have been successdivided among themselves, or unequal in ively complimented with almost the same credit and ability to the great task they professions of duty and affection. But let have undertaken. Instead of reserving the us suppose them to mean exactly what they interposition of the royal personage, as the profess. The consequences deserve to be last resource of government, their weakness considered. Either the sovereign is a man obliges them to apply it to every ordinary of high spirit and dangerous ambition, occasion, and to render it cheap and com- ready to take advantage of the treachery of mon in the opinion of the people. Instead his parliament, ready to accept of the surof supporting their master, they look to him render they make him of the public liberty; for support; and for the emolument of re- -or he is a mild undesigning prince, who, maining one day more in office, care not provided they indulge him with a little state and pageantry, would of himself in-

> little Ellis was going to open, sent him an order to sit down. All their magnanimous threats ended in a ridiculous vote of censure, and a still more ridiculous address to the king. This shameful desertion so afflicted the generous mind of George the Third, that he was obliged to live upon potatoes for three weeks, to keep off a malignant fever .- Poor man !- quis talia fando

manganat tever.—For man i—quis taita Janao temberet a lacrymis !—AUTHOR.

See Private Letter, No. 33.—EDIT.

After a certain person had succeeded in cajoling Mr Vorke, he told the duke of Grafton, with a witty smile, 'My Lord, you may kill the next Percy yourself.—N.B. He had but that instant wined the tears away, which overcame instant wiped the tears away, which overcame

SIR.

tend no mischief. On the first supposition, but full of explanation. Perplexed by it must soon be decided by the sword, sophistries, their honest eloquence rises whether the constitution should be lost or into action. The first appeal was to the preserved. On the second, a prince no way integrity of their representatives:—the qualified for the execution of a great and second to the king's justice;-the last hazardous enterprise, and without any argument of the people, whenever they determined object in view, may neverthe- have recourse to it, will carry more perhaps less be driven into such desperate mea- than persuasion to parliament, or supplicasures, as may lead directly to his ruin, or tion to the throne. disgrace himself by a shameful fluctuation between the extremes of violence at one moment, and timidity at another. The minister perhaps may have reason to be satisfied with the success of the present hour, and with the profits of his employment. He is the tenant of the day, and has no interest in the inheritance. The sovereign himself is bound by other obligations. and ought to look forward to a superior, a would neither have been safe, nor perhaps permanent interest. His paternal tender- quite regular, to offer any opinion to the ness should remind him, how many host- public upon the justice or wisdom of their ages he has given to society. The ties of proceedings. To pronounce fairly upon their protestations. The father, who considers could consider, in one view, the beginning, his own precarious state of health, and the progress, and conclusion of their deliberapossible hazard of a long minority, will tions. The cause of the public was underwish to see the family estate free and unen- taken and supported by men, whose abilicrown, though it were really maintained ;- the advantageous ground they stood on, ever style of contempt may be adopted by tracted an opinion, too hastily adopted, ministers or parliaments, no man sincerely when they saw the alarm it had created, ers, whose duty it is to convey the sense of too would have consulted their own immethe people faithfully to the crown. If the diate interest, in making some concession rude, but intelligible :-- their gestures fierce, give up, the dangerous principle on which

Every true friend of the house of Brunswick principal branches of the family have dropped sees with affliction, how rapidly some of the off.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XXXIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

28 May, 1770.

WHILE parliament was sitting, it nature come powerfully in aid of oaths and conduct, it was necessary to wait until we cumbered.1 What is the dignity of the ties and united authority, to say nothing of what is the honour of parliament supposing might well be thought sufficient to deterit could exist without any foundation of in- mine a popular question in favour of the tegrity and justice; -or what is the vain people. Neither was the House of Comreputation of firmness, even if the scheme mons so absolutely engaged in defence of of government were uniform and consistent, the ministry, or even of their own resolu--compared with the heartfelt affections of tions, but that they might have paid some the people, with the happiness and security decent regard to the known disposition of of the royal family, or even with the grate- their constituents, and, without any disful acclamations of the populace! What honour to their firmness, might have redespises the voice of the English nation, and how strongly it was opposed by the The House of Commons are only interpret- general sense of the nation. The ministry interpretation be false or imperfect, the satisfactory to the moderate part of the constituent powers are called upon to de-people. Without touching the fact, they liver their own sentiments. Their speech is might have consented to guard against, or

it was established. In this state of things, mosities, and the heat of contention had have been so easily discouraged.

Whatever were the views of the minister, approaching to contempt. in deferring the meeting of parliament, sufficient time was certainly given to every a power unknown to the constitution, were member of the House of Commons, to determined not merely to support it in the look back upon the steps he had taken, and single instance in question, but to maintain the consequences they had produced. The the doctrine in its utmost extent, and to

I think it was highly improbable at the leisure to subside. From that period, whatbeginning of the session, that the com- ever resolution they took was deliberate plaints of the people upon a matter, which, and prepense. In the preceding session, in their apprehension at least, immediately the dependants of the ministry had affected affected the life of the constitution, would to believe, that the final determination of be treated with as much contempt by their the question would have satisfied the own representatives, and by the House of nation, or at least put a stop to their com-Lords, as they had been by the other plaints; as if the certainty of an evil could branch of the legislature. Despairing of diminish the sense of it, or the nature of intheir integrity, we had a right to expect justice could be altered by decision. But something from their prudence, and some-they found the people of England were in thing from their fears. The duke of a temper very distant from submission: Grafton certainly did not foresee to what and, although it was contended that the an extent the corruption of a parliament. House of Commons could not themselves might be carried. He thought, perhaps, that reverse a resolution, which had the force there was still some portion of shame or and effect of a judicial sentence, there virtue left in the majority of the House of were other constitutional expedients, which Commons, or that there was a line in pub- would have given a security against any tic prostitution beyond which they would similar attempts for the future. The generscruple to proceed. Had the young man al proposition, in which the whole country been a little more practised in the world, or had an interest, might have been reduced had he ventured to measure the characters to a particular fact, in which Mr Wilkes of other men by his own, he would not and Mr Luttrell would alone have been concerned. The House of Lords might The prorogation of parliament naturally interpose;—the king might dissolve the calls upon us to review their proceedings, parliament; -- or, if every other resource and to consider the condition in which failed, there still lay a grand constitutional they have left the kingdom. I do not ques- writ of error, in behalf of the people, from tion but they have done what is usually the decision of one court to the wisdom of called the king's business, much to his the whole legislature. Every one of these Majesty's satisfaction.1 We have only to remedies has been successively attempted. lament, that in consequence of a system The people performed their part with digintroduced or revived in the present reign, nity, spirit, and perseverance. For many this kind of merit should be very consistent months his Majesty heard nothing from his with the neglect of every duty they owe to subjects but the language of complaint and the nation. The interval between the resentment; -unhappily for this country, opening of the last and the close of the it was the daily triumph of his courtiers former session was longer than usual.2 that he heard it with an indifference

The House of Commons having assumed zeal of party, the violence of personal ani- establish the fact as a precedent in law, to be applied in whatever manner his Majesty's

[&]quot; 'The temper with which you have conducted all your proceedings, has given me great satisfaction.' King's speech on closing the session of parliament, May 29, 1770.—EDIT.

2 There was no autumnal session this year. Parliament did not meet till January 9, 1769-70.

—EDIT.

servants should hereafter think fit. Their encroachment of the other estates, and the proceedings upon this occasion are a strong necessity of exerting it at this period, was proof that a decision, in the first instance urged to them with every argument that illeral and unjust, can only be supported by could be supposed to influence the heart or a continuation of falsehood and injustice. the understanding. But it soon appeared To support their former resolutions, they that they had already taken their part, and were obliged to violate some of the best were determined to support the House of known and established rules of the House. Commons, not only at the expense of truth In one instance they went so far as to and decency, but even by a surrender of declare. in open defiance of truth and their own most important rights. Instead common sense, that it was not the rule of of performing that duty which the constituthe House to divide a complicated question, tion expects from them, in return for the at the request of a member. 1 But after dignity and independence of their station, in trampling upon the laws of the land, it was return for the hereditary share it has given not wonderful that they should treat the them in the legislature, the majority of them private regulations of their own assembly made common cause with the other House in with equal disregard. The speaker, being oppressing the people, and established anyoung in office, began with pretending other doctrine as false in itself, and if possiignorance, and ended with deciding for the ble more pernicious to the constitution, than ministry. We were not surprised at the that on which the Middlesex election was decision; but he hesitated and blushed at determined. By resolving 'that they had his own baseness,2 and every man was no right to impeach a judgment of the astonished.8

right to defend the constitution against any

* This extravagant resolution appears in the votes of the House; but, in the minutes of the committees, the instances of resolutions contrary to law and truth, or of refusals to acknowledge law and truth when proposed to them, are innumerable.-AUTHOR.

The following is a more particular explanation of the fact alluded to:

The House having on the 30th of January, 1770, resolved itself into a committee on the state of the nation, the ensuing declaration was proposed, 'That in the exercise of its juris-diction, the House ought to judge of elections by the law of the land, and by the custom of parlia-ment, which is part of that law.' This being the first of a string of resolutions that were to lead to a condemnation of the principles on which the determination of the Middlesex election had taken place, it was contended on the part of the ministry that, according to the usage of the House, the entire series could not be divided; to which the speaker having assented, the ministry next moved that the whole of the intended resolutions, except the first, should be omitted, and that the following amendment should be added

iaw or the range, fully authorized by the practice of parliament.' This was carried by 224 to 180 -- EDIT.

House of Commons in any case whatsoever. The interest of the public was vigorously where that House has a competent jurisdicsupported in the House of Lords. Their tion,' 4 they in effect gave up that constitu-

> House of Commons. He had commenced his political career as a violent Whig; but for some time past had exhibited the most complete tergiversation, and had been as warm in the tergiversation, and nad oeen as warm in the cause of Toryism as the warmest of its oldest espousers. He was elected to the chair, January 22, 1770, on the resignation of sir John Cust, through ill health, and who died on the same day that sir Fletcher succeeded him.—

EDIT.

3 When the king first made it a measure of his
Wiles, and when for this purpose it was necessary to run down privilege, sir Fletcher Norton, with his usual prosti-tuted effrontery, assured the House of Commons, that he should regard one of their votes no more than a resolution of so many drunken porters.

This is the very lawyer, whom Ben Jonson describes in the following lines:

'Gives forked counsel; takes provoking gold,

On either hand, and puts it up.
So wise, so grave, of so perplex'd a tongue,
And loud withal, that would not wag, nor scarce

Lie still, without a fee.'

4 A motion similar to that recited in note 1, above, was made by the marquis of Rockingham, in the House of Lords, declaring, 'That the law of the land and the established customs ractice of parliament. This was carried by 224 of parliament were the sole rule of determination in all cases of election, which having been lost, 28 is Fletcher Norton was now speaker of the was met by one to the purport of that before

LETTERS OF JUNIUS.

tional check and reciprocal controll of one the legislature seem to treat their sepa te of the House of Commons be ever so ex- laws and liberty of the commonwealth. travagant, let their declarations of the law

branch of the legislature over the other, rights and interests as the Roman Triumwhich is perhaps the greatest and most im- virs did their friends. They reciprocally portant object provided for by the division sacrifice them to the animosities of each of the whole legislative power into three other, and establish a detestable union estates; and now, let the judicial decisions among themselves, upon the ruin of the

Through the whole proceedings of the be ever so flagrantly false, arbitrary, and House of Commons in this session, there is oppressive to the subject, the House of an apparent, a palpable consciousness of Lords have imposed a slavish silence upon (guilt, which has prevented their daring to themselves; -they cannot interpose, -they assert their own dignity, where it has been cannot protect the subject,—they cannot immediately and grossly attacked. In the defend the laws of their country. A con- course of doctor Musgrave's examination, cession so extraordinary in itself, so con- he said every thing that can be conceived tradictory to the principles of their own mortifying to individuals, or offensive to the institution, cannot but alarm the most un- House. They voted his information frivolsuspecting mind. We may well conclude, ous, but they were awed by his firmness that the Lords would hardly have yielded and integrity, and sunk under it.3 The so much to the other House, without the terms in which the sale of a patent to Mr certainty of a compensation, which can Hine were communicated to the public. only be made to them at the expense of the naturally called for a parliamentary enquiry. people. The arbitrary power they have The integrity of the House of Commons assumed of imposing fines, and committing was directly impeached; but they had not during pleasure, will now be exercised in its courage to move in their own vindication. full extent.1 The House of Commons are because the enquiry would have been fatal to too much in their debt to question or in- colonel Burgoyne and the duke of Grafton. terrupt their proceedings. The crown too, When sir George Saville branded them with we may be well assured, will lose nothing the name of traitors to their constituents, in this new distribution of power. After when the lord mayor, the sheriffs, and Mr declaring, that to petition for a dissolution Trecothick expressly avowed and mainof parliament is irreconcileable with the tained every part of the city remonstrance. principles of the constitution,2 his Majesty why did they tamely submit to be insulted? has reason to expect that some extraordin- Why did they not immediately expel those ary compliment will be returned to the refractory members? Conscious of the royal prerogative. The three branches of motives on which they had acted, they pru-

quoted, which was carried by a large majority; in consequence of which, two most strong and able protests were entered upon the journals of the House, which were signed by no less than forty-two peers. In the last of these, the pro-testing lords pledged themselves to the public, that they would avail themselves, as far as in them lay, of every right and every power with which the constitution had armed them for the good of the whole, in order to obtain full relief in behalf of the injured electors of Great Britain.

-EDIT.
The man who resists and overcomes this iniquitous power, assumed by the Lords, must be supported by the whole people. We have the laws of our side, and want nothing but an intrepid leader. When such a man stands forth, let

the nation look to it. It is not his cause, but

our own.—AUTHOR.
See Private Letters, Nos. 80, 81, and 82, in which Wilkes gives an intimation of an intended attack upon the House of Lords -EDIT.

2 See editor's note to Letter XXXVII, p.

228.—EDIT.

3 The examination of this firm, honest man, is printed for Almon. The reader will find it a most curious and a most interesting tract. Doctor Musgrave, with no other support but truth and his own firmness, resisted and overcame the whole House of Commons.-AUTHOR.

For a further account of the transaction referred to, see editor's note to Letter XXIII., p. 188.-EDIT.

4 See Letter XXXIII .- EDIT.

who are weak enough to acquiesce under a rogative of the crown. . flagrant violation of the laws, when it does

I The five members alluded to are sir George Saville, Mr Beckford, Mr Townshend, Mr Saw-

bridge, and Mr Trecothick .- EDIT. ² A law had lately passed in the Irish legislature, rendering the Irish parliaments octennial: -prior to this period, they had been of longer duration, and it was against the will of the court that the law was enacted. The parliament that passed it was prorogued immediately afterwards, and then dissolved, under the hope of a more tractable parliament in future. The minister, however, was deceived: for the new parliament objected, shortly after its meeting, to passing the proposed money-bill, in consequence of its having originated in the privy-council, instead of in the House of Commons. Lord Townshend, the lord-lieutenant, on December 2, entered a protest on the journals of the Upper House against the rejection of this bill; and intended to have done the same on the journals of the House of Commons; but the latter would not suffer him. - EDIT.

3 The different schemes devised for making the colonies amenable to the legislature of wound Great; Britain, are glanced at in note 5 to officer, Letter XII., p. 160. After the repeal of the EDTT.

dentity preferred infamy to danger, and were understandings measure the violation of better prepared to meet the contempt than law by the magnitude of the instance, not to rouse the indignation of the whole by the important consequences which flow people. Had they expelled those five directly from the principle, and the minismembers 1 the consequences of the new ter, I presume, did not think it safe to doctrine of incapacitation would have come quicken their apprehension too soon. Had immediately home to every man. The Mr Hampden reasoned and acted like the truth of it would then have been fairly moderate men of these days, instead of tried, without any reference to Mr Wilkes's hazarding his whole fortune in a law-suit private character, or the dignity of the with the crown, he would have quietly paid House, or the obstinacy of one particular the twenty shillings demanded of him,county. These topics, I know, have had the Stuart family would probably have their weight with men, who affecting a continued upon the throne, and, at this character of moderation, in reality consult moment, the imposition of ship-money nothing but their own immediate ease; - would have been an acknowledged pre-

What then has been the business of the not directly touch themselves, and care not session, after voting the supplies, and conwhat injustice is practised upon a man, firming the determination of the Middlesex whose moral character they piously think election? The extraordinary prorogation themselves obliged to condemn. In any of the Irish parliament,2 and the just disother circumstances, the House of Com- contents of that kingdom, have been passed mons must have forfeited all their credit by without notice. Neither the general and dignity, if, after such gross provoca- situation of our colonies, nor that particular tion, they had permitted those five gentle- distress which forced the inhabitants of men to sit any longer among them. We Boston to take up arms in their defence, should then have seen and felt the opera- have been thought worthy of a moment's tion of a precedent, which is represented to consideration.3 In the repeal of those be perfectly barren and harmless. But acts, which were most offensive to America, there is a set of men in this country, whose the parliament have done every thing but remove the offence. They have relinquish-

> Stamp Act, it was tried whether the Americans would submit to certain custom-house duties, as upon glass, red-lead, tea, &c. But it was the principle itself that was obnoxious to the Americans: and hence this attempt was as strenuously resisted as the former. These latter duties were in consequence all relinquished, excepting that on tea. The Americans, however, would not submit to this mortification, which as much infringed upon their principle, as if no part whatever had been relinquished: government nevertheless insisted upon retaining this impost, and the result is well known. Yet hostilities may be said to have commenced in the first instance at Boston, from a private dispute between two or three soldiers quartered there, and a party of rope-makers. The soldiers in this quarrel were joined by their comrades, and even by their officers, and the rope-makers by the inhabitants of the town: in the scuffle that ensued, the officers were struck, the soldiers fired, and several persons in the mob were killed or wounded. Captain Preston, the commanding officer, was afterwards tried but acquitted.—

ment, nor instructed by experience.

though it should fail of success, marks the vernment. genius and elevates the character of a min-

1 The stock denominated three per cents, had arisen from a loan of two millions raised by government in the 20th of Geo. II., for which a lottery and redeemable annuities at three pounds ten shillings per cent had been granted and secured. Of the annuities one quarter had been paid off, and the sinking fund, which was charged with the remainder, was at this time so thilly capable of liquidating it, that a notice to this effect had been given by an order of the House of Commons, dated April 26, 1770.

In consequence of this flourishing state of the

the consequence of this mouth almost every one was buying, the four per cents had been much forsaken, and had sunk below their level. Lord North, by a small bonus, might have induced all the holders of this stock to have transferred it

ed the revenue, but judiciously taken care notice to the holders of that stock, of a to preserve the contention. It is not pre- design formed by government to prevail tended that the continuance of the tea duty upon them to surrender it by degrees, conis to produce any direct benefit whatsoever sequently has warned them to hold up and to the mother country. What is it then enhance the price; -so that the plan of rebut an odious, unprofitable exertion of a ducing the four per cents must either be speculative right, and fixing a badge of dropped entirely, or continued with an inslavery upon the Americans, without service creasing disadvantage to the public. The to their masters? But it has pleased God minister's sagacity has served to raise the to give us a ministry and a parliament, value of the thing he means to purchase, who are neither to be persuaded by argu- and to sink that of the three per cents, which it is his purpose to sell. In effect, Lord North, I presume, will not claim he has contrived to make it the interest of an extraordinary merit from any thing he the proprietor of four per cents to sell out has done this year in the improvement or and buy three per cents in the market, application of the revenue. A great opera- rather than subscribe his stock upon any tion, directed to an important object, terms, that can possibly be offered by go-

The state of the nation leads us naturally ister. A poor contracted understanding to consider the situation of the king. The deals in little schemes, which dishonour prorogation of parliament has the effect of him if they fail, and do him no credit when a temporary dissolution. The odium of they succeed. Lord North had fortunately measures adopted by the collective body the means in his possession of reducing all sits lightly upon the separate members. the four per cents at once.1 The failure of who composed it. They retire into sumhis first enterprise in finance is not half so mer quarters, and rest from the disgraceful disgraceful to his reputation as a minister, labours of the campaign. But as for the as the enterprise itself is injurious to the sovereign, it is not so with him. He has public. Instead of striking one decisive a permanent existence in this country; blow, which would have cleared the mar- HE cannot withdraw himself from the ket at once, upon terms proportioned to complaints, the discontents, the reproaches the price of the four per cents six weeks of his subjects. They pursue him to his ago, he has tampered with a pitiful portion retirement, and invade his domestic hapof a commodity, which ought never to have piness, when no address can be obtained been touched but in gross;—he has given from an obsequious parliament to encourage or console him. In other times,

public debt; but, though the minister was open to this conviction, he went to work with a timid hand, and took so much time to complete what he did intend, as to forfeit every advantage he might at first have derived. Instead of making a proposal of this kind to embrace the whole of the four per cents, he proposed to convert only two millions and a quarter of them into three per cents, and that the bonus should be a lottery for five hundred thousand pounds, divided into fifty thousand tickets, of which every holder of a hundred pounds capital should be entitled, for this supposed difference of fourteen pounds sterling, to two of such lottery tickets. In the prospect of this scheme the four per cents began to rise at the expense of the three per cents, and into three per cents instead of receiving four, the object, so far as regarded pecuniary advan-which would have been a great relief to the tage, was completely frustrated.—EDIT. land was, as it ought to be, entirely the gerous instrument of their ambition. Sesame. A new system has not only been cluded from the world, attached from his adopted in fact, but professed upon prin- infancy to one set of persons, and one set ciple. Ministers are no longer the public of ideas, he can neither open his heart to servants of the state, but the private do- new connexions, nor his mind to better mestics of the sovereign. One particular information. A character of this sort is class of men are permitted to call them- the soil fittest to produce that obstinate selves the king's friends. I as if the body of bigotry in politics and religion, which hethe people were the king's enemies; or as gins with a meritorious sacrifice of the unif his Majesty looked for a source of con-derstanding, and finally conducts the monsolation in the attachment of a few favour- arch and the martyr to the block. ites, against the general contempt and detestation of his subjects. Edward and scandalous disorders which have been in-Richard the Second made the same dis- troduced into the government of all the tinction between the collective body of the dependencies of the empire, would have people, and a contemptible party who sur- roused and engaged the attention of the rounded the throne. The event of their public. The odious abuse and prostitution mistaken conduct might have been a warn- of the prerogative at home, -- the unconing to their successors. Yet the errors of stitutional employment of the military-

the interest of the king and people of Eng- tomed to respect, and makes him a dan-

At any other period, I doubt not, the those princes were not without excuse, the arbitrary fines and commitments by They had as many false friends as our the House of Lords, and Court of King's present gracious sovereign, and infinitely Bench;—the mercy of a chaste and pious greater temptation to seduce them. They Prince extended cheerfully to a wilful murwere neither sober, religious, nor demure. derer, because that murderer is the brother Intoxicated with pleasure, they wasted of a common prostitute,2 would, I think, their inheritance in pursuit of it. Their at any other time, have excited universal lives were like a rapid torrent, brilliant in indignation.3 But the daring attack upon prospect, though useless or dangerous in the constitution, in the Middlesex election, its course. In the dull, unanimated exist- makes us callous and indifferent to inferior ence of other princes, we see nothing but a grievances. No man regards an eruption sickly, stagnant water, which taints the upon the surface, when the noble parts are atmosphere without fertilizing the soil. invaded, and he feels a mortification ap-The morality of a king is not to be mea- proaching to his heart. The free election sured by vulgar rules. His situation is of our representatives in parliament comsingular. There are faults which do him prehends, because it is, the source and honour, and virtues that disgrace him. A security of every right and privilege of the faultless, insipid equality in his character, English nation. The ministry have realized is neither capable of vice nor virtue in the the compendious ideas of Caligula. They extreme; but it secures his submission to know that the liberty, the laws, and prothose persons, whom he has been accus- perty of an Englishman have in truth but

An ignorant, mercenary, and servile crew; of the courtiers of the day, and her intercession unanimous in evil, diligent in mischief, variable availed to obtain for them, first a respite, and in principles, constant to flattery, talkers for afterwards a pardon. The widow of Bigby, liberty, but slaves to power;—styling themselves nevertheless, laid an appeal against the murder-ter court party, and the prince's only friends.—

Davenant. Matthew and Patrick Kennedy had been fifty pounds; and, in consequence, she desisted condemned to suffer death for the murder of from appearing against the prisoners when they John Bigby, a watchman. Their sister, Miss Kennedy, was a prostitute well known to many

one neck, and that to violate the fivedom even the name of Luttrell, and gratiful of election strikes deeply at them all.

TUNIUS.

LETTER XL.

TO LORD NORTH.

My LORD. 22 Aug. 1770.

the hazard of ruining the estate.

¹ At this time he was only lieutenant-colonel.

-EDIT.

He now says that his great object is the rank of colonel, and that he will have it.

3 This infamous transaction ought to be explained to the public. Colonel Gisborne was quarter-master-general in Ireland. Lord Townshend persuades him to resign to a Scotch officer,

his father's most sanguine expectations.

The duke of Grafton, with every possible disposition to patronize this kind of merit. was contented with pronouncing colonel Luttrell's panegyric.1 The gallant spirit, the disinterested zeal of the young adventurer, were echoed through the House of Lords. His Grace repeatedly pledged him-MR Luttrell's services were the self to the House, as an evidence of the chief support and ornament of the duke of purity of his friend Mr Luttrell's inten-Grafton's administration. The honour of tions; -that he had engaged without any rewarding them was reserved for your prospect of personal benefit, and that the Lordship. The duke, it seems, had con- idea of compensation would mortally offend tracted an obligation he was ashamed to him.3 The noble duke could hardly be in acknowledge, and unable to acquit. You, earnest; but he had lately quitted his emmy Lord, had no scruples. You accepted ployment, and began to think it necessary of the succession with all its incumbrances, to take some care of his reputation. At and have paid Mr Luttrell his legacy, at that very moment the Irish negotiation was probably begun. - Come forward, thou When this accomplished youth declared worthy representative of lord Bute, and himself the champion of government, the tell this insulted country, who advised the world was busy in enquiring what honours king to appoint Mr Luttrell ADJUTANTor emoluments could be a sufficient recom- GENERAL to the army in Ireland. By what pense, to a young man of his rank and management was colonel Cuninghame prefortune, for submitting to mark his entrance vailed on to resign his employment, and into life with the universal contempt and the obsequious Gisborne to accept of a detestation of his country.-His noble father pension for the government of Kinsale? had not been so precipitate.-To vacate Was it an original stipulation with the his seat in parliament;—to intrude upon a Princess of Wales, or does he owe his county in which he had no interest or con-preferment to your Lordship's partiality, or nexion; - to possess himself of another the duke of Bedford's friendship? My man's right, and to maintain it in defiance Lord, though it may not be possible to of public shame as well as justice, bespoke trace this measure to its source, we can a degree of zeal or of depravity, which all the follow the stream, and warn the country of favour of a pious prince could hardly re- its approaching destruction. The English quite. I protest, my Lord, there is in this nation must be roused, and put upon its young man's conduct, a strain of prostitu- guard. Mr Luttrell has already shown us tion which, for its singularity, I cannot how far he may be trusted, whenever an but admire. He has discovered a new line open attack is to be made upon the liberin the human character; -he has degraded ties of this country. I do not doubt that there is a deliberate plan formed. --- Your

a pension, to induce him to resign to Luttrell. Cuninghame treats the offer with contempt. What's to be done? poor Gisborne must move once more.—He accepts of a pension of £500 a year, until a government of greater value shall become vacant. Colonel Cuninghame is made governor of Kinsale; and Luttrell, at last, for one Fraser, and gives him the government of whom the whole machinery is put in motion, be-Kinsale.—Colonel Cuninghame was adjutant-general in Ireland. Lord Townshend offers him comes adjutant-general, and in effect takes the

runtion of the legislative body on this side- no more than the blind, unhappy instrua military force on the other-and then, ment of lord Bute and her royal highness Farewell to England! It is impossible the Princess of Wales, be assured that you that any minister shall dare to advise the shall be called upon to answer for the king to place such a man as Luttrell in the advice which has been given, and either confidential post of adjutant-general, if discover your accomplices, or fall a sacrithere were not some secret purpose in view, fice to their security.1 which only such a man as Luttrell is fit to promote. The insult offered to the army in general is as gross as the outrage intended to the people of England. What! Lieutenant-colonel Luttrell, to be adjutantgeneral of an army of sixteen thousand men! One would think his Majesty's campaigns at Blackheath and Wimbledon might have taught him better.-I cannot submit to be garbled by colonel Luttrell. act or suffer. As a mischief to the English constitution

A few days after this letter made its appear-

INTELLIGENCE EXTRAORDINARY.

Sept. 7, 1770.

the times had left the minister no alternative, the guilty. Does colonel Luttrell expect to find a shelter from contempt by shunning the rewards of infamy? A character so well established as nis own, will render such resources needless Does the minister console himself with any nopes of crushing the most severe enquiries, because he has meanly rescinded this detestable promotion? The vanity of such dependences promotion? The vanity of such dependences I in the envelope to this address, Junius may be confirmed before the period of another makes the following observation. 'The inclosed, session. As every few forms concurred to this though begins within these few days, has been appointment, except private commissions to a greatly laboured.' Private Letter, No. 24 appointment, except private commissions to a lord-lieutenant, we shall not be surprised at that

Lerdship best knows by whom ;-the cor- -As for you, my Lord, who perhaps are

JUNIUS.

LETTER XLI.

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE LORD MANSFIELD.3

MY LORD. 14 November, 1770.

THE appearance of this letter will help wishing general Harvey joy of a col- attract the curiosity of the public, and league, who does so much honour to the command even your Lordship's attention. employment.—But, my Lord, this measure I am considerably in your debt, and shall is too daring to pass unnoticed, too dan- endeavour, once for all, to balance the gerous to be received with indifference or account. Accept of this address, my Lord, submission. You shall not have time to as a prologue to more important scenes, in new-model the Irish army. They will not which you will probably be called upon to

You will not question my veracity, when (for he is not worth the name of enemy). I assure you that it has not been owing to they already detest him. As a boy, impu- any particular respect for your person that dently thrust over their heads, they will I have abstained from you so long. Besides receive him with indignation and contempt, the distress and danger with which the press is threatened, when your Lordship is

ance, the writer sent the following article to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, which was inserted according to its date.

effrontery which may hereafter deny the whole transaction: It is not, however, lost in ignorance, because the royal flat had, purposely, delayed its progress through the offices of the secretaries of state. It never, perhaps, was intended that this circumstance should have been made public COLONEL Luttrell has resigned the post of till the destruction of our rights had been at least adjutant-general in Ireland. The necessity of more easily to be accomplished than it is at present. Let not this insulted country be for a the times had left the limitset no attendance, sent. Let not this institute country be for a except the sacrifice of this unworthy tool of moment off its guard. To make the blow secure, power, or of himself. The dismission is too ridited agger that is to wound the constitution will culous either to deceive the public, or screen be as much as possible concealed until the the dagger that is to wound the constitution will be as much as possible concealed until the instant that it strikes. From the intentions of administration every thing is to be dreaded; their timidity, indeed, as in the present case, may draw a line, which, were they only to consult the violence of inclination, they might resolve to pass, although the track were marked with horror, blood, and desolation—EDIT.

2 Is the armylabe to this address. (LINIUS

party, and the party is to be judge, I con- man, you took that part in politics which

most amiable point of view, in which your conduct. I see, through your whole life, character has appeared. Like an honest

fess I have been deterred by the difficulty of might have been expected from your birth, the task. Our language has no term of re- education, country, and connexions.2 There proach, the mind has no idea of detestation, was something generous in your attachwhich has not already been happily applied ment to the banished house of Stuart. We to you, and exhausted. - Ample justice has lament the mistakes of a good man, and do been done by abler pens than mine to the not begin to detest him until he affects to separate merits of your life and character. renounce his principles. Why did you not Let it be my humble office to collect the adhere to that loyalty you once professed? scattered sweets, till their united virtue tor- Why did you not follow the example of your worthy brother? 3 With him, you Permit me to begin with paying a just might have shared in the honour of the tribute to Scotch sincerity, wherever I find Pretender's confidence-with him, you it. I own I am not apt to confide in the might have preserved the integrity of your professions of gentlemen of that country, character, and England, I think, might and when they smile, I feel an involun- have spared you without regret.-Your tary emotion to guard myself against mis-onief. With this general opinion of an deserted the fortune of your liege lord, you ancient nation, I always thought it much to have adhered firmly to the principles which your Lordship's honour, that, in your earlier drove his father from the throne :- that days, you were but little infected with the without openly supporting the person, you prudence of your country. You had some have done essential service to the cause. original attachments, which you took every and consoled yourself for the loss of a proper opportunity to acknowledge. The favourite family, by reviving and establishliberal spirit of youth prevailed over your ing the maxims of their government. This native discretion. Your zeal in the cause of is the way, in which a Scotchman's underan unhappy prince was expressed with the standing corrects the error of his heart .sincerity of wine, and some of the solemni- My Lord, I acknowledge the truth of the ties of religion.1 This, I conceive, is the defence, and can trace it through all your

² This man was always a rank Jacobite. Lord Ravensworth produced the most satisfactory evidence of his having frequently drunk the Pretender's health upon his knees.

This statement of lord Mansfield's immediate connexion with the Pretender's secretary has been disputed by some; and the charge ad-vanced by lord Ravensworth of his having drunk the Pretender's health upon his knees was made the subject of an investigation before the Privy Council and the House of Lords, in the year 1753, which terminated in Mr Murray's acquittal, both tribunals declaring the charge to be a foul and disgraceful calumny. That lord Mansfield, however, lay under the public imputation of being of the same blood as the Pretender's secretary is certain; as, in a memorial, [which will be found in Dodington's Diary, p. 441, London edit. 1800,] anonymously addressed to general Hawley, and written for the avowed purpose of procuring the solicitor-general's dismission, he is thus spoken of:—'To have a Confidential sec Scotsman, of a most disaffected family, and This circumstance allied to the Pretende?'s first minister, contween the brothers.

sulted in the education of the Prince of Wales, must tend to alarm and disgust the friends of the present royal family. Dodington, who was intimate with lord Mansfield, then Mr Murray, no where contradicts the supposed connexion; who, nevertheless, it is presumed, would have done so, if the assertion had not been true. Should the reader wish to satisfy himself more particularly upon the subject, he will find it detailed at some length in various parts of the before-named diary.

Mr Murray, when a student in the Temple, was an intimate acquaintance of a Mr Vernon, a rich Jacobite mercer on Ludgate-hill, and the toast above referred to is said to have been frequently drunk in the house of this gentleman, whether Mr Murray were ever present on such occasion or not. Mr Vernon on his death be-queathed to Mr Murray an estate in the counties of Chester and Derby.-EDIT.

3 Confidential secretary to the late Pretender. This circumstance confirmed the friendship bewords, and actions have been constantly meanest mechanic. I shall not attempt to directed. In contempt or ignorance of the refute a doctrine, which, if it was meant for common law of England, you have made it law, carries falsehood and absurdity upon your study to introduce into the court, the face of it; but, if it was meant for a where you preside, maxims of jurispru- declaration of your political creed, is clear dence unknown to Englishmen. The and consistent. Under an arbitrary govern-Roman code, the law of nations, and the ment, all ranks and distinctions are conopinion of foreign civilians, are your per- founded. The honour of a nobleman is no petual theme; -but who ever heard you more considered than the reputation of a mention Magna Charta or the Bill of peasant, for, with different liveries, they are Rights with approbation or respect? By equally slaves. such treacherous arts, the noble simplicity * Even in matters of private property, we and free spirit of our Saxon laws were first see the same bias and inclination to depart duced their laws, and reduced slavery to a evidence of the common law. Instead of the power of the jury, or to mislead their consequence and tendency of each particu-judgment. For the truth of this assertion, lar instance is not observed or regarded.

5, 1770. The damages were laid at one hundred thousand pounds: the verdict was for ten thousand pounds. The doctrine here justly objected against by JUNIUS, has since been relinquished in our courts of justice, and his own substituted in its stead .- EDIT.

2 See an instance of the kind alluded to in

Private Letter, No. 46, note 2.—EDIT.

3 Judge Yates, who was now just dead, had twice publicly differed with the chief justice; once about a question of real property, Perrin The following anecdote, if true, is worthy of and Blake; the other time in the famous dispute record, and does him immortal honour. In a

the subject. To this object, your thoughts, damages to a peer of the realm, than to the

corrupted. The Norman conquest was not from the decisions of your predecessors.2 complete, until Norman lawyers had intro- which you certainly ought to receive as system.—This one leading principle directs those certain, positive rules, by which the your interpretation of the laws, and ac- judgment of a court of law should invaricounts for your treatment of juries. It is ably be determined, you have fondly intronot in political questions only (for there the duced your own unsettled notions of equity courtier might be forgiven), but let the and substantial justice. Decisions given cause be what it may, your understanding upon such principles do not alarm the is equally on the rack, either to contract public so much as they ought, because the I appeal to the doctrine you delivered in In the mean time the practice gains ground; lord Grosvenor's cause. An action for the Court of King's Bench becomes a court criminal conversation being brought by a of equity, and the judge, instead of conpeer against a prince of the blood, 1 you were sulting strictly the law of the land, refers daring enough to tell the jury that, in fix- only to the wisdom of the court, and to the ing the damages, they were to pay no purity of his own conscience. The name of regard to the quality or fortune of the par- Mr justice Yates will naturally revive in ties:-that it was a trial between A. and your mind some of those emotions of fear B.—that they were to consider the offence and detestation, with which you always in a moral light only, and give no greater beheld him.3 That great lawyer, that

¹ The action was brought by lord Grosvenor respecting literary property; with respect to the against the duke of Cumberland, for criminal latter point the House of Lords determined acconversation with lady Grosvenor; and the cording to his opinion; and he is universally cause in which lord Mansfield delivered the thought by the profession to have been right by the profession to have been right has Lordship in the Court of King's Bench, July not treat his opinions on these subjects with the not treat his opinions on these subjects with the respect to which they were entitled, nor with the decorum that judicial etiquette usually dictates. Mr Justice Yates, under these unpleasant circumstances, chose, though senior puise judge of the King's Bench, to take the junior judgeship of the Kning's Bench, to take the juntor judgesing of the Common Pleas, then vacant, on the promotion of the other judges, in consequence of the resignation of sir Edward Clive. This removal took place May 4, 1770, and sir Joseph Yates died on the succeeding 7th of June.

with success.

are apt to alarm us more than the most dangerous principles. The sufferings and firmness of a printer have roused the public counteract each other, and make I

debate which took place in the House of Commons, Dec. 6, 1770, on Mr serjeant Glynn's motion, as noticed in p. 118, Mr alderman Townsmotion, as noticed in p. 118, Mr alderman Townshend, after other arguments urged in support of it, said, 'I am afraid then that there is too great a vicinity between Westminster-hall and St James's. I syspect, and the people suspect, that their correspondence is too close and intimate. But why do I say it is suspected? It is a known, avowed fact. A late judge, equally remarkable for his knowledge and integrity, was tampered with by administration. He was solicited to favour the crown in certain trials, which were then depending between it and the subject. I then depending between it and the subject. I hear some desiring me to name the judge, but there is no necessity for it. The fact is known to several members of this House, and if I do not speak truth, let those who can, contradict me. I call upon them to rise, that the public may not be abused—but all are silent, and can as little invalidate what I have said as what I am going to say. This great, this honest judge, being thus solicited in vain, what was now to be done? what was the last resource of baffled injustice? That was learned from a short con-Justice I mat was realised from and some friends a little before his death. The last and most powerful engine was applied. A letter was sent him directly from a Great Personage; but as he suspected it to contain something dishon-ourable, he sent it back unopened. Is not this a subject that deserves enquiry? Ought we not to trace out the adviser of such a daring step, and upon proper conviction bring him to the block? The excellent person who was thus tempted to disgrace and perjure himself, and to betray and ruin his country, could not die in peace, till he had disclosed this scene of iniquity, and warned his fellow-citizens of their danger.' The above extract from Mr alderman Townshend's speech is taken from a report of the debate published in the year 1771, by the late him. After a little pause and a recovery from

honest man, saw your whole conduct in the consistency, that ever was made by a great light that I do. After years of ineffectual magistrate. Where was your firmness, resistance to the pernicious principles intro- where was that vindictive spirit, of which duced by your Lordship, and uniformly we have seen so many examples, when a supported by your humble friends upon the man, so inconsiderable as Bingley, could bench, he determined to quit a court, force you to confess, in the face of this whose proceedings and decisions he could country, that, for two years together, you neither assent to with honour, nor oppose had illegally deprived an English subject of his liberty, and that he had triumphed over The injustice done to an individual is you at last? Yet I own, my Lord, that sometimes of service to the public.1 Facts yours is not an uncommon character. Women, and men like women, are timid, vindictive, and Irresolute. Their passions attention.! You knew and felt that your creature, at one moment hateful, at another conduct would not bear a parliamentary contemptible. I fancy, my Lord, some inquiry, and you hoped to escape it by the time will elapse before you venture to commeanest, the basest sacrifice of dignity and mit another Englishman for refusing to answer interrogatories.2

> celebrated and much respected Mr W. Woodfall. who added to the speech itself the following N.B. 'Sir Joseph Yates, as will appear in a succeeding speech, was the judge meant by the alderman. When the letter from a Great Personage was mentioned, lord North and the rest of the Treasury-bench stared at one another, but did not utter a single sentence by way of contradiction.'-EDIT.

> 1 The oppression of an obscure individual gave birth to the famous Habeas Corpus Act of 31 Car. II., which is frequently considered as another Magna Charta of the kingdom.

Blackstone, 3, 135. 2 'Bingley was committed for contempt in not submitting to be examined. He lay in prison two years, until the Crown thought the matter might occasion some serious complaint, and therefore he was let out, in the same contu-macious state he had been put in, with all his sins about him, unanointed and unannealed.— There was much coquetry between the court and the attorney-general, about who should undergo the ridicule of letting him escape. Vide another Letter to ALMON, p. 189 .- AU-

To give the reader a better idea of the fact alluded to, we shall continue the quotation a few lines further than the author, at the period in which he wrote, thought necessary.

'Mr Attorney tried to put it off upon the court, by telling them, upon his being brought up, he had nothing to pray against him. The sagacious and noble lord who presided, smelling a rat, or knowing there was one, was not to be so taken in, and therefore asked, what it was Mr Attorney had to ask of the court; to which Mr Attorney said again, he had merely informed them, that the defendant Bingley was there, and that he should move nothing further about

the inertness of this answer, the chief at last let him know, that if he moved nothing, nothing could be done, and every thing would remain as it was, the consequence of which was, that the defendant would still be in custody; the court never acted from itself, but upon motion from without. Mr Attorney, finding it was in vain to be wasting more time about who should do what was agreed to be done, in a very manly manner, was agreed to be toole, in a very many manner, took the thing upon himself, and said, then I move that he may be discharged. And thus ended, in this pitiful manner, this paltry business. For a further account of this transaction, see note, p. 145.—EDIT.

The declaratory act upon this subject brought

forwards and carried through the legislature by the indefatigable exertions of the late Mr Fox, and which, were there no other monument to immortalize his memory, would alone be suffi-cient to transmit it to the latest posterity, has at length completely settled this point, and given to the jury beyond all controversy on the part of the court, the full power of judging of the law as well as of the fact; of the intention as well as of the exterior act.

The full value of this interference of Mr Fox's can only be known by comparing it with the result of a similar attempt made by Mr Dowdeswell in 1771, as extracted from the Public Advertiser for March 13.

'The following is the motion made by Mr Dowdeswell in a great assembly and rejected: "Whereas doubts and controversies have arisen at various times concerning the right of jurors to try the whole matter laid in indictments and informations for seditious and other libels; and whereas trials by juries would be of none or imperfect effect, if the jurors were not held to be competent to try the whole matter aforesaid; For settling and clearing such doubts and controversies, and for securing to the subject the effectual and complete benefit of trial by juries of the King's Bench, that the jury should de-

The doctrine you have constantly de- is confessedly as much the subject of conlivered, in cases of libel, is another power- sideration to a jury, as the certainty of the ful evidence of a settled plan to contract fact. If a different doctrine prevails in the the legal power of juries, and to draw case of libels, why should it not extend to questions, inseparable from fact, within the all criminal cases?—Why not to capital arbitrium of the court. Here, my Lord, offences? I see no reason (and I dare say you have fortune of your side. When you you will agree with me that there is no invade the province of the jury in matter of good one) why the life of the subject libel, you, in effect, attack the liberty of should be better protected against you than the press, and with a single stroke wound his liberty or property. Why should you two of your greatest enemies. - In some enjoy the full power of pillory, fine, and instances you have succeeded, because imprisonment, and not be indulged with iurymen are too often ignorant of their own hanging or transportation? With your rights, and too apt to be awed by the Lordship's fertile genius and merciful disauthority of a chief justice. In other crimi- position, I can conceive such an exercise of nal prosecutions, the malice of the design the power you have, as could hardly be aggravated by that which you have not.1

> defendant upon any indictment or information detendant upon any interment of intermental for a seditious libel, or a libel under any other denomination or description, shall be held and reputed competent to all intents and purposes, in law and in right, to try every part of the matter laid or charged in said indictment or information, comprehending the criminal intention of the defendant and the civil tendency of the libel charged, as well as the mere fact of the publication thereof, and the application by innuendo of blanks, initial letters, pictures, and other devices; any opinion, question, ambiguity, or doubt to the contrary notwithstanding."

'Mr Dowdeswell observed that as doubts had arose in the people's minds respecting the power of juries in the cases of libels; to remove those doubts, he should propose an Enacting Bill, to give to juries a power to try the whole matter in issue; that is, to determine whether the paper or issue; that is to determine whether the paper or book charged with being a libel be so or not: but that if gentlemen liked a *Declaratory Bill* better, he had left the matter open. He paid some compliments to lord Mansfield, and read his *Euacting Bill*. Mr Burke spoke in support of the Enacting Bill. [His speech is given at length in Vol. X. p. 109 of the 8vo edition of his works. In a letter which follows the speech, and intended for the Public Advertiser, he denies, as stated in that paper, having praised lord Mans-

Mr James Grenville, jun. spoke for a declara-tory bill; as did Mr Calcraft, Mr Aubrey, and Colonel Barré. Sir George Savile, Mr T. Townshend, Mr R. H. Coxe, and Mr Dunning

spoke in favour of the motion.

These last gentlemen severally urged the necessity of settling this matter beyond doubt or from a late

e House of all the judges

in such indictments and informations: Be it termine only the FACT, and the LAW should be enacted, &c. That jurors duly impannelled and left to the judges; but this was not only the sworn to try the issue between the king and the opinion of the judges, but that, in a former

(and not unsuccessfully) to destroy the sub-question, in which the life or liberty of an stance of the trial, why should you suffer Englishman is concerned.1 the form of the verdict to remain? Why diction of the court, and extending your jury began, as usual, with assuring them

debate, all the ministerial lawyers and leaders had supported the same; that the doctrine was dangerous in the highest degree, as encroaching on the palladium of English liberty, the trial by jury, as leaving the essence of the cause to the determination of interested men, the judges; that this doctrine, now adopted by the judges, was not of older date than the reign of Queen Anne. In Queen Elizabeth's reign there was a remarkable case, which showed the contrary to be the opinion then (an indictment of a grand jury at Lincoln, which found a true Bill as to the fact, but no true Bill as to the malice, &c. This the judges, at that time, determined to be no true Bill; by which they determined, that the jury were judges of the law, as well as the fact;; that in the famous case of the bishops, in the reign of James the Second, the judges, though made for the purpose, unanimously concurred in directing the jury to judge of the whole of the information, as well the law as the fact; that whenever the jury had thought proper to dispute the affair with the judges, the jury had always got the better; and that a law establishing this doctrine would put an end to this dispute.

The ministry did not say one single word in the dispute, but the debate was taken up by the gentlemen of the minority. Capt. Phipps spoke very well, and with great spirit. Sir William wery well, and with great spirit. Sir William Meredith spoke extremely well; Mr James Grenville, jun., spoke inimitably well for his first essay; Mr Popham, and others. There was not one of them who did not establish the doctrine that juries are judges of law as well as fact, but disapproved of the present motion for various

reasons.

'That the doctrine, being established on the foundation of the common law, did not require the assistance of the statute law to defend it. That if a bill of this nature was brought into the House, and afterwards rejected, it might have very bad effects on the minds of the people, as it might be supposed that the doctrine was doubtful. That an enacting law would make it ap-

But, my Lord, since you have laboured favourite trial by interrogatories to every

Your charge to the jury, in the prosecuforce twelve honest men, in palpable viola- tion against Almon and Woodfall, contration of their oaths, to pronounce their dicts the highest legal authorities, as well fellow-subject a guilty man, when, almost as the plainest dictates of reason.2 In at the same moment, you forbid their en- Miller's cause, and still more expressly in quiring into the only circumstance which, that of Baldwin, vou have proceeded a in the eye of law and reason, constitutes step further, and grossly contradicted yourguilt-the malignity or innocence of his in- self.-You may know perhaps, though I do tentions?-But I understand your Lord- not mean to insult you by an appeal to ship.-If you could succeed in making the your experience, that the language of truth trial by jury useless and ridiculous, you is uniform and consistent. To depart from might then with greater safety introduce a it safely, requires memory and discretion. bill into parliament for enlarging the juris- In the two last trials, your charge to the

> pear, that this was a novel doctrine, which few in the House could concur in; and that if made deciaratory only, the judges who had acted on principles contrary to such declaration, would be liable to condign punishment, which the friends of the motion did not seem to wish.

'For these reasons the numbers were, 218 for

adjourning, 72 against it.'
Mr Fox himself was not fortunate in his first attempt: but he determined to persevere till he had succeeded. He lost his bill in the Upra-House in 1797, but accomplished his purpose in the spring of the ensuing year, notwithstanding the joint opposition of the law lords, Thurlow, Kenyon, and Bathurst. The wenerable Camden supported the bill with an animation and energy well worthy of his own honest heart, and of the went worthy of the principle it endeavoured to establish, and may be said to have finished the eglorious career of his political life, with the vote he gave on this illustrious occasion; seldom possessing sufficient health to attend parliament afterwards, and expiring on April 18, 1794.

The philosophical poet doth notably describe the damnable and damned proceedings of

the Judge of Hell:

Gnossius hic Rhadamanthus habet durissima

regna,

'Castigatque, auditque dolos, subigitque fateri. First he punisheth, and then he heareth; and lastly compelleth to confess, and makes and mars laws at his pleasure; like as the Centurion, in the holy history, did to St Paul, for the text m use may history, and to be real, for the text saith, Centuria apprehendic Paultum jusuit, et se catenis ligari, et tunc interrogabat, quis fuisset, et quid fecisset; but good judges and justices abhor these courses. Cohe 2. 18st, 55. 2 See chis subject further enlarged upon in the

Preface, p. 117.—EDIT.

3 All the persons here named were prosecuted for publishing the Letter to the King, No. XXXV.—EDIT.

that they were to find the bare fact, and meddling with a point, on which they have not concern themselves about the legal no legal authority to decide.2 inferences drawn from it, or the degree of to all their judicial capacities. You make

that they had nothing to do with the law .- illegal verdict, but for the illegality of

I cannot quit this subject without rethe defendant's guilt,-Thus far you were minding your Lordship of the name of Mr consistent with your former practice.—But Benson. Without offering any legal objechow will you account for the conclusion? tion, you ordered a special juryman to be You told the jury that, 'if, after all, they set aside in a cause, where the king was would take upon themselves to determine prosecutor. The novelty of the fact rethe law, they might do it, but they must be quired explanation. Will you condescend very sure that they determined according to to tell the world by what law or custom you law, for it touched their consciences, and were authorized to make a peremptory they acted at their peril. - If I understand challenge of a juryman? The parties inyour first proposition, you meant to affirm, deed have this power, and perhaps your that the jury were not competent judges of Lordship, having accustomed yourself to the law in the criminal case of a libel- unite the characters of judge and party, that it did not fall within their jurisdiction; may claim it in virtue of the new capacity and that, with respect to them, the malice you have assumed, and profit by your own or innocence of the defendant's intentions wrong. The time, within which you might would be a question coram non judice. - have been punished for this daring attempt But the second proposition clears away to pack a jury, is, I fear, elapsed; but no vour own difficulties, and restores the jury length of time shall erase the record of it.8 The mischiefs you have done this country, the competence of the court to depend are not confined to your interpretation of upon the legality of the decision. In the the laws. You are a minister, my Lord, first instance, you deny the power abso- and, as such, have long been consulted, lutely. In the second, you admit the Let us candidly examine what use you power, provided it be legally exercised. have made of your ministerial influence. I Now, my Lord, without pretending to will not descend to little matters, but come reconcile the distinctions of Westminster- at once to those important points, on which hall with the simple information of common your resolution was waited for, on which sense or the integrity of fair argument, I the expectation of your opinion kept a shall be understood by your Lordship, great part of the nation in suspense .-- A when I assert that, if a jury or any other court constitutional question arises upon a deof judicature (for jurors are judges) have no claration of the law of parliament, by which right to entertain a cause, or question at the freedom of election and the birthright of law, it signifies nothing whether their de- the subject were supposed to have been incision be or be not according to law. vaded .- The king's servants are accused of Their decision is in itself a mere nullity; violating the constitution.—The nation is in the parties are not bound to submit to it; a ferment.—The ablest men of all parties and, if the jury run any risque of punish- engage in the question, and exert their utment, it is not for pronouncing a corrupt or most abilities in the discussion of it. - What

3 See this circumstance further explained in

T Directly the reverse of the doctrine he constantly maintained in the House of Lords and elsewhere, upon the decision of the Middlessx election. He invariably asserted that the decision must be Legal, because the court was competent; and never could be prevailed on to enter further into the question.

² These iniquitous prosecutions cost the best of princes six thousand pounds, and ended in the Letters LXI. and LXIII .- EDIT.

total defeat and disgrace of the prosecutors. In the course of one of them, Judge Aston had the unparalleled impudence to tell Mr Morris (a gentleman of unquestionable honour and integrity, and who was then giving his evidence on oath) that he should pay very little regard to any affidavit he should make.

LETTERS OF JUNIUS.

part has the honest lord Mansfield acted? you know is universally odious, and which, attempted to remove them. - The question formidable to be resisted. declaring his real sentiments in favour of government, or in opposition to the people ; nor is it difficult to account for the motions of a timid, dishonest heart, which neither has virtue enough to acknowledge truth. nor courage to contradict it .-- Yet you continue to support an administration which

As an eminent judge of the law, his opinion on some occasions, you yourself speak of would have been respected.—As a peer, he with contempt. You would fain be thought had a right to demand an audience of his to take no share in government, while, in sovereign, and inform him that his ministers reality, you are the main-spring of the were pursuing unconstitutional measures. machine.-Here too we trace the little, -Upon other occasions, my Lord, you prudential policy of a Scotchman.-Instead have no difficulty in finding your way into of acting that open, generous part, which the closet. The pretended neutrality of becomes your rank and station, you meanly belonging to no party, will not save your skulk into the closet, and give your sovereputation. In questions merely political, reign such advice, as you have not spirit to an honest man may stand neuter. But the avow or defend. You secretly engross the laws and constitution are the general pro- power, while you decline the title of minisperty of the subject; -- not to defend is to ter; and though you dare not be chancelrelinquish :-- and who is there so senseless lor, you know how to secure the emoluas to renounce his share in a common benements of the office. -Are the seals to be for fit. unless he hopes to profit by a new ever in commission, that you may enjoy division of the spoil. As a lord of parlia- five thousand pounds a year?-I beg parment, you were repeatedly called upon to don, my Lord; 2-your fears have intercondemn or defend the new law declared posed at last, and forced you to resign .-by the House of Commons. You affected The odium of continuing speaker of the to have scruples, and every expedient was House of Lords, upon such terms, was too What a multiwas proposed and urged to you in a thou-tude of bad passions are forced to submit sand different shapes.-Your prudence still to a constitutional infirmity! But though supplied you with evasion ;--your resolu- you have relinquished the salary, you still tion was invincible. For my own part, I assume the rights of a minister.-Your am not anxious to penetrate this solemn conduct, it seems, must be defended in parsecret. I care not to whose wisdom it is liament.-For what other purpose is your intrusted, nor how soon you carry it with wretched friend, that miserable serieant. you to your grave. I You have betrayed posted to the House of Commons? Is it in your opinion by the very care you have the abilities of Mr Leigh to defend the taken to conceal it. It is not from lord great lord Mansfield?-Or is he only the Mansfield that we expect any reserve in punch of the puppet-show, to speak as he is prompted by the CHIEF JUGGLER behind the curtain? 3

> In public affairs, my Lord, cunning, let it be ever so well wrought, will not conduct a man honourably through life.4 Like bad money, it may be current for a time, but it will soon be cried down. It cannot consist with a liberal spirit, though it be sometimes

I He said in the House of Lords, that he believed he should carry his opinion with him to the grave. It was afterwards reported that he had intrusted it, in special confidence, to the ingenious duke of Cumberland.

Upon the death of Charles Yorke, who, as has been already observed, cut his throat almost immediately on his appointment to the chancel-lorship, the great seal was held in commission by sir Sydney Stafford Smythe, the hon. Henry Bathurst, and sir Richard Aston; while lord

Mansfield was appointed speaker of the Upper House, and received the fees attached to that important situation. Lord Apsley, about the date of this letter, succeeded to both offices.— EDIT.

³ This paragraph gagged poor Leigh. I really am concerned for the man, and wish it were possible to open his mouth.-He is a very pretty orator.

⁴ See Private Letter, No. 44.-EDIT.

united with extraordinary qualifications. the King's friends have been constantly When I acknowledge your abilities, you employed, we shall have no reason to be may believe I am sincere. I feel for human surprised at any condition of disgrace, to nature, when I see a man, so gifted as you which the once respected name of English. are. descend to such vile practice.-Yet do men may be degraded. His Majesty has not suffer your vanity to console you too no cares, but such as concern the laws and soon. Believe me, my good Lord, you are constitution of this country. In his royal not admired in the same degree in which breast there is no room left for resentment. you are detested. It is only the partiality no place for hostile sentiments against the of your friends, that balances the defects of natural enemies of his crown. The system your heart with the superiority of your of government is uniform.-Violence and understanding. No learned man, even oppression at home can only be supported among your own tribe, thinks you qualified by treachery and submission abroad. When to preside in a court of common law. Yet the civil rights of the people are daringly it is confessed that, under Justinian, you invaded on one side, what have we to exmight have made an incomparable Prætor. peet, but that their political rights should -It is remarkable enough, but I hope not be deserted and betraved, in the same probuted to its fall.

Here, my Lord, it may be proper for us to pause together.-It is not for my own their maintaining, at any hazard, the secret sake that I wish you to consider the delicacy of your situation. Beware how you embarrass, an unfavourable event might indulge the first emotions of your resent- ruin the minister, and defeat the deep-laid ment. This paper is delivered to the world, and cannot be recalled! The persecution sociates owe their employments. Rather of an innocent printer cannot alter facts, nor refute arguments.-Do not furnish me with farther materials against yourself .- An honest man, like the true religion, appeals solemn sacrifice, in the face of all Europe, in the internal evidence of his conscience. convince, and propagates his character by strong terms, Sir, but they are supported the sword.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XLII,1 TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

January 30, 1771. SIR. IF we recollect in what manner

1 'This paper is extremely well printed, and has a great effect.' Private Letter, No. 29. Date, Jan. 31, 1771.-EDIT.

ominous, that the laws you understand portion, on the other? The plan of dobest, and the judges you affect to admire mestic policy, which has been invariably most, flourished in the decline of a great pursued, from the moment of his present empire, and are supposed to have contri- Majesty's accession, engrosses all the attention of his servants. They know that the security of their places depends upon system of the closet. A foreign war might scheme of policy, to which he and his asthan suffer the execution of that scheme to be delayed or interrupted, the king has been advised to make a public surrender, a to the understanding, or modestly confides not only of the interests of his subjects, but of his own personal reputation, and of the The impostor employs force instead of dignity of that crown, which his predecesargument, imposes silence where he cannot sors have worn with honour. These are by fact and argument.

The king of Great Britain had been for some years in possession of an island,2 to which, as the ministry themselves have repeatedly asserted, the Spaniards had no claim of right. The importance of the place is not in question. If it were, a better judgment might be formed of it

² Falkland, or the Great Malouine Island. See a brief statement of the whole dispute in a note to Miscellaneous Letter, No. LXXXVIII. -Edit.

rectiv to the sword. The expedition against public, upon the character of the servant. Port Egmont does not appear to have been | This short recapitulation was necessary a sudden ill-concerted enterprise. It seems to introduce the consideration of his Ma-

from the opinions of lord Anson and lord advised to traduce the character of a brave Egmont, and from the anxiety of the Span- officer, and to treat him as a common robiards, than from any fallacious insinuations ber, when he knew with certainty that Mr thrown cut by men, whose interest it is to Bucarelli had acted in obedience to his undervalue that property, which they are orders, and had done no more than his determined to relinquish. The pretensions duty. Thus it happens in private life, with of Spain were a subject of negotiation be- a man who has no spirit nor sense of hontween the two courts. They had been dis- our. One of his equals orders a servant to cussed, but not admitted. The king of strike him.-Instead of returning the blow Spain, in these circumstances, bids adieu to the master, his courage is contented with to amicable negotiation, and appeals di- throwing an aspersion, equally false and

to have been conducted not only with the jesty's speech, of 13th November, 1770, usual military precautions, but in all the and the subsequent measures of governforms and ceremonies of war. A frigate ment. The excessive caution with which was first employed to examine the strength the speech was drawn up, had impressed of the place. A message was then sent, upon me an early conviction, that no serious demanding immediate possession, in the resentment was thought of, and that the Catholic king's name, and ordering our conclusion of the business, whenever it people to depart. At last a military force happened, must, in some degree, be disappears, and compels the garrison to sur-honourable to England. There appears render. A formal capitulation ensues, and through the whole speech, a guard and his Majesty's ship, which might at least reserve in the choice of expression, which have been permitted to bring home his shows how careful the ministry were not to troops immediately, is detained in port embarrass their future projects by any firm twenty days, and her rudder forcibly taken or spirited declaration from the throne. away. This train of facts carries no ap- When all hopes of peace are lost, his pearance of the rashness or violence of a Majesty tells his parliament, that he is pre-Spanish governor. On the contrary, the paring, -not for barbarous war, but (with whole plan seems to have been formed and all his mother's softness?) for a different executed, in consequence of deliberate situation .- An open act of hostility, authororders, and a regular instruction from the ized by the Catholic king, is called an act Spanish court. Mr Bucarelli is not a pirate, of a governor. This act, to avoid the mennor has he been treated as such by those tion of a regular siege and surrender, passes who employed him. I feel for the honour under the piratical description of seizing by of a gentleman, when I affirm that our force; and the thing taken is described. king owes him a signal reparation.—Where not as a part of the king's territory or prowill the humiliation of this country end! per dominion, but merely as a possession, a A king of Great Britain, not contented with word expressly chosen in contradistinction placing himself upon a level with a Spanish to, and exclusion of, the idea of right, and governor, descends so low as to do a no- to prepare us for a future surrender both of torious injustice to that governor. As a the right and of the possession. Yet this salvo for his own reputation, he has been speech, Sir, cautious and equivocal as it is. cannot, by any sophistry, be accommodated ¹ The governor of Buenos Ayres, under whose to the measures which have since been

> Alluding to the vulgar report of the day, that the Princess Dowager of Wales had interfered in the Spanish negotiation.-Epit.

directions the expedition, sent to take possession of Port Egmont, was forwarded; and who, it was well known, did not act without authority.

adopted. It seemed to promise, that what- jects with indignation the claim of right. ever might be given up by secret stipula- which his adversary endeavours to establish. tion, some care would be taken to save and would force him to acknowledge. appearances to the public. The event shows us, that to depart, in the minutest makes restitution, are, if possible, more article, from the nicety and strictness of insolent and disgraceful to our sovereign, punctilio, is as dangerous to national hon- than even the declaratory condition annexour as to female virtue. The woman who ed to it. After taking four months to conadmits of one familiarity, seldom knows sider whether the expedition was underwhere to stop, or what to refuse; and when taken by his own orders or not, he the counsels of a great country give way in condescends to disavow the enterprise, and a single instance,—when once they are in- to restore the island;—not from any regard clined to submission, -every step accelerates to justice, -not from any regard he bears

of it for a more favourable opportunity.

Madrid on the 12th of September, or in a few days after. It was certainly refused, or evaded, and the king has not done himself justice. - When the first magistrate taken of his apparent veracity.

possession and reparation of an injury are and is loaded with honours. as different in substance as they are in lanof an injury by the mere positive damage were masters of the Mediterranean. the superiority asserted over him; and re-torious. He is now in a state of war with

The motives, on which the Catholic king the rapidity of the descent. The ministry to his Britannic Majesty, but merely from themselves, when they framed the speech, the persuasion, in which he is, of the pacific did not foresee that they should ever ac- sentiments of the king of Great Britain .cede to such an accommodation as they At this rate, if our king had discovered the have since advised their master to accept of. spirit of a man,-if he had made a per-The king says, The honour of my crown emptory demand of satisfaction, the king and the rights of my people are deeply affect- of Spain would have given him a peremped. The Spaniard, in his reply, says, I tory refusal. But why this unseasonable, give you back possession, but I adhere to my this ridiculous mention of the king of Great claim of prior right, reserving the assertion Britain's pacific intentions? Have they ever been in question? Was he the aggressor? The speech says, I made an immediate Does he attack foreign powers without prodemand of satisfaction, and, if that fails, I vocation? Does he even resist, when he is am prepared to do myself justice. This insulted? No, Sir, if any ideas of strife or immediate demand must have been sent to hostility have entered his royal mind, they have a very different direction. The enemies of England have nothing to fear from them.

After all, Sir, to what kind of disavowal speaks to the nation, some care should be has the king of Spain at last consented? Supposing it made in proper time, it should The speech proceeds to say, I shall not have been accompanied with instant restituadiscontinue my preparations until I have tion; and if Mr Bucarelli acted without received proper reparation for the injury. orders, he deserved death. Now, Sir, If this assurance may be relied on, what an instead of immediate restitution, we have a conormous expense is entailed, sine die, upon four months' negotiation, and the officer, this unhappy country! Restitution of a whose act is disavowed, returns to court,

If the actual situation of Europe be conguage. The very act of restitution may sidered, the treachery of the king's servants, contain, as in this instance it palpably does, particularly of lord North, who takes the a shameful aggravation of the injury. A whole upon himself, will appear in the man of spirit does not measure the degree strongest colours of aggravation. Our allies he has sustained. He considers the prin- king of France's present aversion from war ciple on which it is founded; he resents and the distraction of his affairs are nohis people. In vain did the Catholic king imagination. Let us banish from our minds quered nation or not.2

road of matter of fact, I may now be per- truce, and peace without security. mitted to wander a little into the field of If these accounts were settled, there

solicit him to take part in the quarrel the persuasion that these events have really against us. His finances were in the last happened in the reign of the best of disorder, and it was probable that his princes. Let us consider them as nothing troops might find sufficient employment at more than the materials of a fable, in which home. In these circumstances, we might we may conceive the sovereign of some have dictated the law to Spain. There are other country to be concerned. I mean to no terms to which she might not have been violate all the laws of probability, when I compelled to submit. At the worst, a war suppose that this imaginary king, after with Spain alone carries the fairest promise, having voluntarily disgraced himself in the of advantage. One good effect at least eyes of his subjects, might return to a sense would have been immediately produced by of his dishonour!-that he might perceive it. The desertion of France would have the snare laid for him by his ministers, and irritated her ally, and in all probability feel a spark of shame kindling in his have dissolved the family compact. The breast.—The part he must then be obliged scene is now fatally changed. The advan- to act, would overwhelm him with confutage is thrown away. The most favourable sion. To his parliament he must say, I opportunity is lost.—Hereafter we shall called you together to receive your advice, know the value of it. When the French and have never asked your opinion,-To king is reconciled to his subjects ;-when the merchant,-I have distressed your com-Spain has completed her preparations; - merce; I have dragged your seamen out of when the collected strength of the house of your ships, I have loaded you with a griev-Bourbon attacks us at once, the king him- ous weight of insurances. To the landself will be able to determine upon the wis- holder. - I told you war was too probable. dom or imprudence of his present conduct. 1 when I was determined to submit to any As far as the probability of argument terms of accommodation; I extorted new extends, we may safely pronounce, that a taxes from you before it was possible they conjuncture, which threatens the very being could be wanted, and am now unable to of this country, has been wilfully prepared account for the application of them .- To and forwarded by our own ministry. How the public creditor,-I have delivered up far the people may be animated to resist- your fortunes a prey to foreigners, and to ance under the present administration, I the vilest of your fellow-subjects. Perhaps know not; but this I know with certainty, this repenting prince might conclude with that, under the present administration, or if one general acknowledgment to them all, any thing like it should continue, it is of -I have involved every rank of my subvery little moment whether we are a con- jects in anxiety and distress, and have nothing to offer you in return, but the Having travelled thus far in the high certainty of national dishonour, an armed

I This prediction was but too fatally verified, in the aid subsequently afforded by those powers to America.-EDIT.

² The king's acceptance of the Spanish ambassador's declaration is drawn up in barbarous French, and signed by the earl of Rochford. This diplomatic lord has spent his life in the study and practice of etiquettes, and is supposed to be a profound master of the ceremonies. I will not insult him by any reference to grammar or even know the style of his office;—if he had common sense. If he were even acquainted with known it, he would have said, "nons, souss," of the common forms of his office, I should think secretaire d'etat de S. M. B. nows signé. A.

him as well qualified for it as any man in his Majesty's service.-The reader is requested to observe lord Rochford's method of authenticating a public instrument. 'En foi de quoi, moi a public institution. The following duty, Mossoussigné, un des principaux secretaires d'etat de S.M.B. ai signé la presente de ma signature ordinaire, et à icelle fait apposer le cachet de nos armes. In three lines there are no less than seven false concords. But the man does not

would still remain an apology to be made; crown and the rights of the people. This new for your service. It is not probable that he make of it. The king's honour is that of his would blush for him.

ministry, it seems, are labouring to draw a plumage, and you fix him to the earth.2 line of distinction between the honour of the

to his navy and to his army. To the first idea has yet been only started in discourse. he would say, You were once the terror of for in effect both objects have been equally the world. But go back to your harbours. sacrificed. I neither understand the distinc-A man dishonoured, as I am, has no use tion, nor what use the ministry propose to would appear again before his soldiers, people. Their real honour and real intereven in the pacific ceremony of a review.1 est are the same .- I am not contending for But wherever he appeared, the humiliating a vain punctilio. A clear, unblemished confession would be extorted from him: I character comprehends not only the inhave received a blow, -and had not spirit tegrity that will not offer, but the spirit to resent it. I demanded satisfaction, and that will not submit to, an injury; and have accepted a declaration, in which the whether it belongs to an individual or to a right to strike me again is asserted and community, it is the foundation of peace, confirmed. His countenance at least would of independence, and of safety. Private speak this language, and even his guards credit is wealth :- public honour is security.-The feather that adorns the royal But to return to our argument.-The bird, supports its flight. Strip him of his

JUNIUS.

A mistake. He appears before them every day, with the mark of a blow upon his face .-

Proh pudor!

It was against this letter that Dr Johnson was engaged by the ministry to muster the whole of his political and argumentative powers. His answer, published in 1771, is entitled, 'Thoughts on the late Transactions respecting Falkland's Islands,' from which the following is worth

transcribing:

'To considerations such as these, it is reasonable to impute that anxiety of the Spaniards, from which the importance of this island is inferred by Junius, one of the few writers of his despicable faction whose name does not disgrace the page of an opponent. The value of the thing disputed may be very different to him that gains and him that loses it. The Spaniards, by yielding Falkland's Island, have admitted a precedent of what they think encroachment, have suffered a breach to be made in the outworks of their empire, and, notwithstanding the reserve of prior right, have suffered a dangerous exception to the prescriptive tenure of their American territories.

An unsuccessful war would undoubtedly have had the effect which the enemies of the ministry so earnestly desire; for who could have sustained the disgrace of folly ending in misfortune? but had wanton invasion undeservedly prospered, had Falkland's Island been yielded unconditionally with every right prior and posterior, though the rabble might have shouted, and the windows have blazed, yet those who know the value of life, and the uncertainty of public credit, would have murmured, perhaps unheard, at the increase of our debt, and the loss of our people. This thirst of blood, however the visible pro-

shrink from the accusation, is loudly avowed by JUNIUS, the writer to whom his party owes much of its pride, and some of its popularity: Of Junius it cannot be said, as of Ulysses, that he scatters ambiguous expressions among the vulgar; for he cries havock without reserve, and endeavours to let slip the dogs of foreign and of civil war, ignorant whither they are going, and careless what may be their prey. JUNIUS has sometimes made his satire felt, but let not injudicious admiration mistake the venom of the shaft for the vigour of the bow. He has sometimes sported with lucky malice; but to him that knows his company, it is not hard to be sarcastic in a mask. While he walks like Jack the Giant Killer in a coat of darkness, he may do much mischief with little strength. Novelty captivates the superficial and thoughtless; vehemence delights the discon-tented and turbulent. He that contradicts acknowledged truth will always have an audience; he that vilifies established authority will always find abettors.

' JUNIUS burst into notice with a blaze of impudence which has rarely glared upon the world before, and drew the rabble after him as a monster makes a show. When he had once provided for his safety by impenetrable secrecy, he had nothing to combat but truth and justice, enemies whom he knows to be feeble in the dark. Being then at liberty to indulge himself in all the immunities of invisibility; out of the reach of danger, he has been bold; out of the reach of shame, he has been confident. As a rhetorician, he has the art of persuading when he seconded desire; as a reasoner, he has convinced those who had no doubt before; as a moralist, he has increase of our debt, and the loss of our people.

This thirst of blood, however the visible promoters of sedition may think it convenient to the high. Finding sedition ascendant, he has

LETTER XLIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 6th Feb. 1771.

been able to advance it; finding the nation com- are less clearly expressed, and whose schemes bustible, he has been able to inflame it. Let us perhaps are less consequentially digested, who abstract from his wit the vivacity of insolence, declare that they do not wish for a rupture, yet and withdraw from his efficacy the sympathetic condemn the ministry for not doing that from favour of -labelen

leave him only his ment, what will be his praise? 'It is not by his liveliness of imagery, his pungency of periods, or his fertility of allusion, that he detains the cits of London and the boors of Middlesex. Of style and sentiment they take no cognizance. They admire him for virtues like their own, for contempt of order and violence of outrage, for rage of defamation and audacity of falsehood. The supporters of the Bill of Rights feel no niceties of composition, nor dex-terities of sophistry; their faculties are better proportioned to the bawl of Bellas or barbarity of Beckford; but they are told that Junius is on their side, and they are therefore sure that JUNIUS is infallible. Those who know not whither he would lead them, resolve to follow him; and those who cannot find his meaning, hope he means rebellion.

JUNIUS is an unusual phænomenon, on which some have gazed with wonder, and some with terror, but wonder and terror are transitory passions. He will soon be more closely viewed, or more attentively examined, and what folly has taken for a comet that, from its flaming hair, shook pestilence and war, enquiry will find to be only a meteor formed by the vapours of putrefy-ing democracy, and kindled into flame by the effervescence of interest struggling with conviction, which, after having plunged its followers in a bog, will leave us enquiring why we regarded it.

'Yet though I cannot think the style of Jurius secure from criticism, though his expressions are often trite, and his periods feeble, I should never have stationed him where he has placed himself, have stationed aim where he has placed nimsen, had I not rated him by his morals rather than his faculties. 'What,' says Pope, 'must be the priest, where the monkey is a god?' What must be the drudge of a party of which the heads are Wilkes and Crosby, Sawbridge and

Townshend?

'Junius knows his own meaning, and can therefore tell it. He is an enemy to the ministry, he sees them hourly growing stronger. He knows that a war at once unjust and unsuccess-

reading the criticisms of a newspaper. This is a task, from which, if he were inclined to submit to it, his friends ought to relieve him. Upon this principle, I shall undertake to answer Anti Junius, more, I I HOPE your correspondent JUNIUS believe, to his conviction than to his satisis better employed than in answering or faction. Not daring to attack the main

that IUNIUS would hereby be drawn into a paper contest with Johnson, and that hence they would possess a greater facility of detecting him. JUNIUS seems to have been aware of the trap laid for him, and made no direct reply whatever. How far the Doctor was correct in asking the question, what must be the drudge of a party of which the heads are Wilkes and Crosby, Sawbridge and Townshend? may be seen by referring to the protest entered on the Lords' journals against the address voted in consequence of the communications made to both Houses of parliament on the conclusion of the Spalish convention, which adopts most of the sentiments here so ably expressed, and which will be found in a note to Miscellaneous Letter, No. LXXXVIII., p. 467.

In effect the Doctor did not fairly meet his argument; and a reply was not altogether

necessary.

With one part of this celebrated pamphlet the minister himself was displeased, and actually suppressed the sale till his own correction was substituted for the obnoxious passage. The reader shall receive the account from the following letter inserted in the Public Advertiser, which is sufficiently explicit, and was incapable of contradiction.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, April 2, 1771.

Some little time ago there was published a pamphlet, intitled, 'Thoughts on the late transactions respecting Falkland's Islands,' said, upon good grounds, to have been written by the learned Dr Johnson, under the special direction of the minister apparent. Scarce were a few copies got abroad, before the sale of the edition, which had been advertised, was stopped, by order of the minister, for the sake of an alteration, which was made (as there is reason to believe) without the consent of the Doctor having been asked or had; after which it was set a-going again, and the public is now happily ful would have certainly displaced them, and is once more in possession of it. But as some may therefore, in his zeal for his country, angry that be curious to know in what it was that the war was not unjustly made, and unsuccessfully a diretation particularly consisted, and may not conducted; but there are others whose thoughts have by them both the first published and the body of Junius's last letter, he triumphs will naturally maintain the honour of his in having, as he thinks, surprised an out- crown in all his transactions with foreign post, and cut off a detached argument, a powers. But if we could suppose the sovemere straggling proposition. But even in reign of a free nation possessed with a this petty warfare, he shall find himself de- design to make himself absolute, he would

nation as the natural enemies of England. ed by a foreign war; unless that war He applies that description, with the strict- tended, as in some cases it might, to proest truth and justice, to the Spanish Court. From the moment when a prince of the exceptions to this general rule of conduct House of Bourbon ascended that throne. their whole system of government was inverted and became hostile to this country. Unity of possession introduced a unity of ment, was as absolute a prince as Lewis politics and Lewis the Fourteenth had the Fourteenth. Queen Elizabeth's governreason when he said to his grandson, 'The ment was not oppressive to the people: Pyrenees are removed.' The history of the and as to her foreign wars, it ought to be present century is one continued confirma- considered that they were unavoidable. tion of the prophecy.

pression at home can only be supported by her own person and of her title to the treachery and submission abroad, is applied crown. In the common course of selfish to a free people, whose rights are invaded, policy, Oliver Cromwell should have culnot to the government of a country, where tivated the friendship of foreign powers, or despotic or absolute power is confessedly at least have avoided disputes with them, vested in the prince; and with this applica- the better to establish his tyranny at home. tion, the assertion is true. An absolute Had he been only a bad man, he would monarch having no points to carry at home, have sacrificed the honour of the nation to

altered pamphlet to compare, the following ac- words which were substituted to the words excount will solve the question:

In the first publication, pages 67 and 68, you

have the following paragraph: 'The Manilla ransom has, I think, been most rientoned by the inferior bellowers of sedition. Those who lead the faction know that it cannot be remembered much to their advantage. The followers of lord Rockingham remember that his ministry begun and ended without obtaining it: the adherents to Grenville would be told that he could never be brought to understand our claim. The law of nations made little of his knowledge. Let him not, however, be depreciated in his grave; he had powers not universally pos-sessed: if he could have GOT the MONEY he could have COUNTED it.

Upon calling in the pamphlet, this sarcastic pretty epigram, at the close of the paragraph, was struck out, the two pages being cancelled, and a carton substituted, with the following alteration after the word 'possessed:'

' And if he sometimes ERRED, he was likewise sometimes RIGHT.

And thus it now stands in the second publication. And here the exquisite stupidity of the act of counting money at Church?-Edit.

be inconsistent with himself if he suffered JUNIUS does not speak of the Spanish his projects to be interrupted or embariassmote his principal design. Of the three (quoted by Anti Funius), that of Oliver Cromwell is the only one in point. Harry the Eighth, by the submission of his parlia-The national honour was not in question. The assertion 'That violence and op- She was compelled to fight in defence of

punged, would not be worth remarking, as if it

but that there occurs upon it a not uncurious question, to which of the two motives of the minister this notable alteration was most probably owing; a question which it is left to the candour of the reader to decide with himself

Whether was it owing to the premier's scrupulous delicacy of not wounding the memory of the dead (a man who with a knowledge of the laws, and of the finances, infinitely superior to his, had however, if possible, as little of the genius for managing affairs as himself), that he caused the close of the paragraph in the first publication to be cancelled, to make way for foisting into the second an alteration that mended nothing, being

manifestly an exquisite chip of nonsense?

Or, was it that those unlucky words in the first, Or, was it that those unitiedy words in the irist, relative to the counting of money, struck the conscious premier, in the light of the obvious danger of the public's being reminded by them of that rick story of a high character's having, upon a time, been observed busily employed in the noble the success of his domestic policy. But, subject of negotiation. with all his crimes, he had the spirit of an facts ;-let him reconcile them if he can. Englishman. The conduct of such a man rules. He had abilities sufficient to reconcile contradictions, and to make a great nation at the same moment unhappy and formidable. If it were not for the respect I bear the minister, I could name a man, who, without one grain of understanding, can do half as much as Oliver Cromwell.

Whether or no there be a secret system in the closet, and what may be the object of it, are questions which can only be determined by appearances, and on which every man must decide for himself.

The whole plan of Junius's letter proves that he himself makes no distinction between the real honour of the crown and the real interest of the people. In the climax, not only sacrificed the interests of his people. but (what was likely to touch him more nearly) his personal reputation, and the dignity of his crown."

The queries, put by Anti Funius, can only be answered by the ministry.1 Abandoned as they are, I fancy they will not confess that they have, for so many years, maintained possession of another man's

He admits the

The last paragraph brings us back to the must always be an exception to vulgar original question, whether the Spanish declaration contains such a satisfaction as the king of Great Britain ought to have accept-This was the field upon which he ought to have encountered Tunius openly and fairly. But here he leaves the argument, as no longer defensible. I shall therefore conclude with one general admonition to my fellow-subjects; - that, when they hear these matters debated, they should not suffer themselves to be misled by general declamations upon the conveniences of peace, or the miseries of war. Between peace and war, abstractedly, there is not, there cannot be, a question in the mind of a rational being. The real questions are, Have we any security that the to which your correspondent objects, Iu- peace we have so dearly purchased will last NIUS adopts the language of the court, and a twelvemonth? and if not,-have we, or by that conformity gives strength to his have we not, sacrificed the fairest oppor-He says that 'the king has tunity of making war with advantage?

PHILO JUNIUS.2

LETTER XLIV.3

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

22 April, 1771.

To write for profit without taxing property. After admitting the assertion of the press; -to write for fame and to be unthe ministry-viz. that the Spaniards had known; to support the intrigues of faction no rightful claim, and after justifying them and to be disowned, as a dangerous auxfor saying so, -it is his business, not mine, iliary, by every party in the kingdom, are to give us some good reason for their contradictions which the minister must suffering the pretensions of Spain to be a reconcile, before I forfeit my credit with

A writer, subscribing himself Anti Junius, attacked the preceding letter of Junius in three successive numbers of the Public Advertiser, in February 1771; but, after the extracts inserted from Dr Johnson, his letters are hardly entitled to further notice.-EDIT.

² On the seventh of February appeared the following letter:

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

The first letter of Anti Funus did not promise a second, or at least it escaped me. I shall reserve my observations on his second till I see the whole.

In the third paragraph of my letter (line 29) it should have been printed common course, not common cause.

PHILO JUNIUS.'
The error is corrected in this edition.—EDIT.

3 On this letter, respecting privilege, JUNIUS mak. the following remark to Mr Wilkes. 'The pains I took with that paper, were greater than I can express to you.' Private Letter, No. 70.-EDIT.

4 See Private Letter, No. 59. - EDIT.

the public. I may guit the service, but it uniformly denied the power of the whole would be absurd to suspect me of desertion. legislature to alter the descent of the crown. The reputation of these papers is an hon- and whose ancestors, in rebellion against ourable pledge for my attachment to the his Majesty's family, have defended that people. To sacrifice a respected character, doctrine at the hazard of their lives, now and to renounce the esteem of society, tell us that privilege of parliament is the requires more than Mr Wedderburne's 1 re- only rule of right, and the chief security of solution; and though, in him, it was rather the public freedom.—I fear, Sir, that, while a profession than a desertion of his principles forms remain, there has been some material II speak tenderly of this gentleman, for change in the substance of our constitution. when treachery is in question, I think we The opinions of these men were too absurd should make allowances for a Scotchman], to be so easily renounced. Liberal minds yet we have seen him in the House of Com- are open to conviction,-Liberal doctrines mons overwhelmed with confusion, and are capable of improvement.-There are almost bereft of his faculties.—But in truth, proselytes from atheism, but none from Sir. I have left no room for an accommo- superstition.—If their present professions dation with the piety of St James's. My were sincere. I think they could not but be offences are not to be redeemed by re- highly offended at seeing a question, concantation or repentance. On one side, our cerning parliamentary privilege, unneceswarmest patriots would disclaim me as a sarily started at a season so unfavourable burthen to their honest ambition. On the to the House of Commons, and by so very other, the vilest prostitution, if JUNIUS mean and insignificant a person as the could descend to it, would lose its natural minor Onslow.2 They knew that the premerit and influence in the cabinet, and sent House of Commons, having comtreachery be no longer a recommendation menced hostilities with the people, and to the royal favour.

years, have been most distinguished by sisted, per fas et nefas. If they were really their zeal for high church and prerogative, friends to privilege, they would have are now, it seems, the great assertors of thought the question of right too dangerous the privileges of the House of Commons. to be hazarded at this season, and without This sudden alteration of their sentiments the formality of a convention, would have or language carries with it a suspicious ap- left it undecided. pearance. When I hear the undefined I have been silent hitherto, though not them to each other. They who have

degraded the authority of the laws by their The persons who, till within these few own example, were likely enough to be re-

privileges of the popular branch of the from that shameful indifference about the legislature exalted by Tories and Jacobites, interests of society, which too many of us at the expense of those strict rights, which profess, and call moderation. I confess, are known to the subject, and limited by Sir, that I felt the prejudices of my educathe laws. I cannot but suspect, that some tion, in favour of a House of Commons, mischievous scheme is in agitation, to de-still hanging about me. I thought that a stroy both law and privilege, by opposing question, between law and privilege,3 could

to this defection from the tenets Mr Wedder-

¹ Mr Wedderburne, progressively baron burne avowed till this period, that our author Loughborough and earl of Rosslyn, had, on the here alludes.—EDTT. rath of January preceding the date of this letter, been promoted to the offices of solicitor-general, moved the resolution against the printers already Loughborough and earl of Rosslyn, had, on the here alludes.—EDIT. auth of January preceding the date of this letter, been promoted to the offices of solicitor-general, moved the resolution against the printers already and cofferer to the queen. His politics may, noticed; and who commenced a prosecution for therefore, be ascertained without trouble; yet demantion against Horne, in which he was not he had been inducted into public life, under the successful; and of which the reader will find an auspices of George Grenville, after the latter had account in note B. to Private Letter, No. 5, p. 62. professed the principles of Whiggism, and while —EDIT.

he was a partisan of lord Rockingham: and it is

never be brought to a formal decision, by strict positive right. If change of chmuch delicacy and importance.

of the authority of a mere royal proclamation, and a mere order of the House of Commons, by ment of the lord mayor and aldermen to the magistrates of the city; the arrests and Tower. See note to Miscellaneous Letter, No rounter-arrests that followed; and the commit

without inconvenience to the public service. cumstances were to have no weight in or a manifest diminution of legal liberty; directing our conduct and opinions, the that it ought therefore to be carefully mutual intercourse of mankind would be avoided; and when I saw that the violence nothing more than a contention between of the House of Commons had carried them positive and equitable right. Society too far to retreat. I determined not to de-would be a state of war, and law itself liver a hasty opinion upon a matter of so would be injustice. On this general ground, it is highly reasonable, that the degree of The state of things is much altered in our submission to privileges, which have this country, since it was necessary to pro- never been defined by any positive law. tect our representatives against the direct should be considered as a question of conpower of the crown. We have nothing to venience, and proportioned to the conapprehend from prerogative, but every fidence we repose in the integrity of our thing from undue influence. Formerly it representatives. As to the injury we may was the interest of the people, that the do to any future and more respectable privileges of parliament should be left un- House of Commons, I own I am not now limited and undefined. At present it is sanguine enough to expect a more plentiful not only their interest, but I hold it to be harvest of parliamentary virtue in one year essentially necessary to the preservation of than another. Our political climate is the constitution, that the privileges of par- severely altered; and without dwelling liament should be strictly ascertained, and upon the depravity of modern times. I confined within the narrowest bounds the think no reasonable man will expect that, nature of their institution will admit of, as human nature is constituted, the enor-Upon the same principle, on which I would mous influence of the crown should cease have resisted prerogative in the last cen- to prevail over the virtue of individuals. tury, I now resist privilege. It is indifferent The mischief lies too deep to be cured by to me, whether the crown, by its own im- any remedy less than some great conmediate act, imposes new and dispenses vulsion, which may either carry back the with old laws, or whether the same arbi- constitution to its original principles, or trary power produces the same effects utterly destroy it. I do not doubt that, in through the medium of the House of Com- the first session after the next election, mons. We trusted our representatives some popular measures may be adopted. with privileges for their own defence and The present House of Commons have inours. We cannot hinder their desertion, jured themselves by a too early and public but we can prevent their carrying over their profession of their principles, and if a strain arms to the service of the enemy.-It will of prostitution, which had no example, were be said, that I begin with endeavouring to within the reach of emulation, it might be reduce the argument concerning privilege imprudent to hazard the experiment too to a mere question of convenience ;-that I soon. But after all, Sir, it is very imdeny at one moment what I would allow at material whether a House of Commons another; and that to resist the power of a shall preserve their virtue for a week, a prostituted House of Commons may estab- month, or a year. The influence which lish a precedent injurious to all future par- makes a septennial parliament dependent liaments.—To this I answer generally, that upon the pleasure of the crown, has a perhuman affairs are in no instance governed manent operation, and cannot fail of success .-- My premises, I know, will be denied

tells him they are true. It remains then to they are employed in, and also that it has be considered, whether it be for the interest been uniformly allowed. From the first part of the people that privilege of parliament of this description it follows clearly, that (which, in respect to the purposes for whatever privilege does of right belong to which it has hitherto been acquiesced un- the present House of Commons, did equally der, is merely nominal) should be con- belong to the first assembly of their pretracted within some certain limits, or whether the subject shall be left at the mercy of a power, arbitrary upon the face of it, and notoriously under the direction of the crown.

I do not mean to decline the question of right. On the contrary, Sir, I join issue with the advocates for privilege, and affirm, that, 'excepting the cases, wherein the House of Commons are a court of judicature, [to which, from the nature of their office, a coercive power must belong,] and excepting such contempts as immediately interrupt their proceedings, they have no legal authority to imprison any man for any supposed violation of privilege whatsoever.' -It is not pretended that privilege, as now claimed, has ever been defined or confirmed by statute; neither can it be said, with any colour of truth, to be a part of the common law of England, which had grown into prescription long before we knew any thing of the existence of a House of Commons. As for the law of parliament, it is only another name for the privilege in question; and since the power of creating new privileges has been formally renounced by both Houses,-since there is no code, in which we can study the law of parliament, we have but one way left to make ourselves acquainted with it; - that is, to compare the nature of the institution of a House of Commons with the facts upon record. To establish a claim of privilege in either House, and to distinguish original right from usurpation, it must appear that it is indispensably

in argument, but every man's conscience necessary for the performance of the duty decessors, was as completely vested in them, and might have been exercised in the same extent. From the second we must infer that privileges, which, for several centuries, were not only never allowed. but never even claimed by the House of Commons, must be founded upon usurnation. The constitutional duties of a House of Commons are not very complicated nor mysterious. They are to propose or assent to wholesome laws for the benefit of the nation. They are to grant the necessary aids to the king :- petition for the redress of grievances, and prosecute treason or high crimes against the state. If unlimited privilege be necessary to the performance of these duties, we have reason to conclude that, for many centuries after the institution of the House of Commons, they were never performed. I am not bound to prove a negative, but I appeal to the English history when I affirm that, with the exceptions already stated (which yet I might safely relinquish), there is no precedent, from the year 1265 to the death of queen Elizabeth, of the House of Commons having imprisoned any man (not a member of their House) for contempt or breach of privilege. In the most flagrant cases, and when their acknowledged privileges were most grossly violated, the poor Commons, as they then styled themselves, never took the power of punishment into their own hands. They either sought redress by petition to the king, or, what is more remarkable, applied for justice to the House of

> The very word privilege means no more than immunity, or a safeguard to the party who possesses it, and can never be construed into an active power of invading the rights of others.'-AUTHOR.

This and some of the following notes form part of a letter signed a Whig, and will be found in the Miscellaneous Collection numbered XCV .-

The necessity of securing the House of Commons against the King's power, so that no interruption might be given either to the attendance of the members in parliament, or to the freedom of debate, was the foundation of par-liamentary privilege; and we may observe, in all the addresses of new appointed speakers to the sovereign, the utmost privilege they demand Miscel is liberty of speech and freedom from arrests.

them or delayed, their only remedy was to lege of parliament at another. refuse proceeding upon the king's business.
If however it could be proved, from con-House of Commons.

Lords; and when satisfaction was denied period, to be tacitly admitted as the privi-

So little conception had our ancestors of siderations of necessity or convenience, the monstrous doctrines now maintained that an unlimited power of commitment concerning privilege, that, in the reign of ought to be intrusted to the House of Elizabeth, even liberty of speech, the vital Commons, and that in fact they have principle of a deliberate assembly, was re- exercised it without opposition, still, in strained, by the Oueen's authority, to a contemplation of law, the presumption is simple aye or no, and this restriction, strongly against them. It is a leading though imposed upon three successive par- maxim of the laws of England (and withliaments, was never once disputed by the out it, all laws are nugatory) that there is no right without a remedy, nor any legal I know there are many precedents of power without a legal course to carry it into arbitrary commitments for contempt. But, effect. Let the power, now in question be besides that they are of too modern a date tried by this rule.-The speaker issues his to warrant a presumption that such a power warrant of attachment. The party attached was originally vested in the House of Com- either resists force with force, or appeals to mons,-Fact alone does not constitute a magistrate, who declares the warrant Right. If it does, general warrants were illegal, and discharges the prisoner. Does lawful. -An ordinance of the two Houses the law provide no legal means for enforchas a force equal to law; and the criminal ing a legal warrant? Is there no regular jurisdiction assumed by the Commons in proceeding pointed out in our law books to 1621, in the case of Edward Lloyd,2 is a assert and vindicate the authority of so good precedent, to warrant the like pro- high a court as the House of Commons? ceedings against any man, who shall unad- The question is answered directly by the visedly mention the folly of a king, or the fact. Their unlawful commands are resistambition of a princess.-The truth is, Sir, ed, and they have no remedy. The imprithat the greatest and most exceptionable sonment of their own members is revenge part of the privileges now contended for, indeed, but it is no assertion of the privilege were introduced and asserted by a House they contend for.3 Their whole proceeding of Commons which abolished both mon- stops, and there they stand, ashamed to archy and peerage, and whose proceedings, retreat, and unable to advance. Sir, these although they ended in one glorious act of ignorant men should be informed that the substantial justice, could no way be recon- execution of the laws of England is not left ciled to the forms of the constitution, in this uncertain, defenceless condition. If Their successors profited by the example, the process of the courts of Westminsterand confirmed their power by a moderate hall be resisted, they have a direct course, or a popular use of it. Thus it grew by sufficient to enforce submission. The court degrees, from a notorious innovation at one of King's Bench commands the sheriff to

the king's daughter and her husband," to be again pilloried in Cheapside, and to be fined £2000.—EDIT.

See note to Miscellaneous Letter, No. XCII.

¹ In the years 1593—1597—and 1601.

² Lloyd, while a prisoner in the Fleet, had ridiculed the daughter of James the First, and her ridiculed the daughter of James the First, ann ner consort, for which complaint was made to the House of Commons, who, on investigation, chose to think the words sufficiently proved, and sentenced him to be 'set on the pillory at Westminster for two hours, to ride backward upon a horse without a saddle, with the horse's tail in his hand, to have labels affixed on his head, in distants these head hear found cultip of using dicating that he had been found guilty of using "false, malicious, and despiteful speeches against _EDIT.

³ Upon their own principles, they should have committed Mr Wilkes, who had been guilty of a greater offence than even the lord mayor or alderman Oliver. But after repeatedly ordering him to attend, they at last adjourned beyond the day appointed for his attendance, and by this mean, pitiful evasion, gave up the point.-Such is the force of conscious guilt !-AUTHOR.

raise the posse comitatus. The courts of in the court of King's Bench, as a libel upon ton.1

It may now be objected to me, that my cause.2 arguments prove too much; for that cer-

In allusion to his letter of thanks to the actions of the sitting aldermen from the book of guards for their conduct in St George's Fields. See the letter and the subject more particularly

touched upon in the Miscellaneous Collection,
No. XXIV.—EDIT.

'If it be demanded, in case a subject should
be committed by either House, for a matter manifestly out of their jurisdiction, what remedy can he have? I answer, that it cannot well be imagined that the law, which favours nothing more than the liberty of the subject, should give us a remedy against commitments by the king himself, appearing to be illegal, and yet give us no manner of redress against a commitment by no manner or redress agains: a commitment by our fellow-subjects, equally appearing to be unwarranted. But as this is a case which I am persuaded will never happen, it seems needless over nicely to examine it. *Hawkins 2, 110.—

N. B. He was a good lawyer, but no prophet.

That their practice might be every way confirmed between the proceeded.

That their practice manner of the House proceeded to advise the crown to publish a proclamation wolved in intemperate discontinuities and year to the illegal. Mr powers, which, in the extreme, may endanger the was issued; and lord Mansfield, though not live of the kingdom, its Majestvail be graciously pleased to recur to the recent sense of his people, by dissolving, after the end of this session, the wesent parliament, and calling, with convenient wesent parliament. who advised the proclamation, and who hear it arraigned every day both within doors and without, are not daring enough to utter one word in

Chancery and Exchequer issue a writ of re- the king. Mr De Grey thought so, when bellion, which must also be supported, if he drew up the information upon my Letter necessary, by the power of the county.-To to his Majesty, or he had no meaning in whom will our honest representatives direct charging it to be a scandalous libel upon their writ of rebellion? The guards, I the House of Commons. In my opinion. doubt not, are willing enough to be em- they would consult their real dignity much ployed, but they know nothing of the better by appealing to the laws when they doctrine of writs, and may think it neces- are offended, than by violating the first sary to wait for a letter from lord Barring- principle of natural justice, which forbids us to be judges, when we are parties to the

I do not mean to pursue them through tainly there may be instances of contempt the remainder of their proceedings. In and insult to the House of Commons, their first resolutions, it is possible they which do not fall within my own excep- might have been deceived by ill-considered tions, yet, in regard to the dignity of the precedents. For the rest there is no colour House, ought not to pass unpunished. Be it of palliation or excuse. They have advised so.—The courts of criminal jurisdiction are the king to resume a power of dispensing open to prosecutions, which the attorney- with the laws by royal proclamation; 3 and general may commence by information or kings, we see, are ready enough to follow indictment. A libel, tending to asperse or such advice. - By mere violence, and withvilify the House of Commons, or any of out the shadow of right, they have expungtheir members, may be as severely punished ed the record 4 of a judicial proceeding,5

recognizances, as stated in note to Miscellaneous Letter, No. XCII.—EDIT.

5 Lord Chatham very properly called this the

act of a mob, not of a senate.—Author.

In a speech made in support of the following motion submitted to the House of Lords, April

30, 1771:—
The duke of Richmond moved, 'That an humble address be presented to his Majesty, most dutifully and earnestly beseeching his Majesty, that, under the late violations of the rights of the electors of Great Britain, in the election of the electors of vreat britain, in the electors for Middlesex, still unredressed, and in the present conflict which has so unhappily arisen between the claims of privilege of the House of Commons on one side, and those of magistracy on the other, his Majesty will, in his paternal wisdom, deign to open the way to compose this alarming warfare; and that, in order to prevent

Lord Chatham, in supporting this motion, persons apprehended under it.

System of government, which had, in a very few

Our author refers to the erasure of the transNothing remained, but to attribute to their personal honour, and all concern for the own vote a power of stopping the whole distribution of criminal and civil justice.

The public virtues of the chief magistrate have long since ceased to be in question. But it is said that he has private good qualities, and I myself have been ready to acknowledge them. They are now brought to the test. If he loves his people, he will dissolve a parliament, which they can never confide in or respect. - If he has any regard. for his own honour, he will disdain to be any longer connected with such abandoned prostitution. But if it were conceivable, that a king of this country had lost all sense of

most miserable condition. He went through the a temporary and partial remedy;—that to resist whole proceedings of the House of Commons in the enormous influence of the crown, some the late business of the printers, and arraigned stronger barriers must be erected in defence of the late business of the printers, and arraigned every part of it in the strongest terms. He warnly defended the magistrates in the con-scientious discharge of their duty;—that the House, in committing them to prison without hearing their defence upon the point of privilege, had been guilty of a gross and palpable act of tyranny;—that they had heard the prostituted electors of Shoreham in defence of an agreement to sell a borough by auction, and had refused to hear the lord mayor of London, in defence of the laws of England ;-that their expunging, by mere force, the entry of the recognizance, was the act of a mob, not of a parliament :- but that their daring to assume a power of stopping all prosecutions by their vote, struck at once at the whole system of the laws ;-that it was solely to the measures of government, equally violent and absurd, that Mr Wilkes owed all his importance;—that the king's ministers, supported by the slavish concurrence of the House of Commons, had once made him a person of the greatest mons, nau once mane num a person of the greatest consequence in the kingdom, —that they in effect had made him an alderman of London, and representative of the country of Middless, and now, it seems, they intend to make him sheriff, and, in due course, lord mayor of London, and the mane of the More of Comments of the More of the don ;-that the proceedings of the House of Commons, in regard to this gentleman, made the very name of parliament ridiculous;—that after re-peated resolutions, by which they had declared him amenable to their jurisdiction, they had shamefully given up the point at last, and, in the face of the world, acknowledged him to be their lord and master.-That there remained but one possible remedy for the disorders, with which the government of this country was universally infected;—that to save the name and institution of parliaments from contempt, this House of Commons must be dissolved. This he hoped might restore good government on one side,-good humour and tranquillity on the other;—yet that this was rather a hope in him than any sanguine expectation. He feared that it might prove only

welfare of his subjects, I confess, Sir, I should be contented to renounce the forms of the constitution once more, if there were no other way to obtain substantial justice for the people.1

JUNIUS.

LETTER XLV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

Sik. 1 May, 1771. THEY, who object to detached

the constitution. That formerly the inconveniences of shortening the duration of parliaments had had great weight with him, but that now it was no longer a question of convenience, the summa rerum is at stake,—your whole constitution is giving way;—and therefore, with the most deliberate and solemn conviction to his understanding, he now declared himself a con-VERT TO TRIBUNIAL PARLIAMENTS.'-EDIT

When Mr Wilkes was to be punished, they made no scruple about the privileges of parliament; and although it was well known as any matter of public record and uninterrupted custom could be, that the members of either House are privileged, except in case of treason, felony, or processing the second in the state of present of peace, they declared without hesitation that privilege of parliament did not extend to the case of a seditious libel; and undoubtedly they would have done the same if Mr Wilkes had been prosecuted for any other misdemeanour whatsoever. The ministry are of a sudden grown wonderfully careful of privileges, which their predecessors were as ready to invade. The known laws of the land, the rights of the subject, the sanctity of charters, and the reverence due to our magistrates, must all give way, without question or resistance, to a privilege of which no man knows either the origin or the extent, House of Commons judge of their own privileges without appeal:—they may take offence at the most innocent action, and imprison the person who offends them, during their arbitrary will and pleasure. The party has no remedy ;-he can-not appeal from their jurisdiction: and if he questions the privilege, which he is supposed to have violated, it becomes an aggravation of his offence. Surely this doctrine is not to be found in Magna Charta. If it be admitted without limitation, I affirm that there is neither law nor liberty in this kingdom. We are the slaves of the House of Commons, and, through them, we are the slaves of the king and his inisters.—Anoof emulation ;-and that, in the first session after the next election, some popular measures may probably be adopted. He does will destroy corruption, but that at least it will be a check and terror to their successors, who will have seen that, in flagrant cases, their constituents can and will interpose with effect .-- After all. Sir. will you not endeavfollow it.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER XLVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

22 May, 1771.

VERY early in the debate upon the decision of the Middlesex election, it was well observed by JUNIUS, that the House of Commons had not only exceeded their

parts of Junius's last letter, either do not boasted precedent of the expulsion and mean him fairly, or have not considered subsequent incapacitation of Mr Walpole. the general scope and course of his argu- but that they had not even adhered to it ment.-There are degrees in all the private strictly as far as it went. After convicting vices.-Why not in public prostitution?- Mr Dyson of giving a false-quotation from The influence of the crown naturally makes the journals, 1 and having explained the a septennial parliament dependent.—Does purpose which that contemptible fraud was it follow that every House of Commons will intended to answer, he proceeds to state plunge at once into the lowest depths of the vote itself, by which Mr Walpole's supprostitution?-Junius supposes that the posed incapacity was declared,-viz, 'Represent House of Commons. in going such solved, That Robert Walpole, Esq. having enormous lengths, have been imprudent to been this session of parliament committed themselves, as well as wicked to the public; a prisoner to the Tower, and expelled this -that their example is not within the reach House for a high breach of trust in the execution of his office, and notorious corruption when secretary at war, was, and is, incapable of being elected a member to serve not expect that a dissolution of parliament in this present parliament: -and then observes that, from the terms of the vote, we have no right to annex the incapacitation to the expulsion only, for that, as the proposition stands, it must arise equally from the expulsion and the commitment to our to remove or alleviate the most danger- the Tower. I believe, Sir, no man, who ous symptoms, because you cannot eradicate knows any thing of dialectics, or who unthe disease? Will you not punish treason derstands English, will dispute the truth or parricide, because the sight of a gib- and fairness of this construction. But IUbet does not prevent highway robberies? NIUS has a great authority to support him. When the main argument of JUNIUS is ad- which, to speak with the duke of Grafton. mitted to be unanswerable, I think it would I accidentally met with this morning in the become the minor critic, who hunts for course of my reading. It contains an blemishes, to be a little more distrustful of admonition, which cannot be repeated too his own sagacity.—The other objection is often. Lord Somers, in his excellent tract hardly worth an answer. When JUNIUS upon the rights of the people, after reciting observes that kings are ready enough to the vote of the convention, of the 28th of follow such advice, he does not mean to January, 1689, viz .- 'That king James the insinuate that, if the advice of parliament Second, having endeavoured to subvert the were good, the king would be so ready to constitution of this kingdom by breaking the original contract between king and people; and by the advice of Jesuits and other wicked persons having violated the fundamental laws, and having withdrawn himself out of this kingdom, hath abdicated the government, &c.'-makes this observation upon it. 'The word abdicated relates to all the clauses aforegoing, as well as to his deserting the kingdom, or else they would have been wholly in vain.' And that

See Letter XX., p. 183, note. - EDIT.

there might be no pretence for confining a commitment to the Tower is a constitu-Fames, by refusing to govern us according suffers it." to that law by which he held the crown, did implicitly renounce his title to it.

against Mr Walpole be now admitted (and election. The more I consider it, the indeed I cannot comprehend how it can more I am convinced that, as a fuct, it is honestly be disputed), the advocates of the indeed highly injurious to the rights of the House of Commons must either give up people; but that, as a precedent, it is one their precedent entirely, or be reduced to of the most dangerous that ever was estabthe necessity of maintaining one of the lished against those who are to come after

House of Commons on this most interesting controversy erased from their journals, which he at length effected, on the dissolution of the admin-istration of which lord North had been at the head from the time of the resignation of the duke of Grafton, in the year 1770. This occurred

May 3, 1782. Mr Wilkes prefaced his motion in the following address to the House.

'MR SPEAKER,

'I think myself peculiarly happy at the present moment, that I have the honour of submitting to the House an important national question, respecting the rights of election, when the friends and favourites of the people enjoy, with the smiles of our sovereign, the offices of trust and power in the state, accompanied with that fair influence, which is necessarily created by great ability, perfect integrity, the purest political virtue, and the remembrance of their former upright conduct in the cause of the people. If the people of England, Sir, have at any period ex-plicitly and fully declared an opinion on a momentous constitutional question, it has been in regard to the Middlesex election in 1768, and the subsequent most profligate proceedings of an administration, hostile, by system, to the rights of this country, and every part of the British empire. An instance cannot be found in our history of a more general concurrence of sentiment among the freeholders of England, and they were joined by almost every borough and corporation in the southern part of the island I am satisfied, therefore, that I now shall find the real friends of

of this invaluable franchise has been rendered fruitless by the arts and machinations of power in the hands of wicked men: and I may with truth assert, that the body of the people long

the abdication merely to the withdrawing, ent part of, and contributes half, at least, Lord Somers farther observes, That king to the incapacitation of the person who

I need not make you any excuse for endeavouring to keep alive the attention of If JUNIUS'S construction of the vote the public to the decision of the Middlesex grossest absurdities imaginable, viz. 'That us.1 Yet I am so far a moderate man, that

Mr Wilkes having been again returned as manly firmness and perseverance, without the one of the members for the county of Middle-least effect, or even impression. The full redress sev, in the parliaments of 1774 and 1780, made demanded by this injured nation, seems reserved various fruitless efforts to get the decision of the 'to distinguish the present propitious æra of pubto the liberty among the early and blooming hon-ours of an administration, which possesses the confidence, and daily conciliates the affections, of a brave and sensible people. Their voice was never heard in a more clear and distinct manner, than on this point of the first magnitude for all the electors of this kingdom; and I trust will now be heard favourably. The general resent-ment and indignation ran so high against the House of Commons, which committed the outrage, that their immediate dissolution became the prayer of numberless petitions to the throne. the prayer of numberess petitions to the throne. No man scrupled to declare them unworthy to exist in their political capacity. The public pronounced them guilty of sacrificing and betaying the rights which they were called upon by every tie of justice and duty to defend. The noble spirit of the freeholders of Middlessey. persevering in the best of causes, undaunted by all the menaces of power, was the subject of the most general applause and admiration. The voice of the people was then in the harsh and sharp tone of passion and anger against ministers. It will, I am persuaded, soon be in the soft and pleasing accents of joy and thankfulness to our deliverers.

'It is scarcely possible, Sir, to state a question in which the people of this free country are more materially interested, than in the right of election; for it is the share which they have reserved to themselves in the legislature. When it was wrested from them by violence, the constitution was torn up by the roots.

'I have now the happiness of seeing the Treasury bench filled with the friends of the constitution, the guardians and lovers of liberty, who have been unwearied and uniform in the defence of all our rights, and in particular of this invaluable franchise. I hail the present auspicious moment, and with impatience expect the completion of what I have long and fervently desired for my friends and country, for the present age, addressed, petitioned, and remonstrated with and a free posterity. The former conduct of I verily believe the majority of the House gerous vote, neither understood the ques-

those now in power, affords me the most sanguine hopes of this day seeing justice done to a people, to whom they have so frequently appealed, who now look up to them with ardent expectation, with pleasure and esteem. Consistency, Sir, has drawn the right line of their political conduct to this period. It will now point out the same path of public virtue and honour. May I be indulged in a hast, which I mean to extend much beyond the business of the day, when I say that consistency will be attended with that stability and perfect security, which are the objects of every good man's wishes for them? They have given us a fair earnest of their reverence for the constitution, by their support of two bills, essentially necessary to restore the purity and independency of parliament: I mean the bill for prevent-ing contractors from sitting in the House of Commons, and the bill for disabling officers of the revenue from voting at elections. —Mc black rod, desiring the immediate attendance of the House of Commons in the House of Lords. I he Speaker then went up to the House of Peers; and after his return and report of what had passed.

Mr Wilkes said:

'MR SPEAKER.

'I return my thanks to the black rod for so luckily interposing in favour of this House, when I might possibly have again tired them with the important, however stale, case of the Middlesex election, which their patient ear has for several years, with much good nature, suffered. I will now make some return to their indulgence, in profiting by the circumstance of this happy interruption, and not saying a single word about Walpole or Wollaston, Coke or Blackstone. I will not detain the House longer, than by observing the parliamentary form of desiring the clerk to read the resolution of the 17th of February, 1769. Which having been plied with, he then moved, "That the entry of the resolution of the 17th of Feb. 1769, "That John Wilkes, Esq., having been in this session of parliament expelled this House, was, and is,

of Commons, when they passed this dan-tion, nor knew the consequence of what

county of Middlesex, as a void election, the due and legal election of Mr Luttrell into parliament for the said county, and his own incapacity to be elected a member to serve in the said parliament. be expunged;' which motion was, for the reasons before given, carried without a division. Thus terminated one of the most severe, and

on the part of the servants of the crown most unconstitutional, political contests, that ever agitated the people of this country; not leaving a rack behind, to constitute, as our author emphatically terms it, 'a precedent the most dangerous that ever was established against those who are to come after us.' As the merit of the erasure of these obnoxious resolutions from the journals of the House of Commons, is solely due to the talents and perseverance of Mr Wilkes, it will not be unfair to defend his motives and preers of tensions as a patriot, from the detraction of __Mr contemporary adversaries, as well as from his Wilkes was here interrupted with a message by more modern opponents. The first political Sir Francis Molyneux, gentleman usher of the offence of which he appears to have been guilty, was the severity with which he attacked the administration of lord Bute, and which was justly characterized for being as deficient in ability as it was odiously unconstitutional. For this attack a general warrant was issued, his papers were seized, and himself committed a close prisoner to the Tower. He was afterwards prosecuted for the republication of the North Briton, No. 45, the vehicle of his political lucubrations, and for the Essay on Woman, which had been surreptitiously stolen from him by a man of the name of Curry, employed in printing it at his private press, at the instigation of, and under the promise of ample reward and protection from, Philip Carteret Webb, the solicitor to the Trea-Previous to the trial, Wilkes fled to France, where he remained for some years; in the mean time he had been found guilty in the King's Bench of printing and publishing both libels; and not appearing in due time to receive the judgment of the court, he was out-lawed. A short time previous to the dissolution of parliament in the year 1768, he returned to this country, and was elected member for the county of Middlesex. In the mean while, he surrendered himself to the King's Bench, and in parliament expensed this Audience was a serve having claimed the benefit of certain errors in in this present parliament," might be expunged the writ of outlawry, the same were, after solemn from their journals, it being subversive of the argument, admitted by the court, and the outrights of the whole body of the electors of this lawry was reversed. A few days subsequent to kingdom.' This motion was (after some optimized the latest the latest the latest the second of the kingdom. This motion was (after some optosition from the late Mr Fox, then secretary was pronounced on him for publishing the libels; of state, and from the late lord Melville, then for the former he was sentenced to pay a fine of lord advocate for Scotland, the former of \$\frac{2}{3}\times to to the king, and to be imprisoned ten whom had strenuously supported the whole of moths; and for the latter, he was fined in the the resolutions passed by the House of Commons, in respect to the Middleser election) locarried on a division, 785 to 47. Mr Wilkes, as security for his good behaviour for seven years, soon as this question, was disposed of, moved, himself in \$\frac{2}{3}\times to the declarations, orders, and resolutions of the House, respecting his election for the they were doing. Their motives were matter for his title, he lives quietly upon rather despicable than criminal, in the the estate. extreme. One effect they certainly did not foresee. They are now reduced to such a situation, that if a member of the present House of Commons were to conduct himself ever so improperly, and in reality deserve to be sent back to his constituents with a mark of disgrace, they would not dare to expel him; because they know that the people, in order to try again the great question of right, or to thwart an odious House of Commons, would probably overlook his immediate unworthiness, and return the same person to parliament.-But,

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER XLVIL

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 25 May, 1771.

I CONFESS my partiality to IU-NIUS, and feel a considerable pleasure in being able to communicate any thing to the public, in support of his opinions. The doctrine laid down in his last letter, conin time, the precedent will gain strength. cerning the power of the House of Com-A future House of Commons will have no mons to commit for contempt, is not so such apprehensions, consequently will not new as it appeared to many people, who. scruple to follow a precedent, which they dazzled with the name of privilege, had did not establish. The miser himself sel- never suffered themselves to examine the dom lives to enjoy the fruit of his extortion; question fairly. In the course of my readbut his heir succeeds to him of course, and ing this morning, I met with the following takes possession without censure. No man passage in the journals of the House of expects him to make restitution, and no Commons. (Vol. 1st, page 603.) Upon

of the Letters of this volume, as well as of of the electors of Great Britain, in procuring the the notes which have been added to them. Not erasure from the journals of the House of Comlong previous to his release from prison, he was elected Alderman of Farringdon Without; shortly afterwards one of the Sheriffs of London, and in due course Lord Mayor; and on the death of Mr Hopkins, Chamberlain. At a subsequent period of Mr Wilkes's life, when the violence of the politics which had raised him to these several respectable situations had altogether subsided, he was attacked, more than once, on the annual election of Chamberlain, and other city officers, with a demand of the previous resignation of his gown as an alderman of London, which he always most resolutely refused, declaring that no consideration on earth should induce him to forego the honour which he felt had been conferred upon him by his election to the magistracy of the city of London, and by which determination he ran considerable risk of losing his election to the former lucrative situation; an instance of disinterestedness not often to be met with in those who most confidently lay claim to patriotism, which certainly places his character in a higher point of view than many have been willing to allow to it: and, in so far as the motives which actuated his political conduct can be called in question, adds to the value of the obligations conferred upon us, by his able and successful opposition to general warrants; by the aid and assistance afforded the printers in resisting the violence of their representatives, on the subject of reporting the debates in parliament; and by his perseverance in vindicating the rights

mons, of their most unconstitutional determination on the much agitated question of the Mid-dlesex election. With respect to the private character of Mr Wilkes in early life, the writer of this note will not venture to make any defence, though he trusts to be excused if he quotes the apology which was made for him by a friend, in the year 1760. 'As to his private foibles, I shall only add, that he may apply what a very eccur-tric genius of this age has said of himself: My own passions, and the passions and interests of other people still more, have led me aside. I launched into the deep before I had loaded ballast enough. If the ship did not sink, the cargo was thrown overboard. The storm itself threw me into port.' Mr Wilkes, after he lost his election for the county of Middlesex, in the year 1790, lived in considerable retirement, and much respected. His literary attainments were of the higher order, and as a political controversialist, few men were equal to him. Not many years before his death, he was applied to by the late Mr H. S. Woodfall to write some explanatory notes for a new edition of these Letters, which by some have been erroneously attributed to his pen, but declined it, on the ground, as he stated, of not wishing to pay a second visit to the prison of the King's Bench. Mr Wilkes died Dec. 26, 1797, in the 71st year of his age. A fac-simile of his hand-writing will be found at the beginning of this work .- EDIT.

occasion of a jurisdiction unlawfully assumed by the House in the year 1621, Mr attorney-general Nove gave his opinion as follows. 'No doubt but, in some cases, this House may give judgment :- in matters of returns, and concerning members of our House, or falling out in our view in eth not how we can judge it.-Knoweth tioned.'

justified it, was censured for it.'

immediately interrupt their proceedings, or, their view in parliament.

They, who would carry the privileges of parliament farther than IUNIUS, either do not mean well to the public, or know not what they are doing. The government of England is a government of law. We betray ourselves, we contradict the spirit of our laws, and we shake the whole system of English jurisprudence, whenever we intrust a discretionary power over the life. liberty, or fortune of the subject, to any man, or set of men, whatsoever, upon a presumption that it will not be abused.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER XLVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 28 May, 1771.

ANY man, who takes the trouble parliament; but, for foreign matters, know- of perusing the journals of the House of Commons, will soon be convinced, that not that we have been used to give judg- very little, if any regard at all, ought to be ment in any case, but those before-men- paid to the resolutions of one branch of the legislature, declaratory of the law of Sir Edward Coke, upon the same sub- the land, or even of what they call the law ject, says (page 604), 'No question but of parliament. It will appear that these this is a House of record, and that it hath resolutions have no one of the properties, power of judicature in some cases-have by which, in this country particularly. law power to judge of returns and members of is distinguished from mere will and pleaour House; one, no member, offending out sure; but that, on the contrary, they bear of the parliament, when he came hither and every mark of a power arbitrarily assumed and capriciously applied :- That they are Now, Sir, if you will compare the opinion usually made in times of contest, and to of these great sages of the law with JUNIUS'S serve some unworthy purpose of passion or doctrine, you will find they tally exactly .- party ;-that the law is seldom declared He allows the power of the House to com- until after the fact, by which it is supposed mit their own members (which however to be violated ;-that legislation and juristhey may grossly abuse). He allows their diction are united in the same persons, and power in cases where they are acting as a exercised at the same moment; -and that court of judicature, viz. elections, returns, a court from which there is no appeal, &c .- and he allows it in such contempts as assumes an original jurisdiction in a crimmal case ;-in short, Sir, to collect a thouas Mr Noye expresses it, falling out in sand absurdities into one mass, 'we have a law, which cannot be known because it is ex post facto, the party is both legislator and judge, and the jurisdiction is without appeal.' Well might the judges say, The law of parliament is above us.

You will not wonder, Sir, that, with these qualifications, the declaratory resolutions of the House of Commons should appear to be in perpetual contradiction, not only to common sense and to the laws we are acquainted with (and which alone we can obey), but even to one another. I was led to trouble you with these observations by a passage, which, to speak in lute-string, I met with this morning in the course of my reading, and upon which I mean to put a question to the advocates for privilege .--On the 8th of March, 1704 (vide Journals, Vol. 14, p. 565), the House thought proper to come to the following resolutions.— petent to the question, and whose decision. was so committed.

this House, do make no return of, or yield that paraments are not infallible, and that any obedience to, the said writs of Habeas queen Anne, in consequence of the violent Corpus, and for such his refusal, that he proceedings of that House of Commons, hate the protection of the House of Com- was obliged to proregue and dissolve them, mons.' 1

through the phlegmatic forms of an ora- with your own principles. You have what authority Mr De Grey, the honest privileges, and that their declaration does, lord Mansfield, and the barons of the zpso facto, constitute the law of parliament; mons had, in a similar instance, declared to present parliament, you advised him to tell ment. The resolutions I have quoted stand fection of justice and reason. upon your journals, uncontroverted and

land, as the law of parliament, which (under in prison, or otherwise restrained by the com-the exceptions stated in my letter on privilege) I mand of the king, the privy council, or any confess, after long deliberation, I very much doubt, it certainly is not constituted by, nor can

2 The diministry estature of Mr Welbore Eflis, it be collected from, the resolutions of either afterwards lord Mendip, hence in another place House, whether enacting or declaratory. I desire the reader will compare the above resolution has been already noticed in the note, p. 235. of the year 1704, with the following of the 3rd of The term Grildrig preserves the same idea, this April, 1628.— Resolved, That the write of Habeas being the name bestowed on Gulliver by the Corpus cannot be denied, but ought to be grantgigantic inhabitants of Brobdignag—EDIT.

1. 'That no commoner of England, com- as you and lord Mansfield say, must be mitted by the House of Commons for breach law, because there is no appeal from it, and of privilege or contempt of that House, they were made, not hastily, but after long ought to be by any writ of Hadres Corpus, deliberation upon a constitutional question. made to appear in any other place, or be- -What further sanction or solemnity will fore any other judicature, during that ses- you annex to any resolution of the present sion of parliament, wherein such person House of Commons, beyond what appears upon the face of those two resolutions, the 2. 'That the serjeant at arms, attending legality of which you now deny? If you say I shall agree with you very heartily, and Welbore Ellis, What say you? Is this think that the precedent ought to be folthe law of parliament, or is it not? I am a lowed immediately. But you, Mr Ellis, plain man, Sir, and cannot follow you who hold this language, are inconsistent tion. Speak out, Grildrig,2-say yes, or hitherto maintained that the House of no.—If you say yes, I shall then enquire by Commons are the sole judges of their own Exchequer, dared to grant a writ of Habeas yet now you confess that parliaments are Corpus for bringing the bodies of the lord fallible, and that their resolutions may be mayor and Mr Oliver before them, and illegal, consequently that their resolutions why the lieutenant of the Tower made any do not constitute the law of parliament. return to a writ, which the House of Com- When the king was urged to dissolve the be unlawful. - If you say no, take care you his subjects, that he was careful not to do not at once give up the cause, in sup- assume any of those powers, which the conport of which you have so long and so stitution had placed in other hands, &c. laboriously tortured your understanding. Yet queen Anne, it seems, was justified in Take care you do not confess that there is exerting her prerogative to stop a House of no test by which we can distinguish, -no Commons, whose proceedings, compared evidence by which we can determine, - with those of the assembly of which you what is, and what is not, the law of parlia- are a most worthy member, were the per-

In what a labyrinth of nonsense does a unrepealed they contain a declaration of man involve himself who labours to mainthe law of parliament by a court, com- tain falsehood by argument! How much

If there be in reality any such law in Eng- ed to every man, that is committed or detained

called, by our author, little mannihin Ellis,

must be obeyed, not because it is lawful and reasonable, but because it is their will. Their constituents would have a better opinion of their candour, and, I promise you, not a worse opinion of their integrity. PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER XLIX.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.1 MY LORD. 22 June, 1771.

THE profound respect I bear to the gracious prince, who governs this ambition, as long as there is one man government perhaps go farther than your

better would it become the dignity of the living, who thinks you worthy of his confi-House of Commons to speak plainly to the dence, and fit to be trusted with any share people, and tell us at once, that their will in his government. I confess you have great intrinsic merit : but take care you do not value it too highly. Consider how much of it would have been lost to the world, if the king had not graciously affixed his stamp, and given it currency among his subjects. If it be true that a virtuous man, struggling with adversity, be a scene worthy of the gods, the glorious contention between you and the best of princes, deserves a circle, equally attentive and respectable. I think I already see other gods rising from the earth to behold it.

But this language is too mild for the country with no less honour to himself than occasion. The king is determined that our satisfaction to his subjects, and who restores abilities shall not be lost to society. The you to your rank under his standard, will perpetration and description of new crimes save you from a multitude of reproaches, will find employment for us both. My The attention I should have paid to your Lord, if the persons who have been loudfallings is involuntarily attracted to the est in their professions of patriotism, had hand that rewards them; and though I am done their duty to the public with the same not so partial to the royal judgment, as to zeal and perseverance that I did, I will not affirm, that the favour of a king can remove assert that government would have remountains of infamy, it serves to lessen at covered its dignity, but at least our gracious least, for undoubtedly it divides, the bur- sovereign must have spared his subjects then. While I remember how much is this last insult,2 which, if there be any due to his sacred character, I cannot, with feeling left among us, they will resent any decent appearance of propriety, call more than even the real injuries they reyou the meanest and the basest fellow in ceived from every measure of your Grace's the kingdom. I protest, my Lord, I do administration. In vain would he have not think you so. You will have a danger- looked round him for another character so ous rival, in that kind of fame to which you consummate as yours. Lord Mansfield have hitherto so happily directed your shrinks from his principles; 3 - his ideas of

ing of this letter, says, 'I am strangely partial to the inclosed. It is finished with the utmost care. If I find myself mistaken in my judgment of this paper, I positively will never write again.'
The reader will doubtless give the writer full credit for the correctness of his opinion in the

present instance.—EDIT.

The duke was lately appointed Lord Privy Seal.—AUTHOR. He succeeded lord Suffolk, who had just taken possession of the post of the northern department, upon the death of the

Be refers to lord Mansfield's call of the House upon the subject of the opinion of the judges, in consequence of the verdict of the jury EDIT.

¹ The author, in Private Note, No. 35, speak-ng of this letter, says, 'I am strangely partial question whether juries were judges of the fact alone, or of the law conjunctively. An important motion was expected, but his Lordship, as our author states it, shrunk from the principles he had advanced, and merely informed the House that he had left a paper with their clerk, containing the unanimous judgment of the Court of King's Bench upon the verdict in question, and the doctrine it necessarily embraced; and that their Lordships were welcome to copies of it if they chose.

See the whole detailed together, with lord Camden's counter-paper, in editor's note, p. 117. See also Miscellaneous Letters, No. LXXXII.

and perfidy of deserting him may have must come to the maccaroni.6 done you no disservice in his esteem. The might please.

recorder of London.-EDIT.

own, but his heart disgraces the theory of sion of Mr Wilkes, predetermined in the his understanding.—Charles Fox is yet in cabinet;—the power of depriving the subblossom; and as for Mr Wedderburne, ject of his birthright, attributed to a resoluthere is something about him which even tion of one branch of the legislature :- the treachery cannot trust. For the present, constitution impudently invaded by the therefore, the best of princes must have House of Commons :- the right of defendcontented himself with lord Sandwich. - You ing it treacherously renounced by the House would long since have received your final of Lords: 3-These are the strokes, my dismission and reward; and I, my Lord, Lord, which, in the present reign, recomwho do not esteem you the more for the mend to office, and constitute a minister. high office you possess, would willingly They would have determined your sove-have followed you to your retirement, reign's judgment, if they had made no There is surely something singularly impression upon his heart. We need not benevolent in the character of our sove-look for any other species of merit to reign. From the moment he ascended the account for his taking the earliest opportuthrone, there is no crime, of which human nity to recall you to his councils. Yet you nature is capable (and I call upon the Re- have other merit in abundance,-Mr Hine. corder 1 to witness it), that has not appeared -the duke of Portland, -and Mr Yorke. venial in his sight.2 With any other prince, Breach of trust, robbery, and murder.5 the shameful desertion of him, in the midst You would think it a compliment to your of that distress, which you alone had cre- gallantry, if I added rape to the catalogue; ated, -in the very crisis of danger, when he -but the style of your amours secures you fancied he saw the throne already sur- from resistance. I know how well these rounded by men of virtue and abilities, several charges have been defended. In -would have outweighed the memory of the first instance, the breach of trust is your former services. But his Majesty is supposed to have been its own reward. Mr full of justice, and understands the doctrine Bradshaw affirms upon his honour, land so of compensations. He remembers with may the gift of smiling never depart from gratitude how soon you had accommodated; him!) that you reserved no part of Mr your morals to the necessities of his serv- Hine's purchase-money for your own use, ice; --how cheerfully you had abandoned but that every shilling of it was scrupulthe engagements of private friendship, and ously paid to governor Burgoyne.-Make renounced the most solemn professions to haste, my Lord, -another patent, applied the public. The sacrifice of lord Chatham in time, may keep the OAKS in the was not lost upon him. Even the cowardice family.-If not, Birnham Wood, I fear,

The duke of Portland was in life your instance was painful, but the principle earliest friend. In defence of his property he had nothing to plead, but equity against You did not neglect the magistrate, sir James Lowther, and prescription against while you flattered the man. The expul- the crown. You felt for your friend; but

¹ The late chief justice Eyre was, at this time, seventy years:—and the suicide of Charles coord of London.—Eprr.

² The author here more particularly alludes to from political chagrin, immediately after his appointment.-EDIT.

5 A superb villa of col. Burgoyne, about this time advertised for sale.

6 The person alluded to is the father of the present Mr Christie, who was the auctioneer employed to sell the estate.-EDIT.

7 Sir James Lowther was son-in-law to lord Bute

the pardon of M'Quirk and the Kennedys. See Letter VIII., and note, p. 149.—EDIT.

3 See pages 238, 239.—EDIT.

⁴ These points have all been noticed beforethey relate to Hine's patent place; Inglewood Forest, &c. in Cumberland, granted to sir James Lowther by the crown, although it had been in possession of the duke of Portland's family for by the marriage of one of his daughters.-EDIT.

the law must take its course. Posterity have wine.2 If you deny him the cup. law had barely interest enough at the Trea- pale of the ministry. surv to get his grant completed before the general election.1

Enough has been said of that detestable transaction, which ended in the death of Mr Yorke.-I cannot speak of it without horror and compassion. To excuse yourself, you publicly impeach your accomplice, and to his mind perhaps the accusation may be flattery. But in murder you are both principals. It was once a question of emulation, and if the event had not disappointed the immediate schemes of the closet, it might still have been a hopeful subject of jest and merriment between you.

This letter, my Lord, is only a preface to my future correspondence. The remainder of the summer shall be dedicated to your I mean now and then to amusement. relieve the severity of your morning studies. and to prepare you for the business of the day. Without pretending to more than Mr Bradshaw's sincerity, you may rely upon my attachment, as long as you are in office.

Will your Grace forgive me, if I venture

1 It will appear by a subsequent letter, that the duke's precipitation proved fatal to the grant. It looks like the hurry and confusion of a young highwayman, who takes a few shillings, but leaves the purse and watch behind him. -And yet the duke was an old offender! See

Letter LXVII.

Lord Weymouth, upon deserting the ministry, was succeeded in the foreign department by the earl of Rochford. The former nobleman resigned Dec. 19, 1770, and the duke of Grafton on the preceding 28th of January. Lord W—'s attachment to the bottle furnishes the ground for the imagery with which the letter concludes.

3 By an intercepted letter from the secretary of the Treasury it appeared, that the friends of to nominate himself .- EDIT.

will scarce believe that lord Bute's son-in- there will be no keeping him within the

JUNIUS.

LETTER L.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD. 9 Fuly, 1771.

THE influence of your Grace's fortunes till seems to preside over the Treasury. -The genius of Mr Bradshaw inspires Mr Robinson.3 How remarkable it is (and I speak of it not as matter of reproach, but as something peculiar to your character), that you have never yet formed a friendship which has not been fatal to the object of it, nor adopted a cause to which, one way or other, you have not done mischief. Your attachment is infamy while it lasts, and whichever way it turns, leaves ruin and disgrace behind it. The deluded girl. who yields to such a profligate, even while he is constant, forfeits her reputation as well as her innocence, and finds herself abandoned at last to misery and shame. -Thus it happened with the best of to express some anxiety for a man, whom I princes. Poor Dingley too !4-I protest I know you do not love? My lord Wey- hardly know which of them we ought most mouth has cowardice to plead, and a de- to lament;-the unhappy man who sinks sertion of a later date than your own. You under the sense of his dishonour, or him know the privy seal was intended for him; who survives it. Characters, so finished, and if you consider the dignity of the post are placed beyond the reach of panegyric. he deserted, you will hardly think it decent Death has fixed his seal upon Dingley, and to quarter him on Mr Rigby. Yet he must you, my Lord, have set your mark upon have bread, my Lord :- or rather he must the other.

> The only letter I ever addressed to the government were to be very active in supporting the ministerial nomination of sheriffs .- Au-

THOR.

Robinson was now Treasury secretary, and filled the same post of confidential agent to lord North, that Bradshaw had before filled to the duke of Grafton.-EDIT.

4 Dingley was now just dead; and our author insinuates that he died of a broken heart in consequence of having been so contemptuously treated at the preceding election for Middlesex; in which, as already observed, p. 151, he had offered himself a candidate at the request of the duke of Grafton, but could not obtain a nomination from any one freeholder, and was afraid even

king, waz so unkindly received, that I be- sole use of the hero, who is supposed to be for his service is superior to neglect, and sets all translation at defiance. have the advantage of Mr Whitehead.1

1 Poet-laureat of the day.-EDIT.

lieve I shall never presume to trouble his the subject of them, and, that his meaning Majesty, in that way, again. But my zeal may not be exported in foreign bottoms,

like Mr Wilkes's patriotism, thrives by Your Grace's re-appointment to a seat in persecution. Yet his Majesty is much ad- the cabinet was announced to the public dicted to useful reading, and, if I am not by the ominous return of lord Bute to this ill-informed, has honoured the *Public* country.² When that noxious planet ap-Advertiser with particular attention. I proaches England, he never fails to bring have endeavoured, therefore, and not with- plague and pestilence along with him. out success (as perhaps you may remem- The king already feels the malignant effect ber), to furnish it with such interesting of your influence over his councils. Your and edifying intelligence, as probably would former administration made Mr Wilkes an not reach him through any other channel. alderman of London, and representative of The services you have done the nation, - Middlesex. Your next appearance in office your integrity in office, and signal fidelity is marked with his election to the shrievalty. to your approved good master, have been In whatever measure you are concerned, faithfully recorded. Nor have his own you are not only disappointed of success, virtues been entirely neglected. These but always contrive to make the governletters, my Lord, are read in other countries ment of the best of princes contemptible in and in other languages; and I think I may his own eyes, and ridiculous to the whole affirm without vanity, that the gracious world. Making all due allowance for the character of the best of princes is by this effect of the minister's declared interpositime not only perfectly known to his sub- tion, Mr Robinson's activity,3 and Mr jects, but tolerably well understood by the Horne's new zeal in support of administrarest of Europe. In this respect alone, I tion, we still want the genius of the duke

His plan, I think, is too narrow. He trust in this matter, could see him to consult seems to manufacture his verses for the thereon, it might be beneficial to the cause. 'Tuesday morning, 25th June, 1771, six o'clock. 'To Benj. Smith, Esq.'

'I. Robinson.'

This letter, intended for Mr Benjamin Smith, the partner of Mr Alderman Nash, of Cannon-street, was, through the mistake of the messen-ger, delivered to Mr Smith of Budge-row, who published it, together with an affidavit as to its verity, which had such an effect on the election, that Mr Bull, who at the time was fourth on the poll, was ultimately returned as one of the sherits of London, in conjunction with Mr Wilkes, another of the candidates for that important office.-EDIT.

4 Horne had long zealously fought on the side of the staunchest Whigs, and was an active member of the society for the support of the Bill of Rights which had just discharged Wilkes's debts. Alderman Oliver, who had also been as zealous an advocate on the same side, and had suffered himself to be committed with the lord mayor to the Tower, in support of his principles, for some reason or other became at this time jealous of the popularity of Wilkes, affected to rival him, and refused to serve in the office of sheriff, if Wilkes were allowed to be his colleague. Horne joined with Townshend, and the society for the support of the Bill of Rights became di-

² From the continent, over a part of which he had been for some time travelling.—EDIT.

3 JUNIUS was charged by the writers of the

day, as well as by a more recent opponent, with having 'debased his pretensions to greatness by engaging unsuccessfully in city politics.' He, however, does not appear to have been the only unsuccessful politician who had plunged into the mire of metropolitan politics, as the following letter from that celebrated character, Jack Robinson, will evince. It was written during the election of sheriffs of London, and is that alluded

to in note 3, p. 274.
'Mr Robinson presents his compliments to Mr Smith. Mr Harley meets his ward publicly to-day, to support aldermen Plumbe and Kirkman. The friends of government will be very active, and it is earnestly desired that you will exert yourself to the utmost of your power to support those aldermen. It is thought it will be very advantageous to push the poil to-day with as many friends as possible, therefore it is desired that you will pursue that conduct. Mr Harley will be early in the city to-day, and to be heard of at his counting-house in Bridge-yard, Bucklersbury, and L you, or such person as you in- vided into two grand parties.

of Grafton to account for committing the upon principle. But the conversion of the dutes, and finish knaves. Now, Mr Touchthat his sentiments never depended upon his circumstances, and that, in the most prosperous state of his fortune, he was always the very man he is at present.-But was there no other person of rank and consequence in the city, whom government could confide in, but a notorious Jacobite? Did you imagine that the whole body of the dissenters,1 that the whole Whig interest of London would attend at the levee, and submit to the directions of a nomagistrate in the city, to whom the servants of George the Third could intrust the management of a business, so very interesting to their master as the election of mencement of the present reign, I have bishop. seen still greater contradictions reconciled.

Wilkes united with Alderman Bull in proposing himself for the shrievalty, and in the contest that ensued between them with Oliver, Kirkman, and Plumbe, obtained a large majority both for himself and his colleague, leaving Oliver, though supported by all the efforts of Horne, the lowest on the poll.

It was in consequence of the conduct thus pur- EDIT.

whole interest of government in the city, to best of princes has removed their scruples. the conduct of Mr Harley. I will not bear They have forgiven him the sins of his hard upon your faithful friend and emissary Hanoverian ancestors, and acknowledge Mr Touchet, for I know the difficulties of the hand of Providence in the descent of his situation, and that a few lottery tickets the crown upon the head of a true Stuart. are of use to his economy. There is a pro- In you, my Lord, they also behold with a verb concerning persons in the predicament kind of predilection, which borders upon of this gentleman, which however cannot loyalty, the natural representative of that be strictly applied to him. They commence illustrious family. The mode of your descent from Charles the Second is only a bar et's character is uniform. I am convinced to your pretensions to the crown, and no way interrupts the regularity of your succession to all the virtues of the Stuarts.

The unfortunate success of the reverend Mr Horne's endeavours, in support of the ministerial nomination of sheriffs, will, I fear, obstruct his preferment. Permit me to recommend him to your Grace's protection. You will find him copiously gifted with those qualities of the heart, which usually direct you in the choice of your friendships. He too was Mr Wilkes's friend, torious Jacobite? Was there no Whig and as incapable as you are of the liberal resentment of a gentleman. No, my Lord, -it was the solitary, vindictive malice of a monk, brooding over the infirmities of his friend, until he thought they quickened sheriffs? Is there no room at St James's into public life; and feasting with a ranbut for Scotchmen and Jacobites? My corous rapture, upon the sordid catalogue Lord, I do not mean to question the sin- of his distresses.2 Now, let him go back to cerity of Mr Harley's attachment to his his cloister. The church is a proper retreat Majesty's government. Since the com- for him. In his principles he is already a

The mention of this man has moved me The principles of these worthy Jacobites from my natural moderation. Let me are not so absurd as they have been repre- return to your Grace. You are the pillow, sented. Their ideas of divine right are not upon which I am determined to rest all my so much annexed to the person or family, resentments. What idea can the best of as to the political character of the sove- sovereigns form to himself of his own reign. Had there ever been an honest government?-In what repute can he conman among the Stuarts, his Majesty's ceive that he stands with his people, when present friends would have been Whigs he sees, beyond the possibility of a doubt, that, whatever be the office, the suspicion of

> sued by Horne, and which was fatal to the popular cause, that JUNIUS chose to represent him as bribed by the ministry.—Epir.

> The family of the Harleys were originally dissenters, and the allusion is to this fact. - EDIT. See editor's note to Letter LII., p. 279.-

that, when the party he wishes well to has deavours in support of the ministerial the fairest prospect of success, if his royal nomination of sheriffs.' The reputation inclination should unfortunately be dis- which your talents have deservedly gained covered, it drops like an acid, and turns to the signature of Junius, draws from me the election. This event, among others, a reply, which I disdained to give to the may perhaps contribute to open his Ma-, anonymous lies of Mr Wilkes. You make jesty's eyes to his real honour and interest. frequent use of the word gentleman: I In spite of all your Grace's ingenuity, he only call myself a man, and desire no other may at last perceive the inconvenience of distinction; if you are either, you are bound selecting, with such a curious felicity, every to make good your charges or to confess villain in the nation to fill the various that you have done me a hasty injustice departments of his government. Yet I upon no authority. should be sorry to confine him in the choice either of his footmen or his friends.

JUNIUS.

LETTER LI.

FROM THE REVEREND MR HORNE TO

SIR.

13 July, 1771.

FARCE, Comedy, and Tragedy,-Wilkes, Foote, and Junius,1 united, at | the same time, against one poor parson, are fearful odds. The two former are only labouring in their vocation, and may equally plead in excuse, that their aim is a were lawful game; but I cannot so readily making patriotism a trade, and a frauduthe grave, the solemn, the didactic! ridithe test of truth; but surely to confess that 'by the ministry .you lose your natural moderation when mention is made of the man, does not vance, or to lose your credit for veracity: promise much truth or justice when you You must produce facts; surmise and genspeak of him yourself.

gard Wilkes's opposition to him as a mere farce.

-Epit.

ms favour is fatal to the candidate, and port of administration,' and with 'en-

I put the matter fairly to issue.- I say. that so far from any new 'zeal in support of administration,' I am possessed with the utmost abhorrence of their measures: and that I have ever shown myself, and am sull ready, in any rational manner, to lay down all I have-my life, in opposition to those measures. I say, that I have not, and never have had, any communication or connexion of any kind, directly or indirectly. with any courtier or ministerial man, or any of their adherents: that I never have received, or solicited, or expected, or desired, or do now hope for, any reward of any sort, from any party or set of men in administration or opposition; I say, that I livelihood. I admit the plea for the second; never used any 'endeavours in support of his is an honest calling, and my clothes the ministerial nomination of sheriffs.' That I did not solicit any one liveryman for his approve Mr Wilkes, or commend him for vote for any one of the candidates: nor employ any other person to solicit: and lent trade. But what shall I say to JUNIUS? that I did not write one single line or word in favour of Messrs Plumbe and Kirkman,2 cule, indeed, has been ridiculously called whom I understand to have been supported

You are bound to refute what I here aderal abuse, in however elegant language, You charge me with 'a new zeal in sup- ought not to pass for proofs. You have

Foote, availing himself of the growing unpopularity of Mr Horne at the present moment, had ventured to caricature him on the stage. While therefore Mr Horne pretends to tremble beneath the *comic* efforts of Foote and the *tragic* efforts of Junius, he still wishes the world to re-

² Plumbe and Kirkman were the real govern-Primme and Artman were the real government candidates for the shrievalty. Oliver stood alone. Yet Junius, availing himself of this last gentieman's opposition to Wilkes, was shrewdly desirous of impressing on the wond an idea that they had all been supported by government, with a view of throwing out Wilkes and his crossed collection Buil — RDII. his avowed colleague Bull .- EDIT .

Sir.

every advantage, and I have every dis- multitude, is barely, that they have not vet name: all parties, both in and out of ad- only return, is my pride; and a source of violent against the parson.1

Singular as my present situation is, it is neither painful, nor was it unforeseen. He is not fit for public business who does not Are nothing till that comes to bind and shut. even at his entrance prepare his mind for such an event. Health, fortune, tranquillity, and private connexions I have sacrificed upon the altar of the public; and the only return I receive, because I will not concur to dupe and mislead a senseless

I This paragraph Mr Horne was accused of borrowing from Mr Hugh Kelly, author of False Delicacy, and several other dramatic pieces, as will appear from the following letter addressed to that gentleman :-

> For the Public Advertiser. TO THE REV. MR HORNE.

Fuly 20th, 1771. HAPPENING to be at a distance from

advantage: you are unknown, I give my torn me in pieces. That this has been the ministration, have their reasons (which I more real satisfaction than honours or shall relate hereafter) for uniting in their prosperity. I can practise before I am old, wishes against me: and the popular pre- the lessons I learned in my youth; nor indice is as strongly in your favour, as it is shall I ever forget the words of my ancient monitor.2

"Tis the last key-stone That makes the arch: the rest that there were

Then stands it a triumphal mark! then men Observe the strength, the height, the why and when

It was erected; and still walking under. Meet some new matter to look up and wonder!' JOHN HORNE.

false account of this trial has indeed been published by Mr Kelly, who was paid and brought down to Guildford for that purpose, and who had lodgings taken for him there, and who was familiarly conversant with a gentleman, whose name I shall not mention now, lest it should seem to proceed from resentment in me, for an account I have to settle with him next week: However, one circumstance I ought to tell you; This gentleman was foreman of the grand jury.

Mr Kelly, in the address prefixed to his play, which you and other advocates for the freedom

though it may be inconsistent with the generosity of an Englishman to strike the fallen, there is something so peculiarly unmanly in your conduct, that it is impossible to let you escape without some memorandums of your judgment when the

case is, and when the case is not your own.

Do you remember, Sir, Friday, April 30, 1771,
when you barangued the freeholders of Middlesex for three tedious hours at the assembly-room at Mile End; when you urged random accusa-tions yourself against others for their supposed connexion with government; when you particu-larly attacked Mr Kelly as the immediate champion of administration, and affirmed with great pathos that he was employed at the soldier's trial at Guildford to vindicate the wanton effusion of innocent blood.

I have no connexion with Mr Kelly, Sir, nor do I by any means profess myself of his political faith: But if fame says true, he has been no apostate to his principles; has betrayed no friendship; and I introduce him solely here, that the world may see how conformable the tenor of Mr Horne's conduct is to the candour of his professions. The following, Sir, is your speech relative to the Guildford affair:

'It is necessary to give you an account of Maciesm's trial; because the judge forbad its

and which your perfidy to that true friend of the constitution, Mr Wilkes, has clearly proved you

never merited, thus expresses himself:
But though Mr Kelly readily makes this concession in favour of Mr Horne's private character, he must observe that the constitution of this country, for the purity of which Mr Horne is so strenuous an advocate, does not allow the mere belief of any man to be positive evidence; nor compliment his simple conjecture with the force of a fact-For this reason Mr Horne should be extremely cautious how he asserts any thing to the prejudice of another's reputation: Hearsay authority is not enough for this purpose; he should know of his own knowledge what he asserts upon his own word; and be certain in his

proof where he is peremptory in his accusation.'
Honestly now, Mr Horne, had you not this
paragraph either in your head, or your heart, at
the time you were writing the following passage

to Junius? 'You are bound to refute,' &c.

WHIPCORD. To this letter Mr Horne did not return any answer .- EDIT.

² B. Jonson, of whose writings Mr Horne Tooke was remarkably fond. The Sad Shepherd of that author is called his favourite poem in the being taken down by any one except it was go. 'Diversions of Purley.' The present quotation sernment. It has never been published—A very is from his Underwoods: vide an epistle to six

LETTER LII.

TO THE REVEREND MR HORNE.

STR.

thought proper to communicate to the pub- creasing it in the other. lic. It is from your own letters I conclude

should be convinced that you had no motive but to destroy Wilkes, I shall then be ready to do justice to your character, and to declare to the world, that I despise you somewhat less than I do at present .- But 24 July, 1771. as a public man, I must for ever condemn I CANNOT descend to an alterca- you. You cannot but know,-nay, you tion with you in the newspapers. But since dare not pretend to be ignorant, that the I have attacked your character, and you highest gratification of which the most decomplain of injustice, I think you have testable * * * in this nation is capable. some right to an explanation. You defy would have been the defeat of Wilkes. I me to prove, that you ever solicited a vote, know that man much better than any of or wrote a word in support of the ministerial you. Nature intended him only for a aldermen. Sir. I did never suspect you of good-humoured fool. A systematical edusuch gross folly. It would have been im- cation, with long practice, has made him a possible for Mr Horne to have solicited consummate hypocrite. Yet this man, to votes, and very difficult to have written for say nothing of his worthy ministers, you the newspapers in defence of that cause, have most assiduously laboured to gratify. without being detected and brought to To exclude Wilkes, it was not necessary shame. Neither do I pretend to any in- you should solicit votes for his opponents, telligence concerning you, or to know more We incline the balance as effectually by of your conduct, than you yourself have lessening the weight in one scale, as by in-

The mode of your attack upon Wilkes that you have sold yourself to the minis- (though I am far from thinking meanly of try: 1 or, if that charge be too severe, and your abilities) convinces me, that you either supposing it possible to be deceived by ap-want judgment extremely, or that you are pearances so very strongly against you, blinded by your resentment. You ought what are your friends to say in your de- to have foreseen, that the charges you fence? Must they not confess that, to urged against Wilkes could never do him gratify your personal hatred of Mr Wilkes, any mischief. After all, when we expected you sacrificed, as far as depended upon discoveries highly interesting to the comyour interest and abilities, the cause of the munity, what a pitiful detail did it end in! country? I can make allowance for the - Some old clothes - a Welch pony, -- a violence of the passions, and if ever I French footman, and a hamper of claret.2

The letters written by Mr Horne in the dispute with Mr Wilkes. See the subsequent note as well as one appended to Private Letter,

No. 35.—EDIT.

The facts here alluded to were as follow:

The late Mr Tooke, then Mr Horne, while travelling on the continent was introduced to Mr Wilkes, at that time resident in Paris, which led to a subsequent intimacy, and apparently warm friendship. Mr Horne, on leaving that gay metropolis, left behind him, in the care of Mr Wilkes, several suits of clothes of the most fashionable Parisian manufacture, being ill adapted to the clerical profession, as well as ill

Edward Sackvile, now earl of Dorset. Folio shortly previous to the date of this letter, and which was the subject of a long and acrimonious altercation in the Public Advertiser, Mr Horne accused Mr Wilkes with having, in the midst of his distress, pawned the clothes entrusted to his custody; with commissioning Mr H.'s brother-in-law to purchase a pony which he never paid for; with drinking claret while detained in the King's Bench prison; with endeavouring to make his brother chamberlain of London and with retaining in his service six domestics, three of whom were French As these, with several other charges, were detailed to the public by Mr Horne in thirteen or fourteen very long letters, the editor will not here transcribe them, but content himself with inserting several detached calculated to please the taste or suit the manners | parts of Mr Wilkes's defence against these of the people of this country. In a political accusations, as they contain some curious facts, quarrel which occurred between these gentlemen, and are illustrative of the subject more particuIndeed, Mr Horne, the public should and and even the ambition of making his brother

larly adverted to in the text by the author For the Public Advertiser.

TO THE REV. MR HORNE.

Prince's Court, Saturday, May 18. Your first letter of May 14, told me that you 'blamed my public conduct,' and 'would not open any account with me on the score of private character.' A third letter is this day addressed to me. Not a word hitherto 'of my public conduct,' but many false and malignant public conduct, but history rates and mangnant attacks about Mr Wildman, your brother-in-law, who formerly kept the Bedford Head in South-ampton Street, Covent Garden, and your old clothes. The public will impute the impertinence of such a dispute to its author, and pardon my calling their attention for a few moments to scenes of so trifling a nature, because it is in justification of an innocent man.

When you left Paris in May 1767, you desired me to take care of your old clothes, for you meant to return in a few months, and they could be of no use to you in England. The morning of your departure you sent me the following letter.

Dear Sir.

According to your permission I reave with you

- I Suit of scarlet and gold \ cloth. I Suit of white and silver
- z Suit of blue and silver-camblet.
- I Suit of flowered silk.
- I Suit of black silk. And I Black velvet surtout.

If you have any fellow-feeling you cannot but be kind to them; since they too as well as your-self are outlawed in England; and on the same account-their superior worth.

I am, Dear Sir, Your very affectionate, humble Servant, JOHN HORNE.

Paris, May 25, 1767. This letter I returned to you at the King's Bench, and at the bottom of it the following memorandum in my own hand-writing, 'Nov. 21, memorandum in my own nand-writing, NOV, 21, 7767, Sent to Mr Panchaud's in the Rue St Sauveur.' I left Paris Nov. 22, 1767, and therefore thought it proper the day before to send your clothes unders I was sure they would be perfectly safe, to Mr Panchaud's, the great English banker's. They remained in my house, Panches Continue Days only from Mee Will be-Rue des Saints Peres, only from May till the November following, nor was any demand, or request, made to me about them by Mr Wild-man, or any one else. You are forced to own I have received a letter within the last three months from Mr Panchaud, informing me that they (the clother) have long been in his possession. Examine the banker's books. You will find the date is Nov. 21, 1767. You say, 'for my own part I never made the least inquiry after my clothes. I suppose for the plainest reason a the world. You knew where they were, and

will forgive him his claret and his footmen, chamberlain of London, as long as he

that they could be no part of a clergyman's dress in England, but that you were sure of so rich a wardrobe on your next tour to France or Italy, as Paris would probably be your route. This is all I know of the vestimenta pretions of Eutrapetus. I hope, Sir, the putting them on will not have the same effect on you as they formerly had on his acquaintance.

Cum pulcris tunicis sumet nova consilia et spes; Dormiet in lucem; scorto postponet honestum Officium; nummos alienos pascet.

Your charge about your brother-in-law, Mr Wildman, is equally unjust. When I was in England in October, 1766, I lodged at Mr Wild-man's house in Argyle Buildings, on his own pressing invitation. I had long known him, and for several years belonged to a club, which met once a week at the Bedford Head. Mr Wildman desired to be considered at this time as the warm partisan of Mr Wilkes. He begged that he might be useful as far as he could to me and my friends. I asked him to buy a little Welch horse for a lady in France, to whom I was denoise for a may in reasce, to whom I was de-sirous of paying a compilment. I fixed the price, and insisted on paying him at that very time, which I did. About a year afterwards Mr Wildman fulfilled my commission, purchased me a Welch pony, and sent it to Calais. This was the single transaction of my own with your brother-in-law at that time. I gave him two or three trifling commissions from Monsieur Saint Foy for arrack, &c., which were to be forwarded to Paris. I believe they were sent, but they never passed through my hands, nor do I know whether Mr Wildman has yet been pald for those trifles, the whole of which amounted only, as he told me, to about thirty pounds.

Your endeavours to create a coolness between Mr Cotes and me are clearly seen through, and will prove ineffectual. You made the same attempt on the late Mr Sterne and me with the same success. In your letter to me at Paris, dated Jan 3, 1766, you say, I passed a week with Sterne at Lyons, and am to meet him again at Sienna in the summer—Forgive my question, and do not answer it, if it is impertinent. Is there any cause of coldness between you and Sterne; he speaks very handsomely of you, when it is absolutely necessary to speak at all; but not with that warmth and enthusiasm, that I expect from every one that knows you. Do not let me cause a coldness between you if there is none. I am sensible my question is at least imprudent, and my jealousy blameable.'

In your second letter you say, 'the nature of our intercourse, for it cannot be called a connex-ion,' and afterwards, 'in my return from Italy to England in the year 1767, I saw reasons sufficient never more to trust you with a single line; and in your third letter you pretend that you had even in 1767 infinite contempt for the very name of Mr Wilkes. However, on the 17th of last May, you write me another letter on

stands forth against a ministry and parlia- to enslave the country, and as long as he is ment, who are doing every thing they can

my going to Fulham, while my house here was repairing, to recommend six tradesmen to me, to tell me how most sincerely you were mine, &c.
You add, 'I could not forbear showing my friendship to you by letting you know your friends.' You will find, Sir, that it requires more memory as well as wit than falls to one man's share to support a long chain of falsehoods. You are lost and bewildered in the intricacies of error. The path of truth you would find more easy and honourable.

You assert, 'I found that all the private letters of your friends were regularly pasted in a book,

manuscript letters, many of them written by the circumstances not as any charges against un, first men of this age. I esteem them my most ithough no doubt they will operate as such. Had valuable possession. Why is the pleasure of an your turn, Sir, been to divinity, in the subtleties elegant and instructive epistle to perish with the hour it is received? To the care and attention of Cicero's friends in preserving that great Roman's letters we owe the best history of Rome for a most interesting period of about forty years. You mistake when you talk of all the private letters of your friends. My care has extended only to letters of particular friends on particular occasions, or to letters of business, taste, or literature. The originals of such I have preserved; never any copies of my own letters. unless when I wrote to a secretary of state, to a Talbot, a Martin, or a Horne. When you add, that they are read over indiscriminately, not only to your friends and acquaintance, but to every visitor, you knowingly advance a falsehood. So much of your time has passed with me, that you are sensible very few of my friends have ever heard of the volumes I mentioned. The preservation of a letter is surely a compliment to the writer. But although I approve the preservation in general, I highly disapprove the publication of any private letters. However, there are cases which justly call them forth to light. Mr Onslow's first letter was after great importunity from you printed by me, to justify what you had said at Epsom. The second you printed, without my consent, from a copy I suffered you to take.

The pamphlet you mention has not yet been published. I have now before me the copy, corrected with your own hand, which you gave me at Paris. The following passage I am sure you will read at this time with particular satisfaction,

and I reserve it for you pour la bonne bouche.
'We have seen, by Mr Wilkes's treatment, that no man who is not, and who has not always been, absolutely perfect himself, must dare to arraign the measures of a minister.

a thorn in the king's side. You will not

incorruptible, and brave; that he prefer infinitely the public welfare to his own interest, peace, and safety; that his life be ever in his hand, ready to be paid down chearfully for the liberty of his country; and that he be dauntless and unwearied in her service,-All this avails him nothing.

'If it can be proved though by the base means of treadery and theft; that in some un-guarded, wanton hour he has uttered an indecent word, or penned a loose expression—Away with such a fenow from the earth;—it is not

fit that he should live."

e with the veil of

: mentioned these of the schools you would have outshone Thomas Aquinas or Duns Scotus, in treachery even the priest Malagrida.

You have in your late letters to me accused me of almost every crime, of which the most diabolical heart is capable. When you wrote the letters to sir William Beauchamp Proctor, I had only one crime, of which I own I have not repented. 'Mr Wilkes's crime is well known to have been his opposing and exposing the mea-

sures of lord Bute.

'The two Humes, Johnson, Murphy, Raiph, Smollett, Shebbeare, &c. &c., all authors pen-sioned, or promised, had been let loose on him in vain. The lord steward of his Majesty's household (who has therefore continued in that post through every revolution of ministry), and the treasurer to the Princess Dowager of Wales who together with that office has a pension for himself and a reversion for his son), had separately endeavoured to commit a murder on his body, with as little success as others had attempted his reputation: For they found him tam Marte quam Mercurio.

'The intended assassination of him by Forbes

and Dun had miscarried.

'The secretaries of state had seized his papers, and confined his person to close imprisonment. They had trifled with and eluded the Habeas Corpus. But still he rose superior to them all, and baffeed alone the insatiable matice of all his persecutors; for though they had in a manner ruined his private fortunes, his public character remained entire. They had spilt his blood indeed; but they had not taken his life, and with it still were left

The unconquerable mind, and freedom's holy

'It is not sufficient that he pay an inviolable It remained then to make one general attack regard to the laws; that he be a man of the upon him at once of every power of the state, strictest and most unimpeached honour; that he each in its separate capacity. The reverend name be endowed with superior abilities and qualifica- of Majesty itself was misapplied to this business, tions; that he be blessed with a benevolent, The House of Lords, the House of Commons, generous, noble, free soul; that he be inflexible, and the Court of King's Bench, through the little

agency of Carrington, Kidgell, Curry, Webb. Faden, Sandwich, made one general assault.' * * * * * *

As you mention a promise you had obtained of being one of the chaplains to his Majesty, I shall conclude my present extracts with the following passage, which will show how peculiarly fitted you are to be a domestic chaplain to our present Sovereign.

Sheridan is at Blois, by order of his Majesty, and with a pension; inventing a method to give the proper pronunciation of the English language to strangers, by means of sounds borrowed from their own. And he begins with the French.

'I remember a few years ago when an attempt was made to prove lord Harborough an idiot. The counsel on both sides produced the same instance; one of his wit, the other of his folly. His servants were puzzled once to unpack a large box, and his Lordship advised them to do with it as they did with oysters-put it in the fire, and

it would gape.
'This commission of Sheridan appears to me equally equivocal. And should a similar statute be at any time attempted against his Majesty, they who do not know him may be apt to sus-pect that he employed Sheridan in this manner, not so much for the sake of foreigners as of his own subjects; and had permitted him to amuse himself abroad, to prevent his spoiling our pronunciation at home.

Am I to answer your impertinence about claret and French servants? It shall be in one word. I have not purchased a bottle of clarer since I left the King's Bench. Only two French servants are in my family. An old woman, who has many years attended my daughter, and a footman, whom I esteem, as I have often told you, not as a Frenchman, but for his singular fidelity of the problems desired. to an Englishman during a course of several years, when I had the honour of being exiled. I have reason to believe that from hence originated your hatred to him.

You assert, 'though I knew not the person of any one man in opposition, I quitted all my friends and connexions when I joined the public cause; and with my eyes open, exchanged ease and fair fame for labour and reproach.' I desire to know what one friend, and what single con-nexion, you have quitted for the profit cause.

suspect me of setting up Wilkes for a per- promise you, you have so little power to do feet character. The question to the public, mischief, that I much question whether the is, where shall we find a man, who, with ministry will adhere to the promises they purer principles, will go the lengths and have made you. It will be in vain to sav run the hazards that he has done? the sea- that I am a partisan of Mr Wilkes, or person calls for such a man, and he ought sonally your enemy. You will convince no to be supported. What would have been man, for you do not believe it yourself. the triumph of that odious hypocrite and Yet, I confess, I am a little offended at the his minions, if Wilkes had been defeated! low rate, at which you seem to value my It was not your fault, reverend Sir, that he understanding. I beg, Mr Horne, you will did not enjoy it completely .- But now I hereafter believe that I measure the in-

> Your fair fame at Eton and Cambridge survived a very short time your abode at either of these places. Will you call an Italian gentleman now in town, your confident during your whole re-sidence at Genoa, to testify the morality of your conduct in Italy ?-But I will not write the life of

> Jonathan Wild, nor of Orator Henley.
> You declare 'ministerial and court favour I know I can never have, and for public favour I will never be a candidate: I chuse to tell them that, as far as it affects myself, I laugh at the displeasure of both.' You well know that no minister will ever dare openly to give you any mark of court favour, at least in the church; many secret favours you may, you do expect, and some I believe actually receive. The public you have a bandoned in despair, after an assiduous courtship of near four years, but remember, Sir, when you say, that you laugh at their displea-sure, the force of truth has extorted even from lord Mansfield the following declaration: 'the people are almost always in the right; the great may sometimes be in the wrong, but the body of the people are always in the right.

> * * * * * * In your first letter you declare 'it is necessary to give a short history of the commencement, progress, and conclusion of the intercourse be-tween us. In your second you say the nature of our intercourse (for it cannot be called a connexion) will best appear from the situation of each of us at its commencement.' Your situation shall be explained by yourself from the words of the first letter you ever wrote to me.

> You are entering into a correspondence with a parson, and I am a little apprehensive lest that title should disgust you: But give me leave to assure you I am not ordained a hypocrite.

> 'It is true, I have suffered the infectious hand of a bishop to be waved over me; whose impo-sition, like the sop given to Judas, is only a signal for the devil to enter. It is true that usually at that touch-fugiunt pudor, verumque, fidesque. In quorum subeunt locum fraudes, dolique, insidiæque, &c. &c.; but I hope I have escaped the contagion: And if I have not, if you should at any time discover the BLACK spot under the tongue, assist me kindly to conquer the prejudices of education and profession.

I am, Sir, &c. JOHN WILKES.—EDIT

tegrity of men. by their conduct, not by much superior to yours of a gentleman. Of me, they are thrown away upon JUNIUS.

lady. 1 with whom you must heretofore have the other. lived on terms of politeness and good humour?-but I have done with you. In my public, to which I have ever directed myopinion, your credit is irrecoverably ruined. self: I believe they have it; though I am Mr Townshend, I think, is nearly in the sometimes half inclined to suspect that Mr same predicament.-Poor Oliver has been Wilkes has formed a truer judgment of shamefully duped by you. You have made mankind than I have. However, of this I him sacrifice all the honour he got by his am sure, that there is nothing else upon imprisonment. - As for Mr Sawbridge, which to place a steady reliance. Trick. whose character I really respect, I am as- and low cunning, and addressing their pretonished he does not see through your judices and passions, may be the fittest duplicity. Never was so base a design so means to carry a particular point; but if poorly conducted.-This letter, you see, is they have not common sense, there is no not intended for the public, but if you think prospect of gaining for them any real perit will do you any service, you are at liberty to publish it.

IUNIUS.3

LETTER LIII.

FROM THE REVEREND MR HORNE TO JUNIUS.

SIR.

31 July, 1771.

You have disappointed me. When I told you that surmise and general abuse, in however elegant language, ought not to for proofs. I evidently hinted at the

reply which I expected: but you have dropped your usual elegance, and seem willing to try what will be the effect of surmise and general abuse in very coarse language. Your answer to my letter (which I hope was cool and temperate and modest)

I Horne had taken liberties with the name of Miss Wilkes in his public letters in some of the newspapers — and liberties which no miscon-

duct of hers had entitled him to take,—EDIT.

Townshend and Sawbridge had been persuaded by Horne to unite in supporting Oliver against Wilkes; and both, in consequence hereof, forfeited much of their popularity from this moment, and were accused of gross want of understanding, and by some of tergiversation,-EDIT.

their professions. Such tales may entertain your former letters I have always said ma-Mr Oliver, or your grandmother, but trust teriem superabat opus: I do not think so of the present; the principles are more detest-You saw you are a man. Was it gener- able than the expressions are mean and ous, was it manly, repeatedly to introduce illiberal. I am contented that all those who into a newspaper the name of a young adopt the one should for ever load me with

> I appeal to the common sense of the manent good. The same passions which have been artfully used by an honest man for their advantage, may be more artfully employed by a dishonest man for their destruction. I desire them to apply their common sense to this letter of JUNIUS, not for my sake, but their own; it concerns them most nearly, for the principles it contains lead to disgrace and ruin, and are inconsistent with every notion of civil society.

The charges which JUNIUS has brought against me are made ridiculous by his own inconsistency and self-contradiction. He charges me positively with 'a new zeal in support of administration; and with 'endeavours in support of the ministerial nomination of sheriffs.' And he assigns two inconsistent motives for my conduct: has convinced me that my idea of a man is either that I have 'sold myself to the ministry; or am instigated 'by the solitary,

> 3 This letter was transmitted privately by the printer to Mr Horne, by Junius's request. Mr Horne returned it to the printer, with directions to publish it .- AUTHOR.

> The reason for such private transmission was that it was not Junius's wish to increase those divisions which Horne and Oliver had so unwisely provoked in the Bill of Rights Society, by an open contest between himself and any one of its members.- EDIT.

In one of the letters addressed to Mr Wilkes support of Mr Wilkes tends to this point I am by Mr Horne, during the altercation spoken of in the preceding notes, he, the latter, thus explains himself with respect to his support of the former, as well as to the motives which induced hun to withdraw it. In this extract he also gives us a general outline of his political opinions, from which he does not appear to have materially varied to the day of his death. 'I was your friend only for the sake of the public cause: that reason does in certain matters remain; as far as it remains, so far I am still your friend; and therefore, I said in my first letter, "the public should know how far they ought, and how far they ought not, to support you." To bring to punishment the great delinquents who have corrupted the parliament and the seats of justice; who have encouraged, pardoned, and rewarded murder; to heal the breaches made in the constitution, and by salutary provisions to prevent them for the future; to replace once more, not the administration and execution, for which they are very unfit, but the checks of government

really in the hands of the governed;
For these purposes, if it were possible to suppose that the great enemy of mankind could be rendered instrumental to their happiness, so far the devil himself should be supported by the people. For a human instrument they should go farther, he should not only be supported, but thanked and rewarded for the good which per-haps he did not intend, as an encouragement to others to follow his example. But if the foul fiend, having gained their support, should endeavour to delude the weaker part, and intice them to an idolatrous worship of himself, by persuading them that what he suggested was their voice, and their voice the voice of God, if he should attempt to obstruct every thing that leads to their security and happiness, and to promote every wickedness that tends only to his own emolument: if when—the cause—the cause reverberates on their ears, he should divert them from the original sound, and direct them towards the opposite unfaithful echo: if confusion should be all his aim, and mischief his sole enjoyment, would not he act the part of a faithful monitor to the people, who should save them from their snares, by reminding them of the true object of

vindictive malice of a monk: 'either that I again positively asserts that 'the ministry am influenced by a sordid desire of gain; have made me promises; yet he produces or am hurried on by 'personal hatred and no instance of corruption, nor pretends to blinded by resentment.' In his letter have any intelligence of a ministerial conto the duke of Grafton he supposes me nexion: he mentions no cause of personal actuated by both: in his letter to me he at hatred to Mr Wilkes, nor any reason first doubts which of the two, whether for my resentment, or revenge: nor has interest or revenge, is my motive: however, Mr Wilkes himself ever hinted any, though at last he determines for the former, and repeatedly pressed.1 When JUNIUS is

> as warm as the warmest: But all the lines of your projects are drawn towards a different cen-ter-yourself; and if with a good intention I have been diligent to gain your powers which may be perverted to mischief, I am bound to be doubly diligent to prevent their being so employed.

> 'The diligence I have used for two years past, and the success I have had in defeating all your shameful schemes, is the true cause of the dissension between us. I have never had any private pique or quarrel with you. It was your policy in paragraphs and anonymous letters to pretend it; but you cannot mention any private cause of

pique or quarrel.
To prevent the mischief of division to a popular opposition, those who saw both your bad intentions and your actions were silent; and whilst they defeated all your projects, they were cautious to conceal your defeats. They studied so much the more to satisfy your voracious prodigality, and thought, as I should have done f a minister, that if feeding it would keep you from mischief, a few thousands would be well employed by the public for that purpose. But I can never, merely for the sake of strengthening opposition, join in those actions which would prevent all the good effects to be hoped for from opposition, and for the sake of which alone any opposition to government can be justifiable. Such a practice would very well suit those who wish a change of ministers. For my part I wish no such thing; had as the present are, I am afraid the next will not be better, though I am sure they cannot be worse. I care not under whose administration good comes. But the people must owe it to themselves, nor ought they to receive the restoration of their rights as a favour from any set of men, minister, or king, The moment they accept it as a grant, a favour, an act of grace, the people have not the prospect of a right left. They will from that time become like the mere possessors of an estate without a title, and of which they may be dispossessed at pleasure. If the people are not powerful enough to make a bad administration or a bad king do them justice, they will not often have a good one. Would to God the time were come, which their constitutional worship, expressed in those I am afraid is very distant beyond the period of words of holy writ (for to me it is so) Rex, Lex my life, when an honest man could not be in loguens; Lex, Rex mutus. This is—the cause opposition; I declare I should rejoice to find the—the cause—To make this union indissoluble is patronage of a minister in the smallest degree the only cause I acknowledge. As far as the my honour and interest. I never have pretended

right to an explanation; yet this JUNIUS warmest admirers will not hereafter add, 'cannot descend to an altercation in the without being brought to shame. an altercation in the newspapers!

to any more than to prefer the former to the swers, Pretty well I thank you. The sound of latter. But it is not upon me alone that you have poured forth your abuse, but upon every man of honour who has deserved well of the public; and if you were permitted to proceed without interruption, there would shortly not be found one honest man who would not shudder to deserve well of the people.

The true reason of our dissension being made public, is, that you could not get on a step without it; and you trust that the popularity of your name, and your diligence in paragraphing the papers, will outweigh with the people the most essential services of others; and that you shall get rid of all control by taking away from those who mean well, the confidence of the people. If you can once get them affronted by the public, whom they have faithfully served, you flatter yourself that disgust will make them retire from a scene where such a man as you are, covered with infamy like yours, has the disposal of honour and disgrace, and the characters of honest men at his mercy.

JOHN HORNE.

nk you your sixth letter. The idea of an unfaithful echo, although not quite new and original, is

called upon to justify his accusation, he because it is impossible to do either of answers, 'he cannot descend to an alter- these without being detected and brought cation with me in the newspapers,' Ju- to shame. Junius says this! who yet NIUS, who exists only in the newspapers, imagines that he has himself written two who acknowledges 'he has attacked my years under that signature (and more character' there, and 'thinks I have some under others' without being detected !-his newspapers!' and because he cannot de- though he did never suspect me of such scend to an altercation with me in the gross folly as to run the hazard of being newspapers, he sends a letter of abuse by detected and brought to shame by anonthe printer, which he finishes with telling ymous writing, he insists that I have been me-'I am at liberty to publish it.' This, to guilty of a much grosser folly of incurring be sure, is a most excellent method to avoid the certainty of shame and detection by writings signed with my name! But this is The proofs of his positive charges are as a small flight for the towering JUNIUS: 'He extraordinary. 'He does not pretend to is FAR from thinking meanly of my abiliany intelligence concerning me, or to know ties,' though he is 'convinced that I want more of my conduct than I myself have judgment extremely,' and can 'really rethought proper to communicate to the pub- spect Mr Sawbridge's character,' though he lic.' He does not suspect me of such gross declares 1 him to be so poor a creature as folly as to have solicited votes, or to have not to be able to 'see through the basest written anonymously in the newspapers; design conducted in the poorest manner!'

> your unfaithful echo can only be paralleled by lack Home's silence with a stilly sound, in the tragedy of Douglas.

> > The torrent rushing o'er its pebbly banks, Infuses silence with a stilly sound.

I have heard of the babbling, the mimic, the shrill echo. The discovery of an unfaithful echo was reserved for Mr Horne. Really, Sir, I should have thought, notwithstanding all your rage, you might have suffered an echo to be faithful. I did not expect novelty, or variety, much less infidelity, from an echo.—EDIT.

I I beg leave to introduce Mr Horne to the character of the *Double Dealer*. I thought they had been better acquainted.—'Another very wrong objection has been made by some, who have not taken leisure to distinguish the characters. The hero of the play (meaning Mellefont) is a gull, and made a fool, and cheated.—Is every man a gull and a fool that is deceived?—At that rate, I am afraid the two classes of men will be reduced to one, and the knaves themselves be at a loss to justify their title. But if an open, be at a loss to justify their title. But if an open, honest hearted man, who has an entire confid-ence in one, whom he takes to be his friend, and who (to confirm him in his opinion) in all appearance and upon several trials has been so; if this man be deceived by the treachery of the eeno, authorigh not quite new and original, is appearance and upon several trials has been so; perfectly amusing; but, like layers, you love to if this man be deceived by the treachery of the elevate and surprise. I wish you would give the other, must he of necessity commence fool imlist of echoes of this kind, which you have heard mediately, only because the other has proved a in your travels through France and Italy. I villain?—Yes, says parson Horne. No, says have read of only one such in a neighbouring Congress, and he, I think, is allowed to have kingdom. If you ask, How do you do? it anknown something of luman nature.

LETTERS OF JUNIUS.

meanly!

contradictions, and explain this nonsense, the answer is ready; 'he cannot descend feels no reluctance to attack the character of any man: the throne is not too high. nor the cottage too low: his mighty ask for proofs and facts, he begs to be excused; and though he is no where else to be encountered-'he cannot descend to an altercation in the newspapers.'

And this perhaps JUNIUS may think 'the liberal resentment of a gentleman: this skulking assassination he may call courage. In all things as in this I hope we differ:

I thought that fortitude had been a mean 'Twixt fear and rashness; not a lust obscene, Or appetite of offending; but a skill And nice discernment between good and ill. Her ends are honesty and public good, And without these she is not understood.' 1

Of two things however he has condescended to give proof. He very properly produces a young lady to prove that I am not a man: and a good old woman, my grandmother, to prove Mr Oliver a fool, Poor old soul! she read her Bible far otherwise than JUNIUS! she often found there that the sins of the fathers had been visited on the children: and therefore was cautious that herself and her immediate descendants should leave no reproach on her posterity: and they left none: how little could she foresee this reverse of JUNIUS, who visits my political sins upon my grand-

'Or science of a discerning good and ill,'

he prints,

And this most base design is conducted in mother / I do not charge this to the score the poorest manner, by a man whom he of malice in him, it proceeded entirely from does not suspect of gross folly, and of his propensity to blunder; that whilst he whose abilities he is FAR from thinking was reproaching me for introducing in the most harmless manner the name of one Should we ask Junius to reconcile these female, he might himself, at the same instant, introduce two.

I am represented alternately, as it suits to an altercation in the newspapers.' He JUNIUS's purpose, under the opposite characters of a gloomy monk, and a man of politeness and good humour. I am called 'a solitary monk,' in order to confirm the malice can grasp both extremes: he hints notion given of me in Mr Wilkes's anonnot his accusations as opinion, conjecture, ymous paragraphs, that I never laugh: and or inference; but delivers them as positive the terms of politeness and good humour on assertions. Do the accused complain of in- which I am said to have lived heretofore justice? He acknowledges they have some with the young lady, are intended to consort of right to an explanation; but if they firm other paragraphs of Mr Wilkes. in which he is supposed to have offended me by refusing his daughter. Ridiculous! Yet I cannot deny but that JUNIUS has proved me unmanly and ungenerous as clearly as he has shown me corrupt and vindictive: and I will tell him more; I have paid the present ministry as many visits and compliments as ever I paid to the young lady, and shall all my life treat them with the same politeness and good humour.

But Junius 'begs me to believe that he measures the integrity of men by their conduct, not by their professions.' Surely this JUNIUS must imagine his readers as void of understanding, as he is of modesty! Where shall we find the standard of HIS integrity? By what are we to measure the conduct of this lurking assassin?-And he says this to me, whose conduct, wherever I could personally appear, has been as direct and open and public as my words; I have not, like him, concealed myself in my chamber to shoot my arrows out of the window: nor contented myself to view the battle from afar: but publicly mixed in the engagement, and shared the danger. To

This quotation is also from the epistle to Sackvile. Mr Horne here made some slight alterations: perhaps he quoted from memory. For B. Jonson's

And nice discernment between, &c. For 'And where they want she is not understood,' And without these, &c.

One of his alterations disturbs, however astonishing, the grammatical construction: a skill between good and ill is not English .- EDIT.

NIUS has been all along defending, were security for a tottering constitution. ours, whom he attacks; and the uniform he endeavours to screen.

the sake of the public he was designedly placed by the thanks to him from the city; 2

See note 3, p. 290.-EDIT.

whom have I, like him, refused my name necessary to lord Rockingham to keep up a upon complaint of injury?1 what printer clamour against the persons of the ministry, have I desired to conceal me? in the infi- without obliging the different factions now nite variety of business in which I have in opposition to bind themselves beforebeen concerned, where it is not so easy to hand to some certain points, and to stipube faultless, which of my actions can he late some precise advantages to the public: arraign? to what danger has any man then, and not till then, may those whom he been exposed, which I have not faced? now abuses expect the approbation of information, action, imprisonment, or Junius. The approbation of the public death? what labour have I refused? what for our faithful attention to their interest by expense have I declined? what pleasure endeavours for those stipulations, which have I not renounced?—But Junius, to have made us as obnoxious to the factions whom no conduct belongs, 'measures the in opposition as to those in administration, integrity of men by their conduct, not by is not perhaps to be expected till some their professions; himself all the while years hence; when the public will look being nothing but professions, and those too back and see how shamefully they have anonymous! The political ignorance or been deluded; and by what arts they were wilful falsehood of this declaimer is ex- made to lose the golden opportunity of treme: his own former letters justify both preventing what they will surely experience, my conduct and those whom his last letter -a change of ministers, without a material abuses: for the public measures, which Ju- change of measures, and without any

But what cares JUNIUS for the security opposer of those measures has been Mr of the constitution? He has now unfolded Wilkes, whose bad actions and intentions to us his diabolical principles. As a public man he must ever condemn any measure Let JUNIUS now, if he pleases, change which may tend even accidentally to gratify his abuse: and quitting his loose hold of the sovereign: and Mr Wilkes is to be supinterest and revenge, accuse me of vanity, ported and assisted in all his attempts (no and call this defence boasting. I own I matter how ridiculous or mischievous his have a pride to see statues decreed and the projects) as long as he continues to be a highest honours conferred for measures and thorn in the king's side!-The cause of actions which all men have approved: the country it seems, in the opinion of whilst those who counselled and caused Junius, is merely to vex the king: and them are execrated and insulted. The any rascal is to be supported in any roguery, darkness in which Junius thinks himself provided he can only thereby plant a thorn shrouded has not concealed him; nor the in the king's side.—This is the very exartifice of only attacking under that signa- tremity of faction, and the last degree of ture those he would pull down (whilst he political wickedness. Because lord Chatrecommends by other ways those he would ham has been ill-treated by the king, and have promoted) disguised from me whose treacherously betrayed by the duke of partisan he is. When lord Chatham can Grafton, the latter is to be 'the pillow on forgive the awkward situation in which for which JUNIUS will rest his resentment!' and the public are to oppose the measures of government from mere motives of perand when Wilkes's name ceases to be sonal enmity to the sovereign !- These are the avowed principles of the man who in the same letter says, 'if ever he should be convinced that I had no motive but to destroy Wilkes, he shall then be ready to do

Alluding to sir Wm. Draper's call upon JUNIUS for his name. See the conclusion of Letter XXIV.—EDIT.

^t Mr Horne was charged with having stolen this idea from a note of Mr Wilkes, annexed to that passage in Clarendon, to which the writer here more particularly alludes. The letter is short, and, as it also explains a subsequent fact, it ought not to be omitted.

FOR THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER. TO THE REV. MR HORNE.

Aug. 6, 1771.

You declare in your letter to Junius, that Mr Wilkes told the Rockingham administiation, "it cost me a year and an half to write down the last administration." Unluckily for Mr Horne the administration said to be wrote down by Mr Wilkes did not last one year, and Mr Wilkes is certainly too well informed to have made so gross a mistake. Lord Bute was made inst commissioner of the Treasury, May 20, 1762, and resigned April 8, 1763. The North Briton made its first appearance June 5, 1762. The paper war therefore did not last quite one year before the enemy abandoned the capital post he bad seized Mr Horne, when he investe; should had setzed. Mr Horne, when he invents, should be careful not to give absurd fictions. I am ac-quainted both with Mr Wilkes and Mr Horne. It is amusing to observe how the parson has, on a variety of occasions, purloined from the aldera variety of occasions, purioned from the alder-man. Many of their former commôn friends have been amused with the instances. The late passage about Cromwell is curious. Mr Horne says, it was thought a daring expression of Oliver Cromwell, &c. Mr Wilkes has probably forgot the little anecdote; but I breakfasted with him at the King's Bench with Mr Horne, who copied in my presence the following note from Mr Wilkes's Clarendon, which I likewise pre-served, 'Cromwell ought to have declared, that he would rather chuse to single out the king, and discharge his pistol upon him, as the first author of the guilt of a civil war, and whose death then might probably extinguish it. The whole pass-age of Clarendon is so curious, your readers will not be displeased to find it in your paper. note, p. 232.- EDIT,

justice to my character, and to declare to charge his piece into his bosom as soon as the world that he despises me somewhat into any other man's. I go farther: had I less than he does at present!' Had I ever lived in those days, I would not have waitacted from personal affection or enmity to ed for chance to give me an opportunity of Mr Wilkes. I should justly be despised: doing my duty; I would have sought him but what does he deserve whose avowed through the ranks, and without the least motive is personal enmity to the sovereign? personal enmity, have discharged my piece the contempt which I should otherwise feel into his bosom rather than into any other for the absurdity and glaring inconsistency man's.¹ The king whose actions justify of Junius, is here swallowed up in my ab- rebellion to his government, deserves death horrence of his principle. The right divine from the hand of every subject, And and sucredness of kings is to me a senseless should such a time arrive. I shall be as free jargon. It was thought a daring expression to act as to say. But till then, my attachof Oliver Cromwell in the time of Charles ment to the person and family of the sovethe First, that if he found himself placed reign shall ever be found more zealous and opposite to the king in battle, he would dissincere than that of his flatterers. I would

> 'Cromwell, though the greatest dissembler living, always made his hypocrisy of singular use and benefit to him, and never did anything, how ungracious or imprudent soever it seemed to be, but what was necessary to the design; even his roughness and unpolishedness, which, in the beginning of the parliament, he affected contrary to the smoothness and complacency which his cousin, and bosom friend, Mr Hambden, plactised towards all men, was necessary; and his first public declaration, in the beginning of the war, to his troop when it was first mustered, that he would not deceive or cozen them by the perne would not acceive or cozen them by the per-plexed and involved expressions in his commis-sion, to fight for king and parliament; and therefore told them, that if the king chanced to be in the body of the enemy that he was to charge, he would as soon discharge his piscol upon him, as any other private person; and if their conscience would not permit them to do the like, he advised them not to list themselves in his troop, or under his command, which was generally looked upon as imprudent and malicious, and might, by the professions the parliament then made, have proved dangerous to him, yet served his turn, and severed from others, and united among themselves, all the furious and incensed men against the government, whether ecclesiastical or civil, to look upon him as a man for their turn, upon whom they night depend, as one who would go through the work that he undertook.

> The passage I have quoted from Mr Horne's letter appears to me in flat contradiction to what he says at the end of the same letter, 'whoever or whatever is sovereign, demands the respect and support of the people. Is it possible that the last paragraph could be written by the same person, who printed in all the papers that the king's smiling when the city remonstrance was presented, reminded him, that 'Nero fiddled, while Rome was burning?

For an explanation of the last quotation, see

LETTERS OF JUNIUS.

offend the sovereign with as much reduct- carious, and eleemosynary pension from the ance as the parent: but if the happiness Rockingham administration: 2 which they and security of the whole family made it paid in proportion to and out of their salnecessary, so far and no farther, I would aries; and so entirely was it ministerial, offend him without remorse.

principles of JUNIUS would lead us. Should list, and they contributed no longer. because it would mortify the king!

and his friends once more in administration. uncloseed by any stipulations for the people. mortify the king I

Canada, or of Famaica, or the embassy to of Portland, to lord Rockingham, to lord Constantinople; and in case of refusal John Cavendish, to Mr Walpole, &c.-I threaten to write them down, as he had appeal to the hand-writing of Mr Wilkes, before served another administration, in a which is still extant. year and a half; he must be supported in -because it would mortify the king!

for thirty years; with which, and a par- king! don, he declared he would be satisfied: and that, notwithstanding his letter to Mr his own fortune and that of his wife, and Onslow, he did accept a clandestine, pre- incurring a debt of twenty thousand pounds

that as any of them went out of the min-But let us consider a little whither these istry, their names were scratched out of the Mr Wilkes once more commission Mr say, he did solicit the governments and the Thomas Walpole to procure for him a pen- embassy, and threatened their refusal nearly sion of one thousand pounds upon the Irish in these words-'It cost me a year and an establishment for thirty years; he must be half to write down the last administration, supported in the demand by the public- should I employ as much time upon you. very few of you would be in at the death.' Should he wish to see Lord Rockingham When these threats did not prevail, he came over to England to embarrass them by his presence; and when he found that that he might again enjoy a pension of one lord Rockingham was something firmer thousand and forty pounds a year, viz. and more manly than he expected, and from the first lord of the Treasury £300, refused to be bullied - into what he from the lords of the Treasury £60 each, could not perform, Mr Wilkes declared that from the lords of trade f.40 each, 1 &c., he could not leave England without money: the public must give up their attention to and the duke of Portland and lord Rockpoints of national benefit, and assist Mr ingham purchased his absence with one Wilkes in his attempt-because it would hundred pounds a piece; with which he returned to Paris. And for the truth of Should he demand the government of what I here advance, I appeal to the duke

Should Mr Wilkes afterwards (failing in his pretensions, and upheld in his insolence this wholesale trade) chuse to dole out his popularity by the pound, and expose the JUNIUS may chuse to suppose that these city offices to sale to his brother, his attorthings cannot happen! But that they have ney, &c., JUNIUS will tell us, it is only an happened, notwithstanding Mr Wilkes's ambition that he has to make them chamdenial, I do aver. I maintain that Mr berlain, town-clerk, &c., and he must not Wilkes did commission Mr Thomas Wal- be opposed in thus robbing the ancient pole to solicit for him a pension of one citizens of their birthright -- because any thousand pounds on the Irish establishment defeat of Mr Wilkes would gratify the

Should he, after consuming the whole of merely by his own private extravagance,

him a pension out of their own salaries, upon the proportions stated above, with which, at their entreaty, he again returned to the continent .-- EDIT.

2 See the last note, which states the pension

¹ The Rockingham party had consented to unite with the Bedford administration on the express stipulation of a reversal of the proceedings against Wilkes. They were not, however, able to obtain this stipulation at last; and, as some indemnification to Wilkes for the promise they had made to him in this respect, they granted referred to .- EDIT.

without a single service or exertion all this they should prevent any future overtures time for the public, whilst his estate re- from the court. To force them to these

will now engage, whilst they are out, to cooks and purveyors. grant certain essential advantages for the

mained; should he, at length, being un-stipulations has been the uniform endeadone, commence patriot, have the good your of Mr Sawbridge, Mr Townshend, fortune to be illegally persecuted, and in Mr Oliver, &c., and THEREFORE they are consideration of that illegality be espoused abused by Junius. I know no reason but by a few gentlemen of the purest public my zeal and industry in the same cause that principles: should his debts (though none should entitle me to the honour of being of them were contracted for the public) ranked by his abuse with persons of their and all his other incumbrances be discharg- fortune and station. It is a duty I owe to ed; should he be offered £600 or £1000 a the memory of the late Mr Beckford to year to make him independent for the say, that he had no other aim than this future: and should he, after all, instead of when he provided that sumptuous entergratitude for these services, insolently for- tainment at the Mansion-house for the bid his benefactors to bestow their own members of both Houses in opposition.2 money upon any other object but himself,1 At that time he drew up the heads of an and revile them for setting any bounds to engagement, which he gave to me with a their supplies; JUNIUS (who, any more request that I would couch it in terms so than lord Chatham, never contributed one cautious and precise, as to leave no room farthing to these enormous expenses) will for future quibble and evasion; but to tell them, that if they think of converting oblige them either to fulfil the intent of the the supplies of Mr Wilkes's private ex- obligation, or to sign their own infamy, travagance to the support of public mea- and leave it on record; and this engagesures-they are as great fools as my ment he was determined to propose to grandmother; and that Mr Wilkes ought them at the Mansion-house, that either by to hold the strings of their purses—as long their refusal they might forfeit the confidas he continues to be a thorn in the king's ence of the public, or by the engagement lay a foundation for confidence. When Upon these principles I never have acted, they were informed of the intention, lord and I never will act. In my opinion, it is Rockingham and his friends flatly refused less dishonourable to be the creature of a any engagement; and Mr Beckford as court than the tool of a faction. I will not flatly swore, they should then-'eat none be either. I understand the two great of his broth; and he was determined to leaders of opposition to be lord Rocking- put off the entertainment: But Mr Beckham and lord Chatham; under one of ford was prevailed upon by — to indulge whose banners all the opposing members of them in the ridiculous parade of a popular both Houses, who desire to get places, procession through the city, and to give enlist. I can place no confidence in either them the foolish pleasure of an imaginary of them, or in any others, unless they consequence, for the real benefit only of the

It was the same motive which dictated security of the public when they shall be IN the thanks of the city to lord Chatham; administration. These points they refuse which were expressed to be given for his to stipulate, because they are fearful lest declaration in favour of short parliaments; 8

The quarrel between Mr Wilkes and Mr Home originated in the mode of appropriating the contributions to the Bill of Rights Society, the funds of which were professedly subscribed for the purpose of paying the debts of the former,—EDIT.

five noblemen, besides a great number of members of parliament, and other persons of distinction, were present.-EDIT.

³ The vote of thanks and answer were as fol-

At a Common Council holden on the 14th of On the 22nd of March, 1770, at which forty May, 1770, it were resolved, 'That the grateful

in order thereby to fix lord Chatham at one additional vote to their party in the was cruel. He had his choice, either to ence of the public. offend the Rockingham party, who de- Whatever may be the event of the preclared formally against short parliaments, sent wretched state of politics in this and with the assistance of whose numbers country, the principles of Junius will suit in both Houses he must expect again to be no form of government. They are not to minister; or to give up the confidence of be tolerated under any constitution. Perthe public, from whom finally all real con- sonal enmity is a motive fit only for the sequence must proceed. Lord Chatham devil. Whoever or whatever is sovereign, chose the latter; and I will venture to say, demands the respect and support of the that, by his answer to those thanks, he has people. The union is formed for their given up the people without gaining the happiness, which cannot be had without friendship or cordial assistance of the mutual respect; and he counsels malici-Rockingham faction: whose little politics ously who would persuade either to a are confined to the making of matches, wanton breach of it. When it is banished and extending their family connexions, and by either party, and when every method who think they gain more by procuring has been tried in vain to restore it, there is

thanks of this court be presented to the Right submit my opinion to better judgment, if the sacred privileges, the right of election, and the right of petition; and for his wishes and declaration, that his endeavours shall hereafter be used that parliaments may be restored to their original purity, by shortening their duration, and introducing a more full and equal representation; an act which will render his name more honoured by posterity, than the memorable successes of the glorious war he conducted.

To this vote of thanks the earl of Chatham made the following reply to the committee deputed to present it to his lordship:

'GENTLEMEN,

'It is not easy for me to give expression to all I feel, on the extraordinary honour done to my public conduct by the City of London; a body so highly respectable on every account, but above all, for their constant assertion of the birthrights of Englishmen, in every great crisis of the constitution.

'In our present unhappy situation, my duty shall be, on all proper occasions, to add the zealous endeavours of an individual to those legal exertions of constitutional rights, which, to their everlasting honour, the City of London has made, in defence of freedom of election and freedom of petition, and for obtaining effectual reparation to the electors of Great Britain.

'As to the point among the declarations which I am understood to have made, of my wishes for the public, permit me to say there has been some misapprehension, for with all my deference to the sentiments of the City, I am bound to declare that I cannot recommend triennial parliaments as a remedy against that canker of the the ed constitution, venality in elections; ready to EDIT.

least to that one constitutional remedy. House of Commons, than by adding to without which all others can afford no their languid property and feeble character. security. The embarrassment no doubt the abilities of a Chatham, or the confid-

Hon. William earl of Chatham, for the zeal he wish for that measure shall become prevalent in has shown in support of those most valuable and the kingdom. Purity of parliament is the cornerstone in the common-wealth; and as one obvious means towards this necessary end is to strengthen and extend the natural relation between the constituents and the elected, I have, in this view, publicly expressed my earnest wishes for a more full and equal representation, by the addition of one knight of the shire in a county, as a further

balance to the mercenary boroughs.
'I have thrown out this idea with the just diffidence of a private man, when he presumes to suggest any thing new on a high matter. Animated by your approbation, I shall with better hope continue humbly to submit it to the public wisdom, as an object most deliberately to be weighed, accurately examined, and maturely digested.

'Having many times, when in the service of

the crown, and when retired from it, experienced, with gratitude, the favour of my fellow-citizens, I am now particularly fortunate, that, with their good liking, I can offer any thing towards up-holding this wisely-combined frame of mixed government against the decays of time, and the deviations incident to all human institutions; and I shall esteem my life honoured indeed, if the Ciry of London can vouchsafe to think that my endeavours have not been wanting to maintain the national honour, to defend the colonies, and extend the commercial greatness of my country, as well as to preserve from violation the law of the land, and the essential rights of the constitution.7

On the subject of triennial parliaments, lord Chatham appears subsequently to have changed his opinion, as will be seen by a reference to the editor's note to Letter XLIV., p 264 - no remedy but a divorce; but even then he understanding, may be deceived by a knave. of blood that is shed in a public struggle, however just the quarrel.

IOHN HORNE.

LETTER LIV.1

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

I ought to make an apology to

13 Aug. 1771. SIR.

the duke of Grafton, for suffering any part of my attention to be diverted from his Grace to Mr Horne. I am not justified by the similarity of their dispositions. Private vices, however detestable, have not dignity sufficient to attract the censure of the press, unless they are united with the power of doing some signal mischief to the community.--Mr Horne's situation does not correspond with his intentions.-In my own opinion (which I know will be attributed to my usual vanity and presumption), his letter to me does not deserve an But I understand that the public are not satisfied with my silence; -that an answer is expected from me, and that if I persist in refusing to plead, it will be taken for conviction. I should be inconsistent with the principles I profess, if I declined an appeal to the good sense of the people,

judgment of my peers. If any coarse expressions have escaped me, I am ready to agree that they are unfit for JUNIUS to make use of, but I see no reason to admit that they have been improperly applied.

or did not willingly submit myself to the

Mr Horne, it seems, is unable to comprehend how an extreme want of conduct and discretion can consist with the abilities I have allowed him; nor can he conceive that a very honest man, with a very good

I JUNIUS, in Private Letter, No. 37, makes the following observation: 'If Mr Horne an-

must have a hard and a wicked heart His knowledge of human nature must be indeed who punishes the greatest criminal limited indeed. Had he never mixed merely for the sake of the punishment; and with the world, one would think that who does not let fall a tear for every drop even his books might have taught him better. Did he hear lord Mansfield, when he defended his doctrine concerning libels? -Or when he stated the law in prosecutions for criminal conversation?-Or wifenhe delivered his reasons for calling the House of Lords together to receive a copy of his charge to the jury in Woodfall's trial?2-Had he been present upon any of these occasions, he would have seen how possible it is for a man of the first talents, to confound himself in absurdities, which would disgrace the lips of an idiot. Perhaps the example might have taught him not to value his own understanding so highly. Lord Littleton's integrity and judgment are unquestionable :--vet he is known to admire that cunning Scotchman. and verily believes him an honest man .-- I speak to facts with which all of us are conversant. - I speak to men and to their experience, and will not descend to answer the little sneering sophistries of a collegian.-Distinguished talents are not necessarily connected with discretion. If there be any thing remarkable in the character of Mr Home, it is that extreme want of judgment should be united with his very moderate capacity. Yet I have not forgotten the acknowledgment I made him. He owes it to my bounty; and though his letter has lowered him in my opinion. I scorn to retract the charitable donation.

I said it would be very difficult for Mr Horne to write directly in defence of a ministerial measure, and not be detected; and even that difficulty I confined to his particular situation. He changes the terms of the proposition, and supposes me to assert, that it would be impossible for any man to write for the newspapers and not be dis-

He repeatedly affirms, or intimates at

swers this letter handsomely and in point, he shall be my great Apollo. —EDIT. 2 Sec the charge, p. 117, note.-EDIT.

if I had formerly been his friend.—But he the common cause, he could not now be variety of signatures. To make the dis-self, it is no longer a question whether I covery of any importance to his purpose, shall mix with the throng, and take a single he should have proved, either that the share in the danger. Whenever JUNIUS fictitious character of Junius has not been appears, he must encounter a host of consistently supported, or that the author enemies. But is there no honourable way has maintained different principles under to serve the public, without engaging in different signatures.—I cannot recall to my personal quarrels with insignificant indimemory the numberless trifles I have viduals, or submitting to the drudgery of written :-- but I rely upon the consciousness of my own integrity, and defy him to fix any colourable charge of inconsistency upon me.

I am not bound to assign the secret motives of his apparent hatred of Mr composition of these letters? Mr Horne, Wilkes: nor does it follow that I may not judge fairly of his conduct, though it were facility of my writings, by the fluency of his true, that I had no conduct of my own .-Mr Horne enlarges, with rapture, upon the importance of his services;-the dreadful battles which he might have been engaged in, and the dangers he has escaped.-In support of the formidable description, he quotes verses without mercy. The gentleman deals in fiction, and naturally appeals to the evidence of the poets.-Taking him at his word, he cannot but admit the superiority of Mr Wilkes in this line of service. On one side we see nothing but imaginary distresses. On the other we see real prosecutions; - real penalties; - real violated under the present reign. He will imprisonment ;-life repeatedly hazarded ; and, at one moment, almost the certainty of death.1 Thanks are undoubtedly due to every man who does his duty in the engagement; but it is the wounded soldier who deserves the reward.

I did not mean to deny that Mr Horne

least, that he knows the author of these had been an active partisan. It would letters.—With what colour of truth then defeat my own purpose not to allow him a can he pretend that I am no where to be en- degree of merit, which aggravates his guilt. countered but in a newspaper ?- I shall The very charge of contributing his utmost leave him to his suspicions. It is not efforts to support a ministerial measure, necessary that I should confide in the implies an acknowledgment of his former honour or discretion of a man, who already services. If he had not once been distinseems to hate me with as much rancour, as guished by his apparent zeal in defence of asserts that he has traced me through a distinguished by deserting it .- As for mycanvassing votes for an election? Is there no merit in dedicating my life to the information of my fellow-subjects?--What public question have I declined, what villain have I spared ?- Is there no labour in the I fear, is partial to me, and measures the

> He talks to us, in high terms, of the gallant feats he would have performed, if he had lived in the last century. The unhappy Charles could hardly have escaped him. But living princes have a claim to his attachment and respect. Upon these terms, there is no danger in being a patriot. If he means any thing more than a pompous rhapsody, let us try how well his argument holds together.-I presume he is not yet so much a courtier as to affirm that the constitution has not been grossly and daringly not say, that the laws have not been shamefully broken or perverted ;-that the rights of the subject have not been invaded, or that redress has not been repeatedly solicited and refused .- Grievances like these were the foundation of the rebellion in the last century, and, if I understand Mr Horne, they would, at that period, have justified him to his own mind, in deliberately attacking the life of his sovereign. I shall not

I For an explanation of the subjects here alluded to, see the editor's note to Letter XLVI., p. 267.-EDIT.

ask him to what political constitution this I am called upon to deliver my opinion. but I will not insult his misfortunes by a reward him. comparison that would degrade him.

and measures were vesterday his object. great state criminal MacOuirk to execution! - To-day he confines himself to left to the successors of the duke of Grafton. -To-morrow, I presume both men and measures will be forgiven. The flaming patriot, who so lately scorched us in the meridian, sinks temperately to the west, and is hardly felt as he descends.

to communicate to Mr Oliver and Mr Sawbridge a share in the reproaches, with which he supposes me to have loaded him. My memory fails me, if I have mentioned their proachful to acknowledge a sincere respect for the character of Mr Sawbridge, and not Oliver's intentions.

It seems I am a partisan of the great leader of the opposition. If the charge had supported. I did not intend to make a public declaration of the respect I bear lord Chatham. I well knew what unworthy conclusions would be drawn from it. But Epit.

doctrine can be reconciled. But, at least, and surely it is not in the little censure of it is incumbent upon him to show, that the Mr Horne to deter me from doing signal present king has better excuses than justice to a man, who, I confess, has grown Charles the First, for the errors of his upon my esteem. I As for the common. government. He ought to demonstrate to sordid views of avarice, or any purpose of us that the constitution was better under- vulgar ambition. I question whether the stood a hundred years ago than it is at pre- applause of JUNIUS would be of service to sent:-that the legal rights of the subject lord Chatham. My vote will hardly reand the limits of the prerogative were more commend him to an increase of his pension. accurately defined, and more clearly com- or to a seat in the cabinet. But if his amprehended. If propositions like these can-bition be upon a level with his understandnot be fairly maintained, I do not see how ing ;-if he judges of what is truly honourhe can reconcile it to his conscience, not to able for himself, with the same superior act immediately with the same freedom with genius, which animates and directs him to which he speaks. I reverence the character eloquence in debate, to wisdom in decision, of Charles the First as little as Mr Horne; even the pen of JUNIUS shall contribute to Recorded honours shall gather round his monument, and thicken It is worth observing, by what gentle over him. It is a solid fabric, and will supdegrees, the furious persecuting zeal of Mr port the laurels that adorn it .- I am not Horne has softened into moderation. Men conversant in the language of panegyric. -These praises are extorted from me: but What pains did he once take to bring that they will wear well, for they have been dearly earned.

My detestation of the duke of Grafton is measures only.-No penal example is to be not founded upon his treachery to any individual: though I am willing enough to suppose that, in public affairs, it would be impossible to desert or betray lord Chatham, without doing an essential injury to this country. My abhorrence of the duke arises from an intimate knowledge of his I comprehend the policy of endeavouring character, and from a thorough conviction that his baseness has been the cause of greater mischief to England, than even the unfortunate ambition of lord Bute.

The shortening the duration of parlianames with disrespect ;-unless it be re- ments is a subject on which Mr Horne cannot enlarge too warmly; nor will I question his sincerity. If I did not profess to have questioned the innocence of Mr the same sentiments, I should be shamefully inconsistent with myself. It is unnecessary to bind lord Chatham by the written formality of an engagement. He been a reproach, it should have been better has publicly declared himself a convert to

> * See the author's opinion of lord Chatham in the year 1767, Miscellaneous Letters, No. I .-

triennial parliaments; 1 and though I have has lived in friendship with him, to reproach likely to be obtained.

was the champion of Mr Wilkes. them. As for all those imaginary cases. against me, I have one plain, honest answer to make to him. - Whenever Mr Wilkes shall be convicted of soliciting a must depart from that situation, and renounce that character, which he assumes at present, and which, in my opinion, enthe same act, and at the same moment, he will forfeit his power of mortifying the king; and though he can never be a favourister a solid satisfaction to the royal mind. The man I speak of, has not a heart to feel for the frailties of his fellow-creatures. It is their virtues that afflict, it is their vices that console him.

long been convinced that this is the only him with failings, too naturally connected possible resource we have left to preserve with despair! Is no allowance to be made the substantial freedom of the constitution, for banishment and ruin? Does a two I do not think we have a right to determine years' imprisonment make no atonement against the integrity of lord Rockingham for his crimes?-The resentment of a priest or his friends. Other measures may un- is implacable. No sufferings can soften, doubtedly be supported in argument, as no penitence can appease him.-Yet he better adapted to the disorder, or more himself, I think, upon his own system, has a multitude of political offences to atone Mr Horne is well assured, that I never for. I will not insist upon the nauseous But detail, with which he so long disgusted the though I am not obliged to answer for the public. He seems to be ashamed of it. firmness of his future adherence to the But what excuse will he make to the friends principles he professes, I have no reason to of the constitution for labouring to promote presume that he will hereafter disgrace this consummately bad man to a station of the highest national trust and importance? which Mr Horne so perpetually urges Upon what honourable motives did he recommend him to the livery of London for their representative :- to the ward of Farringdon for their alderman ;-to the county pension, an embassy, or a government, he of Middlesex for their knight? Will he affirm that, at that time, he was ignorant of Mr Wilkes's solicitations to the ministry?-That he should say so, is indeed title him to the support of the public. By very necessary for his own justification, but where will he find credulity to believe him?

In what school this gentleman learned ite at St James's, his baseness may admin- his ethics I know not. His logic seems to have been studied under Mr Dyson. That miserable pamphleteer, by dividing the only precedent in point, and taking as much of it as suited his purpose, had reduced his argument upon the Middlesex I give every possible advantage to Mr election to something like the shape of a Horne, when I take the facts he refers to syllogism. Mr Horne has conducted himfor granted. That they are the produce of self with the same ingenuity and candour. his invention, seems highly probable; that I had affirmed that Mr Wilkes would prethey are exaggerated I have no doubt. At serve the public favour, 'as long as he the worst, what do they amount to, but that stood forth against a ministry and parlia-Mr Wilkes, who never was thought of as a ment who were doing every thing they perfect pattern of morality, has not been at could to enslave the country, and as long all times proof against the extremity of dis- as he was a thorn in the king's side.' Yet tress!2 How shameful is it, in a man who from the exulting triumph of Mr Horne's reply, one would think that I had rested my expectation, that Mr Wilkes would be

² For lord Chatham's previous opinion of triennial parliaments, see editor's note to the preceding Letter, p. 291; and for his declaration in favour of them, editor's note to Letter XLIV., p. 264.-EDIT.

² For Mr Wilkes's defence of himself against the charges of Mr Horne, see the note, p. 274.

condition of his mortifying the king. This wait for a Messiah of their own! may be logic at Cambridge or at the Treait is folly or villany in the extreme.

and now, upon the coolest deliberation, re- aries of the lesuits are forgotten. assert that, for the purposes I referred to, it may be highly meritorious to the public, to wound the personal feelings of the sovereign. It is not a general proposition, nor is it generally applied to the chief magistrate of this or any other constitution. Mr Horne knows as well as I do, that the best of princes is not displeased with the abuse, which he sees thrown upon his pursue the argument any farther.

This forms a sentence of Horne's own -EDIT.

supported by the public, upon the single should be condemned by Providence to

The priesthood are accused of misintersury, but among men of sense and honour preting the Scriptures. Mr Horne has improved upon his profession. He alters the I see the pitiful advantage he has taken text, and creates a refutable doctrine of his of a single unguarded expression, in a letter own. Such artifices cannot long delude not intended for the public. Yet it is only the understanding of the people; and withthe expression that is unguarded. I adhere out meaning an indecent comparison, I to the true meaning of that member of the may venture to foretell, that the Bible and sentence, taken separately as he takes it, Junius will be read, when the comment-

JUNIUS.

LETTER LV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 26 Aug. 1771.

THE enemies of the people having ostensible ministers. It makes them, I now nothing better to object to my friend presume, more properly the objects of his JUNIUS, are at last obliged to quit his poliroyal compassion; neither does it escape ties and to rail at him for crimes he is not his sagacity, that the lower they are de- guilty of. His vanity and impiety are now graded in the public esteem, the more sub- the perpetual topics of their abuse. I do missively they must depend upon his favour not mean to lessen the force of such charges for protection. This, I affirm, upon the (supposing they were true), but to show most solemn conviction, and the most cer- that they are not founded. If I admitted tain knowledge, is a leading maxim in the the premises, I should readily agree in all policy of the closet.-It is unnecessary to the consequences drawn from them. Vanity indeed is a venial error, for it usually Mr Horne is now a very loyal subject. carries its own punishment with it ;-but if He laments the wretched state of politics I thought JUNIUS capable of uttering a disin this country, and sees, in a new light, respectful word of the religion of his counthe weakness and folly of the opposition. try, I should be the first to renounce and Whoever or whatever is sovereign, demands give him up to the public contempt and inthe respect and support of the people; 1 it dignation. As a man, I am satisfied that was not so, when Nero fiddled while Rome he is a Christian upon the most sincere was burning.2 Our gracious sovereign has conviction. As a writer, he would be had wonderful success, in creating new grossly inconsistent with his political prinattachments to his person and family. He ciples, if he dared to attack a religion owes it, I presume, to the regular system established by those laws, which it seems he has pursued in the mystery of conversion. to be the purpose of his life to defend,-He began with an experiment upon the Now for the proofs.- Junius is accused of Scotch, and concludes with converting Mr an impious allusion to the holy sacrament, Home.—What a pity it is that the Yews where he says that, if lord Weymouth be

The very soliloouy of lord Suffolk, before he writing ;-- and was one of his bitterest sarcasms against the king. But see editor's note, p. 232.

passed the Rubicon.

denied the cup, there will be no keeping him carries with it. I quote his words, and creed, and is in this country as fair an morality, or that kneeling is religion. object of ridicule, as transubstantiation, or any other part of lord Peter's history in the Tale of the Tub.

But JUNIUS is charged with equal vanity and impiety, in comparing his writings to the Holy Scripture.-The formal protest he makes against any such comparison, avails him nothing. It becomes necessary then to show that the charge destroys itself .-- If he be vain, he cannot be impious. A vain man does not usually compare himself to an object, which it is his design to undervalue. On the other hand, if he be impious. he cannot be vain. For his impiety, if any, must consist in his endeavouring to degrade the Holy Scriptures by a comparison with his own contemptible writings. This would be folly indeed of the grossest nature, but where lies the vanity ?-I shall now be told, - Sir. what you say is plausible enough. but still you must allow that it is shamefully impudent in JUNIUS to tell us that his works will live as long as the Bible.' My answer is, Agreed: but first prove that he has said so. Look at his words, and you will find that the utmost he expects is, that the Bible and JUNIUS will survive the commentaries of the Jesuits, which may prove true in a fortnight. The most malignant sagacity cannot show that his works are, in his opinion, to live as long as the Bible.—Suppose I were to foretell that Fack and Tom would survive Harry .- Does it follow that Fack must live as long as Tom? I would only illustrate my meaning, and protest against the least idea of profaneness.

usually answered, arraigned, and convicted. evidence which the purest of all religions are not honest.

within the pale of the ministry. Now, Sir. conclude from them, that he is a true and I affirm that this passage refers entirely to hearty Christian, in substance, not in cerea ceremonial in the Roman Catholic church, mony; though possibly he may not agree which denies the cup to the laity. It has with my reverend lords the bishops, or with no manner of relation to the Protestant the Head of the Church, that prayers are

PHILO IUNIUS.

LETTER LVI.

FROM THE REV. MR HORNE TO JUNIUS. 16 August, 1771.

I CONGRATULATE you, Sir, on the recovery of your wonted style, though it has cost you a fortnight. I compassionate your labour in the composition of your letters, and will communicate to you the secret of my fluency.-Truth needs no ornament, and, in my opinion, what she borrows of the pencil is deformity.

You brought a positive charge against me of corruption. I denied the charge, and called for your proofs. You replied with abuse, and re-asserted your charge. I called again for proofs. You reply again with abuse only, and drop your accusation. In your fortnight's letter, there is not one word upon the subject of my corruption.

I have no more to say, but to return thanks to you for your condescension, and to a grateful public and honest ministry for all the favours they have conferred upon me. The two latter, I am sure, will never refuse me any grace I shall solicit; and since you have been pleased to acknowledge that you told a deliberate lie in my favour out of bounty, and as a charitable donation, why may I not expect that you will hereafter (if you do not forget you ever mentioned my name with disrespect) make the same acknowledgment for what you have Yet this is the way in which JUNIUS is said to my prejudice?—This second recantation will perhaps be more abhorrent from These candid critics never remember any your disposition; but should you decline it, thing he says in honour of our holy religion; you will only afford one more instance how though it is true that one of his leading much easier it is to be generous than just, arguments is made to rest upon the internal and that men are sometimes bountiful who

At all events I am as well satisfied with into his family! Like the universal passgrave it will be said, in your own words. Horne's situation did not correspond with his intentions.' 1

IOHN HORNE.

LETTER LVII.2

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON. My LORD. 28 Sept. 1771.

THE people of England are not

your panegyric as lord Chatham can be. port of an ambassador, it supersedes the Monument I shall have none; but over my prohibition of the laws, banishes the stable virtues of the country, and introduces vice and folly triumphantly into all the departments of the state. Other princes, besides his Majesty, have had the means of corruption within their reach, but they have used it with moderation. In former times, corruption was considered as a foreign auxiliary to government, and only called in upon extraordinary emergencies. The unfeigned piety, the sanctified religion, of George the Third have taught him to new-model the apprized of the full extent of their obliga- civil forces of the state. The natural retions to you. They have yet no adequate sources of the crown are no longer confided idea of the endless variety of your character. in. Corruption glitters in the van ;-col-They have seen you distinguished and suc- lects and maintains a standing army of cessful in the continued violation of those mercenaries, and, at the same moment, moral and political duties, by which the impoverishes and enslaves the country.little, as well as the great, societies of life His Majesty's predecessors (excepting that are collected and held together. Every worthy family from which you, my Lord, colour, every character became you. With are unquestionably descended) had some a rate of abilities, which lord Weymouth generous qualities in their composition, very justly looks down upon with contempt, with vices, I confess, or frailties in abundvou have done as much mischief to the ance. They were kings or gentlemen, not community as Cromwell would have done, hypocrites or priests. They were at the if Cromwell had been a coward, and as head of the church, but did not know the much as Machiavel, if Machiavel had not value of their office. They said their known, that an appearance of morals and prayers without ceremony, and had too religion are useful in society.-To a think- little priestcraft in their understanding, to ing man, the influence of the crown will, reconcile the sanctimonious forms of rein no view, appear so formidable, as when ligion with the utter destruction of the he observes to what enormous excesses it morality of their people.-My Lord, this is has safely conducted your Grace, without fact, not declamation.-With all your para new of real understanding, without even tiality to the house of Stuart, you must the pretension to common decency or prin- confess, that even Charles the Second would ciple of any kind, or a single spark of per- have blushed at that open encouragement. sonal resolution. What must be the opera- at those eager, meretricious caresses, with tion of that pernicious influence (for which which every species of private vice and our kings have wisely exchanged the public prostitution is received at St Yames's. nugatory name of prerogative), that, in the -The unfortunate house of Stuart has highest stations, can so abundantly supply been treated with an asperity, which, if the absence of virtue, courage, and abilities, comparison be a defence, seems to border and qualify a man to be the minister of a upon injustice. Neither Charles nor his great nation, whom a private gentleman brother were qualified to support such a would be ashamed and afraid to admit system of measures, as would be necessary to change the government, and subvert the

The epitaph would not be ill suited to the character.-At the best, it is but equivocal.

material, that it must be given to the public im-The inclosed is of such importance, so very mediately.' Private Letter, No. 38.—EDIT.

constitution of England. One of them was following recent fact, which I lav before the this country would cease to be problematequal gratification in it.

too much in earnest in his pleasures, -the public, for the honour of the best of soveother in his religion. But the danger to reigns, and for the edification of his people.

A prince (whose piety and self-denial, ical, if the crown should ever descend to a one would think, might secure him from prince, whose apparent simplicity might such a multitude of worldly necessities), throw his subjects off their guard, -who with an annual revenue of near a million might be no libertine in behaviour,—who sterling, unfortunately wants money.—The should have no sense of honour to restrain navy of England, by an equally strange him, and who, with just religion enough to concurrence of unforeseen circumstances impose upon the multitude, might have no (though not quite so unfortunately for his scruples of conscience to interfere with his Majestyl, is in equal want of timber. The morality. With these honourable qualifi- world knows in what a hopeful condition cations, and the decisive advantage of you delivered the navy to your successor, situation, low craft and falsehood are all the and in what a condition we found it in the abilities that are wanting to destroy the moment of distress. 1 You were determined wisdom of ages, and to deface the noblest it should continue in the situation in which monument that human policy has erected you left it. It happened, however, very -I know such a man; -My Lord, I know luckily for the privy purse, that one of the you both; and with the blessing of God above wants promised fair to supply the (for I too am religious), the people of Eng- other. Our religious, benevolent, generous land shall know you as well as I do. I am sovereign has no objection to selling his own not very sure, that greater abilities would timber to his own Admiralty, to repair his not, in effect, be an impediment to a design, own ships, nor to putting the money into which seems at first sight to require a his own pocket. People of a religious turn superior capacity. A better understanding naturally adhere to the principles of the might make him sensible of the wonderful church. Whatever they acquire falls into beauty of that system he was endeavouring mortmain.—Upon a representation from to corrupt. The danger of the attempt the Admiralty of the extraordinary want of might alarm him. The meanness and timber, for the indispensable repairs of the intrinsic worthlessness of the object (sup- navy, the surveyor-general was directed to posing he could attain to it) would fill him make a survey of the timber in all the royal with shame, repentance, and disgust. But chases and forests in England. Having these are sensations which find no entrance obeyed his orders with accuracy and atteninto a barbarous, contracted heart. In tion, he reported, that the finest timber he some men, there is a malignant passion to had any where met with, and the properest destroy the works of genius, literature, and in every respect for the purposes of the navy, freedom. The Vandal and the monk find was in Whittlebury Forest, of which your Grace, I think, is hereditary ranger. In Reflections like these, my Lord, have a consequence of this report, the usual wargeneral relation to your Grace, and insepar- rant was prepared at the Treasury, and ably attend you, in whatever company or delivered to the surveyor, by which he or situation your character occurs to us. They his deputy were authorized to cutdown any have no immediate connexion with the trees in Whittlebury Forest, which should

When the armament took place, in conse- periods, to the superintendence of the present

quence of the dispute with Spain, respecting earl Spencer, and some of his very able success-Falkland's Islands, the navy was found to be in ors, that we are to look for its true pinnacle of a most deplorable state. By the exertions of the giovy:—for the manifestation of that expert and late earl of Sandwich, then, and for many years chivalrous courage which has made it indeed the afterwards, first lord of the Admiralty, it was envy of an individual tyrant, but the admiration greatly renovated. It is however to later of the universe.—EDIT.

rangership of Whittlebury Forest, made by his sovereign in the midst of it!

1 Few persons have yet forgotten the commooutrageous attempt of the minister to enlarge the royal prerogative. By the common law of Eng-land no man can be disturbed in his title who has been in quiet possession of an estate for sixty years; but by an old obsolete law, a wretched remnant of ancient tyranny, it was asserted that nullum tempus occurrit regi, and such was the commencement of the law itself: in plain English, that no term of possession, whether sixty isis, that no term or possession, whether study or a hundred and sixty years, can defend against a claim of the crown. This law was attempted to be revived in the reign of James I.; but the attempt was so effectually opposed in its outset by that sound constitutional lawyer, sir Edward Coke, that a Bill of a contrary tendency was some contrary tendency was a contrary tendency was a contrary tendency was the standard which are solved the contrary tendency was the standard which are solved to the contrary tendency was the standard which are solved to the contrary tendency was the standard which are solved to the contrary tendency was the standard which are solved to the contrary tendency was the contrary tendency was the contrary tendency was the contrary tendency was the contrary tendency to the contrary tendency tendency that the contrary tendency tenden suffered to pass in its stead, which expressly secured every estate of sixty years' possession, against all and every person having or pretending to have any estate, right, or title, byforce

appear to be proper for the purposes above- original grant, and now, in the face of the mentioned. The deputy being informed public, contradict you directly upon the that the warrant was signed and delivered fact. The very reverse of what you have to his principal in London, crosses the asserted upon your honour is the truth. country to Northamptonshire, and with an The grant, expressly, and by a particular officious zeal for the public service, begins clause, reserves the property of the timber to do his duty in the forest. Unfortunately for the use of the crown.-In spite of this for him he had not the warrant in his evidence, in defiance of the representanocket. The oversight was enormous, and tions of the Admiralty, -in perfect mockery you have punished him for it accordingly. of the notorious distresses of the English You have insisted that an active, useful navy, and those equally pressing, and alofficer should be dismissed from his place. most equally notorious, necessities of your You have ruined an innocent man and his pious sovereign, -here the matter rests. family.-In what language shall I address The lords of the Treasury recall their warso black, so cowardly a tyrant; — thou rant; the deputy-surveyor is ruined for worse than one of the Brunswicks, and all doing his duty ;-Mr John Pitt (whose the Stuarts !- To them who know lord name I suppose is offensive to you submits North, it is unnecessary to say, that he was to be brow-beaten and insulted :-- the oaks mean and base enough to submit to you. - keep their ground ;-the king is defrauded, This however is but a small part of the fact. and the navy of England may perish for After ruining the surveyor's deputy, for act- want of the best and finest timber in the ing without the warrant, you attacked the island. And all this is submitted to-to warrant itself. You declared it was illegal, appease the duke of Grafton !- To gratify and swore, in a fit of foaming, frantic passion, the man who has involved the king and his that it never should be executed. You assert- kingdom in confusion and distress, and ed upon yourhonour, that in the grant of the who, like a treacherous coward, deserted

Charles the Second (whom, with a modesty There has been a strange alteration in that would do honour to Mr Rigby, you are your doctrines, since you thought it advispleased to call your ancestor) to one of his able to rob the duke of Portland of his probastards (from whom I make no doubt of perty, in order to strengthen the interest of your descent), the property of the timber is lord Bute's son-in-law, before the last vested in the ranger. - I have examined the general election, 1 Nullum tempus occurrit

tion into which the nation was thrown by this suggestion of concealment, or defective titles, of or for which said manors, lands, and tenements, no verdict, judgment, or decree, hath been had

or given.'
This extraordinary and unconstitutional prerogative of the crown, was attempted to be revived by the duke of Grafton in 1767; who, for the mere purpose of carrying an election of the county of Cumberland in favour of sir James Lowther against the duke of Portland, had admitted the former to become a royal grantee of an enormous portion of what had formerly been crown lands—but which had been for upwards of seventy years in the different families of the actual possessors. This attempt introduced sir dental possessors. This attempt introduced an George Savile's famous Bill, which was called the Quieting Bill, and was intended to render more valid the Act of James I. in favour of the subject against the crown. The remainder shall tending to have any estate, right, or title, byforce be given to the reader in an extract from a writer or colour of any letters patent, or grants, upon in the Public Advertiser, who adopted the signaregi, was then your boasted motto, and the seems a grant of Charles the Second to one cry of all your hungry partisans.

ture of Ulysses, and addressed two Letters to

lord North on this subject.

'The Bill was lost. But the perseverance of a good man in a good cause is not easily shaken. Sir George Savile revived the Bill in the succeeding session; and its success at last, notwithstanding all the efforts of your Lordship, and the rest of the administration, gave a signal proof of the constitutional spirit which will break forth, when the struggle begins between liberty and prerogative -In the progress of the Bill, a clause was proposed by the friends of sir James Low-ther, which left to the grantees of the crown, twelve months from the 1st of Jan. 1769, for the prosecution of their suits. The friends of the Bill, anxious to secure to the subject, if they could, so important a law, did not hesitate in admitting the clause. They assented to the proposition, that the case of sir James Lowther should remain untouched by the Bill then de-

pending.

'Such was that consent of which Sir James's friends have talked so much: And what does it at last amount to? We agree not to touch the case of sir James Lowther by this Bill. Does this important agreement (if by the way such an agreement could be made by private parties, in restraint of the powers of parliament) never to interpose? at no time, in no circumstances, under no provocations of the most flagrant abuse, or the most urgent necessity? Does it mean to say, that all future parliaments shall to eternity be barred from interfering, though impelled to it by the clearest justice? Do the friends of the duke of Portland, does the whole legislature, mean to ratify, to confirm, and to sanctify that hateful grant? If these intentions neither can nor will be attributed to the consent given, the utmost construction of it can extend no farther, than I have contended that its true sense imports, a neutrality at that time and in that Bill towards the question. If the case should be found to require future interposition, future parliaments will interpose. But till the conduct of sir James Lowther shall make it necessary for us to point at him personally, we will give him credit for those feelings of sense, of decency, and of remorse, that shall make it prevish to revive the memory of that odious transaction.

'Have these sensations operated upon sir James? What has been his conduct? On the last day of the year allowed him, he served above 400 ejectments. The county of Cumberland, from one extremity of it to the other, was in the utmost confusion. Not a man who had voted against him within the described limits of the grant was to escape. The word was gone forth-The rebels must be sacrificed, the county must be crushed. But the alarm that was given by so extensive and unparalleled revenge, made it very soon prudent and necessary for sir James to abandon many of those suits: And they stood then reduced to the moderate number of 15 bills in a Court of Equity, and 225 suits at law.

Now it of his bastards is to be held sacred and in-

'Your Lordship, perhaps, could see with plea-sure the miseries of that devoted county. You could, perhaps, contemplate with inward satis-faction, the glorious and exalted figure of sir James Lowther, while you beheld him, with an air of triumphant dignity, ride in the whirlwind and direct the storm. Other ideas filled the mind of sir William Meredith. He could not hear of the distress and confusion into which that unfortunate county was plunged by the clause alluded to, without immediately resolving to do that justice to Cumberland, which had been already shown to every other county in the king's do-minions. He therefore brought in the Bill to

repeal that clause.
I do not mean to enter into abstruse arguments upon the subject. In truth, it is a subject that the plain common sense of every moderate capacity, the natural and first feelings of every generous and honest heart, must instantly decide upon. A law is made for the general quiet of the subject. A clause improvidently admitted (upon a principle of delicacy which subsequent events have not justified) counteracts the intention of that law; by perverting its beneficial purposes, and making it operate as a partial instrument of oppression, instead of securing to the whole kingdom the blessings it was intended to diffuse. Is not this a state of the fact? Was not the whole kingdom, Cumberland excepted, in the full enjoyment of that security which the Bill of quiet was intended to procure? And was not Cumberland, and Cumberland alone, sacrificed by this clause? For extraordinary as the fact is, it is a truth which ought to be known, and which I am sure nobody will dare to controvert, that sir James Lowther is the single grantee of the crown who has availed himself of this clause. There was not a man to be found, sir James Lowther excepted, who would suffer himself to be transmitted to posterity as the last Englishman who exercised over his fellow-subjects this expiring right of barbarity.

'Ashamed of proceedings which all men saw with concern, the Bill operated so far upon sir James, that he was at last induced to offer to abandon every other suit he had begun, provided only that he might be at full liberty to prosecute with effect the great delinquent, the duke of

Portland.

Your Lordship's notions of equal justice reconciled you to the idea. You thought that his Grace's situation in life, and his fortune, exempted him from compassion, and that he was therefore excluded from all claim or pretension

to common justice.

It is your duty, my Lord, to inform the public why that grant is acknowledged to be untenable against all but his Grace of Portland? Why it is to be abandoned and renounced in every in-stance but in his? What there is in it, if sir James thought it shameful and unjustifiable to proceed against the 224, that should make it honourable or just to pursue the 225th? Sir

LETTERS OF JUNIUS.

nearly allied in principle than in blood.

what are your Grace's merits, either with sympathy of manners, which subsists beking or ministry, that should entitle you to tween your Grace and one of your supeassume this domineering authority over riors, and does so much honour to you both?-Is it the fortunate consanguinity both?-Is the union of Blifil and Black

James Lowther and your Lordship have allowed the suit of sir James Lowther; another explanaobliterated with respect to every suit commenced, one only excepted. Have you tried those suits? Have you heard their respective merits? Can you distinguish between them, and say this man should be quieted; that man ought to be harassed and oppressed? Yet you have said so; -for the duke of Portland is an exception to all —nor the cluste of Portland is an exception to all cases. He is not fit to have the benefit of that law (created by the severity of his particular case) which every other Englishman does actually enjoy. He ought to stand branded and exposed to all posterity: He shall be attainted by name: He must fall the single victim, and be a proceed to all posterity in the early action. made the only sacrifice for the peace and quiet of this country.

'Let us then hear no more of the objection of aritamentary interposition pendente like, by an ex post facto law. Your Lordship heard in the proper place, and could not refute, the many precedents of laws made ex post facto upon urgent occasions. Your Lordship could not then, nor will now deny, that when parliaments have interfered to protect the subject against oppressive grants, they have always done it; they must ever do it pendente lite. Till the grant is put in suit, no grievance can be said to exist. The prosecutions under it are the very grievance which parliament interposes to redress. Such was the view, and such was the effect of the Bill for the general quiet of the subject in king James's time, and which six George Savile's was intended to pursue.—But, my Lord, you cannot avail yourself of the argument The concession of sir Tames Lowther has alone defeated it.

'A grant is passed, affecting in its consequences the rights of 225 persons. By a law that should be made ex post facto, pendente lite, you would deem it honourable and just to restrain sir James Lowther from proceedings in 224 of these suits. It remains for your Lordship to satisfy the world that it is honourable and just that he should proceed in the 225th. You must do more.—You must prove that it will not be both dishonourable and unjust if he do, or if he be suffered to proceed in it.

In order to relieve the duke of Portland from

violable! It must not be questioned by the you claim with the house of Stuart ?- Is it king's servants, nor submit ed to any inter- the secret correspondence you have for so pretation but your own.-My Lord, this many years carried on with lord Bute, by was not the language you held, when it the assiduous assistance of your creamsuited you to insult the memory of the coloured parasite?-Could not your galglorious deliverer of England from that lantry find sufficient employment for him, detested family, to which you are still more in those gentle offices by which he first acquired the tender friendship of lord Bar-In the name of decency and common sense, rington !- Or is it only that wonderful

that all traces of this detested grant should be tory Bill was introduced into the House of Commons in Feb. 7, 1771, by which the clause in favour of sir James Lowther was to be repealed: the question was lost on the third reading by 164 in behalf of the clause, against 155 in opposition

The reader will nevertheless rejoice to hear that the crown, on the part of sir James Lowther, was nonsuited in the Court of Exchequer, though it had triumphed in the House of Commons. The following short statement of this celebrated trial is taken from the Public Advertiser, Nov. 22, 1771. 'Wednesday came on before the Barons of the Exchequer, at Westminster Hall, the great cause between sir James Lowiher, Bart. plaintiff, and the duke of Portland, de-Bart, plaintur, and the duke of Fornand, un-fendant, in consequence of a grant made to sir James Lowther of the forest of Inglewood, in the year 1767, of lands being for upwards of seventy years in the possession of the duke of Portland. Their Lordships came into court about nine o'clock, and after waiting near an hour for baron Adams, the Chief Baron received a letter from his Lordship, informing him of the impossibility of his attending the court that day, on account of the death of lady Adams. The court then entered into the business of the day, and recited all the records and prerogatives of the crown, from Edward the First to the lease made to sir James Lowther; when, after a full, candid, and most impartial examination of the said lease, it was found invalid, agreeable to the statute made in the first year of queen Anne, which recites 'that upon every grant, lease, or assurance, there be reserved a reasonable rent. not being under the third part of the clear yearly value of such of the said manors, mes-suages, lands, &c. &c. as shall be contained in such lease or grant.' Sir James Lowther's grant from the crown being only a quit rent of 138. and 4d. for the whole forest of Inglewood, was immediately judged by the Court an inade-quate third proportion, and he was nonsuited accordingly.

See the whole transaction more fully expatiated upon in Miscellaneous Letters signed Mnemon, Nos. XIII. and XIV.—Edir. human virtue and understanding.-Good against Providence. men can hardly believe the fact. Wise

I To this Letter the following answer was returned, which, as it proves Junius to have been mistaken as to the facts relative to Whittlebury Forest, is here inserted on the score of im partiality.

FOR THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER. The Story of the Oaks, addressed to the Public and to JUNIUS.

The principles upon which JUNIUS fabricates all his declamations to the public, have been fairly unmasked and plainly exhibited in two former Letters.—They need only an exposure to nullify every thing he promulgates, and render him abominable in the eyes of common sense and honesty.—But to follow so gross a falsifier through the infinity of his wicked libels and virulent attacks upon the king, and many of the worthiest characters in the nation, is a task too foul for any gentleman to undertake. - Suffice it therefore to observe, that JUNIUS'S labours all tend to sinister ends, and they are glossed over with a high varnish only to conceal the coarseness of the design .- Men, and not measures, are his aim.-He avails himself of the unhappy licentiousness of the times, and levels all his rhetoric at your passions, not at your reason.

He began his career upon the old infamous ne began ins career upon the on mamous maxim in political writing, that lies are swifter of foot than truth, and when they are roundly and boldly asserted will find believers; but lickfly for us, that left-handed wisdom called culturing, always detects and frustrates itself.

The last charge which he has blazoned in such fiery colours against the duke of Grafton relative to the Oaks, may serve as a specimen of his veracity.-The fact is in no one instance as he has represented it .- An officer was sent down by the commissioners of the navy (as he declared) to inspect the timber in Salcey and Whittlebury Forests in Northamptonshire; and was ordered to make a return of what he found fit for the purposes of ship-building. - He accordingly marked upwards of four thousand trees in the latter, which are almost all that deserve the name of timber in the whole forest.-In consequence of his return an application was made to the Treasury for permission to cut down thirteen hundred loads-and to make a just parody upon JUNIUS's own words, 'to them who know lord North it is unnecessary to say that he was very ready to give his assent;' as the advancement of every public good has ever been the invariable rule of his Lordship's conduct. - But it was never intended nor suspected that it would be carried into a rash unseasonable execution, without due regard being first paid to the circumstances

George no longer a romance ?- From what- men are unable to account for it. Religious ever origin your influence in this country men find exercise for their faith, and make arises, it is a phænomenon in the history of it the last effort of their piety, not to repine

JUNIUS.1

exigency so urgent as to warrant a flagrant violation of private property; which the adjacent parishes must have suffered in their right of commonage, and the duke in his hereditary right to the underwood, had he not remonstrated against it .- Besides, the season for felling timber was so far past, that the bark (which is a valuable article, and will never run except in April or May while the sap is rising) would have been entirely lost .- But there is another argument to be adduced still more cogent than all the rest, it being a great national concern, which is to preserve the succession of young trees.—This can only be done by carefully clearing away the common underwood so as to expose them to plain view, otherwise they would be inevitably demolished, partly by the falling of the trees, and partly by the carelessness of workmen, as they grow mostly under them from the acorns which drop.-There is an established and legal rule against cutting the underwood oftener than once in twenty-one years; for nine years after it is cut, the same regulation prescribes that the respective coppices shall be fenced in to prevent the cattle and deer from destroying the young tender shoots; during which time the vicinage is deprived of the pasture; and for the remaining twelve years of that term, the neighbouring villages have a positive rigat of common. Underwood is as necessary to draw young trees up straight, and produce good timber, as a hot-bed is for raising melons and mushrooms.-There are many secondary considerations which ought to have their weight, though it is not requisite after what has been advanced, to swell this narrative by enumerating them .- Without deliberating upon these essential points, so ardent was the zeal of the surveyor general for the public service (for the trifling perquisites of the lop and chips, amounting to little more than half the value of the timber, cannot be deemed a sufficient incentive for committing such a depredation), that he immediately dispatched a person unauthorized, to hack and hew, without the least previous intimation being given to the hereditary ranger, deputy ranger, or the king's wood-ward. In opposition to all this strange precipitancy and irregularity, the duke of Grafton did no more than interpose a candid representation of the case; which wisely put a stop to such unjust proceedings.-He never once made use of those absurd declarations which Junius has so in-vidiously put in his mouth, 'that the property of the timber was vested in the ranger.'—And to retort a few more of his own precious words, he must here be 'contradicted in the face of the public directly upon the fact.—The very reverse of what he has asserted is the truth '-for neither attendant upon such an operation; nor was the the present duke nor his predecessor ever allowed

LETTER LVIII.

ADDRESSED TO THE LIVERY OF LONDON.

30 Sept. 1771 GENTLEMEN.

IF you alone were concerned in cludes all idea of election.1 the event of the present election of a chief

a single stick of timber to be cut down, for any purpose, without first having obtained a regular order from the Treasury—on the contrary (as it has been heretofore justly remarked) it has been preserved for the use of the public, with an attention and integrity not to be parallelled in any other royal forest.

For the better convenience of supplying the industrious poor of the circumjacent country with firing, it has been always a custom to arrange the coppices in a regular progression, so as to cut two or more annually.—There are however two coppices (which contain a great quantity of fine timber) exempt from commonage; and as none of the stated periods for the others are yet expired, though they are too young, yet rather than withhold the wood from the navy, the duke has given orders for fencing and clearing them, which will be effected long ere the proper season arrives for felling the trees—The rest will be cut as they fall in course.—'Mr Junius, this is fact, not declamation.'—The oaks will come down; the king will not be defrauded; nor will the navy of England perish for want of them !

How must it scandalize all our patriots that their omniscient Junius should discover such consummate ignorance, as to be reduced to the necessity of examining the original grant, to inform himself of a notorious point, which he mugar have learned from every attorney's clerk by Junus and his party were not deemed of throughout the kingdom; nay even from Brass Crosby, who was only a menial servant to an attorney, that the timber in royal forests is reserved for the use of the crown?

be decided by ordinary precedent and practice. They artfully conclude, from moderate peaceable times to times which are not moderate, and which ought not to be peaceable.-While they solicit your faronr, they insist upon a rule of rotation, which ex-

Let me be honoured with a few minutes magistrate of the metropolis, it would be of your attention.-The question, to those the highest presumption in a stranger, to who mean fairly to the liberty of the people attempt to influence your choice, or even to (which we all profess to have in view), lies offer you his opinion. But the situation of within a very narrow compass.-Do you public affairs has annexed an extraoidinary mean to desert that just and honourable importance to your resolutions. You can-system of measures which you have hitherto not, in the choice of your magistrate, pursued, in hopes of obtaining from pardetermine for yourselves only. You are liament, or from the crown, a full redress of going to determine upon a point, in which past grievances, and a security for the every member of the community is interest- future?—Do you think the cause desperate, ed .- I will not scruple to say, that the very and will you declare that you think so to being of that law, of that right, of that the whole people of England?-If this be constitution, for which we have been so your meaning and opinion, you will act long contending, is now at stake. They consistently with it in chusing Mr Nash .who would ensuare your judgment, tell I profess to be unacquainted with his priyou it is a common, ordinary case, and to vate character. But he has acted as a magistrate,-as a public man.-As such I

> This, I say, may serve for a damning proof of JUNIUS'S veracity—and all his slanderous productions are equally refutable and false.—In the abundance of his modesty, he has somewhere told us that his writings will be handed down to posterity like the Bible!—It is needless for me to censure his irreverent comparison; nor will I altogether deny his prediction; for while the Bible endures as a monument of truth, his writings may stand in odious contrast as a monument

> for lies. PHILALETHES.—EDIT.
>
> By the ordinary rotation of office, the mayoralty of the ensuing year, it was well known, would pass into the hands of alderman Nash, whose political views concurred with those of the existing administration: and the object of the present Letter therefore is to persuade the Livery to overlook Mr Nash, and by an extra-ordinary exercise of their elective franchise, to return Mr Crosby or Mr Sawbridge in his stead, whose politics were well known to be of the Whig school. But the divisions which had been introduced into the Bill of Rights Society, through the vanity of Oliver and Horne, had now spread to the city, and almost ruined the popular cause.—Many were suspicious of the purity of its leaders-and still more were grown

speak of him.—I see his name in a protest tituted legislature?—If these questions can against one of your remonstrances to the fairly be answered in the affirmative, your crown.-He has done every thing in his choice is made. Forgive this passionate power to destroy the freedom of popular language. - I am unable to correct it. - The elections in the city, by publishing the poll subject comes home to us all. - It is the upon a former occasion; and I know, in language of my heart.1 general, that he has distinguished himself. by slighting and thwarting all those public measures, which you have engaged in with the greatest warmth, and hitherto thought most worthy of your approbation.-From his past conduct what conclusion will you draw, but that he will act the same part as lord mayor, which he has invariably acted as alderman and sheriff? He cannot alter his conduct, without confessing that he never acted upon principle of any kind. -I should be sorry to injure the character of a man, who perhaps may be honest in his intentions, by supposing it possible that he can ever concur with you in any political measure, or opinion.

If, on the other hand, you mean to persevere in those resolutions for the public good, which, though not always successful, are always honourable, your choice will naturally incline to those men, who (whatever they be in other respects) are most likely to co-operate with you in the great relinquish:-The question is not, of what interest an Englishman, so unjustly dignisively, called a reward. You mean not merely to pay, but to employ.-Are Mr Crosby and Mr Sawbridge likely to execute the extraordinary, as well as the ordinary, king?—Have they firmness enough to meet test, if it should be necessary, with a pros- and endeavour to persuade these furious

JUNIUS.

LETTER LIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PURLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 5 October, 1771.

No man laments, more sincerely than I do, the unhappy differences which have arisen among the friends of the people, and divided them from each other. The cause undoubtedly suffers, as well by the diminution of that strength, which union carries with it, as by the separate loss of personal reputation, which every man sustains, when his character and conduct are frequently held forth in odious or contemptible colours. These differences are only advantageous to the common enemy of the country.-The hearty friends of the cause are provoked and disgusted. The lukewarm advocate avails himself of any pretence to relapse into that indolent indifpurposes which you are determined not to ference about every thing that ought to metal your instruments are made, but fied with the title of moderation. The whether they are adapted to the work you false, insidious partisan, who creates or have in hand? The honours of the city, in foments the disorder, sees the fruit of his these times, are improperly, because exclu-dishonest industry ripen beyond his hopes, and rejoices in the promise of a banquet, only delicious to such an appetite as his own.-It is time for those who really mean the Cause and the People,2 who have no duties of lord mayor?-Will they grant you view to private advantage, and who have common halls when it shall be necessary?- virtue enough to prefer the general good of Will they go up with remonstrances to the the community to the gratification of personal animosities,-it is time for such men the fury of a venal House of Commons?- to interpose.-Let us try whether these Have they fortitude enough not to shrink fatal dissensions may not yet be reconciled; at imprisonment?—Have they spirit enough or, if that be impracticable, let us guard at to hazard their lives and fortunes in a con- least against the worst effects of division,

¹ See Private Letter, No. 56.-EDIT.

¹ See Private Letter, No. 63.-EDF

partisans, if they will not consent to draw source, but in the public favour, is, in my that legal liberty, that general creed, which would disarm him. every Englishman professes, may still be I can more readily admire the liberal serving themselves.

take fire.

expedients.—That he has no possible re- much to be desired that we had many

together, to be separately useful to that judgment, a considerable recommendation cause which they all pretend to be attached of him. I wish that every man, who preto.—Honour and honesty must not be re-tended to popularity, were in the same prenounced, although a thousand modes of dicament. I wish that a retreat to St right and wrong were to occupy the degrees James's were not so easy and open, as paof morality between Zeno and Epicurus, triots have found it. To Mr Wilkes there The fundamental principles of Christianity is no access. However he may be misled may still be preserved, though every zeal- by passion or imprudence, I think he canous sectary adheres to his own exclusive not be guilty of a deliberate treachery to doctrine, and pious ecclesiastics make it the public. The favour of his country conpart of their religion to persecute one stitutes the shield, which defends him another. --- The civil constitution too, against a thousand daggers. -- Desertion

supported, though Wilkes, and Horne, spirit and integrity than the sound judgand Townshend, and Sawbridge should ment of any man, who prefers a republican obstinately refuse to communicate, and form of government, in this or any other even if the fathers of the church, if Savile, empire of equal extent, to a monarchy so Richmond, Camden, Rockingham, and qualified and limited as ours. I am con-Chatham, should disagree in the cere-vinced that neither is it in theory the wisest monies of their political worship, and even system of government, nor practicable in in the interpretation of twenty texts in this country. Yet, though I hope the Eng-Magna Charta.—I speak to the people as lish constitution will for ever preserve its one of the people.-Let us employ these original monarchical form, I would have men in whatever departments their various the manners of the people purely and abilities are best suited to, and as much to strictly republican.-I do not mean the the advantage of the common cause, as licentious spirit of anarchy and riot.-I their different inclinations will permit, mean a general attachment to the common They cannot serve us, without essentially weal, distinct from any partial attachment to persons or families; -- an implicit sub-If Mr Nash be elected, he will hardly mission to the laws only, and an affection venture, after so recent a mark of the per- to the magistrate, proportioned to the insonal esteem of his fellow-citizens, to de-tegrity and wisdom with which he disclare himself immediately a courtier. The tributes justice to his people, and adminisspirit and activity of the sheriffs will, I ters their affairs. The present habit of hope, be sufficient to counteract any sinis- our political body appears to me the very ter intentions of the lord mayor. In col- reverse of what it ought to be. The form lision with their virtue, perhaps he may of the constitution leans rather more than enough to the popular branch; while, in It is not necessary to exact from Mr effect, the manners of the people (of those Wilkes the virtues of a Stoic. They were at least who are likely to take a lead in the inconsistent with themselves, who, almost country) incline too generally to a dependat the same moment, represented him as ence upon the crown. The real friends of the basest of mankind, yet seemed to ex- arbitrary power combine the facts, and are pect from him such instances of fortitude not inconsistent with their principles, when and self-denial, as would do honour to an they strenuously support the unwarrantable apostle. It is not however flattery to say, privileges assumed by the House of Comthat he is obstinate, intrepid, and fertile in mons. - In these circumstances, it were

such men as Mr Sawbridge to represent us and, founded upon that necessity, attributes of Athens, or Lacedæmon.

to solicit his return.

sense in which it is supreme over Great Britain ;—when lord Camden supposes a for sea-service: the legality of which he allows. necessity (which the king is to judge of), but confines it to seafaring men alone.—Edit.

in parliament. - I speak from common re- to the crown a legal power (not given by port and opinion only, when I impute to the act itself) to suspend the operation of him a speculative predilection in favour of an act of the legislature, -- I listen to them a republic.-In the personal conduct and both with diffidence and respect, but withmanners of the man, I cannot be mistaken. out the smallest degree of conviction or He has shown himself possessed of that assent. Yet, I doubt not, they delivered republican firmness, which the times re- their real sentiments, nor ought they to be quire, and by which an English gentleman hastily condemned.-I too have a claim to may be as usefully and as honourably dis- the candid interpretation of my country. tinguished, as any citizen of ancient Rome, when I acknowledge an involuntary compulsive assent to one very unpopular Mr Townshend complains, that the pub- opinion. I lament the unhappy necessity. lic gratitude has not been answerable to whenever it arises, of providing for the his deserts.—It is not difficult to trace the safety of the state, by a temporary invasion artifices, which have suggested to him a of the personal liberty of the subject,1 language so unworthy of his understanding. Would to God it were practicable to recon-A great man commands the affections of cile these important objects, in every posthe people. A prudent man does not com- sible situation of public affairs !- I regard plain when he has lost them. Yet they the legal liberty of the meanest man in are far from being lost to Mr Townshend. Britain, as much as my own, and would He has treated our opinion a little too defend it with the same zeal. I know we cavalierly. A young man is apt to rely too must stand or fall together. But I never confidently upon himself, to be as attentive can doubt, that the community has a right to his mistress as a polite and passionate to command, as well as to purchase, the lover ought to be. Perhaps he found her service of its members. I see that right at first too easy a conquest.-Yet, I fancy. founded originally upon a necessity, which she will be ready to receive him, whenever supersedes all argument. I see it estabhe thinks proper to renew his addresses. lished by usage immemorial, and admitted With all his youth, his spirit, and his ap- by more than a tacit assent of the legislapearance, it would be indecent in the lady ture. I conclude there is no remedy, in the nature of things, for the grievance com-I have too much respect for the abilities plained of; for, if there were, it must long of Mr Horne, to flatter myself that these since have been redressed. Though numgentlemen will ever be cordially re-united. berless opportunities have presented them-It is not, however, unreasonable to expect, selves, highly favourable to public liberty, that each of them should act his separate no successful attempt has ever been made part, with honour and integrity to the pub- for the relief of the subject in this article. lic.—As for differences of opinion upon Yet it has been felt and complained of, speculative questions, if we wait until they ever since England had a navy.-The conare reconciled, the action of human affairs ditions, which constitute this right, must must be suspended for ever. But neither be taken together. Separately, they have are we to look for perfection in any one little weight. It is not fair to argue, from man, nor for agreement among many. --- any abuse in the execution, to the illegality When lord Chatham affirms, that the of the power; much less is a conclusion to authority of the British legislature is not be drawn from the navy to the land service. supreme over the colonies, in the same A seaman can never be employed but

He alludes to the practice of impressing men

against the enemies of his country.1 The naturally for itself. He knew the doctrine wanted to give operation to the bounty.

At the time when the dispute between this ancient and long-continued usage, frequently country and Spain existed, relative to Falkland's Islands, for a brief account of which, see note to Miscellaneous Letter, No. LXXXVIII., under a persuasion that war was inevitable, an armament took place, and press-warrants were issued. The legality of these, in regard to the city, though backed by the lord mayor, was questioned by Mr Wilkes and several other aldermen, who discharged all persons brought before them so impressed. In consequence of these discordant views of the subject, the three following questions were submitted, by the lord mayor, to the opinion of three of the most celebrated counsel of the day, which, together with their answers, it has been thought right to sub-

Query 1. May the lords of the Admiralty of themselves, by virtue of their commission, or under the direction of the privy council, legally issue warrants for the impressing of seamen?

Q. 2. If yea, is the warrant annexed, in point of form, legal?

Q. 3. Is the lord mayor compellable to back such warrants? if he is, what may be the consequence of a refusal?

'The power of the crown to compel persons pursuing the employment and occupation of seamen to serve the public in times of danger and necessity, which has its foundation in that universal principle of the laws of all countries, that all private interest must give way to the public and the towns of Montros safety, appears to us to be well established by town, and Lyun,—EDIT.

only case in which the king can have a was unpopular, and was eager to fix it right to arm his subjects in general, is upon the man, who is the first object of that of a foreign force being actually his fear and detestation. The cunning landed upon our coast. Whenever that Scotchman never speaks truth without a case happens, no true Englishman will en- fraudulent design. In council, he generally quire, whether the king's right to compel affects to take a moderate part. Besides him to defend his country be the custom of his natural timidity, it makes part of his England, or a grant of the legislature, political plan, never to be known to recom-With regard to the press for seamen, it mend violent measures. When the guards does not follow that the symptoms may not are called forth to murder their fellow-subbe softened, although the distemper cannot jects, it is not by the ostensible advice of be cured. Let bounties be increased as lord Mansfield. That odious office, his far as the public purse can support them.2 prudence tells him, is better left to such Still they have a limit; and when every men as Gower and Weymouth, as Barringreasonable expense is incurred, it will be ton and Grafton. Lord Hillsborough found, in fact, that the spur of the press is wisely confines his firmness to the distant Americans.-The designs of Mansfield are Upon the whole, I never had a doubt more subtle, more effectual, and secure.about the strict right of pressing, until I Who attacks the liberty of the press?heard that lord Mansfield had applauded Lord Mansfield.—Who invades the conlord Chatham for delivering something like stitutional power of juries?-Lord Mansthis doctrine in the House of Lords. That field.—What judge ever challenged a juryconsideration staggered me not a little, man, but lord Mansfield?—Who was that But, upon reflection, his conduct accounts judge, who, to save the king's brother,

> recognized; and, in many instances, regulated by the legislature, and noticed at least without censure by courts of justice; and we see no objection to this power being exercised by the lords of the Admiralty under the authority of His Majesty's orders in council.

> 'The form of the warrant, as well as the manner in which such warrants have been usually executed, appear to us to be liable to many con-siderable objections; but the nature of those objections lead us to think it the more expedient, that the authority of a civil magistrate should interpose in the execution of them, to check and controul the abuses to which they are hable; and, therefore, although we do not think that the lord mayor is compellable to back the warrants, or liable to any punishment in case of his refusal we think it right to submit it to his Lordship's consideration, whether it will not be more conducive to the preservation of the peace of the city, and the protection of the subject from oppression, if he conforms, in this instance, to what we understand to have been the practice of most of his predecessors upon the like occasion,

Al. Wedderburn, J. Glynn,

' November 22nd, 1770. J. DUNNING.'-EDIT.

2 This suggestion of the author was adopted by the cities of London, Bristol, and Edinburgh; and the towns of Montrose, Aberdeen, Cambleaffirmed that a man of the first rank and a superfluous caution if we observe the with each other.

disputed by the relatives of the deceased with the earl of Chatham. See note 2, p. 316—EDIT. 2 Calcraft was introduced into political notice by lord Holland, to whom he had been private secretary, and afterwards accumulated an immense private property, by becoming army Letter agent. He subsequently deserted his patron, and strove to obtain a peerage from administration. He died without having obtained his EDIT.

quality, who obtains a verdict in a suit for ordinary conduct of mankind. In public criminal conversation, is entitled to no affairs, there is the least chance of a perfect greater damages than the meanest me- concurrence of sentiment or inclination. chanic? - Lord Mansfield. - Who is it Yet every man is able to contribute somemakes commissioners of the great seal?- thing to the common stock, and no man's Lord Mansfield.-Who is it forms a decree contribution should be rejected. If indifor those commissioners, deciding against viduals have no virtues, their vices may be lord Chatham, I and afterwards (finding of use to us. I care not with what prinhimself opposed by the judges) declares in ciple the new-born patriot is animated, if parliament, that he never had a doubt that the measures he supports are beneficial to the law was in direct opposition to that the community. The nation is interested decree?-Lord Mansfield.-Who is he, in his conduct. His motives are his own. that has made it the study and practice of The properties of a patriot are perishable his life, to undermine and alter the whole in the individual, but there is a quick sucsystem of jurisprudence in the Court of cession of subjects, and the breed is worth King's Bench?-Lord Mansfield. There preserving.-The spirit of the Americans never existed a man but himself, who an- may be an useful example to us. Our dogs swered exactly to so complicated a descrip- and horses are only English upon English tion. Compared to these enormities, his ground; but patriotism, it seems, may be original attachment to the Pretender (to improved by transplanting.-I will not rewhom his dearest brother was confidential ject a bill, which tends to confine parliasecretary) is a virtue of the first magnitude. mentary privilege within reasonable bounds, But the hour of impeachment will come, though it should be stolen from the House and neither he nor Grafton shall escape me. of Cavendish, and introduced by Mr Ons-Now let them make common cause against low. The features of the infant are a proof England and the House of Hanover. A of the descent, and vindicate the noble birth Stuart and a Murray should sympathize from the baseness of the adoption.-I willingly accept of a sarcasm from Colonel When I refer to signal instances of un- Barre, or a simile from Mr Burke. Even popular opinions delivered and maintained the silent vote of Mr Calcraft is worth by men, who may well be supposed to have reckoning in a division.—What though he no view but the public good, I do not mean riots in the plunder of the army, and has to renew the discussion of such opinions, only determined to be a patriot when he I should be sorry to revive the dormant could not be a peer?2 Let us profit by questions of Stamp-act, Corn-bill, or Press- the assistance of such men, while they are I mean only to illustrate one with us, and place them, if it be possible, useful proposition, which it is the intention in the post of danger, to prevent desertion. of this paper to inculcate: - That we should The wary Wedderburne, the pompous not generally reject the friendship or services Suffolk, never threw away the scabbard, of any man, because he differs from us in a nor ever went upon a forlorn hope. They particular opinion. This will not appear always treated the king's servants as men,

² On the Burton Pynsent estate, which was object. One of his mistresses was the celebrated

George Ann Bellamy.—EDIT.

3 In allusion to his Lordship's manner. Yet it must also be recollected that he headed the renegado Whigs who deserted to the ministry on the death of George Grenville. See Miscellaneous Letters, Nos. XCVI. and XCVII., in which his Lordship's conduct is reprobated in very severe terms, particularly so in the latter .-

preferment.

trymen against the meanness and folly of to the bottom, and is lost for ever. accepting of a trifling or moderate compensation for extraordinary and essential injuries. Our enemies treat us as the cunning trader does the unskilful Indian. They magnify their generosity, when they give us baubles, of little proportionate value, for ivory and gold. The same House of Commons, who robbed the constituent body of their right of free election, who presumed to make a law under pretence of declaring it; 1 who paid our good king's debts, without once enquiring how they were incurred: who gave thanks for repeated murders committed at home, and screened lord Mansfield; who imprisoned correspondent Scavola,3 who seems to me

in the year 1769.-EDIT.

For a further explanation on this subject see note to Miscellaneous Letter, No. XCII., EDIT.

3 The letter of Scævola here referred to occurs in the Public Advertiser of October 12, and is as follows:

TO JUNIUS.

SIR.

chievous a tenet as could have proceeded from deliver in the House of Lords, was this :- That

with whom, some time or other, they the magistrates of the metropolis, for assertmight possibly be in friendship. When ing the subject's right to the protection of a man who stands forth for the public has the laws; who erased a judicial record. and gone that length, from which there is no ordered all proceedings in a criminal suit to practicable retreat,—when he has given be suspended; 2—this very House of Comthat kind of personal offence, which a pious mons have graciously consented, that their monarch never pardons, I then begin to own members may be compelled to pay their think him in earnest, and that he never debts, and that contested elections shall for will have occasion to solicit the forgiveness the future be determined with some decent of his country.-But instances of a deter- regard to the merits of the case. The event mination so entire and unreserved are of the suit is of no consequence to the crown. rarely met with. Let us take mankind as While parliaments are septennial, the purthey are. Let us distribute the virtues and chase of the sitting member or of the abilities of individuals according to the petitioner makes but the difference of a day. offices they affect, and when they quit the -Concessions, such as these, are of little service, let us endeavour to supply their moment to the sum of things; unless it be places with better men than we have lost. to prove, that the worst of men are sensible In this country, there are always candidates of the injuries they have done us, and perenough for popular favour. The temple of haps to demonstrate to us the imminent fame is the shortest passage to riches and danger of our situation. In the shipwreck of the state, trifles float and are preserved: Above all things, let me guard my coun- while every thing solid and valuable sinks

IUNIUS.

LETTER LX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, 15 October, 1771.

I AM convinced that JUNIUS is incapable of wilfully misrepresenting any man's opinion, and that his inclination leads him to treat lord Camden with particular candour and respect. The doctrine attributed to him by JUNIUS, as far as it for national infamy incurred abroad; who goes, corresponds with that stated by your

* The Nullum Tempus Bill, which was passed the year 1769.—Edit. Which was passed the year 1769.—Edit. In this representation you follow lord Mansfield, who gave that colour to the argument in the House of Lords. The great point of difference between the representation and the truth is, that the former makes lord Camden pronounce the king judge of the necessity, and the latter, viz. my lord Camden's real speech, makes parliament the judge of it, and exposes the head of the minister who advised the illegal act upon the plea of its You have mistaken lord Camden's necessity to the mercy of parliament. Lord opinion, and changed it into as weak and mis- Camden's opinion, which I heard him twice

to make a distinction without a difference. property in consequence of the proclamaexist .- Thus far JUNIUS.

that ministers may act at their peril. cumstances are favourable or palliating. When we say an act is illegal, we mean the approbation of his prostituted Lords the justice and mercy of my country." legal, why did he so obstinately oppose the great man. bill, which was soon after brought in, for indemnifying all those persons who had P. S. If Scavola should think proper to

Lord Camden, it is agreed, did certainly tion, might have laid his action against the maintain that, in the recess of parliament, custom-house officers, and would infallibly the king (by which we all mean the king have recovered damages. No jury could in council, or the executive power might refuse them; and if I, who am by no suspend the operation of an act of the means litigious, had been so injured, I legislature; and he founded his doctrine would assuredly have instituted a suit in upon a supposed necessity, of which the Westminster-hall, on purpose to try the king, in the first instance, must be judge. question of right. I would have done it The Lords and Commons cannot be judges upon a principle of defiance of the preof it in the first instance, for they do not tended power of either or both Houses to make declarations inconsistent with law. But, says Scavola, lord Camden made and I have no doubt that, with an act of parliament, and not the king, judges of the parliament on my side, I should have been necessity.—That parliament may review too strong for them all. This is the way the acts of ministers is unquestionable; in which an Englishman should speak but there is a wide difference between say- and act, and not suffer dangerous preing that the crown has a legal power, and, cedents to be established, because the cir-

With regard to lord Camden, the truth that it is forbidden by a joint resolution of is, that he inadvertently over-shot himself. the three estates. How a subsequent reso- as appears plainly by that unguarded menlution of two of those branches can make it tion of a tyranny of forty days, which I legal ab initio, will require explanation. myself heard. Instead of asserting that the If it could, the consequence would be truly proclamation was legal, he should have dreadful, especially in these times. There said, 'My Lords, I know the proclamation is no act of arbitrary power, which the king was illegal, but I advised it because it was might not attribute to necessity, and for indispensably necessary to save the kingwhich he would not be secure of obtaining dom from famine, and I submit myself to

and Commons. If lord Canden admits Such language as this would have been that the subsequent sanction of parliament manly, rational, and consistent :- not unfit was necessary to make the proclamation for a lawyer, and every way worthy of a

PHILO JUNIUS.

acted under it?-If that bill had not been write again upon this subject, I beg of him passed. I am ready to maintain, in direct to give me a direct answer, that is, a plain contradiction to lord Camden's doctrine affirmative or negative, to the following (taken as Scavola states it), that a litigious questions:-In the interval between the exporter of corn, who had suffered in his publishing such a proclamation (or order of

mat against a subsisting law, and at the next question foreign to this letter, which has no other meeting of parliament, the step should appear view but to convince the public, that his Lord-to them to have been necessary for the good of ship never delivered that permicious and footish the state, their declaration of that necessity would operate as a retrospect, so as to make the would operate as a retrospect, so as to make the act legal ab initio; —(which is an idea countenanced by Mr. Locke).

Scavola.

That this was the scope and tenor of the noble lord's argument, I appeal to himself and all that Note to Private Letter, No. 47 -EDIT.

'if the king should, in the recess of parliament, heard him.—Whether the opinion, so restored, issue a proclamation, directing a step to be taken be or be not erroneous in point of law, is a flat against a subsisting law, and at the next question foreign to this letter, which has no other

For Junius's opinion of this writer, see the

council) as that in question, and its receiv- Before the meeting of parliament, the whole what nature is it-is it legal or illegal; or have been completed.2 is it neither one nor the other?-I mean to he candid, and will point out to him the consequence of his answer either way .- If it be legal, it wants no farther sanction. If it be illegal, the subject is not bound to obey it, consequently it is a useless, nugatory act, even as to its declared purpose.

The following extract of a subsequent letter from Scavola, inserted in the Public Advertiser, October 24, 1771, proves sufficiently that this writer at last admitted lord Camden to have

maintained an erroneous doctrine.

My lord Camden certainly thought the vote of the two Houses in this case equivalent to a parliamentary declaration : he also thought such declaration made the act (illegal before) legal ab initio. Now as lord Camden is no patron of mine, I am free to declare, that I am satisfied he was wrong in both those points on the foot of strict law; that he was wrong upon his conviction Tunius himself has once admitted; and that he was wrong upon fair and rational, though not satisfactory, grounds, will appear to every man of good understanding. The shade between his erroneous doctrine and the true one, being in sense and reason hardly distinguishable; both doctrines admit the proclamation to be illegal, and at the minister's peril till the meeting of parliament. Both doctrines admit the two Houses of Parliament (in this or that mode) sole judges of the necessity. Both doctrines agree in exposing the minister to impeachment, if the two Houses of Parliament should decide against his plea of necessity. Whether upon the declar-ation of necessity the act becomes good in law ab initio, or not, is the only question. Locke (no Tory) holds the affirmative. The law, in my opinion, strictly taken, is in the negative; for I conceive that nothing but an indemnity-bill could justify the crown for having superseded a

positive act of parliament.

To these remarks Junius, on the following

day, puts the subjoined questions:

ist. 'In what part of Mr Locke's writings is it maintained that the king may suspend an act of parliament, and that the subsequent approbation of the two Houses makes the suspension legal ab

initio, or to that effect?

2nd. 'Does Scævola think that an act of the whole legislature is as easily obtained and completed, as a vote of the Lords or Commons?'— The rest is a dispute about words not worth con-

inuing.—EDIT.

The letter of Zeno here referred to occurs in the Public Advertiser, dated October 15, 1771, and is addressed 'To Junius, alias Edmund the Jesuit of St Omer's' This writer, however, was

ing the sanction of the two Houses, of mischief, which it means to prevent. will

LETTER LXI.

TO ZENO.8

SIR. 17 October, 1771. THE sophistry of your letter in

disbelieving that gentleman to have been the author of them, are more particularly given.

As Junius thought Zeno's letter worthy of a reply, the reader may not think it unworthy of a perusal. It is as follows:

SIR,

Your letter of the 8th is a greater miracle than any you have hitherto produced. I do not mean in its argument, language, and arrangement. In these particulars you have been invested with a creative power, and whatever you are pleased to bring forth, is not for us to approve, but to admire: but, sir, your letter of the 8th is not written in the single spirit of calumny; you have now turned the efforts which formerly were exerted in creating divisions amongst the good, to cement those which never fail to arise amongst the bad. I have no objection to your success in this undertaking. Let the fathers of your church and the sons of the city unite. Let them club their arts and their powers. Let Wilkes enjoy his fertility in expedients, he will have need for it all But neither that fertility, the republican firmness of Sawbridge, no, nor the youth, spirit, and graces of Townshend, will avail to overturn the constitution, or even procure to them or to you the ultimate object of your desires-a little money:

Yet, Sir, why, in a letter professedly written to reconcile the patriots of the city, do you make a digression to abuse lord Mansfield? Is it because of the diametrical opposition of his character to theirs? Certainly it must be so; and JUNIUS is less a fool than I believed him. Nothing more likely to reconcile rogues who rail at each other, than railing at honest men. If your dogs are of the true breed, they will leave off worrying one another, and join in the cry against

the common enemy.

It is on the subject of this abuse that I take

the liberty to address the mighty Junius.

This phoenix of politicians and of reasoners tells the public, that 'he never had a doubt about the strict right of pressing, till he knew lord Mansfield was of the same opinion. That indeed staggered him not a little; and to be sure it was a staggering consideration: for who is to learn that lord Mansfield is utterly ignorant of the law? and that his judgment is avowedly so not the only one of the same period who erred in attributing the Letters of Junius to Mr Burke. so very wise a politician as Junius will examine See Preliminary Essay, in which the reasons for no further, but at once conclude that proposition

defence of lard Mansfield is adapted to the is a man of form, and seldom in his be-

character you defend. But lord Mansfield haviour transgresses the rules of decorum.

in law to be false, which lord Mansfield holds to

Sir, when you are only puerile, blundering, inconsistent, and absurd, I treat you as you deserve, with ridicule and contempt. But when you assert positive falsehoods, the mildest usage you can expect, is to have them crammed down the foul throat from which they issued. Of this nature are the questions you make, and the answers you are pleased to give to yourself, in relation to lord Mansfield. So many infamous lies as these answers contain, were never crowded together before—not even by JUNIUS. You insinuate (and you dare but insinuate) that lord Mausfield was the secret adviser of sending out the guards when the affair of St George's Fields happened. That his Lordship was in any shape ostensibly or otherwise concerned in that matter, that he knew of it till days after it happened, is a lie of the first magnitude; and I dare you to bring even the shadow of proof of your infamous assertion.

It is also a lie that lord Mansfield attacks the liberty of the press. He has endeavoured, in-deed, by legal and constitutional methods, to restrain the abuse of that liberty, and in doing so he has shown himself a good citizen. you a politician, and ignorant that the abuse of the best things makes them degenerate into the worst? Are you a pretender to reason, and ignorant that the abuse of a valuable privilege is the certain mean to lose it? Are not you a public defamer of every respectable character in the nation? Have not you carried the licence of the press beyond the bounds not only of decency and humanity, but even of human conception? And dare you complain that its liberty is attacked? Your reliance on the ignorance of those to whom you write must be great indeed, when you dare affirm a fact which is contradicted and proved a lie by the very affirmation of its truth-

Nor is it less false, that lord Mansfield invades the constitutional power of juries. I refer all who are not willing to believe a lie upon the credit of a common liar, to the letters of Phileleutherus Anglicanus, and those under the signature of A Candid Enquirer, for information on this subject. The letters are in the Public Advertisers of November and December last; and from them, all who are able to form a judgment on a question of law, will see it clearly demonstrated, that lord Mansfield's opinion with respect to the power of juries, is no less the law of the land, than the advantage of the subject.

Your question relating to lord Mansfield's challenging a juryman, I confess I do not understand, neither do I know to what it alludes; a charge of that nature ought to have been accompanied with circumstances of time, place, and occasion. When, where, and on what account was this done? Answer me these questions, and I pledge myself to the public, that I shall prove,

to the conviction of every reasonable man, that if it was so done, it was legally done.

Your next accusation shows you no less void of judgment and consistency than of justice and truth. You accuse lord Mansfield to the public, for saying a lord is entitled to no greater damages in a suit for the debauching of his wife, than a mechanic. Lord Mansfield did say, that in an action of damages for criminal conversation, the law did not consider the rank of the person injured; and in this he uttered not only the dictates of law, but the dictates of common sense and humanity, neither of which you seem to understand. Had lord Mansfield said that the law did not consider the rank of the injuring person, it might have been argued that he meant to screen the king's brother: but the difference between light and darkness is not greater than between this proposition and the proposition he maintained. None but an Irish understanding could possibly take the change, or suppose them convertible propositions. But can you, JUNIUS, seriously make your court to the people, by telling them there is a wide difference between the crime of debauching the wife of a lord, and one of their own? You were bred at St Omer's. You were destined for a church, not that indeed of which Savile, &c , are the fathers ; but however a church which requires some reading. Reading the Scriptures, it is true, is forbid by your canons; but surely you have heard of the prophet Nathan's address to David on a subject of this nature? The prophet, worse than lord Mansfield, thought that debauching the wife of a poor man was a greater crime than debauching the wife of a lord; for this plain and humane reason, that a poor man's wife was his all, his only comfort and consolation, whereas a rich man had many others; yet Junius, the popular Junius, tells the people plainly, that debauching one of their wives is nothing in comparison of lying with a lord's, and arraigns the upright and discerning judge, who says that the injury to the husband is in both cases equal.

Who makes commissioners of the great seal? Lord Mansfield. — Indeed; — I thought that power had only resided in the king. To see how plain men may mistake! If you, JUNIUS, by making commissioners, mean advising the king to make commissioners, I understand you. The expression is rather inaccurate, but that one is often obliged to pass over in Junius. In my turn give me leave to ask you a question. Who so proper to advise his Majesty in the choice of a law officer as lord Mansfield?

But lord Mansfield not only made the commissioners of the great seal: he also framed their decree, and then disavowed the decree of his own framing in the House of Peers. This is an absurd and an improbable lie. It is absurd and improbable to suppose lord Mansfield framed a decree for three judges very capable to frame one themselves. It is more absurd to suppose imaginable, perhaps I may prove you so.

the constant practice in the court of King's abuses and misapplies his talents. Bench .- JUNIUS does not say, that he never

lord Mansfield would disavow the decree which he himself had made, in the presence of the three commissioners for whom he had made it. and who could so easily have detected his duplicity. And it is a direct and public lie that lord Mansfield said he never had a doubt that the law was in direct opposition to that decree. He did not give an opinion in the House of Peers. He only stated the question; and the decree was reversed on the unanimous opinion of the eight judges who attended. For the truth of this I

appeal to all who were present.

The last charge of Junius represents lord Mansfield making it his study to undermine and alter the whole system of jurisprudence in the King's Bench. One would scarcely believe that there could be an understanding so twisted, or a heart so corruptly malignant, as to make that an article of accusation, which, fairly taken, includes in it the most exalted ment and virtue. If there be a superlatively eminent quality in lord Mansfield's great and deserved character, it is the unremitting and unwearied efforts he constantly has made to rescue injured and oppressed innonas made to restrict injuried and oppressed mind cence from the harpy fangs of chicane and quibble. The nation does him justice in this particular; and all the arts and lies that have been employed to defame him, ha a never been able to stagger the public confidence in his judgment and integrity. The proof of this is in the greats of every man to whom I write; and the crowd of suitors in the court where he presides, gives the most honourable testimony to the truth which I affirm, and the most palpable lie to the assertion of the abandoned Junius.

And now, Sir, having answered all your questions, you are worth no further notice. I shall in my turn address a few queries to the sublic; and I am sorry that the temper of the times should oblige me to recall to their memory things which ought to be indelibly engraven on the heart of every Englishman.

By whose advice was it that his Majesty immediately on his accession to the throne made

I shall imitate his Lordship's good man- heard that lord Mansfield had applauded ners, and leave you in full possession of his lord Chatham for maintaining that docprinciples. I will not call you liar, Fesuit, trine in the House of Lords. It was not or villain; but, with all the politeness the accidental concurrence of lord Mansfield's opinion, but the suspicious applause Like other fair pleaders in lord Mans- given by a cunning Scotchman to the man field's school of justice, you answer JUNIUS he detests, that raised and justified a doubt by misquoting his words, and misstating in the mind of JUNIUS. The question is his propositions. If I am candid enough to not, whether lord Mansfield be a man of admit that this is the very logic taught at learning and abilities (which Junius has St Omer's, you will readily allow that it is never disputed), but whether or no he

JUNIUS did not say that lord Mansfield had a doubt about the strict right of press- had advised the calling out the guards. ing, till he knew lord Mansfield was of the On the contrary, his plain meaning is, that same opinion. His words are, until he he left that odious office to men less cunning than himself.-Whether lord Mans-

> the judges places for life, thereby rendering them independent on king or minister? Lord Mansfield.—When lord Chatham and lord Camden attempted to revive the impious and unconstitutional doctrine of a power in the crown to dispense with the laws of the land (which was precisely the point on which the glorious revolution hinged, and the doctrine for maintaining of which James II. lost his crown); who stood in the breach, and with eloquence and argument, in the breach, and with eloquence and argument, more than human, defeated the pernicous attempt? Lord Mausfield.—Who supported and carried through the House of Peers the bill called the Nullium Tempus Bill; that law by which the minds of the people were quieted against apprehension of claims on the part of the crown? Lord Mansfield.—To whom do we only support the write the success of the bill for extensions the write. the success of the bill for restraining the privilege of parliament, of such essential service to the internal commerce of the nation, and espe-cially to that part of it which could least afford to lie under any disadvantage, the industrious shopkeeper and tradesman? Lord Mansfield.— Who carried Mr Grenville's last legacy to the nation through the House of Peers, that bill by which questions of elections in the House of Commons are henceforth to be tried in a manner which will prevent the injustice supposed to have been done in the Middlesex election, and guard against the bad consequences which it was feared might follow from that determination? Lord Mansfield.

> I might add many other constitutional questions in which lord Mansfield has ever been on the side of public liberty. But if what I have already said be not sufficient to vindicate the first character in the nation from the false aspersions of an unprincipled scribbler. I am bold to say, that the time is now arrived, when it is unworthy of an honest man to labour for the public; and the character of an Englishman, once so respectable, will no longer be known but by its folly and ingratitude.—ZENO. EDIT.

field's doctrine concerning libels be or be liberty of the press is, after all, a valuable is a question, which the public in general and will defend it against him. are very well able to determine. I shall You ask me, What juryman was chalnot enter into it at present. Nor do I lenged by lord Mansfield?-I tell you his think it necessary to say much to a man, name was Benson. When his name was who had the daring confidence to say to a called, lord Mansfield ordered the clerk to iury. 'Gentlemen, you are to bring in a pass him by. As for his reasons, you may verdict guilty or not guilty, but whether ask himself, for he assigned none.1 But I the defendant be guilty or innocent is not can tell you what all men thought of it. matter for your consideration.' Clothe it in This Benson 2 had been refractory upon a what language you will, this is the sum former jury, and would not accept of the total of lord Mansfield's doctrine. If not, law as delivered by lord Mansfield, but had let Zeno show us the difference.

lord Mansfield I affirm, that 'the abuse of formed. a valuable privilege is not the certain

the impudence to pretend to think for him-But it seems, the liberty of the press may self .- But you it seems, honest Zeno, know be abused, and the abuse of a valuable nothing of the matter! You never read privilege is the certain means to lose it. JUNIUS'S letter to your patron! You never The first I admit, -but let the abuse be heard of the intended instructions from the submitted to a jury, a sufficient and indeed city to impeach lord Mansfield!—You the only legal and constitutional check never heard by what dexterity of Mr upon the licence of the press. The second Paterson that measure was prevented 13 I flatly deny. In direct contradiction to How wonderfully ill some people are in-

TUNIUS did never affirm that the crime means to lose it.' If it were, the English of seducing the wife of a mechanic or a nation would have few privileges left, for peer, is not the same, taken in a moral or where is the privilege that has not, at one religious view. What he affirmed, in contime or other, been abused by individuals. tradiction to the levelling principle so lately But it is false in reason and equity, that adopted by lord Mansfield, was, that the particular abuses should produce a general damages should be proportioned to the rank forfeiture. Shall the community be de- and fortune of the parties; and for this prived of the protection of the laws, because plain reason (admitted by every other there are robbers and murderers?—Shall judge that ever sat in Westminster Hall); the community be punished, because in- because, what is a compensation or penalty dividuals have offended? Lord Mansfield to one man, is none to another. The says so, consistently enough with his prin-sophistical distinction you attempt to draw ciples, but I wonder to find him so explicit. between the person injured and the per-Yet, for one concession, however extorted, son injuring is Mansfield all over. If you I confess myself obliged to him.—The can once establish the proposition that the

not an attack upon the liberty of the press, privilege. I agree with him most heartily,

On a motion made in the House of Commons be well authenticated, before we condemn. Phipps, for Rumour is not a sufficient ground for proceeding. Nov. 27, 1770, by the Hon. Mr Phipps, for leave to bring in a bill to amend the act of William the Third, which empowers the attorney-general to file informations ex officio, the late lord, then Mr Thurlow, solicitor-general, thus defended lord Mansfield from the charge here brought against him by JUNIUS:—'Indeed, if a juryman has been rejected without a challenge from the parties, there is room for clamour. Such an act is highly criminal. No man is able, no honest man would wish to defend it. But let us not be rash in passing sentence. Let the fact EDIT.

As we found it a liar in other articles, we have As we found it a har in other articles, we have this reason to doubt its veracity; though I fre-quent Westminster Hall, I know nothing of it; but I must confess that I cannot give it the least credit. The great judge who is suspected, was incapable of such an action.—EDIT.

² See Letter LXIII.-EDIT. 3 Mr Paterson was one of the common council for the ward of Farringdon Within, and took an active part in favour of government. -

Levitical. But, Sir, the Bible is the code of their learning or integrity. of our religious faith, not of our municipal pany for one another.

distinction is hardly worth disputing.

injured party is not entitled to receive large evidence only. But you assign no reason damages, it follows pretty plainly that the for his supposed silence, nor for his desiring party injuring should not be compelled to a conference with the judges the lay before. pay them; consequently the king's brother Was not all Westminster Hall convinced is effectually screened by lord Mansfield's that he did it with a view to puzzle them doctrine. Your reference to Nathan and with some perplexing question, and in hopes David comes naturally in aid of your of bringing some of them over to him?patron's professed system of jurisprudence. You say the commissioners were very He is fond of introducing into the Court of capable of framing a decree for themselves. King's Bench any law that contradicts or By the fact, it only appears, that they were excludes the common law of England; capable of framing an illegal one, which, I whether it be canon, civil, jus gentium, or apprehend, is not much to the credit either

We are both agreed that lord Mansfield jurisprudence: and though it was the plea- has incessantly laboured to introduce new sure of God to inflict a particular punish- modes of proceeding in the court where he ment upon David's crime (taken as a breach presides; but you attribute it to an honest of his divine commands) and to send his zeal in behalf of innocence oppressed by prophet to denounce it, an English jury quibble and chicane. I say that he has inhave nothing to do either with David or the troduced new law too, and removed the prophet. They consider the crime, only as landmarks established by former decisions. it is a breach of order, an injury to an indi- I say that his view is to change a court of vidual, and an offence to society, and they common law into a court of equity, and judge of it by certain positive rules of law, to bring every thing within the arbitrium or by the practice of their ancestors. Upon of a pretorian court. The public must the whole, the man after God's own heart determine between us. But now for his is much indebted to you for comparing him merits. First then, the establishment of to the duke of Cumberland. That his the judges in their places for life (which Royal Highness may be the man after lord you tell us was advised by lord Mansfield) Mansfield's own heart seems much more was a concession merely to catch the probable, and you I think, Mr Zeno, might people. It bore the appearance of a succeed tolerably well in the character of royal bounty, but had nothing real in it. Nathan. The evil deity, the prophet, and The judges were already for life, excepting the royal sinner would be very proper com- in the case of a demise. Your boasted bill only provides that it shall not be in the You say lord Mansfield did not make the power of the king's successor to remove commissioners of the great seal, 1 and that them. At the best therefore it is only a he only advised the king to appoint. I legacy, not a gift on the part of his present believe Tunius meant no more, and the Majesty, since for himself he gives up nothing.—That he did oppose lord Camden You say he did not deliver an opinion and lord Northington upon the proclamaupon lord Chatham's appeal.-I affirm that tion against the exportation of corn, is most he did, directly in favour of the appeal.2 true, and with great ability. With his This is a point of fact, to be determined by talents, and taking the right side of so

¹ It has been already observed that the great amount to £5000 per annum.-EDIT.

² Sir Wm Pynsent had bequeathed an estate The shoen already observed that the great to the free seal was put in commission upon the death of Charles Yorke, who cut his throat through political chagrin. Lord Mansfield was upon this occasion made speaker of the House of Lords, and received the fees, which were supposed to appeal to the House of Lords .- EDIT.

ill.—His motives are not so easily pene-doubtful principle.—If it be unworthy of trated. They, who are acquainted with the him, thus ungratefully treated, to labour state of politics at that period, will judge any longer for the public, in God's name of them somewhat differently from Zeno. let him retire. His brother's patron Of the popular bills, which you say he sup- (whose health he once was anxious for) is ported in the House of Lords, the most dead, but the son of that unfortunate material is unquestionably that of Mr prince survives, and, I dare say, will be Grenville, for deciding contested elections ready to receive him. But I should be glad to know upon what possible pretence any member of the Upper House could oppose such a bill, after it had passed the House of Commons ?- I do not pretend to know what share he had in promoting the other two bills, but I am ready to give him all the credit you desire. Still you will find that a whole life of deliberate iniquity is ill atoned for by doing now and

The letter thus subscribed appeared in the Public Advertiser, Oct. 16, 1771, and deserves a perusal, as it was deemed entitled to a reply.

TO JUNIUS.

THERE is a bigotry in politics as well as in religion. Precepts, which, on examination, we should have found to be erroneous, are often implicitly received by us, because we have formed an opinion of the integrity and sound judgment of those by whom they were penned; but the majority of the people are biassed by those prin-ciples entirely which they have imbibed in their youth, and pay deference to those persons and things which their parents instructed them to re-The greater, therefore, the reputation of a writer, the stricter guard I must keep over my belief, for the easier he might lead my judgment astray. I even think it my duty, when such a writer errs, to sound the alarm; lest my fellowcitizens be unwarily misled.—Junius is their favourite guide; but shall they follow him blindfold, because he affirms it to be dark? No, let them walk with their eyes open, and see if there be not a ray of light.—Credulity and superstitious veneration have ever held in darkness the human mind. It was not till the Pope and his priests had forfeited their character of holiness and infallibility that the Reformation took place, and mankind began to think for themselves; the Scriptures began to be understood in their original meaning, though many to this day interpret them, not as they have considered them in their own minds, but as, by their priests or their parents, they are taught to believe. It was not till the prerogative of the crown was abused by the house of Stuart that the revolution succeeded it the gravarment of District the government of Britain. Men then lost that fear and reverence with which they used to behold their king; and they began to imagine it would be better for the common-weal, that his

clear a question, it was impossible to speak then a laudable action upon a mixed or

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER LXII.

TO AN ADVOCATE IN THE CAUSE OF THE PEOPLE.1

SIR. 18 October, 1771. You do not treat Junius fairly.

power and prerogative were curtailed. The authority of the monarchical law-writers became also disregarded; and customs, which, before that period, were peaceably received as the laws of the land, were then found to be illegal and inconsistent with the rights of a free man.—Our minds are becoming still daily more enlightened; general warrants have lately been abolished as illegal; and you, Junius, have publicly armigned the conduct of our chief magistrate, with a freedom hitherto unknown. A few years ago a jury of our own countrymen would have perused your sentiments of their king, with almost the same horror and detestation as they would have read blasphemy against their God. You have indeed, Sir, been the greatest reformer of our political creed, and I revere you for your enlarged mind. But, though in general I assent to the articles of your faith, I cannot entirely agree with you in the opinions delivered to us in your letter of the 8th of this month. What you have there written on the subject of press warrants, does not become your pen. I wish, Sir, for your own honour, you would give that matter a second consideration. You say, 'I see the right of pressive and the second consideration. (of pressing men into the sea service) founded originally upon necessity, which supersedes all argument. I see it established by usage immemorial, and admitted by more than a tacit assent of the legislature. I conclude there is no remedy, in the nature of things, for the grievance complained of; for, if there were, it must long since have been redressed.'—Now really, Sir, this conclusion is more like the argument of a bigot-ed priest of the church of Rome, than the sound reasoning of a Protestant divine.

You might as well have told us to reverence the Pope, to believe in transubstantiation, and to kneel to all the images of the Popish saints; because if it were not proper so to do, our ancestors would not have done so before us. Would you not have been laughed at if, in the debate on the leblance to each other. General warrants, the bounty, I have done. it is true had been often issued, but they You talk of disbanding the army with had never been regularly questioned or wonderful ease and indifference. brought them to trial, and the moment they apt to suspect his sincerity. were tried, they were declared illegal. On the contrary, they have been frequently ens the means of supporting it. recognized and admitted by parliament, and there are judicial opinions given in their favour, by judges of the first character. Under the various circumstances, stated by IUNIUS, he has a right to conclude, for himself, that there is no remedy. If you depend upon the assistance and applause of Law),1

gality of general warrants, you had declared there was no remedy against them, because, if there were, they must long since have been de-clared illegal? Were not general warrants as much established, by usage immemorial, as is the arbitrary custom of pressing men? and were they not as anciently admitted by the tacit assent of the legislature? Surely, Sir, if you had been seriously inclined to investigate the truth, you would have delivered yourself in a more ra-

A man of your fertile imagination could easily have thought of a remedy against the grievance complained of, in the custom of pressing men. You could have shown us, that a body of seaman, kept in constant pay, was much more necessary for the defence of this country than a standing army. You could, during the peace, have found employment for those seamen in the dock yards, in the herring fishery, in the custom-house cutters, and in fully manning those in-active men of war now most improperly called guard-ships, though originally intended to guard our isle. In short, Sir, if those seamen were to

Von would not have condemned him so Junius. The magistrate who guards the hastily, if you had ever read Judge Foster's liberty of the individual, deserves to he argument upon the legality of pressing sea- commended. But let him remember that men. A man who has not read that arguit is also his duty to provide for, or at least ment, is not qualified to speak accurately not to hazard, the safety of the comupon the subject. In answer to strong munity. If, in the case of a foreign war. facts and fair reasoning, you produce and the expectation of an invasion, you nothing but a vague comparison between would rather keep your fleet in harbour. two things, which had little or no resem- than man it by pressing seamen, who refuse

resisted, until the case of Mr Wilkes. He wiser man held such language, I should be

As for keeping up a much greater number This is not the case of press warrants. of seamen in time of peace, it is not to be They have been complained of, questioned, done. You will oppress the merchant, you and resisted in a thousand instances; but will distress trade, and destroy the nursery still the legislature have never interposed, of your seamen. He must be a miserable nor has there ever been a formal decision statesman, who voluntarily, by the same against them in any of the superior courts. act, increases the public expense, and less-

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER LXIII.

22 October, 1771.

A FRIEND of JUNIUS desires it may have a good one to propose, you may be observed (in answer to A Barrister at

> employed to add force to the prerogative of the crown. But Junius was not in earnest. He is perhaps one of our discarded ministers (or rather one of their secretaries, for ministers rarely write so well). He expects to be employed again; and as he may then have occasion for men, suddenly to put a fleet to sea, he must not deliver his opinion against press warrants; if it were received, he might hereafter find a diffi-culty to equip his fleet; the remedy, though found by him, being not yet applied to the grievance of which the nation would complain.

> An Advocate in the cause of the Prople.
>
> The letter here referred to appeared in the Public Advertiser of Oct. 19, 1771, and is as follows:

Lord Mansfield defended against Tunius and his party.

JUNIUS derives importance from every reply. His pride is flattered by the number of his opponents; and even detection itself is a triumph to a man who has no honour, no fame to lose. In the absence of all character, he enjoys the security which others owe to a reputation invulnerdo nothing during the peace, they would still be able on every side: and ne is singularly inde-more requisite than an army in peace only pendent of rebuke, under the unparalleted ing ordered a juryman to be passed by formally admitted.

1°. That the fact of lord Mansfield's hav- (which poor Zeno never heard of) is now When Mr Benson's

depravity of his mind .- But there are charges which require an answer, notwithstanding the discredit which is annexed to them, on account of the quarter from which they come Junius is not more wicked than some of his readers are credulous: and this consideration was the sole inducement to the following dispassionate answer to his late attack upon a great law lord. who is an ornament to the present age.

The charge that his Lordship challenged a juror, is at once impossible and absurd. It answers itself, and bears the he on its face .- But JUNIUS may found his accusation upon a misrepresented fact: A juryman, about fifteen years ago, for a suspicion conceived upon something which happened in court, was passed by with the acuquescence and consent of the coun-sel on both sides. Neither of the parties complained. A factious attorney, to gain consequence to himself, began to mutter. He met with no encouragement, and he dropt the affair. JUNIUS ought to know, that jurors are passed by, with the acquiescence of both parties, without a formal challenge. Without the consent of both. it cannot be done. Such a measure would be a mis-trial; and, upon motion, would be set aside of course by the court. But when the parties are satisfied, nobody else has any right to complain

His Lordship has destroyed the liberty of the press: Junius, in this charge, gives himself the lie. No writer ever used the liberty of the press with such unrestrained freedom as himself: no times were ever so much marked as the present, with public scurrility and defamation. A reply to the charge is in every column of every paper. They are the most dangerous enemies who abuse the liberty of the press like JUNIUS and

his adherents.

His Lordship, not content with destroying the liberty of the press, has, if we believe Junius, restrained the power of juries - Juries, it has never yet been doubted, have a power of doing either right or wrong, according to their will and pleasure. The only question is, by what rules should they govern themselves, if they mean to do right. Till the year 1730, there was some doubt, whether the construction of a libel was not a question of law; but in Franklin's trial, the rule, which has been invariably ever since followed, was admitted by lord Hardwick, then attorney-general, agreed to by eminent counsel on the other side, and adopted by the court. Lord Mansfield made a late opinion of the court very public, undoubtedly with a view that it should be taken up constitutionally in parliament, by those who pretended to differ from him in opinion, by a bill, in the progress of which the matter might be discussed, with the assistance of the judges. It was in this light understood; and the most considerable part of eloquence, that powerful instrument of deceit, those who differed from that opinion in the and to bring it back to their cool and sound House of Commons being clear, that there was

no colour for a declaratory law, moved for a bill to make a law for the future, which was rejected. The enormous crime trumped up by Junius and his party then is, that a judge tells the jury what, in his opinion, the law is, and leaves them afterwards to do as they please, without interposition. If he thinks his opinion right, as he most certainly does, it is not in his power to do otherwise; and he must repeat the same conduct whenever a similar case comes before him.

JUNIUS next affirms, that 'to save the king's brother, lord Mansfield declared that, in a verdict for criminal conversation, a man of the first quality is entitled to no greater damages than the meanest mechanic. —I have talked with some who attended the trial, I have read the spurious accounts of it in print. We know how falsely and ignorantly such notes are taken, even when the writers mean no harm. They are generally unintelligible till they are corrected by the persons concerned. But I suspect, that malice joined issue with blunder, in what is made lord Mansfield's opinion. It is full of nonsense, contradictory, and manifestly imperfect. Much depends upon a word or two, a restriction or a qualification. The published opinion makes lord Mansfield tell the jury that the measure of damages must be formed, from all the circumstances of the case taken together. In another place, it makes him state many of the circumstances and say, they are not at all material without any restriction or qualification. But the scope and occasion of the direction are very plain, in whatever words the direction itself was expressed.

A very eminent and able counsel had, with a torrent of eloquence, applied to the passions of the jury. He laboured, with great art and address, to carry them, it is impossible to say where, merely on account of the rank and situ-ation of the parties The duke of York, he informed the jury, recovered one hundred thousand pounds against a man for calling him a Papist. which was no additional damage to his character, for all England knew him to be actually a Papist. If therefore, continued the counsel, the king's brother recovered so much, the rule should be reciprocal and the defendant ought to pay much more, as the injury was greater. The learned counsel judiciously passed over the many cases in England-of a duke of Norfolk, a duke of Beaufort, a duke of Grafton, and many other peers, who had recovered moderate damages from men of fortune. But he rested on an Irish case, of which he stated no circumstances, where the rule was to give such damages as should ruin the defendant. He, therefore, contended for an exorbitant verdict, by way of punishment.

It was the indispensable duty of the judge to extricate the matter from the passions of the name was called, lord Mansfield was ob- 3°. Lord Mansfield's policy, in endeainadvertence, or a criminal complaisance to or declaratory, to confirm it,1 lord Mansfield .- You Barristers are too the expense of your clients.

of the right of juries, are the propositions upon the meanest understanding. maintained by JUNIUS. His opponents never answer him in point, for they never -meet him fairly upon his own ground.

damages are by way of retribution or compensation to the plaintiff for the injury, and to be esti-mated from all circumstances. The rank and situation of the parties were not of themselves decisive. A peer, under some circumstances, may be entitled to less damages for this injury than a tradesman under other circumstances: That it might be just, in certain situations, to give small damages for this injury against a deendant of great wealth, and in other situations o give ten thousand pounds against a person of ow degree. Even from the spurious opinion published, the case appears to have been left to the jury, upon all the circumstances, without a single remark on any of them, without a word of alleviation. No cases were mentioned where moderate damages had been given to peers of the highest rank for this injury against persons of great for-

The next charge of Junius and his party against the noble lord is, 'that he has changed the system of jurisprudence.'— The uncandid party do not recollect that lord Mansfield has had three assistants most eminent for knowledge and integrity. The only change we of West-minster Hall either know or have heard of is, that the decisions inform and satisfy the bar: that hitherto no one has been reversed, and which is a main point to the suitor, and perhaps new, there is no delay.—Since lord Mansfield sat there, the business, which flows into that channel, and leaves every other almost dry, is increased beyond belief. I have been assured, that besides all the other business, there are not fewer than seven or eight hundred causes entered every year at the sittings before his Lordship for London and Middlesex. It is at once unjust and

served to flush in the face (a signal of guilt vouring to screen his unconstitutional docnot uncommon with him), and cried out, trines behind an act of the legislature, is bass him by. This I take to be something easily understood.—Let every Englishman more than a peremptory challenge. It is stand upon his guard; the right of juries to an unlawful command, without any leason return a general verdict, in all cases whatassigned. That the counsel did not resist, soever, is a part of our constitution. It is true; but this might happen either from stands in no need of a bill, either enacting

4°. With regard to the Grosvenor cause. apt to be civil to my Lord Chief Justice, at it is pleasant to observe that the doctrine attributed by JUNIUS to lord Mansfield, is 2°. JUNIUS did never say that lord Mans- admitted by Zeno, and directly defended. field had destroyed the liberty of the press. The Barrister has not the assurance to 'That his Lordship has laboured to destroy, deny it flatly, but he evades the charge. -that his doctrine is an attack upon the and softens the doctrine by such poor. liberty of the press,—that it is an invasion contemptible quibbles, as cannot impose

5°. The quantity of business in the Court

gous through the immense fatigue which arises from a high reputation. As to lord Chatham's cause, the malevolent writer has sat down to inventa lie, without giving himself the trouble to inquire into what passed in public upon that subject. I, as many more of in punic upon that subject. 1, as many more or the profession, attended that cause. Lord Mansfield moved the question, which was put to the judges, penned with a view to that point, upon which, it appeared afterwards, he thought the cause depended. Though it had been argued, both above and below, upon another point, the judges considered the point on which it had been argued. They were divided and prepared to give different opinions. Lord Mansfield, apprized of the disagreement among the judges, suggested that point upon which he thought the cause turned, be the other as it might. He proposed to the judges to consider it in that light. The House was adjourned expressly for this purpose; and when the judges came to consider the cause on the point suggested by lord Mansfield, they were unanimous, which terminated the cause, whatever the law might be upon the other point on which it was decided below. The allegation, that lord Mansfield made the decree for the commissioners, bears on its face the marks of a palpable falsehood. It is a mere invention of Junius; never mentioned, never suspected by any other writer: I am convinced, both from the delicacy of the commissioners and that of his Lordship, that not a single word ever passed between them on the subject.

A BARRISTER AT LAW. Temple, Oct. 16.

* This subject was agitated in the House of Commons, in the spring of the year 1771, on the motion of Mr Dowdeswell for leave to bring in London and Middlesex. It is at once unjust and an enacting bill; which was rejected, for the uncandid to take from him all merit, while he reasons assigned in the note, p. 248.—EDIT. of King's Bench proves nothing but the litigious spirit of the people, arising from a great increase of wealth and commerce. These however are now upon the decline, and will soon leave nothing but law suits behind them. When JUNIUS affirms that lord Mansfield has laboured to alter the system of jurisprudence, in the court where his Lordship presides, he speaks to those, who are able to look a little further than the vulgar. Besides that the multitude are easily deceived by the imposing names of equity and substantial justice, it does not follow that a judge, who introduces into his court new modes of proceeding, and new principles of law, intends, in every instance, to decide unjustly. Why should he, where he has no interest?-We say that lord Mansfield is a bad man, and a worse judge; -but we do not say that he is a mere devil. Our adversaries would fain reduce us to the difficulty of proving too much.-This artifice however shall not avail him. The truth of the matter is plainly this. When lord nor unattainable.

to be ascertained, and partly is unintelligilord Chatham, and decreed accordingly,--So curious an assertion would stagger the faith of Mr Sylva.

LETTER LXIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 2 November, 1771.

WE are desired to make the following declaration, in behalf of JUNIUS, upon three material points, on which his opinion has been mistaken, or misrepresented.

- 1°. JUNIUS considers the right of taxing the colonies, by an act of the British legislature, as a speculative right merely, never to be exerted, nor ever to be renounced. To his judgment it appears plain, 'That the general reasonings, which were employed against that power, went directly to our whole legislative right, and that one part of it could not be vielded to such arguments, without a virtual surrender of all
- 2°. That, with regard to press warrants, his argument should be taken in his own words, and answered strictly; that com-Mansfield has succeeded in his scheme of parisons may sometimes illustrate, but changing a court of common law to a court prove nothing; and that, in this case, an of equity, he will have it in his power to do appeal to the passions is unfair and unneinjustice whenever he thinks proper. This, cessary. JUNIUS feels and acknowledges though a wicked purpose, is neither absurd the evil in the most express terms, and will show himself ready to concur in any rational 6°. The last paragraph, relative to lord plan, that may provide for the liberty of the Chatham's cause, cannot be answered. It individual, without hazarding the safety of partly refers to facts, of too secret a nature the community. At the same time, he expects that the evil, such as it is, be not ble. 'Upon one point, the cause is decided exaggerated or misrepresented. In general, against lord Chatham. - Upon another it is not unjust that, when the rich man point, it is decided for him.'-Both the law contributes his wealth, the poor man should and the language are well suited to a Bar- serve the state in person ;-otherwise the rister !- If I have any guess at this honest latter contributes nothing to the defence of gentleman's meaning, it is, that, 'whereas that law and constitution, from which he the commissioners of the great seal saw the demands safety and protection. But the question in a point of view unfavourable to question does not lie between rich and poor. The laws of England make no such lord Mansfield, out of sheer love and kind-distinctions. Neither is it true that the ness to lord Chatham, took the pains to poor man is torn from the care and support place it in a point of view more favourable of a wife and family, nelpless without him. to the appellant. - Credat Judaus Apella. The single question is, whether the seaman,1

¹ I confine myself strictly to seamen;—if any others are pressed, it is a gross abuse, which the magistrates can and should correct.

ever it is so, it creates a law, to which all positive laws and all positive rights must give way. In this sense the levy of shipnecessary, because the business might have been as well or better done by parliament. If the doctrine, maintained by JUNIUS, be confined within this limitation, it will go but very little way in support of arbitrary power. That the king is to judge of the occasion, is no objection, unless we are told how it can possibly be otherwise. There are other instances, not less important in the exercise, nor less dangerous in the abuse, in which the constitution relies entirely upon the king's judgment. executive power proclaims war and peace, embargoes, and imposes quarantines, not to mention a multitude of prerogative writs. which, though liable to the greatest abuses, were never disputed.

3°. It has been urged, as a reproach to Junius, that he has not delivered an opinion upon the Game Laws, and particularly the late Dog Act. But JUNIUS thinks he has much greater reason to complain, that he is never assisted by those, who are able to assist him,1 and that almost the whole labour of the press is thrown upon a single hand, from which a discussion of every public question whatsoever is unreasonably expected. He is not paid for his labour, and certainly has a right to choose his employment .--- As to the Game Laws, he

In Private Letter, No. 66, addressed to Mr Wilkes, Junius complains of his want of 'sup-

port in the newspapers.—Edit. in which he reside 2 A case brought by lord Pomfret before the tained by his Lo House, from one of the inferior courts, in refer-freehold.—Edit.

in times of public danger, shall serve the they are a species of the Forest Laws, that merchant or the state, in that profession to they are oppressive to the subject, and that which he was bred, and by the exercise of the spirit of them is incompatible with legal which alone he can honestly support him- liberty:-that the penalties, imposed by self and his family.-General arguments these laws, bear no proportion to the nature against the doctrine of necessity, and the of the offence; that the mode of trial and dangerous use that may be made of it, are the degree and kind of evidence necessary of no weight in this particular case. Neces- to convict, not only deprive the subject of sity includes the idea of inevitable. When- all the benefits of a trial by jury, but are in themselves too summary, and to the last degree arbitrary and oppressive. That, in particular, the late acts to prevent dogmoney by the king's warrant was not stealing, or killing game between sun and sun, are distinguished by their absurdity. extravagance, and pernicious tendency. If these terms are weak, or ambiguous, in what language can [UNIUS express himself? -It is no excuse for lord Mansfield to say that he happened to be absent when these bills passed the House of Lords. It was his duty to be present. Such bills could never have passed the House of Commons without his knowledge. But we very well know by what rule he regulates his attendance. When that order was made in the House of Lords in the case of lord Pombinds the nation by treaties, orders general | fret,2 at which every Englishman shudders. my honest lord Mansfield found himself. by mere accident, in the Court of King's Bench.-Otherwise, he would have done wonders in defence of law and property! The pitiful evasion is adapted to the character. But JUNIUS will never justify himself by the example of this bad man. The distinction between doing wrong and avoiding to do right belongs to lord Mansfield. JUNIUS disclaims it.

LETTER LXV.

TO LORD CHIEF JUSTICE MANSFIELD.

2 November, 1771.

AT the intercession of three of never scrupled to declare his opinion, that your countrymen, you have bailed a man, who, I presume, is also a Scotchman, and

ence to a tract of ground, claimed by the parish in which he resided, as common land, but main-tained by his Lordship to be a part of his own

whom the lord mayor of London had refused to bail.1 I do not mean to enter into an examination of the partial, sinister motives of your conduct; but confining myself strictly to the fact, I affirm, that you have done that, which by law you were not warranted to do. The thief was taken charge against lord chief justice Mansfield. in the theft ;-the stolen goods were found upon him, and he made no defence. In these circumstances (the truth of which you may, if they think proper, make it one dare not deny, because it is of public notoriety), it could not stand indifferent whe- lord chief justice. ther he was guilty or not, much less could there be any presumption of his innocence; and, in these circumstances, I affirm, in contradiction to YOU, LORD CHIEF JUS- TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON. TICE MANSFIELD, that, by the laws of England, he was not bailable. If ever Mr Evre should be brought to trial,2 we shall hear what you have to say for yourself; and I pledge myself, before God and my country, in proper time and place to make good my charge against you.

TUNIUS.

In explanation of this assertion, the editor extracts the following paragraph from the Public

extracts the londwing paragraph from the 7 done
Advertiser, Oct. 20, 1771.

'Yesterday application was made to the lord
mayor, by the friends of John Eyre, Esq., committed on the oaths of Thomas Fielding, William Holder, William Payne, and William Nash, for feloniously stealing eleven quires of writingpaper. The circumstances were so strong against the prisoner, on whom the goods were found, and no defence whatever being set up by him before the magistrate who made the commitment, that the lord mayor refused to bail him. The alderman who committed him, had before refused to bail him, as it was alleged that no instance whatever had been known of a person being bailed under nau peen known or a person being cattled under such circumstances. Mr Eyre was however bailed yesterday by lord Mansfield, himself in only £300 and three Scottish securities in £100 each, a Kinloch, Farquar, and Innis. Eyre has since made his excape.—EDIT.

2 The facts of the case were as follow: On the

and of Oct. 1771, Eyre was committed to Wood-street Compter, by Mr Alderman Halifax, for privately stealing out of a room at Guildhall three quires of writing-paper, which were found upon him; on searching his lodgings, there were discovered, in a box, eight quires more of the same sort of paper, which had been marked privately for the discovery of the thief. Eyre had attended at the justice-room for a considerable time under the pretence of learning the business of a magistrate, to which situation, he said, he shortly

LETTER LXVI.

FOR THE PUBLIC . DVERTISER.

4 November. 1771.

TUNIUS engages to make good his some time before the meeting of parliament, in order that the House of Commons article in the impeachment of the said

LETTER LXVII.

28 November, 1771.

WHAT is the reason, my Lord, that, when almost every man in the kingdom, without distinction of principles or party, exults in the ridiculous defeat of Sir James Lowther,4 when good and bad men unite in one common opinion of that ba-

expected to be appointed. On the day preceding the date of this letter, he surrendered himself at the Old Bailey to take his trial for stealing the paper, to which charge he pleaded guilty, and was sentenced to be transported. This sordid wretch was asserted, at the time of committing so miserable a theft, to be worth at least thirty thousand pounds.—EDIT.

3 This letter, as the author declares in Private Letter, No. 44, was written in consequence of a communication from Garrick to Ramus, and from the latter to the king, that JUNIUS would write no more; and hence the questions in the con-

quent letter addressed to lord Mansfield was completed some time previous to the date of this Letter, as may be seen in Private Letter, No. 40, where, and in that which follows it, will be found an explanation of the curious circumstance of the communication to the king, the author's early knowledge of the fact, and a copy of the very severe letter which he sent to Mr Garrick, in consequence of the information which he had given to Mr Ramus.—Edit.

4 He refers to the case of Lowther against the duke of Portland, in the contest concerning Inglewood Forest, &c. in Cumberland. See the detail and determination of the dispute (which last had now just taken place) in note, p. 300 .-

EDIT.

appeal to the tender, sympathetic sorrows the man whom you have betrayed. of Mr Bradshaw. That cream-coloured instance of tyranny resisted with success; it did not constitute an example against duced to so deplorable a state of derelic- friend Miss Davis.2

1 See Miscellaneous Letter LXXI.-EDIT. ² There is a certain family in this country, on which nature seems to have entailed an herediary baseness of disposition. As far as their hisary baseness of disposition. As far as their his-tory has been known, the son has regularly im-proved upon the vices of his father, and has taken care to transmit them pure and, undiminished into the bosom of his successor. In the senate, their abilities have confined them to those humble, sordid services, in which the scavengers of the ministry are usually employed. But in the memoirs of private treachery, they stand first and unrivalled. The following story will serve to illustrate the character of this respectable family, and to convince the world that the present possessor has as clear a title to the infamy to his friend, who was on the point of leaving the of his ancestors, as he has to their estate. It kingdom, and the next night lay with her himdeserves to be recorded for the curiosity of the self. fact, and should be given to the public as a warn-

decline of life, lately cultivated the acquaintance son shall arrive at his father's age and experion of a younger brother of a family, with which he ence.—Author,

ronet, and triumph in his distress, as if tion. Every villain in the kingdom is your the event (without any reference to vice or friend; and, in compliment to such amity. virtue) were interesting to human nature, I think you should suffer your dismal your Grace alone should appear so misera- countenance to clear up. Besides, my Lord. bly depressed and afflicted? In such uni- I am a little anxious for the consistency of versal joy. I know not where you will look your character. You violate your own for a compliment of condolence, unless you rules of decorum, when you do not insult

The divine justice of retribution seems gentleman's tears, 1 affecting as they are, now to have begun its progress. Deliberate carry consolation along with them. He never treachery entails punishment upon the weeps, but, like an April shower, with a traitor. There is no possibility of escaping lambent ray of sunshine upon his counten- it, even in the highest rank to which the ance. From the feelings of honest men, consent of society can exalt the meanest upon this joyful occasion, I do not mean to and worst of men. The forced, unnatural draw any conclusion to your Grace. They union of Luttrell and Middlesex was an naturally rejoice, when they see a signal omen of another unnatural union, by which indefeasible infamy is attached to the House of treachery exposed to the decision of the of Brunswick. If one of those acts world; -- an infamous informer defeated, was virtuous and honourable, the best of and an impudent robber dragged to the princes, I thank God, is happily rewarded public gibbet.—But, in the other class of for it by the other.—Your Grace, it has mankind, I own I expected to meet the been said, had some share in recommendduke of Grafton. Men, who have no re- ing colonel Luttrell to the king ;--or was it gard for justice, nor any sense of honour, only the gentle Bradshaw, who made himseem as heartily pleased with sir James self answerable for the good behaviour of Lowther's well-deserved punishment, as if his friend? An intimate connexion has long subsisted between him and the worthemselves. The unhappy baronet has thy lord Irnham. It arose from a forno friends, even among those who resem- tunate similarity of principles, cemented by ble him. You, my Lord, are not yet re- the constant mediation of their common

> had lived in some degree of intimacy and friendship. The young man had long been the dupe of a most unhappy attachment to a common prostitute. His friends and relations foresaw the consequences of this connexion, and did every thing that depended upon them to save him from ruin. But he had a friend in lord Irnham, whose advice rendered all their endeavours ineffectual. This hoary letcher, not contented with the enjoyment of his friend's mistress, [the notorious Polly Davis, mentioned in the letter above,] was base enough to take advantage of the passions and folly of a young man, and persuaded him to marry her. He descended even to perform the office of father to the prostitute. He gave her to his friend, who was on the point of leaving the

Whether the depravity of the human heart can ing to every honest member of society.

The present lord Irnham, who is now in the this fact, must be left undetermined, until the

Yet I confess I should be sorry that the beyond the reach of common treachery, is opprobrious infamy of this match should an exception, not a rule. reach beyond the family.-We have now a better reason than ever to pray for the long life of the best of princes, and the welfare of his royal issue.—I will not mix anything ominous with my prayers :- but let parliament look to it .- A Luttrell shall never succeed to the crown of England. 1-If the hereditary virtues of the family deserve a kingdom, Scotland will be a proper retreat more of the sale of that patent to Mr Hine, for them.

the grant, with an expedition unknown to are ripe, you shall be plucked. the Treasury, that he might have it time enough to give a decisive turn to the election for the county. The immediate consequence of this flagitious robbery was that gracious master my humble congratulahe lost the election, which you meant to in- tions upon the glorious success of peersure to him, and with such signal circum- ages and pensions, so lavishly distributed as stances of scorn, reproach, and insult (to the rewards of Irish virtue. say nothing of the general exultation of all parties), as (excepting the king's brotherin-law colonel Luttrell,3 and old Simon his father-in-law) hardly ever fell upon a gentleman in this country.-In the event, he loses the very property of which he thought he had gotten possession; and after an expense, which would have paid the value of when, at the intercession of three of your the land in question twenty times over. — countrymen, you bailed John Eyre, you The forms of villany, you see, are necessary did that, which by law you were not warto its success. Hereafter you will act with ranted to do, and that a felon, under the greater circumspection, and not drive so circumstances of being taken in the fact,

And now, my good Lord, does not your conscious heart inform you, that the justice of retribution begins to operate, and that it may soon approach your person ?-Do you think that JUNIUS has renounced the Middlesex election?-Or that the king's timber shall be refused to the royal navv with impunity? 4-Or that you shall hear no which you endeavoured to skreen by sud-The next is a most remarkable instance dealy dropping your prosecution of Samuel of the goodness of Providence. The just Vaughan,5 when the rule against him was law of retaliation has at last overtaken the made absolute? I believe indeed there little, contemptible tyrant of the North. To never was such an instance in all the history this son-in-law of your dearest friend the of negative impudence. - But it shall not earl of Bute, you meant to transfer the duke save you. The very sunshine you live in is of Portland's property; 2 and you hastened a prelude to your dissolution. When you

JUNIUS.

P. S. I beg you will convey to our

LETTER LXVIII.

TO LORD CHIEF JUSTICE MANSFIELD.

21 Fanuary, 1772.

I HAVE undertaken to prove that directly to your object. To snatch a grace, with the stolen goods upon him, and making

This note appeared in the Public Advertiser, April 7, 1769, under the signature of Recens .-

¹ The duke of Cumberland was now married to Mrs Horton, colonel Luttrell's sister. See Miscellaneous Letter, No. CII.-EDIT.

² See note, p. 300.—EDIT.

³ See Miscellaneous Letter, No. CII. Our author thus denominates his Majesty, because, "The paper itself is, in my opinitor, with the duke of Cumberland, Luttrell was Private Letter, No. 49.—Edit.

legally become brother-in-law to the king's brother; as was Luttrell's father, father-in-law to him.-EDIT.

⁴ See note, p. 303 —EDIT. 5 See Letter XXXIII., and Private Letter, No. 15, for the particulars of the transaction here alluded to.—EDIT.

⁶ The author, speaking of this Letter, says, The paper itself is, in my opinion, of the highest style of Junius, and cannot fail to sell.'

no defence, is not bailable by the laws of they distrust their judgment, and volun-You, lord Mansfield, did not their credulity. understand me so, and I promise you, your stantial defence, but should endeavour to of, I now go beyond them, and affirm, shelter yourself under the quirk and evasion dressed, not so much to you, as to the statute. public. Learned as you are, and quick in

England. Your learned advocates have in- tarily renounce the right of thinking for terpreted this charge into a demal that the themselves. With all the evidence of his-Court of King's Bench, or the judges of tory before them, from Tresillian to Fefthat court during the vacation, have any feries, from Jefferies to Mansfield, they greater authority to bail for criminal of- will not believe it possible that a learned fences, than a justice of peace. With the judge can act in direct contradiction to instance before me, I am supposed to ques- those laws, which he is supposed to have tion vour power of doing wrong, and to made the study of his life, and which he deny the existence of a power, at the same has sworn to administer faithfully. Supermoment that I arraign the illegal exercise stition is certainly not the characteristic of But the opinions of such men, this age. Yet some men are bigoted in whether wilful in their malignity, or sincere politics who are infidels in religion.-I do in their ignorance, are unworthy of my not despair of making them ashamed of

The charge I brought against you is excause requires an abler defence.-I am now pressed in terms guarded and well conto make good my charge against you. sidered. They do not deny the strict However dull my argument, the subject of power of the judges of the Court of King's it is interesting. I shall be honoured with Bench to bail in cases not bailable by a the attention of the public, and have a right justice of peace, nor replevisable by the to demand the attention of the legislature. common writ, or ex officio by the sheriff. Supported, as I am, by the whole body of I well knew the practice of the court, and the criminal law of England, I have no by what legal rules it ought to be directed. doubt of establishing my charge. If, on But far from meaning to soften or diminish your part, you should have no plain, sub- the force of those terms I have made use

I. That the superior power of bailing for of a practising lawyer, or under the mere felony, claimed by the Court of King's insulting assertion of power without right, Bench, is founded upon the opinion of the reputation you pretend to is gone for lawyers, and the practice of the court;ever ;-you stand degraded from the respect that the assent of the legislature to this and authority of your office, and are no power is merely negative, and that it is not longer, de jure, Lord Chief Justice of supported by any positive provision in any England. This letter, my Lord, is ad-statute whatsoever.-If it be, produce the

II. Admitting that the judges of the apprehension, few arguments are necessary Court of King's Bench are vested with a to satisfy you that you have done that, discretionary power to examine and judge which by law you were not warranted to do. of circumstances and allegations, which a Your conscience already tells you, that you justice of peace is not permitted to consider. have sinned against knowledge, and that I affirm, that the judges, in the use and apwhatever defence you make contradicts plication of that discretionary power, are as your own internal conviction. But other strictly bound by the spirit, intent, and men are willing enough to take the law meaning, as the justice of peace is by the upon trust. They rely upon authority, words of the legislature. Favourable cirbecause they are too indolent to search for cumstances, alleged before the judge, may information; or, conceiving that there is justify a doubt whether the prisoner be some mystery in the laws of their country, guilty or not; and where the guilt is doubtwhich lawyers are only qualified to explain, ful, a presumption of innocence should, in

citur: and this sound doctrine is applied as exceptions. to the Star-chamber, a court confessedly appears to favour you. Excepting the which all private opinions must give way, I desire no better judge between us than lord Coke.

general, be admitted. But, when any such conviction, and (whatever a corrupt judge probable circumstances are alleged, they may do) will accept of no security, but the alter the state and condition of the prisoner. confinement of his body within four walls. He is no longer that all-but-convicted felon. I know it has been alleged in your favour. whom the law intends, and who by law is that you have often bailed for murders. not bailable at all. If no circumstances rapes, and other manifest crimes. Without whatsoever are alleged in his favour ;--if no questioning the fact, I shall not admit that allegation whatsoever be made to lessen the you are to be justified by your own example. force of that evidence, which the law an- If that were a protection to you, where is nexes to a positive charge of felony, and the crime that, as a judge, you might not particularly to the fact of being taken with now securely commit? But neither shall I the maner. I then say that the lord chief suffer myself to be drawn aside from my justice of England has no more right to present argument, nor you to profit by your bail him than a justice of peace. The dis- own wrong. To prove the meaning and cretion of an English judge is not of mere intent of the legislature will require a minute will and pleasure; it is not arbitrary; -it is and tedious deduction. To investigate a not capricious; but, as that great lawyer question of law demands some labour and (whose authority I wish you respected half attention, though very little genius or saas much as I do) truly says, 1 Discretion, gacity. As a practical profession, the study taken as it ought to be, is discernere per of the law requires but a moderate portion legem quid sit justum. If it be not directed of abilities. The learning of a pleader is by the right line of the law, it is a crooked usually upon a level with his integrity. cord, and appeareth to be unlawful. - If The indiscriminate defence of right and discretion were arbitrary in the judge, he wrong contracts the understanding, while might introduce whatever novelties he it corrupts the heart. Subtlety is soon misthought proper; but, says lord Coke, taken for wisdom, and impunity for virtue. 'Novelties, without warrant of precedents. If there be any instances upon record, as are not to be allowed; some certain rules some there are undoubtedly, of genius and are to be followed; - Quicquid judicis morality united in a lawyer, they are disauthoritati subjicitur, novitati non subji- tinguished by their singularity, and operate

I must solicit the patience of my readers. arbitrary. If you will abide by the authority This is no light matter, nor is it any more of this great man, you shall have all the susceptible of ornament, than the conduct advantage of his opinion, wherever it of lord Mansfield is capable of aggravation. As the law of bail, in charges of felony, plain, express meaning of the legislature, to has been exactly ascertained by acts of the legislature, it s at present of little consequence to enquire how it stood at common law, before the statute of Westminster. III. I affirm, that according to the ob- And yet it is worth the reader's attention to vious, indisputable meaning of the legisla- observe, how nearly, in the ideas of our ture, repeatedly expressed, a person posi- ancestors, the circumstance of being taken tively charged with feloniously stealing, with the maner approached to the conand taken in flagrante delicto, with the viction of the felon. It "fixed the authorstolen goods upon him, is not bailable. itative stamp of verisimilitude upon the The law considers him as differing in no- accusation, and by the common law, when thing from a convict, but in the form of a thief was taken with the maner (that is, with the thing stolen upon him, in manu) he might, so detected, flagrante delicto, be

^{1 4} Inst. 41, 66.

brought into court, arraigned and tried, lord Coke himself (if he be understood to tect me.

without indictment: as, by the Danish mean nothing but an exposition of the stalaw, he might be taken and hanged upon tute of Westminster, and not to state the the spot, without accusation or trial.'1 It law generally) does not adhere to his own will soon appear that our statute law, in distinction. In expounding the other ofthis behalf, though less summary in point of fences, which, by this statute, are declared proceeding, is directed by the same spirit. not replevisable, he constantly uses the In one instance, the very form is adhered words not bailable.—'That outlaws, for to. In offences relating to the forest, if a instance, are not bailable at all;—that man was taken with vert, or venison, it was persons who have abjured the realm, are declared to be equivalent to an indictment.2 attainted upon their own confession, and To enable the reader to judge for himself, therefore not bailable at all by law :- that I shall state, in due order, the several sta- provers are not bailable; -that notorious tutes relative to bail in criminal cases, or as felons are not bailable.' The reason why much of them as may be material to the the superior courts were not named in the point in question, omitting superfluous statute of Westminster, was plainly this. words. If I misrepresent, or do not quote 'because anciently most of the business, with fidelity, it will not be difficult to de- touching bailment of prisoners for felony or misdemeanours, was performed by the The statute of Westminster the first, in sheriffs, or special bailiffs of liberties, either 1275, sets forth that, 'Forasmuch as sheriffs' by writ, or virtute officii; consequently and others, who have taken and kept in the superior courts had little or no opporprison persons detected of felony, and in- tunity to commit those abuses, which the continent have let out by replevin such as statute imputes to the sheriffs.-With subwere not replevisable, because they would mission to Doctor Blackstone. I think he gain of the one party and grieve the other; has fallen into a contradiction, which, in and, for a smuch as, before this time, it was terms at least, appears irreconcileable. not determined which persons were reple- After enumerating several offences not visable and which not, it is provided, and bailable, he asserts, without any condition by the king commanded, that such prison- or limitation whatsoever, 'all which are ers, &c. as be taken with the maner, &c. clearly not admissible to bail.'6 Yet in a or for manifest offences, shall be in no wise few lines after he says, 'it is agreed replevisable by the common writ, nor that the Court of King's Bench may bail without writ.' 4-Lord Coke, in his exposi- for any crime whatsoever, according to the tion of the last part of this quotation, ac- circumstance of the case.' To his first curately distinguishes between replevy by proposition he should have added, by the common writ or ex officio, and bail by sheriffs or justices; otherwise the two prothe King's Bench. The wirds of the sta- positions contradict each other; with this tute certainly do not extend to the judges difference however, that the first is absolute. of that court. But, besides that the reader the second limited by a consideration of will soon find reason to think that the circumstances. I say this without the least legislature, in their intention, made no intended disrespect to the learned author. difference between bailable and replevisable, His work is of public utility, and should not hastily be condemned.

^I Blackstone, 4, 303. 2 1 Ed. III. cap. 8 .- and 7 Rich. II. cap. 4.

act, what the mischief was for which the com-mon law did not provide, and what remedy the act, what the mischier was for which the common law did not provide, and what remedy the que rehersall del comen ley? Bro. Mainp. 61.

4 There are three points to be considered in the construction of all remedial statutes:—the old law, the mischief, and the remedy;—that is, how the common law stood at the making of the construction of all remedial statutes:—the tendenty? Blackstone, 1, 87.

5 2 Hale, P. C. 128, 136. 6 Blackstone, 4, 299

then delivering him without due trial.

sons have been daily arrested and imprisoned for suspicion of felony, sometime of on light suspicion of felony.

peace, whereby many murderers and felons escaped, the king, &c. hath ordained, that the justices of the peace, or two of them at the least (whereof one to be of the quorum), have authority to let any such prisoners or or mainprize.

The statute of 1st and 2nd of Philip and Mary, in 1554, sets forth, that 'notwithstanding the preceding statute of Henry the Seventh, one justice of peace hath often-

The statute of 17 Richard II. cap. 10, in times, by sinister labour and means, set at 1909, sets forth, that 'forasmuch as thieves large the greatest and notablest offenders, notoriously defamed, and others taken with such as be not replevisable by the laws of this the maner, by their long abiding in prison, realm; and yet, the rather to hide their were delivered by charters, and favourable affections in that behalf, have signed the inquests procured, to the great hinderance cause of their apprehension to be but only of the people, two men of law shall be for suspicion of felony, whereby the said assigned, in every commission of the peace, offenders have escaped unpunished, and do to proceed to the deliverance of such felons.' daily, to the high displeasure of Almighty &c. It seems, by this act, that there was God, the great penl of the king and queen's a constant struggle between the legislature true subjects, and encouragement of all and the officers of justice. Not daring to thieves and evil-doers :- for reformation admit felons taken with the maner to bail whereof be it enacted, that no justices of or mainprize, they evaded the law by keep- peace shall let to bail or mainprize any ing the party in prison a long time, and such persons, which, for any offence by them committed, be declared not to be The statute of I Richard III, in 1483, replevised or bailed, or be forbidden to be sets forth, that 'forasmuch as divers per- replevised or bailed by the statute of Westminster the first; and furthermore that any persons, arrested for manslaughter or felony, malice, and sometime of a light suspicion, being bailable by the law, shall not be let to and so kept in prison without bail or main- bail or mainprize, by any justices of peace. prize, be it ordained that every justice of but in the form thereinafter prescribed.'peace shall have authority, by his dis- In the two preceding statutes, the words cretion, to let such prisoners and persons bailable, replevisable, and mainternable so arrested to bail or mainorize.'—By this are used synonymously.1 or promiscuously act it appears that there had been abuses to express the same single intention of the in matter of imprisonment, and that the legislature, viz. not to accept of any security legislature meant to provide for the im- but the body of the offender; and when the mediate enlargement of persons arrested latter statute prescribes the form, in which persons arrested on suspicion of felony The statute of 3 Henry VII., in 1486, (being bailable by the law) may be let to declares, that 'under colour of the pieced- bail, it evidently supposes that there are ing act of Richard the Third, persons, such some cases, not bailable by the law.—It as were not mainternable, were oftentimes may be thought, perhaps, that I attribute let to bail or mainprize, by justices of the to the legislature an appearance of inaccuracy in the use of terms, merely to serve my present purpose. But, in truth, it would make more forcibly for my argument to presume that the legislature were constantly aware of the strict legal distinction persons, mainpernable by the law, to bail between bail and replevy, and that they always meant to adhere to it.2 For if it be true that replevy is by the sheriffs, and bail by the higher courts at Westminster (which I think no lawyer will deny), it follows, that when the legislature expressly say, that any

^{1 2} Hale, P. C. 2, 124.
2 Vide 2 Inst. 150, 186—'The word replevis-asie never signifies bailable. Bailable is in a

court of record by the king's justices; but replevisable is by the sher ff. Selden, State Tr. 7, 149.

particular offence is by law not bailable, the oath made, that the witnesses for the king superior courts are comprehended in the could not be produced the same term. prohibition, and bound by it. Otherwise, sessions, or gaol delivery. - Upon the unless there was a positive exception of the whole of this article I observe, law of bail.

extend to treason or felony plainly and produced that same term or sessions. specially expressed in the warrant of comfelony plainly and specially expressed in the provements. warrant of commitment) could be let to

superior courts (which I affirm there never 1°. That the provision, made in the first was in any statute relative to bail) the part of it, would be, in a great measure, legislature would grossly contradict them- useless and nugatory, if any single judge selves, and the manifest intention of the might have bailed the prisoner ex arbitrio, law be evaded. It is an established rule during the vacation; or if the court might that, when the law is special, and the rea- have bailed him immediately after the son of it general, it is to be generally un- commencement of the term or sessions. derstood; and though, by custom, a lati- 2°. When the law says, It shall and may tude be allowed to the Court of King's be lawful to bail for felony under particular Bench (to consider circumstances inductive circumstances, we must presume that, beof a doubt whether the prisoner be guilty fore the passing of that act, it was not lawor innocent), if this latitude be taken as an ful to bail under those circumstances. arbitrary power to bail, when no circum- The terms used by the legislature are enactstances whatsoever are alleged in favour of ing, not declaratory. -3°. Notwithstanding the prisoner, it is a power without right, the party may have been imprisoned during and a daring violation of the whole English the greatest part of the vacation, and during the whole session, the court are ex-The act of the 31st of Charles the Second pressly forbidden to bail him from that (commonly called the Habeas Corpus Act) session to the next, if oath be made that particularly declares, that it is not meant to the witnesses for the king could not be

Having faithfully stated the several acts mitment. The prisoner is therefore left to of parliament relative to bail in criminal seek his Habeas Corpus at common law; cases, it may be useful to the reader to take and so far was the legislature from suppos- a short, historical review of the law of bail. ing that persons (committed for treason or through its various gradations and im-

By the ancient common law, before and bail by a single judge, or by the whole since the conquest, all felonies were bailacourt, that this very act provides a remedy ble, till murder was excepted by statute. so for such persons, in case they are not in- that persons might be admitted to bail, bedicted in the course of the term or session fore conviction, almost in every case. The subsequent to their commitment. The law statute of Westminster says that, before neither suffers them to be enlarged before that time, it had not been determined, trial, nor to be imprisoned after the time in which offences were replevisable, and which which they ought regularly to be tried. In were not, whether by the common writ dethis case the law says, 'It shall and may homine replegiando, or ex officio by the be lawful to and for the judges of the Court sheriff. It is very remarkable that the of King's Bench and justices of over and abuses arising from this unlimited power of terminer, or general gaol delivery, and they replevy, dreadful as they were, and deare hereby required, upon motion to them structive to the peace of society, were not made in open court, the last day of the corrected or taken notice of by the legislaterm, session, or gaol delivery, either by ture, until the Commons of the kingdom the prisoner or any one in his behalf, to set had obtained a share in it by their repreat liberty the prisoner upon bail; unless it sentatives; but the House of Commons appear to the judges and justices, upon had scarce begun to exist, when these

power of replevying felons.

with vert or venison was declared to be all saints.'3 equivalent to indictment. The legislature by the obvious intent and meaning of the minster. legislature. They considered not so much

formidable abuses were corrected by the was found to produce such inconveniences statute of Westminster. It is highly pro- that, in three years after, the legislature bable that the mischief had been severely found it necessary to repeal it. Instead of felt by the people, although no remedy had trusting any longer to a single justice of been provided for it by the Norman kings peace, the act of 3rd Henry VIIth repeals or barons. The iniquity of the times was the preceding act, and directs 'that no so great, as it even forced the subjects to prisoner (of those who are mainpernable by forego that, which was in account a great the law) shall be let to bail or mainprize. liberty, to stop the course of a growing by less than two justices, whereof one to be mischief.' The preamble to the statutes, of the quorum.' And so indispensably made by the first parliament of Edward the necessary was this provision thought, for First, assigns the reason of calling it, 'be- the administration of justice, and for the cause the people had been otherwise en- security and peace of society, that, at this treated than they ought to be, the peace time, an oath was proposed by the king to less kept, the laws less used, and offenders be taken by the knights and esquires of his less punished than they ought to be, by household, by the members of the House reason whereof the people feared less to of Commons, and by the peers spiritual offend; '2 and the first attempt to reform and temporal, and accepted and sworn to these various abuses was by contracting the quasi und voce by them all, which, among other engagements, binds them 'not to let For above two centuries following it does any man to bail or mainprize, knowing and not appear that any alteration was made deeming him to be a felon, upon your honin the law of bail, except that being taken our and worship. So help you God and

In about half a century however even adhered firmly to the spirit of the statute of these provisions were found insufficient. Westminster. The statute of 27th of Ed- The act of Henry the Seventh was evaded. ward the First directs the justices of assize and the legislature once more obliged to to enquire and punish officers bailing such interpose. The act of 1st and 2nd of Philip as were not bailable. As for the judges of and Mary takes away entirely from the justhe superior courts, it is probable that, in tices all power of bailing for offences dethose days, they thought themselves bound clared not bailable by the statute of West-

The illegal imprisonment of several perto what particular persons the prohibition sons, who had refused to contribute to a was addressed, as what the thing was, which loan exacted by Charles the First, and the the legislature meant to prohibit, well know- delay of the Habeas Corpus and subsequent ing that in law, quando aliquid prohibetur, refusal to bail them, constituted one of the prohibetur et omne, per quod devenitur ad first and most important grievances of that illud. 'When any thing is forbidden, all reign. Yet when the House of Commons, the means, by which the same thing may be which met in the year 1628, resolved upon compassed or done, are equally forbidden.' measures of the most firm and strenuous By the statute of Richard the Third, the resistance to the power of imprisonment power of bailing was a little enlarged. assumed by the king or privy council, and Every justice of peace was authorized to to the refusal to bail the party on the rebail for felony; but they were expressly turn of the Habeas Corpus, they did exconfined to persons arrested on light sus- pressly, in all their resolutions, make an picion; and even this power, so limited, exception of commitments, where the cause

¹ Selden, by N Bacon, 182. 2 Parliamentary History, 1. 82.

¹ Parliamentary History, 2. 419.

of the distinction is, that, whereas when the crime is then known, and the offender must be brought to the ordinary trial; if, on the contrary, no cause of commitment be expressed, and the prisoner be thereupon livering, bailing, or remanding the prisoner. same scandalous traffic, in which we have they all condemn you. seen the privilege of parliament exerted or relaxed, to gratify the present humour, or to serve the immediate purpose of the crown, is introduced into the administration of justice. The magistrate, it seems, has now no rule to follow, but the dictates of personal enmity, national partiality, or perhaps the most prostituted corruption.

To complete this historical inquiry, it only remains to be observed that the Habeas P.C. 2. 08. Corpus Act of 31st of Charles the Second, so justly considered as another Magna Charta of the kingdom, 'extends only to the case of commitments for such criminal charge, as can produce no inconvenience to public justice by a temporary enlargement of the prisoner.' 1-So creful were the legislature, at the very moment when they were providing for the liberty of the subject, not to furnish any colour or pretence for violating or evading the established law of bail in the higher criminal offences. several statutes relative to bail.'-D°. 89. But the exception, stated in the body of the act, puts the matter out of all doubt After directing the judges how they are to

When the laws, plain of themselves, are remanded, it may operate to perpetual im- thus illustrated by facts, and their uniform prisonment. This contest with Charles the meaning established by history, we do not First produced the act of the 16th of that want the authority of opinions, however king, by which the Court of King's Bench respectable, to inform our judgment, or to are directed, within three days after the confirm our belief. But I am determined return to the Habeas Corpus, to examine that you shall have no escape. Authority and determine the legality of any commit- of every sort shall be produced against you. ment by the king or privy council, and to from Jacob to lord Coke, from the dicdo what to justice shall appertain in de-tionary to the classic .- In vain shall you appeal from those upright judges whom -Now, it seems, it is unnecessary for the you disdain to imitate, to those whom you judge to do what appertains to justice. The have made your example. With one voice,

'To be taken with the maner is where a thief, having stolen any thing, is taken with the same about him, as it were in his hands. which is called flagrante delicto. Such a criminal is not bailable by law.'- Facob under the word Maner.

'Those who are taken with the maner, are excluded, by the statute of Westminster. from the benefit of a replevin.'—Hawkins.

Of such heinous offences no one, who is notoriously guilty, seems to be bailable by the intent of this statute.'-Do. 2. 99.

'The common practice, and allowed general rule is, that bail is only then proper, where it stands indifferent whether the party were guilty or innocent. -D°. D°.

'There is no doubt but that the bailing of a person who is not bailable by law, is punishable either at common law as a negligent escape, or as an offence against the

'It cannot be doubted but that, neither the judges of this, nor of any other superior court of justice, are strictly within the purproceed to the discharge of the prisoner view of that statute, yet they will always, upon recognizance and surety, having re- in their discretion, pay a due regard to it, and not admi. a person to bail, who is expressly declared by it irreplevisable without

of the restraint was expressed, and did by gard to the quality of the prisoner and law justify the commitment. The reason nature of the offence, it is expressly added. unless i shall appear to the said lord cause of commitment is expressed, the chancellor, &c. that the party, so commit-.ed. is detained for such matters, or offences. or the which BY THE LAW THE PRISONER S NOT BAILABLE.'

Blackstone, 4. 137.

some particular circumstance in his favour; cised, when it stands wholly indifferent in and therefore it seems difficult to find an the eye of the Court, whether the prisoner instance, where persons, attainted of felony, be guilty or not.'-Selden. State Trials, or notoriously guilty of treason or man- 7. 230. I. slaughter, &c., by their own confession, or otherwise, have been admitted to the benefit when imprisonment is imposed upon him of bail, without some special motive to the for custody.' - Attorney-General Heath, court to grant it.'-Do. 114.

or wrong by his imprisonment, we have thority, it appears plainly that, in regard to power to deliver and discharge him :- if bailable or not bailable, all parties agreed otherwise, he is to be remanded by us to in admitting one proposition as incontroprison again.'-Lord Ch. J. Hyde. State vertible. Trials, 7. 115.

'The statute of Westminster was especially for direction to the sheriffs and others. but to say courts of justice are excluded from this statute, I conceive it cannot be.' -Attorney-General Heath, Do. 132.

'The court, upon view of the return. judgeth of the sufficiency or insufficiency of it. If they think the prisoner in law to be bailable, he is committed to the marshal and bailed; if not, he is remanded.'-Through that whole debate the objection, on the part of the prisoners, was, that no cause of commitment was expressed in the warrant; but it was uniformly admitted by their counsel that, if the cause of commitment had been expressed for treason or felony, the court would then have done right in remanding them.

The attorney-general having urged, before a committee of both Houses, that, in Beckwith's case and others, the lords of the council sent a letter to the Court of King's Bench to bail; it was replied by the managers for the House of Commons, that this was of no moment, 'for that either the prisoner was bailable by the law, or not bailable; -if bailable by the law, then he was to be bailed without any such letter ;if not bailable by the law, then plainly the judges could not have bailed him upon the letter, without breach of their oath, which is, that they are to do justice according to the law, &c.'-State Trials, 7. 175.

'So that, in bailing upon such offences of the highest nature, a kind of discretion, rather than a constant law, hath been exer-

'I deny that a man is always bailable, Do. 238.—By these quotations from the 'If it appears that any man hath injury State Trials, though otherwise not of au-

> 'In relation to capital offences there are especially these acts of parliament that are the common landmarks 1 touching offences bailable or not bailable. - Hale, 2. P. C. 127. The enumeration includes the several acts cited in this paper.

'Persons taken with the manouvre are not bailable, because it is furtum manifestum.'-Hale 2. P. C. 133.

'The writ of Habeas Corpus is of a high nature; for if persons be wrongfully committed, they are to be discharged upon this writ returned; or, if bailable, they are to be bailed ;-if not bailable, they are to be committed.' - Hale, 2. P. C. 143. This doctrine of lord chief justice Hale refers immediately to the superior court from whence the writ issues .- ' After the return is filed, the court is either to discharge, or bail, or commit him, as the nature of the cause requires.' -Hale, 2, P. C. 146.

'If bail be granted, otherwise than the law alloweth, the party that alloweth the same shall be fined, imprisoned, render damages, or ferfeit his place, as the case shall require.'-Selden by N. Bacon, 182.

'This induces an absolute necessity of expressing, upon every commitment, the reason for which it is made; that the court, upon a Habeas Corpus, may examine into its validity, and, according to the circumstances of the case, may discharge, admit to bail, or remand the prisoner.'-Blackstone, 3. I33.

'Marriot was committed for forging in-

1 It has been the study of lord Mansfield to remove landmarks.

Mainprise, 67.

confession, then he must be deemed in law bailable at all.' - Coke, 2. Inst. 188.-4. 178.

when the offence is open and manifest.'-2. Inst. 189.

'In this case non stat indifferenter, whether he be guilty or no, being taken with the maner, that is, with the thing stolen, as it were in his hand.'-Do. Do.

'If it appeareth that this imprisonment be tion. former gaoler; but, if it shall appear to the court that he was imprisoned against the law of the land, they ought, by force of this statute, to deliver him: if it be doubtful, and under consideration, he may be bailed.'-2. Inst. 55.

It is unnecessary to load the reader with any further quotations. If these authorities conscience.

dorsements upon bank bills, and, upon a argument, however conformable to law and Habeas Corpus, was bailed, because the reason, in which a cunning, quibbling atcrime was only a great misdemeanor; -for torney might not discover a flaw, But, though the forging the bills be felony, yet taking the whole of it together, I affirm that forging the indorsement is not.'-Salkeld, it constitutes a mass of demonstration, than which nothing more complete or satisfac-Appell de mahem, &c. ideo ne fuit lesse tory can be offered to the human mind. a baille, nient plus que in appell de robbery How an evasive, indirect reply will stand ou murder; quod nota, et que in robry et with your reputation, or how far it will murder le partie n'est baillable.'-Bro. answer in point of defence at the bar of the House of Lords, is worth your considera-'The intendment of the law in bails is, tion. If, after all that has been said, it quod stat indifferenter, whether he be guilty should still be maintained, that the Court or no; but, when he is convict by verdict or of King's Bench, in bailing felons, are exempted from all legal rules whatsoever, and to be guilty of the felony, and therefore not that the judge has no direction to pursue. but his private affections, or mere unques-Bail is quando stat indifferenter and not tionable will and pleasure, it will follow plainly, that the distinction between bailable and not bailable, uniformly expressed by the legislature, current through all our law-books, and admitted by all our great lawyers without exception, is in one sense a nugatory, in another a pernicious, distinc-It is nugatory, as it supposes a difjust and lawful, he shall be remanded to the ference in the bailable quality of offences. when, in effect, the distinction refers only to the rank of the magistrate. It is pernicious, as it implies a rule of law, which vet the judge is not bound to pay the least regard to, and impresses an idea upon the minds of the people, that the judge is wiser and greater than the law.

It remains only to apply the law, thus are not deemed sufficient to establish the stated, to the fact in question. By an doctrine maintained in this paper, it will be authentic copy of the mittimus it appears, in vain to appeal to the evidence of law- that John Eyre was committed for felony. books, or to the opinions of judges. They plainly and specially expressed in the warare not the authorities by which lord Mans- rant of commitment. He was charged field will abide. He assumes an arbitrary before alderman Halifax by the oath of power of doing right; and, if he does Thomas Fielding, William Holder, William wrong, it lies only between God and his Payne, and William Nash, for feloniously stealing eleven quires of writing-paper, Now, my Lord, although I have great value six shillings, the property of Thomas faith in the preceding argument, I will not Beach, &c. - By the examinations, upon say, that every minute part of it is absolutely oath, of the four persons mentioned in the invulnerable. I am too well acquainted mittimus, it was proved, that large quantiwith the practice of a certain court, directed ties of paper had been missed, and that by your example, as it is governed by your eleven quires (previously marked from a authority, to think there ever yet was an suspicion that Eyre was the thief) were found upon him. Many other quires of paper, as Mr Eyre, struggling with adversity, must the offender. creditable witnesses upon oath?-Was it resource in their economy. even insinuated to you, either by himself or readily and heartily concurred. At sight of with the hopes of a pardon.

marked in the same manner, were found at always be an interesting scene to lord his lodgings; and after he had been some Mansfield. -Or was it that liberal anxiety. time in Wood-street Compter, a key was by which your whole life has been distinfound in his room there, which appeared to guished, to enlarge the liberty of the subbe a key to the closet at Guildhall, from ject?-My Lord, we did not want this new whence the paper was stolen. When asked instance of the liberality of your principles. what he had to say in his defence, his only We already knew what kind of subjects answer was, I hope you will bail me. Mr they were, for whose liberty you were Holder, the clerk, replied, That is impos- anxious. At all events the public are much sible. There never was an instance of it, indebted to you for fixing a price, at which when the stolen goods were found upon the felony may be committed with impunity. thief. The lord mayor was then applied to, You bound a felon, notoriously worth thirty and refused to bail him. Of all these cir- thousand pounds, in the sum of three huncumstances it was your duty to have informed dred. With your natural turn to equity, vourself minutely. The fact was remark- and knowing, as you are, in the doctrine of able, and the chief magistrate of the city of precedents, you undoubtedly meant to London was known to have refused to bail settle the proportion between the fortune To justify your compliance of the felon, and the fine by which he may with the solicitations of your three country- compound for his felony. The ratio now men, it should be proved that such allega- upon record, and transmitted to posterity tions were offered to you, in behalf of their under the auspices of lord Mansfield, is associate, as honestly and bonû fide reduced exactly one to a hundred .- My Lord, withit to a matter of doubt and indifference out intending it, you have laid a cruel whether the prisoner was innocent or guilty. restraint upon the genius of your country--Was any thing offered by the Scotch men. In the warmest indulgence of their triumvirate that tended to invalidate the passions they have an eye to the expense, positive charge made against him by four and if their other virtues fail us, we have a

By taking so trifling a security from his bail, that no felony was committed;—or John Eyre, you invited and manifestly that he was not the felon;—that the stolen exhorted him to escape. Although in goods were not found upon him ;-or that he bailable cases, it be usual to take four was only the receiver, not knowing them to securities, you left him in the custody of be stolen?-Or, in short, did they attempt three Scotchmen, whom he might have to produce any evidence of his insanity? -- easily satisfied for conniving at his retreat. To all these questions, I answer for you, That he did not make use of the opporwithout the least fear of contradiction, tunity you industriously gave him, neither positively NO. From the moment he was justifies your conduct, nor can it be any arrested, he never entertained any hope of way accounted for, but by his excessive acquittal; therefore thought of nothing but and monstrous avarice. Any other man, obtaining bail, that he might have time to but this bosom-friend of three Scotchmen. settle his affairs, convey his fortune into would gladly have sacrificed a few hunanother country, and spend the remainder dred pounds, rather than submit to the of his life in comfort and affluence abroad. infamy of pleading guilty in open court. In this prudential scheme of future happi- It is possible indeed that he might have ness, the lord chief justice of England most flattered himself, and not unreasonably, so much virtue in distress, your natural would have been pardoned seems more benevolence took the alarm. Such a man than probable, if I had not directed the nedies were convicted of a most deliberate thou and do likewise. and atrocious murder, they still had a claim. My charge against you is now made

p. 242.-That of John Taylor is as follows : He was a serjeant in the first, or royal Scots regiment of foot, and was tried at the Guildford summer assizes, in the year 1770, for the murder of Tames Smith, the master of the Wheatsheaf, near Westminster bridge. It appeared upon the trial, that the deceased had uttered some aggravating expressions against the Scots; in Consequence of which the prisoner, being suddenly thrown off his guard, drew his sword and stabbed him. The jury, after deliberating a considerable time, brought in a verdict of guilty, on which Mr Baron Smythe expressed his surprise, adding that he had told them it was only manslaughter, and desired that a special verdict should be drawn up, which the intimidated jury signed. On this Mr Jasper Smith, a near relation of the deceased, addressed the court in the following words: - My Lord, I am the nearest of kin to words:—My Lord, I am the hearest of kin the unfortunate man who was murdered. I always thought, my Lord, when a verdict was once given, it was unalterable, but by the pre-sent method of proceeding there need not have been any jury at all. It is as plain a murder as can be, and I am persuaded your Lordship thinks so.' To this speech no reply was given. The decision of the judge, in the above case, occasioned some severe animadversions on his conin the Public Advertiser, so as to become extremely conspicuous. This account however, extracted from that paper, does not seem to contain the whole train of the circumstances which preceded this unfortunate catastrophe, for when Taylor was brought to the bar of the King's Bench, February 8, 1791, Lord Mansfield, who read the minutes of the evidence as taken down by Baron Smythe, who presided at the trial, observed, that it appeared that the prisoner had the Public Advertiser.—EDIT.

public attention to the leading step you of our religion was seen in the company of took in favour of him. In the present gen- sinners; but it was his gracious purpose to tle reign, we well know what use has been convert them from their sins. Another made of the lenity of the court and of the man, who in the ceremonies of our faith mercy of the crown. The lord chief justice might give lessons to the great enemy of it. of England accepts of the hundredth part upon different principles keeps much the of the property of a felon taken in the fact, same company. He advertises for patients. as a recognizance for his appearance, collects all the diseases of the heart, and Your brother Smythe brow-beats a jury, turns a royal palace into an hospital for inand forces them to alter their verdict, by curables .- A man of honour has no ticket which they had found a Scotch serjeant of admission at St James's. They receive guilty of murder; and though the Ken- him, like a virgin at the Magdalen; -Go

to the royal mercy.1—They were saved by good. I shall however be ready to answer the chastity of their connexions.—They or to submit to fair objections.2 If, whenhad a sister;-yet it was not her beauty, ever this matter shall be agitated, you suffer but the pliancy of her virtue, that recom- the doors of the House of Lords to be shut. mended her to the king.—The holy author I now protest, that I shall consider you as

The case of the Kennedies is stated in note, been three times assaulted by Smith, the deceased, collared and violently thrown backward upon a bench, without any provocation, turned out of the house, and called by the most opprobrious names; and further, that when out in the street, be was pursued and attacked by two men. before he offered to draw his sword; from which circumstances the court was unanimously of opinion that he had only been guilty of manslaughter, and sentenced him to be burnt in the hand, which was performed accordingly, behind the bar. Mr Dunning also, a strong oppositionist, defended Mr Baron Smythe's conduct in respect to the trial alluded to by JUNIUS, in a speech spoken on a motion made by Mr Serjeant Glynn, December 6, 1770, 'for an enquiry into the administration of criminal justice, and the proceedings of the judges in Westminster Hall, particularly of the judges in Westminster Hall, particularly in cases relating to the liberty of the press and the constitutional power and duty of juries.' Mr Dunning's words are as follow:—'It is not that the characters of the judges are not traduced by groundless accusations and scandalous aspersions. These are gievances which every one sees, and every one laments. Judge Smythe, for example, has, to my knowledge, been very injuriously treated. His conduct in trying the Scotch serjeant at Guildford, for which he has been so much abused in print and now arraymed been so much abused in print, and now arraigned duct, and several queries were addressed to him in parliament, was, in my opinion, very fair and upon the subject, which were repeatedly inserted honourable. I was consulted on the affair as an advocate, and I must say that I perfectly coincided with him in sentiment. Had I been in his place, I must have fallen under the same odium; for my conscience would not have allowed me to use any other language but that of Baron Smythe.'—EDIT.

2 See Miscellaneous Letter, No. CVI., in

which JUNIUS defends the present Letter against several attacks which had been made upon it in

having made no reply. From that moment, against lord Mansfield upon the question denial of justice to the nation.

JUNIUS.

LETTER LXIX.

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE LORD CAMDEN.1

My LORD.

I This Letter followed the preceding in the

in the opinion of the world, you will stand of libel, we did expect that you would not self-convicted. Whether your reply be have suffered that matter to have remained quibbling and evasive, or liberal and in undetermined. But it was said that lord point, will be matter for the judgment of chief justice Wilmot had been prevailed your peers; but if, when every possible upon to vouch for an opinion of the late idea of disrespect to that noble House (in judge Yates, which was supposed to make whose honour and justice the nation im- against you; and we admit of the excuse. plicitly confides) is here most solemnly dis- When such detestable arts are employed to claimed, you should endeavour to represent prejudge a question of right, it might have this charge as a contempt of their authority, been imprudent, at that time, to have and move their Lordships to censure the brought it to a decision. In the present publisher of this paper. I then affirm that instance you will have no such opposition you support injustice by violence, that you to contend with. If there be a judge or are guilty of a heinous aggravation of your lawyer of any note in Westminster Hall. offence, and that you contribute your ut- who shall be daring enough to affirm that, most influence to promote, on the part of according to the true intendment of the the highest court of judicature, a positive laws of England, a felon, taken with the maner, in flagrante delicto, is bailable; or that the discretion of an English judge is merely arbitrary, and not governed by rules of law .- I should be glad to be acquainted with him. Whoever he be, I will take care that he shall not give you much trouble. Your Lordship's character assures me that you will assume that principal part, which I TURN with pleasure from that belongs to you, in supporting the laws of barren waste in which no salutary plant England, against a wicked judge, who takes root, no verdure quickens, to a cha- makes it the occupation of his life to misracter fertile, as I willingly believe, in every interpret and pervert them. If you decline great and good qualification. I call upon this honourable office, I fear it will be said you, in the name of the English nation, to that, for some months past, you have kept stand forth in defence of the laws of your too much company with the duke of country, and to exert, in the cause of truth Grafton. When the contest turns upon and justice, those great abilities, with which the interpretation of the laws, you cannot, you were entrusted for the benefit of man-without a formal surrender of all your kind. To ascertain the facts set forth in reputation, yield the post of honour even the preceding paper, it may be necessary to to lord Chamam. Considering the situacall the persons, mentioned in the mittimus, tion and abilities of lord Mansfield, I do to the bar of the House of Lords.2 If a not scruple to affirm, with the most solemn motion for that purpose should be rejected, appeal to God for my sincerity, that, in my we shall know what to think of lord judgment, he is the very worst and most Mansfield's innocence. The legal argu-dangerous man in the kingdom. Thus far ment is submitted to your Lordship's judg- I have done my duty in endeavouring to ment. After the noble stand you made bring him to punishment. But mine is an inferior, ministerial office in the temple of

> ject of a parliamentary enquiry on the com-mencement of the ensuing session. JUNIUS refers to this generally conceived pledge, and strives (though the effort was in vain) to induce his Lordship to redeem it.—EDIT.

Public Advertiser of January 21, 1772.

2 In the case of lord Mansfield's having bailed Eyre, lord Camden had openly expressed his opinion that the bail was illegal, and had given reason to expect that he would make it the sub-

LETTERS OF JUNIUS.

justice. - I have bound the victim, and of the constituent) be not made the basis dragged him to the altar.

IUNIUS.

THE Reverend Mr John Horne having, with his usual veracity and honest industry, circulated a report that JUNIUS, Society on the 24th of the same month.1

(and if I live will assuredly attempt it) to convince the English nation, by arguments to my understanding unanswerable, that they ought to insist upon a triennial, and banish the idea of an annual parliament. * * * * I am convinced that, if shortening the duration of parliaments (which in effect is keeping the representative under the rod

² This letter is given entire in the private correspondence between JUNIUS and Mr Wilkes. See Private Letters, No. 66.—EDIT.

of our new parliamentary jurisprudence. other checks or improvements signify nothing. On the contrary, if this be made the foundation, other measures may come in aid, and, as auxiliaries, be of considerable advantage. Lord Chatham's project. for instance, of increasing the number of in a letter to the Supporters of the Bill of knights of shires, appears to me admirable. Rights, had warmly declared himself in * * * * As to cutting away the rotten favour of long parliaments and rotten boroughs, I am as much offended as any boroughs, it is thought necessary to submit man at seeing so many of them under the to the public the following extract from his direct influence of the crown, or at the disletter to John Wilkes, Esq., dated the 7th posal of private persons. Yet, I own. I of September, 1771, and laid before the have both doubts and apprehensions in regard to the remedy you propose. I shall 'With regard to the several articles, taken be charged perhaps with an unusual want separately, I own I am concerned to see of political intrepidity, when I honestly that the great condition, which ought to confess to you, that I am startled at the be the sine qua non of parliamentary quali- idea of so extensive an amoutation. - In the fication, - which ought to be the basis (as first place, I question the power, de jure, of it assuredly will be the only support) of the legislature to disfranchise a number of every barrier raised in defence of the con- boroughs, upon the general ground of imstitution. I mean a declaration upon oath proving the constitution. There cannot be to shorten the duration of parliaments, is a doctrine more fatal to the liberty and reduced to the fourth rank in the esteem of property we are contending for, than that, the Society; and, even in that place, far which confounds the idea of a subreme and from being insisted on with firmness and an arbitrary legislature. I need not point vehemence, seems to have been particu- out to you the fatal purposes, to which it larly slighted in the expression, - You shall has been, and may be applied. If we are endeavour to restore annual parliaments! sincere in the political creed we profess, - Are these the terms which men, who there are many things which we ought to are in earnest, make use of, when the salus affirm cannot be done by King, Lords. reipublica is at stake?-I expected other and Commons. Among these I reckon language from Mr Wilkes.—Besides my the disfranchising of boroughs with a objection in point of form, I disapprove general view to improvement. 1 consider highly of the meaning of the fourth article it as equivalent to robbing the parties conas it stands. Whenever the question shall cerned of their freehold, of their birthright. be seriously agitated, I will endeavour I say, that, although this birthright may be forfeited, or the exercise of it suspended, in particular cases, it cannot be taken away, by a general law, for any real or pretended purpose of improving the constitution. Supposing the attempt made, I am persuaded you cannot mean that either king or lords should take an active part in it. A bill, which only touches the representation of the people, must originate in the House of Commons. In the formation and mode of passing it, the exclusive right of the Commons must be asserted as scru- will you direct the operation?-When you pulously, as in the case of a money-bill. propose to cut away the rotten parts, can Now, Sir, I should be glad to know by you tell us what parts are perfectly sound? what kind of reasoning it can be proved, -Are there any certain limits, in fact or that there is a power vested in the repre- theory, to inform you at what point you sentative to destroy his immediate consti- must stop, at what point the mortificatuent. From whence could he possibly tion ends? To a man so capable of obderive it? A courtier, I know, will be servation and reflection as you are, it is ready enough to maintain the affirmative. unnecessary to say all that might be said The doctrine suits him exactly, because it upon the subject. Besides that I approve gives an unlimited operation to the influ- highly of lord Chatham's idea of infusing ence of the crown. But we, Mr Wilkes, a portion of new health into the constituought to hold a different language. It is tion to enable it to bear its infirmities (a no answer to me to say, that the bill, when brilliant expression, and full of intrinsic it passes the House of Commons, is the wisdom), other reasons concur in persuading act of the majority, and not of the repre- me to adopt it. I have no objection,' &c. sentatives of the particular boroughs conwhole kingdom for four years.

lies bound at your feet, without the possi- standing corrects and enlarges the heart. bility of resistance, by what infallible rule

The man, who fairly and completely cerned. If the majority can disfranchise answers this argument, shall have my ten boroughs, why not twenty, why not the thanks and my applaus. My heart is whole kingdom? Why should not they already with him.-I am ready to be conmake their own seats in parliament for life? verted .- I admire his morality, and would -When the Septennial Act passed, the gladly subscribe to the articles of his faith. legislature did what, apparently and pal- Grateful, as I am, to the GOOD BEING. pably, they had no power to do; but they whose bounty has imparted to me this readid more than people in general were soning intellect, whatever it is, I hold myaware of: they, in effect, disfranchised the self proportionably indebted to him, from whose enlightened understanding another 'For argument's sake, I will now sup- ray of knowledge communicates to mine. pose, that the expediency of the measure But neither should I think the most exalted and the power of parliament are unques- faculties of the human mind, a gift worthy tionable. Still you will find an insur- of the divinity; nor any assistance in the mountable difficulty in the execution, improvement of them, a subject of grati-When all your instruments of amputation tude to my fellow-creature, if I were not are prepared, when the unhappy patient satisfied, that really to inform the under-JUNIUS.

MISCELLANEOUS LETTERS

JUNIUS.

MISCELLANEOUS LETTERS

OF

IUNIUS.

LETTER I

FOR THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

28 April, 1767.

Dictatura, quam ın summis reipublica angustiis acceperat, per pacem continuata, liberta-tem frezit; donec illum conversus in rabiem populus et dii ultores de saxo Tarpeio deiecerunt .- LIVY.

THE bravest and freest nations had placed them. have sometimes submitted to a temporary unlimited trust of power, which should bable event of his crimes.1

have been reserved for conjunctures of more than ordinary difficulty and hazard. was without necessity committed to one man's uncertain moderation, what consequence could be expected but that the people should pay the dearest price for their simplicity, nor ever resume those rights, which they could vainly imagine were more secure in the hands of a single man, than where the laws and constitution

Without any uncommon depravity of surrender of their liberties, in order to mind, a man so trusted might lose all ideas establish them for ever. At a crisis of pub- of public principle or gratitude, and not lic calamity or danger, the prudence of the unreasonably exert himself to perpetuate a state placed a confidence in the virtue of power, which he saw his fellow-citizens some distinguished citizen, and gave him weak and abject enough to surrender to him. power sufficient to preserve or to oppress But if, instead of a man of a common his country. Such was the Roman dicta- mixed character, whose vices might be retor, and while his office was confined to a deemed by some appearance of virtue and short period, and only applied as a remedy generosity, it should have unfortunately to the disasters of an unsuccessful war, it happened that a nation had placed all their was usually attended with the most import- confidence in a man purely and perfectly ant advantages, and left no dangerous bad; if a great and good prince, by some precedent behind. The dictator, finding fatal delusion, had made choice of such a employment for all his activity in repulsing man for his first minister, and had delea foreign invasion, had but little time to gated all his authority to him, what security contrive the ruin of his own country, and would that nation have for its freedom, or his ambition was nobly satisfied by the that prince for his crown? The history of honour of a triumph, and the applause of every nation, that once had a claim to his fellow-citizens. But as soon as this liberty, will tell us what would be the prowise institution was corrupted, when that gress of such a traitor, and what the pro-

late lord Chatham, formerly the right honourable ceding letters, is already acquainted with the

¹ This severe invective is aimed against the W. Pitt. The reader, by a perusal of the pre-

especially on the subject of the American dispute. His aversion, however, softened as their political views approximated, and was at length converted into approbation and eulogy. See for a further explanation, the note to Miscellaneous Letter, No. XII., p. 364.—Edit.

Lord Temple, brother-in-law to lord Chat-

ham. They resigned their respective offices, the former of privy seal, and the latter of principal secretary of state, in October 1761. Lord Temple was succeeded by the duke of Bedford; and upon lord Chatham's forming his administration in 1766, he took the post of privy seal himself. Lord Temple did not take part in any ministry arranged subsequent to his resignation of that office, and died Sept. 11, 1779. The following letter from lord C. before his

'Finding, to my great surprise, that the cause and manner of my resigning the seals is grossly misrepresented in the city, as well as that the most gracious and sportaneous marks of his Majesty's approbation of my services, which marks followed my resignation, have been infamously traduced as a bargain for my forsaking the public, I am under a necessity of declaring the truth of both these facts, in a manner which I am sure no gentleman will contradict. A difference of opinion with regard to measures to

Let us suppose him arrived at that late every principle of honour and morality. moment, at which he might see himself The office of a grand Vizir is inconsistent within reach of the great object, to which with a limited monarchy, and can never all the artifices, the intrigues, the hypocrisy, subsist long but by its destruction. The and the impudence of his past life were same measures by which an abandoned directed. On the point of having the whole profligate is advanced to power, must be power of the crown committed to him, observed to maintain him in it. The prinwhat would be his conduct? an affectation cipal nobility, who might disdain to submit of prostrate humility in the closet, but a to the upstart insolence of a dictator, must lordly dictation of terms to the people, by be removed from every post of honour and whose interest he had been supported, by authority; all public employments must be whose fortunes he had subsisted. Has he filled with a despicable set of creatures. a brother? that brother must be sacrificed.1 who having neither experience nor capacity, Has he a rancorous enemy? that enemy nor any weight or respect in their own permust be promoted.2 Have years of his sons will necessarily derive all their little life been spent in declaiming against the busy importance from him. As the absopernicious influence of a favourite? that lute destruction of the constitution of his favourite must be taken to his bosom, and country would be his great object, to be made the only partner of his power.3 But consistent with that design he must exert it is in the natural course of things that a himself to weaken and impoverish every despotic power, which of itself violates rank and order of the community, which every principle of a free constitution, should by the nature of their property, and the be acquired by means, which equally vio- degree of their wealth, might have a par-

utter aversion which Junius at first felt for this be taken against Spain, of the highest importnobleman, on various political accounts, and ance to the honour of the crown, and to the most essential national interests, and this founded on what Spain had already done, not on what that what spain had aireacy done, not on what that court may further intend to do, was the cause of my resigning the seals. Lord Temple and I sub-mitted in writing, and signed by us, our most humble sentiments to his Majesty, which being overruled by the united opinion of all the rest of overrued by the united opinion or an ine rest or the king's servants, I resigned the seals on Mon-day the 5th of this month, in order to not remain responsible for measures which I was no longer allowed to guide. Most gracious public marks of his Majesty's approbation of my services followed my resignation: they are unmerited and unsolicited, and I shall ever be proud to have

received them from the best of sovereigns.

I will now only add, my dear Sir, that I have explained these matters only for the honpromotion to the peerage, explains the motives our of truth, not in any view to court return of promotion to the peerage, explains the motives of their joint resignation; it was addressed to a friend in the city:—

'DEAR SIR,

'DEAR SIR,

'DEAR SIR,

'DEAR SIR,

'DEAR SIR,

'OU O GRAIN AND THE MANY THE MA who justly reveres the upright and candid judgment of it; little solicitous about the censures of the capricious and the ungenerous: accept my sincerest acknowledgments for all your kind friendship, and believe me ever with truth and esteem.

'My dear Sir,
'Your faithful friend,
'W. PITT,'—EDIT, 'Oct. 14, 1761.

The duke of Bedford,-EDIT. 3 Lord Bute.-EDIT.

ticular interest in the support of the estab- people he protects, and if by his assistance rights of the merchant must be arbitrarily the advancement of his main design.2 trav the laws of his country.1

by which a free people may be enslaved. traitor. But the master-piece of his treachery, and the surest of answering all his purposes. would be, if possible, to foment such discord between the mother-country and her colonies, as may leave them both an easier prev to his own dark machinations. With this patriotic view he will be ready to declare himself the patron of sedition, and a zealous advocate for rebellion. His doctrines will

lished government, as well as power to they can obtain a victory over the supreme oppose any treacherous attempts against it. legislature of the empire, he will consider The landed estate must be oppressed; the that victory as an important step towards

invaded, and his property forced from him Such, Sir, in any free state, would proby main force, without even the form of a bably bethe conduct and character of a man legal proceeding. It will assist him much, unnecessarily trusted with exorbitant power. if he can contribute to the destruction of He must either succeed in establishing a the poor by continuing the most burthen- tyranny or perish. I cannot without horror some taxes upon the main articles of their suppose it possible that this our native subsistence. He must also take advantage country should ever be at the mercy of so of any favourable conjuncture to try how black a villain. But if the case should far the nation will bear to see the established happen hereafter, I hope the British people laws suspended by proclamation, and upon will not be so abandoned by Providence, such occasions he must not be without an as not to open their eyes time enough to apostate lawyer, weak enough to sacrifice save themselves from destruction; and his own character, and base enough to be- though we have no Tarpeian rock for the immediate punishment of treason, vet we These are but a few of the pernicious have impeachments, and a gibbet is not too practices by which a traitor may be known, honourable a situation for the carcase of a

POPLICOLA.

LETTER II.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

28 May. 1767.

Your correspondent C. D.3 procorrespond with the proceedings of the fesses to undeceive the public with respect

SIR.

EDIT.

Lord Chatham, then Mr Pitt, opposed Mr George Grenville's Stamp Act, and denied the right of the parliament of Great Britain to legis-

late for America.—EDIT.

3 Poplicola, the writer of this reply, by some e ropucoia, the writer of this reply, by some means or other mistook the real signature, which instead of being C. D. was W. D. The letter is dated from Clifton, and is obviously from the pen of sir W. Draper; affording a singular proof that the Knight of the Bath and Junius were political opponents under signatures mutually unknown, and so far back as May 1767. The subject of sir William's observations was a defence of lord Chatham against some strong observations made upon his character by Mr Wilkes, in a letter addressed to the duke of of friendship was ever found in any part of his Grafton, relative to the illegal proceedings of conduct? and has he not now declared the most the earl of Halifax. The letter is dated Paris, open variance, and even hostility? I have had

Dec. 12, 1763, and the part chiefly adverted to is the following :-

'I believe that the flinty heart of lord Chatham has known the sweets of private friendship, and the fine feelings of humanity, as little as even lord Mansfield. They are both formed to be admired, not beloved. A proud, insolent, over-bearing, ambitious man is always full of the ideas of his own importance, and vainly imagines him-self superior to the equality necessary among real friends, in all the moments of true enjoyment. Friendship is too pure a pleasure for a mind cankered with ambition, or the lust of power and grandeur. Lord Chatham declared in parliament the strongest attachment to lord Temple, one of the greatest characters our country could ever boast, and said he would live and die with his noble brother. He has received obligations of the first magnitude from that noble brother, yet what trace of gratitude or open variance, and even hostility? I have had

This subject is fully explained in many parts of the Letters of Junius, and in the notes now subjoined to them. The character alluded to is earl Camden, at that time lord chancellor .-

could be made by this marble-hearted friend, and Mr Pitt had no doubt his views in even feeding me with flattery from time to time; on occasions too where candour and indulgence were all I could claim. He may remember the compliments he paid me on two certain poems in the year 1754. If I were to take the declarations made by himself and the late Mr Potter à la lettre, they were more charmed with those verses after the ninety-ninth reading, than after the first; so that from this circumstance, as well as a few of his speeches in parliament, it seems to be likewise true of the first orator, or rather the first comedian, of our age, non displicuisse illi

jocos, sed non contiguese.
'I will now submit to your Grace, if there was not something peculiarly base and perfidious in Mr Pitt's calling me a blasphemer of my God for those very verses, at a time when I was absent, and dangerously ill from an affair of honour. The charge too he knew was false, for the whole ridicule of those two pieces was confined to cer-tain mysteries, which formerly the unplaced and unpensioned Mr Pitt did not think himself obliged even to affect to believe. He added another charge equally unjust, that I was the libeller of my king, though he was sensible that I never wrote a single line disrespectful to the sacred person of my sovereign, but had only attacked the despotism of his ministers, with the spirit becoming a good subject, and zealous friend of his country. The reason of this perfidy was plain. He was then beginning to pay homage to the Scottish idol, and I was the most acceptable sacrifice he could offer at the shrine of BUTE. History scarcely gives so remarkable a change. He was a few years ago the mad, seditious tribune of the people, insulting his sovereign, even in his capital city; now he is the abject, crouching deputy of the proud Scot, who he declared in parliament wanted wisdom, and held principles incompatible with freedom; a most ridiculous character surely for a statesman, and the subject of a free kingdom, but the proper composition for a favourite. V. as it possible for me after this to write a suppliant letter to lord Chatham? I am the first to pronounce myself most unworthy of a pardon, if I could have obtained it on those terms.

'Although I declare, my Lord, that the conscious pride of virtue makes me look down with contempt on a man, who could be guilty of this baseness, who could in the lobby declare that I must be supported, and in the House on the same day desert and revile me, yet I will on every occasion do justice to the minister. He has served the public in all those points, where the good of the nation coincided with his own private views; and in no other. I venerate the memory of the secretary, and I think it an

to some reflections thrown out upon the the duke of Grafton. Without undertaking earl of Chatham in Mr Wilkes's letter to the defence of that gentleman's conduct or

as warm and express declarations of regard as nation to the highest pitch in every part of the world. He found his country almost in despair. He raised the noble spirit of England, and strained every nerve against our enemies. His plans, when in power, were always great, though in direct opposition to the declarations of his whole life, when out of power. The invincible bravery of the British troops gave success even to the most rash, the most extravagant, the most desperate of his projects. He saw early the hostile intentions of Spain, and if the written advice had been followed, a very few weeks had then probably closed the last general war; although the merit of that advice was more the merit of his noble brother, than his own. After the omnipotence of lord Bute in 1761 had forced Mr Pitt to retire from his Majesty's councils, and the cause was declared by himself to be our conduct relative to Spain, I had the happiness of setting that affair in so clear and advantageous a light, that he expressed the most entire satisfaction, and particular obligations to my friend-ship. I do not, however, make this a claim of ment to Mr Pitt. It was my duty, from the peculiar advantages of information I then had.'

In answer to these strictures sir William Draper in the letter subscribed W. D., and which is too long to be copied verbatim, quotes several of Mr Wilkes's previous declarations in favour of lord Chatham, while Mr Pitt, and concludes as

follows :-

The letter asserts also that lord Chatham is now the abject, crouching deputy of lord Bute. who he declared in parliament wanted wisdom, and held principles incompatible with freedom. The world knows nothing of this abject, crouching deputed minister, but from Mr Wilkes's single affirmation; but we all know that his Majesty has been pleased to call lord Chatham again to the ministry: if lord Bute supports him in it, he gives the noblest proof of generosity and greatness of soul, and has revenged himself in the finest manner upon lord Chatham for those expressions, and affords the strongest proof that he does not want wisdom, or hold principles in-compatible with freedom. What greater proof of wisdom can he give, than in supporting that person who is the most capable of doing good to his country, and has upon all occasions approved himself the most zealous protector of its liberties? But I beg pardon; upon a late occasion, indeed, lord Chatham showed himself to be no friend to liberty; he was so very tyrannical, as well as lord Camden, that he denied some traders the right, liberty, and privilege of starving his fellowcitizens, by exporting all the corn out of the kingdom, for which he has met with his reward, and been as much abused as if he himself had been guilty of starving them. Is there no Tarpeian rock for such a tyrant?

'Mr Wilkes has now done with lord Chatham, honour to myself that I steadily supported in leaving him to the poor consolation of a place, a parliament an administration, the most successful peerage, and a pension; for which, he says, he we ever had, and which carried the girry of the has sold the confidence of a great nation. But I thing in support of other men's ambition.

plicable to him at another. It is barely but detestation and contempt. possible, that a very honest commoner may become a very corrupt and worthless peer; and I am inclined to suspect that Mr C. D. will find but few people credulous enough to believe that either Mr Pitt or Mr Pultney, when they accepted of a title, did not, by that action, betray their friends, their country, and, in every honourable sense, themselves. Mr C. D. wilfully misrepresents the cause of that censure, which was very justly thrown upon lord Chatham. when the exportation of corn was prohibited by proclamation. The measure itself was necessary, and the more necessary from the scandalous delay of the ministry in calling the parliament together; but to maintain this country sets all the speculations of the that the proclamation was legal, and that press at defiance. To talk of modern there was a suspending power lodged in the ministers, or to examine their conduct, crown, was such an outrage to the common would be to reason without data; for sense of mankind, and such a daring attack whether it be owing to the real simple inupon the constitution, as a free people nocence of doing nothing, or to a happy

Wilkes, without making a few observations upon this paragraph: Mr Wilkes is a great jester; in this place he cannot possibly be serious; for as to the pension, I think I cannot explain it better to my countrymen, than in Mr Wilkes's own

words, August 12, 1762.
"I must, in compliance with a few vulgar writers, call the inadequate reward given to Mr Pitt, for as great services as ever were performed self so furiously, and perhaps destroy that idea of by a subject, a pension, although the grant is not consistency which the gentleman boasts of in his during pleasure, and therefore cannot create any letter to the duke of Grafton; where he assure undue, unconstitutional influence. In the same his Grace, that "however unfashionable such a light was to consider the duke of Crafton."

character, permit me to observe that he was ought never to forgive. The man, who the instrument, and a useful one to the maintained those doctrines, ought to have party, therefore should not have been sacri- had the Tarpeian rock, or a gibbet, for his ficed by it. He served them perhaps with reward. Another gentleman, upon that too much zeal; but such is the reward, occasion, had spirit and patriotism enough which the fools of faction usually receive, to declare, even in a respectable assembly. and in some measure deserve, when they that, when he advised the proclamation, he are imprudent enough to hazard every did it with the strongest conviction of its being illegal; but he rested his defence I cannot admit, that because Mr Pitt upon the unavoidable necessity of the case. was respected and honoured a few years and submitted himself to the judgment of ago, the earl of Chatham therefore deserves his country. This noble conduct deserved to be so now: or that a description, which the applause and gratitude of the nation, might have suited him at one part of his while that of the earl of Chatham, and his life, must of necessity be the only one ap- miserable understrappers, deserved nothing

POPLICOLA.

LETTER III.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

24 June, 1767.

Accedere matrem muliebri impotentia: serviendum fæminæ, duobusque insuper nebulonibus, qui rempublican interim premant. lonibus, que remeneration quandoque distrahant. Tacitus 1º Annalium,

THE uncertain state of politics in

cannot take leave of, or have done with, Mr for Mr Pitt having before received the most obliging marks of regard from the public, the testimony of his sovereign only remained want-

ing."
Now as Mr Wilkes has so fully set forth the nature of this pension, I cannot think it will at Chatham: it may very possibly lessen their confidence in Mr Wilkes, who has contradicted himlight we are to consider the dukes of Cumber-leland's and Marlborough's, prince Ferdinand's, part from his character." The reader has the and admiral Hawke's, Mr Onslow's, &c. &c.

Let I was going to call it the king's gold box; W. D.—Eptr.

who had neither abilities nor personal innation the prey of his avarice and ambition. death! But I trust the time is not very distant when we shall see him dragged forth from his retirement, and forced to answer severely for all the mischiefs he hath brought upon us.

It is worth while to consider, though perhaps not safe to point out, by what arts it hath been possible for him to maintain himself so long in power, and to skreen himself from national justice. Some of them have been obvious enough; the rest country, which has prevented your hearing may without difficulty be guessed at. But sooner from me. I find you and your whatever they are, it is not above a twelve- brother printers have got greatly into a month ago, since they might have all been sort of knack of stuffing your papers with defeated, and the venomous spider itself flummery upon two certain brothers,3 who caught and trampled on in its own webs. It was then his good fortune to corrupt one man, from whom we least of all expected so base an apostacy.2 Who indeed could are well paid for this sort of nonsense, as

The earl of Bute.—EDIT.
The earl of Chatham.—EDIT.

mysteriousness in concealing their activity, have suspected, that it should ever consist we know as little of the services they have with the spirit or understanding of that performed, since it became their lot to apperson, to accept of a share of power under pear in the gazette, as we did of their peraperson accept of a share of power under pear in the gazette, as we did of their peraperson to accept of a share of power under pear in the gazette, as we did of their peraperson to accept of a share of power under pearson. sons or characters before. They seem to self had affected to detest or despise, as have come together by a sort of fortuitous much as he knew he was detested and concourse, and have hitherto done nothing despised by the whole nation? I will not else but jumble and jostle one another, censure him for the avarice of a pension. without being able to settle into any one nor the melancholy ambition of a title. regular or consistent figure. I am not These were objects which he perhaps however such an atheist in politics as to looked up to, though the rest of the suppose that there is not somewhere an world thought them far beneath his acceptoriginal creating cause, which drew these ance. But, to become the stalking-horse atoms forth into existence; but it seems of a stallion; to shake hands with a Scotchthe utmost skill and cunning of that secret man at the hazard of catching all his ingoverning hand could go no further. To famy; to fight under his auspices against create or foment confusion, to sacrifice the the constitution; and to receive the word honour of a king, or to destroy the happi- from him, prerogative and a thistle: (by ness of a nation, requires no talent, but a the once respected name of Pit!) it is even natural itch for doing mischief. We have below contempt. But it seems that this seen it performed for years successively, unhappy country had long enough been with a wantonness of triumph, by a man distracted by their divisions, and in the last instance was to be oppressed by their union. terest, nor even common personal courage.1 May that union, honourable as it is, subsist It has been possible for a notorious coward, for ever! may they continue to smell at skulking under a petticoat, to make a great one thistle, and not be separated even in

ANTI SEJANUS, Jun.

LETTER IV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR,

St James's Coffee-house, 25 Aug. 1767.

P HAVE been some time in the

are labour-in-vain endeavouring to force themselves out of the world's contempt. I

have great good will to you, and hope you

indeed you ought to be, for it certainly disappointed lord-lieutenant of Ireland, and the 3 Lord Townshend, and his brother, the Hon- latter at this time chancellor of the Exchequer,-

ourable Charles Townshend, the former just EDIT.

graces your paper. It is in vain that your youth, and the friends of his best and friends assure the coffee-house, that these ripest judgment .- O tempora! O mores! things are wrote by the brothers themselves: that you believe no more of them than the rest of the world does; and that you only put them in to show your extreme impartiality, which sometimes obliges you to insert the most improbable stories: I would therefore advise you, as a friend, to give up this noble pair as enfans perdus.

I am not a stranger to this par nobile fratrum. I have served under the one, and have been forty times promised to be served by the other. I don't think it possible to characterize either without having recourse to the other; but anybody who knows one of them, may easily obtain an idea of the other: Thus now; suppose you acquainted with the chancellor, take away his ingenuity, and a something, that at times looks something like good nature, but it is not, and you have the direct and actual character of the peer; a boaster without spirit, and a pretender to wit without a grain of sense; in a word, a vainglorious idler without one single good quality of head or heart. I hope his affairs with lord - and Mr - are the only instances of his setting out with unnecessary insolence, and ending with shameful tameness. But is such a man likely to please the brave Irish, whose hasty tempers, or whose blunders, may sometimes lead them into a quarrel; but whose swords always carry them through it. Are these the pair, who are to give stability to a wavering favourite, and permanency to a locum tenens administration? Alas! alas!

Non tali anxilio, nec defensoribus istis Tempus eget:

And is it by such a prop that Grafton thinks to stand, after throwing down his A FAITHFUL MONITOR.

LETTER V.1

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

16 Sept. 1767.

HIS Excellency the Lord-Lieutenant of Ireland,2 is said to have a singular turn for portrait painting, which he willingly employs in the service of his friends. He performs gratis, and seldom gives them the trouble of sitting for their pictures. But I believe the talents of this ingenious nobleman never had so fair an occasion of being employed to advantage as at present. It happens very fortunately for him. that he has now a set of friends, who seem intended by nature for the subjects of such a pencil. In delineating their features to the public, he will have an equal opportunity of displaying the delicacy of his hand, and, upon which he chiefly piques himself, the benevolence of his heart. But considering the importance of his present cares, I would fain endeavour to save him the labour of the design, in hopes that he will bestow a few moments more upon the execution. Yet I will not presume to claim the merit of invention. The blindness of chance has done more for the painter, than the warmest fancy could have imagined. and has brought together such a groupe of figures, as I believe, never appeared in real life, or upon canvas before.

Your principal character, my Lord, is a young duke 3 mounted upon a lofty phaeton; his head grows giddy; his horses carry him violently down a precipice, and a idol Pitt, at whose false altar he had before bloody carcase, the fatal emblem of Britansacrificed his friends? Is it for such a man nia, lies mangled under his wheels. By the that Conway foregoes the connexions of his side of this furious charioteer sits Caution

¹ The following answer to correspondents in and we shall always pay the utmost attention the Public Advertiser of Sept. 16, identifies to whatever comes from so masterly a pen.'— JUNIUS to have been the writer of this letter.
Our correspondent C. will observe, that we have obeyed his directions in every particular,

Lord Townshend .- EDIT. 3 The duke of Grafton .- EDIT.

without foresight, 1 a motley thing, half mili- forward to the front of the piece. Your Lord, give him to us in the attitude of an him * * * * * * orator eating the end of a period, which pledge Myself-The rest he eats.

nature, and which marshals him the way conveyed to the eye!7 to the murder of the constitution.2

blast vou all. * * * *

a perfect Malagrida I protest /4 So much of your own luxurious fancy. for his person; and as for his mind, a qualities.

I Mr Conway, secretary of state for the north-

em department.—EDIT.

² Lord Camden. A scarcity of grain having been experienced during the recess, government had taken upon itself to stop the exportation of corn, in defiance of an act of parliament that granted a bounty for exporting it. The legality of this measure of a proclamation having been questioned, lord Camden maintained that in a case of necessity, the crown was possessed of a legal power to suspend the operation of an act of the legislature. See this subject further touched upon in Letter LX.—EDIT.

3 Lord Northington, formerly lord chancellor,

one or two of whose decrees had, at the above period, been reversed; a circumstance, how-ever, which may possibly be as attributable to his not having sufficiently applied himself to the

tary, scarce civil. He too would guide, but, friendship for the earl of Bute will naturally let who will drive, is determined to have a secure a corner in the retirement for him seat in the carriage. If it be possible, my and his curtain. Provided you discover

If there are still any vacancies in the may begin with, I did not say I would canvas, you will easily fill them up with fixtures or still life. You may show us half a Your next figure must bear the port and paymaster, for instance, with a paper stuck habit of a judge. The laws of England upon the globe of his eye, and a label out under his feet, and before his distorted of his mouth, No, Sir, I am of t'other side, vision a dagger, which he calls the law of Sir. How I lament that sounds cannot be

You may give us a commander-in-chief 8 In such good company, the respectable and a secretary at war 9 seeming to pull at president of the council cannot possibly be two ends of a rope; while a slip-knot in omitted.2 A reasonable number of decrees the middle may really strangle three-fourths must be piled up behind him, with the or the army; or a lunatic brandishing a word REVERSED in capital letters upon each crutch, 10 or bawling through a grate, or of them; and out of his decent lips a com- writing with desperate charcoal a letter to pliment à la Tilbury, Hell and d-n North America; or a Scotch secretary teaching the Irish people the true pro-There is still a young man, my Lord, nunciation of the English language. That who I think will make a capital figure in barbarous people are but little accustomed the piece. His features are too happily to figures of oratory, so that you may remarked to be mistaken. A single line of present him in any attitude you think prohis face will be sufficient to give us the heir- per, from that of sir Gilbert Elliot 11 down apparent of Loyola and all the College. to governor Johnstone. These, however, A little more of the devil, my Lord, if you are but the slighter ornaments of complease, about the eyebrows; that's enough; position, and so I leave them to the choice

The back-ground may be shadowed with blinking bull-dog 5 placed near him, will the natural obscurity of Scotch clerks and form a very natural type of all his good Scotch secretaries, who may be itched out to the life, with one hand grasping a pen, These are the figures, which are to come the other livetted in their respective * *

> cases in question, as to any natural deficiency of judgment. His manners had certainly not been studied in the refined school of lord Chesterfield.—Edit.

> 4 Lord Shelburne, father to the present marquis of Lansdown, at that time secretary of state for the southern department,-EDIT.

5 Col. Barré, then vice-treasurer of Ireland -

6 A lady, who was thought to have considerable influence, is here alluded to -EDIT.

7 Lord North and Mr afterwards sir G. Cooke,

were joint paymasters, the former of whom is ridiculed.—EDIT.

8 The marquis of Granby.—Edit.
9 Lord Barrington.—Edit.
10 Lord Chatham.—Edit.

At that time Irish secretary.-EDIT.

* * * * . Your southern writers past a very curious altercation carried on ad unguem.

of the Irish nation; and as to a false one, and manners of their chief governor, if, laugh at the perfect caricature of a king.

CORREGGIO.

LETTER VI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

Sir. 12 October, 1767. THERE has been for some time

1 Vide Philo Veritatis his letter in this paper, of the sixth instant. The following extract from it will enable the reader the better to understand the allusions in the present letter.

the alusions in the present letter,

'That his Excellency the present lord-lieutenant of Ireland commanded at Quebec, is inindicer as any in the navy, and who, with the
brave captain Dean, burnt and destroyed the
French fleet, had the honour to convey him up
the Gulph of St Lawrence, where his Excellency

which is builting degree, and hear I capet multiplied his military glory; and here I cannot omit an anecdote relating to his Lordship, which occurred at Dettingen in Germany. In the very heat of the carnage of that day, and amidst the horrors of almost universal desolation, a soldier, fighting near his Lordship's side, was killed by a cannon-ball; part of his brains flew out, and some on his Lordship's clothes and in his face. The brave general G—— being near him, said, "My lord, this is terrible work to-day:" "So it is," replied his Lordship, wiping himself with

are apt to rub their foreheads in the agony through your paper between Philo Veritaof composition; but with Scotchmen, the tis and No Ghost. This altercation has seat of inspiration lies in a lower place, hitherto been carried on like other political which, while the FUROR is upon them, they disputes, by affirmatives and negatives. lacerate without mercy. By this delect- assertions and contradictions, good hits able friction, their imaginations become as and smart repartees. This is the kind of prurient as their * * * * * and the combat usually fought on, and indeed the latter are relieved from one sort of matter only one adapted to, the field of a public while their brains are supplied with another, paper. But I perceive, not without anxiety. Every thing they write in short is polished that another species of battle is likely to take place between the two champions But amidst all the license of your wit, my whom I have mentioned. In this I am Lord, I must entreat you to remember that too much concerned to remain neuter. I there is one character too high and too have courage enough to draw my pen upon sacred even for the pencil of a peer, though any man, but I should be very unwilling to your Lordship has formerly done business draw my sword; the pop-gun of wit I can for the family. Besides, the attempt would stand, but a pistol is what I dare not face. be unnecessary. The true character of Somehow or other, I have taken it into my that great person is engraven in the hearts head, that the dull and heavy argument of a pistol-ball is more convincing than the they need only take a survey of the person most elaborate reasoning, or the keepest wit which can be delivered by a pen. in the midst of their distresses, they can Alas, Sir, what then shall I do? Shall I remain silent, whilst No Ghost affirms that the lord-lieutenant of Ireland is a coward, and Philo Veritatis (è contra) declares him a brave and undaunted soldier?-It is of little importance which side I am inclined to from judgment. If I declare in favour of Philo Veritatis, I incur the danger of a pen, which he himself seems to think

> great calmness; "but one would imagine, general, this man had too much brains to be here." at the same time tears of manly pity filled his compassionate eyes.

'Now if humanity, intrepidity, and (what the French justly listinguish by the name of) sang froid, be the characteristics of a valiant soldier, my favourite lord (and such I am proud to own him) can, as the lawyers say, make out, even from this single story, a good title, and does deserve (as I have before averred) to have his name inscribed in adamantine letters on a column of eternal fame; and if Mr No Ghost disputes it, I (in the ancient style of the heralds) defy him: I accept his gauntlet, and stand forth his Lordship's avowed champion, though a bad one, ready to fight in his defence, either with pistol or pen, and desire No Ghost to accept of a Rowland for his Oliver in a scrap of Latin on my

Parturiunt montes; nascetur ridiculus mus. Bye bye, Mr No Ghost.
October 2. PHILO VERITATIS.'-EDIT.

but offer myself as a friend to both, to nisi dignus vindice nodus. measure the ground, give the word, and piece of heroism.

the presumed impossibility of his transport- tary was. ing himself from one of these places to the other in the space of ten days, unless he could Av. and that very fast too. Now flying being a quality which Philo Veritatis does not chuse to ascribe (whatever belief done it, affirm, that the hero was pre- mark this, and if you doubt of his Lord-

very sharp; indeed so sharp, as to beg of sent at both actions; No Ghost denies it: his opponent to lay it aside, and take up a Philo gives the lie; No Ghost knocks him pistol: on the other hand, if I join with down, and then the pistol enters as natur-No Ghort. I have a pistol at my head, ally as possible, and without the smallest which may make a ghost of me. Thus breach of the rule which Horace has laid circumstanced, I will not take either part, down on this occasion: Nec Deus intersit,

No Ghost having denied that his Lordcarry off the body of whichever shall fall in ship was actually present at both places. the field of honour. In this character I shall Philo seems to fear lest we should doubt beg (previous to their engagement) to state that he was at either: Minden he gives up: a few points not yet decided between them, but being resolved to prove that he was at and which they have not yet carried far Quebec, he informs us that the brave capenough in discussion, to require the de- tain Schomberg had the honour of conveycision of powder and ball. Give me leave ing him up the Gulph of St Lawrence, first (though I declare no prepossession in where his Lordship multiplied his glory. his favour) to compliment Philo Veritatis, These are the words. It is not my business the advocate for his Lordship's courage, on to make remarks; but Philo will tell us his own bravery, who, under a fictitious where this multiplication table may be name, challenges with the utmost intre- found; and I would recommend his Lordpidity to single and mortal combat, a ship to study it most attentively; he need nameless opponent. I should spend some go no further in this kind of arithmetic; the time, and take some pains, to turn this next rule will be quite unnecessary, as I compliment and make it worthy of him, presume no one will desire to divide with but that I dare say he is sufficiently ap- his Lordship. Now, if I guess right, the plauded already, by those to whom he has No Ghost will not deny that the brave revealed himself, for such an unexampled Schomberg conveyed him up the gulph, and therefore this does not call very loudly for Now, to my purpose: Philo Veritatis the pistol. Every one will acknowledge asserts, that his hero, lord Townshend, that lord Townshend was at Ouebec; for gave proofs of his bravery at Minden and every one remembers his letter from thence : Ouebec. No Ghost denies the fact, upon and perhaps Philo can tell who the secre-

To this multiplication of glory Philomakes an addition of an anecdote, which, as he says, occurred to his Lordship in Germany : indeed, occurred ! an anecdote occurred ; a curious occurrence it was. First let us see it might gain with the public) to his hero, the inference which Philo draws from, and answers this in somewhat of a new way: then we shall relate the occurrence itself. 'This objection,' says he, 'has no weight, It is, that the humanity of his favourite and is made only to introduce a scrap of Lord (for such he is proud to own him) is Latin and a witticism.' This may be a established by it. The occurrence is, that a very good answer at cross purposes; but soldier being killed near, his brains were is, I confess, a very whimsical one in the scattered upon his Lordship's clothes. A present case. Surely, Sir, this matter is stander-by remarks, 'that this is terrible not yet come so close to a point, as to re- work.' 'True,' says his Lordship, 'but quire the arbitration of a pistol. Let Philo one would have thought this fellow had too Veritatis again (for he has once already much brains to be here.' --- Reader, reship's humanity, you are infidel enough to doubt of his courage. Well, he burst into tears: and who could chuse but weep at a sentiment of such tender, compassionate, and sympathizing humanity! No one, that I know of, can suppose these tears shed from that depression of spirits which the extremity of fear sometimes causes, and which finds some ease from an involuntary overflow at the eyes. Never had such humanity such a panegyrist; it does indeed deserve to be inscribed on Adamantine pillars of eternal fame, as Philo elegantly expresses it. Now as he is such an admirer of humanity in others, let me call on his own humanity not to avail himself of the assistance of a pistol on this occasion; as I will venture to answer for Mr No Ghost, that he will not take up the gauntlet which Philo has so bravely thrown down, offering him the choice of pen or pistol. --- Alas. Philo ! at the first of these weapons you are by no means, indeed you are not, a match for No Ghost; and for the use of the last, you might chance to be hanged, and thus unfortunately frustrate his Lordship's humane intentions of rewarding your courage with one of those pensions which he will multiply on the Irish establishment.

> I am. &c. MODERATOR.

LETTER VII.

FOR THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

22 October, 1767.

Grand Council upon the affairs of Ireland after eleven adjournments.1

Hill Street, 7th October, 1767.

PRESENT.

2 * Tilbury . . . fuddled. † Judge Jefferyes.

¹ This paper was announced in the Public Advertiser in the following words:—'The grand council upon the affairs of Ireland, after eleven adjournments, is come to hand, and shall have a place in our next.' To which was added by the printer himself:—'Our friend and correspondent C. will always find the utmost attention paid to his favours.' C., as the reader must already have observed from the Preliminary -

- I Caution . . . without foresight.
- Malagrida.
- Boutdeville . . . sulky.

A chair left empty for the ¶ High Treasurer, detained by a hurry of business at Newmarket.

After a convenient time spent in staring at one another, up gets Tilbury.

Thus from my Lord his passion broke: He - first, and then he spoke.

TILBURY.

In the name of the Devil and his dam. can anybody tell, what accident brings us five together?

CAUTION.

For my own part, my Lords, I humbly apprehend-though I speak with infinite diffidence-I say, my Lords, I will not pledge myself for the truth of my opinionbut I do humbly conceive with great submission-that we are met together with a view, and in order to consider whether it might not be advisable to give some instructions to this noble Lord for his government in Ireland, or whether we should leave the direction of his conduct to the same chance. to which, under our Sovereign Laird the Earl of Bute (they all bow their heads), he owes his appointment. I may be mistaken, my Lords, but I-I-I-looks round him, simpers, and sits down.

TILBURY.

-t me if I care whether he has any instructions or not. But who the Devil's to draw themsup?

Dissertation and Private Letters, was the secret mark in use between Junius and the printer, to inform each other of the identity or receipt of communications. The present article, however, does not stand in need of this accidental proof of genuineness. Its internal evidence is sufficient without it: especially the identity of its style, and the peculiar nature of its political bearing.—Edit.

2 * The earl of Northington, president of the

- † Earl Camden, lord chancellor.
 - # Mr Conway, northern secretary. Lord Shelburne, southern secretary.
 - Lord Townshend, lord-lieutenant of Ireland.
- Duke of Grafton, first lord of the Treasury. Enit.

MALAGRIDA, with a complacent smile.

That's a task, my Lords, which I the original colour and consistence of my Sulky, and sits down. blood; consequently-But this is a seducing subject, upon which perhaps-I fear-I am too willing to expatiate. To return then to the noble Lord's instructions :- I should be happy to know what your Lordships' ideas are upon this most important question, that, when I have heard all your opinions. I may with greater decency follow my own.

TILBURY.

B-t me if I know anything of the matter.-Falls asleep.

CAUTION.

The very learned Lord who slumbers upon the sofa, having, with his usual candour, confessed his usual ignorance upon the arduous subject of our present debates, it may seem presumptuous in a man of my inferior qualifications, even to form, much more to deliver, any opinion upon it. For the honour of giving instructions to govern-

with whom I agree, that he owes as much to nature for the accomplishments of his mind, as for those of his person, I believe believe no man here is better qualified to few men succeed better at the ambiguous. execute than myself. Your Lordships well It is my forte, my Lords; -- I always know that I am far from being vain of my contrive to leave the person I instruct at talents: vet I believe I may affirm without full liberty to act as he thinks proper, and presumption, that nature has done more entirely at his own peril. Positive instrucfor me. without any effort of my own, than tions are too apt to endanger the safety of other men usually derive from education those who give them. Mine I am deterand experience. My Lord Holland, who mined shall endanger nothing but the safety certainly had some reason to know me, has of the state. But since the noble Lord tone me the honour to say that I was born absolutely insists upon being instructed in a Jesuit, and that if all the good qualities some way or other, my friendship for him, which make the society of Jesus respectable, which he may believe is full as sincere as were banished from the rest of the earth, what I felt for his brother-poor Charles they would still find room enough in the -1 and art thou gone! - so is my bosom of Malagrida. His Lordship sa- friendship; - I say, my Lords, since his gaciously observed, that mine was a sort Lordship can have no doubt about the of understanding more united with the warmth of my friendship for him, he may heart than the head; and that my ideas of at all times rely upon my assistance and men and things depended not so much concurrence, and-and-it is unnecessary i upon the improvement of my brain, as upon believe to explain what - simpers at

JUDGE JEFFERYES, with dignity.

My Lords, your Lordships know that the greatest part of my life has been dedicated to the study of the common and statute law of my country ;--you will not wonder therefore at my appearing a strenuous advocate for the natural liberties of mankind, such as they possessed them before the existence of positive laws in this country, or any other. Now, my Lords, if I am not ill informed, the Irish are already in this desirable state of emancipation. By the most authentic accounts, they actually approach as near to a state of nature, as can be effected by the absence of all legal restraints; and for my own part-I will speak boldly, my Lords-I always do when the liberties of my fellow-subjects are in question-I never consider my own character in what I say either in council or this reason, my Lords, although I venture parliament; I think, that to give any to speak first, I shall take care not to haz- positive instructions to a chief governor, ard any thing decisive. I have already had might have the odious appearance of invad-

ors; and, excepting my noble colleague, of the Exchequer, then lately dead. - EDTT. ¹ The Hon. Charles Townshend, chancellor

ing the natural rights of the Irish. It is think proper to grant them a HABEAS CORtheir claim, it is their birthright, my Lords. to talk without meaning, and to live without law. This is the sort of liberty which our ancestors fought for, and which every true Englishman ought to revere. God forbid, my Lords, that any thing done by a British council, should tend to the diminution of privileges, which the Irish justly think invaluable. Besides, my Lords, I have too much respect for the uncommon talents of the noble Lord himself, to wish to confine him by any opinions of ours. Let him but follow the dictates of his own genius, and I will venture to say, that the Irish will have no reason to envy the government of England ;-at least he may be assured of our hearty endeavours and concurrence to prevent any ill blood, upon that score, between the two nations.

SULKY, in an attitude copied from Mr Sparkes.1

I was quiet enough at Raneham, when I was told I was lord-lieutenant of Ireland. For a man to be told that he commands a kingdom or an army, when he dreams of no such matter, forms a situation too difficult for such a head as mine. My Lords, I speak from experience. Upon another occasion, indeed, I found the business done to my hand, by a person who shall be PUS, at least grant me one, and as soon as possible. I shall never be easy until I find my body once more before you. In the mean time. I believe I had best follow my lord Bute's advice.

OMNES.

Lord Bute! It must be followed. What is it?

SULKY.

To carry over with me a battalion of gallant disinterested Highlanders, who, if there should be any disturbance, may take to their broad swords. Where plunder's to he had, they'll take to any thing. I have seen it tried with astonishing success: and sure never was a man in such a taking as I was.

CAUTION.

The expedient, I confess, is admirable; but pray, my Lord, how do you intend to provide for all these sweet-blooded children?

SULKY.

My secretary has got a list of the employments in Ireland, and assures me that I shall be able to provide for as many more.

[EFFERYES, growing peevish and inpatient.

To conclude, my Lords. If what I have nameless. But alas! I find things in a just now had the honour of throwing out very different condition at present. I per- should not be consistent with the noble ceive that I am no more a statesman than a Lord's ideas, or with his plan of governgeneral, and that my predecessor, instead ment, he has my free consent to adopt a of doing any thing himself, has only be-very different system. Instead of permitting queathed to me the disgrace of not being the Irish to live without any law whatever, able to perform what he was so vain or so let him govern them by edicts from the simple as to promise.—Then to be left to castle. For my own part, I hate medium my own guidance !- If my poor dear in government. I am all for anarchy, or all brother had lived, you would not have for tyranny. The Irish privy council are as treated me so scurvily.-Surely your Lord- good judges of the plea of necessity, and I ships forget that these are a wild barbarous dare say as ready to make use of it, as any people, and how dangerous it is to trust to other council. You have my authority and their respect for the person of a lord-lieu- example, my Lord, in support of suspendtenant.-In short, my Lords, if you do not ing powers; and provided you are a little

A comedian, thus characterized in Church-Ill's Rosciad :

^{&#}x27;Sparks at his glass sat comfortably down, To sep'rate frown from smile, and smile from frown.'-- EDIT.

cautious in the object of your first experiment, you may earry this wholesome maxim to as great a length in Ireland, as, with the blessing of God I / turning up his eyes to heaven I intend to do here.

A dog barks, and wakens Tilbury, who starts up.

TILBURY.

Zounds, my Lord, do you keep bull-dogs in your house?

MALAGRIDA.

No, my Lord; it is but a mongrel. Your true English bull-dog never quits his hold; but this cur plays fast and loose, just as I bid him: he worries a man one moment, and fawns upon him the next.¹ But, my Lords, I hope you are not going away before I have finished my speech. It is a masterpiece, I'll promise you, and has cost me infinite labour to get by heart.

TILBURY.

No, damn me, 'tis a little too late, I thank you. Aside: This silly puppy takes me for his schoolmaster, and fancies I am obliged to hear him repeat his task to me. Exit.

CAUTION.

Pray spare me, my Lord; you know my friendship: I would stay to hear you if it were possible. Astde: I see this will never do; so I'll e'en try to renew with the Rockinghams. Exit talking to himself.

The person here alluded to, is the late Col. Barré,—Epit.

² Lord Camden had been chief justice of the Common Pleas, was now chancellor, and was afterwards president of the council.—EDIT.

³ A writer in the Public Advertiser, in a pretended real account of what passed at the council, having charged Mr Burke with being the author of this satire, and as the letters of Junius were, during their publication, attributed to that gentleman, we shall extract such part of it as more immediately relates to him.

The council are supposed to have discussed

The council are supposed to have discussed the instructions to be given to the lord-lieutenant, and the lord president is then made to address them, as follows:

President.

If nothing further occurs to your Excellency, known to every one present.

JEFFERYES.

Change of place, my Lord, as well as change of party, is the indefeasible right of human nature.² It is a part of the natural liberty of man, which I am determined to make use of immediately. Exit,

MALAGRIDA to SULKY.

Won't you hear me, my Lord?

SULKY.

It is unnecessary, my dear Lord. I see your meaning written in your face. Aside: What the Devil shall I do now? A side: wann might as well expect to be cured by a consultation of quack doctors; they talk, and debate, and wrangle, and the patient expires. However, I shall at least have the satisfaction of drawing their pictures. I believe the best thing I can do will be to consult with my lord George Sackville. His character is known and respected in Ireland as much as it is here; and I know he loves to be stationed in the rear as well as myself. Exit.

MALAGRIDA solus.

What a negro's skin must I have, if this shallow fellow could see my meaning in my face! —— Now will I skulk away to —, where I will betray or misrepresent every syllable I have heard, ridicule their persons, blacken their characters, and fawn upon the man who hears me, until I have an opportunity of biting even him to the heart. Exit.³

nor to you, my Lords, upon the present business, it will be time, I believe, for us to break up.

(As the Council are rising, a Secretary enters.)

Secretary.

My lords, there is a person without, who says he has business of a private nature, and earnestly desires to be admitted.

2. 2.

Do you know who the man is? Are you acquainted with his person?

Secretary.

I am, my lord: but as he desires, in case your Lordships do not think fit to see him, that his visit may be kept a scoret, I beg to be excused mentioning his name: I believe he is personally known to every one present.

LETTER VIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

21 Oct 1767.

Omnes.

Let him come in.

(The Secretary goes out and returns, intro-ducing a tall, ill-looking fellow, in a shabby black coat.)

Lord President.

What are your commands with us, Mr Brazen?

The business, my Lords, that has brought me thus unexpectedly into your company, will, I am persuaded, excuse the unseasonableness of my intrusion. I flatter myself I am known, well known, to every one of your Lordships. My part has not been an obscure one: I may say, with the sublimest of all poets,

Not to know me. &c.

In short, my Lords, I think I have trode the public stage of the world with some degree of applause; with a pen that can blacken the whitest character, and a tongue that can dash the maturest councils, I hold myself equipped at all points for the offices of party. One in particular of this right honourable company can bear testimony to my performances.—What need of more words?

I have done the state some service, and they know it.

But, my Lords, to come to the point at once .-No man, I trust, in these times, serves the state for nothing; yet such has been my pride or folly (call it which you will), that I have got nothing for my pains but empty praise. Now, my Lords, this diet begins to grow too thin for my stomach. I must own I expected to have reaped good interest for my self-denial; but things have not come round as I looked for; the revolutions in government have not kept pace with those that have been made in my fortune; and the late unprosperous fatal negotiation has broken all my measures, and thrown me at length upon your Lordships' mercy, the humblest of your petitioners.

Lord President.

Will your Lordships have the patience to hear this prating fellow any longer?

Lord Camden.

Mr Brazen, you will please to contract your discourse as much as the matter will admit. A great deal that you have now been relating to us might, in my humble opinion, have been spared we shall wish you to let us hear it without fur- port. ther preface.

furnished you with what he calls a true account of a grand council in Hill Street. does not appear to me to have done much service to his patrons. The former dialogue had at least some pleasantry (though Your correspondent, who has not enough, I dare say, to draw a smile

Brazen.

I should have thought that your Lordship at least, in the course of your high office, had been more patient under circumlocution, than to correct me for the little I have now made use of: however, not to incur your displeasure, I will come at once to the point. Your Lordships see these two papers. This in my left hand, my Lords, contains the most important intelligence that was ever directed to ministers. It is, my Lords, the whole scheme and plan of opposition, which you are shortly to encounter, concerted, modelled, and digested, according to rules logical, metaphysical, and mathematical. It is the most beautiful, as well as the sublimest, system of politics, that ever sprung from the brain of man. I am here ready to consign it over to your Lordships, upon the terms and conditions annexed to it; and with it myself, my faith, my friendship, and my conscience.

Witness that here I ago doth give up The execution of his wit, hands, heart, To this great Council's service.

(The whole of the Council rise at once, and the High Treasurer speaks.)

High Treasurer.

My Lords, I see the indignation with which you receive this proposal, and the just contempt with which you are about to treat this most in-famous proponent. But I beseech you, let what I shall now say to him serve for his dismission, and hold him unworthy of any further reply. We reject your offer, Sir, with the most consummate disdain. Unfaithful to your own party, we scorn to admit you into ours; and though the bounty of the council holds forth rewards for merit, we have neither the will nor the means to bribe and seduce a villain. Amongst those gen-tlemen, whon you thus offer to abandon, there are many for whose persons and characters we have the most absolute regard. Whatever their councils may be, and however hostile to our measures, we scorn to look into them by any indirect means. Friends to the liberties of our country, and protectors of its constitution, we wish not to destroy opposition by the force of corruption, we seek only to confute it by the prevalence of reason; every proposal that has the public welfare for its object, from whatever party it springs, shall have our support; and while we have truth and justice on our side, without any prejudice to your petition, or to we have nothing to apprehend from opposition, your principles. If you have any real business, though all your genius and (which is more) all worthy being communicated to this company, your ill nature shall be drawn forth in its supfrom the parties concerned), and perhaps in conversation very lately passed between marking the characters, a little too much him and the lord-lieutenant, how he was truth. But this sorrowful rogue is too dull pressed, and how he evaded. But the to be witty, and as for truth, I suppose it would neither suit his argument nor his disposition. His raillery upon a shabby black coat is indeed delicate to an extreme: but he forgets that wit and abilities have as little connexion with rich clothes as they have with great places, and that a man may wear a fine suit, or figure as a secretary of state, without a single grain of either. But, Sir. if facts asserted are notoriously false. the assertion of them can do no mischief; if notoriously true, they are beyond the reach of his wit, if he had any, to palliate, or of his modesty, which I think is upon a par with his wit, to deny,

Now, Sir, if I were not afraid of dis- good a figure in it as his master. tressing him too much, I would ask him whether lord Townshend did not openly antithesis! O polished language! and complain, only three days before his departure, that he could not, by the warmest or for the footman who hears it. solicitations, prevail on the ministry to agree upon any one system of instructions for him: that he was left entirely to himself; and that the ministry could not be persuaded to pay the smallest attention either to his situation, or to that of the country he was sent to govern. Did he not say this without reserve to every man he met, even in public court, and with all possible marks of resentment and disgust? I would advise your second correspondent following is a mere jeu d'esprit, which I not to deny these known facts; for if he does, I will assuredly produce some proofs is at your service, if you think it will afford of them, which will gall his parons a little the least entertainment to your readers.1 more than any thing they have seen already. Let one of them only recollect what sort of

acts, of which the public are already possessed, sufficiently speak for themselves, and the nation wants no further proof of the weakness, ignorance, irresolution, and spirit of discord, which reign triumphant in this illustrious divan, who have dared to take upon them the conduct of an empire.

One question more, and I have done. Did it become him, who has undertaken the defence of a whole ministry, to forget one of the principal characters of the piece? Why should he omit the dog? This mongrel, that barks, and bites, and fawns, has nevertheless a share in council, and, in the opinion of the best judges, cuts full as

Here, who waits there?-Oh charming equally fit for the noble Lord who speaks.

LETTER IX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

MR PRINTER, 5 Dec. 1767.

THERE are a party of us who, for our amusement, have established a kind of political club. We mean to give no offence whatever to any body in our debates. The threw out at one of our late meetings, and

I am. &c.

Y. Z.

Brazen.

'Tis very well, my Lords; 'tis mighty well; you have rejected the olive branch, take then the sword.—This paper, my Lords, in my right hand, holds a mine that shall blow you into the air. It is a libel wrote in gall. Your present consultations are the subject; and every member here present shall have a seat, except I think fit to dispatch your unimportant Grace to Newmarket. For you, my Lord President, I shall characterize you under the name of Tilbury, because when that man kept an inn at Bagshot, you put up at his house. To my lord Camden, I shall bequeath

the odious name of Jefferyes, by the old derivatory rule of Lucus a non lucendo. Caution without foresight shall be your title, Sir; and your noble colleague's, Malagrida; when I have thought of any reason for either, I may give it you. To your Excellency, by way of contrast, I decree the name of Boutdeville, or Sulky.

S.S. Here; who waits there? Take this fellow and put him out of the house.

Exit BRAZEN between two footnien.

² As the debates in parliament were not allowed at this period to be given verbatim, they were

Mr President. The condition of this mittee, these careful providers, are of us some plan for a speedy relief of the spreading corruption through the people. people, founded upon such certain lights

usually detailed to the public under the guise of fictitious assemblies and opinions, through the medium of imaginary characters; and under this form the writer undertakes to canvass the measures of government, on the opening of the session of parliament in November, 1767. Whether the printer was aware that the speech here detailed was actually spoken by Mr Burke on the particular occasion to which it refers, or conceived it to have been merely fictitious, is uncertain. Since the former edition of this work, however, was put to press, a gentleman, who still thinks Mr Burke to have been the author of the LETTERS of Junius, and who means to give the LETTERS OF JUNIUS, and WHO MEANS TO GIVE his opinions upon this subject to the public, has discovered that the speech is genuine, and was actually delivered; and that the words com-mittes, voicity, chair, &c. are here substituted for those of administration, house, majesty, with mittee, society, chairs, &c. are here substituted for those of administration, house, majesty, with such other variations as are necessary to give it its present character. A passage was suppressed in the original publication, which has now been added in a note to p. 339. That this speech was sent to the printer of the P. A. by Junus, will appear obvious to the reader from its being thus announced for publication. 'C.'s favour is come announced for publication.' C.'s favour is come to the printer of the P. A. by Junus, will suppress the printer of the pri

country, at the conclusion of the last spring, opinion, they have sufficiently acquitted was such as gave us strong reason to expect, themselves of their duty, by advising the that not a single moment of the interval chair to recommend the matter once more to between that period and our winter meet- our consideration, and so endeavouring to ing would be lost or misemployed. We relieve themselves from the burthen and had a right to expect, that gentlemen, who censure which must fall somewhere, by thought themselves equal to advise about throwing it upon the society. God knows the government of the nation, would, dur- in what manner they have been employed ing this period, have applied all their atten- for these four months past. It appears too tion, and exerted all their efforts, to dis-plainly they have done but little good ;-I cover some effectual remedy for the national hope they have not been busied in doing distress. For my own part, I had no mischief; and though they have neglected doubt that, when we again met, the com- every useful, every necessary occupation, I mittee would have been ready to lay before hope their leisure has not been spent in

Sir, I readily assent to the laborious and informations as they alone are able to panegyric which the gentleman upon the procure, and digested with an accuracy lower bench has been pleased to make on a proportioned to the time they have had to very able member of the committee, whom consider of it: But if these were our expect- we have lately lost. 1 No man had a higher ations, if these were the hopes conceived opinion of his talents than I had; but as to by the whole society, how grievously are his having conceived any plan for remedywe disappointed! After an interval of so ing the general distress about provisions many months, instead of being told that a (as the gentleman would have us underplan is formed, or that measures are taken, stand), I see many reasons for suspecting or, at least, that materials have been dili- that it could never have been the case. If gently collected, upon which some scheme that gentleman had formed such a plan, or might be founded for preserving us from if he had collected such materials as we are famine; we see that this provident com- now told he had, I think it is impossible but that, in the course of so many months,

> to hand, and we think our paper much honoured by his correspondence. He may be assured we shall take every possible means to deserve a continuance of it.

> The severity of the speech, however, whether conceived at that time to be genuine or fictitious, concerns at that the line to be gentled of actually is so pointed, that the printer was half afraid to insert it, and the next day made the following apology for its non-appearance. We most heartily wish to oblige our valuable correspondent C., but his last favour is of so delicate a nature, that we dare not insert it, unless we are permitted to make such changes in certain expressions, as may take off the immediate offence, without hurting the meaning."

This request appears to have been complied

some knowledge or intimation of it must The chief and only pretended merit of is not a leader surviving, who is in any species of evidence. measure capable of filling up the dreadful vacuum!

merely as the advice of the foreman of the committee.1

The following are the passages in the king's speech more immediately alluded to in this pretended discussion of it.

'Nothing in the present situation of affairs abroad gives me reason to apprehend that you will be prevented by any interruption of the public tranquillity, from fixing your whole attention upon such points as concern the internal welfare

and prosperity of my people.

Among these objects of a domestic nature, none can demand a more speedy or more serious attention, than what regards the high price of of my people, you may alway dependupon: and corn, which neither the salutary laws passed in in that light, I shall be desirous of couraging the last sessions of parliament, nor the produce of the late harvest, have yet been able so far to

have been communicated to the gentlemen the present advice is, that it contains no who acted with him, and who were united extraordinary matter, that it can do no with him not less by friendship than by harm, and consequently that an answer of office. He was not a reserved man, and applause upon such advice, is but a mere surely. Sir. his colleagues, who had every compliment to the chair, from which no opportunity of hearing his sentiments in the inconvenience can arise, nor consequence committee, in private conversation, and in be drawn. Now, Sir, supposing this to be this society, must have been strangely in- a true representation of the advice. I cannot attentive to a man, whom they so much think it does the committee any great honadmired, or uncommonly dull, if they could our, nor can I agree, that to applaud the not retain the smallest memory of his chair for such advice would be attended opinions on matters on which they ought with no inconvenience. Although an answer naturally to have consulted him often. If of applause may not enter into the approhe had even drawn the loosest outlines of a bation of particular measures, yet it must plan, is it conceivable that all traces of it unavoidably convey a general acknowledgshould be so soon extinguished? To me, ment, at least, that things are, upon the Sir, such an absolute oblivion seems wholly whole, as they should be, and that we are incredible. Yet admitting the fact for a satisfied with the representation of them moment, what an humiliating confession is which we have received from the chair, it for a committee, who have undertaken But this, Sir, I am sure would be an acto advise about the conducting of an em- knowledgment inconsistent with truth, and pire, to declare to this society, that by the inconsistent with our own interior convicdeath of a single man, all projects for the tion, unless we are contented to accept of public good are at an end, all plans are lost, whatever the committee please to tell us, and that this loss is irreparable, since there and wilfully shut our eyes to any other

As to the harmlessness of the advice. I must, for my own part, regret the times But I shall quit this subject for the pre- when advices from the chair deserved sent. and as we are to consider of an another name than that of innocent; when answer in return to the advice from the they contained some real and effectual inchair, I beg leave to mention some observa- formation to this society, -some express tions occurring to me upon the advice itself, account of measures already taken, or some which I think I am warranted, by the positive plan of future measures, for our established practice of this society, to treat consideration. Permit me, Sir, to divide

> reduce, as to give sufficient relief to the distresses of the poorer sort of my people. Your late residence in your several counties must have enabled you to judge whether any further provisions can be made, conducive to the attainment of so desirable an end.

'The necessity of improving the present general tranquillity, to the great purpose of maintaining the strength, the reputation, and the prosperity of this country, ought to be ever before your eyes. To render your deliberations for that purpose successful, endeavour to cultivate a spirit of harmony among yourselves. My con-currence in whatever will promote the happiness the present advice into three heads, and a quarrel with an humble, passive governness and energy, which formerly animated the advice from the chair: You will see, under this division, that the small portion of matter contained in it is of such a nature, and so stated, as to preclude all possibility or necessity of deliberation in this place. The first article is, that everything is quiet abroad. The truth of this assertion, when confirmed by an enquiry, which I hope this society will make into it, would give me the sincerest satisfaction; for certainly there never was a time when the distress and confusion of the interior circumstances Manilla Ransom, that once favourite theme. chase upon such inglorious terms, or to appearance.2 * * * *

very little attention will demonstrate how ment, which hath neither spirit to assert a far it is from aiming at that spirit of busi-right, nor to resent an injury. In the distracted, broken, miserable state of our interior government, our enemies find a consolation and remedy for all that they suffered in the course of the war, and our councils amply revenge them for the successes of our arms.

The second article of the advice contains a recommendation of what concerns the dearness of coin, to our immediate and earnest deliberation. No man, Sir, is more ready than myself, as an individual, to show all possible deference to the respectable authority under which the advice from of this nation made it more absolutely ne- the chair is delivered; but as a member of cessary to be upon secure and peaceable this society, it is my right, nay, I must think terms with our neighbours: But I am a myself bound to consider it as the advice of little inclined to suspect, and indeed it is the foreman of the committee; and, upon an opinion too generally received, that this this principle, if I would understand it appearance of good understanding with our rightly, or even do justice to the text, I neighbours deserves the name of stagna- must carry the foreman's comment along tion rather than of tranquillity; that it is with me. But what, Sir, has been the comowing not so much to the success of our ment upon the recommendation made to us negotiations abroad, as to the absolute and from the chair? Has it amounted to any entire suspension of them for a very con- more than a positive assurance that all the siderable time. Consuls, envoys, and am- endeavours of the committee, to form a plan bassadors, it is true, have been regularly for relieving the poor in the article of proappointed, but, instead of repairing to their visions, have proved ineffectual? That they stations, have, in the most scandalous neither have a plan, nor materials of suffimanner, loitered at home; as if they had cient information, to lay before the society. either no business to do, or were afraid of and that the object itself is, in their appreexposing themselves to the resentment or hensions, absolutely unattainable. If this derision of the court to which they were be the fact, if it be really true that the foredestined. Thus have all our negotiations man, at the same time that he advises the with Portugal 1 been conducted, and thus chair to recommend a matter to the earnest have they been dropped. Thus hath the deliberation of the society, confesses in his comment that this very matter is beyond that perpetual echo with some gentlemen, the reach of this society, what inference been consigned to oblivion. The slightest must we necessarily draw from such a text, remembrance of it must not now be revived. and from such an illustration? I will not At this rate, Sir, foreign powers may well venture to determine what may be the real permit us to be quiet; it would be equally motive of this strange conduct and inconuseless and unreasonable in them to inter- sistent language; but I will boldly prorupt a tranquillity, which we submit to pur- nounce that it carries with it a most odious

genuine speech .- EDIT.

The words 'with Portugal' are not in the this place, and intimated to be suppressed by enuine speech.—Epir. the asterisms. It is extracted from the genuine at the asterisms. It is extracted from the genuine are afternoon and the passage suppressed at speech of Mr Burke, as given in Almon's De-

With respect to the third and last head, present instance, however, the advice is must we have of their sincerity? In the be slaves.

into which the advice may be divided, I particularly farcical. When we are told that readily agree that there is a cause of discord affairs abroad are perfectly quiet, and consomewhere: where it is I will not pretend to sequently that it is unnecessary for us to take say. That it does exist is certain; and I any notice of them; when we are told that much doubt whether it is likely to be re- there is indeed a distress at home, but moved by any measures taken by the pre- beyond the reach of this society's councils sent committee. As to vague and general to remedy: to have unanimity recomrecommendations to us to maintain unani- mended us in the same breath, is, in my mity amongst us, I must say I think they opinion, something lower than ridiculous. are become of late years too flat and stale to If the two first propositions be true, in the bear being repeated: that such are the kind name of wonder, upon what are we to sentiments and wishes of our chairman, I debate? Upon what is it possible for us to am far from doubting; but when I consider disagree? On one point our advice is not it as the language of the foreman, as a fore- wanted; on the other it is useless; but it man's recommendation, I cannot help seems it will be highly agreeable to the thinking it a vain and idle parade of words committee to have us unite in approving without meaning. Is it in their own con- of their conduct; and if we have concord duct that we are to look for an example of enough amongst ourselves to keep in unison this boasted union? Shall we discover any with them and their measures, I dare say trace of it in their broken, distracted that all the committee's purposes, aimed at councils, their public disagreements and by the recommendation, will be fully private animosities. Is it not notorious that answered, and entirely to their satisfaction. they only subsist by creating divisions But this is a sort of union which I hope among others? That their plan is to never will, which I am satisfied never can. separate party from party? friend from prevail in a free society like ours. While friend? brother from brother? Is not their we are freemen, we may disagree; but very motto Divide et impera? When when we unite upon the terms recomsuch men advise us to unite, what opinion mended to us by the committee, we must

bates for 1767, Vol. IV. pp. 506, 507. Lond, Ed. voice cries havock, will not confusion, riot, and

It has too much the air of a design to exculpate the crown, and the servants of the crown, at pate the crown, and the servants of the crown, at the expense of parliament. The gracious recom-mendation in the speech will soon be known all over the nation. The comment and true illustra-tion added to it by one of the ministry will pro-bably not go beyond the limits of these walls. What then must be the consequence? The hopes of the people will be raised. They of course will turn their eyes upon us, as if our endeavours alone were wanting to relieve them from misery and famine, and to restore them to happiness and plenty; and at last, when all their golden exfrom the crown, parliament has taken no effect-ual measures for their relief, the whole weight of their resentment will naturally fall upon us their representatives. We need not doubt but the effects of their fury will be answerable to the cause of it. It will be proportioned to the high recommending authority, which we shall seem not to have regarded; and when a monarch's

rebellion make their rapid progress through the rebellion make their rapid progress through the severest distress, deluded by vain hopes from the throne, and disappointed of relief from the legislatue, will, in their despair, either set all law and order at defiance; or, if the law be enforced upon them, it must be by the bloody assistance of a milliary hand. We have already be a metabolic progress of the new of with had a melancholy experience of the use of such assistance. But even legal punishments loss all appearance of justice, when too strictly inflicted on men compelled by the last extremity of distress to incur them. We have been told, indeed, that if the crown had taken no notice of the dispectations are disappointed, when they find that trees of the people, such an omission would have notwithstanding the earnest recommendation driven them to despair; but I am sure, Sir, that driven them to despair; but I am sure, Sir, that to take notice of it in this manner, to acknow-ledge the evil, and to declare it to be without remedy, is the most likely way to drive them to something beyond despair, to madness; and against whom will their madness be directed, but against their innocent representatives?'-

LETTER X.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 19 Dec. 1767.

country, who thinks that the combination their friends are out of place. Such is the lately entered into at Boston, is merely a certain effect of conferring benefits upon an matter of interior economy, by which we American. are either not essentially affected, or of which danger, when every chance of avoiding it them for ever. security.

I Lord Chatham .- EDIT.

of the men (who to serve them gave up every thing that men ought to hold dear. except their places) as little as they do the interests of their mother country, and will not comply so far with the promising engagements made for them here, as even IF there be any man in this to conceal their malignant intentions until

Whatever has been hitherto the delusion we have no right to complain, I may safely of the public upon this subject, I fancy we pronounce, that that man knows nothing of are by this time completely undeceived. the condition of the British commerce, nor Our good friends in America have been imof the condition of the British finances. It patient to relieve us from all our mistakes might be happy for us, if we were all in the about them and their loyalty, and if we do same state of ignorance. To foresee a not open our eyes now, we had better shut

hath been wilfully cut off, is but a painful It would be to no purpose at present to and useless sagacity, and to shut our eyes renew a discussion of the merits of the to inevitable ruin, serves at least to keep Stamp Act, though I am convinced that the mind a little longer in a thoughtless even the people who were most clamorous against it, either never understood or wil-In this way I imagine any man must fully misrepresented every part of it. But reason, who is insensible of the consequence it is truly astonishing that a great number of the successive enterprises of the colonies of people should have so little foreseen the against Great Britain, or who beholds them inevitable consequence of repealing it, and with indifference. I will not suppose that particularly that the trading part of the city the bulk of the British people is sunk into should have conceived that a compliance. so criminal a state of stupidity; that there which acknowledged the rod to be in the does exist a particular set of men, base and hand of the Americans, could ever induce treacherous enough to have enlisted under them to surrender it. They must have been the banners of a lunatic, 1 to whom they rather weaker than ourselves, if they ever sacrificed their honour, their conscience, paid their debts, when they saw plainly and their country, in order to carry a point that, by withholding them, they kept us in of party, and to gratify a personal rancour, subjection. In the natural course of things is a truth too melancholy and too certain the debtor should be at the mercy of his for Great Britain. These were the wretched creditor, rather than a tyrant over him; but ministers, who served at the altar, whilst it seems that for these three years past, the high priest himself, with more than wherever America hath been concerned, frantic fury, offered up his bleeding country every argument of reason, every rule of a victim to America. The gratitude of the law, and every claim of nature, has been colonies shows us what thanks are due to despised or reversed. We have not even a such men. They will not even keep mea- tolerable excuse for our folly. The punishsures with their friends; for they hate the ment has followed close upon it; and that traitors, though the treachery hath been it must be so was as evident to common useful to them. The colonies are even sense, as probable in prospect, as it is now eager to show that they regard the interests certain in experience. There was indeed one man, who wisely foresaw every circumstance which has since happened, and who,

mistaken in any one instance, it was, per- contemptible. haps, that he did not expect his predictions to be fulfilled so soon as they have been.

been rapid: the consequence of it must be contend;—nor will that period be distant. fatal, We had vainly hoped that an excluaged and confirmed here by the treachery them.2 of some and the folly of others, have cut off all those just hopes, those well-founded ex-

with a patriot's spirit, opposed himself to pectations. While we are granting bounthe torrent. He told us, that, if we ties upon the importation of American thought the loss of outstanding debts, and commodities, the grateful inhabitants of of our American trade, a mischief of the that country are uniting in an absolute profirst magnitude, such an injudicious com- hibition of the manufactures of Great pliance with the terms dictated by the Britain. To doubt that the example will be colonies, was the way to make it sure and followed by the rest of the colonies, would unavoidable. It was ne moriare, mori. be rejecting every evidence which the human We see the prophecy verified in every par-mind is capable of receiving. To be mad is ticular, and if this great and good man was a misfortune, but to rave in cold blood is

The enterprises of the Americans are now carried to such a point, that every This being the actual state of things, it moment we lose serves only to accelerate is equally vain to attempt to conceal our our perdition. If the present weak, false, situation from our enemies, as it is impos- and pusillanimous administration are sufsible to conceal it from ourselves. The fered to go on in abetting and supporting taxes and duties necessarily laid upon the colonies against the mother country, if trade, in order to pay the interest of a debt the king should take no notice of this last of one hundred and thirty millions, are so daring attack upon our commerce, the only heavy, that our manufactures no longer consequence will be that the contest, infind a vent in foreign markets. We are stead of being undertaken while we have undersold and beaten out of branches of strength to support it, will be reserved not trade, of which we had once an almost ex- for our posterity, but to a time when we clusive possession. The progress towards a ourselves shall have surrendered all our total loss of our whole foreign trade has arms to the people, with whom we are to

If the combination at Boston be not a sive commerce with our colonies (in whose breach of any standing law (which I believe cause a great part of the very incumbrances, it is), ought it not to be immediately dewhich have destroyed our foreign trade, clared so, by an act of the legislature? It were undertaken) would have rewarded us is true, that private persons cannot be comfor all our losses and expense, and have pelled to buy or sell against their will; but made up any deficiency in the revenue of unlawful combinations, supported by pubour customs. We had a right to expect lie subscription and public engagements, this exclusive commerce from the gratitude are and ought to be subject to the heaviest of the Americans, from their relation to us penalties of the law. I shall only add, that as colonists, and from their own real in- it is the common cause of this nation; and terest, if truly understood. But unfortun- that a vigorous and steady exertion of the ately for us, some vain, pernicious ideas authority of Great Britain would soon awe of independence and separate dominion, a tumultuous people, who have grown thrown out and fomented by designing se-insolent by our injudicious forbearance, and ditious spirits in that country, and encour- trampled upon us, because we submitted to

Mr George Grenville.-EDIT.

² This letter was without a signature, and could not, therefore, be announced, but was thus noticed on the day previous to its publication.

^{&#}x27;C.'s favour is come to hand.' For a further continuation of this subject, see Miscellaneous Letters, Nos. XXIX. and XXXI,-EDIT.

LETTER XI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

MR WOODFALL. 22 Dec. 1767.

Your correspondent of vesterday. Mr Macaroni,1 in his account of the new ministerial arrangements, has thrust in a ever repay: for to him we OWE the great- the crown met with all the contempt it am sure we never can repay. I mean no in emancipating the colonies from the auoffence to Mr Macaroni, nor any of your thority of the British legislature. But when gentlemen authors, who are so kind to give open and direct attacks upon the constitucal curtain, but I cannot bear to see so ally have recourse to some more artful much incense offered to an Idol. I who so little deserves it.

> I am yours, &c. DOWNRIGHT.

LETTER XII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

16 February, 1768.

A MINISTER, who in this country is determined to do wrong, should not only be a man of abilities but of uncommon more flagrant stretch of prerogative. A courage. To invade the rights or to insult prostitution of corruption of old offices the understanding of a nation, qualified to may be as fatal to the constitution, as the judge well, and privileged to speak freely, upon public measures, requires a portion of zette of Saturday se'nnight we are informed. audacity unacquainted with shame, or of that the privy seal is committed to the care power which knows no controul. Whether of three persons, whose commission is to

conceit of unlimited power, or to mere solid ignorance I know not, but it is too apparent that the present ministry, in every thing they do, or attempt to do, are determined to set the understanding and the spirit of the English people at defiance. In a succession of illegal or unconstitutional acts, the instance of to-day ought at once to remind us of what they have done laboured bombast panegyrick on the earl of already, and to alarm us against what they Chatham; in which he tells us, 'that this may attempt hereafter. We have reason to country owes more to him than it can thank God and the legislature, that some ever repay.' Now, Mr Woodfall, I entirely of the most flagitious of their enterprises agree with Mr Macaroni, that this country have been happily defeated. Their endeadoes owe more to lord Chatham than it can your to establish a suspending power in est part of our national debt; and THAT I deserved; nor have they yet quite succeeded us citizens an early peep behind the politition have failed, a bad ministry will naturmeasures, by which the prerogative of the crown may be extended, and the purposes of arbitrary power answered as effectually, and more securely to themselves. When attempts of this insidious nature are made. it is the duty of every subject, be his situation what it may, to point out the danger to his countrymen, and warn them to guard against it. I shall take another opportunity to enquire into the legality of the appointment of a third secretary of state; at present let me be permitted to rouse the attention of the public to a later and to a still illegal creation of new ones. In the Gait be owing to a hardy disposition, or to the continue six weeks.4 From the names of

4 Whitehall, Feb. 2. The king has been pleased to issue his commission under the great seal, authorizing and empowering Richard Sutton, William Blair, and William Frazer, espr., or any two of them, to execute the office of keeper of the Milliam Blair and william to execute the office of keeper of the Milliam Blair and the search of the 2 See the conclusion of Miscellaneous Letter, No. 19.4 and Frivate Letter, No. 23, in which the same term is applied to lord Chatham.—
Entr.

3 See this subject further discussed in Letter
also to grant, during his Majesty's pleasure: and also to grant, during his Majesty's pleasure: and also to grant, during his Majesty's pleasure; to

¹ This writer had furnished the printer with a list of the supposed changes in administration .-EDIT.

See the conclusion of Miscellaneous Letter,

Tester No. 22, in which

these persons we can collect nothing, but confined to men of the first character and to execute one of the first offices of the state. Why the earl of Chatham should continue to hold an employment of this importance, while he is unable to perform the duties of it, is at least a curious question.1 But it is infinitely more material to enquire why the interregnum is not committed to people of a higher rank and character.

The establishment of the several high offices of state forms a natural and constitutional check upon the prerogative of the crown. No illegal or unconstitutional grant, charter, or patent of any kind, can take effect from the mere motion of the sovereign, but must pass through a number of offices, in each of which it is the duty of the officer, if the case requires it, to remonstrate to the crown, as he himself is answerable for the consequences of any public instrument, which he has suffered to pass through his department. The delay of this progression has another good effect, in giving the subject time and opportunity to enter his protest against any sudden or inconsiderate grant, by which his own property, or the welfare of the country in general, may be affected, and to have the matter fairly discussed.

The precedence annexed to these high offices (exclusive of the importance of the several degrees of trust roosed in them) sufficiently proves that they ought to be

that two of them are of Scottish extraction, consequence. Men of that degree may and that the third is recorder of St Alban's; safely be trusted, because they have a but from their insignificance and obscurity greater stake to hazard, and are answerable we may easily collect, that there is some to the public with their lives and fortunes. particular design in fixing on such persons. The dignity of the lord privy seal's office (next in rank to the president of the council) would of itself be a sufficient reason for giving it to none but men of birth and character, and the great trust, annexed to that dignity, is a further reason for never committing such an office to any but men of the first rank and fortune. But in the choice of the present commissioners, there seems to be something particularly and singularly improper. When a caveat is entered against a grant from the crown, and when a question of political and commercial importance is therefore to be discussed, can there be a higher insult to the public than to commit the determination of such a question to three persons very low in point of rank, and absolutely dependent in point of situation? Shall we not be justified in supposing that they are elected for no other quality but their insignificance? Whatever pretences may be alleged to the contrary, the public will have too much reason to suspect that these worthy commissioners are taught their lesson, and that the job is too dirty to be imposed upon gentlemen of a higher station than a clerk in office. I cannot believe that these persons could have been chosen by the earl of Chatham. Whatever may be his faults, a man of spirit could no more lend his office than he could his mistress to the purposes of prostitution; much less would he de-

the right honourable William earl of Chatham. the said office of keeper of his Majesty's privy seal, from and after the said term of six weeks, or other sooner determination of the said com-

mission.—EDIT.

We have here another proof of the hostility of Junius at one period to this nobleman, a previous proof having already occurred in the Miscellaneous Letter, No. I., p. 34r, to the note appended to which we refer the reader.

In the Private Letter, No. 23, dated October 19, 1770, he still insinuates his dislike: for in requesting the printer of the Public Advertiser to contradict his being the author of the letters

subscribed A Whig and an Englishman, he adds, 'I neither admine the writer nor his idol. Who the writer of these letters was we know not: but the idol was certainly lord Chatham.

In reality it was not till about the date of Letter LIV., under his favourite signature of Ju-NIUS, that he began to think commendably of this nobleman. 'I am called upon,' says he, in that letter, 'to deliver my opinion, and surely it is not in the little censure of Mr Horne, to deter me from doing signal justice to a man, who, i confess, has grown upon my esteem.' See p. 294.-EDIT.

with a public mark of infamy upon them.

judges, I doubt not, will sit in silent wonder dertake. at the judicial abilities of these great men, ther ignorance, nor ministerial influence, in their decision.

I pity the unhappy Englishman, for he fury of a patient man. perhaps may blush for his situation.

LETTER XIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

24 February, 1768.

Fluctus uti primo capit cum albescere vento, Paullatim sese tollit mare, & altius undas, Erigit, inde imo consurgit ad æthera fundo. VIRG. ÆN. VII. 528.

SIR.

THE people of England are by nature somewhat phlegmatic. This complexional character is extremely striking. It even appears remarkable among the several kindred tribes, which English.

scend to take either of them back again spects inconvenient; but, on the whole view of life, it has, I think, the advantage Now, Sir, let us suppose these three clearly on its side. Our countrymen derive respectable persons seated upon their tri- from thence a firmness, an uniformity, and bunal, with two judges of England by their a perseverance in their designs, which side, and the first lawyers of this country enables them to conquer the greatest diffipleading before them upon a question of culties, and to arrive at the ultimate point the first importance to this country; the of perfection in almost everything they un-

Their slowness to passion has also anand silent they must be, unless a point of other advantage. No wise man will lightly law should arise, on which the triumvirate venture to do them a real injury. Their shall deign to ask their opinion; the lawyers anger is not suddenly kindled, nor easily will naturally exert their utmost efforts, extinguished; it is dark and gloomy; it is when they consider that they have the hon- nourished to a gigantic size and vigour, our to plead before three gentlemen of such under a silent meditation on their wrongs. profound knowledge, such distinguished until at last it arrives at such a mature and rank, and such inflexible probity, that net- steady vehemence, as becomes terrible indeed. It was on a consideration of this nor private corruption, can have any share kind of character, that a great poet says with a singular emphasis-' Beware the

It is surprising how much this character is exemplified in every part of our history. The long patience, amounting almost to tameness, with which the people of England have borne the outrages of evil ministers, has only been equalled by the irresistible force by which they attacked, and the unrelenting severity with which they finally punished, the authors of their great grievances.

I wish with all my heart that our time may furnish no such examples : and vet I confess, my fears are excited by appearances that are sufficiently alarming. The people of England have seen an administration formed, almost avowedly, under the when contrasted with the suddenness and direction of a dangerous, because private vivacity of many of our neighbours on the and unresponsible, influence; and at the same time with an outward presidency of ministerial despotism, which by its very compose the great mass of the British em- nature annihilated all public council. This pire. The heat of the Welch, the impe- they endured. They saw a course of the tuosity of the Irish, the acrimony of the most scandalous and corrupt profusion of Scotch, and the headlong violence of the public money that ever was known in the Creolians, are national temperaments very kingdom, attended with such a neglect of different from that of the native genuine every public duty, as if an experiment was intended, to try how far the state could This slowness of feeling is in some re- subsist by its own strength, without any of people of England bore this likewise.

ing power, state necessity, arcana of governexploded prerogative, which it had cost part of the jointure of the noble Duchess.2 our ancestors so much toil, and treasure, from being supports of public credit into LANDED PROPERTY OF ENGLAND. instruments of ministerial power, and to take away that dependence upon law which all landed property, and by many other dehad been in all ages the great source of our tached grievances, arising from the same domestic happiness, and that firm reliance upon public faith which has been the means length of possession secures against a claim of of making us respectable to all the world. silent.

proof as they thought of the passive disposition of their fellow-citizens, and at a

very influence 1-at the time, and for the purposes of an election-refusing to hear counsel-not daring to take the opinion of the king's law servants-denving access to

the usual aids of active government. The the records in their possession—to pass a grant of the estate of a noble and most re-They saw the very first opportunity laid spectable person, derived from a king to hold on to revive the doctrines of a dispens- whom we owe all our liberties; sixty-three years in undisputed possession, the subject ment, and all that clumsy machinery of of frequent settlements, and now actually a

The people of England at length began and blood, to break to pieces. This we to break silence, They might indeed look suffered with our usual patience. They upon the private wrong as a matter of insaw an attempt made to render all the ward meditation, and a further exercise of monied property of the kingdom loose and their patience. But the principle of this insecure, and to turn our national funds grant has given a SHOCK TO THE WHOLE

Called upon by this practical menace to absurd and tyrannical principle, that no the crown, one of the ablest, most virtuous, The Englishman still continued sullen and and most temperate men in the kingdom. supported by a steady band of uniform patri-Those very circumstances which strike ots, has made an attempt in a certain great terror into the heart of a wise man, are assembly (without providing any remedy often such as inspire fools with confidence for this case of oppression), to secure the and presumption. Having had sufficient subject at least for the future against such wild and indefinite claims.

Such was the spirit which manifested itloss for precedents of despotism of a modern self upon that occasion, that though for the date in any civilized country, they began present, after a glorious struggle, they have to ransack the stores of antiquated oppres- failed, there is no sort of doubt that the cry sion, and ventured to perpetrate an act (by of reason, justice, policy, and the general a singular composition) of such consum- feeling of the people, will shortly prevail; 3 mate audacity and meanness of spirit, as and the rather, as this discussion has it might well be thought impossible to brought to light further designs of the most extraordinary nature, and such as will, if In subserviency to the colous influence not timely prevented, spread distraction under which they act, this administration from one end of the kingdom to the other. dared-to an informer nearly allied to that My next will be on that important subject. MNEMON.

* The informer was the late earl of Lonsdale, at that time sir James Lowther, who had married a daughter of lord Bute, whose influence is here alluded to. The estate belonged to the duke of Portland. See Letter LVII., p. 300, note.—

was again brought forward by sir G. Savile in the following year, and carried. But see the subject further elucidated, and the final deter-mination of the Court of Exchequer on the suit depending between sir James Lowther and the duke of Portland, in the editor's note to JUNIUS'S Letters, No. LVII., p. 300, as also No. LXVII.,

Duchess of Portland .- EDIT.

[·] By a bill called the Quieting Bill, and which p. 324.—EDIT. .

LETTER XIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

4 March, 1768. Oh, wretched State! Oh Bosom black as Death! Oh limed Soul, that, struggling to be free, Art more encaged.

SHAKESPEARE.

SIR.

it is discovered. But guilt is a poor help- was intended. less, dependent being. Without the alliance of able, diligent, and, let me add, fortunate present, where real power is invested in one fraud, it is inevitably undone. If the guilty hand, and responsible office placed in culprit be obstinately silent, his silence another, from the security of the former forms a deadly presumption against him. situation, and from the servile dependance If he speaks, talking tends to discovery; of the latter, it is no wonder that hazardous and his very defence often furnishes mate- measures should be commanded without rials towards his conviction.

unhappy men (the ministry), in that apology luctance. From thence arose that desperate for their conduct, which they chose to com- proceeding which has given such an uniplicate with their opposition to the settle- versal alarm to property. ment of the national property. Nobody, grounded their defence.

pus occurrit Regi,1 that no length of con- on their past conduct, and without giving tinuance, or good faith of possession, is up their future projects, was a point of available against a claim of the crown, has equal difficulty. It seems that they had been long the opprobrium of prerogative, hoarded up those unmeaning powers of the and the disgrace of our law. The ablest crown, as a grand military magazine, towriters in that profession have ever men- wards the breaking the fortunes and depresstioned it with abhorrence. The best judges ing the spirit of the nobility, for drawing have always cast an odium upon it, as being the common people from their reliance on

which in this case was appealed to by the minis- EDIT.

fundamentally contrary to natural equity. and all the maxims of a free government. And a superior genius, a great light of the age.2 has not long since endeavoured to give it as great a check as judicature, unaided by legislative powers, is able to interpose.

The truth is, this prerogative has hitherto owed its existence principally to its disuse. It was an engine, at once so formidable to the people, and so dangerous to those who INNOCENCE, even in its crudest should attempt to handle it, that it never simplicity, has some advantages over the was considered amongst the instruments of most dexterous and practised guilt. Equivo- a wise minister. It remained like an old cal appearances may, to be sure, accident- piece of cannon, I have heard of someally attend it in its progress through the where, of an enormous size, which stood world; but the very scrutiny which these upon a ruinous bastion, and which was appearances will excite, operates in favour seldom or never fired for fear of bringing of innocence; which is secure the moment down the fortification for whose defence it

But constituted as administration is at fear, and that they should be executed. This has been exactly the case of those though with the utmost trepidation and re-

Upon the first attack on that rotten part not originally acquainted with the bottom of prerogative (out of whose corruption the of their proceedings, was able to discern late northern grant was generated) the the true nature and full extent of their crime, ministers found themselves entirely at a until we had seen upon what principles they loss. To defend their Nullum Tempus upon principles of liberty, or even upon It is worth while to lay this affair a little principles of justice, was a thing clearly immore open. The maxim of Nullum Tem- possible. To abandon it without reflecting

The commencement of the obsolete law ter: hence called the Nullum Tempus Law.--² Sir George Savile.—EDIT.

most certain instruments of the public any honest proprietor in the kingdom. servitude.

system.

The first thing they did, was totally to surveyor, and direct the grant. clared themselves to be mere creatures of strict necessity.1 in all matters of this sort entirely sub- surveyor-general of corrupt activity or obeof, but from henceforth to be a name of the lands in question have been in some dreadful note in this country, THE SUR- former age in the hands of the crown.

in question:—
'The honourable gentleman [Mr Cornwall, afterwards speaker of the House of Commons,] he calls an abominable act: but in the situation yearly value. But see the decision of that court, I then was, and still am, I thought myself bound as given in p. 302, note.—Edit.

the natural interests of the country to an VEYOR-GENERAL. It is their system, that immediate dependance on the crown, and if informers (be they who they may, in principally for enabling ministers, public or circumstances of indigence to make any secret, to domineer and give the law in all desperate attempt, or of wealth and power future elections. They thought their scheme to combat the great, and crush the poor would then be complete, if the votes of can contrive to obtain the surveyor's report freeholders, the very means which our an- in their favour, ministers are obliged, withcestors had provided as the great security out further inquiry, to grant to them patents to our freedom, could be converted into the to vex, harass, impoverish, possibly to ruin,

It is true that they supported themselves It was evident, that when they refused to in this perverse doctrine by no one argument give up this barbarous maxim, it was their from law, usage, or common sense: but it intention to make some sort of use of it. is their system; and it is mentioned here, Such a conclusion could not in any way be not to show the depth of their understandevaded. In this strait they took the part ing, but the malignity of their designs. of avowing, that they did intend to find For if once they could come to establish some employment for their favourite pre- this their favourite point, things would rogative, which, after so long a trance, they stand thus: - The surveyor-general, who had thought proper to disenchant, and to keeps all the crown titles (inaccessible to the set in action. It was then their business subject), has a hint to find a weak part in to find some excuse for themselves, and some old possession; say of sixty, say of some pretence of public utility for their two hundred years. A court favourite has a hint to become an informer, a character On this occasion they built upon two no way incompatible with his own. Then grounds very well worthy of the reader's all the rest follows of course. The lords utmost attention: the first I shall now of the Treasury must obey the informer, point out; the latter, and most important, and make the reference; the surveyor must would transgress the limits of your paper, obey the Treasury and report; and then It shall be reserved for another opportunity, the Treasury in their turn must obey the disclaim their own free agency. In the whole system moves, according to the prehighest department of the state, they de- ordained laws of despotism, in a circle of

execution. They asserted, that they were In this procedure, who can convict the servient to an officer, hitherty little heard dience? He is only bound to prove that

In a debate which took place in the House of to pass it by every principle of duty to the Commons, February 27, 1771, on a motion made crown, as the servant of the crown, and bound by sir William Meredith, to repeal a clause in still more strongly by that duty which I owe to the Bill of Quiet, which passed in the year 1768, the public, ast seward of the public estate, as followed North thus defends himself from the grant as it is intrusted to me. It is my glory that I passed the grant; and as often as mention of it is made, so often shall I think that honour imafterwards speaker of the House of Commons,] puted to me. Notwithstanding lord North's has revived in my memory a grant, which passed boast upon the occasion, the grant was ultisince I had the honour of holding the seal of the mately set aside by the Court of Exchequer, on Excheguer, and which seal, I am proud to own, the ground that a quit-vent of thirteen and four-was affixed by me to the grant in question. This pence was not an adequate third-part of its clear This is not difficult: all the lands of the consideration still more melancholy: that kingdom have been so. It is his duty, many persons, 1 apostatizing from their prinaccording to the present prerogative doc- ciple, betraving their associates, and comtrines, not to discover, or to suffer to be bining with their adversaries, make no discovered, any thing which may tend to other use of the credit they have derived clear and settle the right of the subject. from their former activity in the cause of He may have that in his office which would freedom, than that they may approach it establish the very title he attempts to over- without suspicion, and wound it beyond all throw: but fairness in his situation is held possibility of cure. to be a breach of trust, because the crown is always considered by these gentlemen. with respect to the subject, as an adverse party: and to exist in a state of unremitting and immortal litigation with the people.

Thus a mutual obedience and a common impunity is established between these two great powers, the Treasury and the survevor, grounded on the favourite principle of necessity. The only free agent in the whole transaction is the informer: but he is not only as dispunishable as the others, quisitorial powers, over all the landed usurped and illegally withheld by them? property of England. The use proposed next paper.

serious consideration, to observe the growth crown lands made to the Dutch favourites of arbitrary and despotic principles in this of that monarch? country: There is such a pernicious vigour shoot up in some other place, and under profusion? some other, and perhaps more dangerous, private monopolies, and raising up antiquated titles for the crown. There is a alluded to.

MNEMON.

LETTER XV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

II March, 1768.

What aileth thee, MNEMON? Why art thou so disquieted? And why is thy understanding troubled?

Is it not very extraordinary, Mr Printer, that the parts and abilities of but is highly meritorious into the bargain, Mnemon should be prostituted to the for discovering what, in their prerogative licentious abuse of the highest and most jargon, is called a concealment: that is to honourable board in this kingdom, comsay, in plain English, the ancient possession posed of persons of the most unimpeached and inheritance of a valuable and loyal characters, because they have dared to subject. By all these means an office of grant some crown lands to sir James Lowinquisition is established in the true in- ther, not comprehended in that of king quisitorial spirit, and with genuine in- William, to one of his Dutch imports, but

Can any one review the parliamentary to be made of it will be the subject of my debates of that æra, and not be fired at the glorious spirit exerted by the Commons In the mean time it is a matter of very of England against the enormous grant of

Was not the most scandalous partiality in their vegetation, and such a rank luxuri- shown to them in prejudice to the people ance in the soil, that when they seem to be of this country? Were not honours and cut up even by the roots, they will suddenly riches heaped upon them with unexampled

Whence, in the name of God, all this Suppress them under the clamour? What is it to the public, wheshape of general warrants, or seizure of ther a Bentink or a Lowther succeeds? papers, they will start up in the form of Are not the courts of law open to determine dispensing powers, forfeiture of charters, it? Can it be a subject for faction, or a violations of public faith, establishments of pretext for abuse? No, Sir; be assured,

¹ The duke of Grafton is the person here

with conscious worth, feels not the shafts alone of those who by their arms and their of envy.

ANTI VAN TEAGUE.

LETTER XVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

24 March, 1768. SIR.

Your correspondent Anti van Teague, in your paper of Friday se'nnight, has undertaken a task, far. I am afraid, above his abilities. His inclination I believe to be very good, but non tale auxilio, nec defensoribus istis-Tempus eget. If liberty and property of his fellow-subjects. Nullum Tempus, and the late most extraordinary and alarming use made of it, is now to be defended, I would advise that pensing powers of the crown an experiment most honourable board, composed (as Anti van Teague says) of persons of the most unimpeached characters, to hire abler advocates for its defence. Uncommon parts and no vulgar eloquence are required to subdue the fears and quiet the apprehensions of all the landed property of these kingdoms. When that most honourable board shall next think fit to bestow another estate upon a Lowther, or any other informer, wonderful no doubt will be the contented acquiescence of the person robbed, when he is assured that what is taken from him to gratify the Scotch favourite of to-day, was given some hundred years ago by the crown to a Dutch favourite of that time. Surely, Sir, the noble duke who is the present sufferer, must feel great satisfaction in finding the sins of his ancestors visited upon him. A Stuart has at length risen up to avenge upon the memory of king William, and the descendants of all those embarked with him in the once glorious cause, the injuries and sufferings of that once (but now no longer) hateful name.

We have lived, Sir, to see an advocate for the ministry of George the Third defending their actions and justifying their conduct, by asserting-not that their actions ful repose on the other? are just and their conduct clear, but that

the arrows wound not: the breast, fraught their injustice falls heavy on the posterity counsel assisted our great deliverer to effect that revolution, to which, and which alone, we owe the establishment of his present Majesty's most illustrious and royal family on the throne of these kingdoms.

What Anti van Teague means by unimpeached characters I cannot readily guess. I suppose he means the public character of the ministers, or rather of the minister.1 His private character I do not meddle with. but to call his character unimpeached, who is not only charged with, but to the sense of every impartial person convicted of, the most daring and flagitious attacks upon the is really surprising.

Is the revival of the suspending and disof curiosity alone?-but for that he has in the most solemn manner been pardoned by an act of parliament, and therefore probably that will not make part of his impeachment. Is his open and wicked interference in elections, by threats and bribery, manifest to the whole nation (though his reverend instrument was acquitted), to be accounted no more than a good-natured solicitude for his friends?

Are his violent attacks upon the monied and landed property of the people nothing more than dutiful exertions of his power to pay on one hand the debts of the civil list. and on the other hand to raise support, and extend that hidden, pernicious, and unconstitutional influence, in which, and by which, he lives and moves, and has his being?

Is his lavish and wasteful profusion of the public property in pensions, reversions. grants, and monopolies, a decent and becoming reward to those who have been, or are willing to be, his tools and creatures?

Is his activity in corruption and oppression, and his perfect idleness in, and neglect of, all public and national business, a spirited exertion on one hand, and a need-

The duke of Grafton .- EDIT.

his private character: I leave that for him gratitude not to take notice of their extrachancellor of the Exchequer. 1 Let him re-self highly indebted to his ministers for semble the great demigods of antiquity, their uncommon care of his honour and his public character, that the sharpest ax, and the most dextrous operator, may not be able to avenge the nation upon the last, without doing some small prejudice to the first.

I am. &c.

Not yet an enemy to the revolution, ANTI STUART.

LETTER XVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

5 April, 1768.

Vivit? ind verò etiam in senatum venit; fit publici consilii particeps: notat, et limis designat oculis ad cædem unumquemque nostram.

CICERO in CATILINAM.

SIR,

THE return of Mr Wilkes to England, and the measures he has since pur-

I have, you see, Sir, not meddled with becoming themselves, that it would be into earth in whenever he is hard run, ac- ordinary merits upon this occasion. Our cording to the laudable example of his gracious sovereign undoubtedly thinks himwho had also two characters, and whilst one dignity, as well as for their attention to the half of them was taken up to heaven, the security of his house, family, and sacred other half found its way to hell. I shall person; and I may venture to assure them only advise Anti van Teague to recommend that the public in general have a just sense it to his patron not to trust too much to his of the vigour and spirit with which they double capacity, lest, at some odd turn, he have administered the laws, and with which may find his private person so involved in the peaceable part of his Majesty's subjects have been protected. What sort of thanks they will receive from their sovereign, I cannot tell, but, as far as my weak endeavours can reach, the nation shall not remain unapprised of the extent and species of our obligations to them.

A man of a most infamous character in private life is indicted for a libel against the king's person, solemnly tried by his peers according to the laws of the land, and found guilty.2 To avoid the sentence due to his crime, he flies to a foreign country, and failing to surrender himself to justice is outlawed. By this outlawry, he loses all claim to the protection of those magistrates. and of those laws, to which, by his evasion, he had refused to be amenable. After some years spent abroad, this man returns to England with as little fear of the laws, which he had violated, as of respect for the great person, whom he had wantonly and treasonably attacked. Without a single sued, have given the servants of the crown qualification either moral or political, and an opportunity of acting in a manner so under the greatest disability, this man pre-

instance, Wilkes is only adverted to as an instrument of attack upon an administration which Ju-NIUS abominated; and as soon as he found that he could support this attack better by enlist-ing this gentleman in his favour than by continuing in opposition to him, he shrewdly took measures for such a purpose, and was fortunate enough to succeed.

There is the same apparent inconsistency in his being ultimately the friend of lord Camden, who is here held up to the public odium, and to lord Chatham, after having as warmly opposed him. But his change of opinion concerning these cellor of the Exchequer, for whom, whether in noblemen was by no means a sudden flight; it office or out of office, JUNIUS ever manifested grew upon him slowly, and was the result of their the strongest partiality. But in the present lown change of coulors.—EDT:

Lord North.—EDIT.

² It has already appeared in several instances, that Junius, subsequently to the present date, espoused the cause of Mr Wilkes, or rather strenuously upheld him in his contest with the ministry upon the very subject adverted to in this letter. Yet the political conduct of JUNIUS was perhaps strictly and unimpeachably uniform. He had, at first, ind.ed, conceived a personal dislike to Mr Wilkes, in consequence of his strenuous resistance to the general warrant which was served upon him during the administration in which Mr George Grenville was chan-

he has still the confidence to offer himself ence! to the freeholders of Middlesex as a proper of the most respectable characters, dragged with life out of the hands of Mr Wilkes's friends and companions. If the candidates imagine what sort of reception their friends met with in attempting to poll for them. Proctor and Mr Cooke were ever permitted of Monday and Tuesday night was perfectly the kingdom, the seat of justice, and the residence of the sovereign, and of the royal family, were left, for two nights together, at the mercy of a licentious, drunken civil or military, to secure the king's person, or to protect his subjects. Amidst all the horror and outrage of these transactions. is there one Englishman endowed with the who can hear without grief and resentment, sense, or honour in this country, who is not that, even in some of the royal palaces, to avoid worse consequences, illuminations [

sumes so far upon the protection of the were made to celebrate the success of a populace, as to offer himself a candidate to -, who, after heaping every possible inrepresent the metropolis of the kingdom. sult on the person of his sovereign, returns Disappointed in this attempt, notwithstand- in triumph to brave and outrage him again. ing all the efforts and violence of the rabble, even in the place of his immediate resid-

Such was the scene, of which all the person to represent a county, in which he inhabitants of London and Westminster has not a single foot of land; and to com- were witnesses to their cost. Let us now plete the whole, we see a man overwhelmed enquire what has been the conduct of the with debts, a convict, and an outlaw, re- ministry during the course of it. Long turned to serve in the British parliament as before Mr Wilkes appeared at Guildhall, a knight of a shire. These, Sir. are the it was well known that he was in London; main facts of Mr Wilkes's case. The cir- and, if any measures had been taken by the cumstances with which they were attended ministry to secure him in consequence of are no less atrocious. We saw the other his outlawry, it might undoubtedly have candidates, gentlemen of large fortune, and been done with the greatest facility. Why no process was sued for out of the Court of from their carriages, and hardly escaping King's Bench, let the ministers answer if they can. But they have much more to answer for. They are responsible for all were treated in this manner, you may the consequences of permitting this outlaw to appear at large, and for all the violences of which he has since been the author. By The fact is, that great numbers were driven their indolence and neglect, or perhaps in back by main force, or deterred by the consequence of a secret compact with him, threats of the populace; so that not a this man has been suffered to throw the third part of the friends of sir William metropolis into a flame, to offer new outrages to his sovereign, and at last to force to approach the hustings. The conclusion his way into parliament, where, if he were a man of any parliamentary abilities, I consistent with the whole proceedings of doubt not but he would reward them as the day. I need not enlarge upon this they deserve. In the midst of all this tudetestable scene, since there is hardly a mult and confusion, the chancellor of family in London or Westminster which Great Britain 1 and the first lord of the has not had reason to remember the day of Treasury 2 retire out of town, and leave the Mr Wilkes's election. The metropolis of whole executive power of the crown to fall to the ground. In the name of God and the laws, are such men fit to govern a great kingdom? To say that they are, is an insult to the common understanding of manrabble, without the smallest guard, either kind, and I hope our gracious sovereign will do justice to himself and to his people, by depriving them of a power, which they have either not courage or not honesty enough to exert in his service. I am persmallest portion of reason or humanity, suaded there is not a man of property,

Lord Camden. The duke of Grafton.

ready, heart and hand, to support the con- tained but by renewing his attacks upon ditti : nor shall the treacherous example friends. set us by the ministry, ever induce us to abandon our own rights, or those of the chief magistrate.

stitution, and to defend the sovereign, the Scotch favourite and his countrymen. though his own immediate servants have With this key we may, perhaps, account deserted him. We have hitherto taken no for the supineness and indifference with steps for our defence, because we expected which the ministry have seen the laws the protection of government; but we are trampled on, and the public peace and still strong enough to defend our lives and tranquillity destroyed, by the respectable properties against Mr Wilkes and his ban- Mr Wilkes, and his no less respectable

Yours. O IN THE CORNER.

C.1

LETTER XVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

5 April, 1768.

THERE is something so extraordinary in the conduct of the ministry, with respect to Mr Wilkes, that I cannot help suspecting they have a secret motive for it. suffered to return to England, and remain to offer himself a candidate for the metroLETTER XIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

12 April, 1768.

The common law hath so admeasured the king's prerogatives, that they should not take away nor prejudice the inheritance of any. COKE'S INSTIT.

SIR.

THE extraordinary purpose to which the public is not aware of. It is to which an old maxim, or rather dictum, of me inconceivable that he should have been the common law has lately been applied by the commissioners of the Treasury, has at large, notwithstanding his outlawry ;- led me to consider upon what principles it was originally founded, and whether it be polis ;-to appear the leader of violence and applicable to the present circumstances of riot uncontrouled ;--and at last to succeed the British constitution. A resumption of in his enterprise at Brentford ;-unless all lands held under a supposed grant from the this had been done with the connivance and crown, after a possession of near fourscore consent of the king's servants. My sus- years, was an alarming measure to every picions may perhaps be ill founded, but I English gentleman of landed property, but think there is reason enough to apprehend the principle on which it was defended was that Mr Wilkes would never have been formidable enough to strike a terror into permitted to go such lengths, if all were men of all ranks who had either estates or well between the ministry and the earl of liberty to lose. A ministry, determined to Bute. They certainly have a design to invade the liberties or property of the subterrify the Scotchman, and to keep him in ject, may, in our law books, find antiquated order, by producing their tribune once maxims to support the most violent stretches more upon the stage. Let the Thane look of prerogative; and if it be admitted that to himself! Mr Wilkes, being a man of no length of possession is good against the no sort of consequence in his own person, crown, I hardly know that right or privican never be supported but by keeping up lege, much less any tract of soil possessed the cry, and this cry can no way be main- by the subject, which may not be disputed

0*

The editor has already had occasion to observe in various places that C. was the signature more especially the private letters C. passim. adopted by Junius in his private correspondence Edit.

ished by parliament.

lishment. Either it is not well founded in meaning. fact, or the reasoning on which it depends will prove too much. It is not true at this regi, if ever, could only be true under the

or resumed at the pleasure of the sovereign. that the law (which is the solemn sense and It has been a fashion with some writers to opinion of the people) supposes the king so represent the feudal government as a sys- continually employed about public affairs, tem of liberty; but I must confess that a as to be entitled to an extraordinary indulgconstitution, wherein the king is supposed ence in the neglect of those precautions to be the original owner of all the lands; which concern the private interests of the wherein we have seen the nobility at per- crown. If, indeed, the king were supposed netual war with the sovereign, and bringing to transact and govern the affairs of the kingtheir vassals into the field against him, or dom in his own person; or if he had not against one another; and wherein the a number of officers whose duty it is to take whole body of the people was held in abso- care of and transact every business relative lute dependance upon the petty tyrants; to his private rights, and private property. does not present to me the idea of political an indulgence of this nature to a chief liberty in any part of it. The greatest com- magistrate, so much employed, and so little mendation it deserves is, perhaps, that it assisted, might not be thought very unreawas capable of improvement. Accordingly sonable. But when, on the one hand, the it has been so altered and so mended, that ministers of the crown are alone responsia man must be well read in law to discover ble for the conduct of public affairs; and any trace of it in the present form of our when, on the other, it is the business of the government; and I am justified by modern Treasury, of the Exchequer, of the land surstatutes in asserting, that we never thought veyors, and of a multitude of officers, to our constitution completely settled upon oversee and manage the revenues and disthe basis of freedom, until every mark of tribution of the crown lands, I hold it to be feudal services and dependance was abol- highly unconstitutional, as well as absurd. to introduce the person of the sovereign as But though great improvements have claiming an indulgence to himself for negbeen made, there remains yet a great deal lects, which are properly the neglects of his to be done; and if the crown be permitted servants. But admitting the excuse of pubto recur to maxims of law which prevailed lic employment for private negligence to be when a system of government subsisted valid, let us see how far it will reach. very different from the present, the most If the sovereign, on account of his high arbitrary measures may still pass for a legal occupations, be entitled to such a priviexertion of the royal prerogative. I am lege, his ministers certainly have a claim still the king's liege man, and may be sent to their share of it. The lords, who are from one part of the country to the other, hereditary counsellors of the crown :-- the from the care of my family and affairs, and judges ;-every member of the House of perhaps in my absence a nullum tempus Commons, and ambassadors sent abroad, may deprive me of my estate. The argu- may all plead public employment; nor can ment alleged by lawyers in favour of their there be any good reason alleged why every own rule, that no delay shall bar the king's officer engaged in the public service, from right (viz. 'because the law intends that the high chancellor down to the bum-bailiff. the king is always busied for the public should not be allowed his proportion of good, therefore has not leisure to assert his nullum tempus, according to their several right within the times limited to subjects '), ranks, and the time they continue in emwill hardly bear a strict examination, ployment. But it were endless to refute especially if referred to the present estab- arguments which have neither truth nor

The maxim, that nullum tempus occurrit day, and I doubt whether it ever were true, feudal government. It was then a national

interest to preserve the royal demesne hope will be as little able to maintain of this revenue, defrayed the expense of English nation. his family and government, and never applied for aids to the people, but upon pretence of extraordinary emergencies. By preserving this separate property to the king, the people in effect preserved their own, and therefore admitted without reluctance a maxim introduced by the lawyers of the crown, since it tended to deter indi- TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON. viduals from invading a branch of royal revenue, any deficiency in which must have been made good out of the public stock. Nothing less than a reason of this public nature could have procured submission to a doctrine full of hardship and man was secured.

exist, which ministers practise, but which I of you, your Grace must pardon me when I

entire, because the support of the royal themselves against the improved underdignity depended upon it. The king, out standing and well-directed firmness of the

LETTER XX.

For the Public Advertiser. 23 April. 1768.

Is it enough that Abra should be great In the wall'd palace or the rural seat? Oh, no! Ferusalem combined must see My open shame and boasted infamy,

My LORD.

PERMIT me to congratulate your oppression to the subject, and which in Grace upon a piece of good fortune which favour of the crown, directly contradicted few men, of the best established reputation, those rules of common law, by which the have been able to attain to. The most possession of property between man and accomplished persons have usually some defect, some weakness in their characters. To revive and enforce a maxim of this which diminishes the lustre of their brighter sort, when not one of the reasons subsist on qualifications. Tiberius had his forms; which it was originally founded, when the Charteris now and then deviated into honking's family and government are supported esty; and even lord Bute prefers the simby a fixed revenue of eight hundred thou- plicity of seduction to the poignant pleasand pounds raised upon the people, is cer- sures of a rape. But yours, my Lord, is a tainly a most unwarrantable and a most perfect character: through every line or dangerous attempt. Under the present public and of private life you are consistent board of Treasury, the reign of Empson and with yourself. After doing everything, in Dudley seems to flourish again; and where your public station, that a minister might is the man who can say his liberty or his reasonably be ashamed of, you have deterproperty is secure to him, if antiquated mined, with a noble spirit of uniformity, to doctrines and obsolete laws may be brought mark your personal history by such strokes to life at the breath of a young, inconsider- as a gentleman, without any great disgrace ate, arbitrary minister, and sent abroad to to his assurance, might be permitted to blush attack every subject whom he shall think for. I had already conceived a high opinion proper to call an enemy to government? of your talents and disposition. Whether the A minister capable of recommending such property of the subject, or the general rights measures to the crown, calls to my mind of the nation, wereto be invaded; or whether the idea which our ancestors had of some you were tired of one lady, and chose black magician conjuring up infernal spirits another for the honourable companion of from the depths of the earth and of the sea, your pleasures; whether it was a horseand letting them loose to the destruction of race, or a hazard-table, a noble disregard mankind. Delusions of this sort have in- of forms seemed to operate through all deed been long since exploded; but there your conduct. But you have exceeded my are other diabolical arts, which certainly do warmest expectations. Highly as I thought

did not think you equal to. I did not think you capable of exhibiting the lovely Thais 1 by her side, of calling for her carriage yourself, and of leading her to it through a crowd of the first men and women in this kingdom. To a mind like yours, my Lord, such an outrage to your wife, such a triumph over decency, such insult to the company, must have afforded the highest gratification. When all the ordinary resources of pleasure were exhausted, this. I presume, was your novissima voluptas. It is of a lasting nature, my Lord, and I dare say will give you as much pleasure upon reflection, as it did in the enjoyment. After so honourable an achievement, a poet's imagination could add but one ray more to the lustre of your character. Obtain a divorce,2 marry the lady, and I do not doubt but Mr Bradshaw will be civil enough to give her away, with an honest, artless smile of approbation.

LETTER XXI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 23 April, 1768.

IF I were to characterize the present ministry from any single virtue which shines predominant in their administration, I should fix upon duplicity as the proper word to express it.

not by this mean only the little sneaking quality, commonly called double-dealing, Tanus-like, bears two faces and two

confess that there was one effort which I tongues, either of which may give the lie to the other without danger to his reputation.

This is the present catholic political faith. at the opera-house, of sitting a whole night which, unless a man believes, he shall not get a place; and if people would attend to this, they would be able to account for many of our great men's actions, which are unaccountable any other way.

> By this rule a man may say as a judge. that the loss of an Englishman's liberty for twenty-four hours only is grievous beyond estimation; and then as a minister may declare, that forty days' tyranny is a trifling burthen, which any Englishman may bear.3

> As a member of parliament, a man may give his word that a certain bill shall be dropped; and the next day, as a chancellor of the Exchequer, may bring it into the House.

> A first lord of the Treasury may declare upon his honour that he has no concern in India stock; but there is nothing in this to hinder him as a private man from having a share with any young lady of virtue to the amount of $f_{20,000}$.

In those cases, you see, the duplicity of character in which they act, covers the parties from all sort of blame; but I will now do honour to the noble duke who. from under the footstool of gouty legs.4 has crept into the elbow-chair, who, though green in years, is ripe in devices. It is he who has carried this double-faced virtue to its greatest pitch. He has not only practised it with great success in public affairs. I would not here be misunders od: I do but has also lately introduced it into dealings between man and man.

Everybody knows the story of nullum which every pettifogging rascal may attain tempus, and the application of it to rob the to; but that real duplicity of character duke of Portland of £30,000. The duke of which our ministers have assumed to them- Grafton (as set forth in a case lately pubselves, by which every member of their lished) upon a representation, before any body acts in two distinct capacities, and, proceedings were had in the affair, did

to the duchess of Bedford. See Letter XII .-

I Miss Parsons, afterwards lady Maynard.-

The duke of Grafton was, subsequently to the power of the crown to suspend an act of parthe date of this letter, divorced from Miss Lidilament. See the subject further discussed in del, then duchess of Grafton, and married, not the lady in question, but Miss Wrottesley, niece

4 Lord Chatham's.—Edit.

³ In allusion to lord Camden's opinion upon

actually promise to the duke of Portland, casuists. - I am not deeply read in authors 'That no step should be taken towards the of that professed title, but I remember decision of the matter in question till his seeing Bassambaum, Saurez, Molina, and a Grace's title should be stated, referred to, score of other jesuitical books, burnt at and reported on, by the proper officer, and Paris for their sound casuistry by the hands fully and maturely considered by the board of the common hangman. I do not know of Treasury.'-Had the duke of Portland that they have yet found their way to been fully apprised of the new doctrine of England, unless perchance it be to the the twofold state of ministers, he would library of his Grace of Grafton, where they have considered this promise (as it was probably stand with the chapter of promises really meant) as illusory, and only an ex- dog-eared down for the perusal of scrupulpedient to lull him asleep while the busi- ous statesmen. ness was going on. But his Grace knew no more of this maxim than if he had been an add a great facility to business, and prevent India director, and thought that a promise unnecessary delays: for example-in former was a promise in whatever character it was times a minister would have been exceedgiven; so while he, in full confidence, was ingly hampered with such a promise as we preparing the proofs of his right, the affair have here cited; he would have shifted, and in dispute was given away, and the new delayed, and played the back-game to have grant to sir James Lowther made out, got rid of it, or to reconcile the breach to signed and sealed in the Treasury, without his conscience and reputation; but here ever 'his Grace's title being stated, referred vou see there was no unnecessary delay: to, or reported on, by the proper officer, the business went on; and he who acknowor fully and maturely considered by the ledged that he had given his word in a board,'

Lest any one should think that I partially ascribe this conduct of the duke of Grafton to my favourite principle of two natures, when it ought to be laid to some other of his Grace's virtues, I shall here quote a reply to the duke of Portland's case, lately published (as it is said) under the auspices of the Treasury, where this doctrine is defended with equal modesty and truth. The writer begins by admitting the promise, which he says was inadvertently given by the duke of Grafton; but then, says he, 'since he was the king's servant, and had no title to the making this promise, he perceived he was not in honour bound to adhere to it. -Now here is a fair distinction between the king's servant and the man of honour, a distinction which, I believe, few people at present are disposed to deny. His Grace (who has undoubtedly very delicate perceptions) perceived that as a king's minister he was not bound to keep a promise which he had made as a private man; and in this (continues the pamphleteer) 'he can be supported by the soundest

This doctrine, once fully established, will private capacity, brings the book to prove that as a first lord of the Treasury 'he was not bound to adhere to it.'-and this is sound casuistry. Thus a man who is dexterous in state legerdemain, may play his two characters like cups and balls; speak, write, read, lie, promise, swear, and you can never catch him till the box drop out of his hand.

I proposed to have made this a complete panegyric on the duke of Grafton; but I find it extremely difficult to draw one character of a man that acts in two. If, however, my poor attempt towards it should find favour in his sight, I hope he will on a future occasion afford me the means of distinguishing between his two characters, as Molière's Sosia does between the two Amphitrions, 'c'est l'Amphitrion chez qui l'on dine.'

BIFRONS.

LETTER XXII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

6 May, 1763.

Nil admirari.

HOR.

SIR.

all ethics, had not made me as callous as a made me stare. When his Majesty (God bless him!) is in perfect health, to be informed that the first session of a new parliament is to be opened by commission, is a novelty which, had I been less confirmed in my principles than I am, would, I own, have filled me with a certain portion of though less worthy of themselves. amazement.1 That the minister himself should have his reasons for not being very desirous to meet a parliament, or that he should wish to answer for his conduct by confusion, is not so extraordinary; but that he should give such advice to a prince, beloved, adored by his people, is a step,

which, in my present condition, does every thing but surprise me. Is it possible, Mr Printer, that the ministry should not know what sort of interpretation will be given to this measure; or did they mean to give the finishing stroke to Mr Wilkes's triumph, and to the dishonour which they, and they alone, have heaped upon the crown? I WHEN the advocates of the minis- protest, Sir, I had very near betrayed my try assure us that there never was a set of principles, and suffered an indecent exmen more careful of the happiness of his pression of surprise to escape me. At a Majesty's subjects. I presume it is Horace's time when the residence of the sovereign sense of happiness which they would be was really exposed to violence and insult,3 understood to promote. If it be their these worthy ministers gallantly retreated design to reduce us to a state of resignation from the danger; but now, to make in which we shall wonder at nothing they amends for that desertion, they affect a do, their hitterest enemies must confess that care for the king's security, equally ridicultheir endeavours to make us happy have ous and disgraceful. What, Sir, is governbeen no less indefatigable than ingenious. ment in their hands really sunk so low that By a regular progression from surprise to they dare not hazard a meeting between wonder, from wonder to astonishment, and their sovereign and his parliament? Or are so on through all the forms of admiration, they afraid that another language might be they have at last conducted us to that held to parliament than that which they philosophical state of repose, which may set dictate; that some expression of a just even the miracles of the present ministry at resentment of their baseness should escape: defiance. If the force of example, beyond or do they acknowledge to the world their apprehensions of the populace? If that be shoeing-horn, the contents of Saturday the case, I can only say, that the infamy of night's Gazette would, I confess, have the measure can be exceeded by nothing but the vileness of the motive.

These distant hints, I hope, Sir las I think the ministry do not pique themselves much upon steadiness), may appear time enough to induce them to recommend a different system, more worthy of the crown,

C.

LETTER XXIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, 12 May, 1768. I HAVE read in your paper of this

of Cumberland, Thomas, lord archbishop of Canterbury, and other lords, to open and hold the said parliament on the said roth day of May next, being the day of the return of the writs of

From the London Gazette. — Whitehall, April 30. It being his Majesty's royal intention, that the parliament, which is summoned to meet on Tuesday the 10th day of May next, should then meet and sit; the king has been pleased to direct a commission to pass the great seal, appointing and authorizing his royal highness the duke of Gloucester, his royal highness the duke No. XVII.—Eptr.

now thought fit to give the public a sample themselves with such observations. I hope of their reasoning.

in a pamphlet, entitled, 'The duke of Port- struction of a clause in a crown grant. land's Case.' Their answer is an attempt in-law to the earl of Bute.

against them.

clandestine and dangerous power.

they have not attempted to answer; which an injured people.

day a second letter in defence of the con- the writer of the duke of Portland's case duct of the Treasury relative to the late has taken no notice of his Grace's title, and extraordinary grant. That conduct was a has not set forth the surveyor-general's respecimen of their principles. They have port against it: they are at liberty to amuse that writer will never give them any dis-Their letter ought to have been (if it had turbance in their learned pleadings on this been what it pretends to be) an answer to subject. He has, I trust, too much sense the several accusations laid against them to moot in the public papers the legal con-

It is a matter of perfect indifference to to prove that the duke of Portland had no the public, whether the grant, for instance, right in law to those lands, of which he and of the manor of Dale is sufficient to convey his family have so long continued in posses- Swale also as its appendant; or whether sion, and which have been lately granted Swale ought specifically to be named. by the Treasury to sir James Lowther, son- These are not the sort of questions with which we are affected: the ministers may I do not mean here to make any reply to think it wise, perhaps, to hazard the good the futile arguments by which the ministers. faith of a crown grant upon such subtle or their advocate, endeavour to establish criticisms. Their operation one way or the this point. Because the point itself is, as other (if prescription had not intervened) they know, wholly foreign to the question, would not have been a matter greatly to and does in no sort concern the public, concern the public; but it does concern the They shall not be permitted to evade in this public, and in the highest degree, whether manner the real edge of the charge that lies long, quiet, undisputed possession, which is the best of titles against the subject, shall The charge against them is not that they or shall not be a title at all against the have granted to sir James Lowther an estate crown? Whether a Treasury, availing itself which, in law, is the right of the duke of of a remnant of odious, and for a long time Portland: but that they partially, and in inactive, barbarism, shall upon points of many parts of the proceeding, surreptitious- legal subtilty endeavour to shake that title? ly, upon the bare report of a subordinate Whether they shall refuse a search of the officer, without suffering his vouchers to be only material office for settling the doubts examined, without hearing counsel, or that they raised? Whether they shall deallowing time or means of defence to the cline taking the opinion of the king's law party, or of due information to themselves, servants on such important points of law? have violated the equitable and presump. Whether they shall refuse to hear the party tive rights of long and undisputed posses- by his counsel? And whether without any sion, for the purposes of undue influence at of those forms, some of justice, and all of an election, and of paying a base court to a decency and candour, they shall arm a wealthy and powerful informer with a crown This is the charge against them; which claim to harass and oppress the subject.

These are the points in the duke of Portthey never can answer; and which will fix land's case, in which the public is concerna brand upon their foreheads, that no so- ed. If no prescription is pleadable against phistry will be able to efface, and no veils of the crown, and if the Treasury, without ministerial artifice will be thick enough to hearing, is suffered at pleasure to halloo an conceal, from the eyes of an indignant and informer at your estate, on the bare report of a surveyor's duty, their own creature ;-The ministers affect to be surprised that woe to the property of England! Rememtime or other flowed from royal grants. No produce his vouchers? How could it hurt possessor, no purchaser, no mortgagee is this or any other fair claim (supposing this safe; no further safe than he is covered by a fair one) to have the records in his office the act of James the First, which is now sought to be converted from a temporary having it openly and solemnly debated by regulation into a perpetual rule of law.

That truly wise and patriotic bill, which the ministerial gentlemen are pleased to term factious, was what our ancestors called for, and so far as it regarded themselves, obtained, on the alarm of just such sort of grants as this to sir Tames Lowther. They did not contend that the grants should be made, only in cases where the crown had a plausible title. No: they maintained 'that such titles prior to sixty years should not be set up at all.' They demanded that the crown should litigate with the subject on the same terms of equity on which the subjects litigated with one another; and that sixty years of possession should bar a royal as well as a private claim.

They lived, indeed, in an age of extravagant prerogative, and they could not obtain what they could, and secured it for themselves. The arguments of the ministry are perfect law, the statute of the 21st of king all good men. James the First.

What do we care, whether this dormant and antiquated claim of the crown be well or ill founded in strict law? I take it for granted, that it has no foundation; and make no sort of doubt that when it comes to trial, it will appear scandalously groundless. Besides the favourable presumption that ought to operate for possession, the veracity I can rely, has informed me, that whole conduct of the Treasury gives me a the secretary at war has thought proper to right to conclude against them. If they write a letter of thanks to the commanding

ber that almost all that property has at one of the surveyor's report, and order him to inspected? Would a fair claim be hurt by counsel? Any set of men who have regard even to decorum in their injustice, could never have acted with this barefaced partiality to one person, and with such a scandalous spirit of oppression towards another.

It was in their official capacity they ought to have seen the right of the crown to make this grant defended, and the right of the duke of Portland examined. They ought to have had the king's counsel to cover them with their opinions and arguments in point of law; and not to have first passed the grant without hearing or examination. and then trusted for their apology to a legal discussion argued miserably, and without authority, in a common newspaper. Their arguments might have been produced with some grace and some weight to the public. when it was known that they had been this right fully for posterity; but they dyl officially considered, and fairly canyassed among all the parties concerned, before the act was done; and that these arguments not against the duke of Portland, but were the grounds of their conduct, not against the doctrine of prescription itself; excuses for their delinquency. At present against natural justice; and against the they can only excite contempt for their weak principles of that wise and constitutional, defence of those actions, whose atrociousthough (by the misfortune of its time) im- ness had before merited the abhorrence of

VALERIUS.

LETTER XXIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 19 May, 1768.

An officer of the guards on whose were so sure of the validity of their claim, officer of the troops lately employed in St why did they not a little discuss the grounds George's Fields. The substance of it. as

'SIR, War-office, 11 May, 1768.
'HAVING this day had the honour of mentioning to the king the behaviour of the de-

^{*} As this letter is frequently alluded to by Ju-NIUS in the course of the present work, we shall here insert a copy of it :-

well as I can remember, is rather of an conduct which includes a fact still sub judice. extraordinary nature, and I think deserves and the particulars of which are not yet the attention and consideration of the pub- known with any degree of certainty. lic. I understand that his Lordship thanks The secretary at war would have done them in the king's name, for their good better in confining his letter to the expresbehaviour, and assures them, that his sion of his own sentiments. What he has Majesty highly approves of their conduct. said for himself, if I am rightly informed. He further engages his promise, that what- will require more wit than he possesses to ever had consequences may ensue, they may defend. For the mere benefit of the law f depend upon the utmost assistance and sup- presume, the prisoners will hardly thank port that his office can afford them. With- him. It is a benefit they are entitled to. out entering into the evidence on which the and will certainly have whether he and his coroner's verdict against an officer and office interfere or not. If he means any some soldiers of the guards was founded, thing more, let him look to his words. I shall not scruple to say that this mention But I hold it to be highly unconstitutional. of the king's name is very improper and as well as illegal, to promise official support indecent. The father of his people un- and protection to either party in a criminal doubtedly laments the fatal necessity which case, wherein the king prosecutes for the has occasioned the murder of one of his loss of his subject. There is a degree of subjects, but cannot be supposed to approve folly in a minister of the crown signing highly of a conduct which has had dread- such a letter which looks like infatuation: ful consequences. An event of this shock- but I hope the Court of King's Bench, or ing nature may admit of excuse and miti- some other court, will let him know what gation from circumstances of necessity, the law calls abetment and maintenance, but can never be the object of the highest and bring him to his senses. royal approbation ;- much less was it proper to signify such strong approbation of a

tachments from the several battalions of footguards which have been lately employed in assisting the civil magistrates, and preserving the public peace, I have great pleasure in in-forming you, that his Majesty highly approved of the conduct of both the officers and men, and means that his Majesty's approbation should be means that his Majesty's approbation should be communicated to them through you. Employing the troops on so disagreeable a service always gives me pain; but the circumstances of the times make it necessary. I am persuaded they see that necessity, and will continue, as they have done, to perform their duty with alacrity. I beg you will be pleased to assure them that every possible regard shall be shown to them: their zeal and good behaviour upon this occasion deserve it: and in case any disagreeable circumstance should happen in the execution of their duty, they shall have every defence and protec-tion that the law can authorize, and this office can give.

'I have the honour to be, humble servant,
BARRINGTON.

' Field officer in staff waiting for the three regiments of foot-guards.
'Officers for guard on Saturday next,
Lieut.-col. Groin, &c. &c.'—EDIT.

FIAT JUSTITIA!

LETTER XXV.

For the Public Advertiser. 1 July, 1768.

TO MASTER HARRY IN BLACK-BOY-ALLEY. –At tu, simul obligasti Perfidum votis caput, enigrescis

Atrior multo.-

THE moment I heard you had

In the liot heie alluded to, which originated from a vast concourse of people assembled together opposite the King's Bench prison, on May to, in the expectation that Wilkes would be liberated from it on this day in order to take his seat in parliament (it being the first day of its session), about fourteen persons were shot and more wounded by the precipitate firing of the military. Among the rest was a young man of the name of Allen, who had taken no part in the 'Sir, tumult, and was slam in an out-house belonging 'Your most obedient, and most to his father (who lived in the neighbourhood), in the very act of imploring mercy of the soldiers who shot him. Some of the military more impower, and were on the point of taking their trial for the murder. And it is to this transaction the letter alludes.—EDIT. sions to succeed Mrs --- were at an end. But a second promise, which I understand you have lately given to another, revives my spirits, and makes me flatter myself that you mean me no harm. I have one chance less against me than I had, for your last resolution is certainly the one you will not abide by; so that at present there is nothing in my way but your engagement to lord Rockingham, the bad effects of which I shall endeavour to remove by this letter. I feel as strongly as you how much it would violate the consistency of your character to keep your word from any motives of probity or good faith; but if I can suggest to you the means of performing your first promise to lord Rockingham, and yet continuing as great a rascal as you would wish to be, all objections on the score of integrity will be removed, and you will owe me no small obligation into the bargain. You are a mere boy, Harry, notwithstanding the down upon your chin, and would do well to cultivate the friendship of women of experience. With all due submission to Miss Nancy's 1 personal knowledge of the world. I believe she has not yet taught you the secret of keeping your word without hurting your principles. This is a science worthy of a superior genius; and without a compliment, Harry, you have talents to improve it into a system of treachery, which, though it may shorten your natural life, will make your reputation immortal:

In the first place, I presume, you will have no difficulty in breaking your word with Mrs C-y; the whole distress lies in keeping it with your friend the Marquis. My advice is, therefore, that you should order Mr Bradshaw to write to his Lordship, and assure him in the civilest terms, that 'circumstances which you had not foreseen ;-that it was with infinite concern;-that his Lordship's recommenda-

Nancy Parsons,-EDIT.

given a positive promise to lord Rocking- any other instance;—that you flattered ham in my favour, I did you the justice to yourself his Lordship would be candid be satisfied that all my hopes and preten- enough to distinguish between the minister and the man ;-but that in short you were so unfortunately situated, &c. &c. &c. Mr Bradshaw's manner will make the message palatable, and it would not be aniss if he were to carry it himself. Having disengaged yourself from lord Rockingham. you must at the same instant write me a letter of congratulation, and desire me to take possession immediately. By these expedients you will preserve all the duplicity and wayward humour of your character: -vou will have the merit and satisfaction of failing to two people: you will confer a favour without obliging anybody: and your enemies give you credit for a conduct equally honourable to your morals and your understanding.

Farewell, Harry, and believe me to be. with the most perfect contempt, yours,

POMONA.

P. S. If the place is to be given in trust for Miss Parsons, I beg leave to withdraw my pretensions; for I am determined not to suffer a woman to be quartered upon me in any shape.

LETTER XXVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, 19 July, 1768.

THE spirit which once animated the London Gazette seems to have expired with the war. The learned compiler of that paper was blest with a genius equal to the description of battles and victories, but could not descend with dignity to the pacific annals of domestic economy. While our troops were sacrificed abroad, his pen was employed, with equal bravery, in murdering our language at home. He never lost a consonant from the Elbe to the tion had such weight with you; -that in Weser, or mollified one circumstance in all the guttural pomp of a German campaign. But, unfortunately for the world, his style

perished with his subject, and we see him ance will mean any thing or nothing just as it is only when his principals turn in their these gentlemen are sometimes troubled. plained by contingencies. The new commission of trade bears all the we have a third secretary of state for a new exerts itself by fits, and dies in convulsions. fancy. Next day down goes poor lord Clare (not all the softness of his manners nor modest eloquence can save him) and up gets the new secretary to represent them both. Hence we might have expected a pause of a few minutes, but these gentlemen are too modest to be satisfied with any thing they do; and now for measures of must be ungovernable indeed if such a junto disorder. cannot govern them. In the last article the

The following is a copy of the article alluded to:

Whitehall, 12 Yuly.

'The king has been pleased to constitute and appoint the lord high chancellor; the first commissioner of his Majesty's Treasury; the lord president of the council; the first commissioner of the Admiralty; his Majesty's principal secretaries of state; the chancellor of his Majesty Exchequer; the lord bishop of London; and the surveyor and auditor-general of all his Majesty. revenues in America, for the time being; to- here inserted .- EDIT.

now hardly able to support the fatigue of the reader chooses. By the mark set upon advertising court-mourning, and introduc- Wills, it should seem that the other coming foreign ministers under the auspices of missioners are not duly to attend the meet-Mr Stephen Cotterell. The gentle slumbers ings; or perhaps government, with a laudof the ministry prevail over the Gazette, in able caution, means to guard against any which their dreams are recorded; and if undue attendance of the said Wills; they ever we see the author betray a sign of life, may possibly mean that Wills alone shall be a quorum; or it may be-but to guess sleep. I presume we owe the Gazette of at their meaning is to reason without data. last Tuesday 1 to an insomnium with which so I leave it, as they have done, to be ex-

After all, Mr Printer, these are feverish marks of that drowsy wildness which pos- symptoms, and look as if the disorder were sesses a man, when he would fain go to coming to a crisis. Even this last effort is sleep, but is so sore all over that he does the forerunner of their speedy dissolution : not know which side to lie upon. One day like the false strength of a delirium, which

LETTER XXVII.

TO MR WOODFALL.

SIR.

21 July, 1768.

I COULD not help smiling at your vigour with a vengeance! The chief correspondent C.'s dreaming animadversion, officers of the crown, having little else to in your paper of yesterday, upon the comdo, are called from their respective depart- mission of the board of trade. He modestly ments; the prayers of a reverend prelate fancies himself awake, while all the minisare desired: Messieurs Rice, Jenyns, Fitz- try are enveloped in darkness and dreams. herbert, Eliot, and Robinson still contribute and, according to him, only stir to stir no their mites, and Wills earl of Hillsborough more. Thus drunkards imagine that every is duly to attend the meetings. The colonies body reels, and that the world itself is in

He owns that his assertions are the result writer of the Gazette is particularly fortun- of guess, and that his reasonings are withate, and avails himself with his usual dex- out the necessary data. He might have terity of all the advantage of publishing spared himself that trouble; everybody will nonsense by authority. This due attend- tell him the same. Vastly displeased with

> gether with Soame Jenyns, Edward Eliot, George Rice, John Roberts, Jeremiah Dyson, William Fitzherbert, and Thomas Robinson, Esgrs., to be commissioners for promoting trade, Lagra, to be commissioners for promoting trade, and for inspecting and improving his Majesty's plantations in America, and elsewhere. And his Majesty has thought fit to direct that Wills, earl of Hillsborough, one of his said principal secretaries of state, shall duly attend the meetings of his said commissioners. - EDIT.

> To this letter was given a short answer, which, as it produced a reply from JUNIUS, is

Rice. Eliot. Fitzherbert, and Robinson such unquiet repose. (whom he very decently and liberally styles a junto), to be commissioners for trade and plantations, and that the earl of Hillsborough is duly to attend their meetings. This throws our gentleman into a trance (convincing the world that his ignorance and insomnia are well blended), and fraught with this intelligence, he avers that all these respectable personages are new commisthe same time, on account of their other will blush for when he wakes. public avocations, they are therein released

the compiler of the Gazette, he drops him of trade, for the facility and dispatch of to abuse his principals; and because they business, and will thereby save the governdo not, or choose not, to furnish his empty ment (as he has no salary) the expense of a brain with chat for a day, or with battles, first commissioner. He is duly to attend sieges, and victories in time of peace, they the meetings of that board, which cannot are therefore doing nothing, or at best are as Mr C. would wisely obtrude upon the but dreaming like - himself. As he most public judgment, mean any thing or nothing sagaciously begins without his data, so he at pleasure; for when there are no meetproceeds (as Mr Locke says) by seeing a ings his Lordship cannot attend, but when little, perhaps like a man half awake, pre- there are, it is his duty. This, every man suming a great deal, and then jumping to a who is awake can understand: but as for conclusion. This, it is owned, he has admir- such dreamers as good Master C., I wish ably well done. He reads in the Gazette, they might sleep more soundly, till the pathat several of the chief officers of the crown, triotism they attack is extinguished; and the bishop of London, and some others, are then I believe the world will not be much appointed, together with Messieurs Jenyns, disturbed with the impertinent visions of

INSOMNIS.

LETTER XXVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 23 July, 1768.

I AM willing to join issue with sioners: whereas in fact, from the original your correspondent Insomnis, that one of constitution of the board of trade, they us is fast asleep, and submit to be tried by a have a right to sit there in virtue of their jury of plain Englishmen, who may be suprespective offices, though not obliged as posed to understand their own language. Messrs Jenyns, &c. to a due and constant If their verdict be given against him, all I attendance. In every new commission of desire is that you will not expose his inthe board of trade these officers for the firmity to the public, or suffer him to say time being are inserted at length; and at things in his sleep, which his modesty

In the first place. I never averred that from the obligation of continually sitting at they were all new commissioners, though I that board. As the business of the colonies spoke of a new commission. Is it possible has of late years much increased, it was for a man to be awake and not distinguish judged necessary by the crown to appoint between these expressions? But now for a one other principal secretary of state for the curious discovery: the great officers of transaction of colony affairs, which are state, it seems, are bound and released by daily increasing in their importance to this one and the same act; that is, they are kingdom; and, perhaps, the noble Lord bound to the public, and released in priwho is chosen to this direction, and whose vate. They figure away as men of business masterly abilities are the object of your in the Gazette, yet by a secret stipulation correspondent's invidious scurrility, is the are relieved from the trouble of attendance. only man of rank adequate to this ar- If Malagrida had any interest with the duous task in the present crisis. His present ministry, I should have no doubt Lordship is also to preside at the board that this was one of his subtle contrivances. reservation, is the first principle of the mo- intended!—Seriously. Sir, I should not be rale relachée, professed and inculcated by surprised if his Lordship were to prosecute the Society of Jesus.

ample is allapted to the doctrine. The name advertised among a company of bufdetermined to face it with their whole audience. strength and abilities. Such was the appearance which the new commission was by Insomnis, 'that when there are no intended to convey, and in this light I am meetings, lord Hillsborough cannot atvery sure it was received by the public; yet tend them; 'but I am not quite so clear the ministry meant no such thing; and I believe him very sincerely. A council is in- thousand pounds a year, is saved by apstituted which is never to sit, and commissioners are appointed on condition they shall never attend: a common way of throwing dust into the eyes of the public, and believe it is rather uncommon for a ministerial advocate to make so early and frank a confession of truths, which, though they and veracity.

'Go to, go to, you have known what you should

for a confidential secretary, at least to a occasion is there for your sitting up to ministry who have so many secrets to con- watch them? ceal.

If the duplicity of this contrivance had concerned themselves alone. I should have rest of their conduct, and thought no more future. Your eyes and ears, perhaps, are of it. But I own it fills me with indigna- open, but their sense is shut, and really it is so indecently treated. The respect due to in your night-cap. his personal character, if not to the sanctity of his station, should have preserved him from so gross an outrage. To see a prelate of the first rank mixed in a low jesuitical farce of imposing upon the public with a

An ostensible engagement, with a mental great council, when no such matter is the writer of the Gazette for a libel. For Now, Sir, observe how carefully the ex- my own part, Sir, I would rather see my state of the colonies evidently demanded foons at Bartlemy fair, than prostituted in some extraordinary measures of wisdom a ministerial junto, to deceive and to cheat and of vigour. A pompous list of names is my country. A farce upon the stage may held forth to the public, as if the ministry amuse at least, if not instruct, but miniswere roused by the importance and diffi- terial farces are too dull to please, and culty of the present conjuncture, and were seldom conclude without mischief to the

I admit one proposition gravely advanced Insomnis is so candid as to tell us, that about the article of expense. The salary of a first commissioner of trade, at three pointing a third secretary of state at six or seven, besides all the expense of a new office. But Insomnis unfortunately forgets that if Mr Thomas Townshend, contrary to frequently practised with success; but I all expectation, had not refused the vicetreasurership (because the offer of it was attended with an insult) there would have been no room to provide for lord Clare, may answer other purposes, will do his consequently he must have remained first patrons but little honour in point of credit commissioner of trade, and all this charming plan of economy, facility, and dispatch must have waited till another opportunity.

And now, Mr Insomnis, I shall leave you to your repose. Your patrons indeed may A man who talks in his sleep is not fit turn, and turn, and get no rest; but what

'Thou, quiet soul, sleep thou a quiet sleep.'

Above all things let me recommend it to been contented with comparing it with the you, never to pretend to be awake for the tion to see the name of a reverend prelate not very polite of you to come into company

C.

LETTER XXIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

30 July, 1768.

accidents which they implicitly relied on, has not produced a single casualty in their favour. At a crisis like this, Sir, I shall not be very solicitous about those idle forms of respect, which men in office think due to their characters and station; neither will I descend to a language beneath the importance of the subject I write on. When the fate of Great Britain is thrown upon the hazard of a die, by a weak, distracted, worthless ministry, an honest man will always express all the indignation he feels. This is not a moment for preserving forms, and the ministry must know that the lanuniversal language of the nation.

dreadful alternative of either making war upon our colonies, or of suffering them to erect themselves into independent states. It is not that I hesitate now upon the choice we are to make. Everything must be hazarded. But what infamy, what punishment do those men deserve, whose folly or It is not many months since 1 you whose treachery hath reduced us to this gave me an opportunity of demonstrating state, in which we can neither give up the to the nation, as far as rational inference cause without a certainty of ruin, nor mainand probability could extend, that the tain it without such a struggle as must hopes which some men seemed to enter- shake the empire? If they had the most tain, or to profess lat least, with regard to distant pretence for saying that the present America, were without a shadow of found- conjuncture has arisen suddenly, that it They seemed to flatter themselves was not foreseen and could not be provided that the contest with the colonies, like a for, we should only have reason to lament disagreeable question in the House of that our affairs were committed to such Commons, might be put off to a long day, ignorance and blindness. But when they and provided they could get rid of it for the have had every notice that it was possible present, they thought it beneath them to to receive, when the proceedings of the consult either their own reputation, or the colonies have for a considerable time been true interests of their country. But what- not less notorious than alarming, what ever were their views or expectations, apology have they left? Upon what prinwhether it was the mere enmity of party, or ciple will they now defend themselves? the real persuasion that they had but a From the first appearance of that rebellious little time to live in office,2 every circum- spirit which has spread itself all over the stance which I then foretold is confirmed colonies, the chief members of the present by experience. The conduct of the king's ministry were the declared advocates of servants in relation to America, since the America. Every art of palliation, of conalteration in 1765, never had a reasonable cealment, and even of justification, was argument to defend it, and the chapter of made use of in favour of that country against Great Britain. Some there were who did not even scruple to pledge themselves for the future submission and loyalty of the colonies. Every principle of government was subverted, and such absurdities maintained as common sense should blush for. When all these arguments failed, and when the proceedings of the colonies gave the lie to every declaration made for them by their patrons here, still the ministry thought it not too late for further temporizing and delay. Even after the combination at Boston they would not suffer parliament to be informed of the real state of things in that guage of reproach and contempt is now the province. They endeavoured to conceal the most atrocious circumstances, and what We find ourselves at last reduced to the they could not conceal they justified. Mr

lasted from July 10, 1765, to July 30, 1766.-

See Miscellaneous Letter, No. X.—EDIT. lasted The Rockingham administration, which EDIT.

Conwav1 since last December has, in the ed on. It must want the first strength of be found too bad to be passed over.

to hazard even the ruin of their country, country, rather than furnish the man 2 whom they

face of the House of Commons, defended union, and what effect can we hope for the resistance of the colonies upon what even from a vigorous measure, when the he called revolution principles; and when execution of it is committed, most proa paper, printed at Boston, was offered to bably, to one of the persons who have prothe House, as containing matter of the fessed themselves the patrons of lenient highest importance for the information of moderate measures, until the very name of parliament, the ministry would not suffer it lenity and moderation became ridiculous? to be read because they knew it would They will execute by halves; they will temporize and look out for expedients: If we look for their motives, we shall find they will increase the mischief; they will them such as weak and interested men defer the stroke until we are actually inusually act upon. They were weak enough volved in a war with France; and when to hope that the crisis of Great Britain and they have made the game desperate, they America would be reserved for their suc- will resign their places, to save themselves. cessors in office, and they were determined if possible, from the resentment of their

In this situation I am rather afflicted feared and hated, with the melancholy tri- than surprised at the shock which public umph of having truly foretold the con- credit has just received. The weight of sequences of their own misconduct. But the funds is of itself sufficient to press them this, such as it is, the triumph of a heart down. How then should it be possible for that bleeds at every vein, they cannot de- them to stand against evils, which separprive him of. They dreaded the acknow- ately might overturn the most flourishing ledgment of his superiority over them, and state, and which are fatally at this moment the loss of their own authority and credit, united against Great Britain. The rebellion more than the rebellion of near half the of her subjects; the too probable appreempire against the supreme legislature. hension of a foreign war; and a weak, dis-On this patriotic principle they exerted tracted administration at home. Yet, Sir. their utmost efforts to defer the decision of I hope there is still blood enough in our this great national cause till the last pos- veins to make a noble stand even against sible moment. The timidity, weakness, these complicated mischiefs. Far from and distraction of government at home, despairing of the republic. I know we have gave spirits, stiength, and union to the great resources left, if they are not lost or colonies, and the ministry seemed deter- betrayed. A firm united administration, mined to wait for a declaration of war with with the uniform direction of one man of our natural enemy, before they attempted wisdom and spirit, may yet preserve the to suppress the rebellion of our natural state. It is impossible to conceal from subjects. At last, however, they are com- ourselves, that we are at this moment on pelled to take a resolution which ought to the brink of a dreadful precipice; the have been taken many months ago, and question is, whether we shall still submit might then have been pursued with honour to be guided by the hand which hath driven to themselves, and safety to this country. us to it, or whether we shall follow the pa-How they will support it is uncertain. A triot voice 8 which has not ceased to warn resolution, adopted by a small majority in us of our dangers, and which would still a divided council, can be but little depend- declare the way to safety and to honour.

Mr Conway moved the repeal of Mr Grentory Act.—EDIT.

George Grenville, -EDIT.

³ Mr G. Grenville's. See this subject further ville's Stamp Act, and introduced the Declara- continued in Miscellaneous Letter, No. XXXI. -EDIT.

MISCELLANEOUS LETTERS OF JUNIUS.

LETTER XXX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 5 August, 1768.

An unmerited outrage offered to a emotions of resentment even in hearts that have the least esteem for virtue. At particular moments the worst of men forget

measures of vigour, and wished to carry them into execution, instead of depriving him of his post, they would have solicited him to return to America, and take upon him the conduct of those measures. His prudence and moderation are as well known as his spirit and firmness, and who great or a good man naturally excites some will dare to say that he would have refused an employment which the service of his king and country called upon him to accept? He went to America in circumtheir principles, and pay to superior worth stances as little favourable as the present: an involuntary tribute of sympathy or ap- he met an enemy at all times formidable, plause. We ought to think well of human and at that juncture strengthened by sucnature when we see how frequently the cess. He conquered that enemy, and most profligate minds are generous without united the dominion of the whole continent reflection. But if a case should happen, to Great Britain. In every light he was the wherein a character not merely of private man to have been chosen, if the ministry virtue, but of public merit, receives an in- had really meant to execute their own result equally indecent and ungrateful, this solution with vigour. But if it be their common concern is increased by that share design to surrender every point to America, of interest, which every man claims to him- they could not have acted more consistently self in the public welfare. A government, with such a plan, than by dismissing sir shameless or ill-advised enough to treat Jeffery Amherst from his post, and appointwith disregard the obligation due to public ang lord Boutetort to succeed him. No services, not only sets a most pernicious collusive bargain could have been made example to its subjects, but does a flagrant with the former, nor any base unworthy injury to society, which every member of it compliances expected from him. He had ought to resent. Reflections such as these honour, as much as any man, to lose, nor crowded upon my mind the moment that I even felt the necessity of repairing a broken heard that the late commander-in-chief in fortune. Had he been entrusted with a America had been dismissed without cere- command upon this important occasion. mony from his government of Virginia. I he would have executed the declared, not was grieved to see such a man so treated, the secret, purpose of the administration. but when I considered this step as an omen. With such a character it is easy to see how of the real resolution of the ministry with unfit he was to be trusted with the conduct respect to America, I forgot, as he himself of measures destined to perish at their will do, the private injury, and lamented birth. But although he might not be nothing but the public misfortune. At a entitled to the confidence of the king's servtime when the most backward of the king's ants, in what instance has he deserved servants have been compelled to acknow- such ungrateful treatment? Could they ledge the necessity of vigorous measures, find no other man to mark out to the pubwhen these measures are held out to the lic as an object of slight and disrespect? nation with a declaratory assurance that Could the wantonness of their power find now at last we are determined, the resolu- no other way of providing for a needy tion to deprive sir Jeffery Amherst of his dependant? Surely, Sir, the choice was at post in America cannot but be received as a least injudicious. Lord Hillsborough might direct contradiction to all those professions. have found some more honourable method If they had sincerely meant to do their duty of distinguishing his entrance into administo their country; if they had really adopted tration; nor do I think it a very favourable

omen to lord Boutetort, that his patrons upon the same level with their friendship have fixed upon Virginia as a retreat for for Mr Grenville. his distresses. Seven years are too many to spare out of a life of sixty, to say spondents. Moderator and Tandem, may nothing of the rarity of a man's returning think of me. I shall content myself with from that country and surviving the next some interior feelings which I fancy they sessions.

L. L.

LETTER XXXI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

6 August, 1768.

WHETHER it be matter of honour or reproach, it is at least a singular circumof some dangerous designs, not to destroy, hands and a change of measures. but to preserve the laws and constitution of

For my own part, whatever your correare not much acquainted with; nor will I perplex them with a language they are incapable of understanding. Whether I am determined by motives which an honest man might profess, or by such as those gentlemen usually act upon, is a point that will not admit of demonstration. I shall therefore leave their principles out of the question, and try what their arguments amount to.

Moderator and I are, for the most part. stance, that whoever is hardy enough to agreed. He allows 'that government is maintain the cause of Great Britain against sunk into a contemptible state; that their subjects who disown her authority, or to measures have failed of success, and is conraise his voice in defence of the laws and vinced that if the reverse had been pracconstitution, is immediately pointed out to tised, the mischief had been avoided.' the public for Mr Grenville's friend. From What conclusion his understanding will such language one would think that the draw from these premises, I do not know, order of things was inverted, and that con- but I think the most violent enemy of the spiracy had changed its nature. Mr Gren- present administration could not have ville and his friends it seems are suspected argued more strongly for a change of

The author of the second letter, finding their country. This is certainly a reproach nothing that will answer his purpose in the of the latest invention. I know there are present state of things, is obliged to carry men whose characters are safe against sus- us back to the original question of the right picions of this sort, and who form their and expediency of taxing America. I shall friendships upon other more useful maxims. not enter into the question of right, because But whether it be owing to the weakness of it has been already determined by the legishis understanding, or to the simplicity of lature, to which an Englishman still owes his heart, that he pursues a conduct so some degree of submission. For the matter useless to himself and so suspicious to the of expediency, an advocate for the present administration, it is surely a pardonable ministry seems to me to arraign his patrons error, and what an Englishman may yet when he argues against it. One part of forgive. It is true he professes doctrines them uniformly concurred with Mr Grenwhich would be treason in America, but, in ville in forming the Stamp Act, and in England at least, he has the laws of his opposing the repeal of it. The other, to side, and if it be a crime to support the serve the purposes of party, repealed that supremacy of the British legislature, the act, yet showed by their conduct that they Sovereign, the Lords, and Commons are as approved of the equitable principle on guilty as he is. The ministry indeed have which it was founded, that America should no share in the charge, and it would be un- contribute a little to (e support of the candid not to confess that their regard for public expense. The re, tal of the Stamp the honour and interest of this country is Act has been followed by other acts more offensive to the colonies, more directly ex- quired a military hand to carry it into But it is the natural defect of a weak di- and reserved for other virtues.1 vided administration, that they can neither Your correspondent confesses that Mr firmness.

itself. Every clause of it was so full and ed arrow there. explicit that it wanted no further instruction; nor was it of that nature that re-

and is here copied to give the reader an idea of the political sentiments entertained by Mr Grenville with respect to America, as developed by himself in his private correspondence with this gentleman.

Woolton, 28 August, 1768. DEAR SIR,

THE account which you gave to me in your letter of the 23rd of this month, of the late transactions at Boston, seems so natural a consequence of the measures taken in Great Britain, and the state and temper of the government here, that whatever degree of concern it may give me, I cannot feel the least surprise at it. If the eyes of those who are most interested in this most unhappy situation had been sooner opened I will venture to foretell without a spirit of prophecy, greater calamities will, when it is too late, rouse them and the whole kingdom from the lethargy, as to all public measures, into

erting the right of taxation, and which will execution. For the truth of this answer I hardly be executed without some extra- am ready to appeal even to the Americans ordinary efforts on the part of government, themselves. As to the merit of having Was the act for suspending the assembly foreseen the unavoidable consequences of of New York recommended by Mr Gren- an inconsistent irresolute system of meaville? Or was it he who advised the duties sures, I shall place it as low as your correon paper, glass, &c. imported into the spondent can desire. Even he might have colonies? No. Sir. his successors have foreseen what has happened without waitpaid him the highest compliment by imi- ing for the event. But to foretell those tating the system which they had affected consequences; - to speak truth to the to condemn; and in fact they have carried nation; to warn even an adversary of his his principles further than he did, or pro- danger; to persevere in this upright manly bably than he would have carried them, conduct, is indeed a merit of another sort,

resolve with moderation, nor execute with Grenville is still respectable; yet he warns the friends of that gentleman not to pro-As to the questions which your last cor- voke him, lest he should tell them what respondent puts to me, with a sort of heat they may not like to hear. These are but and petulance not very decent, one plain words. He means as little when he threatanswer will, I believe, be sufficient. If the ens as when he condescends to applaud. pretensions of the colonies had not been. Let us meet upon the fair ground of truth, abetted by something worse than a faction and if he finds one vulnerable part in Mr here, the Stamp Act would have executed Grenville's character, let him fix his poison-

¹ The following letter from Mr G. Grenville which they have been plunged. I have long to Mr Knox, formerly under secretary of state feared that the conduct holden in Great Britain to lord Hillsborough, is extracted from the would encourage and delude the subjects of second volume of a small work published by Mr America, till they would come to extremities Knox, entitled 'Extra Official State Papers,' of one kind, which would too probably end in extremities on the other side. I may appeal to you, as a private man, and as a member of par-liament, to my public declarations, that my opinions upon this subject have ever been uni-formly the same. They will still continue to be so, until I see much better reasons for changing them, than any which I have yet heard. What prospect there can now be that they will be attended with success, I cannot pretend to answer; but if there is no plan formed upon the sound principles of this constitution, supported both by firmness and temper, I can answer, that no good success in the present difficult situation, can arise from one desultory measure after another. The respect and affection of its subjects is the basis on which every wise governto the most obvious truths, many mischiefs ment must be founded; but if that foundation might have been prevented; if the authentic has been once overturned, it is not the work of a proofs which they have now received of what day to temper the materials, so as to unite and has happened, is not sufficient to convince them, rebuild them, especially if the workmen shall be daily changed, and each work by a different rule and line from that of his predecessor.

I am, &c. GEO, GRENVILLE, EDIT.

LETTER XXXII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, 10 August. 1768.

Your new correspondent Virginius might have saved himself the trouble of dating his letter from the Carolina coffee-house. We are a little better acquainted than he imagines with the style of the secretary of state's office, as well as with the facts respecting sir Tefferv Amherst's dismission. When he calls lord Boutetort the best of men, I suppose he means the best of courtiers. If bowing low and carrying the sword of state constitute merit and services, I confess there are few men to whom government is more really desired to repair to his government, motives on which I have acted. it was not only a most scandalous breach of conditions with him, but a most im- as in a situation the like of which it never pudent mockery. Lord Hillsborough knew experienced before, but which the greatest it was impossible he could return to America empires have experienced in their turn.

and that therefore he might put the alternative to him with safety. By this farce lord Hillsborough thought he could throw a colour upon the matter, and that the nation would be misled by it. What a poor contemptible artifice! Thus it usually happens with bunglers. They cannot even be mischievous with dexterity, nor do a public injury without insulting the public understanding.

LUCIUS.

LETTER XXXIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 19 August, 1768.

THE greatest part of my property indebted than to his Lordship. As to those having been invested in the funds, I could insinuations which Virginius calls malevo- not help paying some attention to rumours lent, it would have answered his purpose a or events, by which my fortune might be little better if he could have proved them affected: yet I never lay in wait to take false. Why does he not? Because they advantage of a sudden fluctuation, much are not only true, but notoriously true. less would I make myself a bubble to bulls What say you to the copper mines, Vir- and bears, or a dupe to the pernicious arts ginius? I fancy his Lordship would not practised in the alley. I thought a prudent have been so fond of residing in Virginia, man, who had any thing to lose, and really if he could have continued to reside here meant to do the best for himself and his either with safety or convenience. Reflec- family, ought to consider of the state of tions on characters merely private, ought, things at large, of the prospect before him, I own, to be discouraged. But let it be and the probability of particular events. remembered that this courtier might have A letter which appeared some days ago in lived and died in obscurity, if he had not the Public Advertiser, revived many serious forced himself into the public notice, by reflections of this sort in my mind, because robbing another man of an appointment, it seemed to be written with candour and expressly given him in reward for the most judgment. The effect of those reflections honourable national services. The discon- was, that I did not hesitate to alter the tent of the province of Virginia at being situation of my property. I owe my thanks governed by a lieutenant-governor instead to that writer that I am safely landed from of a governor is a mere fiction trumped up a troubled ocean of fear and anxiety, on by lord Hillsborough and his secretary to which I think I never will venture my forserve this dirty purpose; it was never heard tune and my happiness again. Perhaps it of before, and if sir Jeffery Amherst was may not be useless to individuals to see the

In the first place, I consider this country to be under 'he command of general Gage, The successes of the late war had placed us at the highest pinnacle of military glory. surest hopes were founded. Their exclu-Every external circumstance seemed to sive commerce would have supported our trade is so far altered for the better, that

contribute to our prosperity; the most home manufactures, when other markets formidable of our enemies were reduced, failed, and rewarded us in some measure and commerce had promised to increase for that security and extent of dominion with the extent of our dominion. But at which the blood and treasure of this counthis point I fear we met with our ne plus try had purchased for them. Here too our ultra. The greatness of a kingdom cannot most reasonable expectations are disaplong be stationary. That of Great Britain pointed. Not only the merchant who gives carried in itself an interior principle of credit on the security of personal good faith weakness and decay. While the war con- is ruined by it, but, in a public view, the tinued, our superiority at sea gave us an sum of the debts of individuals is held out exclusive commerce with the richest quar- in terrorem, to awe us into a compliance ters of the world, and supplied us with with pretensions which shake the foundawealth to support such efforts as no nation tion of our political existence. We shall ever made before. But when the conclu- be woefully deceived if we form our calcusion of peace had restored our rivals to the lations of the real state of trade, on the enjoyment of their former trade, the very large commissions, long credit, or extensive efforts which had maintained the war ren- enterprises of particular merchants. The dered it impossible for us to meet those commercial prosperity of a nation depends rivals upon equal terms in foreign markets. upon the certainty of the return, not on the The national debt had risen to a point so magnitude of the venture. As things are far beyond the reach of economical specu- now managed in the city, the greatest lations. that the diminution of the principal house falls first, and draws with it the ruin almost ceased to be a question, and the of a multitude of little ones. Next to the ministry found difficulty enough in provid- parties immediately concerned, the public ing funds for payment of the interest, creditors will be the first to feel the conse-Here then we find an interior principle of quences of this ruinous system. The funds decay, the operation of which is not less allotted for their security, depend chiefly certain than fatal. The increase of your upon the produce of the customs; these debt requires a proportionate increase of depend upon your trade, and it requires no trade, at the same time that it not only prophet to foretell, that a false and ruinous prevents that increase, but operates in the system of trade cannot long be maintained. contrary direction. A newspaper will not It begins with private beggary, and ends in admit of such a deduction, or I would un-public ruin. I do not pretend to say that dertake to demonstrate, that all the profit- the landholder will be quite at his ease. able part of our foreign trade is lost, and when public credit is shaken. But his at that in what remains the balance is con- least is a solid security; the other a mere siderably against us. But the fact is bubble, which the first rude breath of illnotorious. The situation of our East-India fortune or of danger may reduce to nothing.

I wish it could be proved, that any one we do not send such quantities of bullion circumstance in this representation is false as heretofore to China, and indeed we have or exaggerated. On the other hand, if it it not to send. Yet the resources of this be true, the concealment of a moment trade are at the best but precarious: nor is more or less signifies nothing. It is agreed the balance of it even now clearly in our on all hands, that we are in no condition favour. A single defeat in India (an event to meet a war. Our enemies know and not quite out of the limits of possibility) presume upon it. The experience of many would go near to annihilate the company. centuries sufficiently proves, that their But it was in the colonies that our best and natural restlessness will not long permit

them to observe the conditions of any trial of war and rebellion, proves nothing. and, as far as I can observe, without any has an appointment with a prostitute;bad effect to themselves. In short, they consider our weakness more than their own strength, in adherence to their old policy, eases they have given her. que la foiblesse de l'ennemi fait notre propre force.

A prudent man, whose property is in the funds, would do well to consider the truth of this representation. What security has he, when the slightest rumour of bad news from America robs him of four or five per cent. upon his capital, when worse news from that quarter is expected every hour, and when the expectation of a foreign war is founded on facts and reasoning strong enough to constitute the clearest moral hitherto passed safely through the fiery

peace. At present they have other addi- No conclusion can be drawn from a debt tional motives to draw them into action. of forty-six millions, at which it stood in The articles of the last peace dishonoured 1740, to the present debt of one hundred them in the eyes of Europe. Necessity and forty millions. At that time our realone compelled them to submit to it. As sources were hardly known, at this period long as the necessity subsists, the peace they are known and exhausted. We are will be maintained. In the mean time, arrived at that point when new taxes either they hazard such strokes as would be a just produce nothing, or defeat the old ones. foundation of a war, if we had strength or and when new duties only operate as a spirits to renew it. Dunkirk remains un- prohibition; yet these are the times, Sir, demolished, and Corsica 1 is added to the when every ignorant boy thinks himself fit dominion of France. They know the to be a minister.2 Instead of attendance to miserable state of our finances, the distrac- objects of national importance, our worthy tion and weakness of our government, and governors are contented to divide their time above all, the alarming differences which between private pleasures and ministerial threaten a rupture with our colonies. To intrigues. Their activity is just equal to suppose that they will not take advantage the persecution of a prisoner in the King's of these circumstances, is supposing that a Bench,3 and to the honourable struggle of few years have changed the stamina of a providing for their dependants. If there be French constitution. On the other hand, a good man in the king's service, they disto say that they are as little in a condition miss him of course; and when had news to make war as ourselves is mere trifling, arrives, instead of uniting to consider of a Their enterprises prove the contrary. Their remedy, their time is spent in accusing and finances are upon a much better footing reviling one another. Thus the debate than ours, and at the worst, they have a concludes in some half misbegotten mearemedy, which a British parliament will sure, which is left to execute itself. Away never make use of, but in the last extrem- they go :- one retires to his country-house; ity. The French apply it without scruple, another is engaged at a horse-race; a third

ATTICUS.

LATTER XXXIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 23 August, 1768.

AMIDST the general indignation which has been excited by the marked affront lately put upon sir Jeffery Amherst, it is odd to find people puzzling themselves certainty? To say that public credit has about the motives which have actuated administration in this extraordinary procedure.

^{*} See Letters III. and XII., in which the subject is again mentioned by the author and exTreasury at this period.—EDIT.

3 Wilkes.—EDIT. plained in the notes.-EDIT.

² The duke of Grafton was first lord of the

Nothing is more short and easy than the successful attempt to reward him further solution of this affected difficulty. They by a violation of our laws in an illegal were ordered to act in this manner.

other reason. The ministry know, and de- ant government. sire to know, no other reason. They have not the alightest quarrel with sir Jeffery give it a due roundness and relief, it was Amhers: They have not the most trivial thought proper not only to affront living regard for lord Boutetort. Some of them merit, but to insult and trample upon the are known even to hate his Lordship; the sacred ashes of the dead. It was not forgot rest are scarcely acquainted with him; but under whose patronage sir Jeffery Amherst they have received the order, and that is first appeared in the world. It was not enough for them. Their whole political forgot that he was one of the many public system is wrapped up in one short maxim- benefits derived to this country from that 'My author and disposer ! what thou bid'st, Unargued I obey!'

of his intentions, and the trustees of his tunity was not lost. power.

tration.

the present governor of Virginia, during upon sir Jeffery Amherst. the greatest part of his life, and avowed

patent, he is now to be provided for by the The public knows, and can know, no ruin of our affairs in a critical and import-

As a part of this system, and in order to great school of military knowledge and loval sentiments, the family of the late In this lesson they are perfect to a miracle; duke of Cumberland. Here was a glorious and the signal proof they have just given of opportunity of cherishing a true friend to their daring and determined servility, shows despotism, and at the same time of insultthem altogether worthy of that confidence ing the memory of him who had been the which the favourite so wisely reposed in heavy scourge, and (it was once hoped) the them (during his pleasure), the depositaries final destroyer of that cause. This oppor-

To return: I have said that the justly But although it be in vain to seek for any obnoxious principles at which I have higher principle than blind obedience in hinted, constitute, or seem at least to conthe formal and executive members of the stitute, the sole merit of the new governor. ministry, it is worth while to examine a If the friends of the ministry can discover little more minutely the motives which any other, they would be very kind to menmight actuate in this affair, the secret but tion them. The public looks upon this deliberative and guiding part of adminis- transaction in a very serious light. Nothing but the strongest conviction that the very Can we believe from the monstrousness, salvation of America depends upon the or can we doubt from the notoriety, of the abilities of lord Boutetort, can reconcile fact, that the political principles held by them to the affront which has been put

They derive no consolation from being almost without a mask, could be his sole told that this meritorious commander had recommendation to that employment? Can received a previous intimation to repair to we believe that these principles constitute his government, with which he showed such a transcendent degree of merit, as himself unwilling to comply. They are as makes it necessary to reward its possessor dissatisfied as ever; first, because the fact at the expense of the national honour, itself, standing upon no higher authority gratitude, and safety? Such merit must be than ministerial assertion, will be disputed. served in any way, and at any price. A Falsehood is a servile vice; and to the impeerage, which every one knows could not putation of that vice people in a slavish be had without the royal countenance, was condition, whether low or high (for servinot sufficient. It was too little that he was tude, as well as hell, has its ranks and digput into an honourable employment near nities), will always be subject; especially if the person of his sovereign. After an un-ministers are known to have found the possessing one character in which to pro- the salary. mise, and another in which to act.1

ple habits, and of their double characters, lately filled, that much scurrilous abuse has will they venture to assert, that the arrange- been thrown out against the Whig party ment in favour of lord Boutetort was not and Whig principles. Permit me to condetermined upon before they had con- gratulate the ministers on this well-chosen sulted sir Jeffery Amherst concerning a topic: the defence is worthy of the cause. residence in Virginia? In the next place, They tell us, that all party distinctions did they not know that his residence in the ought to be done away, and that men of all character of governor in America, where he kinds ought to have an equal share in pubhad before commanded in chief, was a thing lic employment. This notion, taken with incompatible with all the ideas entertained due corrections, has some sense, but in by military men concerning rank and pre- their application much absurdity. No man cedence? And if so, was not the order for would prevent the public from being served residence given (if it was given) that it by the abilities of any person, because he might be disobeyed? Is it not an heavy might have the misfortune in some time of aggravation, instead of the least excuse for his life to be mistaken in his political opintheir offence?

plained of the absence of their governor, emoluments which it has to bestow. under which they have acquiesced upwards of fifty years? If it was done on the part of guage of candour and moderation. This Great Britain, again let me enquire whether ought to be the inviolable rule where the the lieutenant-governors, who have acted question is concerning offices of trust, and during those fifty years, have wanted which require weight and ability for their authority, knowledge, or capacity? If they execution. When the question is concerndid, in what manner is the defect supplied ing the mere graces of the crown, the rule by the new appointment? Is the new is, to become even more severe; and every governor invested with any larger powers lover of the constitution must think it a than the late lieutenant-governors? Or is crime hardly less than treason in those who he endued with a greater degree of experi- shall advise a court to discountenance the ence, knowledge, or sagacity for the exer- families which have promoted the revolucise of those powers? No, no; the manner tion, and at the same time to load with its of filling the vacancy made by the removal favours those who (reconciled by profit, not of sir Jeffery Amherst sets in the broad by opinion) have ever been the declared glare of day-light the true reasons for enemies both of the revolution, and of every making it; it was not done to reform a benefit we derive from the happy event. public abuse, but to accommodate a private You may hear again from job; it was not Virginia that wanted a

dexterous art of splitting themselves, and governor, but a court favourite that wanted

I cannot help observing in the ministerial But with all the advantage of their sup- writings with which the papers have been ions or connexions. But every Whig Lastly, the public would be glad to know thinks it fair, that persons under such cirhow it comes that this grand ministerial cumstances should be obliged to produce reformation was taken up in this single some other merit besides those mistakes; instance; it made no part of a general and that they should give some other arrangement. If it were done in consider- proofs of their conversion to the principles ation of the colonies, let me ask, whether of our happy establishment, than their nethe people of Virginia have lately com- cessity, or their desire of partaking in the

This surely is the sentiment and lan-

Your humble servant. VALERIUS.

^{*} See Miscellaneous Letter, No. XXI. -

LETTER XXXV.

TO THE EARL OF HILLSBOROUGH.

My LORD. 29 August, 1768.

THE honourable lead you have taken in the affairs of America, hath drawn upon you the whole attention of the public. You declared yourself the single minister marking your outset with a coup d'eclat. bition.

little to their patron's glory.

have uniformly held forth ir Jeffery Am- Germany had justly entitled him to. herst as the first military man in this country ;-they have quoted him on all occa- but the earl of Chatham. sions, when military knowledge was in this measure, and they are believed.

partment, as to dishonour himself merely to oblige your Lordship.

You will not venture to insinuate that sireffery Amherst was dismissed by the advice of lord Granby or sir Edward Hawke. Military men have a sense of bonour which your Lordship has no notion of. They fee. for a gallant officer who had his full share in the toils and honour, and had some right for that country, and it was very proper you to a share, in the profits of the war. They should convince the world you were so, by feel for the army and the navy. Lord ranby himself has some emoluments be-The dismission of sir Jeffery Amherst has sides his power, and sir Edward Hawke given a perfect establishment to your has his pension. Nobly earned I confess, authority, and I presume you will not but not better deserved than by the labours think it necessary or useful to hazard which conquered America in America. strokes of this sort hereafter. It will be Besides, my Lord, the commander-in-chief adviseable at least to wait until this affair is the patron of the army. It was a comis forgotten, and, if you continue in office mon cause which he could not desert withtill that happens, you will surely be long out infamy and reproach. Lord Granby is enough a minister to satisfy all your am- not a man to take his tone from any minister. Where his honour is concerned, he The world attributes to your Lordship scorns to adopt an humble ministerial lanthe entire honour of sir Jeffery Amherst's guage; he never would say-that indeed dismission, because there is no other person sir Jeffery Amherst was rather unreasonain the cabinet, who could be supposed to ble—that his terms were exorbitant, that he have a wish or motive to give such advice had still two regiments left: and might to the crown. The duke of Grafton and well be contented .- This is a language it is the chancellor were once lord Chatham's impossible he should hold, while he himself friends. However their views may now be is master-general of the ordnance, colonel altered, they must know it would disgrace of the blues, and commander-in-chief, with them in the eyes of the public, to offer an a whole family upon the staff. He knows unprovoked outrage to a man whose con- the value, and could not but be sensible of duct and execution had contributed not a the loss, of those honourable rewards which his distinguished capacity, his care of the The duke of Bedford and his friends public money, and his able conduct in

I think I have now named all the cabinet

His infirmities have forced him into a question, and even been lavish in his praise. retirement, where I presume he is ready to Besides, they openly disclaim any share in suffer, with a sullen submission, every insult and disgrace that can be heaped upon The earl of Shelburne usually finds him- a miserable, decrepit, worn out old man, self in opposition, therefore is not too often. But it is impossible he should be so far consulted. In this instance he certainly active in his own dishonour, as to advise did not concur with the majority. He still the taking away an employment, given as is, or pretends to be, attached to lord Chat- a reward for the first military success that ham, and I fancy he is not yet so cordially distinguished his entrance into administrareconciled to the loss of the American de-tion. He is indeed a compound of contraplained away. You know, my Lord, that be expected? Mr Pitt therein assured sir Jefferv Amherst. pledged the royal faith that his residence ceeded the conquest of Cape Breton? should never be required. Lost as he is. he would not dare to contradict this letter. madness. The disorder must have guitted his head, and fixed itself in his heart.

either your Lordship advised this measure, or it happened by accident. You must suffer all the honour of it. What then is apparently the fact? one of your cringing, bowing, ruins himself by an enterprise,2 which would ment. have ruined thousands if it had succeeded. It becomes necessary to send him abroad. Sir Jeffery Amherst is one of the mildest August? and most moderate of men ;-ergo, such a will be a handsome provision for Boutetort. they talk with so much abhorrence of sineplainer, I shall ask your Lordship a few to him? Your eart tells you that it is. questions, to which the public will expect, and your reputation, if you have any regard embarked in a most odious, perhaps it may for it, demands, that you should give an prove to you a most dangerous, business. immediate and strict answer.

- was offered to sir Jeffery Amherst, did he here. For the questions which I have pronot reply, that his military employments posed to you, I must tell you plainly, that took up all his time, and that he could not they must and shall be answered. accept the government if residence were expected?

dictions, but his letter to sir Jeffery Amherst it was meant only as a mark of his Majesty's stands upon record, and is not to be ex- favour, and that his residence would never

q. Has there ever been any further mark that the government of Virginia was given of favour conferred upon this gentleman. him merely as a reward, and solemnly for all those important services, which suc-

But now for questions of a later date.

- 1. Was not lord Boutetort's appointment If he did, it would be something more than absolutely fixed, on or before Sunday the gist of July?
 - 2. Had sir Jeffery Amherst the least inti-The business is now reduced to a point; mation of the measure before Thursday the 4th of August?
- 3. Was it not then mentioned to him in the whole reproach, for you are entitled to general terms, as a measure merely in contemplation, without the most distant hint that lord Boutetort, or any other person, fawning, sword-bearing brother courtiers 1 was actually in possession of his govern-
 - 4. Did not lord Boutetort kiss hands the next day, that is, on Friday the 5th of
- 5. Did you not dare to tell your soveman will bear any thing. His government reign that sir Jeffery Amherst was perfectly satisfied, when you knew your treatment of and if he frets-why he may have a pension. him was such as the vilest peasant could Your emissaries lose their labour, when not have submitted to without resentment?

Finally, my Lord, is it not a fact, that cures, non-residence, and the necessity of sir Jeffery Amherst, having been called the king's service. You are conscious, my upon some time ago to give his opinion Lord, that these are pompous words with- upon a measure of the highest importance out a shadow of meaning. The whole in America, gave it directly against a nation is convinced that the fact is such as favourite scheme of your Lordship; and is I have stated it. But to make it a little not this the real cause of all your antipathy

Now, my Lord, you have voluntarily Your Pylades will sneak away to his governr. When the government of Virginia ment; but you must stand the brunt of it

You may affect to take no notice of them, perhaps, and tell us you treat them with 2. Did not Mr Pitt, then secretary of the contempt they deserve. Such an expestate, assure him in the king's name, that dient may be wise and spirited enough when applied to a declaration of rebellion , on the part of the colonies, and God

¹ Lord Boutetort. 2 The W-y Company.

shall not avail you here.

Num negare audes? Quid taces? Convincam si negas.

LUCIUS.

LETTER XXXVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

30 August, 1768.

I SHALL not pretend to enter into the merits of sir Jeffery Amherst's dismission from his government of Virginia. Everybody knows he deserves a great deal of the public: and if what I have heard be true, even the present administration do not refuse it him. But there are a number of busy incendiaries, who use every means to poison the minds of the good people of England, and to abuse those in power. whoever they are. These neither inquire into the truth of the matter, nor do they fail to show the most disagreeable view of the command of his regiments. every action of the ministry. An impudent forty or fifty lives lost in St George's fields. When was it? Others have heaped together a parcel of ill-natured lies, and given it the name of an account of the dismission of sir Jeffery Amherst.

The particulars of sir Jeffery Amherst's dismission, I am told, are as follow: for very urgent reasons it had been determined the governor-general of every province in America should reside. Upon which lord Hillsborough wrote a letter to sir Jeffery, making very honourable mention of his for ever. service in America, how much his country was obliged to him for that activity, steadi- tain extent. ness, and courage, which so eminently dishis example diffused itself through the should have the pre-eminence. whole army, by which means the British

knows it has succeeded admirably. But it man and as a soldier, and how much it would be to his satisfaction, was it suitable to sir Jeffery's inclinations and circumstances, to go to Virginia and take upon him the supreme command in that province: but if it was not convenient, he might depend on it, that his Majesty would take the earliest opportunity of doing justice to his merits, by making him a recompence equivalent at least to the loss of his government.

> This letter was scarce finished when sir Jeffery Amherst called at lord Hillsborough's on some other business. Lordship took that opportunity to explain the intentions of administration by such a measure, gave him the letter, and sir Teffery seemed to be convinced of the necessity of the arrangement, acquiesced in the proposals made to him, and went away to all appearance well satisfied.

> If it was next day, or not, I know not, but sir Teffery very soon after this demanded an audience of his Majesty, and resigned

This not being accepted of, and the minvarlet, Y. Z., in this day's paper, talks of istry willing to keep such a man in the service, and not wishing to give cause for his resignation, endeavoured to reason with him; upon which he (sir Jeffery Amherst) delivered or sent to the duke of Grafton the following articles of accommodation.

- 1. A British peerage to himself, and failing heirs of his body, to descend to his brother the colonel.
- 2. A recompence equivalent to the loss of his government.
- 3. An exclusive right of working the coal acquainting him of this resolution. After mines at Louisburg to him and his heirs
 - A grant of lands in America to a cer-
- And in case it should be judged extinguished the commander, and which from pedient to create American peers, that he

The duke of Grafton on receiving this, arms were crowned with success, and the begged to see sir Jeffery. Who sent him war so happily concluded in that part of word, if the interview was intended to inthe world; he mentioned the very high duce him to lower his demands, it was opinion his Majesty had of him both as a totally unnecessary. His Grace then went to him, and gave him the following answers.

- 1. British peerages were generally given to such, whose opulent fortunes enabled them to support that high dignity. This reason he apprehended sir leffery could not plead.
- tentions to make him a recompence equivalent to his government.
- 3. Reasons political and commercial forbade the working of the American coal mines at all.
- 4. He might have the grant of lands in America, when, where, and to what extent he pleased: but he did not apprehend there was the least reason to make the fifth demand, as he supposed a creation of American peers would never take place.

Sir Jeffery Amherst's regiments are not bar of justice. given away.

I shall make no comment on this. I tell it as a fact, which I have heard from what people call good authority. The dismission of an experienced and deserving commander requires some attention: and there can be no harm in making the public acquainted The number of falsehoods that have been spread abroad about this transaction have induced me to send you this.

I must tell you, however, that my information is second-hand; but it may have this good effect, even if not true, to induce those who know the contrary to do as I have done. I shall therefore conclude with this question; are these things true or not? CLEOPHAS.

1 This letter was at length published, Nov. 2, and is as follows.

Hanover Square, 27 July, 1768.

I AM commanded by the king to acquaint you, that his Majesty, upon a consideration of the dispatches lately received from Virginia, thinks it necessary for his service, that his go-vernor of that colony should immediately repair to his government; and at the same time, to ex-press to you the high opinion his Majesty has of your ability to serve him in that situation. But it is not the king's intention to press you to go upon that service, unless it shall be perfectly agreeable to your inclination, as well as entirely convenient to you. His Majesty does not forget, Majesty; if you do not, his Majesty wishes to that the government of Virginia was conferred appoint a new governor, and to continue to you upon you as a mark of royal favour, and as a in some other shape, that emolument which was,

LETTER XXXVII.

TO THE EARL OF HILLSBOROUGH.

My Lord. I September, 1768.

In the ordinary course of life, a 2. It always had been his Majesty's in- regularity of accounts, a precision in points of fact, and a punctual reference to dates, form a strong presumption of integrity. On the other hand, an apparent endeavour to perplex the order and simplicity of facts. to confound dates, and to wander from the main question, are shrewd signs of a rotten cause and of a guilty conscience. Let the public determine between your Lordship and me. You have forfeited all title to respect; but I shall treat you with tenderness and mercy, as I would a criminal at the

> In your letter signed Cleophas, you are pleased to assume the character of a person half informed. We understand the use of this expedient. You avail yourself of every thing that can be said for you by a third person, without being obliged to abide by the apology, if it should fail you. Lord, this is a paltry art, unworthy of your station, unworthy of every thing but the cause you have undertaken to defend. While you pursue these artifices it is impossible to know on what principles you really rest your defence. But you may shift your ground as often as you please; you shall gain no advantage by it. Your Lordship, under the character of Cleophas. is exactly acquainted with particulars, which could only be known to a few persons, while you totally forget a series of facts known to thousands. You can repeat every article of your own letter to sir Jeffery Amherst,1 though your own memory

reward for the very great services you have done for the public, so much to your own honour, and so much to the advantage of this kingdom, and therefore his Majesty is very solicitous that you should not mistake his gracious intention on this occasion.

If you choose to go immediately to your government, it will be extremely satisfactory to his Majesty; if you do not, his Majesty wishes to

be too weak to recollect on what day lord who has received an affront, not an injury. agreed.

I must tell you, that when a secretary of me. state assures sir Teffery Amherst that any

service ended in a provision for a ruined paration. courtier, he felt the indignation of a man

Boutetort's appointment was fixed, on what Your emissaries affect to say, that he was day he kissed hands, and on what day the desired to repair to his government, and design was opened to sir Jeffery Amherst. upon his refusal was dismissed. This you These, it seems, are circumstances of no know was not the fact, so that every reasonimportance, and to say the truth, I believe ing built upon it falls to the gibund. You they are such as you would willingly forget. never did nor could propose to him, to I am glad to find, however, that the ac- return to America in a rank subordinate to knowledgment of sir Jeffery Amherst's general Gage. It never was a question : and merit and services could not be more full indeed how should it, when his government and formal than as it is stated in your letter was given away on the 31st of July, and he to him. Upon that point then we are had not the most distant intimation that such a measure was thought of, until You say sir Jeffery Amherst, at your first Thursday the 4th of August. Mark these conversation, seemed satisfied. My Lord, dates, my Lord, for you shall not escape

After the affront had been fixed upon particular measure is necessary for the king's him in the grossest manner, he was desired service, he is too good a subject to set his to consider what satisfaction he would private interest in opposition to the public accept of. He then sent to the duke of welfare. But did you tell him that his Grafton the demands, which you have government had been given away four days stated to the public. These, and the before? Did you not speak of it as a answers to them, shall now be considered. measure in futurum, which was not to take The word demand is peremptory, and unfit place till he was perfectly satisfied? In to be made use of by a subject in a request short, did you tell him that lord Boutetort to the crown. It was not made use of by was to kiss hands next morning? Answer sir Jeffery Amherst, though, for the matter these questions like a man and a gentle- of it, I assert without scruple that a man of distinguished public merit, who has been When sir Jeffery Amherst found that all signally insulted, is not in the case of a this pretended necessity of the king's suppliant, but has a right to a signal re-

The duke of Grafton's idea of the proper object of a British peerage differs very

as I have said before, intended as a mark of the royal sense of your meritorious ervices; it is a particular pleasure to me to have the honour of expressing to you these very favourable senti-ments of our royal master. To add any thing from myself, would be a degree of presumption; I will therefore only request the favour of your answer, as soon as may be convenient, and take the liberty to assure you, that I am, HILLSBOROUGH.

The following short note was published immediately in reply to it.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

5 November, 1768. To prevent any impression which may arise to the prejudice of sir Jeffery Amherst from a letter circulated by the earl of Hillsborough, and now in print, it is only necessary to observe that it is dated the 27th of July, and

that the government of Virginia was given to lord Boutetort on Sunday the 24th. This being the fact, the humble fawning language of the secretary of state's letter, instead of a complement, is a real mockery and insult. A true idea of the treatment which sir J. A. has received, can only be had by observing the order of the facts. The government is given away on Sunday. The secretary of state writes his letter on Wednesday. He and sir J. A. meet on Thursday. Not the most distant hint is given him that his government is actually disposed of, and lord Boutetort kissed hands next morning. This, Sir, is the treatment which sir J. A. considers as an affront, not an injury, and which he resents as he ought. If lord H. had not published his letter, I should not have thought of reviving a question on which the public was before completely satisfied.

materially from mine. His Grace, in the fee-simple of every acre from the Mississippi gamesters, pedlars, and contractors (if they yet settled upon his estate. have sense enough to take the hint) may rise As to American peerages, if none are to crown did not think its revenue ill employed than sir Jeffery Amherst. in contributing to support the honours it had had the command of a seven years' war in America, you would have taken care predicament of sir Teffery Amherst, who is conclusions, refused a title of honour, because he did pense of the public.

these very mines hereafter granted to sup- of the classics. port the chastity of a minister's whore, the integrity of a pimp, or the uncorrupted blood of a bastard.

His Grace is wonderfully bountiful in the article of lands: I doubt not he would with all his heart give sir Jeffery Amherst the

true spirit of business, looks for nothing to California. But we shall be the less surbut an opulent fortune, meaning, I presume, prised at his generosity, when we consider the fortune which can purchase as well as that every private soldier, who served a maintain a title. We understand his Grace. certain time in America, was entitled to two and know who dictated that article. He hundred acres, and that not one man, out has declared the terms on which Jews, of perhaps twenty thousand claimants, has

without difficulty into British peers. There be created, the request falls of course. But was a time indeed, though not within his if such a creation had been intended, I call Grace's memory, when titles were the upon your Lordship to point out a man reward of public virtue, and when the better entitled to precedence upon that list

Your last assertion is that his regiments had bestowed. It is true his Grace's family are not given away. It is a matter of perderive their wealth and greatness from a fect indifference. Yet the public has reason different origin;-from a system which it to believe that colonel Hotham is now seems he is determined to revive. His con- colonel of the 15th regiment, and that the fession is frank at least, and well becomes commission of commandant of the royal the candour of a young man. I dare say. Americans only waits until it shall be deterthat if either his Grace or your Lordship mined whether general Gage shall be recalled or not.

Permit me now to refer your Lordship to that poverty, however honourable, should the questions stated in my last letter, and not have been an objection to your advance- to desire you to answer them strictly. If ment; --- you would not have stood in the you do not, the public will draw its own

Your emissaries, my Lord, have rather not create a fortune equal to it at the ex- more zeal than discretion. One of them, who calls himself A Considerate English-For the matter of a recompence equiva- man, could not write by authority, because lent to his government, he repeatedly told he is entirely unacquainted with facts. His your Lordship that the name of pension was declamation therefore signifies nothing. In grating to his ears; and that he would his assertions however there is something accept of no revenue that was not at the really not unpreasant. He assures us that same time honorary. Your Lordship does your Lordship's great abilities were brought not know the difference, but men of honour into employment to correct the blunders of Mr Pitt's administration. It puts me in If reasons political and commercial forbid mind of the consulship which Caligula working the coal-mines in America, that, I intended for his horse, and of a project allow, is an answer ad hominem. It may which Buckhorse once entertained of obligbe a true one; yet I do not despair of seeing ing the learned world with a correct edition

LUCIUS.

LETTER XXXVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

6 September, 1768.

WHEN a worthless administration do a notorious act of injustice to a good man which naturally raises the indignation of the public, they are not satisfied with the first blow, but their emissaries go to work to blacken the character which was fair before, in order to justify the measures of their masters.

In this light I must look upon the performance of your correspondent Cleophas, jun. in your paper of to-day.

His assertion, 'that the duke of Grafton assured sir Jeffery Amherst that general Gage should be recalled, if sir Teffery chose to go to his government' is an absolute falsity; for (and I speak from very good authority) the matter of the chief command by the duke of Grafton or any of his col- dence, if you have any. leagues. Had it been so lord Hillsborough drove his Lordship to the mean and paltry art of employing some of his nameless dependants to throw out insinuations, which he knows to be false, yet, judging from the general run of mankind, fatters himself that at least part of them will be believed.

My design being only to set the puplic right in regard to the assertion of sir Jeffery Amherst's being offered the chief command of the troops, which, in truth, never happart of your correspondent's letter; but leave him and his bungling patrons to find in the list of the army an officer so fit as sir Jeffery Amherst to deal with the refractory colonists.

L. L.

LETTER XXXIX.

For the Public Advertiser.

7 Sept. 1768.

Ouid enim est minus, non dicovoratoris, sed hominis, quam id objicere adversario, quod ille si verbo negarit, longius progredi non possit aur objeccrit?

TO THE EARL OF HILLSBOROUGH. My LORD.

THE bare assertion of a falsehood requires nothing more than a determined countenance. To maintain a consistent falsehood not only demands a genius of invention, but a faithful memory. In your Lordship's letter, signed Cleophas, jun., you are pleased to assert, that the duke of Grafton offered to recall general Gage in order that sir Teffery Amherst might retuin to America with the chief command of the king's forces. Now, my Lord, I absolutely deny the fact, and as the public will not expect me to prove a negative, I shall leave of the troops never was mentioned, either it to your Lordship to produce your evi-

Really, my good Lord, your letters upon in going his rounds (his Lordship under- business are drawn up with very little caustands me) would not have failed to have tion. In one article you tell us that the expatiated fully thereon; but the letters of chief command in America was offered to your masterly correspondent Lucius have sir Jeffery Amherst, and, in the next, that he has been discovered for some time past to entertain a strong partiality for the refractory colonists. If both these facts were true, what an opinion must we conceive of a ministry careless and imprudent enough to intrust a man so biassed with such a command! You see, my Lord, to what an unfortunate dilemma you have reduced yourself by a weak inconsistent defence. The rage of writing letters has brought pened, I shall take no notice of the other many a wiser minister than your Lordship to an untimely end.

> You seem determined, my Lord, to go through the family of Cleophas. Be it so. If your pedigree extended from Denbigh to St David's, I would not cease to pursue you from father to son, until I had fairly extirpated the whole family.

> > LUCIUS.

LETTER XL.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 7 September, 1768. As I have not the least intention such pests of society are no more.

tion to snarl at.

dispute with this fellow, yet I cannot help have remained at Coventry. making a few observations on his letter.

promised to lord Boutetort in case he did not. I can likewise believe : and this might have been four, or even fourteen, days, for aught I know, before it was mentioned: but pray where is the harm in all this? I fancy no measure of government is entered into immediately on its being mentioned: to enter into any dispute with Lucius, in- it requires some time to digest. And when dulge me but this once, and give me leave it was judged expedient, in consequence of to assure you it shall be the last on the the accounts from that province, to send subject from me; and though this man the governor-general to reside in Virginia, writes so ungenteelly, that he scarce de- it was mentioned in the tenderest manner serves an answer, yet I could not help to sir Jeffery. No affront was ever intended. thinking this much necessary in justice to a Any recompence (if he did not choose to nobleman, whom he has most shamefully go) in the power of administration, or in attacked in consequence of my letter, but the gift of majesty, was offered him. What whose character is above the reach of more could he expect? He had it in his malice, and who will be respected when option to go or not; and if he did not go, he was promised an equivalent, perhaps The account I sent you relative to the more. As soon as this measure was surresignation of sir Jeffery Amherst I had mised, was there any harm in lord Bouteheard publicly talked of at table, and in tort's application? Was there any fault in a coffee-house; it was told as no secret; lord Hillsborough's promising his interest but was said to be from very good authority. for his friend? But is this an absolute I sent it as a piece of intelligence without appointment? No. All the world knows either adding or diminishing. I made no applications are made long enough before comment on it as I intended no offence, vacancies happen, and preferments are Facts were stated as they were told, and as promised; but everybody, except Lucius, no dates were mentioned. I gave none. I can make a distinction between a promise left it to the public to form opinions as they and an absolute appointment. I dare say pleased; to sir Jeffery Amherst's friends to there were applications from more than one contradict it, if they thought proper; and quarter before the late archbishop died; it has served as a bone for curs of opposi- and probably it was promised before the event happened; but if the see had not be-Though I do not mean to enter into any come vacant, the present archbishop might

But speak out, malevolence, speak, envy, That the government of Virginia was given disappointment, and ill-nature. What in away four days before the intention of the name of goodness could be sir Jeffery administration was mentioned to sir Jeffery Amherst's objection to lord Boutetort? Amherst, I have good ground to believe is Was it because he is a nobleman? Benot fact: and if you, Lucius, possessed but cause he has gone to the chapel at St one grain of honesty, and if you had no James's, and has carried the sword of state other intention but to communicate useful before his king? Because he never has ininformation to the public, you would have sulted majesty, but has always behaved told them so: that it was applied for even himself as a dutiful and loyal subject, and as soon as it was whispered that such a respectfully to his sovereign? Are these measure was to be adopted, upon the sup- the weighty motives for objecting to his position that sir Jeffery Amherst would not succession? Or is it still a greater crime choose to reside, I can believe: that it was to be poor? And do these make it an

to recommend without your permission!

Demands, you say, are unfit to be used from subjects requesting of the crown. Indeed, Lucius, you are right; but many subjects now-a-days forget that they are so! acknowledge these articles of accommoda- less honourable than either place or pension. tion sent to the duke of Grafton by sir Tefpretty peremptory ones too.

It is strange, Lucius, that you cannot made your remarks upon the duke of Grafton's answer to the first article without abusing his Grace, it would have been genteel: but the scurrilous language you use, even when your arguments are just, proves that you are equally unacquainted with the gentleman, and sense of honour. I believe it is well known that no commander-in-chief ever made less during a long war than sir Jeffery Amherst did: and I am very sorry indeed that want of fortune, the consequence of honesty and integrity, should ever be assigned as a reason to refuse honours to those who deserve true.

non-resident governor. The very word im- mankind. plies a necessity of doing something; in fact he does nothing: he therefore is paid avowed. It seems the poor gentleman

affront, not an injury? Forbid it, heaven! for what he does not, though it is his duty Forbid it, sir Jeffery Amherst's better ge- to do it. In short he is paid for a neglect nius! What would you have had, Lucius? of duty; but because our language has not Would you have wished to have had the annexed the word pension to such neglect. naming of sir Jeffery's successor? What a it does not grate his ears. And, after all. pity you had not! I declare you deserved what was sir Jeffery Amherst but a penit! How could my lord Hillsborough dare sioner of the colony of Virginia? he did nothing for it, and was paid. Our idea of a pension is a reward granted for past services, so was his-such as you, Lucius, such tools of opposition, such state incendiaries, venal mercenary wretches, are glad and call them by what name you please, I to receive rewards of your labours infinitely

The duke of Grafton's other answers fery Amherst, or said to be sent, answer were unexceptionable. As to the regiments exactly to the ideas I have of demands, and being given away, I did not know it, therefore I am excusable.

And now, Mr Lucius, I'll tell you a write one line without abuse. Had you secret. Your supposing my letter to come from my lord Hillsborough, in my opinion did credit to the performance, and honour to me: but in justice to him I must declare. that I am not, know not, never saw, nor never spoke to the earl of Hillsborough in my life-but just as formerly, I am, &c.,

CLEOPHAS.

LETTER XLI.

TO THE EARL OF HILLSBOROUGH.

My Lord, 9 September, 1768.

IT is indifferent to the public, whethem. The honours of this country, and ther the letters signed Cleophas are written its treasures to support them thave often by your Lordship, or under your immebeen lavished on many who deserved them diate direction. Whoever commits this less than the conqueror of America. This humble, begging language to paper, we I think was the only exceptionable answer know to a certainty the person by whom it from the duke of Grafton. I hope it is not is held. We know the suppliant style your Lordship has condescended to adopt at Whatever delicate feelings you, Mr Lu-routs, at tea-tables, and in bankers' shops. cius, may have, I know not; but I am of But although you have changed your tone, opinion that sinecure places, non-resident I am bound in honour not to give you governments, and pensions, are in fact the quarter. You have offended heinously same, though different in names: nay, the against your country, and public justice worst of the whole appears to me to be a demands an example for the welfare of

I foresaw Cleophas would soon be dis-

is a good one.

restore you to your good fame. peace of mind is gone for ever.

After the particulars quoted by Cleophas, facts with precision, and marked the dates Cleophas (alias your Lordship) says he has I am sure you will be silent. good ground to believe that the government I. A. was apprised of it:—he believes indeed that it was previously applied for, and that lord Boutetort had a conditional promise of it. These, it seems, are the articles of some merit in sacrificing our understanding. I presume the public is not obliged to conform to them. My questions were put not, are often applied for and promised before they are vacant; but I did not expect which sent him to Virginia? to hear so indecent a case supposed and urged by a man in your Lordship's station, as that the see of Canterbury was promised to another, before the death of the late pious and truly reverend incumbent.

You say that government was ready to make sir J. A. any recompence: yet, ex- pecuniary value of his government. every one of his requests was flatly denied.

never saw, nor spoke to your Lordship in any fault in that. What is this but crying his life. but just as formerly. The saving peccavi, in the very language of misery and despair? It neither suits the spirit which You say your character is above the can do wrong with firmness, nor that purity reach of malice. True, my Lord, you have of innocence which is conscious of having fixed that reproach upon your character done right. If the necessity of sending to which malice can add nothing. You over a governor to Virginia had really exsay it will be respected when such pests of isted, and if your Lordship had thought society as I am are no more. I agree with proper to take an early opportunity of you that it is very little respected at present, stating that necessity to sir J. A .- if you and I believe I may unluckily have been had previously apprised him of the design the spoil of good company; but I doubt of giving him a successor, and if, in conwhether my death, or even your own, will formity to such declarations, a man of Your business, of judgment, or activity, had been fixed on, you surely could not have paid too great an attention to sir J. A., and you it looks like triffing with the public, to con- would have prevented every possible apfess that his accounts were collected in a pearance of an intention to affront him. coffee-house, and that he will neither an- As to the pecuniary injury, I will venture swer for facts nor be directed by dates. to say, there is not a man breathing who These are evasions which I scorn to imitate. would have been more easily satisfied in My authority is indisputable; - I have stated that respect than sir J. A. - Compare this supposition with your real proceedings toby which I shall invariably abide, yet wards him, and though you cannot blush,

Your questions in favour of lord Boutewas not given away four days before sir tort amount to nothing. It is not that he is a bad man, or an undutiful subject. But he is a trifling character and ruined in his fortunes. Poverty of itself is certainly not a crime. Yet the prodigality which squanhis creed; but, as they are not points of ders a fair estate, is in the first instance religious faith, to which there might be dishonourable; -in the next it leads to every species of meanness and dependence. and, when it aims at a recovery at the expense of beller men, becomes highly crimstrictly to points of fact and time, and have inal. Will your Lordship, can you, with a not yet been answered. Places, I doubt steady countenance, affirm that it was the necessities of the state, and not his own,

Your Lordship may give what name you think proper to the requests proposed by sir J. A. He was desired to specify them to the duke of Grafton, and they were refused. It is true, he did not confine himself to the idea of a bare equivalent for the cepting a grant of lands in a wilderness, generous mind, offended by an insult equally signal and unprovoked, looks back You ask if there was any harm in this, or to services long neglected, and with justice unites the claim arising from those services to the insult, which of right demands a signal reparation.

As you seem, in the duke of Grafton's answer to the first article, to feel and acknowledge your weakness, I shall not press you further upon it.

descend to a dispute about words. I speak your situation. to things. If, instead of the government of Virginia, his late Majesty, on the surrender of Louisburgh, had thought proper to give sir J. A. a pension, and if this had been the declared motive of giving it, he might have accepted it without scruple. and held it with honour. Instances of pensions so bestowed are not very frequent. Sir Edward Hawke's is one. How widely different is the case in question! I will not pretend to do justice to this good man's delicacy and sense of honour; but I can easily conceive how a man of common spirit must be affected, when a place which he possessed on the most honourable terms, is taken from him, without even the decency due to a gentleman; ---- when he sees it given to a needy court dependant. and when the only reparation offered him. is to enroll him in a list of pensioners, among whom an honest man would blush to see his name. If you had not been in such haste to correct the blut ders of Mr Pitt's administration, I think your insignificant friend might have appeared in that list without any disgrace to himself, and his distresses might have done credit to the humanity of your Lordship's recommendation.

You did not know that the 15th regiment was given to Colonel Hotham,-Yet your assertion was direct. For shame, my Lord, have done with these evasions. Pownal1 hangs his head in perfect modesty, and even your fidus Achates, your unfortunate Barrington, disowns you.

I shall conclude with hinting to you (in a way which you alone will understand) that there is a part of my behaviour to you, for which you owe me some acknowledgment. I know the ostensible defence you have given to the public differs widely from the real one intrusted privately to your friends. The pensions given by the crown have You are sensible that the most distant inbeen so scandalously prostituted, that a sinuation of what that defence is would man of any nicety might well be forgiven, ruin you at once. But I am a man of if he wished not to have the title of pen-honour, and will neither take advantage of sioner added to his name. But I shall not your imprudence, nor of the difficulty of

LUCIUS.

LETTER XLII.

Plerisque moris est, prolato rerum ordine, in aliquem lætum atque plausibilem locum quam maxime possint favor abiliter excurrere. OUINTILIAN.

TO THE EARL OF HILLSBOROUGH.

MY LORD, 10 September, 1768.

Your change of title makes no alteration in the merits of your cause. You argued as well, and were full as honest a man, under the character of Cleophas, as you are under that of Scrutator. The task of pursuing falsehood through a labyrinth of nonsense is, I confess, much heavier than I expected. You have a way with you, my Lord, which blunts the edge of attention, and sets all argument at defiance. But I hold myself engaged to the public, whose cause is united with that of sir Jeffery Amherst. The people of this country feel as they ought to do your treatment of a man who has served them well; and the time may come, my Lord, when you in your turn may feel the effects of their resent-

You set out with asserting, that the crown has an indisputable power of dismissing its officers without assigning a cause. —Not quite indisputable, my Lord :—for I have heard of addresses from parliament, to know who advised the dismission of particular officers. I have heard of impeachments attending a wanton exertion of the

Secretary to the Board of Trade,-EDIT.

prerogative, and you perhaps may live to cessors, if taken generally, is false. There hear of them likewise.

or. The proposition that ministers are not urgent, or important. bound by the engagements of their prede-

The reference is to the letter signed Scrutator, in which the writer observes as follows in respect to the subject in question:—'An absurd promise is asserted to have been made to sir Jeffery Amherst at the time of his appointment jenery amners at the time of his appointment to the government of Virginia, that his attendance on his government should never be required; and a torrent of obloquy has been poured upon lord Hulsborough for not keeping a promise, which it is not even insimuated that his Lordship ever made. I can scarce think that any man could have been so infatuated as, at any time, to make such a preposterous promise,

a promise in itself void by a settled maxim of law, as repugnant to the grant.—But if any man could be so infantinely weak, it is his business alone to answer for the breach. - EDIT.

² Mr Pitt was at this time lord privy seal with

the title of lord Chatham .- EDIT. 3 Scrutator concludes his letter in the follow-

ing words :-Our vigilant minister is vehemently exclaimed against, because he showed himself prepared on

is no breach of public faith which may not Another assertion of the same sort has be justified on such a principle. Treaties been thrown out by your emissaries, and is at this rate may be violated without national now gravely maintained by your Lordship, dishonour, and the most solemn assertions -viz. that the promise conveyed to sir J. from the throne contradicted without re-A. by Mr Pitt was in itself an absurdity, serve. You forget that you are mixing the and that no succeeding minister is bound permanent dignity of the crown with the to make good an engagement entered into fluctuating interests and views of its servby his predecessor in office. I shall leave ants. Yet I shall now allow you more, my my Lord Privy Seal to explain to you the Lord, than I believe you expect. I shall motives on which Mr Pitt acted.2 The admit, without hesitation, that the promise promise arose from his own motion, and if made to sir J. A. could not be so absolute, he has not spirit enough to maintain it, he as not to be revocable in a case of urgent deserves the contempt with which you treat necessity. If such a case had been stated, him. In the mean time, I shall presume and demonstrated to sir I. A., he would not that a lieutenant-governor was then thought have staid to be solicited. He would either as efficient an officer as a governor, and have gone himself, or cheerfully resigned that this post was bestowed on sir J. A. his government to his Majesty's disposal. not as the salary of future duties, but as the The question turns then upon the degree reward of services already performed. In the of that necessity. Make it evident to the second part of your assertion, you wilfully public, and I shall then only complain that confound the general measures of govern- you have done a right thing in a manner ment with the particular promise of a king the most indecent and absurd. You will made to an individual. Even ministers, my remember, my Lord, how much the issue Lord, might, without any injury to their of this question depends upon lord Boutecharacters, preserve the faith and integrity tort's character, for the public will not of their office. But whatever latitude they easily be persuaded, that a conjuncture may claim for themselves, the honour of a which did not rise beyond the level of lord king ought to be sacred, even to his success- Boutetort's abilities, could be difficult,

> the instant, to supply the vacant place of the recreant knight. According to the ideas of the politicians of the bon ton, who always substitute personal to national considerations, there ought to have been a decent interval allowed either for the gentleman to repent, or for us, like fashion-able widows, to mourn, before a successor were appointed in his room—though in that interval the colony should be lost .- I honour lord Hillsborough for having his man ready, ready not only for his place, but for the province; ready not only to kiss hands, but to take his passage. And from the watchful activity his Lordship has exerted in every known instance in his arduous employment, I have not the least doubt but that if lord Boutetort had either refused to go, or on any pretext delayed his departure, lord Hillsborough had still some third man in his eye, who would have made ample amends for the deficiencies of both.

> 'I wish this may prove a lesson to all future ministers of state, to keep a tight rein upon all officers in their departments, lest any one should cry out and affect to be surprised, when suddenly called upon to do his duty, as he prizes his salary.'-EDIT.

are universally admitted-a gratis dictum against him? which I flatly deny. If, instead of wandering into wild declamation, you had found tleman to endeavour to amuse the public it convenient to answer my questions with idle declamations, while such questions strictly, we should have joined issue upon our facts, and the point would long since have been determined. Permit me to refresh your memory with some of them once more.

- I. Was not lord Boutetort absolutely appointed on the arst of July?
- 2. Was it mentioned in any shape to sir Jeffery Amherst before the 4th of August?
- 2. Was it not then mentioned as a measure in contemplation only?
- next morning, that is, Friday the 5th in-
- 5. Did not sir Jeffery Amherst's opinion in council defeat an American scheme formed by you and lord Barrington, and is
- There were several replies to this letter. One by an Independent Country Gentleman just arrived in town, and dated from the Bell Inn, and another, signed Chrononhotonthologos, seem to have obtained some attention from the public, and the latter especially, in consequence of the writer's having discovered that Lucius had made a mistake, not in the facts of the transaction, but in one of the dates, by asserting that sir Jeffery Amherst came to town on Thursday, August 4th, instead of one week earlier, Thursday, July 28. Both these letters were re-plied to with much spirit by the following, signed Corrector.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC

ADVERTISER. 14 September, 1768.

I AM not surprised to find the tools of power alarmed at the sensible, pointed, and masterly letters of your correspondent Lucius; but the little arts they have as yet used to baffle his arguments, have only served to expose their own weakness. I hope the gentleman at the Bell Inn took the opportunity of a dry day to get to town for further information; for in good truth, if he is still siorm-staid by the rainy weather, he had much better smoke a pipe with Boniface his landlord, than trouble the public with nods, for such I call his answers to the queries of

My troubling you at present is not to answer such a driveller; but on reading this morning the letter in your paper, signed with the long name, I found that, at last, Mr Lucius was catched. Your correspondent however deals appointment of lord Boutetort, that he thought very tenderly with him, being sensible, I sup-himself grossly affronted. CORRECTOR.—EDIT.

You say the facts on which you reason not this the true cause of your rancour

It is unworthy of the character of a genas these remain unanswered.

LUCIUS,1

LETTER XLIII.

TO THE EARL OF HILLSBOROUGH.

MY LORD, 15 September, 1768.

THERE is no surer sign of a weak head than a settled depravity of heart. A base action is a disorder of the mind, and 4. Did not lord Boutetort kiss hands next to the folly of doing it, is the folly which defends it. Had the letter signed Lucius never been answered, you would not have so shamefully betrayed the weakness of your cause, and your silence might have been interpreted into a consciousness of

> pose, of the ticklishness of the ground. As an admirer of the spirit of Lucius, and being thoroughly acquainted with the times and circumstances in dispute, allow me to give the true edition, by which it will appear that Mr Chrononhotonthologos does not mend the matter by his wonderful discovery.

Lucius begins on Thursday, the 4th of August, whereas in truth it was on Thursday, the 28th of July, that sir Jeffery Amherst came to town, and finding that lord Hillsborough had been at his house, he immediately walter units when he had the first intimation of his affair, lord Hillsborough's letter having been sent to sir Lafferv's house in the country. The very next house, he immediately waited on his Lordship, Jeffery's house in the country. The very next day, viz. Friday, the 29th, lord Boutetort kissed hands on his appointment to that government, which the day before had been offered to sir Jeffery; and on the 30th sir Jeffery sent the requests in writing to the duke of Grafton which have been by the ministerial hirelings termed demands, and which have not been fairly represented. Sir Jeffery did not fix on the coal mines as the only grant, but left it to administration to give that, or any other which might be more convenient, to enable him to support the dignity he requested; nor did he ask for a separate grant of lands as has been asserted. That sir Jeffery Amherst speaks of lord Hillsborough in terms like a gentleman I can easily believe, as he is not capable of acting otherwise to a nobleman who has the honour of being one of his Majesty's servants; but that he was pleased at the treatment he received, I absolutely deny, as it must be evident to the world, from what followed the such a measure was in contemplation; but ors) you tell them, far from naming his successor, you did not Yet lord Boutetort kissed hands the next covery. morning (Friday), and the first notice sir appointment, was by an express sent to else do you think was meant by it? him that evening by his brother.

Few men understand the little morals not prove it so? better, or observe the great ones less, than your Lordship. You can bow and smile in ment.' an honest man's face, while you pick his fully could be proposed by it? pocket. These are the virtues of a court in lected. In any other school you might favourite.

vour first experiment has been unfortunate. desire the governors to prevail with their Your circular letter to the American go- assemblies to take no notice of the requisivernors, both for matter and composition, tion from Boston, which will be treating it is a performance which a school-boy ought with the contempt it deserves. What, my to blush for. The importance and diffi- Lord, do you seriously think, that a formal culty of the occasion gave you a fair oppor- attempt to unite the whole continent of tunity of showing by what talents you were America in rebellion against this country qualified for the station of a minister. The deserves nothing but the silent indifference assembly of Massachusets' Bay, not con- of contempt? Is this the language of busitented with their own efforts to throw off ness or attention? Your letter, my Lord, their allegiance, solicit the other colonies to does indeed deserve contempt, but the unite with them in measures of the same enterprises of the colonies are of other im-

innocence. The question is now exhausted, tendency and spirit. A resolution of this for the public is convinced. How well or extraordinary nature demanded the whole ill we have argued is of infinitely less im- attention of government, and yours in parportance than the integrity of facts. Yet ticular. Let us see how you have treated even facts, though separately true, will it. Instead of a clear precise instruction to prove nothing, if the order in which they each governor; instead of separate inhappened be confounded. Take it finally, structions adapted to the temper, circummy Lord, and disprove it if you can. Lord stances, and interests of the several pro-Boutetort's appointment was fixed on or vinces, wherein you might have shown your before Sunday. You called at sir Jeffery political abilities as well as your knowledge Amherst's on the Wednesday following, of that country, what have you done? In a He was not in town, but you saw him next circular letter of twenty or thirty lines (conday (Thursday). You then told him that ceived in the same terms to all the govern-

'That this measure is of a dangerous and tell him that his successor was appointed. factious tendency.' A most wonderful dis-

'That it is calculated to inflame the Jeffery Amherst received of his Lordship's minds of his Majesty's subjects.' What

'An unwarrantable combination.' That's That you are a civil, polite person is true. the question with THEM, and why did you

> 'That it excites an opposition to parlia-What other design in the name of

'That it subverts the true principles of which your education has not been neg- the constitution.' Which they utterly deny. What are these but the loose hackneved have learned that simplicity and integrity terms of office, which make no impression are worth them all. Sir Jeffery Amherst because they convey no argument and was fighting the battles of this country, hardly a determinate meaning. You have while you, my Lord, the darling child of not suggested a single motive to any one of prudence and urbanity, were practising the the colonies, why they should not unite generous arts of a courtier, and securing an with the assembly of Boston. This task honourable interest in the antechamber of a you leave the the governors, and if they find it an easy one, so much the better. Your As a man of abilities for public business, conclusion however is a masterpiece. You

portance. They call for other measures valuable acquaintance. Mr Ford is the and other ministers, and be assured that, this country with impunity.

LUCIUS.

- P. S. A friend of mine has taken the scension.
 - Wretched scribbler.
 - 2. Worthless fellow.
 - 2. Vile incendiary.
 - 4. False liar, in opposition to a true one.
 - Snarler.
 - Contemptible thing.
- 7. Abandoned tool of opposition, and diabolical miscreant.
 - 8. Impudent scurrilous wretch.
 - o. Rascal and scoundrel, passim.
- 10. Barking cur by way of distinction from
- 11. Barking animal; cum multis aliis. To all which I shall only say, that his Lordship's arguments are upon a level with his politeness.
- P. S. I acknowledge a mistake the moment I perceive it. I have advanced the transaction between lord Hillsborough and sir Jeffery Amherst too forward by one complete week. But the days of the week, the facts, and the order in which they succeeded one another, are the same. You see plainly that my arguments are not affected by this mistake. If they had, I should have acknowledged it without hesitation.

LETTER XLIV.

TO THE EARL OF HILLSBOROUGH. MY LORD. 20 September, 1768.

gentleman I mean. Your Lordship will when parliament meets, unless you intend forgive the timidity and bashfulness of his to govern without one, neither you nor first address, and, considering your quality, your companions will be permitted to ruin condescend to make him some advances. There is a similarity in your circumstances. to say nothing of your virtues and understanding, which may lay the foundation of a solid friendship between you for the rest pains to collect a number of the epithets of your lives. Undoubtedly you are not with which lord Hillsborough has been quite unacquainted with a character, on pleased to honour me in the course of our which you appear to have formed your correspondence. I shall lay them before own. His case was singular, my Lord, and the public in one view, as a specimen of his cannot fail of exciting some emotions of Lordship's urbanity and singular conde-sympathy in your Lordship's breast. This worthy man found himself exposed to a most malicious prosecution for periury. A profilgate jury found him guilty, and a cruel judge pronounced his sentence of imprisonment, pillory, and transportation. His mind was a good deal distressed in the course of this affair (for he too is a man of delicate feelings), but his character, like yours, was above the reach of malice. Not to keep your Lordship any longer in pain, I have the pleasure of telling you that, when law and justice had done their worst, a lady, in whom he seldom places any confidence at cards, was generous enough to stand his friend. Fortune discovered a flaw in the indictment; and now, my Lord, in spite of an iniquitous prosecution, in spite of conviction and sentence, he stands as fair in his reputation as ever he did. Your Lordship will naturally be struck with the resemblance between your case and his. Facts were so particularly stated against you that they could not be denied ;-the order in which they happened was demonstrated, and sentence was pronounced by the public. The affair was over, when up gets Tommy Ford, and discovers that the whole transaction passed in the last week of July instead of the first in August. mistake, as it brought the object nearer to us, I called advancing. In your Lordship's country I presume it may properly be called a retreat. Here, however, the comparison PERMIT me to have the honour of ends. Your friend escaped by a form of introducing you to a very amiable and law. But you, my Lord, have been tried at a tribunal of honour and equity. The pub- ments did not exceed two thousand three lic who are your judges, will not suffer my mistake (however it may prove the badness of my heart to acknowledge it) to quash the indictment against you. You are convicted of having done a base and foolish action, in a manner the most despicable and absurd. Your punishment attends you in the contempt and detestation of mankind.

Your Lordship has been pleased to publish a long letter in the Gazetteer, to prove that all sir Jeffery Amherst's military serv ices are a mere fiction. You did not sign it indeed, because you had lately signed another, containing the most express and authentic acknowledgment of those services, in a style of applause not very distant from flattery. You will not now, it seems, allow him any share in the reduction of Louisburgh, or the conquest of Canada. Perhaps, after all, he never was in America. I am not a soldier, my Lord, nor will I pretend to determine what share of honour a general is entitled to for success, who must have borne the whole blame and disgrace, if he had failed. Had the event been unfavourable, his officers, I dare say, would have been willing enough to yield their concern in it to their commander-in-chief. As to the rest. I have heard from military men, that the judgment and capacity, which make resistance useless or impracticable, are rated much higher than even the resolution which overcomes it. When you. my Lord, and Mr Ford are forgotten, this country will remember with gratitude, that sir Jeffery Amherst had the honour of making sixteen French battalions prisoners of war-that he carried on the whole war in America at an expense less than the fortunes, which some individuals had acquired by contracts and management in Germany: - and that he did not put the savings into his own pocket.

If a British peerage be too high a reward for these services, at least do him justice. Do not assure the public that he was not contented with a revenue of four thousand pounds a year, when you know that the income of his government and two regihundred, and that, until he was positively outraged, he never complained. As I profess dealing in facts, take the account.

Government of Virginia 1500 Fifteenth regiment 600 Commandant of the 60th 200

2300

As to a peerage, you would have done well to consider upon what sort of people this honour has been conferred for ten years past. Among the rest, we should be glad to know what were your Lordship's services or merits, when you were created Baron of Harwich. I take for granted that they were of a different complexion from those of sir J. A., since they have been so differently rewarded.

Here I shall conclude. You have sent sir Jeffery Amherst to the plough. You have left him poor in every article of which a false fawning minister could deprive him; -but you have left him rich in the esteem. the love and veneration of his country. You cannot now recall him by any offer of wealth or honours. Yet I foretell that a time will come, when you yourself will be the cause of his return. Proceed, my Lord, as you have begun, and you will soon reduce this country to an extremity, in which the wisest and best subjects must be called upon, and must be employed. Till then enjoy your triumph.

LUCIUS.

LETTER XLV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 6 October, 1768.

SINCE my last letter was printed.1 a question has been stated in the newspapers, which I think it incumbent upon me, as an honest man, to answer. Admitting my representation of the melancholy tate of this country, and of public credit,

¹ Miscellaneous Letter, No. XXXIII.—EDIT.

traitor would withdraw the veil, which sion. . covered the nakedness of his country. But examine his accounts.

our natural enemy strong enough not only ment. to elude a material article of treaty. 1 but to restlessness, I cannot doubt of their taking the first opportunity to recover their lost honour, by a fresh declaration of war. On the other hand, considering the hostile temper of the colonies towards us, the oppresssible relief), and, above all, the misery,

to be strictly true, 'what good purpose can weakness, and distraction of our futerior it answer to discover such truths, and to lay government, I cannot have a doubt that our our weakness open to the world?' One enemies now have, or in a very little time would think such a question hardly wanted will have, the fairest opportunity they can a reply. If a real misfortune were lessened wish for to force us into a war. The conby concealment;-if, by shutting our eyes clusion, to be drawn from these premises. to our weakness, we could give our enemies is obvious. It amounts to a moral certainty, an opinion of our strength, none but a and leaves no room for hope or apprehen-

To these, which are the most important if the contrary be true; if concealment circumstances of our situation, may well be serves only to nourish and increase the mis- added the high price of labour, the decay chief, the conclusion is direct. A good of trade, and the ruinous system on which subject will endeavour to rouse the atten- it is conducted. Every minuter article tion of his country ;-he will give the alarm, conspires against us. The deficiency of the and point out the danger, against which civil list must be paid, and cannot be paid she ought to provide. The policy of con- with less then seven hundred thousand cealment is no better than the wisdom of a pounds. The India Company will yield to prodigal, who wastes his estate without re- no terms, which are not founded on an exflection, and has not courage enough to press acknowledgment of their exclusive property in their conquests in Asia. How In my last letter, I foretold the great fall far their pretensions are just is at least a of the stocks, which has since happened, doubtful question. Whether parliament and I now do not scruple to foretell that they will divest them of this property, by a mere must and will fall much lower. Yet I am declaratory law, is a matter of the most not moved by the arts of stockjobbers, or important consideration. It would be a by temporary rumours, magnified, if not dreadful precedent because it would shake created, for particular purposes in the alley, every security of private property. Yet. These artifices are directed to maintain a even if that were determined, another fluctuation, not a continued fall. The question remains full of difficulty and danprinciples on which my reasoning is found-ger;-that is, in what manner the public ed, are taken generally from the state of will avail themselves of this great right, France and of this country. When I see decided by nothing but a vote of parlia-

Sir, I am not affected by the rumours of set us at defiance while they conquer a the day. If the stocks rise or fall upon a kingdom; 2 and when I combine this ap- report of tranquillity or tumult at Boston, 3 I pearance of strength with their natural am satisfied that it is owing to the arts and management of stockjobbers. But I see the spirit which has gone abroad through the colonies, and I know what consequences that spirit must and will produce. If it be determined to enforce the authority of the ive weight of a monstrous debt (to which legislature, the event will be uncertain : but a peace of six years has scarce given a sen- if we yield to the pretensions of America, there is no further doubt about the matter. From that moment they become an independent people, they open their trade with

¹ His Most Catholic Majesty, being a branch of the Bourbon dynasty—in the refusal of his ministers to discharge the Manilla ransom,— Edit.

² Corsica.—EDIT.

³ See note to Letter XXXIX., p. 240 .- EDIT.

done.

of a great and able minister might do much. His earliest care, I am persuaded, would alarm and expense of a rupture with France. a security from them, that they never would similar occasions. advance beyond the line then drawn, upon of his side.

of, while we are governed as we are. I would not descend to a reproachful word against men, whose persons I hardly know; but it is impossible for an honest man to behold the circumstances, to which a weak, distracted administration has reduced us, approaching ruin of Great Britain.

ATTICUS.

LETTER XLVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, 12 October, 1768.

I BELIEVE one may challenge any time or country to produce more noble instances of a free and manly spirit, than have appeared in several of your late correspondents. Without direction, without information, without promise or hope of reward, without personal friendship, favour, or acquaintance, several heroes of the pen have boldly stood forth and generously dared to defend a great minister of state, although in the plenitude of his power, and

the rest of the world, and England is un-invested with the patronage to an infinite number of lucrative offices. This, I say, is In these circumstances, calamitous as true virtue; and this virtue your correthey are, I yet think the uniform direction spondents, with various hard names, have solemnly assured us they possess.

They have demonstrated, to the satisfacbe to provide a fund to support the first tion of the public, against the calumnies of a dull writer, called Lucius, that every part If prepared to meet a war, he might per- of the late conduct of lord Hillsborough haps avoid it. His next object would be to with regard to sir I. Amherst is just what it form a plan or agreement with the colonies. ought to have been; nothing ill-intentioned. He would consent to yield some ground to nothing either deficient or redundant: and the Americans, if it were possible to receive that it may well serve for a pattern upon all

However, it sometimes happens a little conditions mutually agreed on. By an perversely, that the very best actions have equitable offer of this kind, he would cer- every now and then consequences that are tainly unite this country in the support of somewhat odd-I do not say absolutely his measures, and I am persuaded he would bad; but only a little untoward. Thus have the reasonable part of the Americans though lord Hillsborough has done his duty to a miracle in all parts of this business, and These, Sir, unfortunately for us, are views that his character comes like gold out of the too high and important even to be thought furnace of this fierce contest; yet so it happens, that the event, and the sole event, of all this upright intention and wise action is, that the nation has at a critical time lost to her service sir J. Amherst; and has gained to it lord Boutetort.

This is a little crooked with regard to the without feeling one pang at least for the political effect of the measure; but I hope it is set to rights by the moral consequence. Rewards and punishments are so distributed as to point out for the future, to all people in the civil or military lines, the conduct they ought to pursue, in such a manner, that it is impossible they should mistake their way. 'For sir Jeffery Amherst has lost £2,300 a year by his folly—lord Hillsborough and lord Boutetort have each acquired as much by their wisdom. I cannot forbear to congratulate the public upon all these favourable appearances.

I am, Sir, Your humble servant, TEMPORUM FELICITAS.

LETTER XLVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

15 October, 1768.

ceits that ever entered into the brain of a are we to sink into a lethargic stupidity, is hated and distrusted; ergo, a war is improbable. But if two of them should happen to be in that unpleasant situation, the im should proceed to an inevitable conclusion. If all the ministry were separately suspected by one another (which I fancy is not far from the truth), a declaration of war would be the last thing to be expected. At this rate the peace of this country is established that not one article in this pretty syllogism latest appeal must be made to heaven. is true! I agree with your correspondent, that when a nation is governed as we are, our constant prayer should be, Give peace in the time of these ministers. O Lord! But I fear that the same reasons, which ought to keep us quiet, will operate in a contrary direction upon our enemies. I fear they only wait until the differences with our colonies and the divisions among our-

even the father of their church, who must be entirely dispossessed of his territories. unless the Protestant powers interpose in his defence. It was and ought for ever to be our policy to support this prince in his temporal power, without any regard to his Your correspondent, who calls religion. If he were a Turk, he ought to himself A Friend to Public Credit, has be protected in the possession of his domingiven us one of the most extravagant con- ions against the House of Bourbon. Or politician. He assures us that a rupture while the French conquer Corsica and overwith France or Spain is highly improbable run Italy, and sit with our arms across, because the secretary of state I for that until they thunder at our gate? There is department possesses no share in his certainly some dreadful infatuation, which master's confidence, and is in open enmity hangs over and directs the councils of this with his colleagues in office. Supposing the country. Our ministers drive us headlong argument to be just, let us see how far it to destruction, while their emissaries insult will extend. One of his Majesty's ministers us with assurances that the divisions among the king's servants form the best security of peace with our enemies. God knows. Sir. it is time to rouse and shake off this leprobability would increase, and so we thargy. It is time for parliament to interpose, if yet there be a hope of saving Great Britain. Our last constitutional resource is by their master, and reciprocally detested vested in parliament. By whose advice or neglect the French were suffered to land in Corsica should be one of the first objects of their enquiry, and whether French money has been given or received here. Every upon a foundation equally new and secure; measure of government opens an ample upon the distraction of the councils by field for a parliamentary inquisition. If which we are governed. What a pity it is this resource should fail us, our next and

BRUTUS.

LETTER XLVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, 19 October, 1768.

WE are assured by the advocates selves are arrived at a crisis, and that then of the ministry, that while lord Shelburne they will overwhelm us with an open war, is secretary of state, we can have no reason In the mean time the House of Bourbon to apprehend a rupture with France or are labouring to unite their strength, and Spain. This proposition is singular enough, to extend the bounds of their dominion, and I believe turns upon a refinement very Their insatiable ambition will not spare distant from the simplicity of common sense. But, admitting it to be self-evident, the conclusion is such as I apprehend your

I Lord Shelburne.

weeks in agitation, and is within these few his Grace to abandon him. defend.2

in their public conduct.

to suppose that young men might have wisdom without experience. They thought so themselves, and the most important it will be matter of parliamentary enquiry, affairs of this country were committed to the first trial of their abilities. His Grace had honourably flesht his maiden sword in the field of opposition, and had gone

1 Lord Shelburne resigned October 21, 1768,-

correspondent, who signs himself A Friend with credit. He dined at Wildman's. to Public Credit, did not clearly foresee, railed at favourites, looked up to lord If lord Shelburne's remaining in office con- Chatham with astonishment, and was the stitutes a security of peace, his being sud- declared advocate of Mr Wilkes. It afterdenly removed must amount to a declara- wards pleased his Grace to enter into adtion of war. Now, Sir, the fact is, that his ministration with his friend lord Rocking-Lordship's removal has been for some ham, and, in a very little time, it pleased days absolutely determined.1 If I were a accepted of the Treasury upon terms party-writer, the indiscretion of the minis- which lord Temple had disdained. Fcr a terial advocates would give me as many short time his submission to lord Chatkam advantages as even the wretched conduct was unlimited. He could not answer a of the ministry themselves. But I write for private letter without lord Chatham's perthe public, and in that view hold myself far mission. I presume he was then learning above a little triumph over men, whose his trade, for he soon set up for himself. compositions are as weak as the cause they Until he declared himself the minister, his character had been but little understood. In my former letters I have given you a From that moment a system of conduct. melancholy but a true representation of the directed by passion and caprice, not only state of this country. Every packet from reminds us that he is a young man, but a America and the continent confirms it. young man without solidity or judgment. The demonstration of facts follows the One day he desponds and threatens to probability of argument, and the prediction resign. The next, he finds his blood of the present hour is the experience of the heated, and swears to his friends he is denext. If you will now permit me to offer termined to go on. In his public measures my opinion of the great persons, under we have seen no proof either of ability or whose administration we are reduced to consistency. The Stamp Act had been rethis deplorable state, the public will be pealed (no matter how unwisely) under the enabled to judge whether these are the preceding administration. The colonies men most likely to relieve us from it. The had reason to triumph, and were returning curiosity of personal malice shall make no to their good humour. The point was part of this enquiry. As public men we decided, when this young man thought have a right to be acquainted with their proper to revive it. Without either plan or real characters, because we are interested necessity, he adopts the spirit of Mr Grenville's measures, and renews the question of When the duke of Grafton first entered taxation iil a form more odious and less into office, it was the fashion of the times effectual than that of the law which had been repealed.

With respect to the invasion of Corsica,3 whether he has carried on a secret negotiation with the French court, in terms contradictory to the resolution of council, and to the instructions drawn up thereupon by through all the discipline of the minority his Majesty's secretary of state.4 If it shall

> vitation of the Genoese, the French invaded Corsica, a remonstrance was presented by the Eng-

dropped.—EDIT.

4 A motion which tended to an enquiry of this 3 See notes in p. 136. When, lupon the in- kind was made in the House of Commons by

See Private Letter, No. 5, in which the author makes a similar remark upon the writers in defence of the then administration.—Epir.

4 A motion which tended to an enquiry of this

appear that he has quitted the line of his of Great Britain is at an end.

into public notice. I will not call the highly difficult and the impossible.4 amusements of a young man criminal, minister of Great Britain.

Hans Sloane, Esq., but the uninfluenced, un-placed, unpensioned majority, thought proper to

The chancellor of the Exchequer 3 is a department to betray the honour and moderate man, and pretends to no higher security of his country, and if there be a merit than that of an humble assistant in power sufficient to protect him, in such a office. If he escapes censure, he is too case, against public justice, the constitution prudent to aim at applause. The necessity of his affairs had separated him from earlier His standing foremost in the persecution friendships and connexions, and if he were of Mr Wilkes, if former declarations and of any consequence, we might lament that connexions be considered, is base and an honest man should find it necessary to contemptible.1 The man whom he now disgrace himself in a post he is utterly unbrands with treason and blasphemy, but a fit for. But we have other objects to attend very few years ago was the duke of Graf- to. It depends greatly upon the present ton's friend, nor is his identity altered, management of the finances, whether this except by his misfortunes. - In the last country shall stand or fall. A common instance of his Grace's judgment and con-clerk in office may conduct the ordinary sistency, we see him, after trying and supplies of the year, but to give a sensible deserting every party, throw himself into relief to public credit, or to provide funds the arms of a set of men, whose political against a rupture abroad, are objects above principles he had always pretended to him. To remove those oppressions which abhor. These men I doubt not will teach lie heaviest upon trade, and, by the same him the folly of his conduct better than I operation, to improve the revenue, demands can. They grasp at every thing, and will a superior capacity, supported by the most soon push him from his seat. His private extensive knowledge. To vulgar minds it history would but little deserve our atten- may appear unattainable, because vulgar tion, if he had not voluntarily brought it minds make no distinction between the

The earl of Hillsborough 5 set out with though I think they become his age better a determined attachment to the court than his station. There is a period at party, let who would be minister. He had which the most unruly passions are grati- one vice less than other courtiers, for he fied or exhausted, and which leaves the never-even pretended to be a patriot. The mind clear and undisturbed in its attention Oxford election gave him an opportunity of to business. His Grace's gallantry would showing some skill in parliamentary manbe offended if we were to suppose him agement, while an uniform obsequious subwithin many years of being thus qualified mission to his superiors introduced him for public affairs. As for the rest, making into lucrative places, and crowned his every allowance for the frailty of human ambition with a peerage. He is now what nature, I can make none for a continued they call a king's man; ready as the closet breach of public decorum; 2 nor can I be- directs, to be any thing or nothing, but lieve that man very zealous for the interest always glad to be employed. A new deof his country, who sets her opinion at partment, created on purpose for him, defiance. This nobleman, however, has attracted a greater expectation than he has one claim to respect, since it has pleased yet been able to support. In his first act of our gracious sovereign to make him prime power he has betrayed a most miserable want of judgment. A provision for lord

³ Lord North .- EDIT.

⁴ See lord North's talents further discussed in put a negative upon it.

Letter XXXIX., where the writer does not appear to entertain a much higher opinion of them than in his present address.—EDIT.

Minister for the colonial department.—EDIT Letter XXXIX., where the writer does not appear to entertain a much higher opinion of

Americans are not to be conciliated by a now, language, which only contradicts without attempting to persuade. His correspondence, upon the whole, is so defective both in design and composition, that it would deserve our pity, if the consequences to be dreaded from it did not excite our indignation. This treatment of the colonies, added to his refusal to present a petition from one of them to the king (a direct breach of the declaration of rights), will naturally throw them all into a flame. I protest, Sir. I am astonished at the infatuation which seems to have directed his whole conduct. The other ministers were proceeding in their usual course, without foreseeing or regarding consequences; but this nobleman seems to have marked out, by a determined choice, the means to precipitate our destruction.

The earl of Shelburne had initiated himself in business, by carrying messages between the earl of Bute and Mr Fox, and was for some time a favourite with both. Before he was an ensign he thought himself which he may not find a precedent and fit to be a general, and to be a leading justification in his former conduct. minister before he ever saw a public office. The life of this young man is a satire on say, but it were inhuman to persecute, when mankind. The treachery which deserts a Providence has marked out the example to friend, might be a virtue, compared to the mankind!1

* Milton, Paradise Lost, ii.—EDIT.

Boutetort was not an object of importance fawning baseness which attaches itself to a sufficient to justify a risk of the first impres- declared enemy. Lord Chatham became sion which a new minister must give of his idol, introduced him into the most diffihimself to the public. For my own part I cult department of the state, and left him hold him in some measure excused; be- there to shift for himself. It was a mastercause I and persuaded the defence he has piece of revenge. Unconnected, unsupdelivered privately to his friends is true, ported, he remains in office without interest 'That the measure came from another and or dignity, as if the income were an equivaa higher quarter.' But still he is the tool, lent for all loss of reputation. Without and ceasing to be criminal sinks into con-spirit or judgment to take an advantageous tempt. In his new department I am sorry moment of retiring, he submits to be into say he has shown neither abilities nor sulted, as long as he is paid for it. But good sense. His letters to the colonies even this abject conduct will avail him contain nothing but expressions equally nothing. Like his great archetype, the loose and violent. The minds of the vapour on which he rose deserts him, and

> 'Fluttering his pennons vain, plumb down he drops.

> I cannot observe without reluctance, that the only man of real abilities in the present administration, is not an object either of respect or esteem. The character of the lord chancellor 2 is a strong proof that an able, consistent, judicious conduct, depends upon other qualities than those of the head. Passions and party, in his Lordship's undeistanding, had united all the extremes. They gave him to the world in one moment, the patron of natural liberty, independent of civil constitutions; in the next, the assertor of prerogative independent of law.3 How he will advise the crown in the present crisis, is of more importance to the public than to himself. His patronage of Mr Wilkes and of America, have succeeded to his wish. They have given him a peerage, a pension, and the seals; and as for his future opinions, he can adopt none for

The earl of Chatham-I had much to

² Lord Camden.-EDIT.

³ See Letter LIX.-EDIT.

⁴ Lord Chatham was at this time so severely tortured and worn away by the gout, that it was supposed he would never be able to resume an

active part in politics. His Lordship had resigned his post of lord privy seal three days previous to the date of this letter, and was succeeded in that office, on the 2nd of Nevember following, by the earl of Bristol .- EDIT.

is improved under his direction, is another ruin shall be marked out to the public. I question. His German friends will all have will not tamely submit to be sacrificed. nor regiments; and it is enough to say of his shall this country perish without-warning. Lordship that he has too much good humour to contradict the reigning minister.

The length of this letter will not permit me to do particular justice to the duke of Bedford's friends: neither is it necessary. With one united view they have but one character. My lord Gower and lord Wevmouth were distressed, and Rigby was insatiable. The school they were bred in changed by the hand that gives it.

cil, we are to prepare for a dreadful contest as an ambassador in each of them. with the colonies, and a war with the whole

My lord Granby is certainly a brave of one man will hardly be heard when the man, and a generous man, and both with- voice of truth and reason is neglected : but out design or reflection. How far the army as far as mine extends, the authors of our ATTICUS.

LETTER XLIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 26 October, 1768.

THE great abilities which have taught them how to abandon their friends, distinguished the character of the earl of without deserting their principles. There Rochford, have justly procured him the is a littleness even in their ambition; for love of his countrymen, and have entitled money is their first object. Their professed him to the favour and protection of his soopinions upon some great points are so dif- vereign; it was therefore with universal ferent from those of the party with which approbation that the public received the they are now united, that the council- promises of his advancement at this imchamber is become a scene of open hostili- portant crisis to the important office of ties. While the fate of Great Britain is at secretary of state. It was with a degree of stake, these worthy counsellors dispute hope, to which they have long been unacwithout decency, advise without sincerity, customed, that they flattered themselves resolve without decision, and leave the foreign business would now be no longer measure to be executed by the man who neglected. They had reason to expect voted against it. This, I conceive, is the much from a man to whom nature had last disorder of the state. The consultation been lavish, and whose natural talents. meets but to disagree. Opposite medicines great as they were, must have been conare prescribed, and the last fixed on is siderably augmented by a long residence and a constant attention to business in Such is the council, by which the best of courts, which are perhaps superior to all sovereigns is advised, and the greatest others in the arts and mysteries of negotianation upon earth governed. Reparately tion. It was now that they felt themselves the figures are only offensive; in a group secure in the assurance that the correspondthey are formidable. Commerce languishes, ences with the courts of Paris, Madrid, and manufactures are oppressed, and public Turin were to be carried on by a man above credit already feels her approaching dissolu- all others qualified for so arduous a task; tion: yet, under the direction of this coun- by a man who had gained great reputation

It was in vain that the enemies to admin-House of Bourbon. I am not surprised that istration endeavoured to suggest that that the generality of men should endeavour to nobleman was not singled out on account shut their eyes to this melancholy prospect. of his superior abilities, but on account of Yet I am filled with grief and indignation, his neutrality and non-attachment to any when I behold a wise and gallant people particular men or measures; it was in vain lost in a stupidity, which does not feel, that they represented his nomination as a because it will not look forward. The voice mere act of necessity, resulting from the incapacity of the leaders to promote any secretary of state, and for the northern deother without widening their bottom, which partment?2 was a measure that, above all others, they most apprehended.

These suggestions had little or no effect: they were either totally disbelieved or disregarded : the consequence was good, and the public were not at all curious to know the cause; their joy, that such a measure was to take place, was only equalled by their surprise: and as their joy proceeded from a reflection of the past, as it related to lord Rochford, they were inattentive to the present, as it related to others.

What pity it is that they were so soon disappointed, and that a joy so well founded was destined to be of so short a duration. In proportion as they were elevated with the hopes of his being taken into

YHW

LETTER L.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 27 October, 1768.

WHEN an anonymous writer tells the public, that a great minister, who happens to be his particular friend, has given him assurances of any sort, with regard to state affairs, the authority is doubly suspicious. In the first place, that such writers should have such friends is not, in the highest degree, probable. In the next. it is much to be doubted, whether ministers of state always tell the truth even to office, so are they dejected by the manner their most intimate acquaintance. I take of his appointment. The course and order for granted, the author of the letter, signed of business appears to have been violated, Plain Truth and Justice, 3 is a modest man, and that vacancy, to which his Lordship since he expects an implicit reliance on the ought to have succeeded, and which he was bare assertion of a person entirely unknown so well qualified to fill, has been suffered to us. But I fear he will find himself a to be possessed by another altogether a little disappointed, for the public is not to stranger to the principal wheels of those be imposed upon by such gross artifices. machines, which it becomes his duty to The letters, in which your correspondent Atregulate: and the abilities of the earl have ticus had foretold the decline of public credit, been as far as possible thwarted by his seemed to rest upon a very different footing. being plunged into a correspondence with He made no assertions of his own, because courts, of whose maxims and interests he is he neither required nor expected any relino better qualified to judge than any other ance on his personal credit or authority. of his Majesty's servants who would make He stated facts too notorious to be disputed, use of as much attention, and who may be and he reasoned upon them in a way, which happily endowed with as much penetration. there has you been no attempt to answer. It is now then that the public have both This is the fair ground on which his oppocause and inclination to ask a question, nents ought to meet him. Vague assertions which they before thought useless and im- have no claim to credit, and, if they had, pertinent: it is now that with horror they would amount to no proof. What ministers reflect on the intelligence communicated by are pleased to say, or what their friends say your correspondent Atticus; 1 it is now for them, is but of little moment. A man, that they tremble at the thoughts of a who in the present crisis would direct his secret negotiation with the French court in conduct upon sure grounds, ought to exrelation to Corsica; and it is now that they amine the real state of public affairs, and, ask. Why was lord Rochford appointed according as he finds them, act with pru-

See the preceding letter.—EDIT. I .- EDIT.

³ He alludes to a correspondent in the Public 2 See this subject further discussed in Letter Advertiser, who had replied to his former letter. under this signature.- EDIT.

that an artful combination in the alley, conditions. But, in the name of common may, for a short time, raise or sink the sense, what useful purpose will our submisprice of stocks a trifle. But no arts, no sion answer? Upon the repeal of the Stamp combination, can support them against the Act, our exports to America, instead of reality of national distress. The maxim doubling, as had been promised diminishholds through life. A beggar may cut a ed considerably. What are we doing then, figure for a day, but his ruin is inevitable, but surrendering the first essential rights and his creditors perish with him.

With all due respect to an anonymous as- the game is up. sertion, I should be glad to know by what sort of reasoning he would support it. Do the ministry mean to leave the debt on the civil list unpaid? I will tell him that they cannot, dare not do it. This debt amounts to above six hundred thousand pounds, and if they can pay it without money, so much the better. - Have they made any agreement with the East-India Company? No-dreams, and forget it when they wake.1

one which the Americans will accept of, cileable with that of friend to Great Britain. and that is an absolute release from all

dence for himself and his family. I know the legislature, it must be done without and principles of the constitution for the Your correspondent assures us that no sake of a bribe, of which we are cheated at money will be wanted for the ensuing year. last?-We may retire to our prayers, for

BRUTTIS

LETTER LI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 14 November, 1768.

WHEN I foretold the approach of Have they made any provision for outstand- a foreign war, the certainty of a rupture ing navy and victualling bills? I answer, with the colonies, and the decline of public they must whether they will or no.-Have credit, my opinion was chiefly founded on the Bank agreed to continue creditors for the character, circumstances, and abilities the last million they advanced to govern- of the present administration. Fortune has ment? I answer, that the Bank have no but little share in the events most interestconfidence in the present administration, ing to mankind. Individuals perish by and will not trust them. As to taking the their own imprudence, and the ruin of an four per cents, entirely out of the market, empire is no more than the misconduct of Mr Grenville, or an able financier, who a minister or a king. Without the credit possessed the confidence of the public, of personal reputation, divided as a minmight perhaps accomplish it, but it is not istry, and unsupported by talents or exan object within the reach of the present perience, his Majesty's servants had left the Treasury board. They talk of it in their field of national calamity wide open to prediction. It seems they were determined to These, Sir, are considerations independ- accomplish more than even their enemies ent of a war, which hangs over us, and of had foretold. For my own part, I am not a contest with the colonies, which in no way personally their enemy, and I could have can end favourably for this country. As to wished that their conduct had not made moderate qualifying measures, I know but the name of friend to the ministry irrecon-

The most contemptible character in prisubjection. They will reject with disdain vate life, and the most ruinous to private an offer to be represented in parliament, fortunes, is that which possesses neither because they will be independent. They judgment nor inclination to do right, nor found the effect of their last combination, resolution enough to be consistent in doing and when they demand a repeal of an act of wrong. Such a man loses all the credit of

¹ See Letter XXXIX., in which the failure by the author, and explained in a note appended of lord North to effect this object is censured to it.—Edit.

whole reproach of weak or malicious inten- be discovered something more than supinetions. In politics, there is no other min- ness in the first neglect of Corsica, and isterial character so pernicious to the hon-something worse than inconsistency in the our of a prince, or so fatal to the welfare of contradiction given to lord Rochford's a nation. It is of the highest importance spirited declaration to the court of France.2 to enquire, whether the present ministry His Grace has lately adopted the opposite deserve it.

tion was soon lost in that of the duke of short of a declaration of war. What is this Grafton. His Grace took the lead, and but the undetermined timidity of a coward, made himself answerable for the measures who trembles on the brink, until he plunges of a council, at which he was supposed to headlong into the stream. preside. He had gone as far as any man In one gazette we see sir Jeffery Amherst in support of Mr Pitt's doctrine, That par- dismissed; in the very next we see him reliament had no right to lay a tax upon stored, and both without reason or decency. America, for the sole purpose of raising a The peerage, which had been absolutely revenue. It was a doctrine on which lord refused, is granted, and as in the first in-Chatham and the chancellor1 formed their stance the royal faith was violated, in the administration, and his Grace had con- second the royal dignity is betrayed. But curred in it with all his sincerity. Yet the this perhaps is a compliment to the duke's first act of his own administration was to new friendship with the earl of Hillsborough. impose that tax upon America, which has since thrown the whole continent into a duct, I lament his fate. The duke of flame. A wise man would have let the Grafton, who contributed to his support question drop; a good man would have abroad, has given the mandate for his exfelt and adhered to the principles he pro- pulsion. But I trust there is yet a spirit, fessed. While the gentle Conway breathed which will not obey such mandates. This into his ear, he was all lenity and modera- honourable enterprise will probably be detion. The colonies were dutiful children, feated, and leave the author of it nothing and Great Britain a severe parent. A com- but a distinguished excess of infamy, the bination to ruin this country was no more last consolation of a profligate mind. than an amicable agreement, and rebellion Is it possible, Sir, that such a ministry was a natural right confirmed by the revo- can long remain united, or support themlution. But now it seems his Grace's opin- selves if they were united? The duke of ions are altered with his connexions. The Grafton, it if true, has no scruple nor demeasures of the colonies are subversive of licacy in the choice of his measures. They the constitution; they manifest a disposition are the measures of the day, and vary as to throw off their dependence, and vigorous often as the weather. But his companions measures must be enforced at the point of had each their separate plan, to which, for the sword. In vain may we look for the the credit of government, and the benefit temper and firmness of a great minister; - of this country, they have severally adhered. we shall find nothing but the passion or The intrepid thoughtless spirit of the comweakness of a boy ;-the enervated languor mander-in-chief looks no further than to of a consumption, or the false strength of a the disposal of commissions. He is the delirium.

firmness and uniformity, and suffers the tion of government. Perhaps there may extreme, and scruples not to give an alarm-The name of lord Chatham's administra- ing shock to public credit, by hints little

Without approving of Mr Wilkes's con-

friend and patron of the military. With The same inconsistency will be found to this character he suffers the army to be prevail through every measure and opera- robbed of a regiment, by way of pension to

¹ Lord Camden.-EDIT.

am at a loss which to admire most; the fortunes of their country. penetrating sagacity with which he underness with which he defends them.

sır Jeffery Amherst's dismission. The gal-tion. lant knight obtains his price, and the noble earl, with whatever appetite, must meet him with a smile of congratulation, and, Dear sir Jeffery, I most cordially wish you joy! After all, it must be confessed, there are some mortifications which might touch even the callous spirit of a courtier.

The chancellor of the Exchequer has many deficiencies to make good besides those of land and malt; and to say the truth, he has a gallant way of doing it. He gallops bravely through thick and thin, as the court directs, and I dare say would defend even an honest cause with as much zeal and eloquence, as if he were ordered a Cumberland election.

Grafton has exhausted the treasury, he will kind. find that every other power departs with the power of giving.

the noble disinterested house of Percy; and reduced. The characters of a weak and sir Jeffery Amherst to be sacrificed without worthless ministry would hardly deserve the pretending to the credit of restoring him.1 attention of history, but that they are fatally His Lordship's conduct perplexes me. I united, and must be recorded with the mis-

If there be yet a spark of virtue left stands the rights of the army, or the firm- among us, this great nation shall not be sacrificed to the fluctuating interests or When an ungracious act was to be done, wayward passions of a minister, nor even to the earl of Hillsborough was chosen for the the caprices of a monarch. If there be no instrument of it. He deserved, since he virtue left, it is no matter who are ministers. submitted to bear, the whole reproach of nor how soon they accomplish our destruc-

ATTICUS.

LETTER LII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 21 November, 1768.

IT will soon be decided by the highest authority whether the justice of our laws, and the liberty of our constitution. have been essentially violated in the person of Mr Wilkes.3 As a public man his fate will be determined, nor is it safe or necessary at present to enter into the merits of to show his parts upon nullum tempus,2 or his cause. We are interested in this question no further than as he is a part of a It would be unjust to the duke of Bed- well-regulated society. If a member of it ford's friends to attribute their conduct to be injured, the laws and constitution will any but the motives which they themselves defend him. But where is the law to enprofess. Mr Rigby is so modest a man, force the engagements of private faith, or that the imputation of public virtue, or pri- to punish the breach of them? Where vate good faith, would offend is delicacy, shall he apply for redress, with whom all if he did not feel, as he certainly does, the ties of honour, professions of friendship, and genuine emotions of patriotism and friend- obligations of party have been violated or ship warm in his breast. They argued not betrayed? A man so injured has no redress ill for ambition, while they asked for no- or consolation, but what he finds in the thing but profit; and when the duke of resentment and generous sympathy of man-

The violation of party faith is of itself too common to excite surprise or indignation. In this and my former letters I have pre- Political friendships are so well understood, sented to you, with plainness and sincerity, that we can hardly pity the simplicity they the melancholy condition to which we are deceive; and if Mr Wilkes had only been

¹ See Letter III.—Edit,
² See Letter LVII. and editor's note to Edit.

Miscellaneous Letter, No. XIV.—Edit.

³ Upon the issue of the General Warrant .-

deserted, he would but have given us one example more of the folly of relying on such engagements. But his, I conceive, is a singular situation. There is scarce an instance of party merit so great as his, or so ill rewarded. Other men have been abandoned by their friends :-Mr Wilkes alone TO THE RIGHT HON. GEORGE GRENVILLE. is oppressed by them. One would think that the first lord of the Treasury 1 and the chancellor 2 might have been contented this address, the singularity of your present less honest and less wise than himself.

may fall by it.

JUNIUS.

I'he duke of Grafton.-EDIT. 2 Lord Camden. - Bart.

LETTER LIII.

For the Public Advertiser.

15 December, 1768.

SIR,

If there be any thing improper in

with forgetting the man, to whom they situation will, I hope, excuse it. Your conprincipally owed their elevation; - but duct attracts the attention, because it is hearts like theirs are not so easily satisfied, highly interesting to the welfare, of the They left him unsupported when they public, and a private man, who only exceased to want his assistance, and, to cover presses what thousands think, carnot well the reproach of passive ingratitude, they be accused of flattery or detraction. If we pulsue him to destruction. The bounds of may judge by what passes every day in a human science are still unknown, but this great assembly, you already possess all the assuredly is the last limit of human de- constituent parts of a minister, except the prayity. Notorious facts speak for them- honour of distributing, or the emolument of selves, and, in this case, an honest man receiving, the public money. These, in the will want no spur to rouse his indignation. contemplation of the present ministry, are Men of a different character would do well the most essential ornaments of office. to consider what their security is with a They are the decus et tutamen of a respectminister, who breaks without scruple able administration, and the last that a through all engagements of party, and is prudent administration will relinquish. As weak enough to set all public shame at for the authority, the credit, or the busidefiance. There is a firmness of character, ness of their offices, they are ready to resign which will support a minister, even against them to you without reluctance. With his vices: but where is the dependence of regard to their appearance and behaviour his friends, when they have no hold either within-doors, these docile creatures find a reon his heart or his understanding? Detested lief in your understanding from the burthen by the better part of mankind, he will soon of thinking, and in your direction from the be suspected by the worst, for no man labour of acting. This, however, is no relies securely on another, whom he thinks more than the natural precedence of superior abilities and knowledge. Folly cannot In the present instance the duke of Graf- long take the pas of wisdom, and ignorton may possibly find that he has played a ance, sooner or later, must submit to exfoolish game. He rose by Mr Wilkes's perience. Yet, considering what sort of popularity, and it is not improbable that he heads you have to deal with, the task of giving them instruction must be a heavy one. The triumph is hardly equal to the labour which attends it. To convey instruction into heads which perceive nothing, is as hard a task as to instil sentiments into hearts that feel nothing. In both these articles, I think, his Majesty's present servants are invulnerable. They are of so strange a composition, that knowledge will neither penetrate the substance, nor shame stick upon the sur- cause it is founded on a system of conduct face. They have one short remedy for wisely adopted and firmly maintained. You every inconvenience, a remedy which tyrants have invariably adhered to one cause, one make use of, and fools profess, without language, and when your friends deserted scruple or management. Force is their that cause they deserted you. They who grand arcanum imperii. If this be the dispute the rectitude of your opinions, executive power of the crown, they possess admit that your conduct has been uniform, and exert it to a miracle. Red and brown manly, and consistent. This letter, I makes all the difference. To Southwark doubt not, will be attributed to some party the guards are detached in their uniforms; friend, by men who expect no applause -to Brentford they march like gentlemen, but from their dependants. But you, Sir, with orders to change their colours in the have the testimony of your enemies in blood of this country. This, Sir, is the last your favour. After years of opposition, irresistible argument of kings;—the only we see them revert to those very measures, one which your abilities cannot answer, nor with violence, with hazard and disgrace, flagrant waste of public money. The moderation. ministry are sure of being protected by the While parliament preserves its constituruffians who received it. The murder of tional authority, you will preserve yours. the omen !

public is equally extensive and secure, be- question was decided by the sword. I

The warm attachment of Junius to every respects not unworthy the imitation of those who part of the conduct of this distinguished stateshold the same dignified situations in the present man, may perhaps be conceived to import something more than a mere political concurrence of sentiment, and to indicate an ard nt personal friendship. The editor has found it necessary to glance at such an idea on several former oc-casions. Yet, for the honour of Junius, it ought to be observed, that there were few political characters of the day who were more entitled to his panegyric. Upon which subject the reader will not be displeased at being presented with the following brief sketch of Mr Grenville's character from the pen of a gentleman to whom these notes have been already indebted, and who

your integrity oppose with effect. In vain which, in the first instance, might have shall you demand an account of the most been conducted with ease, with dignity and

his Majesty's English subjects calls aloud, As long as there is a real representation of but calls in vain, for justice. To complain the people, you will be heard in that great is dangerous, to prosecute might be fatal. assembly with attention. deference. and We are arrived at that dreadful crisis, at respect; and if, fatally for England, the which open murders may well be succeeded designs of the present ministry should at by secret assassination. May heaven avert last succeed, you will have the consolation to reflect that your voice was heard, until Your weight and authority in parliament the voice of truth and reason was drowned are acknowledged by the submission of in the din of arms; and that your influence your opponents. Your credit with the in parliament was irresistible until every

day.

'Mr Grenville, under a manner rather austere and forbidding, covered a heart as feeling and tender as any man ever possessed. He liked office as well for its emoluments as its power; but in his attention to himself he never failed to pay regard to the situations and circumstances of his friends, though to neither would he warp the public interest or service in the smallest degree; rigid in his opinions of public justice and integrity, and firm to inflexibility in the construction of his mind, he reproduced every suggestion of the political expediency of overthese notes have been already indebted, and who suggestion of the political expediency of over-had repeated opportunities of forming a correct looking frauds or evasions in the payment or estimate of his worth. It is extracted from the collection of the revenue, or of waste and extrasecond volume of Mr Knox's Extra Official vagance in its expenditure. But although he State Papers, from which a letter written by Mr would not bend any measure out of the strict Grenville, on the subject of American politics, line of rectitude to gain popularity, he was far has been selected in note to Miscellaneous Letter, No. XXXI., p. 390. The anecdote respecting indifferent to the good or all opinion ing Florida and Louisiana is infinitely creditable which his speeches in parliament and his transfer his 'chredy inflavible indurgers', as rates, as takes. to his 'shrewd inflexible judgment' as a states- actions with men of business were charged with, man, and his conduct as a minister is in many were occasioned by the earnestness of his desire

LETTER LIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

MR WOODFALL, 12 April, 1769. THE monody on the supposed

to satisfy and convince those he addressed of the purity of his motives and the propriety of his conduct; and while there remained a single reason in his own mind, that he thought would serve those purposes, he could not be content to rest upon those he had already adduced, however convinced and satisfied his hearers appeared to

be with them.

'Inheriting but a small patrimonial fortune, he had early accustomed himself to a strict appropriation of his income, and an exact economy in its expenditure, as the only sure ground on which to build a reputation for public and private integrity, and to support a dignified independ-ency; and it was the unvaried practice of his life in all situations, as he has often told me, to live upon his own private fortune, and save the emoluments of whatever office he possessed; on which account he added; "The being in or out makes no difference in my establishment or manner of life. Every thing goes on at home in the same way. The only difference is, that my children's fortunes would be increased by my being in, beyond what they would be if I remained out, and that is being as little dependent upon office as any man who was not born to a great estate can possibly be;" and he manifested that independence at a time and in a manner but little known, and as the relation can now do no harm, I shall repeat the account he gave me of it. He had accepted the seals of one of the secretaries of state in lord Bute's administration, and by so doing drew upon himself the resentment and abuse of the then popular party, and of some of his own nearest relations; his return, therefore, to them, was rendered impracticable upon any occasion, and he had every motive to induce him to remain with his present connexion. Notwithstanding which, he very soon hazarded his continuance in office in support of his opinion, of what ought to be done for the advantage of

While the peace was negotiating, the expedition against the Havannah was carrying on, and as the chance of its success or failure was not very unequal, the negotiators agreed to leave it out in their uti possidetis, considering the event as perfectly neutral: so that if, after the preliminaries were signed it was found to be taken, it was to be restored without compensation. Before the preliminaries were signed, however, the account of its capture was received and Mr Grenville immediately proposed that it should now be included in the uti possidetis, and compensation for it insisted upon, for as the event was decided before the preliminaries were signed, either party was at liberty to avail themselves of it. Lord Bute thought the treaty was

death of Junius is not the less poetical for being founded on a fiction. In some parts of it there is a promise of genius which deserves to be encouraged. I My letter of

too far advanced to make any advantage of the event being in our favour, and he feared that our making any fresh demand, would not only protract but break off the negotiation, and pre-vent the peace taking place immediately, which he thought so necessary for the nation. Grenville was clear in his opinion of our right to make the demand, and firm in insisting that it should be made, and proposed two alternatives for consideration. The one, that if we judged it best to get the entire possession of the continent of North America, France having already agreed Florida and Louisiana: the other, that if we thought it necessary to increase our possessions in the West Indies, beyond the three neutral islands, which France had also agreed to give us, we should ask Porto Rico, and the property of what we held upon the Spanish main; and he left the earl with declaring that he would resign the seals, if one of those alternatives was not adopted and insisted upon. After consulting with Mr Fox and lord Egremont, lord Bute agreed to make the demand of Florida and Louisiana, and instructions to that purpose were immediately dispatched to the duke of Bedford, who made so able and strenuous an application in consequence of them, that the duke de Choiseul not only consented to cede Louisiana, but obliged the Spanish minister to cede Florida also, without sending to his court for fresh orders, and the preliminaries were not delayed more than a fortnight by the demand and acquisition of that immense territory.

Mr Grenville, shortly previous to his death introduced the act for determining controverted elections, from a thorough conviction, as he declared to Mr Knox, that the ruin of public liberty must ensue, unless some check was given to the abominable prostitution of the House of Commons in elections, by voting in whoever has the support of the minister.' The good effects of this excellent act is on all sides the theme of praise so often as a controversy occasions the necessity for an appeal to its decision, the impartiality of which has hitherto never been disputed.—EDIT.

These verses were written by the present sir John Macpherson, formerly governor-general of India. He was the author of several letters in answer to Junius, under the signature of Poe-tikastos. The lines here referred to were as fol-

A MONODY;

OR THE TEARS OF SEDITION ON THE DEATH OF JUNIUS.

Quis tibi Silure furor?

AND are those periods fill'd with tuneful care, Those thoughts which gleam'd with Ciceronian ore,

Monday will, I hope, convince the author that I am neither a partisan of Mr Wilkes, nor yet bought off by the ministry.1 It is true I have refused offers, which a more prudent or a more interested man would have accepted. Whether it be simplicity or virtue in me I can only affirm that I am indging, that the present ministry are driving this country to destruction; and you, and fortune place me above a common

JUNIUS.

Are they, my Junius, pass'd like vulgar air, Droop'd is thy plume, to rise on fame no more? Thy plume !-it was the harp of song in prose:
Oft have its numbers sooth'd the felon's ear, Oft to its tune my Wilkite heroes rose

With couch'd tobacco pipes in act to spear Where now shall stormy Clodius and his crew, My dear assembly to the midnight hour, Ah! where acquire a trumpeter !- since you

No more shall rouse them with thy classic

Accurs'd Silurus! blasted be thy wing! That grey Scotch wing which led the unerring dart!

In virtue's cause could all that's satire sting A bosom with corruption's poison fraught? Impossible !-- then hear me, Fiends of H--ll, This dark event, this mystery unfold; Poison'd was Junius? No; 'Alas, he fell 'Midst arrows dipp'd in ministerial gold.'

Then hear me, rioters, of my command, Condemn the villain to a traitor's doom; Let none but faithful knaves adorn my band; Go, sink this character into his temb.

Here sunk an essayist of dubious name, Whose tinsell'd page on airy cadence run, Friendless, with party—noted, without fame, Virtue and vice disclaim'd him as a son POETIKASTOS.

Clodius and Silurus, mentioned in the above lines, were at this time frequent writers in the Public Advertiser; the former against administration, the latter in favour of it. Silurus, assuming a personal knowledge of the writer of the

Letters of Junius, thus describes him.
'I know Junius, and I am not surprised that he calls aloud for blood. Bred among the dregs of mankind, he imbibed their vices, and acquired that hardness of heart which is usually produced by crimes. Possessed of some ambition, versed in the low arts of adulation, he wrought himself into the confidence of the vain by unmanly flat-vindication of his Grace, for the pardon of tery, and rose from obscurity by means which M'Quirk, See Letter IX.—EDIT. dishonoured his patrons. Smooth in his lan-

LETTER LV.

TO THE RIGHT HONCURABLE THE MAROUIS OF GRANBY,

6 May, 1760. My LORD.

You were once the favourite of in earnest; 2 because I am convinced, as the public. As a brave man you were adfar as my understanding is capable of mired by the army, as a generous man vou were beloved. The scene is altered, and even your immediate dependants, who have I think, Sir, may be satisfied that my rank profited most by your good nature, cannot conceal from you how much you have lost both in the affections of your fellow-soldiers, and the esteem of your country. Your character, once spotless, once irreproachable, has been drawn into a public question: attacked with severity, defended with imprudence, and, like the seat of war, ruined by the contention. Profligate as we are, the virtues of the heart are still so much respected, that even the errors and simplicity of a good man are sacred against censure or derision. To a man of your Lordship's high rank and fortune, is there

> guage, he gained the ear without persuading the heart; and by the help of a good memory, some anecdotes, and trite observations, acquired the reputation of a genius among some slight characters in the literary world. Dark, cunning, deceitfully self-denied, he covered himself with such an appearance of openness and candour, that even some judges of human nature thought him honest, many believed him honourable, few sus-pected the soundness of his head, none the goodness of his heart.

'Such was JUNIUS before public business called forth the latent and deformed features of his mind; the real man stood then confessed; his speciousness was found to be a mask for hypocrisy; his candour a veil for deceit; his learning discovered to be mere plagiarism; his boasted parts to consist altogether in memory. flimsy affected, though unaffecting, superficialness of his private discourse was soon traced in the hollow and round periods of his public declamations. Detestation took the place of esteem in the minds of many, hatred took possession of a few, and a contempt for him of all. Detected; detested, despised, in his real character, he now assumes a fictitious name, for Junius cannot deceive, but where he is unknown.

'27 March, 1769. SILURUS.'

The letter here referred to, is that addressed to the duke of Grafton, on Mr Weston's supposed

" See Private Letter, No. 63 .-- Erur.

any thing in the smiles of a court that can balance the loss of that affection (for surely it was something more cordial than esteem) with which you were universally received upon your return from Germany? You were then an independent gallant soldier. As far as you thought proper to mix in highest rate of abilities could never have he pardoned MacQuirk. quality, disgrace is ruin.

soon present itself. The people of England of villanies.' are good-natured enough to make allowstanding.

YOUR REAL FRIEND.

LETTER LVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 6 June, 1769.

I WISH the duke of Grafton had politics, you were the friend and patron of thought proper to take the opinion of our the people. Believe me, my Lord, the gracious Queen's solicitor-general² before That worthy given you a more honourable station, lawyer is never at cross-purposes with him-From the moment you quitted that line, self, and I dare say would have maintained you have perhaps been better able to gratify the same doctrine in his closet, which he some interested favourites, but you have has delivered for the instruction of the pubdisgraced yourself; -and, to a man of your lic. He says in his last volume, page 12. 'that the pains of death ought never to be You are now in the lowest rank of minis- inflicted, but when the offender appears terial dependants. Your vote is as secure incorrigible: which may be collected either to administration as if you were a lord of from a repetition of minuter offences, or trade, or a vice-treasurer of Ireland, and from the perpetration of some one crime of even Conway, at your Lordship's expense, deep malignity, which of itself demonstrates has mended his reputation. I will not a disposition without hope or probability of enter into a detail of your past conduct. amendment; and in such cases it would be You have enemies enough already, and I cruelty to the public to defer the punishwould not wish you to despair of recovering ment of such a criminal, till he had an the public esteem. An opportunity will opportunity of repeating perhaps the worst

What would this most respectable of all ances for your mistakes, and to give you possible lawyers have thought of granting a credit for correcting them. One short pardon to a culprit, who had not only been question will determine your character for convicted of a repetition of offences, and ever. Does it become the name and dig- those not minute but atrocious, but who nity of Manners to place yourself upon a had actually committed murder? He cerlevel with a venal tribe, who vote as they tainly would have called it something more are directed, and to declare upon your than cruelty to the public. His knowledge honour, in the face of your country, that of the laws would have told him that the Mr Luttrell is, or ought to be, the sitting purpose for which this villain was employed member for the county of Middlesex? I by the ministry, was treason against the appeal, bond fide, to your integrity as an constitution; 3-that it was the highest aghonest man ;-I even appeal to your under- gravation of the crimes he committed in prosecution of it :--that murder, simply considered, is only an injury to the individual who suffers; or in the most enlarged sense, to society, in the loss of one of its members :--but that when it is connected with, and founded on, the idea of destroying the constitution of the state (which, as

> 3 In reference to sir W. Blackstone's opinion relating to the Middlesex election. See Letter XVIII.-EDIT.

^T For an explanation of the subject here alluded to, see Private Letter, No. 2. See also p. 146, note.—Edit.

2 Sir William Blackstone. For the detail of

MacQuirk's crime and pardon, see Letter VIII.

far as Mr MacOuirk's labours could be polean battles; and am not a little diverted supposed to operate, was certainly the case), with the combats of party at this time. it then comprehends every quality, which They are still carried on with ability and can make an offence of this sort criminal in vigour. Long habit has taught me to pass the eye of the law :- the injury to the in- by all the declamation with which the nation.

would not have sufficiently consoled him. him. The respect due to his writings will procharacter, and his works will be quoted, when he himself is forgotten or despised. SIMPLEX.

LETTER LVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 10 June, 1769. controversy. I remember the great Wal- carried on

[UNIUS'S charges.

First fact.

dividual :- a breach of the public peace champions parade. I look upon it as no and security in a civil light; - and a viola- better than those flourishes of the back tion of that political system, on which the sword with which the great masters of my liberty and happiness of the community de-time in the amphitheatre entertained the pend. Mr Blackstone would have told the spectators, merely to show their dexterity, fiery duke, that to pardon such an offender but which made no part of the real engagewould not only be a most scandalous ment. I regard as nothing the trappings of evasion of law and justice, but the grossest panegyric with which they decorate their insult to the common understanding of the friends. I entirely overlook the dirt with which they so very liberally bespatter their His Grace must then have applied to enemies. Whenever a fact is touched upon, some lawyer of a more flexible character. there I fix. When a distinct charge is There is a man, for instance, who seems to made upon a minister, I look for a distinct have hoarded up a treasure of reputation, and particular answer, that denies, or not to last him through life, but to squan- admitting, explains, or in some favourable der away at one moment, with a foolish manner accounts for the fuct charged. If indecent prodigality; -- who is not ashamed instead of this I find nothing more than a to maintain an oral doctrine directly op- long paper, in which the author of the posite to that which he had written, nor to charge is called a thousand names, and the deceive the representative, after instructing person accused is lifted up to the skies as a the collective body of the nation. This miracle of ability and virtue, I am obliged, man would willingly have accommodated as an equitable judge, to consider the cause his authority to the purposes of administra- not as defended, but as utterly abandoned; tion; and as for himself, he could suffer and the court must enter an admission by no loss, for which the vanity of an author his own advocates of the charge against

The conduct and character of the duke bably increase with the contempt due to his of Grafton have been for some time the object of controversy. In what manner have they been attacked and defended? Take as a specimen the controversy of the last week. [UNIUS, whom the ministerial writers appear very much to dread, and affect very much to despise, has made several particular charges upon his Grace. In one column I will state the charges, in the other the reader will see the answers, and the will thereby be the better enabled to I AM an old reader of political judge of the spirit in which this dispute is

Answer.

That lord Chatham was the first object The wicked for the sake of mischief apof the duke of Grafton's political attach- prove of your bold falsehoods, and the ment; yet he deserted him, and entered envious love a strain of defamation, which engage.

Second fact.

After uniting with lord Rockingham, the duke of Grafton deserted and betraved him.

Third fact.

That, after entering again into administration with lord Chatham, the duke of Grafton forced him (lord Chatham) to withdraw his name from it.

Fourth fact.

That the duke of Grafton is chargeable with great inconsistencies with himself in the frequent variations in his opinions and conduct with regard to America, according to the various changes he has made in his connexions.

Fifth fact.

The duke of Grafton had been the friend

others, to be found in the last letter of Ju- of the people abuses the prerogative of the NIUS. The ministerial advocate, Anti crown, and has actually subverted the con-Malagrida, 1 has since addressed a letter to stitution: and when JUNIUS civilly asks the him, in which the above paragraphs, in the reason of all this-Sir (says he), you are a second column, are the only answers which rascal. I could discover. The same charges had been made by JUNIUS and others several reflection, and that I shall borrow from sir times before. Always the same reply. Ju- John Brute:- 'This may be a very good NIUS and many others say (and I fancy answer for aught I know at cross-purposes, they speak the sense of the nation), that the but it is a damned whimsical one to a duke of Grafton imposes upon his sovereign, 'people in our circumstances,' betrays his connexions, persecutes the man; who was his friend, idly irritates the colo-; nies, wickedly alienates their affections from

A writer in the Public Advertiser in favour to have merit enough to entitle them to be se of administration, but whose letters do not appear printed .- EDIT.

with lord Rockingham into an administra- brings down to their own mean level the tion in which lord Chatham refused to most worthwand most exalted characters in the nation.

Answer.

To retaliate upon you the abuse which you have presumed to throw upon the duke of Grafton would be raising you into a consequence, to which the meanness of your birth, the depravity of your heart, and the unsoundness of your head, can never have any title.

Answer.

By specious conversation you imposed upon the weak, by open and impudent flattery you gained the confidence of the vain, and you won the favour of the proud by mean unmanly sycophancy.

Answer.

Void as you are of every sense of shame. can you without a blush (but a blush seldom tinges those happy countenances which have been bathed in the Liffy), can you recommend to the people of England, as ministers, men, whose weakness or villany they have already experienced in office?

Answer.

The abilities, the integrity, the dignity of of Mr Wilkes, and is become his persecutor. mind, as well as the nobility of family which distinguish the duke of Grafton, have rendered him superior to your abuse.

The above charges are, with several their mother country, invades the liberties

Now, Mr Woodfall, I shall make but one

AMICUS CURLÆ.

LETTER LVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

5 September, 1769. MR WOODFALL, AMIDST the great number of correspondents who have raised your paper to a superiority over the rest, I don't remember to have observed lately any of the female sex. To a woman of spirit, the most intolerable of all grievances is a restraint on the liberty of the tongue. I can't bear to see the men have it all to themselves: and shall certainly burst if I am not permitted to put in a word. Much has been said of late about grievances and apprehensions, instructions and petitions, elections and expulsions. Now, Sir, I want to enter the list with one of the most celebrated of your political correspondents: here I throw down my glove, and am in hopes it will be taken up by-Junius. Some people perhaps may blame me for meddling with NIUS has been the aggressor, by making family peace!

such frequent incursions into SCANDAL.

the natural province of the women.

TUNIUS the justice to say, that I think him a very fine writer, a great master of composition, and indeed, upon the whole, I have not seen a prettier fellow-upon paper. declamation or pointed personal abuse. In both of these he has proved himself an fond of point and antithesis as any woman the Shannon. is of point lace and French silk. As to his

there be the least spot or speck on your reputation. TUNIUS can spread it out (with the help of a few drops of ink) till it covers you all over, and makes you as black as a fiend: in short JUNIUS is chief japanner or calumniator-general to the opposition: he is employed to besmear the ministry with his very best liquid blacking, and when he has written them out of office, he will no doubt change his colours, take a different brush, and white-wash their successors. I wish he may make as distinguished a figure in the sweet work of panegyric as he has done in the painful task of calumny and detraction!

But of all kinds of abuse, private SCAN-DAL seems to be his favourite morsel; JUNIUS lays hold of a scandalous anecdote with as much keenness as a spider seizes an unfortunate fly; he crawls forth from the dark hole where he lay concealed: how eagerly he clutches it; with what a malicious pleasure he drags it along; his eves gloat upon it with cruel delight; he winds politics, a science fit only for the men; but it round and round with his cobweb rhe-JUNIUS has no right to find fault, for Ju- toric, and sucks the very heart's blood of

Various have been the conjectures formed on the question-'Who is this JUNIUS?' I However, I will do your correspondent have heard at least twenty persons named whom suspicion points the finger at ; nav, I have been assured at different times that each of them was the author in question. They could not all be the writer; perhaps His former letters have consisted of general none of them is. -But in spite of all the curiosity which is imputed to our sex. I declare sincerely, that I would not give a pin adept. There is a great deal of oratory in for the secret. 'Tis indifferent to me who his declamations, though he is perhaps too the man is; and whether he was first dipped flowery and metaphorical, and seems as in the Thames or the Tweed, the Liffy or

But though I can't tell who IUNIUS is. I personal attacks, they are irresistible; no will tell you what he is like. JUNIUS is like character can stand before him ;-he is the a racer in the field of politics, who walks very butcher of a reputation. 'Heaven over the course alone; no one venturing to preserve the characters of all my tribe from start against him. I have for some time JUNIUS!' In the art of exaggeration he had a violent inclination to enter at the post, has no equal; molehills he magnifies into although I am sensible the odds at starting mountains, and views your smallest pecca- would be greatly against the filly; but for dillo through a double microscope. Should all that, perhaps, I should be up with him

at the long run. But I have run out my of course be the sitting member. This nobody can match; suppose that I were tions obtained we all know how! I do verily If I should happen to get the better of him. of faction, or the dubes of party. it will be as much a matter of public wonder as the late affair of the hen in Scotlandyard, who 'attacked a prodigious large rat that was carrying off one of her chickens, and after fighting a considerable time. killed the rat, to the great joy and surprise of the spectators.' And besides how great will be the honour accruing to our sex from such a victory! It will be recorded amongst the most famous exploits in the annals of female prowess; and I shall be ranked with the most renowned heroines of antiquity. Thomyris and Semiramis, Judith and Deborah.

But perhaps the defeat of this political Holofernes may not be so very difficult; person under such known and declared in- of beauty is the only one an Englishman capacity happens to have the greatest number of votes, the candidate who has the

simile, and therefore must beg leave to take opinion seems to me to be perfectly agreea fresh one. Your paper, Mr Woodfall, is able to reason, to common sense, and the become the grand political cockpit, and principles of the constitution, and (notwith-JUNIUS struts about in it like a cock whom standing the delusive appearance of petipitted against him; how do you think the believe it is the opinion of every candid. bets would go? And what are the odds impartial unprejudiced person in England; that he does not come off HEN-PECKED? in short, of all those who are not the tools

> I am. Sir. Your humble servant. IUNIA.1

LETTER LIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 7 September, 1769.

I FIND myself unexpectedly married in the newspapers, without my knowledge or consent. Since I am fated to be a husband, I hope at least the lady will perform the principal duty of a wife. Marriages, they say, are made in heaven, but and indeed on a nearer view he does not they are consummated upon earth, and appear half so formidable. When JUNIUS since Funia has adopted my name, she stalked upon the HEIGHTS of declamation, cannot, in common matrimonial decency. he appeared of more than ordinary size, refuse to make me a tender of her person. but now that he has descended to the plain Politics are too barren a subject for a newground of reason and argument, he appears married couple. I should be glad to furnearly on a level with common men. His nish her with one more fit for a lady to letters on the Middlesex election are most handle, and better suited to the natural sophistically dull, unless where he throws dexterity of her sex. In short, if Junia in some personalities by way of giving be young and handsome, she will have no spirit and flavour to his political olio. How- reason to complain of my method of conever, I don't believe that with all his soph-ducting an argument. I abominate all istry he has made a single convert to his tergiversation in discourse, and she may be opinion. I fancy there is hardly one cool, assured that whatever I advance, whether moderate, impartial person in England who it be weak or forcible, shall, at any rate, be does not think that the House of Commons directly in point. It is true I am a strenuare the only judges of their own privileges; ous advocate for liberty and property, but that no power on earth can force a member when these rights are invaded by a pretty upon them, whom they have declared in- woman, I am neither able to defend my capable of being elected; and that if any money nor my freedom. The divine right

I This letter was claimed, as the production next greatest number of legal votes must of his own pen, by the late Mr Caleb Whitefoord.

-EDIT.

ought to acknowledge, and a pretty woman friends, Weymouth, Gower and Rigby, at the only tyrant he is not authorized to re- Bedford-house. sist.

IUNIUS.1

LETTER LX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

MR WOODFALL. 8 Sept. 1760.

sion is more easily borne than insult; and guineas, bawls a third.'8 the duke of Grafton, with his now directors, of the midnight festivity of his kindred and

² Junius repented that he had written this letter as soon as it had appeared. He regarded it as idle and improper; and it was on this occasion that he addressed to Mr Woodfall the private note, No. 8, dated 10 Sept. 1769; in consequence of which the following observation appeared in the notice to correspondents in the Public Advertiser of 11 Sept.

If the freeholders of this country, alarmed at the invasion of their last and dearest right, the freedom of election, beg in the humblest terms for redress, Poetikastos dances before them in a fool's coat, squirts dirty water in their faces, and then cries out to the great joy, and with the loud applause of the gang,-'You are redressed.' To every other complaint, whether of the dis-IT is hard to determine whether graces which we suffer abroad, or of the the actions of the present ministry more oppressions which we feel at home; wheexcite abhorrence and indignation, or the ther the cry be for property ravished from writings of their advocates contempt and us, for our liberties infringed, for the laws ridicule: every action of the former is an perverted, for the constitution overturned. invasion of our liberty or our property; we have much the same answer. Silurus every line wrote in their defence by the is let loose from his cell to vent his madlatter is an insult to our understanding, ness, and cover us with his filth .- Pericles 2 and a base mockery of our sufferings. I stands by him calling out rogue and scounhave never yet known a bad cause made drel; and then with one voice the minister better by a bad defence. I cannot conceive who employs, and the wretches who are what induces his Grace of Grafton to employed, cry out,-'We have defeated employ such a set of wretches to laugh at them; they never dare appear again; we us, whilst we are burning at the stake to have hanged them up to public scorn : you which he has tied us. It is as void of are a coward, cries one; I will cudgel you, policy as it is full of inhumanity. Oppres- says another; I will lay you a bet of 14,000

Does the duke of Grafton really think the Bloomsbury gang, may find that it is that such actions as his are sufficiently dangerous to despise those whom he has defended by such arguments as these? Are deeply injured. Why does he let loose those the lawyers whom he has retained upon us his troops of fools and madmen, against that dreadful day-for that day will and buffoons and bullies? He would do come-when a brave, a haughty, and a more wisely to employ them in their proper spirited, though patient, people, shall deplaces, reserving them to excite the mirth, mand vengeance on his head for all the disand add to the wit, urbanity, and elegance graces and injuries which he has heaped

that time. The printer takes the liberty to hint that it will not do a second time.—Entr.

2 Poetikastos, Silurus, and Pericles were writers in the Public Advertiser in favour of administration .- EDIT.

3 A challenge had been absurdly given to Junius by several writers in the Public Ad-vertiser, as well as by sir William Draper; and one correspondent, as here referred to, had the "We have some reason to suspect that the last effective to have been signed Junus, inserted in this paper of guineas, being, as he stated, his whole fortune, Thursday last, was not written by the real Junus, though we imagine it to have been sent by, some one of his waggish friends, who has some one of his waggish friends, who have been sent by some one of his waggish friends, who has been such as the first of th upon theirs? Are these to be his intercess- which a wiser man would have observed that head should be on the block.

AUGUR.

LETTER LXI.

10 November, 1769.

principles of government. I will not com- an answer proper for you and for himself. plain of a change of system, for which you had so powerful a precedent, and which you whose concern for the safety of the church, have found so favourable to your ambition. and whose zeal for the prerogative of the But there are rules of decency, my Lord, crown, has been so often unluckily mistaken This letter was printed by the desire of written by the author of Junius.'—Edit.

Junius in the Public Advertiser, but was not written by him. See Private Letter, No. 12. having been made at the request of lord Dentit was, however, so generally supposed to have bigh, by a commission of the lord chancellor been his, that Junius himself thought it necessary to request the printer to publish the follow-cester, without consulting the duke of Rutland, ing contradiction in the same journal, Nov. 17. who was lord-lieutenant, and who, ex efficac, 'We can assure the public that the letter signed ought to have been honoured with the nomina-A. B., relative to the duke of Rutland, is not tion.—Edit.

ors to a misguided and betrayed king for even in the grossest violation of morals. mercy? Enjoy with your associates, my There is a certain sort of hostilities which Lord, their buffoonery and their scurrility is forbidden by the laws of war between whilst you may: the day is not far off-if nations, and by the laws of enmity between the Almights has not in his wrath given up individuals. The contentions of party have this country to that worst of punishments, given a fashionable latitude to the principles that most intolerable of all tyrannies, the of modern morality; but still, my Lord. government of insolence without spirit, there are some characters too great and violence without vigour, ambition without venerable to be insulted; there is yet a dignity, obstinacy without resolution, and certain breach of decorum, which the public ignorance without diffidence—the day is not, will not submit to. Was the duke of Rutfar off, when these insults will be retorted land the only man in this country at whose most severely, and humanity itself will not expense you could gratify lord Denbigh? be able to keep them from your head, though One would think, my Lord, that if his uniform adherence to the principles of the revolution, his steady attachment to the House of Hanover, and the important services which he and his family had rendered to that House, could possibly be forgotten, TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.1 there was yet something in his age, his rank, his personal character, and private virtues, which might have entitled him to THE facility with which you aban- respect. Was it necessary, my Lord, to doned your earliest connexions in friend- pursue him into his own county on purpose ship and politics, was, I doubt not, a lead- to insult him? Was it proper, was it ing recommendation to establish your decent, that while a duke of Rutland is lordcredit at St James's. A gracious discern- lieutenant, the earl of Denbigh's recoming prince, who, even at the moment of his mendation should govern the county of accession, had fortitude enough to get the Leicester?2 Had lord Denbigh no friends better of every predilection which he might in Leicestershire but rank Tories to recombe supposed to have inherited from his mend for the commission of the peace? ancestors in favour of the friends of the And is it under a prince, who owes his House of Hanover, must have observed with crown to the Whig interest of England, that pleasure that your Grace was equally ready a minister dates to send such a mandate to to desert the friends who contributed most the duke of Rutland? I know his Grace's to your advancement, and to adopt new spirit, and doubt not of his returning you

United as you are, my Lord, with men

you are as well acquainted with their his- driven disgracefully from his presence: tory as with their principles. You are able and, upon the same principle, I challenge to tell us, and surely the public has a right your Grace to point out a man more likely to expect it from you, by what species of to be invited to the place of first minister merit the earl of Denbigh has contrived to and favourite, than the duke of Rutland. make himself so distinguished a favourite at court. Was it the notorious attachment of his family to the House of Hanover, or his own personal accomplishments? Was it his fortune that made him respectable, or his beggary that made him submissive? Was it the generous exertion of his great abilities in parliament, or the humble assiduity of his attendance at lord Bute's levee? Was it the manly firmness of his personal appearance, or the pliant politeness of his temper? Was it the independent dignity with which he maintains the rank of a peer, or the complaisance with which he accepts and executes the honourable office of a spy? Whatever have been his merits or services, they are undoubtedly of a complexion very different from those of the duke of Rutland.

His Grace has now wisely exchanged that busy scene, in which he never appeared but with honour, for an hospitable retirement, His age will not permit us to hope that he can long be the object of the spite of such a creature as lord Denbigh, nor of the scorn and insult of such a minister as your Grace. But he will leave a family, my Lord, whose principles of freedom are hereditary, from whose resentment you will have every thing to apprehend. As for himself, I shall only say, that if it were possible for the views and wishes of the Tories to succeed: if it were possible for them to place a Stuart once more upon the throne, their warmest hopes and ambition might be disappointed. He too, like another judicious prince, might think it the best policy of his government to choose his friends and favourites from among the declared, notorious, determined enemies of his family. The Tories who

for simple jacobitism, I take for granted placed him upon the throne, might be

A. R.1

LETTER LXII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

17 November, 1760. SIR.

JUNIUS may change his signature, his manner he cannot change. The farfetched antithesis, the empty period, the pert loquacity distinguish the writer; and the rancorous and impudent falsehood discovers the man. In vain has he attempted to conceal himself under initials; he is as invariable in the tenor of his diction as he is in the bias of his mind.

It was, however, a mark of some judgment in Mr --- to use a new signature in your paper of Friday. A. B. may praise the duke of Rutland, though JUNIUS has infamously traduced the marquis of Granby.2 By a mean subterfuge, an appearance of propriety may be preserved among the superficial; but the generous and discerning must despise and detest a man who makes the interest of a profligate party the only standard by which he regulates his encomium as well as his abuse.

But to set the public right in a matter of fact is the only design of this letter. The insertion of particular persons by mandate. without issuing a new commission of the peace, has been in daily practice; and is an undoubted power lodged in the great seal; but in that alone; nor can any other servant of the crown interfere no more than in a decree of that great officer, the chancellor in the Court of Chancery.

The principles of that noble Lord are as

^{*} The above letter, though avowedly not from the pen of Junius, was reprinted in the Public Advertiser from another journal at his request. It was replied to a few days afterwards by the

letter that follows it; to withhold which would be an act of injustice.—EDIT.

The marquis of Granby, eldest son of the

duke of Rutland .- EDIT.

well known as those of the remainder of racter he wishes to ruin in the eyes of the the king's ministers, who, notwithstanding world. every aspersion to the contrary from factious artifices, have on every occasion proved themselves to be the supporters of the real liberty of the people, and of the true spirit of the constitution.

Has the lord chancellor in any instance deviated from such a character? And vet if JUNIUS, or his shadow A. B., were right, this noble lord would be termed a jacobite: for if there is any thing improper in the apcharged, and not the duke of Grafton, on whom calumny endeavours to fix the mistakes of others.

IUNIUS, in his zeal for his party, defeats there are none against self-libelling. the means he uses to serve them. Unfortunately for his cause, the attacks he makes upon, and his charges against, the duke of Grafton, require only to be fairly will do him the justice to suppose), without ' la bonne bouche. design he becomes the panegyrist of a cha-

I am. Sir.

Your humble servant. MESSALA.1

LETTER LXIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, 17 November, 1760.

I WILL not pretend to say that pointment, he is the man who should be the inclosed letter is a very severe libel on its right honourable author! And yet, Mr Woodfall, you may safely print it; for though we have laws against self-murder,

A curious collection of correspondence. both political and amorous, has lately fallen into my hands, with which I shall from time to time furnish you, reserving the most exstated to confute themselves; and thus (I traordinary of both kinds till the last, pour

X. X.2

I To this letter A. B. gave an answer, but as it decidedly was not written by Junius, we have omitted it -EDIT.

² Mr Onslow was at this time persecuting Wilkes with all the acrimony in his power, in whice with an the actimony in its power, unison with the duke of Grafton, both of whom had a few years before professed the warmest friendship for Wilke. Mr Horne, not then at emity with Wilkes, had just published the following letter of a similar kind, of which Wilkes had given him a copy:

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

14 July, 1769. MANY of your readers having seen an abuse on Mr Horne, for the publication of a letter from Mr Onslow to Mr Wilkes, are desirous of seeing that original.

COPY OF A LETTER FROM GEORGE ONSLOW. ESQ. TO JOHN WILKES, ESQ.

Ember Court, 21 September, 1765. My DEAR OLD FRIEND,

HAVING been most shamefully silent to you during the remainder of an opposition which did honour to every man concerned in it, and to the credit of which you so much contributed, I now begin my correspondence with you, at my first entering into office with, and under, an administration, whose principles, I hope and believe, will authorize your giving equal support has promised me a copy.

10, in their very different situation. If they did
Believe me, my dear John, your mentioning
act, as I know they to, revere and hold sacred 'me as you do gratifies my pride, as it will always

those sentiments they avowed during the two last years, and in abhorrence those vile and detestable ones of persecution and injustice, by which the public were so injured in your person, I should be ashamed of what I am now proud of bearing the small share I do among them .-Public marks of this, as well as private ones, I hope will soon take place.

Honest Humphrey has dined with me here to-day, and we have just drank your health, as we have often done. Honest as he is, I never felt him more so than your last letter to him, which he has just now showed me, has made him appear to me, in having done justice to my very sincere and constant regards to, and admiration of, you. Every word of this letter of yours (dated the 26 Aug, from Geneva) I subscribe to, and think and persuade myself the completion of our patriot, not selfish, wishes (for such they are not) will soon appear among many other proofs of integrity, steadiness, and virtue, in the present ministry, and of their being as inimical as ever to those whom they have been opposing, for having acted contrary to all these

Your friend, Mrs Onslow, has been enjoying with us, in infinite mirth, your last specimens of notes on different parts of great Churchill's works, viz. Hogarth, Talbot, and the scoundrel Bishop. They are specimens indeed of your amazing wit and abilities; and when he has more of them he

MY DEAR WILKES.

I AM very sorry to have been pre- am unalterably, vented seeing you to-day; but I hope to have a good account of you by the return of my servant who brings you this; perhaps Curzon-st., Monday night, humble servant. you may be better if more of your friends besides myself have missed troubling you to-day: as I'm sure quiet and keeping do you good. To most men in your situation such a caution would surely be needless, because men of less greatness of mind. and of a less noble spirit than yourself, would yield to such a load of damnable persecution, from the most dangerous administration that ever was in this country. But honest men like yourself know how to despise it and them, and to rise superior to them all.

If I had a mind to raise your indignation, I would bid you think of the similarity of

do to show myself your friend and humble servant. I was always so as a public and as a private man. Our good friend Humphrey and I are at this moment in your service, and from us both you shall soon hear, particularly as to the contents of your letter of the 26th. I beg

send you. I hope it is not so to you that I

Dear Wilkes.

Your faithful and affectionate 21 Nov. 1763. GEORGE ONSLOW.

Mrs Onslow sends you her comps. I down your wonderful flow of spirits must wish you would appoint honest, faithful Humphrey to meet me at your house precisely at one o'clock on Wednesday. have a thousand things to say to him.

LETTER LXIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 20 Nov. 1769.

I CANNOT but admire the easy asthese times to those you and I have talked surance with which that modest gentleman, of with abhorrence; but as I mean always who writes for the Gazetteer, informs us that to add to your comfort and satisfaction, I he has gained a complete victory over will desire you to think of the similarity of JUNIUS. It is not the first time that the your own circumstances to those of the silence and moderation of Junius have been many great and good men that lived in mistaken for submission, nor is this the first those times, and suffered as you do now, blockhead who has plumed himself upon Remember how greatly they were thought an imaginary triumph over the favourite of of, and how their characters are respected the public. I wish, however, if he be in now, and remember, and be assured to the secret, that he would tell us plainly your comfort, that let the iron hand of whether the officers of the guards are to be power fall ever so heavy on you (it can't tried or not? If they are not, the observafall very heavy from your innocence) every tions, made by JUNIUS upon the conduct honest man, and every gentleman, must of the ministry, return with double force. bestow the same degree of appliause on you If they are, JUNIUS is right, and acts honas they must of abhorrence and detestation ourably in not pushing his inquiries further.1 on your and their country's enemies. I As to the facts, it is unnecessary for him to will certainly call on you to-morrow morn- say any thing in support of them. They ing or evening. I have nothing new to are so notorious, that the parties themselves cannot, dare not deny them. If captain Garth did not wilfully abandon his guard. why does he not demand a court-martial

as you can do, and think of as you do-Mr Pitt and lord Temple's being not in employment .-

you to believe that I most truly and affectionately am your faithful, humble servant,
GEO. ONSLOW.

Postscript. Postpone your judgment till you
hear again from me, on what I lament as much

to clear his character? And would not the observations not only ridiculous in the view a court-martial must cashier them? Truly, promote, Sir, these centlemen have a bitter enemy in Modestus. It appears to me that he has his years, makes no reply, and Modestus, some secret rancour against them, which after a decent forbearance, presumes to nothing can satisfy but the loss of their put him in mind of his challenge. This commissions.

X. X.

LETTER LXV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 23 Nov. 1769.

JUNIUS and his journeymen have engrossed the whole alphabet; but from A. B. to X. X. the style and manner of the shop are easily discovered. From alpha to omega, the same attention to a period, and the same neglect of good sense, manners, and propriety. However, Mr X. X. in today's Public Advertiser has even out-Heroded Herod. It was certainly unpardonable presumption in the modest gentleman who writes for the Gazetteer to assume the merit of a victory over the young gentleman who writes for the Public Advertiser. But Te Deums have been sung before on as slender foundations. The young gentleman, with that fire and spirit which accompanies green years, threw down his glove to the world, and challenged all mankind to contradict the truth of certain facts, or the justice of certain observations. He proposed, if not a reward to the champion who should vanquish him, at least a punishment to himself if vanquished, nor that a slight one, if he were, as X. X. says fairly confess himself routed; and instead he is, the favourite of the public. The modest gentleman ventured to take up the De profundis. glove, and with a boldness not very consistent with his name, demonstrated that the narrative was false in every circumstance material to the question; and the

1 Modestus, as before observed, was a Mr Dalrymple, a Scotch lawyer.-EDIT.

ministry, for their own credit, take care of supporting the conclusion attempted to that captain Dodd should be brought to a be drawn, but in a supreme degree injuditrial if they were not absolutely certain that cious to the cause they were intended to

To this JUNIUS, with prudence beyond produced the letter signed JUNIUS, in your paper one day last week; in which, to speak negatively, he neither supports the truth of his narrative, nor the justice of his observations, and in which, to speak positively, he gives up both. Not fairly, nor with the candour of a gentleman, who is convinced of his rash and dangerous mistake; but with the struggles and evasions of a culprit who is convicted of a crime.

Upon this true state of the dispute, Modestus most impudently assumed to himself the victory; and I must confess he seemed to have the appearance of a claim to it. But Mr X. X. has clearly demonstrated the contrary; and the method this honourable gentleman has taken to chain victory to the triumphant car of the public's favourite adds greatly to his merit.

A person of vulgar understanding would have descended into a tedious detail; he would have endeavoured to show by argument and fact that JUNIUS was in the right, and Modestus in the wrong. But Mr X. X., another Alexander, cuts the Gordian knot at once, and annihilates the pretensions of Modestus with a single word. There is so much energy, so much eloquence, so much of the polite scholar, the gentleman, and the patriot, in the term blockhead, that if Modestus possesses but a shadow of what its name imports, he must of Te Deum, I would advise him to sing

It was to be sure the height of insolence in Modestus to attack the favourite of the public; but it may be pleaded in his excuse, that the public has several favourites who are shrewdly suspected to be unworthy of its favour; and JUNIUS has staked and you would not have been so illiberal to young, and Junius not a little modest. imagine you could better a bad cause by calling names; an argument which deserves no answer but the strapado.

But your education did not depend on yourself, and perhaps you are left-handed, which I have been told by many intelligent Hibernians, your countrymen, is an insurmountable bar to scholarship; vet common sense is the portion of the unlearned as well as of the learned, and though you may be but an indifferent scholar, there was no occasion to insult her in the way you have done. The world hitherto has believed that IUNIUS was rather unfriendly to the officers concerned in general Gansel's rescue, when he publicly aggravated their offence from a against the constitution; and it believed, not convince against evidence.

we ought not to suffer our principals to trial of these offenders? And has not the

forfeited that favour of which he had much proceed to extremities. To soften the ranreason to be proud. But pray, Mr X. X., cour of their contention, I would propose have not you been guilty of a trifling error, that some friendly unfriendly greeting (as by substituting the public in place of the Shakespeare calls it) should pass between mob? You wish to know whether the them. They need not exchange armour officers are to be tried or not. For answer like Glaucus and Diomede (an example give me leave to ask you whether you have which would afford me many choice allulearned to read? Had that essential part sions if I had time to pursue them), but they of your education been attended to, you may exchange names. The propriety of this would not have been so ignorant of what no man can dispute, for even X. X. will has been explained again and again, and agree with me, that Modestus is a little

I am. Sir. Your humble servant.

LETTER LXVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 25 November, 1769.

For answer to my last letter, in which I asked a very plain question, viz. Whether the officers of the guards were or were not to be tried for the rescue of general Gansel?-vour correspondent Y. Y. contents himself with another question, whether common breach of the peace to an outrage I had learnt to read? The question is pertinent enough, and as much to the purpose that Modestus was not their enemy for en- as if he had enquired the hour of the day. deavouring to show that JUNIUS was in the Will this gentleman be so good as to quit wrong, and representing all the circum- all circumlocution, and tell us what we are stances of excuse which the nature of the to trust to? Is captain Garth, who deserted case afforded. But here also Mr X. X. his guard at noon day, an equerry to the has convinced the world of its mistake: duke of Cumberland? Did he not leave the and it stands on his infallible authority, command of his guard to a person who had that Junius is a faithful friend to these as little right to take it as Buckhorse, and officers, and Modestus a rancorous and in- is he or is he not protected by his Royal veterate enemy, whom nothing can satisfy Highness?-Is not captain Dodd the old but the loss of their commissions. The friend of Henry Lawes Luttrell, and the force of genius is certainly wonderful! It son of the oldest and most intimate crony' discovers in propositions the very reverse of of lord Irnham? Have either of the parties what they contain. But, Mr X. X., when denied any one of the facts stated by Juyou address the public again, remember, NIUS?-Has not colonel Salter been ordered that though paradoxes astonish, they do to hold his peace?—Has not William viscount Barrington, secretary at war, most However, we are but seconds in the infamously neglected his duty in not moving quarrel between Modestus and JUNIUS, and the king to order a court-martial for the

adjutant-general publicly and repeatedly, no difference. For the same reason I do though in vain, represented that they ought not plead that, JUNIUS having given the to be cashiered? What will the flat general challenge, I am not bound to enter the lists contradiction of an anonymous writer avail against any other. It is a peculiar advanagainst circumstances so particular, so well tage in this sort of warfare, that when a vouched, that the parties most concerned man is routed in his own person, he can are ashamed or afraid to deny them? How still keep the field under another; and you is JUNIUS to prove his facts but by such a in particular have a right to the device. non particularity and precision in the state of vultus, non color unus. them, that no man, who knows any thing self-conviction keep them silent.

not been answered? It has not even learned to read, did not put a very impertisilence of Junius portends no good to the tain Garth was no otherwise concerned in ministry.2 When he honours them with his general Gansel's rescue than by being notice it is not a momentary blast. He absent from his guard when it happened. the elements bursts upon them at once.

X. X.

LETTER LXVII.

For the Public Advertiser. 28 November, 1769.

To Junius.

SIR.

affect to make a distinction where there is and his father is the intimate friend of lord

After giving up the question as lunius. of the matter, will venture to dispute the you come back upon it as X. X. It would truth of them? In this case a negative is as be a labour indeed to answer you the same strong as a positive proof, and the only questions in every form you are pleased proof the thing will admit of. It is abso- to assume. But for once I will take the lutely incredible that neither captain Garth trouble to repeat what I have already said. nor captain Dodd should contradict such not from any merit or novelty in your quesfacts, as lead immediately to their ruin, if tions, but to leave you without excuse. justice were done.-Nothing but shame and Had you turned over to my letter in the Gazetteer of the 13th of November, you As to argument, I should be glad to would have discovered that the gentleman. know why the letter signed Moderatus 1 has who asked Mr X. X. whether he had been attempted. - Depend upon it, Sir, the nent question. It is there stated that capgathers like a tempest, and all the fury of This is undoubtedly a military offence; and if the friendship of JUNIUS or X. X. will still insist to have it punished, there is no help for it. But it is not true that captain Garth left his guard to be commanded by any person; and it is immaterial to the question whether he be equerry to the duke of Cumberland, or protected by him. If the thing is so. I congratulate him: but surely that honour neither makes him a criminal, nor aggravates his supposed THOUGH you may choose to vent crime. With respect to captain Dodd, you your illiberal resentment under the borrowed have brought a fresh charge against him, to signature of X. X., I, who think scurrility which there can be no defence. He is, it no disgrace to your real name, shall not seems, a companion of colonel Luttrell,

Inserted in the genuine edition as Philo 44000. This JUNIUS deemed so strong a hold Junius, Letter XXXI.—Edit. upon the duke, as to advise the printer, on a threatened prosecution for publishing this letter, which contains a very severe statement of the fact, 'not to shew fear, but to tell them he would justify, and subpeana Mr Hine, Burgoyne, and Bradshaw of the Treasury,' as that would 'silence them at once.' See Private Letter, No. 15.-EDIT.

² The quotation in the note to the preceding Letter, from Private Letter, No 11, is followed by these words: 'But as soon as 2 good subjectives offers.' This was fulfilled in the attack upon the duke of Grafton in Letter XXXIII., for the gift of a patent place, customer of the port of Exeter, to colonel Burgoyne who sold it, with the sup-posed knowledge of his Grace, to Mr Hine for

who committed it.

declined the contest on this point, lest you which a court-martial can proceed. should prejudge the trial, civil or military, in what manner to acknowledge it.

not take the trouble to look over my letter, before you crowded together so many ridiculous questions? It is there affirmed that these unfortunate gentlemen would have been immediately tried by military law, unless it had occurred, that a trial by given, and such as ought to have satisfied a patriot but a place.

Once more I will state those reasons, and though I do not believe you will feel them, of their weight.

civil offence, and a conviction of the and indeed the whole dispute would have

Irnham. I am sorry for it; but if he is offender at common law is the only eviguilty of such a crime I must give him up; dence upon which a court-martial can and I do it with the utmost gratitude to the proceed to inflict the military punishment. friendly and compassionate Junius, who This being so, had a court-martial been requires no greater punishment for an ordered, or were it now ordered upon these offence of this heinous nature, than to ruin gentlemen, it would be a manifest violation the fortune and reputation of the person of their privileges as Englishmen: because the issue is not yet tried at common law: I must also congratulate you upon that and till the offenders are tried and concandour and moderation with which you victed at law, there exists no medium on

This doctrine may be new to you: but it which I told you was intended. This cir- is not so to any man acquainted with the camstance affords so striking a proof of constitution of which you pretend to be an your humanity, that you leave me at a loss assertor. The single point in dispute between us is, whether the ministry acted But raillery apart. Have you really for- properly or improperly in the affair of gengot, or are you so supine, that you could eral Gansel's rescue? You say the minister ought to have ordered a court-martial to try the officers concerned in it immediately; and because he did not you accuse him to the public. I, on the other hand. affirm, that a court-martial ought not to have been called immediately; that the officers court-martial might possibly prejudge the could not have been tried consistently with civil action intended to be carried on by the the laws of the land; and if the minister party injured. Some reasons for this were had acted otherwise than he did, he would have been guilty of a very gross violation of a patriot at least. But I have learned by our rights. The reasons on which we much observation that nothing will satisfy found this difference in opinion are before the public, and it will judge of them without respect to you or to me. Whether the parties have denied the facts or not, whether vet I am persuaded every man, who knows colonel Salter speaks or holds his tongue. or values our constitution, will Le convinced are very immaterial circumstances; but if my position is right, lord Barrington has By the articles of war a military officer not neglected his duty in not moving the who shall oppose or resist the civil magis- king to order a court-martial, which the trate in the execution of his duty, shall be king could not order in the present state of cashiered; and the only question that re- the case, consistently with that tender remains is, in what manner can the offence gard which his Majesty has ever shown for be ascertained? I maintain that a court- the civil rights of his subjects. To answer martial cannot enter into the question of directly the question you ask, whether or fact, by leading evidence to prove that the not the officers are to be tried? is impossioffence was committed, because this would ble. It is a future event, and though the be to deprive an Englishman of his right of present intention of the ministry is, I betrial for civil offences by jury. The legal lieve, favourable to the rancour of Junius, and constitutional method of procedure in no man can tell what time may produce. these cases is a common trial at law for a But the question is certainly premature,

the civil trial, which naturally and neces- that the officers of the guards will never be sarily must precede the court-martial.

altercation, save an honest indignation ex- bly be cashiered. cited by wur malevolence, ignorance, and misrepresentation. I foresaw the illiberal abuse to which I exposed myself, and I received it as it deserves to be received. will not do any of your allies the honour to take notice of them, but I recommend it to you to tie up that over-drove animal John Bull, who seems indeed to be stimulated to madness, that he may no longer profane a respectable name, but own that which he received from his godfathers and godmothers, viz. Patrick O'Bully.

MODESTUS.

LETTER LXVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC AD VERTISER.

SIR. 2 Dec. 1769.

I NEVER doubted that the unfortunate Modestus, if left to himself, would soon ruin himself and his clients. He has now fairly clinched the matter. In his by the violation of all public duties and letter of this day his whole defence of the private engagements. They still preserve duke of Grafton, and all the weight of his their natural mediocrity of character, and arguments against JUNIUS, are made to have as little chance of being honoured rest upon a supposed certainty that, when with the detestation, as with the esteem of the common law has taken its course, the their country. officers of the guards will be brought to a court-martial. Here then we join issue Hawke without concern. How unfortunate have elapsed since the rescue of general should have so little sense of propriety and Gansel, we are ready to admit that it is not decorum! I should be sorry to puzzle him yet too late for the minister to do his duty; with intricate questions either of policy or but if, notwithstanding the assurances given morals, but there are some distinctions us by Modastus, it should appear that there within the reach even of his understanding. never was an intention to bring these In his situation, it particularly became offenders to a trial, how will he answer it to him to regulate his conduct by the judgthe public, that he has dared to take up ment of the public. Though not exsuch a cause, and to impose so many gross pected to think for himself, he might have falsehoods upon our credulity? As a taken a generous part with the friends of friend, I would advise him to look out in his country, and still have been respected time for some plausible evasion. The for the integrity of his intentions. To what

come more properly before the public after thing they do, and I will venture to foretell brought to a court-martial, because their No motive engaged me to enter into this offence is so great, that they must inevita-

X. X.

LETTER LXIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

Sir, 5 March, 1770.

THERE is a certain set of men. who, upon almost every action of their lives, are insulted with the pity both of their enemies and their friends. They seem to have discovered the art of doing whatever is base and detestable, without forfeiting their claim to the public compassion. A bad man, with resolution and abilities, is a formidable being. His great qualities compensate for the absence of good ones, and though not entitled to esteem, secure him from contempt.-The persons I speak of are not in this predicament: they have nothing elevated in their vices. In vain do they labour to distinguish themselves

I canno mention the name of sir Edward with Modestus; and though near ten weeks it is that a heart, unacquainted with fear, ministry have singular reasons for every a poor, insignificant condition has he now ministration. What opinion can he de- tribute to its destruction. liver in the House of Commons? What Instead of the dignity of thundering out conduct. shaw.

day for the use of Mr Geary?

When so many Englishmen vacate their him with general Græme.4 places, it would be strange indeed if a

reduced himself! Behold him, at such a Admiralty! Proceed, sir Edward, in this conjuncture as the present, meanly keeping honourable line. Be a spendthrift of your possession of an office, which he owes to good name. We shall not quarrel with lord Chatham's friendship, and distin- your prodigality, for you have a right to guished as the only surviving minister (of waste the reputation you had acquired. those introduced into the cabinet by lord You once contributed largely to save this Chatham 1), who supports the present ad- country, and have a creditor's claim to con-

The indigent circumstances of lord Hertmeasures can he maintain in the cabinet? ford's family account for and justify their The same spirit of economy secrets of state from the gallery, we see the which animated the father to the sale of first lord of the Admiralty skulking into public employments in Ireland, revives in the House, just before a division, as if he the son, and finds the best market for the thought that everybody had heard the ammunition of the Warwickshire militia,2 peremptory message sent him by Mr Brad- Lord Hertford, general Conway, and lord Beauchamp are the very quintessence of As to his opinions in council, he must courtesy and candour. Undecided in their either adopt a new set of ideas, or, if he opinions, disengaged from all attachments, presumes to differ from his colleagues, must they support no measures without leaving silently submit to be over-ruled. On these room for explanation, and can reconcile the terms he may be permitted to keep an em- coldest indifference about the interests of ployment, which, since he sold his stock in others, with the warmest anxiety for their the beginning of the winter, produces own. It is unluckily the fate of these nothing, in addition to the salary, but the moderate, candid persons to be despised by means of providing for his friends. The all parties. In vain does the gentle Beauchoice of commodore Hill and admiral champ give the Treasury bench the negative Geary proves that he can discover latent assistance of his oratory; -in vain does his merit in the most unpromising subjects, honest father beg an audience for personal By this disposition of the command at solicitation in the closet. General Howard Chatham and Portsmouth, he seems to aim and the secretary at war have still spirit to at encouraging future services, rather than resist.3 The promotion goes in the regiin rewarding the past; and as to his ment, and the military achievements of the economy, was it possible to give a better younger Conway are left for future conproof of it, than by turning adrift a multi-sideration. Poor lord Hertford! what is tude of poor artificers to idleness and beg- this but a continuation of the duke of gary, on purpose to make up four pounds a Grafton's tyranny? From one minister we see him regularly kicked down to another. Admiral Holburne's services in America His nephew treats him like a footman, and have also been very properly considered. lord North, with still greater severity, vokes

My sincere compassion for lord Cornwallis Scot of such distinguished merit had been arises not so much from his quality as from left unprovided for. Sir Percy Brett resigns, his time of life. A young man by a spirited -Mr Holburne succeeds him, and sir conduct may atone for the deficiencies of Edward Hawke is still first lord of the his understanding. Where was the memory

See editor's note to Letter XXIII.-EDIT.

to desire that his son, a youth of twenty years being.

old, might be put over the heads of all general

² This youth goes by the name of Gunpowder
Beauchamp through the whole county.

³ Lord Hertford not long ago had the modesty

are spies in ordinary to the minister for the time

must he possess, when he resigns his place, sent administration is supported. It is vet continues in the support of adminis- unnecessary to enlarge the catalogue. Withtration, and, to show his independence, out name or description, they are distinmakes a parade of attending lord North's guished by a certain consciousness of shame levee, and pays a public homage to the which accompanies their actions. After deputy of lord Bute! Where is now his deserting one party, they dare not engage attachment, where are now his professions heartily with the other; and having reto lord Chatham; -his zeal for the Whig nounced their first sentiments and connexinterest of England, and his detestation of ions, are forced to proceed in the humble lord Bute, the Bedfords, and the Tories? track of voting as they are ordered, without Since the time at which these were the only party, principle, or friends. topics of his conversation. I presume he has shifted his company as well as his opinions. Will he tell the world to which of his uncles. or to what friend, to Philipson, or a Tory lord, he owes the advice which has directed his conduct? I will not press him further. The young man has taken a wise resolution at last, for he is retiring into a voluntary banishment, in hopes of recovering the ruin of his reputation.

These loose sketches are sufficient to mark to you the kind of character, which, with every quality that ought to make it odious, still continues pitiful, and is never important enough in mischief to excite indignation. I would not waste a thought in contriving the punishment or correction of such men: but it may be useful to the pub-

The letters under this signature are recognised by Junius in his Private Letter, No 56 .-

² The following are the particulars of the dispute which occurred in presenting the petition of March 6, 1770.

On Wednesday the 7th, the sheriffs attended at St James's, to know his Majesty's pleasure, when he would be waited on with the city address, remonstrance, and petition; they were detained till twenty minutes after two, when, the levee being over, they, with the remem-brancer, were admitted into the closet, when Mr Sheriff Townshend addressed himself to his Majesty in the following words:
May it please your Majesty,

By order of the lord mayor, aldermen, and livery of the city of London, in common-hall assembled, we have taken the earliest opportunity, as was our duty, to wait upon your Majesty; but, being prevented from having immediate access to your Majesty by one of your household, who informed us, that it was your Majesty's pleasure to receive us this day after the levee, we wait on your Majesty, humbly to know when your Majesty will please to be

of this noble lord, or what kind of intellects lic to see by what sort of creatures the pre-

DOMITIAN.1

LETTER LXX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

10 March, 1770.

No man is more warmly attached to the best of princes than I am. I reverence his personal virtues, as much as I respect his understanding, and am happy to find myself under the government of a prince, whose temper and abilities do equal honour to his character. At the same time. I confess. I did not hear without astonishment of the answer which some evil-minded counsellors advised him to return to the sheriffs of the city of London. For a king

attended with an humble address, remonstrance, and petition.

To which his Majesty was pleased to return

the following answer:
'As the case is entirely new, I will take time to consider of it, and transmit you an answer by one of my principal secretaries of state.

On Thursday evening the sheriffs received the following letter from lord Weymouth:

Gentlemen, St James's, March 8, 1770. The king commands me to inform you, in consequence of the message which you brought yesterday to St James's, that he is always ready to receive applications from any of his subjects; but as the present case of address, remonstrance, and petition, seems entirely new, I am commanded to enquire of you in what manner it is authenticated, and what the nature of the as-sembly was, in which this measure was adopted? -When you furnish me with answers to these questions, I shall signify to you his Majesty's further pleasure.

'I am, Gentlemen, 'Your most obedient idon.' 'humble servant, ' Sheriffs of London.' WEYMOUTH.

On the next day the sheriffs went to St Tames's, and after waiting some time, lord Bolingbroke came out, and enquired whether he was to tell came out, and enquired whether he was to tell his Majesty that they came with a fresh message, or with a message? The sheriffs answered, with a message. Soon after the two secretaries of state, lord Rochford and lord Weymouth, came to the sheriffs. Lord Weymouth asked them 'whether they had received his letter, which was written by his Majesty's order?'

Sheriffs. 'We have'.

Lord Weinstell'. 'His Majesty desires to

Lord Weymouth, 'His Majesty desires to know whether you come in consequence of that letter; or whether you come on any fresh business?

Sheriffs, 'We come in consequence of that authenticated as their act,'
To which his Majesty replied as follows: letter.

Lord Weymouth, 'Would it not be more proper to send an answer in writing through me? Sheriffs. 'We act ministerially. As sheriffs of London we have a right to an audience; and cannot communicate to any other person than the

king, the subject of our message. Lord Weymouth. 'I do not dispute your right to an audience; but would it not be better and more accurate to give your message to me

in writing?'
Sheriffs. 'We know the value and consequence of the citizens' right to apply immediately to the king, and not to a third person; and we do not mean that any of their rights and privileges shall

be betrayed by our means.'

Lord Weymouth then said, 'His Majesty understanding that you come ministerially authorized with a message from the city of London, will see you as soon as the levee is over;' and being introduced accordingly, Mr Sheriff Townshend addressed his Majesty in these words:

of Great Britain to take time to consider, sheriffs, I presume, will hardly venture to whether he will or will not receive a petition satisfy so unusual an inquiry upon their own from his subjects, seems to me to amount bare authority. They will naturally move to this, that he will take time to consider the lord mayor to summon another comwhether he will or will not adhere to the mon-hall, to answer for themselves; and fourth article of the Declaration of Rights. then, I doubt not, the corporation of the One would think that this could never have city of London will fully explain, to those been a question in the mind of so gracious whom it may concern, who they are, and a prince, if there were not some very dan- what is the nature of their assembly. After gerous advice given in the closet. I now all, Sir, I do not apprehend that the prohear that it has been signified to the priety of the king's receiving a petition from sheriffs, that his Majesty cannot receive the any of his subjects depends in the least upon petition, until he is informed of the nature their quality or situation. He is bound by of the assembly in which it was composed. the Declaration and subsequent Bill of A king indeed is not obliged to understand Rights to receive all petitions from his subthe political forms and constitution of every jects. What notice or answer the contents corporation in his dominions, but his min- of them may deserve, must be considered isters must be uncommonly ignorant who afterwards. To refuse the petition itself is could not save him the embarrassment of against law. I am persuaded, however, asking such a question concerning the first that nothing can be further from the intenbody corporate perhaps in the world. The tion of our gracious sovereign, than to

'MAY IT PLEASE YOUR MAJESTY.

'When we had last the honour to appear before your Majesty, your Majesty was graciously pleased to promise an answer by one of your Ma-jesty's principal secretaries of state; but we had yesterday questions proposed to us by lord Weymouth. In answer to which we beg leave humbly to inform your Majesty, that the application which we make to your Majesty, we make as sheriffs of the city of London, by the direction of the livery in common-hall legally assembled. The address, remonstrance, and petition, to be pre-sented to your Majesty by their chief magistrate, is the act of the citizens of London in their greatest court; and is ordered by them to be properly

'I will consider of the answer you have given

Whereupon the sheriffs withdrew. On the Monday following the sheriffs received the subjoined letter.

' St James's, 12 March, 1770. 'GENTLEMEN.

'The king has commanded me to signify to you his Majesty's pleasure that he will receive on Wednesday next, at two o'clock in the after-noon, the address, remonstrance, and petition, which you have informed his Majesty is to be presented by the chief magistrate of the city of London.

'I am, Gentlemen,
'Your most obedient
don.' 'humble Servant, 'Sheriffs of London.' 'WEYMOUTH.'

The address, &c. was presented accordingly, and for a copy of it see editor's note to Letter XXXVII.-EDIT.

offer a gross affront to the whole city of ministerial gratitude has spoken for himself. London. It is evident that the ministry either mean to gain time for carrying some thy, but much injured man, at once conpoor counter-measure, by means of the vince and undeceive the public. wretched dependants of the court, or to them, that if a person should exist, who intimidate the city magistrates, and deter dares even to insinuate that the following them from doing their duty. I think it relation is founded upon stubborn facts. therefore absolutely necessary for us to he is a gross defamer of unbiassed honour. rouse in defence of the honour of the city, and would extend that rancorous abuse. and demonstrate to the ministry, by the which hitherto has preved upon the fairest spirit and vigour of our proceedings, that and most courtly characters, till it asperse we are not what they are pleased to repre- your own. sent us, the scum of the earth, and the vilest and basest of mankind.

MODERATUS.1

LETTER LXXI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

26 June, 1770.

THAT we may be quietly governed is a very proper petition in the service of the had dismissed the chancellor,2 and pro-oblige sir James Lowther. cured a pension, inadequate, indeed, to

² The dismissed chancellor was lord Camden.

-EDIT.

Come forward, Mr Bradshaw, thou wor-

Mrs Allenby entered into an engagement with Miss Bradshaw in behalf of Mr Allenby, her husband. It was stipulated that she should give into Miss Bradshaw's hands the sum of six hundred pounds, which was to have been the purchase-money of the place of surveyor of the pines in America. An application was soon afterwards made for the same place by captain P---, who promised that on receiving it he would pay down the sum of eight hundred pounds. In consequence of this promise, the name Church of England. If the worst men of Mr Allenby, already inserted in the list should be put in authority under the king, of intended promotions, was erased, and they will think it politic to counteract the the blank filled up with the name of captain prayers of the people, and indifferently P---, to which was added a written asserminister injustice, to the punishment of tion that his appointment was owing to Mr virtue, and the maintenance of vice. The Allenby's having chosen to decline going. duke of Grafton has devoted himself to When this affair was examined at the board these principles with all the fervour of an of treasury, Mrs Allenby was asked where enthusiast, nor can we avoid lamenting her husband was during this transaction. that so inflexible a bigot should still have She answered, 'in Cumberland, assisting failed of martyrdom. His Grace has in the support of the Portland interest, triumphed over the last moments of his when Mr Robinson and Mr Jenkinson power, nor permitted its extinction till he were doing what mischief they could to

The latter part of Mrs Allenby's declaraformer merits for the truly honest Mr Brad-tion occasioned some little entertainment. shaw.8 The first occurrence has been She was ignorant that the two intimate sufficiently canvassed; the propriety with friends of the earl of Bute, whose characwhich his Grace has effected the second ters she was then drawing, were actually occurrence, cannot possibly be felt through present. Mr Bradshaw pleaded in excuse all its force, till the deserving object of that his sister, a milliner near Moorfields. was solely concerned in this business.

4 Who the person here alluded to is, cannot be ascertained,-EDIT.

Letter XXXI., which, in the genuine edition, is signed Philo Junius, had, when it originally appeared in the Public Advertiser, the signature of Moderatus affixed to it .- EDIT.

³ Mr Bradshaw, as often observed before, was the duke of Grafton's secretary.-EDIT.

When Mr Cooper mentioned to Mr Brad- Labourer in the public Cause, 1 has a claim they imply preceding guilt.

themselves of any guilt.

Q IN THE CORNER.

LETTER LXXII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 27 June, 1770. Your correspondent, A Fellow-

A letter under the above signature appeared on the preceding day, recommending Mr Wilkes to stand forward as a candidate for the city of London on the death of alderman Beckford,-

In such popular detestation was the conduct of the ministry and parliament held, with respect to their proceedings in the Middlesex election, that Mr Alderman Townshend went so far as to try the legality of the act of parliament for raising the land-tax, the alderman caving refused to pay it on the pretence that the intrusion of Mr Luttrell had vitiated the parliament, and negatived its power.

The trial took place June 9, 1772, and the fol-lowing account of it is extracted from the Public Advertiser of the ensuing day,

Yesterday came on in the Court of King's Bench the long-expected cause between Mr Alderman Townshend and the collector of the land-tax. Lord Mansfield had appointed the trial for nine o'clock precisely; but he delayed it till near eleven, waiting for the attorney-general, who did not attend. The cause was opened to the control of the control by Mr Davenport; after which Mr Serjeant Glynn addressed the jury, and informed them that in common cases it was the custom to content themselves with proving the trespass, and then leave the justification of it to the defendant; but he said the present case required a further

shaw an intention of lodging a complaint to our attention, rather from the liberality against him, he burst into tears.—They and candour with which he has stated his could not have been tears of penitence, or ideas, than from the force of argument with which he has supported them. He seems When Mr Bradshaw shall have excul- to have forgotten that the national resentpated his conduct, which cannot be arraign- ment has not been so much excited by the ed without injustice, he may, perhaps, exclusion of Mr Wilkes as by the insertion become a conspicuous instance of the pre- of Mr Luttrell. He does not seem to be valence of example. The voice of injured aware that the discussion of the great quesinnocence may sound within a neighbour- tion can never be brought on in a new ing quarter; and, as the ostensible premier mode as long as Mr Wilkes is to be the may be questioned on a similar occasion, ground-work of the debate: that the arguhis Lordship will have an opportunity to ments for incapacitation of that gentleman revive this long-forgotten truth. However were merely personal: that they respected contemptibly the world may judge of min- the member returned, without any reference isters of state, they are not conscious to to the constituents; and, therefore, that the substitution of other constituents can effect no alteration in the case whilst the person returned continued the same.

Your correspondent would likewise have done well to have borne in mind that the livery of London have, by the most authentic act of the corporation, declared to the world, that the intrusion of Mr Luttrell has vitiated the present parliament.2 With

constitutional point upon which the valuable rights of the whole nation depended. He said, he was directed by his client, Mr Townshend, to conduct the cause as its importance demanded: that therefore he should wave all the informalities in the collector's proceedings: he would admit him likewise to be collector, and that he was authorized by the commissioners : that the single ground of his pleading would be that the commissioners themselves were not authorized : for that a House of Commons legally chosen by the people are alone empowered to levy taxes in this country; and he said, he insisted and would prove by evidence that the persons who passed the act of parliament (under which the collector the act of parameter (under which the control had seized Mr Townshend's hay) were improperly called a House of Commons, because they were illegally and defectively constituted. He were illegally and defectively constituted. said that to the making of all laws and the levying of all taxes, it was formerly necessary that every freeholder should assent individually; and especially before a tax was to be levied the constituents formerly were first referred to, because they were to consent to what they were to pay. Custom and usage (he said) had now made it common for the representatives in parliament to speak for the people, and this was considered the same as the consent of the people, because they were freely chosen by the people for that pur-pose; and after every election a formal letdiscussion from him; that it was an important ter of attorney (the indenture) is always given

Wilkes into the House is in itself a circum- 'tremble at the thoughts of. in the House of Commons a representative bers suffer with it." of the city of London.

Upon the plan of your correspondent, the prosecutors, indeed, will be changed,

by the electors to the person they have chosen. He said, that this implied consent of the people by their representatives depended entirely on their having the free choice of their representatives: for that if their freedom of choice was invaded, the reference and implica-tion were destroyed, and the people would no longer have any the least consent in the making of laws or levying of taxes; but that their lives and their property would be absolutely at the mercy of any set of men who should call them-selves a parliament, corrupted by the revenue, and supported by the troops of a weak or a wacked tyrant. He said, that this, as far at least as it related to representation, was the case with the present persons who call themselves a House; for which, he said, as they were not chosen, so neither are they acknowledged, by the people: the county of Middlesex, he said, was not represented: that one of the members legally chosen by the county had been forcibly and illegally excluded; and another person as illegally and forcibly substituted in his room. Mr Glynn then gave a very striking account of the absurdity and impudence of Mr Luttrell's pretensions, and of the inform of the information of the inform implicates of our —, and his abettors and accomplice. He said, the present pretended House of Commons had superseded the election of the county by an unwarrantable resolution of their own; and had, by so doing, seized into their own hands and for their own use and emolument, the birth-right of all the people of Eng-land. He proved in the clearest manner that the pretence of Mr Wilkes's incapacity does not exist in the law: and that the people's right of repreand the law. And that the people's right of representation is less than a name if the House of Commons has an indefinite power of expulsion. Mr Glynn said he would produce unquestionable evidence to the points on which he had rested the merits of his cause; notwithstanding that he thought it unnecessary, because the facts were

what consistency then can the same body but the cause will still be the same. It is of men subscribe to the integrity of the in the power of administration alone to same parliament upon any other terms than vary and extend the cause, by arbitrarily the previous extermination of the contam- incapacitating another member legally electinating object? The introduction of Mr ed; a measure which they do in truth

stance of little importance. If parliament In conclusion: the restoration of parliaand the county of Middlesex had gone on ment must begin in the person of Mr Lutin an eternal circulation of expulsions and trell; nor can the injury to the people of returns, the essence of that assembly would England be heightened in the person of Mr not have been affected. The indispensable Wilkes. Every county, every borough, is point is, that the corrupt member should already as essentially affected as the county be lopped off; a point that will hardly be of Middlesex. It is an eternal truth in the compassed by an event of such indifference political as well as the mystical body, that to the public as the mere seating Mr Wilkes 'where one member suffers, all the mem-

I am. A LABOURER IN THE SAME CAUSE.

so notorious and so well known to the jury themselves, that they could of their own knowledge, agreeably to the laws of the land, give a verdict

for the plaintiff even without any evidence.

As soon as Mr Glynn had finished his speech
and was directing the evidence to be called, Mr Wallace (the king's counsellor) produced a printed "per, which he said was the act of parliament

which the collector levied the tax. As for the
objection that had been made by Mr Glynn
relative to the seat of one of the members, or of the legality of the parliament, he said the courts of Westminster-hall had no power to determine.

Lord Mansfield then rose and said, that he perceived Mr Glynn wanted that court to retry the judgment of the House of Commons touching the case of the Middlesex election: That is, said his Lordship, he wants to prove that the legislature is dissolved; and that all the acts of parliament made since the year 1769 are void. The evidence which Mr Glynn wants to produce is not by law admissible, and I will not suffer it to not by law admissible, and I will not suiter it to be given.—"Gentlemen of the jury, you will find for the defendant."—The clerk then hurried over the form, and said,—"Gentlemen of the jury, hearken to your verdict, &c., you find for the defendant, and so you say all."—Whereenpon one of the jury, Mr Long, said that he did not con-sent to that verdict. This dissent caused some embarrassment to lord Mansfield, which he soon got over by saying, 'Gentlemen, you are sworn to give a verdict according to the evidence; now no evidence has been produced to you against the defendant; therefore you must find for him. You cannot try facts by notoriety, that is not law, you must go by evidence, and you have heard no evidence, you must find for the defendant.

The jury accordingly acquitted the defendant -EDIT.

LETTER LXXIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

Southampton-street, Bloomsbury, SIR. 27 Fune, 1770.

HAVING, to my great surprise. seen in a letter published in your paper of yesterday, signed Q in the Corner, the following paragraph :

Bradshaw an intention of lodging a complaint against hir., he burst into tears.'

justice to declare, that the whole of this present. assertion is false and groundless: I never lodging a complaint against him; I never heard of any such intention; and I do not know of any circumstance whatsoever that can justify the least imputation on Mr Bradshaw of the nature intended to be conveyed by the said letter.

> I am, Sir, Your humble servant. GREY COOPER.

LETTER LXXIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

30 June, 1770.

I RECEIVED the circumstance of Mr Bradshaw's having burst into tears from an authority which I thought at least equal to Mr Cooper's, and therefore I believed and asserted it. I now perceive that I was mistaken, do willingly give up so capital a point in Mr Bradshaw's case, and join with his honourable friend in declaring, that he has not wept at all about the matter.

I have a high opinion of Mr Cooper's integrity, but a much higher of Mr Bradshaw's. I find the fair image of truth in the first, in the last I expect to meet an

of any circumstance whatsoever, that can justify the least imputation on him of the nature which seems to Mr Cooper to have been conveyed by a letter in this paper.'

I allow Mr Cooper's evidence as far as it relates to the falling of some few tears to be entirely decisive; but I am not so courtly as to infer from Mr Cooper's absolute ignorance of the subject an equal one in Mr Bradshaw. It is from Mr Bradshaw. who must know something more of the 'When Mr Cooper mentioned to Mr matter than Mr Cooper, that I expect to be told, that no board was ever held at which this particular subject was introduced. I think myself bound in honour and in and that Mrs Allenby was not at that time

May I presume humbly to enquire of Mr mentioned to Mr Bradshaw any intention of Bradshaw if Mr Dyson did not at that time examine Mrs Allenby; if he did not attempt to browbeat her; and if a noble Lord had not the humanity to interfere?

After all, it may be worth remarking, that Mr Cooper's testimony seems to relate only to his own intentions with respect to lodging a complaint, and his own ideas of the imputations that should arise from transactions of this nature.

I am. Sir. Your humble servant, Q IN THE CORNER.

LETTER LXXV.

For the Public Advertiser.

7 July, 1770.

TO THOMAS BRADSHAW, ESQ.

Your honourable colleague, Mr Cooper, bore witness to your innocence. So full a vindication was superfluous. I dare answer for it, that the opinion which the public had conceived of your integrity is still unaltered; it could not have been lessened although your champion never had appeared; nor has his entrance within the lists at all increased it. I took the liberty oracle. Why will not Mr Bradshaw be so to appeal from his decision to your own: obliging as to step forward, and declare you seem determined to be silent. Perhaps upon his honour, that he 'does not know the rigour of your situation deprives you of any choice between the sacrifice of truth impulse of passion, or the suggestion of ineligible to let this matter die away. Con- sist it. sult the feelings of your heart, and they will tell you that the public forms of justice can extorted, was sufficient to enlighten the avail but little. They will not either yield understandings of the whole nation. Everya shelter to yourself, or enable you to direct body perceived that one such instance. the storm against another. I have not supporting itself on a general claim, was written from conjecture, nor can you be equivalent to, and (like an universal proignorant that I have drawn my intelligence position) comprehended a thousand. It did from its first source, and not the common not require the sagacity of a Hampden to falsities of the day. There is a place which deduce the consequences; but it called for once was called the House of Prayer; I all his spirit to oppose them. leave it to men more versed in Scripture phrases than myself to tell you what it is at rigorous consistency the city of London present. Should you hereafter think it ought not to return any representative' to proper to discuss this subject there, you St Stephen's Chapel; I am more ready to possibly may find an individual in that dispense with 'the attendance of some of virtuous congregation who will assist the the present city members.' But I am still hitherto ineffectual inquiries of

Q IN THE CORNER.

LETTER LXXVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 7 July, 1770.

I FIND myself engaged at once with two antagonists of very different complexions. I must content myself, however, with opposing the same obvious reasoning of a plain man, to the cool circumspect address of The Fellow-labourer in the public Cause, and to the rapid, eager precipitation of his supporter. The latter of these gentlemen, with a temperance that does not seem violence. Every other conceivable method to belong to him, is peremptorily of ought first to be eagerly adopted, and opinion, 'that when a particular injustice earnestly pursued. Something may be exis founded on, and supported by, a general pected from time, from importunity, from principle, the appeal should no longer be fear; perhaps something even from conmade to the passions, but to the wisdom of science and remorse. And if, at length, the people.' The reverse is, I believe, without coming to extremities, the integrity invariably true. Prudence may incline us of the legislature should be restored, the to forget the injury of a moment, the tyrannical decisions of an unauthorized

and of yourself. You nobly hesitate to caprice. Let the same injury be offered make the first, and tacitly confess, that in to us with all the insolence of authority, one heedless moment throughout a life of or even let the authority be pretended to unpolluted honesty you may have been to without any actual exertion, and wisdom blame. Pechaps you do not think it quite herself shall call forth every passion to re-

A simple tax of a few shillings, illegally

I am ready to acknowledge, that 'in willing to admit the necessity of their departing a little from that rigour-because I see no medium between such a temporary accommodation, and either the miseries of oivil bloodshed, or (what is infinitely more to be deprecated) the established tranquillity of servitude.

The right of resistance on the part of the people, is the ultimate sanction of our civil liberties. But God forbid that we should be too critically exact in defining the precise boundary where the exertion of that right becomes a duty. The distresses of an intestine war are known, and inevitable; the event precarious. It may be better to submit, for a time, to what even is an irregularity in the most essential part of the state, than instantly to seek redress by a legitimate parliament.

distinction introduced by this correspondthat the city should adhere to their constitutional speculation, and insist that Mr Wilkes is actual representative of Middlesex; although they may without blame, perhaps, acquiesce, for a time, in the prooeedings of an assembly, to which they cannot even allow the rank of a convention.

For the sake of peace they may be justified in returning Mr Oliver. For the sake and dignity of the state, Mr Wilkes must not be allowed to quit 'the sure ground on which he stands,' to borrow an expression of his own in an address to his constituents.

But, it seems, 'if Mr Wilkes were returned by the city, and admitted to take ·his seat, the unconstitutional principle would be ipso facto overturned.' Let us see, then, how the argument will stand. If the admission of Mr Wilkes would abso facto overturn the unconstitutional principle, undoubtedly the continuation of Mr Luttrell must ipso facto perpatuate it .-What is this but to make the House of Commons such an absurd monster in politics, as has never yet disgraced the reason or the patience of mankind: a legislative body subsisting by two principles (each in its full force and energy) equal, contrary, and mutually destructive.

The Fellow-labourer of this day has, indeed, candidly admitted, that the extermination of Mr Luttrell is the indispensable point, if your other correspondent, who absolutely denies the position, will indulge me in the phrase. Mr Luttre'l holds his seat by a very different title from a common

assembly will of course be abrogated: their return. In the latter instance, the jurisdicuseful acts may receive a ratification from tion of the House is competent; nor has the constitution hitherto provided an appeal You perceive, Sir, that I am not here from their decision. In the case before us. maintaining the doctrine asserted in the a new and unheard of power is supposed city remonstrance, but arguing from it. to be usurped, and rights beyond the reach Yet I must beg leave to observe, that the of the whole legislature, I mean the fundamental rights of the people, invaded by a ent, between a speculative and a practical third part of it. By this invasion Mr parliament, a parliament de jure and de Luttrell was seated; upon this principle facto, is equally novel and monstrous. On the return was amended by the House. this account I cannot but be of opinion and his name inserted; and it is in consequence of that alteration that he still ranks as a member of parliament. As long. therefore, as he shall be permitted to sit there, so long will the principle be in force. For in the House of Commons, as in every other court, prove the jurisdiction to be incompetent to the case, and the adjudication falls to the ground.

It appears to me that both your correnot merely of consistency, but of the safety spondents have contemplated this subject in too confined a view. For my own part, I think too highly of Mr Wilkes's services to the state, and of the sacredness of our common cause, to wish either one or the other to be made a mere engine of party. or a scarecrow of opposition. But since the gentlemen from whom I dissent have delivered their sentiments concerning the effect which the proposed measure would probably produce in the House of Commons and in ministry, I too, in my turn, will venture to pronounce, that nothing is so ardently desired by either, as a separation between the county of Middlesex and Mr Wilkes.

I am, Sir, Your humble servant, A LABOURER IN THE SAME CAUSE.

LETTER LXXVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 19 November, 1770.

A FEW days ago I was in a large determination in the case of a contested public company, where there happened which of them ought to be punished.

TESTIS.

LETTER LXXVIII.2

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

24 November, 1770.

I HAVE never joined in the severe censures which have lately been thrown jesty's judgment in military matters. Our

some curious conversation. The secretary upon lord Barrington. The formal declaraat war was pleased to express himself tion he was pleased to make (for the inwith unusual simplicity and candour. He formation of the House of Commons and assured us that, after having carefully con- of this country), with respect to the shamesidered the subject, he did not know a ful ignorance and incapacity of all the single general officer (out of near a hundred general officers, without exception, may, now in the service) who was in any shape for aught I know, be extremely well qualified to command the army; and for founded; and if it were not so, I do not fear we should not believe him, repeated consider the viscount as a free agent. He and inforced his assertion five several times. undoubtedly meant no more than, as a You will allow, Sir, that, at the eve of a dutiful servant, to obey the orders, and to foreign war, this is pretty comfortable in- express the sentiments, of his royal master. teiligence for the nation, especially as it The secretary at war, it is true, has a mulcomes from authority. He gave us some titude of enemies, but the bitterest of them consolation, however, by assuring us that will not affirm that he is positively an he and general Hervey would take excel- idiot, without a single ray of understanding. lent care of the army, and compared him- That would be going a little too far. Yet self (not unhappily) to an old woman cur- he must certainly be the very weakest of ing an ague with the assistance of doctor the human species, if without any plan or Radcliff,—I don't so much question Mr purpose whatsoever, he loaded himself with Hervey's being able to give good advice, the hatred and resentment of so large and as that other little man's being either will- powerful a body of men as the general ing or able to follow it; but I should be officers. This, I think, is too absurd to be glad to know which of them is to be re- supposed. Yet I do not pretend to deny sponsible to the country for the manage- the fact. On the contrary, I mean to ment of the army, or whether they are account for it upon clear and rational invested with equal powers? Is lord Bar- principles.—If it be the king's intention rington the marksman and general Hervey (as we have sufficient reason to think it is) only the stalking-horse? Or does the latter to govern the army himself (by which command, and that other only do as he is means the disposal of commissions, like bid? This point, I think, ought to be ex- every thing else, will ultimately centre in plained; for if we don't know who com- Carlton House), the first step is to possess mands the army, and any mischief should the public with an opinion, that this meahappen, the secretary at war and adjutant- sure is not of choice but necessity. When general will of course lay the blame upon the secretary at war has informed the each other, and the nation never know House of Commons, in the name of his gracious master (for it is not to be suspected that he spoke for himself), that all his general officers were no better than drivellers, it follows of course that the secretary at war, with the adjutant-general's advice, must be the ostensible manager of the army; and then you see, Sir, every thing goes on as her Royal Highness the princess dowager of Wales would have it.

Far be it from me to impeach his Magracious sovereign cannot possibly have a

companied this letter, was written, by the author, agine. C.'-F.DIT.

Lord Viscount Barrington.
On the outside of Note No. 25, which active enclosed strikes deeper than you may im-

one would think that, as soldiers and gen- the shape of a candle-snuffer. tlemen, they might show a little spirit when -beasts of burthen!

had hazarded their lives and spent their self with firmness and propriety. them to their faces, and the next moment wrote to his wife that they were a base. mutinous set of mongrels, whom he was happy to get rid of.

TESTICULUS.

LETTER LXXIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 8 Dec. 1770.

A REPORT prevails that the late head of the Admiralty.—I thought JUNIUS

meaner opinion of his general officers than fitness of reducing all men to their proper I have. Yet I own there is one circum- level, there will be a novelty in the public stance that a little surprises me. These entertainment, when we see the same poor creatures, it is agreed on all hands, wretched stroller, who strutted yesterday in have neither capacity nor experience; but Othello, creeping upon the stage to-day in

In the article of firmness, I think this they are insulted. What, --- will they go young man's character is universally given to court again, to bow and cringe - and up; but I observe there is still an opinion fawn upon * * * * who orders his maintained by some people, that, in point official servant to point them out to their of ability, he is not deficient. For my own country, as a knot of idiots-asses-mules part, Sir, I never could discover upon what foundation that opinion rested. Let it be This affair, Sir (as many other circum- fairly tried by the two great, decisive tests stances do, and more important ones may of the human understanding-conduct and do hereafter), puts me in mind of the sin- discourse. These, I know, are sometimes cere, honest, candid character of that pious at variance with each other. An ingenious prince. Charles the First. When a great man may act very absurdly, and we frenumber of the first people of this country quently see a dull fellow conduct himfortunes in his defence, and when, in the is the duke's misfortune that he fails last instance, they had formed a convention equally in both articles :- that he neither at Oxford, which, if not a parliament, was acts with judgment, nor speaks with ability. at least a meeting highly respectable, what Look at his conduct from the outset :- I return did they receive from that devout, mean with a reference not to the treachery. religious, grateful monarch? He flattered but to the folly of the man. His earliest personal attachment in life was to the duke of Portland; that friendship he has foolishly dissolved, without succeeding in his purpose, to oblige sir James Lowther .-His first public connexion was with lord Rockingham. That too is lost, together with the friendship of lord Chatham, for which he sacrificed the marquis. For the solidity of his union with lord Chatham he pledged himself to the public by some very uncommon declarations both abroad and in parliament. Yet from this union and his subsequent friendship, with lord Granby and premier is very soon to be placed at the lord Camden, the cajolery of the closet soon seduced him. His easy virtue is not had fairly hissed him off the stage. But made for resistance.-To support his last since he adventures again to appear before plan, we have seen him renounce not only the public, let me do justice to his modesty, all these successive connexions, but every and commend him for his discretion, in political idea, opinion, and principle of his sinking to an inferior character. I should former life, and throw himself, body and be sorry to interrupt so natural a descent. soul, Into the arms of the Bedfords. Here By dropping gradually from part to part, at least he might have stopped, since there he may in time arrive at something that was not another party in the kingdom to will suit his capacity. Besides the moral which it was possible for him to transfer his affections. He had gone resolutely through be first lord of the Admiralty, that lord which he had involved them.

pretty well over, what plan do you think this worthy, resolute young man pursues at

the whole drudgery of the Middlesex elec- North can hardly keep the fawning creature tion. He had paid governor Burgoyne's from under his feet .- Now, Sir, let any expenses very handsomely by the sale of man living. I care not whether friend or that patent to Mr Hine, which the right foe, review this summary of his life, and honourable the House of Commons have tell us in what instance he has discovered a not yet thought proper to inquire into. single ray of wisdom, solidity, or judgment?

He had shown fortitude enough to drop As to the other test of his abilities. I the prosecution of Mr Vaughan, though mean his talent for talking in public. I can urged, insulted, braved to it by every speak with greater precision, for I have stimulus that could touch the feelings of a often had the honour of hearing him. man; and, in conclusion, he had made With a very solemn and plausible delivery. himself accessory to the untimely death of he has a set of thoughts, or rather of words Mr Yorke.-I say accessory, because he resembling thoughts, which may be applied was certainly not the principal actor in that indifferently, and with equal success, to all most atrocious business. After all, Sir, possible subjects. There is this singular when it was impossible for him to add to advantage in his Grace's method of dishis guiltiness, a panic seizes him, he begins course, that, if it were once admitted that to measure his expectations by the sense of he spoke well upon any one given topic, it his deserts, a visionary gibbet appears be- would inevitably follow that he was qualfore his eyes, he flies from his post, sur- ified to deliver himself happily upon every renders to another the reward due to his subject whatsoever. He would be ipso honourable services, and leaves his king facto an universal orator. Accept of the and country to extricate themselves, if they following specimen of his Grace's elocan, from the distress and confusion in quence, and, I promise you, you will be as well able to judge of his oratorial powers. The danger, as he conceives, being now as if you had heard him a thousand times.

'My Lords,

'When I came into the House this day, I present? While he was first lord of the protest I did not think it possible, -indeed Treasury, it is well known (and I speak I had formed in my own breast a resolution from knowledge when I assert) that he to the contrary-but, my Lords, I really never treated lord North even with the thought it impossible that I should be common civility due to his clerk. I appeal compelled to trouble your Lordships with to lord North himself, and to every clerk my poor thoughts upon the question before in the Treasury (particularly to Grey Coo- your Lordships.-I never do presume to per), whether it was not known to be a trouble your Lordships at any time without difficult matter for the chancellor of the always feeling a pain, -an internal regret,-Exchequer to obtain an audience even of a degree of uneasiness, which I can with Mr Thomas Bradshaw. Would you be-truth assure your Lordships (and I flatter lieve it possible, Sir, that, after these facts, myself that I shall find credit with every this very duke of Grafton can be so de-noble lord, who hears me), it is not easy for graded, so lost to every sensation of pride, me to have the honour of describing to of dignity, and decorum, as to be a sup- your Lordships. My Lords, I am called pliant beggar for employment to this very upon, as I humbly conceive, and I appeal lord North? Yet so it is; and, if I were boldly not only to the candour of noble to tell you with what circumstances of hu- lords, but to your Lordships' severest miliation he accompanies his suit to the judgment, whether I am not compelled to minister, the narrative would be nauseous declare my sentiments, as explicitly as I and fulsome. He is so very impatient to now do, upon the motion upon your Lordships' table. Upon this ground, my Lords, honour of their members, and the credit of I meet the noble Lord without fear, though their eloquence, by ordering all strangers I respect his superior abilities, and I pledge myself to your Lordships for the truth of what I assert. Otherwise, my Lords, if is made general; their Lordships very facts were not as I have stated them, where will your Lordships draw the line? My the House of Commons are no more fit to Lords, I am really astonished, -yet indeed, my Lords, I ought not to be astonished. The question has been handled with so much ability by other noble lords that I shall content myself with this simple, unadorned declaration of my opinion. Yet I the House of Lords was pleased to sumcould quote cases, my Lords, which I acci- mon all the Lords to attend on Monday dentally met with this morning in the last, on purpose to inform their Lordships course of my reading, which, I doubt not, collectively in what corner of the House would convince your Lordships, if convic- each Lord separately might find waste tion were in question. But I fear I have paper for his necessary occasions. N. B. troubled your Lordships too long. I shall It seems to be the fate of this unhappy therefore return to the leading proposition, paper (which always brings nasty ideas which I had the honour of setting out with it) to be produced in a most unseemly with, and move for an immediate adjourn- manner. In the Court of King's Bench, ment.'

learned Scriblerus calls rigmarole in logic, - DICIAL. - In the House of Lords, it was riddlemeree among schoolboys,-and in only silly and ridiculous.-What a strange vulgar acceptation, Three blue beans in a untipathy some men have to a record! blue bladder. It is the perpetual parturi- When they dare not erase, they fairly take ence of a mountain, and the never-failing post and travel out of it. delivery of a mouse.

I am, Sir, Your humble servant. DOMITIAN.

LETTER LXXX.

For the Public Advertiser.

13 Dec. 1770.

CHAPTER OF FACTS, OR MATE-RIALS FOR HISTORY.

I. THE House of Lords, justly offended at the accuracy and precision, with which a certain noble duke's oration ! has been delivered to the public, and concluding that the very words must have been taken down in writing by some foreign enemy, have determined to preserve the

to be carefully excluded.

- 2. But not to give offence, the exclusion properly considering that the members of be trusted with the debates of a public assembly, than the spies or emissaries of a foreign ambassador, or so many Jesuits in disguise.
- 3. The right honourable the Speaker of the introduction of it was allowed to be This style, I apprehend, Sir, is what the irregular, unprecedented, and EXTRAJU-
 - 4. The bill for regulating contested elections was strenuously opposed by lord North and the rest of the king's servants. Yet every one of the judges who went the circuit last summer, instead of instructing the several grand juries in the old, legal, constitutional way, were ordered to sound the praises of the House of Commons for their singular virtue in passing this and the privilege bill. And now let it be observed, that in the first instance of the operation of this new law (the Shoreham election) not one of the ministers attended. Yet intrusted as they are with the executive power of the state, it is their particular duty to attend, to facilitate, and inforce the execution of the laws ;-and these are the people who deafen us with their complaints of the licentiousness of the times. and the total want of respect into which the laws are fallen.

¹ See the preceding letter.-EDIT.

- a fact notorious that one Purling a Carib- Lords, that Gibraltar was open to an attack bee, has been encouraged by ministry to from the sea, and that, if the enemy were introduce a third candidate at Shoreham, masters of the bay, the place could not and to give him four of his own votes, in make any long resistance, he was answered order that by having two petitions preferred in the following words by that great states-(a case not expressly provided for by the man the earl of Sandwich: 'Supposing act) this wise, this salutary law may be the noble Lord's argument to be well defeated in the first instance, and have a founded, and supposing Gibraltar to be now contempt thrown upon it.
- lord Mansfield was attacked with so much matter. For although we are not masters vehemence in the House of Commons on of the sea at present, we probably shall be Thursday the 6th instant, not one of the so sometime or other, and then, my Lords, ministry said a word in his defence, there will be no difficulty in retaking Gib-Nobody spoke for him but the Carlton- raltar.' N. B. This earl is a privy counselhouse junto-Jenkinson and sir Gilbert. lor, and appeared to have concerted this (N. B. Mungo is sick.) Even Mr George satisfactory answer with Peg Trentham at Onslow, who in general is not very scrupul- the fire-side. ous, confined himself to the defence of Mr Baron Smythe, and did not utter a syllable last, gave the House of Commons a very in favour of poor Mansfield. These facts pompous account of the fleet. Being asked show plainly, r. How the Carlton-house why, if our navy was so numerous and connexion hangs together. 2. That lord ready for service, a squadron was not sent North himself is not over and above pleased to Gibraltar and the West Indies? his with the closet influence of the CHIEF answer was candid :- 'That for his part he TUGGLER.

day might be fixed for debating the matter, actually in flames! But notwithstanding every possible instance fix any day.

LETTER LXXXI.

For the Public Advertiser.

14 Dec. 1770.

SECOND CHAPTER OF FACTS, OR MATERIALS FOR HISTORY.

- 5. So far from performing this duty, it is asserted, on Tuesday last, in the House of unluckily taken, still, according to the noble 6. Let it be known to posterity that when Lord's own doctrine, it would be no great
- 2. Sir Edward Hawke, on Wednesday did not understand sending ships abread. The great lord Camden did yesterday when, for aught he knew, they might be (11th of December, 1770) address himself wanted to defend our own coast.'-Such is directly to lord Mansfield, and declare that the care taken of our possessions abroad ! he considered the paper delivered in by -One great minister tells us they may be that lord as a challenge to himself, which easily retaken; -another assures us that he accepted; that the glove was thrown they cannot be defended. Will that man down, and HE took it up.-That he was who sleepeth never awake, until destruction ready to meet him in defence of the laws of comes upon him le Has he no friend, no this country, and vehemently urged that a servant, to draw his curtain, until Troy is
- 2. Lord North informed the House of made by the minority Lords, the Chief Just- Commons on Wednesday that, although ice shrunk from the combat, and would not he wished for an honourable accommodation, he thought it his duty to tell the House, that he feared war was too probable: that he intended to move for a further augmentation of ten thousand seamen, and that, at any rate, he should advise the keeping up the naval and military force upon the augmented establishment, for that, notwithstanding the language held by the French and Spanish ministers, there 1. THE earl of Chatham having was, all over France and Spain, the

shocked the delicacy of sir Fletcher Norton. answer interrogatories. Upon occasion of some clamour vesterday. he called to them with all the softness of a bassoon: Pray, gentlemen, be orderly; you

are almost as had as the other House. 5. On Tuesday last, lord Camden delivered into the House of Lords a paper containing three questions, relative to the doctrine laid down in lord Mansfield's

paper, which he desired that Lord would answer, if he could. Lord Mansfield was very angry at being taken by surprise upon a subject he had never had an opportunity

1 Nerva was a writer in favour of lord Mansfield upon the subject of his conduct in the cause of the king against Woodfall for printing Ju-NIUS'S letter to his Majesty; as well as for his posterior proceedings in the House of Peers upon the matter of this cause; in the course of which he thought proper, as has been observed already, to summons the House specially, in order to afford him an opportunity of fully explaining himself upon this point; an opportunity, howhimself. See p. 118, note.

The letter of Nerva, above alluded to, was

addressed to lord Chatham, and appeared in the Public Advertiser, Dec. 14, 1770. The follow-

ing is a copy.

For the Public Advertiser.

TO THE RIGHT HON. LORD CHATHAM. 14 December, 1770.

I saw on Monday, in a certain great assembly, the most striking contrast of character that ever was exhibited on any public occasion. On the one hand, decency, propriety, dignity, wisdom, and temper; on the other, presumption, insolence, absurdity, meanness, foly, ignorance, and rancour. Your Lordship sat for one of the pictures, and I am sorry to say, it was not for the best. To speak without metaphor, what demon, save the demon of malice, could inspire you with an objection to the fair, the equitable information which lord Mansfield offered to the House? The proposal itself, the terms in which it was conceived, would have conciliated a barbarian; but your animosity is worse than a barbarian's, and betrays the principle from which it springs! In an unprecedented, extrajudicial, captious, and insidious manner, you had taken occasion to censure that great man's opinions in the court of justice where he presides. Though the court of justice where he presides. Though you endeavoured to take him by surprise, that you might catch at some unfair advantage from his answer, you were baffled and disappointed. He answered you with the noble simplicity of innomens conscia recti. He fairly stated his opin-

greatest appearance of hostile preparations. of considering, and that he valued the con-4. The riot in the House of Lords has stitutional liberty of the subject too much to

LETTER LXXXII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

17 December, 1770.

As far as assertion goes, no man argues better than your correspondent Nerva.1 If we are contented to take his

ions, and the principles on which they were grounded, and, without recrimination, he threw down his glove to you, and to all, daring you to convict him of an error, upon fair and legal ar-

He did more: to prevent that misrepresentation and misconstruction which might arise from words spoken, he delivered to the House the opinion given by him in court in the case alluded to in writing; candidly and formally declaring, that he meant to ground no motion upon it, but merely for the information of every member, that those who had not steeled their minds against conviction, might be convinced how falsely the censure had been made, and that your Lordship and your party might have a more open ground of objection to the doctrine which the writing contained.

When I give this account of lord Mansfield's reasons for submitting this paper to the House, I do wrong to the moderation of his expressions; but I speak to a man whose conscience tells him, that the distinction between him and those who are open to conviction, is but too well founded. Be that as it may, one would have thought you could wish for nothing more, than that a person whose doctrines you arraigned should give them under his hand, and dare you to the trial of their truth. Instead of closing with the proposal, you rose up and objected to the delivery of the paper rose up and objected to the centery of the paper as informal; but it is no new thing with you, after you have made a malicious and groundless attack, when you see it likely to produce consequences, to shrink back, and shelter yourself under some pitiful evasion; catching at form, or any other twig, to save you from the effects of your own folly and ill-nature.

But if you had made an end here, your audience had gone away, convinced only, that you were happy to get out of the scrape into which you had brought yourself by your unprecedented and unjust attack on lord Mansfield. But as if you were determined that every man who hears you should bear witness to your rambling incence, and the wisdom that never forsakes the consistency and ignorance, you did not make an mens conscia recti. He fairly stated his onin- end here. After having affirmed that the paper word for proof, lord Chatham is a harefact.

could not be received, after declaring you knew ness, suggested that your brutal violence was not what was censured in the proceedings of the courts of justice, nor against whom in particular that censure was directed, after having declared also your ignorance of what the paper contained, you entered into a discussion of its contents. You said the paper contained an extrajudicial and unprecedented opinion, and that the judgment was not warranted by the record, and the two motions on which the judgment was to operate. All this you asserted in terms unbecoming the place in which you stood, unbecoming the person to which they were addressed, and highly improper to be used by one who spoke about what he did not understand. All the world knows that you are ignorant of every science. This country severely smarts, and will long severely smart, for your ignorance in politics and finance. Your ignorance of the law may not perhaps produce such fatal consequences, but it was such on the occasion I speak of, that your dependant, the man who has sold himself to you soul and body, who has soin inflient to you soil and body, who trembles at his tyrant's frown, durst not say a word in defence of your position, nor even by a distinction endeavour to shade the glare of your absurdity.

I know you are not ashamed of the grossest ignorance and absurdity; but I would ask you ne question. When the great man, whom you had treated so injuriously, rose up to explain, and with the most amiable moderation, and intuitive perspicuity, pointed out your mistake, and rectified your blunder, had you no feelings of remorse for your injustice towards him? Did you not see how lovely virtue was, and mourn your loss? Did not the demon of faction and malice retire dejected from your heart, and leave you in the momentary possession at least of better angels? If not, you are unhappy indeed! But I err. Perhaps your familiar whispered to you, that your opponent's temper was an argument of his contempt; and to sting you to mad- will lay on your memory. NERVA.-EDIT.

For what reason lord Mansfield laid his brained, desperate old fellow, and lord paper upon the table, he best knows. He Mansfield the very quintessence of integrity, gave none to the House of Lords, except wisdom, moderation, and firmness. I won- that he thought calling them together was der he did not assure us on the same found- the most compendious way of informing ation, that this worthy judge never drank them where each Lord might, if he pleased, the Pretender's health upon his knees; or procure a copy of his charge to the jury in that his brother was not secretary to that Woodfall's cause. This was the whole, for most catholic Prince; or that Peg Trent- he made no motion whatsoever, nor did he ham's father had not his left foot in the pretend to say that, in their corporate capastirrup in the year 1715, to go off to what city as a House of Peers, they could take he thought the best side of the question; the least notice of the paper. Now, Sir. it all this too I suppose we shall be told is remains with lord Mansfield to give us an mere fiction, mere inference of law, and the example, if he can, of any respectable Peer suggestion of the devil; but setting aside having ever moved for a call of the House ornament, let us look a little to matters of for so trifling, so nugatory, so ridiculous a purpose. I think it strongly deserves these

> unable to ruffle the steady tenor of his soul. I own this were a galling reflection to a man of your pride; but pride, like yours, must suffer

every indignity.

If this was his motive for calmness and moderation, it was taking indeed vengeance, but a heroic vengeance. Were it your fortune to catch him at a fair advantage (an event which can never happen), how differently would you use it! With what vehemence would you not press it home! How would you exaggerate a molehill to a mountain, and call heaven and earth to witness, that the nation was ruined, and our liberties at an end! But all men are not born to be heroes, nor all men candid, just, or wise. You, my Lord, have imposed long enough on the world; your faculties have been greatly misjudged; your organs have been mistaken for talents, your faculity and versatility for parts, your boldness (I could give it a harsher name) for knowledge, and your precipitation for dis-patch. You are a memorable exception to the general rule of humanity, for years and exercise have not endowed you with experience or wisdom, and you possess, together with the cold heart of age, the hot brain of rash and intemperate youth. Already hath your furious pro-digality brought this nation to the brink of ruin. Do not persist in your impious intention to accomplish what you have already well nigh performed. Retire from the stage, and try in retirement to repent of the evils you have brought on your country. If your proud heart cannot brook the idea of sincere repentance, let the repeated defeats which you have lately suffered in the prosecution of your outrageous designs, teach you to assume a virtue though you have it not-By that appearance of contrition, and by that only, you may soften the odium which must attend you to the grave, and alleviate the load of indignation which posterity

will not pledge myself.

and what was the irregularity of the pro- down in his charge. ceeding upon it.

epithets, and after much consideration I and publishing only. A motion in arrest can find cut one possible way of reconciling of judgment was made by the defendant's the fact with the cunning understanding of counsel, grounded upon the ambiguity of the man. When he summoned the House, the verdict. At the same time a motion he never meant to do what he afterwards was made by the counsel for the crown, for did : some qualm, some terror intervened, a rule upon the defendant to show cause and forced him hastily to alter his design, why the verdict should not be entered up and to substitute a silly, absurd measure in according to the legal import of the words. the place of a dangerous one. As for his On both motions a rule to show cause was having dared lord Chatham to a trial of his granted, and soon after the matter was doctrines, I should be glad to know by argued before the Court of King's Bench. whom the combat was refused. Lord Lord Mansfield, when he delivered the Chatham attacked him directly upon the opinion of the court upon the verdict, went spot, and on the very next day it is known regularly through the whole of the proceedto the whole world, that the great lord ings at Nisi Prius, as well the evidence Camden addressed him in the following that had been given, as his own charge to words: 'I consider the paper delivered in the jury. This proceeding would have been by the noble Lord upon the woolsack as a very proper had a motion been made of challenge directed personally to me, and I either side for a new trial, because either a accept of it;-he has thrown down the verdict given contrary to evidence, or an glove, and I take it up. In direct contra- improper charge by the judge at Nisi Prius, diction to him, I maintain that his doctrine is held to be a sufficient ground for granting is not the law of England. I am ready to a new trial; but when a motion is made in enter into the debate whenever the noble arrest of judgment, or for establishing the Lord will fix a day for it. I desire, and verdict, by entering it up according to the insist, that it may be an early one. -The legal import of the words, it must be on the devil's in it if this be declining the trial: ground of something appearing on the rebut what was the consequence? Lord cord; and the court, in considering whether Mansfield, after an hour's shuffling and the verdict shall be established or not, are evasion, finding himself pushed to the last so confined to the record that they cannot extremity, cried out in an agony of torture take notice of any thing that does not apand despair, No. I will not fix a day-I pear on the face of it; to make use of the legal phrase, they cannot travel out of the As to lord Chatham's declaration con- record. Lord Mansfield did travel out of the cerning the irregular production of lord record. I affirm therefore with lord Chat-Mansfield's opinion in the Court of King's ham, that his conduct was irregular, ex-Bench, I am sorry to say that your corre- trajudicial, and unprecedented; and I am spondent Narva neither knows the fact, nor sure there is not a lawyer in England that understands the argument. He talks of a will contradict me. His real motive for judgment in a cause where no judgment doing what he knew to be wrong, was, that was ever given. Leaving therefore this poor he might have an opportunity of telling the man to his own unhappy reveries, let me public extrajudicially, that the other three state briefly to the public what was the fact, judges agreed with him in the doctrine laid

When you have read this paper, I am The verdict given at Nisi Prius in the sure you will join with me in opinion, that King and Woodfall was, guilty of printing to support an uniform system of falsehood

The whole of this paragraph is taken from a lation to the same, lord Mansfield's charge to speech of lord Chatham, as quoted by Junius in the jury, on the trial here alluded to, in the a note to his Preface, p. 122. See also in reeditor's note, p. 117.—EDIT.

requires greater parts than even those of Majesty's distress. To what a melancholy lord Mansfield.

PHALARIS.

LETTER LXXXIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 24 December, 1770.

WITHOUT attempting to account happened since his Majesty's auspicious accession to the throne, it requires but little sagacity to observe that the general principle, from which they have arisen, is uniform and consistent with itself. A prince of the House of Brunswick searches for the consolation and endearments of private sociality and friendship in the loval hearts of jacobites, Tories and Scotchmen :- a devout prince, whose sincere, unaffected piety would have done honour even to Charles the First, intrusts the public government of his affairs to Grafton, North, Halifax, and Sandwich. The first choice naturally led to the second. The private convivial hours of Jonathan Wild were happily unbent in the company of the lower adepts in pilfering and petty larceny. In public, he resumed his state, and never appeared without an attendant knot of highwaymen and assassins.

I congratulate this country upon the return of the earl of Sandwich to a station. in which he has heretofore given complete satisfaction to his royal master.1 It is the more pleasing, because it was unexpected. A gracious and a truly religious prince had often declared that this was the only man in his dominions, whom he never would suffer to enter the cabinet. He was tender of the morals of his ministers, and the Bedfords had delicacy enough to acquiesce in the truth of the objection. I feel for his

Chamier was afterwards appointed chief secretary to lord Barrington, through the interest LXXIV .- EDIT.

condition must he be reduced, when he is forced to apply to the earl of Sandwich as the last resource, the only prop remaining to stop the fall of government! Weymouth, it seems, retires perfectly satisfied, and determined to support men and measures as vigorously, as if he had continued in employment. Good-humoured creature! What a pity it is, that he cannot submit to the drudgery of receiving seven for all the political changes, which have thousand pounds a year! The king presses him to accept of some other post, where there is neither labour nor responsibility :any thing, in short, provided he will not fling the public mortification upon his royal master, of quitting his service, at so critical a conjuncture.-Still he resists:-still he refuses; but though he quits all connexion with ministers and their practices, it is impossible to interrupt his complacency and good humour.-By this nobleman's retreat. the nation has made some capital acquisitions. To say nothing of my lord Sandwich, what do you think of the amiable Mr Bamber Gascoyne, and that well educated, genteel young broker, Mr Chamier ?2 The first is to thunder in the senate :-- the second, in quality of secretary, is to direct the most secret and important manœuvres of government.-Well done, my lord Sandwich !-Your company, I'll be sworn, will be no reproach to you. But was there no employment to be found for Tommy Bradshaw's sister. has well as his brother-in-law? She too understands the disposal of places: -at least his fraternal affection has given her the credit of it.-

Give me leave, Mr Woodfall, to ask you a serious question. How long do you think it possible for this management to last? How long is this great country to be governed by a boot and a petticoat?-by the infamous tools of a Scotch exile, and her Royal Highness the princess dowager of

3 See Miscellaneous Letters, Nos. LXXI. and

The office of foreign minister, vacant by the removal of the earl of Rochford to the home department, and which, before its occupation by the latter, had been held for two or three years by lord viscount Weymouth .- EDIT.

of Mr Bradshaw and his master the duke of Grafton, at that time again in administration, as lord privy seal. See Private Letters, Nos. 52 and 56.—EDIT.

kinson, Hillsborough, Jerry Dyson, and Sandwich? I will answer you with precision. It will last, until there is a general insurrection of the English nation, or until the House of Bourbon have collected their strength and strike you to the heart.

DOMITIAN.

P. S. Tell the duke of Grafton, that, if ne should dare to entertain the most distant thought of the Admiralty, the whole affair of Hine's patent shall be revived and published, with an accumulation of evidence. He at least shall be kept under. Ciceronian eloquence shall not save him.

LETTER LXXXIV.

For the Public Advertiser. 20 December, 1770.

A CARD.

PHALARIS presents his compliments to Sir --- is preparing for the press a faithful account of Mr (ustice's amours with the lady Williams; and as he wishes not to give a plain narrative too much the air of a romance, would be very glad to be furnished with any material facts, which Mr Justice may think proper to have inserted; but in order not to give Mr Justice any unnecessary trouble, Phalaris thinks it proper to apprise him of those circumstances, in which he (Phalaris), is already particularly instructed, viz.. How Mr Justice was distressed for want of practice; how he was impatient at trying a long cause in a hot day at Hereford; how he made a declaration at a public dinner, confirmed by execrations, that he would marry the devil with money, rather than practise the law without it; how he was introduced to lady Williams; how, upon sufficient deliberation, he preferred her ladyship to the devil; how he explained his tender passion; how, with a gallant impatience, he hastened the marriage ceremony before he saw the writings of her estate; how he XCVII.-EDIT.

Wales?-by North, Ellis, Barrington, Jen- stepped into a hackney coach, one fine morning, in a suit of white cloth lined with green velvet; how he had a levee of visitors at his gate the day after his auspicious nuptials; how lady Williams complained next morning; how she retired to her country-seat near Hereford; how Mr Justice pursued her in company with a certain strong lady with a strait waistcoat: how both parties, with great cordiality, signed articles of separation; and how Mr Justice retired to Ireland, without taking leave of his friends.

> Phalaris hopes Mr Justice will have no His objection to the following motto:

> > Felices ter, et amplius, Quos irrupta tenet copula.

LETTER LXXXV.

For the Public Advertiser.

INTELLIGENCE EXTRAORDINARY.

9 Fanuary, 1771.

SIR Edward Hawke resigned this morning. The earl of Sandwich is to succeed to the Admiralty.-His Majesty, who judges of men by their moral characters, has discovered at last that this nobleman is as well qualified for one post as another. His religion would do honour to a mitre. If he were archbishop of Canterbury, the princess dowager of Wales could not do better than make him her father confessor. In the primitive spirit of Christianity, they might confess to one another. Who is to be secretary of state is not yet known; for we all agree that lord Suffolk 1 has too much sense and spirit to prostitute his virgin character in such a * * * * * as St James's.—When a beautiful woman yields to temptation, let her consult her pride, though she forgets her virtue .- To be corrupted by such a maquereau as Whately would turn the appetite of Moll Flanders. This poor man, with the talents of an attorney, sets up for an ambassador,

¹ See Miscellaneous Letters, Nos. XCVI. and

expect as can be united with the profound- ment. est contempt. Tom Whately, take care of yourself!1

LETTER LXXXVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

11 Fanuary, 1771. SIR.

Your correspondent W. is equally unfortunate in his attack upon TUNIUS and the Grosvenor cause, but strikes at the general doctrine delivered by the judge in

Mr Whately had been private secretary to Mr G. Grenville.-EDIT.

and with the agility of colonel Bodens un- year shall pay no greater damages than a dertakes to be a courier. Indeed, Tom! peasant, who labours for a shilling a day: you have betrayed yourself too soon.-Mr or vice versa, that the seduction of a duchess Grenville, your friend, your patron, your and of a milliner stand upon the same footbenefactor, who raised you from a depth ing, in regard to the compensation due to (compared to which even Bradshaw's the injured husband. In a moral view, I family stands on an eminence), was hardly confess, the crime is the same. 'The puncold in his grave, when you solicited the ishment annexed to it, though not matter office of go-between to lord North. You of positive law, cannot be regulated by the could not, in my eyes, be more contempti- rules of morality. It must depend on cusble, though you were convicted (as I dare tom, reputation, and the circumstances of say you might be) of having constantly be- the case. The equity of the verdict must traved him in his lifetime.—Since I know be measured by the distinctions of rank your employment, be assured I shall watch and fortune, admitted and established in you attentively. Every journey you under- society, since it is evident that the penalty take, every message you carry, shall be im- or satisfaction sufficient for one man, might mediately laid before the public. The event hardly be felt by another. It is the general of your ingenious management will be this, doctrine of lord Mansfield, which JUNIUS -that lord North, finding you cannot serve very truly calls false and absurd: and I him, will give you nothing. From the other know that it was received in Westminster party, you have just as much detestation to Hall with universal shame and astonish-

As to the idea of lord Mansfield's inclining to favour lord Grosvenor, it is so preposterously false and ridiculous, that it would be entirely undeserving of notice. but for one consideration; viz .- that, if it were true, it stabs the chief justice to the heart.-Lord Mansfield is charged with gross and infamous partiality to the defendant;-the defence made for him is, that he was grossly and infamously partial to the plaintiff.-Let his friends take their his defence of lord Mansfield. Junius choice. Every honest man will equally dedoes not enter into the particular merits of spise and detest such a judge, whichever way his bad passions incline him.

As to the merits of the Grosvenor cause. his charge to the jury; viz. that, in a pro- they are of no consequence in the present secution for criminal conversation, the jury, question. If it be necessary, however, I when they assess the damages, are not to re- am ready to maintain that the verdict was gard the quality and fortune of the parties, supported by the evidence, and the damages but are to consider the question abstractedly very moderate. If not, why did not Mansas a question between A. and B. If this field order a new trial? When time, and doctrine be true in one instance, it will be place, and circumstance are proved, there applicable to every case of criminal con-remains but one possible plea for the duke versation; and the consequences of it will of Cumberland; and that, by the bye, is be, that a nobleman with ten thousand a rather a whimsical one, applied to a boy of one and twenty. Yet, for aught I know, it may be very true, that with all his attention to the dear little hair, he was incapable of taking the fairest opportunity by the sion. The first is, that the prerogative is forelock.

ANTI-W.

LETTER LXXXVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, 17 Fan. 1771. IF sir Edward Hawke had follow-

ed the advice and example of his friends. he would not have been reduced to the disand Hillsborough:—and at last to be suc- find their places no longer tenable. sharpers.

tween them. Her Royal Highness's scheme stance, of his side. sure of government since the king's acces- dear mother. - Few nations are in the pre-

sufficient to make a lacquev a prime minister, and to maintain him in that post, without any regard to the welfare or to the opinion of the people.-The second is, that none but persons, insignificant in themselves, or of tainted reputation, should be brought into employment. Men of greater consequence and abilities will have opinions of their own, and will not submit to the meddling, unnatural ambition of a mother, who grasps at unlimited power, at the hahonourable necessity of quitting the direc- zard of her son's destruction. They will tion of the English navy, at the very not suffer measures of public utility, which moment it is going to be employed against have been resolved upon in council, to be the foreign enemies of England. To be checked and controlled by a secret influleft in employment after Chatham, Granby, ence in the closet. Such men consequently and Camden had retired; -to continue in will never be called upon but in cases of it in company with Grafton, North, Gower, extreme necessity. When that ceases, they ceeded by lord Sandwich, are circumstances answer the purposes of an ambitious wotoo disgraceful to admit of aggravation. man, an administration must be formed of It is natural to sympathize in the disdesses more pliant materials, -of men, who, havof a brave man, and to lament that a noble ing no connexion with each other, no perestate of reputation should be squandered sonal interest, no weight or consideration away in debts of dishonour contracted with with the people, may separately depend upon the smiles of the crown alone for their His Majesty, God bless him! has now advancement to high offices, and for their got rid of every man whose former services continuance there. If such men resist the or present scruples could be supposed to princess dowager's pleasure, his Majesty give offence to her Royal Highness the knows that he may dismiss them without princess dowager of Wales. The security risking any thing from their resentment. of our civil and religious liberties cannot be His wisdom suggests to them that, if he more happily provided for han while lord were to choose his ministers for any of Mansfield pronounces the law, and lord those qualities which might entitle them to Sandwich represents the religion of St public esteem, the nation might take part James's. Such law and such religion are with them, and resent their dismission. too closely united to suffer even a moment- As it is, whenever he changes his servants. ary intervention of common honesty be- he is sure to have the people, in that in-

of government, formed long before her I love and respect our gracious sovereign husband's death, is now accomplished, too much to suppose it possible that he She has succeeded in disuniting every party, should be any thing more than passive in and dissolving every connexion; and, by forming and supporting such a system of the mere influence of the crown, has formed government; and even this acquiescence an administration, such as it is, out of the of the best of princes I am ready to attrirefuse of them all. There are two leading bute to a most amiable quality implanted in principles in the politics of St James's, him by nature, and carefully cultivated by which will account for almost every mea-art,—unlimited duty and obedience to his dicament that we are, to have nothing to abilities are of the explicit kind. Yet from all the circumstances.

deliver my sentiments without any false of fortune, interest, and connexions. To tenderness or reserve. I consider her not do him justice, he has all the negative only as the original creating cause of the qualifications that constitute merit at Carlshameful and deplorable condition of this ton House. country, but as a being, whose operation is uniform and permanent; -- who watches, with a kind of providential malignity, over Hillsborough a formidable rival. At the the work of her hands, to correct, improve, and preserve it. If the strongest appearances may be relied on, this lady has now very near as well as Prince Volscius. Such brought her schemes to perfection. Every a pair of actors make tragedy ridiculous. office in government is filled with men who. Our enemies at least will laugh at the cataare known to be her creatures, or by mere strophe. But this young man shall be left cyphers incapable of resistance. Is it con- for abler hands. It requires no vulgar pen ceivable that any thing, less than a deter- to do justice to such a strain of moustrous mined plan of drawing the whole power of prostitution. the crown into her own hands, could have poor unknown earl; -- who four years ago sumption, and incapacity of that man have and the elocution of a Demosthenes, en- princess dowager. deavouring to speak plain with pebbles in that calls itself minister, that does homage to the princess dowager, and says, Madam, I am your man.

actor when poor lord Hillsborough gave his every valuable commission in the army. mind to politics. Yet his theatrical talents princess dowager saw what part this man was capable of acting; and with regard to Barrington. himself, it signified but little whether he or secretary of state at St James's.

complain of but the filial virtues of our a charge d'affaires at Turin, the all-powersovereign. Charles the First had the same ful guiding hand has raised him to be implicit attachment to his spouse ;-but his secretary of state. The princess dowager worthy parent was in her grave. It were knows, better than we do, what positive to be wished that the parallel held good in good qualities this nobleman possesses. The public only knows that he is a mute in In respect to her Royal Highness, I shall the House of Lords, and that he is destitute

> The character of third secretary is not yet disposed of. Public suspicion gives lord opening of the theatre young Suffolk is to be produced. Prince Prettyman can cant

Why is that wretched creature lord Townscollected such an administration as the pre- hend maintained in Ireland? Is it not sent?—Who is lord North?—The son of a universally known that the ignorance, prewas a needy commissioner of the Treasury ruined the king's affairs in Ireland?—that for the benefit of a subsistence, and who he has, in a great measure, destroyed the would have accepted a commission of political dependence of that country upon hackney coaches upon the same terms. Great Britain?-But he too is an uncon-The politics of Carlton House-Finances nected being, without any hope of support picked up in Mr Grenville's ante-chamber, but in the protection of lord Bute and the

Why is not a commander-in-chief aphis mouth, form the stuffing of that figure, pointed? Because there is an insignificant secretary at war, who has no chance of continuing in the receipt of £2500 a year, but by making himself the instrument through The stage was deprived of a promising which the princess dowager disposes of

Why have we not a master-general of have been of use to his fortune. The the ordnance? Because the gentle Conway knows how to be as pliant as lord

Why is there no chancellor? Partly berepresented Prince Volscius at Drury-lane, cause there is a convenience in bribing four of the judges with the emoluments of that It is not pretended that lord Rochford's office, and partly because no man of credit in the profession will submit to act with the not submit, -no condition, however humilpresent infamous administration.

life, and poverty in the extreme.

mockery of religion. Û

admit of improvement.

of power and security, there is no insult, no Dunkirk with this nobleman's character.

iating, which the king and the nation must What merit has lord Halifax?-The not accept of without resentment.-At this issue of general warrants :- the opposition point, however, her cunning forsakes her. of his privilege for years together to the Both she and her ministers deceive themlaws of his country ;--prostitution in private selves grossly if they imagine that any concessions can secure peace with an enemy Why is the king so fond of having lord determined upon war. -She may disgrace Bristol about his person? If the duties of the English nation. She may dishonour the noble lord's office had a closer connex- her son, and persuade him to forfeit his ion with the title of it, as usually pro- right to precedence among the sovereigns nounced, I should understand his Majesty. of Europe. The man who receives a blow. and admire his attention in paying so and does not return it (whether he be a delicate a compliment to his Lordship's king or aprivate person), from that moment amours. The last question I would ask is, stands degraded from his natural rank and by what kind of service or ability the earl of condition. If he be a young man, his Sandwich is distinguished? Prostitution infamy is immortal.—Yet I am ready to and poverty may be found in other subjects, confess that where two nations upon the and appearances saved by a decent form- whole are peaceably disposed, there is a ality of behaviour. The choice and prefer- degree of slight, and ill humour, and even ence of the most profligate character in the of injury, which, for the sake of peace. may kingdom may suit well enough with the and ought to be dissembled: but a direct. substantial purposes of Carlton House, but positive, intended insult must always be how does it consist with the hypocritical resented. To flatter ourselves that the decorum of St James's? What opinion are moderation of the Spaniards will be prowe to entertain of the piety, chastity, and portioned to our forbearance, or that, beintegrity of the best of princes, when, in cause we have submitted tamely to one the face of England and of all Europe, he affront, they will therefore avoid offering us takes such a man as Sandwich to his bosom ! a second, would be arguing in contradic--Let us hear no more of the piety of St tion to all reason and experience. If Falk-James's .- To talk of morals or devotion in land Island had never existed, the rancour such company is a scandalous insult to of the Spaniards would not have failed to common sense, and a still more scandalous discover itself in some other mode of hostility. Their whole history, since the ac-The princess dowager having now car- cession of Philip the Vth, is a continued ried her plan of administration into effect, proof of a rooted antipathy to the name of it is not to be wondered that she should be Englishman; and I am justified, by a series very unwilling to expose herself and her of indisputable facts, in affirming that from schemes to the uncertain events of a foreign the treaty of Utrecht to this hour, there has war. She knows that a disaster abroad never been a single instance of common would not only defeat the cunning plan of justice or decency, much less of cordiality female avarice and ambition; but that it or friendship, in the conduct of the court of might reach further.-The mothers of our Madrid towards this country. Lord Sandkings have heretofore been impeached; wich declared a month ago, in full parliaand if the precedents are not so complete ment, that Gibraltar was a place of no conas they should be, they require and will sequence, and immediately afterwards the princess dowager makes him secretary of To maintain this lady in her present state state. Whoever compares the sale of indignity, to which the king of Britain must must be very much of a sceptic, if he enter-

tains any doubt about the fate of Gibraltar. the quarrels of nations bore any resem-But neither this sacrifice, nor even that of blance to domestic feuds, or could be con-Tamaica, would be sufficient to produce a ducted upon the same principles. solid, permanent union with Spain. They genius of Queen Elizabeth united the may despise us more, but they will never nation, collected the strength of the people, hate us less.

instead of avoiding a war we make it certain. A little spirit at first might perhaps have intimidated the Spaniards. Our notorious weakness and shameful submission have only served to encourage and confirm them in their resolution. In point of honour, we have let the proper moment of resentment pass away. The royal and national honour is so irretrievably stained. that it cannot now be recovered by the most vigorous measures of revenge.-From her Royal Highness's government in time of peace, we may well conclude in what manner she will conduct a war. Gifted as she is, she could hardly fail of success, if

This letter refers to the much a lited dispute concerning the Malouine, or l'alkland's Islands, which, without any formal recognition, had, for many years after their first occupation by captain Byron in 1765, been quietly suffered by Spain to remain in the hands of his Britannic Majesty, who had erected a small fort on the coast of the chief of them named Port Egmont. In June, 1769, however, without any complaint or notice on the part of the Spanish government to the court of St James's, a forcible debarkation was effected on the coast of Port Egmont, by a Spanish armament from Port Solidad, in Buenos Ayres; the whole mass of islands was claimed hyres; the wine hass of stands was calmed by the commander of the expedition in the name of his most Catholic Majesty, whose right was formally asserted to the whole Magellanic region; the small body of English troops stationed at Port Egmont was compelled to submit, and turned adrift from the island in two English frigates, which chanced to be in the harbour, tr make the best of their voyage home, and relate the history of this extraordinary adventure.

The English ministry heard the account with indignation; and the letter from lord Weymouth to Mr Harris, the resident minister at the cour of Madrid, referred to above, was the result. The court of Madrid had offered a convention, or conditional restoration, and his Lordship's lette purports to be a reply to such offer; it was dated 17 Oct. 1770, and the following is the most im

Portant passage contained in it.

'His Majesty cannot accept, under a con vention, that satisfaction to which he has so just

a title, without entering into any engagements to procure it. The idea of his Majesty's becom-

and carried it forward to resistance and By the princess dowager's management, victory. When the dæmon of discord sits at the helm, what have we to expect but distraction and civil war at home, disgrace and infamy abroad!

DOMITIAN.

LETTER LXXXVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 13 Feb. 1771.

I READ with astonishment, and no small indignation, a letter which is at last got into your paper, I mean that from lord Weymouth to Mr Harris.1 The copy

entirely foreign to the case; for, having received an injury and demanded the most moderate reparation of that injury his honour will permit him to accept, that reparation loses its value, if it is to be conditional, and to be obtained by any stipulation whatsoever on the part of his Majesty.

Yet in direct violation of this demand of an unconditional restoration and acknowledged sovereignty, the following declaration and acceptance were mutually acceded to at London, Jan. 22, 1771.

Translation of the Declaration signed and delivered by Prince de Maserano, Am-bassador Extraordinary from his Catholic Majesty, dated the 22nd day of January,

His Britannic Majesty having complained of the violence which was committed on the 10th of June, 1770, at the island commonly called the Great Malouine, and by the English Falkland's Island, in obliging by force the commander and subjects of his Britannic Majesty to evacuate the port by them called Egmont; a step offensive to the honour of his crown; -the Prince de Ma-serano, ambassador extraordinary of his Catholic Majesty, has received orders to declare, and de-clares, that his Catholic Majesty, considering the desire with which he is animated for place, and for the maintenance of good harmony with his Britannic Majesty, and reflecting that this event might interrupt it, has seen with displeasure this expedition tending to disturb it; and in the persuasion in which he is of the reciprocity of sentiments of his Britannic Majesty, and of its ing a contracting party upon this occasion is being far from his intention to authorize any

thing that might disturb the good understanding between the two courts: his Catholic Majesty does disavow the said violent enterprise; -and in consequence the Prince de Maserano declares, that his Catholic Majesty engages to give immediate orders that things shall be restored in the Great Malouine, at the port called Egmont, pre-cisely to the state in which they were before the 10th of June, 1770; for which purpose his Catholic Majesty will give orders to one of his officers to deliver up to the officer authorized by his Britannic Majesty, the port and fort called Egmont, with all the artillery, stores, and effects of his Britannic Majesty and his subjects, which were at that place the day above-named; agreeable to the inventory which has been made of them.

The Prince de Maserano declares, at the same time, in the name of the king his master, that the engagement of his said Catholic Majesty, to restore to his Britannic Majesty the possession of the fort and port called Egmont, cannot, nor ought, any wise to affect the question of the prior right of sovereignty of the Malouine Islands, otherwise called Falkland's Islands. In witness whereof, I, the underwritten ambassador extraordinary, have signed the present declaration with my usual signature, and caused it to be sealed with our arms. London, the twenty-second day of January, one thousand seven hundred and

seventy-one.
(L. S.) (Signed) LE PRINCE DE MASERANO.

Translation of the earl of Rochford's Acceptance, dated the 22nd day of January, 1771, of the Prince de Maserano's Declaration of the same Date.

His Catholic Majesty having authorized the Prince of Maserano, his ambassador extraor-dinary, to offer in his Majesty's name to the king of Great Britain a satisfaction for the injury done to his Britannic Majesty by dispossessing him of the port and fort of Port Egmost; and the said ambassador having this day signed a declaration, which he has just delivered to me, expressing therein that his Catholic Majesty, being desirous to restore the good harmony and friendship which before subsisted between the two crowns, does disavow the expedition against Port Egmont, in which force has been used against his Britannic Majesty's possessions, commander, and subjects; and does also engage that all things shall be immediately restored to the precise situation in which they stood before the 10th of June, 1770. And that his Catholic Majesty shall give orders in consequence to one of his officers to deliver up to the officer authorized by his Britannic Ma-jesty, the port and fort of Port Egmont, as also all his Britannic Majesty's artillery, stores, and effects, as well as those of his subjects, according to the inventory which has been made of them.—And the said ambassador having moreover engaged, in his Catholic Majesty's name, that what is contained in the said declaration shall be carried

which you have procured I know to be papers laid by administration before both authentic, having read it amongst the Houses. It is the most complete and un-

> into effect by his said Catholic Majesty; and that duplicates of his Catholic Majesty's orders to his officers shall be delivered into the hands of one of his Britannic Majesty's principal secre-ies of state within six weeks; his said Britannic Majesty, in order to show the same friendly dispositions on his part, has authorized me to declare, that he will look upon the said declara-tion of Prince de Maserano, together with the full performance of the said engagement on the part of his Catholic Majesty, as a satisfaction for the injury done to the crown of Great Britain. In witness whereof, I, the underwritten, one of his Britannic Majesty's principal secretaries of state, have signed these presents with my usual signature, and caused them to be sealed with our arms. London, the 22nd day of January, 1771.
> (L. S.) (Signed) ROCHFORD.

These papers, together with the above letter of lord Weymouth, were laid by lord North before the House of Commons, Jan. 25, 1771; and on Feb. 4, the two following queries were moved by lord Chatham, in the House of Lords, for the opinion of the judges.

Whether, in consideration of law, the imperial crown of this realm can hold any territories or possessions thereunto belonging, otherwise than in sovereignty?

Whether the declaration, or instrument for restitution of the port or fort called Egmont, to be made by the Catholic king to his Majesty, under a reservation of a disputed right of so-vereignty expressed in the very declaration or instrument stipulating such restitution, can be accepted or carried into execution without derogating from the maxim of law before referred to. touching the inherent and essential dignity of the crown of Great Britain?

'The above queries were not referred to the judges, because lord Mansfield said, that the answer to them was self-evident,-that they answered themselves :- by which his Lordship was understood to mean that both queries clearly answered themselves in the negative.

On the 13th of February an address of thanks for the communication was voted in both Houses of parliament; that in the Commons, after a very long debate, was carried by a considerable majority, the numbers being for the address 271, against 157, who voted for the amendment.

The address of the Lords was much fuller of

approbation than that of the Commons, and was, notwithstanding, carried through with a much greater proportional majority; it was, however, productive of the following nervous and argumentative protest, signed by not less than nineanswerable condemnation of the infamous man can suggest. convention with Spain that the mind of ministry, together with the king, plead

The whole culprit

DISSENTIENT.

1. Because it is highly unsuitable to the wisdom and gravity of this House, and to the respect which we owe to his Majesty and ourselves, to carry up to the throne an address approving the acceptance of an imperfect instrument, which has neither been previously authorized by any special full powers produced by the Spanish minister, nor been as yet ratified by the king of If the ratification on the part of Spain should be refused, the address of this House will appear no better than an act of precipitate adulation to ministers; which will justly expose the peerage of the kingdom to the indignation of their country, and to the derision of all Europe.

2. Because it is a direct insult on the feelings and understanding of the people of Great Britain, to approve this declaration and acceptance, as a means of securing our own and the general tranquillity, whilst the greatest preparations for war are making, both by sea and land; and whilst the practice of pressing is continued, as in times of the most urgent necessity, to the extreme in-convenience of trade and commerce; and with the greatest hardships to one of the most meritorious and useful orders of his Majesty's subjects

3. Because the refusing to put the questions to the judges upon points of law, very essentially affecting this great question, and the refusing to address his Majesty to give orders for laying before this House the instructions relating to Falkland's Islands, given to the commanders of his Majesty's ships employed there, is depriving us of such lights as seemed highly proper for us on this occasion.

4. Because from the declaration and correspondence laid before us, we are of opinion that the ministers merit the censure of this House, rather than any degree of commendation, on account of several improper acts, and equally im-proper omissions, from the beginning to the close of this transaction. For it is asserted by the Spanish minister, and stands uncontradicted by ours, that several discussions had passed between the ministers of the two courts upon the subject of Falkland's Islands, which might give the British ministers reason to foresee the attack upon that settlement that was afterwards made by the forces of Spain. Captain Hunt also, arriving from thence so early as the third of June last, did advertise the ministers of repeated warnings and menaces made by Spanish governors and commanders of ships of war; yet so obstinately negligent and supine were his Majesty's ministers, and so far from the vigilance and activity required by the trust and duty of their offices, that they did not even so much as make a single representation to the court of Madrid; which if they had done, the injury itself might have been prevented, or at least so speedily repaired, as to render unnecessary the enormous expenses, to which this nation has

been compelled, by waiting until the blow had been actually struck, and the news of so signal an insult to the crown of Great Britain had arrived in Europe. To this wilful, and therefore culpable, neglect of representation to the court of Spain, was added another neglect, a neglect of such timely preparation, for putting this nation into such a state of defence, as the menacing appearances on the part of Spain and the critical condition of Europe required. These preparations, had they been undertaken early, would have been executed with more effect, and less expense; would have been far less distressing to our trade, and to our seamen; would have authorized us in the beginning to have demanded, and would in all probability have induced Spain to consent to, an immediate, perfect, and equitable settlement of all the points in discussion between the two crowns; but all preparation having been neglected, the national safety was left depending rather upon accidental alterations in the internal circumstances of our neighbours, than in the proper and natural strength of the kingdom ; and this negligence was highly aggravated by the refusal of administration to consent to an address, proposed by a noble lord in this House last session, for a moderate and gradual augmentation of our naval forces.

5. Because the negotiation, entered into much too late, was, from the commencement, conducted upon principles as disadvantageous to the wisdom of our public councils, as it was finally concluded in a manner disgraceful to the honour of the crown of Great Britain; for it appears, that the court of Madrid did disavow the act of hostility, as proceeding from particular instructions, but justified it under her general instructions to her governors, under the oath by them taken, and under the established laws of America. This general order was never disavowed nor explained; nor was any disavowal or explanation thereof ever demanded by our ministers: and we apprehend that this justification of an act of vio-lence under general orders, established laws, and oaths of office, to be far more dangerous and injurious to this kingdom than the particular enterprise which has been disavowed, as it evidently supposes, that the governors of the Spanish American provinces are not only authorized, but required, without any particular instructions, to raise great forces by sea and land, and to invade his Majesty's possessions in that part of the world,

in the midst of profound peace.

6. Because this power, so unprecedented and alarming, under which the Spanish governor was justified by his court, rendered it the duty of our ministers to insist upon some censure or punishment upon that governor, in order to demonstrate the sincerity of the court of Madrid, and of her desire to preserve peace, by putting at least some check upon those exorbitant powers asserted by the court of Spain to be given to her governors. But alt ough our ministers were authorized not only by the acknowledged principles of the law guilty by their own unanimous voice. No etter of this sort upon so delicate a matter, secretary of state ever did or would write a without first laying it before his Majesty's

of nations, to call for such censure ar punishment, but also by the express provision of the seventeenth article of the treaty of Utrecht, yet they have thought fit to observe a profound silence on this necessary article of public reparation. If it were thought that any circumstances appeared in the particular case of the governor, to make an abatement or pardon of the punishment advisable, that abatement or pardon ought to have been the effect of his Majesty's clemency, and not an impunity to him, arising from the ignorance of our ministers in the first principles of public law, or their negligence or pusillanimity in asserting them.

7. Because nothing has been had or demanded as a reparation in damage for the enormous expense and other inconveniences, arising from the confessed and unprovoked violence of the Spanish forces in the enterprise against Falkland's Islands, and the long subsequent delay of justice. It was not necessary to this demand that it should be made in any improper or offensive language, but in that style of accommodation which has ever been used by able negotiators.

8. Because an unparalleled and most audacious insult has been offered to the honour of the British flag, by the detention of a ship of war of his Majesty's, for twenty days after the surrender of Port Egmont, and by the indignity of forcibly taking away her rudder: this act could not be supported upon any idea of being necessary to the reduction of the fort, nor was any such necessity pretended. No reparation in honour has been demanded for this wanton insult, by which his Majesty's reign is rendered the un-happy æra in which the honour of the British flag has suffered the first stain with entire im-

9. Because the Spanish declaration, which our ministers have advised his Majesty to accept. does in general words imply his Majesty's disavowal of some acts on his part tending to disturb the good correspondence of the two courts, when it is notorious, that no act of violence whatsoever had been committed on the part of Great Britain. By this disavowal of some implied aggression in the very declaration, pretended to be made for reparation of the injured dignity of Great Britain, his Majesty is made to admit a supposition contrary to truth, and injurious to the justice and honour of his crown.

10. Because in the said declaration the restitution is confined to Port Egmont, when Spain herself originally offered to cede Falkland's Islands. It is known that she made her forcible attack on pretence of title to the whole, and the restitution ought, therefore, not to have been confined to a part only, nor can any reason be assigned why the restitution ought to have been made in narrower or more ambiguous words than the claims of Spain, on which her act of violence was grounded, and her offers of restitution originally made.

11. Because the Isclaration, by which his Ma-

esty is to obtain possession of Port Egmont. contains a reservation or condition of the question of a claim of prior right of sovereignty in the Catholic king to the whole of Falkland's Islands, being the first time such a claim has ever authentically appeared in any public instrument jointly concluded on by the two courts. No explanation of the principles of this claim has been required. although there is just reason to believe that these principles will equally extend to restrain the liberty and confine the extent of British navigation. No counter-claim has been made on the part of his Majesty, to the right of sovereignty, in any part of the said island ceded to him; any assertion whatsoever, of his Majesty's right of sovereignty, has been studiously avoided, from the beginning to the accomplishment of this unhappy transaction; which, after the expense of millions. settles no contest, asserts no right, exacts no reparation, affords no security, but stands as a monument of reproach to the wisdom of the national councils, of dishonour to the essential dignity of his Majesty's crown, and of disgrace to the hitherto untainted honour of the British

After having given these reasons, founded on the facts which appeared from the papers, we think it necessary here to disclaim an invidious and injurious imputation, substituted in place of fair argument, that they who will not approve of this convention, are for precipitating their country into the calamities of war. We are as far from the design, and we trust much further from the act, of kindling the flame of war, than those who have advised his Majesty to accept of the declaration of the Spanish ambassador. have never entertained the least thought of invalidating this public act; but if ministers may not be censured, or even punished, for treaties which, though valid, are injurious to the national interest and honour, without a supposition of the breach of public faith in this House, that should censure or punish, or of a breach of the laws of humanity in those who propose such censure or punishment, the use of the peers, as a controul on ministers, and as the best as well as highest council of the crown, will be rendered of no avail. We have no doubt but a declaration more adequate to our just pretensions, and to the dignity of the crown, might have been obtained without the effusion of blood; not only from the favourable circumstances of the conjuncture, but because our just demands were no more than any sovereign power, who had injured another through inadvertence or mistake, ought, even from regard to its own honour, to have granted: and we are satisfied that the obtaining such terms would have been the only secure means of establishing a lasting and honourable peace.

RICHMOND, Audley, King, BOLTON. MANCHESTER. TORRINGTON, TANKERVILLE, MILTON, CHATHAM. ABERGAYENNY. Catholic King to hope, and most probably, gagements to procure it.' 1 not to say certainly, an express assurance. that not only Port Egmont, now restored to us, but the whole island, shall in due time, as soon as they dare, he surrendered to the crown of Spain. No words can express the meanness or folly of such a proceeding. Our tame submission to France in the Corsican business has drawn this atrocious insult upon us. This insult, accompanied with the indignities contained, by the minister's own confession, in the

> WYCOMBE, FITZWILLIAM, CRAVEN. PONSONBY, SCARBOROUGH, BOYLE. DEVONSHIRE. ARCHER.

DISSENTIENT.

Because, though the disavowal may be considered as humiliating to the court of Spain, the declaration and acceptance, under the reservation of the question of prior right, do not, in my

most confidential servants, and taking the whole to the aggressor under any pretence king's express orders upon it. It speaks or colour whatever? The insult was comthen the unanimous sentiments of them all. mitted after repeated notices of our right. His Majesty pronounces in common with in full peace: it was an insult not only to the rest his own condemnation in that of the flag of England hitherto spotless, but this unworthy transaction. The moderate to the whole majesty of the kingdom, by reparation to his Majesty's honour for the direct hostilities committed as in time of injury is not obtained unconditionally; actual war, so as to inforce a formal capituthat is, in the only way which he himself lation: a proceeding till now unheard of, and his servants thought indispensable, submitted to with a meanness and treachery An humiliating stipulation for referring the on the part of our rulers, which nothing discussion of the prior right is a defeasance can now palliate. We deceive ourselves if of the reparation. It wounds irreparably we think the peace can be maintained by the honour of the king as a private man, pusillanimity and baseness. Remember and the glory of the kingdom; but when 'his Majesty cannot accept under a conthat stipulation carries along with it also a vention that satisfaction to which he has so private insinuation or encouragement to the just a title, without entering into any en-

A MEMBER of one House of Parliament in mourning for the honour of his king and country.

LETTER LXXXIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR,

16 February, 1771.

IT is proper the public should be convention, will renew to us, in the mouths informed that, upon lord Gower's election of the proud and triumphant Spaniards, to be a knight of the garter, there were but the ignominious title of Gallinas del mar, four knights present, besides the sovereign, and we shall deservedly become a bye-word and the duke of Gloucester was lugged in of contempt amongst the nations. The to be one of them. He intreated, he only reparation which it can be pretended begged, he implored, -but all to no purthat Spain makes, is the temporary restitu- pose. Poor Peg Trentham was forced to tion of Port Egmont. Restoring to me submit to an election, which, by the statutes my possessions violently seized is an act of of the order, is void.—Ashmole informs us, justice, not of reparation : but with what in- that 'to make up a complete chapter of delible shame shall we be covered, when it is election, there should be assembled six seen that we pitifully traffic away what was knights companions at the least, besides insultingly wrested from us, and yield the the sovereign; the due observance of which hath been so strict formerly, that

> opinion, after the heavy expences incurred, either convey a satisfaction adequate to the insult on the honour of Great Britain, or afford any reasonable grounds to believe that peace, on the terms of honour, can be lasting. RADNOR .-

I See this subject further discussed in Letter XLII., and notes appended to it -EDIT.

elections have been deferred, where chap- in point of ignorance, upon a level with the

ham, is, for two reasons, remarkable. It cause. Gibraltar and Minorca were yielded shows first, in what profound contempt to England by the treaty of Utiecht, to poor Peggy is universally held. And which treaty Spain acceded; and, admitsecondly, the pious resolution of our ting that they have never given up in form gracious sovereign to introduce a new their claim to Jamaica, it is also true that, system of arithmetic. In the decision of since the treaty of Utrecht, they have never the Middlesex election it was resolved that asserted such a claim, much less have we 206 were more than 1142, and now we are allowed it to be inserted in any treaty told that four are equal to six.—This puts between the two crowns. But, Sir, the real me in mind of lord Marsh's election to the question is, not what declarations or precoterie.—All the balls were black ;-but the tensions Spain may have thought proper to returning officer, George Selwyn, thought advance, but, what declarations or pretenproper to swear he was duly elected, and he sions on their part have we admitted and took his seat accordingly.

A. B.

LETTER XC.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 22 February, 1771. THE advocates of the ministry are,

1 The same fact is related, and probably by the same correspondent, in the following article of the Public Advertiser, February 15, 1771.

A correspondent has sent us the following

remarks on the London Gazette, published by

This lying paper contains the following unpre-cedented article: 'St James's, Feb. 11. This day a chapter of the most noble order of the day a chapter of the most noble order of the garter was held in the great council chamber, when Granville Levison Gower, earl Gower, being first knighted, was afterwards elected and invested with the gatter, ribbon, and George, with the usual solemnity. It is most notorious with the itsual solutions, it is not incondus to a great concourse of nobility and gentry then present, that there were only assisting the best of kings, the dukes of Gloucester, Newcastle, and Northumberland; consequently it is impossible that any election can have been made, the statutes of the order requiring the presence of the sovereign with six knights. The best of kings, whose duty it is to preserve the laws in-violable, could, to be sure, on no consideration, not even the election of that most worthy peer the earl Gower into this noble order, be prevailed upon, in the face of all England, to set the example of openly violating the statutes which have hitherto been so religiously respected and observed through so many ages. Had there been an election, the Gazette would have proimpossible that the best of kings can be a party EDIT.

ters have been deficient in that number.' 1 people whose conduct they defend.2 The The present way of electing Peg Trent- questions they ask are suicide to their own accepted? To support a fair comparison between the terms on which we hold the above places, and those on which Port Egmont is restored, it should be proved that Spain, in some treaty between us and it, has asserted its claim of prior right to Jamaica, Gibraltar, and Minorca, and that we have, with equal formality, accepted a treaty containing such an express reserva-

> to the illegally smuggling in a knight upon that most noble order, in the same manner as a knight for the county of Middlesex has been smuggled for the county of Middletex has been sintiggied into the House of Commons. If this article of news could be true, would not the kingdom have reason to lament that all order, decency, and respect for ancient rules and establishment, is now broken through by the person whose peculiar duty and interest it is to preserve them? Is the court itself so unpopular, or is the subject of his Majesty's favour so unworthy, that it was, after ten days waiting, impossible to procure the attendance of more than the king's own brother, the lord chamberlain, the auditor of the Exchequer, and the duke of Northumberland in flan-

Risum teneatis amici!

The following is a copy of the paragraph which occasioned the foregoing essay:
'People who would cavil, and are clamorous

about that part of the Spanish declaration, where the king of Spain makes a reservation of a prior claim of right to Falkland Island, would do well to consider, that such reservation is only mere matter of form, and is never likely to produce the smallest misunderstanding between the two crowns, especially when they recollect, or may inform themselves, that Spain never, to this hour, has renounced her formal claim of right, claimed it in the usual form, the knights present either to Minorca or Gibraltar, in the treaties would have been enumerated and named. It is subsequent to our possession of those places.'—

tion, and declared ourselves satisfied with it. The ministry would then have an example in point.1

VINDEX.

LETTER XCL

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 6 March, 1771.

PRAY tell that ingenious gentleman Mr Laughlin Macleane,2 that when his address is always Carolo, Dei gratia, instrument, 'Falkland Island is one of my parliament. possessions, and yet I allow the king of Spain to reserve a claim of prior right, and of imprisonment during pleasure for actions I declare myself satisfied with that reserva- which the laws have not made criminal. tion.' In spite of Mr Laughlin's disinter- They create a crime as well as a punishment. ested, unbroken, melodious eloquence, it is They call upon the king to support their a melancholy truth that the crown of illegality by a proclamation still more shamefully degraded, as by this declaration, with which the best of sovereigns assures expunge a recognizance; they stagnate the his people he is perfectly, entirely, com- cause of justice, and thereby assume an pletely satisfied.

VINDEX.

The printer thought proper at the time, with the consent of the author, see Private Letter, No. 33, to break off at this point, and to suppress the remainder of the essay. The autograph of the entire letter is still in the hands of the property of this edition: but it would be a breach of confidence to continue it further. Independently of which, he altogether approves of the suppression.

² Laughlin Macleane had been under secretary of state during lord Shelburne's possession of the office for the southern department. In his defence of the ministry here referred to, he still discovers a hankering after office, and at least a disposition to forgive them for his dismission. Mr Campbell, however, in his Life of Hugh Boyd, p. 125, tells us that at this very period he pos-sessed 'a mortal hatred for his Grace (of Grafton),

LETTER XCII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 25 March, 1771.

HOWEVER the court might have concealed its designs: however it might have deluded those who were disposed to be deceived, the imposition can exist no longer. The triplet union of Crown, Lords. and Commons against England displays itself with a violence and a candour, which statesmen in other conspiracies seldom the king of Spain writes to the king of have adopted. It is no more a question of Great Britain, he omits four-fifths of his royal antipathy or feminine unrelenting retitles, and when our king writes to him, sentment; it is not a single inconsequent act of arbitrary power; it is not the offen-Hispaniarumt utriusque Siciliae, et Indi- sive individual, but the free constitution of arum Regi Catholico. It was reserved for this country, whose destruction engages the his present Majesty to say, in a public influence of the crown and the authority of

The House of Commons assume a power England was never so insulted, never so illegal; and the liberty of the press is the object of this criminal alliance. They absolute power over the law and property of Great Britain.3

> January, in the following year, he received from lord North the collectorship of Philadelphia, and subsequently an appointment to India, in his voyage to which he was lost.—EDIT.
>
> The whole of this requires explanation. The

printers of newspapers having long intended it, now resolutely determined to report the debates of both Houses. Col. Onslow made a motion against them as guilty of a violation of the privileges of parliament; and the printers were summoned to attend: Wheble and Miller however refused to obey the order; and the minister thought proper to issue a proclamation in his Majesty's name, and insert it in the Gazette, offering a reward of fifty pounds for apprehending John Wheble, printer of the Middlesex Journal, and John Miller, printer of the London Evening Post, for daring to publish certain speeches delivered in parliament. In conse sessed a mortal native for instruction of the most of the lackest colours III. See observations on quence of this proclamation they were both ap this subject in the Preliminary Essay, p. 51. In prehended; Wheble by a brother printer of the

The House of Lords have not been back- slavery; for they have imprisoned, and they

name of Carpenter, who owed him a grudge, name of Carpenta, who when him a gradey, and Miller by William Whittam, a messenger of the House of Commons. The former was carried before Mr Wilkes, at that time just liberated from the King's Bench, and, as alderman for from the King's Dencin, and, as attendant of Farringdon Without, sitting magistrate at Guild-hall: who denying the legal authority of a mere proclamation, discharged Wheble, and took a recognizance of him to prosecute Carpenter for an assault and unlawful imprisonment. Miller upon his arrest sent for a constable, to whom he gave charge of the messenger who arrested him, and immediately carried him to the Mansionhouse, where the lord mayor, Mr Alderman Wilkes, and Mr Alderman Oliver jointly heard the cause, discharged Miller, and signed a warrant of commitment of the messenger to the Compter for the assault and false imprisonment; from which, however, he was released upon finding bail. Wilkes at the same time that these transactions were officially entered by the lord mayor's clerk into the Mansion-house rota book. addressed a letter to lord Halifax, one of the secretaries of state, informing him of the steps he had taken.

All was confusion and uproar. The House of Commons supported the legality of the procla-mation: issued an order to prohibit every kind of prosecution or suit from being commenced or carried on for or on account of the assault and implisonment of the printers; ordered the clerk to attend who had entered the proceedings in the Mansion-house minute book; erased the entire record; and summoned the different alderren who had officiated to appear at the bar of

the House to answer for their conduct.

The city first of all, and afterwards the nation at large, was extremely indignant at such illegal violence. The lord mayor's clerk was severely reprimanded at a general court of aldermen for suffering the city minute book to be mutilated; the Bill of Rights Society complained vehemently against the outrages committed; -Wilkes refused to obey the summons for his attendance, and the lord mayor and his other colleagues, upon attending and justifying their conduct, were committed to the Tower, for pretended contumacy. It was on this occasion that the lord mayor (Crosby) made the following spirited reply :-

'Mr Speaker,-an honourable gentleman has talked of the lenity to be shown me on account of my health, and my being only committed to the custody of the serjeant at arms. I thank God that my health is better than it has been for some time past. I know that I was prejudged on Monday, and that the string of resolutions and warrants is now in the gentleman's pocket. I ask no favour of this House. I crave no mercy from the Treasury-bench. I am ready to go to my noble friend at the Tower, if the House shall order me. My conscience is clear, and tells me that I have kept my oath, and done my duty to the city, of which I have the honour to be chief magistrate, and to my country. I EDIT.

ward in their contribution to the scheme of have fined. The crime, like the punishment.

will never betray the privileges of the citizens, nor the rights of the people. I have no apology to make for having acted uprightly, and I fear not any resentment in consequence of such conduct. I will through life continue to obey the dictates of honour and conscience, to give my utmost support to every part of the constitution of this kingdom, and the event I shall always leave to Heaven, at all times ready to meet my fate.

The lord mayor was accompanied to the Tower by an immense concourse of the livery, as well as of persons not connected with the police of the city, many of them of the utmost respectability: he was visited by the dukes of Manchester and Portland, earls Fitzwilliam and Tankerville, lord King, admiral Keppel, sir Charles Saunders, Mr Dowdeswell, Mr Burke, and many other commoners; as also by the two sheriffs, in order to express their entire disapprobation of the proceedings that had taken place against them; and the thanks of the city were voted unanimously, at a meeting of common council holden March 28, to such members of the House of Commons as had supported the conduct of the lord mayor and his colleagues, and maintained the rights and privileges of the city. The common council voted that all the expenses of the lord mayor's and Mr Oliver's table, &c. &c., should be defrayed by the city.

The magistrates, in order to obtain their discharge, were carried by habeas corpus, first before lord chief justice De Grey, and afterwards before lord Mansfield; but both judges refusing to discharge them, they were remanded to the Tower. In the mean time, in direct opposition to the order of the House of Commons, the to the order of the House of Commons, and grand jury, at the ensuing quarter-sessions at Guildhall, found bills of indictment against Carpenter and Whittam, the messenger of the House, for the assault and imprisonment of Miller and Wheble. The lord mayor and his colleagues remained in the Tower till the 8th of May, on which dauch to Majester the session of the control of the con which day his Majesty, by proroguing the parliament, terminated its power of confining them

any longer.

It is not necessary at this time to enter into the question of the legality or illegality of the power claimed in this instance by the House of Commons, under the specious name of parlia-mentary privilege. They virtually admitted themselves to have erred, by their subsequent conduct towards Mr Wilkes; who, though by far the most culpable of the whole (admitting culpability of any kind), was suffered to remain unmolested, except by serving him with three successive summonses to appear at the bar of the House, every one of which he contemptuously refused to obey, unless the House would suffer him to take his place as member for Middlesex. The result of the contest has terminated favourably for the public, who have ever since been put into possession of the debates of both Houses, through the medium of newspaper reporters .-

was in their own bosom. They were ex post facto legislators. They were parties; they were judges; and, instead of a court of final judicature, acted as a court of criminal jurisdiction in the first instance. The three estates, instead of being a controul upon each other, are let loose upon the constitution. The absolute power of the crown, by the assistance of the handmaid corruption, puts on the disguise of privilege. In the arrangement of hostility. MY LORD AND GENTLEMEN. the associated senate takes the lead, and illegal proclamation brings up the rear of business of the printers is become the unioppression. The cabal advances upon us versal subject of conversation. I will take as an army once did upon a town-it dis- the liberty of communicating my sentiments played before it a multitude of nuns, and to you in this public manner. The busioverawed the resistance of the besieged by ness first opened with a printer's being the venerable appearance. So the cabinet taken up by virtue of the king's proclamaputs forth the countenance of parliament, tion, and carried before Mr Alderman and marches against the constitution under Wilkes (who was sitting as a justice of the the shelter of the hallowed frailty.

honour.

In the case of lord Pomfret and Smith.

they are illustrative of one of Junius's most imbe found adopted by Junius as one of his notes portant letters, No. XLIV.; as they are excelently composed, and the subject has been in

LETTER XCIII.3

For the Public Advertiser.

29 March, 1771.

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE THE LORD MAYOR, MR ALDERMAN OLIVER, AND MR ALDERMAN WILKES.

As your conduct in regard to the peace for the city of London) in order to What has an Englishman now to hope his being committed by virtue of that profor? He must turn from king, lords, and clamation only. Mr Wilkes discharged commons, and look up to God and himself the printer, and upon his complaint, veriif he means to be free. He sees the repre- fied upon oath, bound over the apprehender sentation of the kingdom taken from the to appear at the quarter-sessions, and the people-the law dispensed with-the obli- printer to prosecute for an assault. In gation of a contract erased—the liberty of considering the legality of this proceeding the subject invaded—the freedom of the I will totally lay out of the question the press violated—by the House of Commons, privileges and franchises of the city of By the House of Lords he sees liberty, London, as I think this part of the case property, and the freedom of the press does not require any assistance from them. assaulted likewise, and the decision 1 of though they may be very material in the justice in its last resort a question of influ- subsequent proceedings as to the messenger ence, not of law. He beholds three supreme of the House of Commons. The first conpowers instead of one, and the constitution sideration then will be, what was the force a separate plunder to each: or rather he and effect of the proclamation? In Judge beholds one estate possessed of the power Dalison's Reports, which is a book of auby the profligacy of the rest .- If the cabinet thority, p. 20, 2 and 3 Phil. and Mary, it should prevail, we shall not only be en- is said, 'Note, It was agreed for law, that slaved, but disgraced. The man and means the king may make a proclamation to his that enslave us would be an additional dis- subjects quo ad terrorem populi, to put them in fear of his displeasure, but not An Englishman, and Enemy upon other pain certain, as to forfeit their to the Cabinet therefore. lands or goods, or to make fine, or to suffer

some measure recently agitated, the editor could There is some doubt as to the genumeness of this as well as of the ensuing letter; but as from Hawkins, inserted in the second letter, will clamations, which, amongst other things, contains these resolutions: 'That the king the Third. by his proclamation or otherways cannot mationem.'

imprisonment or other pain: for no pro- acts of parliament. The case still goes on. clamation shall make a law which was not and says, that the law of England is divided before, but may confirm and ratify an into three parts, common law, statute law, ancient law, but not change it, or make and custom; 'but the king's proclamation a new one; yet diverse precedents were is none of them.' And the learned reporter shown out of the Exchequer to the contrary, concludes in saying: 'after this resolution. but the justices would not have any regard no proclamation imposing fine and imto them, guod nota,' And in the 12th Part prisonment was afterwards made;' and I of sir Edward Coke's Reports, which is a have no doubt but the learned reporter book of the highest authority, p. 74, in the thought that after this solemn decision no 8 Ja. 1st (when prerogative ran high.) you such proclamations would ever be issued in will find a case called the case of pro- any future times: but, alas! he did not see the jurisprudence of the reign of George

I think I may call this case a solemn dechange any part of the common law, or termination, because it was settled upon statute law, or the customs of the realm.' great deliberation by the two chief justices. 'That the king cannot create any offence the chief baron and baron Altham, upon by his prohibition or proclamation, which conference betwixt the lords of the privy was not an offence before;' and that 'that council and them. When the printer was which cannot be punished without proclam- brought before Mr Wilkes, Mr Wilkes actation cannot be punished with it.' That ed as a magistrate, and in a judicial cathe king may by his proclamation admonish pacity; and had he imprisoned the printer, his subjects to keep the laws, but cannot or any other subject of this kingdom, upon make a thing unlawful which the law per- less evidence than the law required, he mits. And this, as the learned reporter would have been highly criminal. And in observes, was well proved by the ancient a case where the liberty of the subject was and continual forms of indictments, for all concerned, it required the best and the indictments conclude, contra legem et con- highest evidence to justify the deprivation suetudinem Anglia, or contra leges et of that liberty. The law and rules of evistatuta. &c. 'But never was seen an in- dence 'are part of the common law of the dictment to conclude contra regiam procla- land,' and the king cannot 'by his proclamation alter or suspend any of those The learned reporter puts several instances laws or rules; ' for that would be to alter of illegal proclamations, and amongst the the law of the land, and be in direct opporest this: An act was made by which fo- sition to those respectable authorities I reigners were licensed to merchandise within have cited. It is a law and a rule of evi-London. H. 4. by proclamation prohibit- dence that no judge or justice can judicially ed the execution of it, and that it should take notice of a private act of parliament. be in suspense usque ad proximum parlia- much less can they judicially take notice of mentum, which, says the learned reporter, a private order of the House of Commons was against law. Vide Dors. Claus. 8 relative to two individuals only. Nay, if Hen. 4. Proclamation in London. Here you add to it the sanction of the royal progive me leave to make one observation, that clamation, and consider it as the act of the any proclamation which infringes the an- king and Commons, does it mend the cient customs, privileges, and franchises of matter? Does it give it greater authority the city of London, infringes the statute in point of legal evidence than an act of laws of this land; for the customs, pri- parliament? I should be glad to be invileges, and franchises of the city of London formed if the constitution has given such are confirmed and established by various an arbitrary power of imprisonment to the

House of Commons as they claim, why in doubt in their minds as to the identity of has not given them proper officers to en force it without resorting to the king people punishable for not giving him assist. him, nor did we ever hear of a person pun- an argument. ished for refusing him assistance, which in clamation, every judge and justice in this no worse of it) of the administration. not come properly authenticated before transmitted to the public. It is sufficient them, although I fancy they had very little

² Robert Morris, Esq was a member of, and secretary to, the Bill of Rights Society. At their meeting in order to discuss the question of the

his person? So upon the same principles Mr Wilkes was well warranted in rejecting Why has not the serjeant at arms a power the proclamation; and that being out of to raise the posse comitatus? Why are not the way, I think it will then be so clear. that Mr Wilkes would have done right in ance? And yet I dare say there is not a committing the assailant upon the printer, law-book that has attributed this power to if he had not given bail, as not to admit of

I have two observations to make upon my apprehension is a strong argument the late attempt of enforcing the order of against the power claimed by the House of the House of Commons by the royal pro-Commons against the printers. I should clamation. First, that the calling in the be glad, too, to be informed, what law, aid of the king upon that occasion was usage, or custom, has made the king the weakening the authority and dignity of the minister to authenticate the orders of the House, and tends to make the execution of House of Commons, when it first began, the orders of that House dependent upon and where it is to be found. By what writ the pleasure of the king; and in the next or authority does the order come before the place, such an interposition on the part of king to be authenticated, and where is it to the king carries this appearance with it to be found? I never yet saw any statute, the public, that it is not the independence, case, or even dictum to authorize this. or the just liberties and privileges, of the And if the law has not intrusted the king commons of England that are thus anxiouswith the power of authenticating the orders ly sought to be preserved, but the gratificaof the House of Commons by his royal pro- tion of the spleen and resentment (to say kingdom will do right in paying no regard shall conclude this letter by saying, and to them under such a sanction. I have thinking till I am better informed, that the known trials where it has been necessary to late proclamation was an unwarrantable give in evidence the proceedings and de- exertion of power, tending to mislead all terminations of the House of Commons, judges and justices throughout England, which have always been done by proving and to put them upon imprisoning an Engthem upon oath to be true copies of the lish subject contrary to law, and the rules journals by the witness who examined them. of evidence, which make part of the law of And though Mr Wilkes might be convinced this kingdom; and therefore I for one apin his mind that there was such an order as plaud the conduct of Mr Wilkes in this stated in the proclamation, he could not in instance. In another letter I shall deliver his judicial capacity take notice of it, as it my sentiments as to the proceedings of your was not authenticated according to law. Lordship, Mr Alderman Oliver, and Mr This doctrine has been illustrated in a mo- Alderman Wilkes, when the messenger of dern instance. Did not the present chief the House of Commons was brought before justice of the King's Bench and his brethren you. I have forborne to take this business refuse to take judicial notice of Mr Wilkes up on the same grounds that Mr Morris when he surrendered himself in order to has done, as it would only be a repetition the reversal of his outlawry, because he did of what he has very judiciously before

> arrest of the printers, he thus addressed the chairman; and it is to this speech the writer of the above letter refers.

'Mr Chairman,

'The proclamation issued for apprehending the

for me to say that I think he has sufficiently criminal justice. I will next consider the

I am. My Lord and Gentlemen. Vour most humble servant, G. W.

LETTER XCIV.

For the Public Advertiser.

8 April, 1771.

TO THE RIGHT HON, THE LORD MAYOR OF LONDON, MR ALDERMAN OLIVER, AND MR ALDERMAN WILKES.

My LORD AND GENTLEMEN.

gerous obstruction to the execution of of either House, under the name of privi-

printers is, on all hands, I think, allowed to be from lawless violence. My concern for this illegal. I do not believe that there is in the neglect, this fear, or this tergiversation, is the whole kingdom a lawyer's clerk, who does not know it to be equally repugnant to the spirit and letter of the law and the constitution. The law, though not so well known, is as clear against commitments by the House of Commons. They have nothing to support their pretensions but their own vote, which certainly is not binding on any but themselves; an act of the three branches afford protection to the printers, and rescue them EDIT.

demonstrated the illegality of the order of subsequent proceedings as to the messenthe House of Commons; I only meant to ger of the House of Commons, who was give additional strength to his observations; brought before you, as magistrates of the and if any man will coolly consider the city of London, and charged upon oath whole case, argument will continually crowd with having committed a breach of the upon his mind to evince the illegality and peace, in assaulting and imprisoning one of injustice of the order and royal proclama- your citizens. The messenger justified the fact under a warrant signed by the speaker of the House of Commons, which I shall state more particularly hereafter, but at present it is not necessary.

This justification necessarily brought the validity of the speaker's warrant collaterally in question before you. Some people, who have in general applauded your conduct in this business, have said that you went too far in signing a warrant of commitment of the messenger, and in obliging him to give bail. As you deemed the speaker's warrant illegal, you could not do otherwise: it was the necessary consequence and judgment upon the complaint In my first letter I submitted my before you. You would have been guilty of reasons why Mr Wilkes could not in his a breach of duty, as magistrates, if you had judicial capacity take notice of the order of adjudged the messenger guilty of a breach the House of Commons, merely under the of peace, and not have committed him, or sanction of the royal proclamation. If I bound him over to answer the offence in a was right in that, it was totally immaterial due course of law. One of the greatest whether the order of the House of Com- privileges assumed by either House of parmons as to the printers was legal or illegal; liament, is that of having their privileges for in either case Mr Wilkes's conduct was (as they call them) examined and inquired agreeable to law. And in either case, the into in their own Houses only. And if this expunging of the proceedings taken before can be established as the law of England. him, be it by what order it may was a fla- any subject may be deprived of his life, grant violation of the law, and a very dan- liberty, and property, by an arbitrary vote

eater, that, if the officers of the House of _ommons, or any other person but a minister of this city properly authorized, takes these obnoxious men into custody, the rights of the city are violated; it being legally impossible for king, lords, and commons, to seize any citizen of London without the consent of its own magistrates. Were they even to make an act for that purpose, any out memselves; an act of the lurge oranges were they even to make an act for that purpose, of the legislature being the only authority that it is, besides the common law, acknowledged by England as valid. Matters being thus circumstanced, I am sorry to find that such magistrates constitution, and asirreversible as Magna Charta; of London, as belong to this Society, do not for indeed it is the Magna Charta of the city.— lege of parliament. It will be said that this then in force, which directed that the king that as it may, I think the liberties of not be favourable to the king's designs. England ought to stand upon a more solid

privileges of either House of parliament are bury, and lord Wharton, who had mainnot examinable elsewhere than in their own tained that the parliament was dissolved, Houses, are lord Shaftsbury's case, 29 Car. guilty of a contempt of that House, and sent II. in B. R. The Oueen v. Paty & alias, a them to the Tower: that they were sent to Ann. in B. R., and the Hon. Alexander Murthe Tower to gratify the king's vengeance is ray's case, 24 Geo. II. in B. R. In all cases apparent from the words of the warrant of adjudged upon constitutional points, regard commitment, for it directs them to be kept should be had to the temper of the times in safe custody during his Majesty's pleawhen they happened, and the characters, sure, and the pleasure of the House, for connexions, and dependencies of the judges. their high contempt committed against lord Shaftsbury's case, I am very sure it Wharton submitted to the House, and will be found to be a precedent of no were discharged; lord Shaftsbury at first weight or authority. Lord Shaftsbury was refused it, and sued out his Habeas Corpus, a man exceedingly obnoxious to king and was brought before the King's Bench Charles the Second, having in the House with the warrant of his commitment. of Lords violently opposed that arbitrary The warrant was glaringly illegal and prince, and his attempts to introduce unconstitutional, and seems to be admitted Popery into this kingdom. The king's de- by all the judges in that case to be so, parsigns were no secret; and the independent ticularly. Wylde, justice, said, the return members in the House of Commons had no doubt was illegal. This was a critical meditated means to prevent the execution case: in fact, it was the king's cause, and of them. The king, to frustrate this, pro- the judges determine it at that time held rogued the parliament for fifteen months their offices during the pleasure of the within a few days, being the longest proro- crown, so that they were reduced to an gation which had been then known. The awkward dilemma; however, they found king had also found means, by pensioning means to extricate themselves from it by many of the members of the Lower House, determining, that though the commitment to gain a considerable influence in it; and was illegal, they could not examine into it, the dissolution of parliament was then (as it and so lord Shaftsbury was remanded; is now) a thing earnestly to be sought for. and the three puisne judges on the case of Upon the meeting of the parliament, after the Oueen v. Paty & alias, as also the this long prorogation, a question was pro- judges in Mr Murray's case, seem implicitly pounded in the House of Lords by the to have followed the determination in lord country party, whether it was not actually Shaftsbury's case, and therefore if that dissolved. Lord Shaftsbury, and others of determination be overthrown, the other that party, argued and maintained upon two must sink of course. In the case of the an old statute of king Edward the Third, Queen v. Paty & alias, 2 Ann., the defend-

is a foreign presumption, and that we can-should call a parliament once a year, or not suppose that those respectable charac- oftener if need should be, that the parters in the Houses of parliament would liament was actually dissolved; but the invade the liberties of the people. I must court party strenuously opposed this, own I think from some late exertions, there knowing that the eyes of the people were is no room left for presumptions; but be opened, and that a new parliament would

This question had made a great noise in basis than presumptions, or the arbitrary the kingdom, and by way of silencing the voice of one branch of the legislature only. people, the king's party in the House of The cases to prove that the assumed Lords voted lord Shaftsbury, lord Salis-If these circumstances be attended to in that House. Lord Salisbury and lord

by a warrant of the speaker of the House that the law, which favours nothing more of Commons, signed Robert Harley, than the liberty of the subject, should give speaker (a fatal name to liberty), were us a remedy against commitments by the brought by Habeas Corpus into the king himself, appearing to be illegal and Queen's Bench, and prayed to be dis- yet give us no manner of redress against a charged upon the illegality of the commit- commitment by our fellow-subjects, equally ment. The three puisne judges refused to appearing to be unwarranted.' To this I interfere upon the authority of lord Shafts- may add the dictum of the present speaker bury's case, and the prisoners were re- of the House of Commons when counsel.1 manded, contrary to the opinion of lord who is reported to have said, that had he chief justice Holt, one of the ablest judges the honour to preside in any court of justthat ever presided in that court. He was of ice, he should no more regard the resoluopinion, that what the House had called a tions of that House, than the resolutions of breach of privilege was not a breach of pri- a set of drunken porters. Some apology vilege, nor could their judgment make it may be made for the judges in the case so, nor conclude that court from determin- of the Oueen v. Paty & alias. They might ing contrary; and he says, 'When the connive at a stretch of power in the House House of Commons exceed their legal of Commons, for fear of weakening the there be a wrongful imprisonment by the representatives. House of Commons, what court shall deliver the party? Shall we say there is no re- established as that, though the order of the dress; and that we are not able to execute. House be apparently illegal, no court or those laws upon which the liberty of the magistrate can give redress, a door will be Queen's people subsists? To conclude, all opened through the House of Commons to courts are so far judges of their own privi- elude all those excellent laws which our leges, and entrusted with a power to vindi- ancestors have procured for the preservacate themselves, that they may punish for tion of our liberties, and to overturn the contempts; but to make them, or any court, fundamental principles of the constitution. final judges of them, exclusive of every- But let us hope that by such weak authorbody else, is to introduce a state of confu- ities as the three cases cited, the liberties of sion, by making every man judge in his England are not to be determined. In anown cause, and subverting the measures of other letter I will trouble you with my sentiall jurisdictions.' What says another ments upon the privileges of the House of learned lawyer, Mr Serjeant Hawkins, in Commons, and the warrant of commitment,2 his Pleas of the Crown, p. 110?—In commenting upon lord Shaftsbury's case, he says, 'But if it be demanded in case a subject should be committed by either of those Houses for a matter manifestly out of their jurisdiction, what remedy can he have? I

ants having been committed to Newgate answer, that it cannot well be imagined bounds and authority, their acts are wrong- dignity and independence of the House: ful, and cannot be justified more than the and if ever that can be justifiable, it was so acts of private men: that there was no then, because the House was truly honourquestion but their authority is from the able and independent; for no placeman or law, and as it is circumscribed, so it may pensioner was then capable of sitting in be exceeded. To say they are judges of that House. Very different is it at this time: their own privilege and their own author- the House swarms with placemen and penity, and nobody else, is to make their pri-sioners, and the people want a barrier to vileges to be as they would have them. If guard them from the invasions of their own

But if such a dangerous position is to be

I am.

My Lord and Gentlemen. Your most humble servant, G. W.

Sir Fletcher Norton .- EDIT. 2 This promised letter did not appear, though

LETTER XCV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 9 April, 1771.

the late proceedings of the House of Com- same scale with the crown, and that their mons would have a considerable weight privileges, instead of forming a barrier with me, if I could persuade myself that against the encroachments of the other the present House of Commons were really branches of the legislature, are made subin that independent state in which the con- servient to the views of the sovereign, and stitution meant to place them. If I could employed, under the direction of the be satisfied that their resolutions were not minister, in the persecution of individuals, previously determined in the king's cabinet, and the oppression of the people. In this that no personal resentment was to be case it would be the duty of every hongratified, nor any ministerial purpose to be est man to stand strictly to his right :answered, under pretence of asserting their to question every act of such a House of privileges, I own I should be very unwilling Commons with jealousy and suspicion, and to raise or encourage any question between wherever their pretended privileges trenched the strict right of the subject, and that dis- upon the known laws of the land, in the cretionary power which our representatives minutest instance, to resist them with a have assumed by degrees, and which, until determined and scrupulous exactness. To of late years, they have very seldom abused. ascertain the fact, we need only consider While the House of Commons form a real in what manner parliaments have been representation of the people, while they managed since his Majesty's accession. preserve their place in the constitution, distinct from the Lords, and independent of perfect union and happiness which good

the same subject is pursued in the following letter, as well as under the more dignified signature of Junius, and occurs in the letters with this subscription, No. XLIV.

To the arguments and opinions both there and here cited upon the authority of royal proclamations, and powers or privileges of parliament, the editor will, on this occasion, take the liberty of adding the following decision on the same point by that great and constitutional judge, lord chief justice Holt.

'In the reign of queen Anne, in 1704, several freemen of the borough of Aylesbury had been refused the liberty of voting at an election for a retused the interty of voting at an election for a member of parliament, though they proved their qualifications as such: the law in this case imposes a fine on the returning officer of £100 for every such offence. On this principle they applied to lord chief justice Holt, who desired the officer to be arrested. The House of Commons, claimed at this step made an order of their alarmed at this step, made an order of their House to make it penal for either judge, counsel, or attorney, to assist at the trial; however, the lord chief justice, and several lawyers, were hardy enough to oppose this order, and brought it on in the King's Bench. The House, highly irritated at this contempt of their orders, sent a serjeant at arms for the judge to appear before them; but that resolute defender of the laws

the crown, I think to contend with them about the limits of their privileges would be contending with ourselves 1 But the question will be materially altered, if it should appear that instead of preserving the due balance of the constitution, they THE arguments used in defence of have thrown their whole weight into the

He found this country in that state of

bade him, with a voice of authority, begone; on nace nun, with a voice of aumority, begone; on which they sent a second message by their speaker, attended by as many members as esponsed the measure. After the speaker had delivered his message, his Lordship replied to him in these remarkable words: "Go back to your chair, Mr Speaker, within these five minutes, or yo:

heı_ butor of justice, and, were the whole House of Commons in your belly, I will not stir one foot." The Speaker was prudent enough to retire, and the House were equally prudent to let the affair

drop. Edit.

The necessity of securing the House of Commons against the king's power, so that no interruption might be given either to the attendance. ance of the members in parliament, or to the freedom of debate, was the foundation of parliamentary privilege; and we may observe in all the addresses of new appointed speakers to the sovereign, the utmost privilege they demand is liberty of speech and freedom from arrests. The very word privilege means no more tha:. immunity, or a safeguard to the party who possesses it, and can never be construed into an active power of invading the rights of others.

abolish all distinctions of party, and kep his word by declaring lord Bute his favour opinion.

pliant in surrendering the rights of the his ministers.] peerage, whenever it has suited the purposes

government naturally produces, and which vote above-mentioned, and when they were a bad one has destroyed. He promised to called upon to support that enormous violation of all law, truth, and reason, which was perpetrated by the House of Comite and minister, by proscribing the whole mons in the case of the Middlesex election. Whig interest of England, and by filling they gave up that reciprocal check and every place of trust and profit under his controll by which the balance between the government with professed Tories, notorious three estates can alone be preserved, and Jacobites, and Scotchmen of all denomina- were content to bury their own privileges tions. He abolished no distinctions but under the ruins of the constitution.—The those which are essential to the safety of the influence of the crown over the resolutions constitution. King, Lords, and Commons, of both Houses continues to operate with which should for ever stand clear of each equal force, though now it assumes a differother, were soon melted down into one entappearance. The liberty of the press, common mass of power, while equal care besides giving a daily personal offence to was taken to draw a line of separation the Princess of Wales, must always be between the legislature and the people, and formidable, therefore always odious, to such more particularly between the representative a government as the present. Prosecutions and the constituent body of the commons. had been attempted without success. The The Lower House distinguished them- privilege of parliament which had been so selves by an eager compliance with every shamefully surrendered to answer one minmeasure that could be supposed to gratify isterial purpose, must now be as violently the king personally, or to humour the vin- asserted to answer another. [The ministry dictive passions of his royal mother. [When are of a sudden grown wonderfully careful Mr Wilkes was to be punished, they made of privileges which their predecessors were no scruple about the privileges of parlia- as ready to invade. The known laws of ment; and although it was as well known the land, the rights of the subject, the as any matter of public record and uninter- sanctity of charters, and the reverence due rupted custom could be, that the members to our magistrates, must all give way, withof either House are privileged, except in out question or resistance, to a privilege of case of treason, felony, or breach of the which no man knows either the origin or peace, they declared without hesitation that the extent. The House of Commons judge privilege of parliament did not extend to of their own privileges without appeal:the case of a seditious libel; and undoubt- they may take offence at the most innocent edly they would have done the same if Mr action, and imprison the person, who Wilkes had been prosecuted for any other offends them, during their arbitrary will misdemeanour whatsoever.] It was upon and pleasure. The party has no remedy; that occasion that sir Fletcher Norton, the -he cannot appeal from their jurisdiction; patron of privilege, declared in the House, and if he questions the privilege, which he that, if he were a judge in Westminster is supposed to have violated, it becomes an Hall, he should regard a vote of the House aggravation of his offence. Surely, Sir. of Commons no more than a resolution of this doctrine is not to be found in Magna a company of drunken porters.-To show Charta. If it be admitted without limitus his politeness, he preserves his style; - ation, I affirm that there is neither law nor to show us his morality, he changes his liberty in this kingdom. We are the slaves of the House of Commons, and, through The House of Lords have not been less them, we are the slaves of the king and

The mode in which the House have proof the cabinet. They joined heartily in the ceeded against the city magistrates can

MISCELLANEOUS LETTERS OF JUNIUS.

persons apprehended under it. 7

fair proceedings of an English court of upon the royal prerogative.2 judicature. These gentlemen, as magis-

was published under the direction of the late Arthur Onslow, Esq.

² The following is a copy of the minutes of the House of Commons, of March 20, 1771, here

referred to.

That James Morgan, clerk of the lord mayor, do at the table expunge the minutes taken before the lord mayor, relative to the messenger of this

neither be reconciled to natural justice, nor gation of their oaths, and the execution of even to the common forms of decency, - the laws. If they were convinced that the They begin with shutting their doors against speaker's warrant was not a legal authority all strangers, the usual name by which to the messenger, it necessarily followed they describe their constituents. Some of that, when he was charged upon oath with their debates appear in the public papers. a breach of the peace, they must hold him to The offence, if any, is certainly not a new bail. They had no option. Yet how have one. We have the debates as regularly they been treated? Their judges had been preserved as the journals of parliament; 1 partially summoned, by Treasury mandates. nor can there be any honest reason for pressing attendance, and demanding a vote concealing them. Mr Onslow however of condemnation. They were tried and thinks it necessary to persecute the press, condemned at midnight, without being and the House of Commons is mean enough heard, by themselves or their counsel, on to take part in his caprices. Lord North, the only point on which their justification who had so lately rewarded the reverend could possibly depend.-In short, Sir, a Mr Scott with the best living in the king's question, strictly of jurisdiction, was regift, for heaping invectives equally dull and ferred to numbers, and carried like a comvirulent upon some of the most respectable mon ministerial measure. Their next step characters in the kingdom, is now shame- was to force the lord mayor's clerk, by the less enough to support a motion against terror of a prison, to erase the record of a the liberty of the press with the whole in- judicial proceeding, held regularly before fluence of the crown. That their practice the chief magistrate of the city. Lord might be every way conformable to their North himself made the motion, and deprinciples, the House proceeded to advise clared that the constitution could not be the crown to publish a proclamation uni- safe, until it was carried into effect. They versally acknowledged to be illegal. Mr then resolved that all prosecutions for the Moreton publicly protested against it before assault (which, though charged upon oath, it was issued; and lord Mansfield, though they call a pretended one) should be not scrupulous to an extreme, speaks of it stopped. I wish that grave and sober men with horror. It is remarkable enough that would consider, independently of the other the very men who advised the proclamation, questions before us, how far this particular and who hear it arraigned every day both precedent may extend. If the House of within doors and without, are not daring Commons may interpose, in a single inenough to utter one word in its defence, stance, between the subject, who complains, nor have they ventured to take the least and the laws, which ought to protect, I see notice of Mr Wilkes for discharging the no reason why they may not, at any time, by their vote, stop the whole course of The pretended trial of the lord mayor justice through the kingdom. Besides the and Mr Oliver resembled the dark business injury done to the subject, their granting a of a Spanish inquisition, rather than the noli prosequi is in effect an encroachment

Many circumstances of insult have been trates, had nothing to regard but the obli- mixed with these measures of violence.-

I Grey's Collection of Debates, in ten volumes, House, giving security for his appearance at the next general quarter-sessions of the peace; and he accordingly at the table expunged the same. 'Motion made, and question proposed,

'That no other prosecution, suit, or proceeding, be commenced, or carried on, for, or on account of, the said pretended assault, or false imprisonment.

It passed in the affirmative,'-EDIT.

which he nobly refused to accept of, amounted only to an offer of the garrets of most occasion for it. They alone are the House for the place of his confinement instead of the Tower: and, though it be of less moment, it is still worth observing, that the indignity offered to the city is the earliest opportunity of resenting the aggravated by the time expressly chosen for imprisoning their chief magistrate. Not public charity of the customary collections, which they knew must be reduced to nothing by the absence of the lord mavor.

and alarm through the kingdom by ap-This pointing committees of inquiry. double star-chamber was moved for long after midnight, and lists partially sent round by the messengers of the Treasury .-Where will these arbitrary, iniquitous proceedings end? The ministry, I doubt not, have a plan prepared, but it is such a one, as they neither dare openly avow, nor uniformly adhere to. One day they appoint committees of inquisition to sit de die in diem;-the next thing we hear is that the committees are adjourned, and the members of them dispersed into the country .--After advising the king, very unnecessarily, to go to parliament, they come to him, while his equipage is in waiting, contradict of their equals.

The passages in this letter which are placed within brackets, are retranscribed by the author, and added as notes to his Letter XLIV., published in his own edition under the signature of JUNIUS, where the reader will still find them.

The messengers were indicted in defiance of the resolutions of the House of Commons, and true bills were found against them, but further proceedings were stopped by the attorney-general entering a noli prosequi. As the arguments urged by Mr Adair, who was of counsel for the printers, on showing cause against this measure are extremely curious, and not generally known, we shall subjoin them for the information of the reader, and for the better elucidation of this and other letters upon the subject of this important dispute.

Mr Adair, in pursuance of notice, attended the attorney-general, Mr De Grey, on the 17th of May, 1771, and after the indictment and an kingdom.

Their pretended lenity to the lord mayor, their own advice, and endeavour to stagger his resolution, at the moment when he has answerable for all the indignities heaped upon the king's person, since they could not but foresee, that the people would take imprisonment of their magistrates.

When the Princess of Wales was named content with interrupting all city business, in the House of Commons, where was they fixed upon Easter, because it is the that zeal which some people boast of for chief city festival, and found a contemptible their royal master? The mother of their gratification in putting a stop to the amuse- sovereign was branded by name, as the ments usual at this season, and depriving a authoress of all our calamities, and the assertion passed without censure or contradiction.

Sir, I most truly lament the condition to Nothing remained but to keep up a terror which we are reduced; and the more so, because there is but one remedy for it, and that remedy has been repeatedly refused .--A dissolution of the parliament would restore tranquillity to the people, and to the king the affections of his subjects: the present House of Commons have nothing to expect but contempt, detestation, and resistance. This violent state of things cannot long continue. Either the laws and constitution must be preserved by a dreadful appeal to the sword; or (what probably is intended by the present system of measures), the people will grow weary of their condition, and surrender every thing into the king's hands, rather than submit to be trampled upon any longer by five hundred

A WHIG!

affidavit of the defendant had been read, spoke as follows:--

'It requires no arguments to show, that though the entering a noli prosequi on prosecutions at the suit of the king only, is an undoubted prerogative of the crown; yet like all other prerogatives, it is intended for the general good of the

subject, and not for the hindrance or interruption of public justice. It is indeed a discretionary power, but it is to be exercised not according to an arbitrary but a sound and legal discretion. It is for this reason, Sir, that it is not left to the wanton caprice of a favourite, or the arbitrary will of a minister, to be executed at pleasure, but it is deposited as a public trust in the hands of the attorney-general, that the exercise of it may be directed by his knowledge of the laws and constitution of the

LETTER XCVI.

For the Public Advertiser.

15 April, 1771.

TO THE EARL OF SUFFOLK My Lorb,

power should be most sparingly exercised in cases of prosecution by indictment.

'Though the king's name is necessarily used as the general guardian of the laws, there is another party concerned in indictments, the injured party, who is for the most part the real, as the king is the nominal prosecutor.

'The practice too of entering a noli prosequi on indictments is but of modern date.

'In the case of Goddard and Smith in the 6th Mod. 262, Holt, chief justice, said, "He had known it thought very hard that the attorneygeneral should enter noli prosequi upon indictments, and that it began first to be practised in the latter end of king Charles the Second's reign; and he ordered precedents to be searched, if any

were, in Mr Attorney Palmer, or Nottingham's precedent of a noli prosequi on an indictment.'

'I therefore submit to you, that (sitting here to determine upon the application of a power so recent in its commencement, and of which we are told by so respectable an authority, that it has been looked upon as a hardship in itself,) you will require the most cogent reasons to induce you to exert it upon this or any other occasion.

'Those reasons must arise either from the con

duct of the prosecutor, the personal situation and circumstance of the defendant, or the subject

matter of the prosecution.

'I do not find from the affidavit of the defendant, which is the only information I have had of the grounds of his application to you, that he complains of any particular hardship or oppression, arising either from unnecessary delay, unusual rigour, or any other misconduct in the prosecutor: he must therefore expect the extraordinary interposition of the prerogative in his behalf, in this instance, either from some-thing peculiarly favourable in his personal situation, which entitles him to the protection of the crown, or from the charge against him being totally groundless and unfit to be discussed in a court of justice.

As to the first of these points, if we conside. Mr Whittam not being a magistrate's constable, or any other officer intrusted with the execution of the laws, but acting merely in a private capacity, as wantonly assaulting one of the king's subjects, in his own house, who was not even accused of any crime, and violently at tempting to deprive him of his liberty; if, I say, we consider him in this point of view, he

duct seemed to claim some attention from the public, which you do not, I presume, think you have entirely escaped: but since by their silence they either think you superior to shame, or below the dignity of revenge. I cannot help giving to them what I owe on this occasion, which, had I only THE singularity of your late con- considered the gratification of a passion, I

'Many reasons may be suggested why this hardly be thought a fit object of the royal favour and protection; but if we view him in the light in which he has thought proper to place himself by his own affidavit, he will be found, if possible. still less entitled to that exertion of prerogative for which he has applied. He tells you, Sir, that he is a messenger of the House of Commons; that in that character, and acting under the express orders and authority of that House, he did the fact with which he is charged in the indictment. Does he mean, Sir, that you should consider this as a reason for granting a noli pro-sequi? When was it heard before that an exertion of pierogative was necessary to support the authority and privileges of the House of Com-mons? When was that House known to sue to the servants of the crown to screen their officers from the laws, or protect them from the indignation of an inconsiderable printer?

'I believe when any of their privileges have been really invaded, they have never been found wanting either in power or inclination to sup-port them; and I am satisfied that if the House were now sitting, Mr Whittam would not have dared to make an application so manifestly tending to expose their privileges and authority to ridicule and contempt. But, Sir, I am persuaded that the honour and dignity of the House of Commons are safe in your hands, and that you will suffer no act to proceed from you that can throw even an oblique imputation upon them.

If there is for these reasons nothing in Mr Whittam's personal situation, or circumstances, which can exitle him to an extraordinary interposition in his favour, it remains only to be considered whether any motive can be suggested from the subject-matter of the prosecution to induce you to put a stop to it by an exertion of the

royal prerogative.

The charge set forth in the indictment, and not denied by the defendant's affidavit, is for assaulting and imprisoning the prosecutor, Mr Miller. It will not be contended that there appears any thing upon the face of the indictment oppressive, illegal, unfit to come before a court of justice, or which affords any motive whatsoever for granting the noli prosequi; the reason, therefore, if any, must arise from the matters set forth by the defendant's affidavit. The affidavit states, that the defendant is one of the mes-sengers of the House of Commons; that the speaker's warrant for apprehending the procecutor was issued by order of the House, and that, in consequence thereof, the defendant, to whom the warrant was delivered, did make ti ; arrest do it with some distrust of my own abilities, Lordship must be aware that it is generally

with which he is charged in the indictment, and that he used no violence in so doing, other than seizing Mr Miller by the arm as is usual in arrests.

'I apprehend it is not incumbent upon me here to consider, as I submit it is not competent for you, Sir, to determine in this summary manner, whether the matters here set forth do or do not amount to a good defence, or legal justification, We are not now to try the cause; but you, Sir, I am confident, will not interpose the prerogative of the king to prevent our trying it in the regular course before the proper jurisdiction, unless the prosecution, as it now appears before you, is so clearly and manifestly groundless, and unfit for discussion in a court of law, that it would be an abuse and mockery of public justice to bring it to a trial. If the authority under which Mr Whittam alleges himself to have acted, was not competent to authorize the fact which he committed, or if that authority never was in fact delegated to him, in either of those cases the prosecution is well founded in law. If any doubt or question can be raised on either of these points, it is not so clearly groundless as to justify the putting a stop to it by prerogative, before those questions are legally determined.

'It might well be questioned, whether the House of Commons has any power, by the laws or constitution of this kingdom, to authorize the issuing of such a warrant as that under colour of

which Mr Miller was apprehended.

'It might be said, and supported too by the greatest authorities, that they cannot by any act of theirs singly, create any new power or privilege to themselves. That there was a time when they evidently neither possessed nor claimed any such power as that in question; and when the authority of an act of parliament was thought necessary to punish even so undemable a breach of privilege, as the assaulting the person of a member attending upon his duty in parliament. The statute, Sir, which I here all de to, is the rith of H. VI. c. 11, which was made to extend the provisions of 5th H. IV. c. 6, for punishment of assaults on the servants of members of parliament when attending on their masters in their duty, to the persons of the members themselves. It might be urged, that the power in question has never been given them by any act of parliament, and that if there ever was a time when they did not possess it, they can by no other means have legally acquired it. All this and much more might be said, if it were necessary to dispute the authority of the House of Commons to issue the warrant for the commitment of Mr Miller; but it is sufficient for me at present to contend, that whether they had or had not the power, they never did in fact give the defendant any authority whatsoever to make the arrest in question.

The warrant, Sir, under colour of which Mr Whittam acted, is a warrant purporting to be

should have sooner done. I own I now in doing justice to the undertaking. Your

Commons, and signed Fletcher Norton, speaker. But, Sir, the order of the House, as it is recited in the warrant itself, is for taking Mr Miller into the custody of the serjeant at arms, or his deputy; and Mr Whittam is described in the direction of the very same warrant to be neither the one nor the other of these. No authority whatsoever can be conveyed to Mr Whittam by virtue of an order, in which he is not named, and which particularly points out certain persons, in contradiction from all others. This warrant, therefore (so far as it relates to Mr Whittam), appears to be issued by the speaker, merely of his own authority, unauthorized by any order of the House of Commons. Has the speaker any power to commit, unless he derives it from the orders of the House? If he has not, which must be granted, he is bound strictly and literally to pursue that order which creates his authority: as far as he exceeds it, he acts without authority himself, and most clearly can convey none to any other person. Mr Whittam therefore, in this case, acting without any legal authority whatever, in the arrest of the prosecutor, a prosecution grounded upon that cannot be considered as totally void of foundation. But supposing for a moment that the prosecution was frivolous and ill-grounded, I submit that that alone would not be a reason for the extraordinary interposition of the crown. If it would in this case, it must in every other; every defendant who fancied himself unjustly prosecuted would apply for protection to the crown; and almost every indictment must first be tried by the attorney-general before it could come regularly into a court of justice. I presume you will conceive it was not for these purposes that this prerogative was vested in your hands; and that there must appear some strong reasons peculiar to the case to show why it is improper and unfit for public discussion, besides merely that of the prosecution being ill-grounded, to induce you to make this extraordinary inter-position. I submit to you, Sir, with great deference, that there appears no such reasons in this case. Every motive of policy and prudence seems to weigh on the other side. The question to be tried is the most important that can well be conceived. The privileges of the House of Commons on the one side, and the liberties of the people of England on the other, are said to be materially affected. Perhaps indeed it might have been wished that this great question had never been started, or brought to the public view, by issuing the warrant in question. But when it has been already so much agitated, and has engrossed the attention of the public, it seems necessary, for the satisfaction and quiet of the kingdom, that it should proceed to a solemn and legal determination in a court of justice. If, therefore, Sir, the House of Commons had no authority by law to authorize Mr Whittam to make the arrest upon the prosecutor, or if, in fact, no authority was delegated to him, in either issued in pursuance of an order of the House of of these cases he has illegally assaulted an

a matter of some degree of delicacy to un- tent of politics, as it is of some difficulty to dertake the discussion of any part or sys- avoid the share of imputations which are

innocent man, and deprived him of his liberty. and the entering a noli prosequi would be an obstruction of public justice. If on the other hand the House of Commons had a legal authority, and regularly delegated the execution of it to Mr Whittain, the public should be convinced of it by a discussion and determination in a court of law; and the granting the noli proseque in that case, would tend to mislead many people into an opinion that it was done to screen an offender from the laws, who had no legal justification in a court of justice : I therefore submit to you, Sir, for these reasons, that you, as attorney-general, will not think proper in this case to

grant a noli prosequi.'
Mr Attorney-General. 'Do you produce any

evidence?

Mr Adair. 'We offer no other evidence than what appears in the affidavit of the defendant himself and the warrant to which it refers.

Mr Attorney-General. 'You are extremely right in this, that it is not at all a fit thing for the attorney-general to try either the fact upon which the defendant is indicted, or to determine the law. The only question is this, whether it is fit for the king to interpose as the prosecutor of this offence? That, I take it, should be the ground of your argument, and the point upon which I expected satisfaction. The affidavit itself states the messenger of the House of Commons to be acting under the authority of the House of Commons; and if this was the only way in which that question could be brought before a court of law, I should be obliged to give an opinion whether it ought, or whether it ought

'The only point I have to consider is, whether it be fit for the name of the crown to appear in prosecuting one who appears to be the mes-senger of the House of Commons, and to be armed by the authority of that House for doing the very thing he has done under the orders of the House? I don't mean to pass over the objection which has been made, that the speaker of the House, by orders of the House, directing the warrant to a person not named in such order, whether that order extends only to arresting the prosecutor, and taking him into the custody of the serjeant at arms, or his deputy: I dare say I take Mr Adair's objection perfectly right; the order of the House is for taking him into the custody of the serjeant at arms, nor his deputy; and the objection is, that the person in whose custody the prosecutor was originally taken, is neither the serjeant at arms, nor his deputy; and the doubt you raise upon it is, whether the speaker of the House of Commons can authorize another person to arrest and bring him into the custody of the serjeant at arms, or his deputy; for the serjeant at arms, nor his deputy, is the proper and the only custody I know of belonging to the House, and the gentleman's argument is, that in point of the arrest it cannot be made without the serjeant, or deputy

serjeant, with respect to the orders of the House of Commons, and the direction of the warrant by the Speaker, which is a question of law to be sure. It has been constant in point of practice for the messengers to be employed (in the orders of the House, and for other than messengers to be employed) upon the very same occasion. There is nothing so constant as the messengers all to be employed: there are some few instances where more than the messengers have been employed upon these occasions. The difficulty upon it was, whether they should or not be inserted in the warrant; or whether, if they were not inserted in the warrant, it could be construed under the general description of the serjeant at arms, or his deputy; or whether that authority could go to warrant those which might be appointed by the serjeant at arms, or his deputy, upon that occasion. It was thought more proper to make a warrant directed to the person to be employed, though it was mentioned in the orders of the House that the custody was to be that of the serjeant at arms, or his deputy, according to the usual form of their orders.

But the only point for me to consider is, how far it is fit the king should be the prosecutor of a servant of the House of Commons, in the execution of a privilege which they now claim, which they have claimed for ages, and have been in the possession of for ages, and that the king should be brought into a proceeding against the servant of the House as a prosecutor. The noli prosequi is called a prerogative right of the crown; it amounts to no more than this, that the king makes his election whether he will continue or not to be the prosecutor upon an indictment, and the noli prosequi is entered in the same words in case of the crown as of a private person. The entry upon the record is exactly the same by the attorney-general as by a private plaintiff upon

record in any civil suit.
'I did expert that you would have given me some reason for entertaining an opinion, that it was decent and fit for the crown to continue and was decent and it for the crown to continue and stand forth as a prosecutor of the messenger of the House of Commons, acting under their di-rection, in maintenance of a privilege they have claimed and held so long. That is the only point I put it upon. The affidavit, as made by the defendant, makes it necessary to consider him as an officer of the House

'I did not indeed expect any disputes upon it, or that it would be put upon so small a ground: the reason I expected was, that it was becoming an officer of the crown, in the name of the crown, to continue a prosecution by the crown, against the messenger of the House of Commons, acting under the authority of the House of Commons.

Mr Adair expressing a doubt whether it would be proper for him to make any reply to this, the attorney-general said he should be glad to hear

Mr Adair. 'With regard to what you have

indiscriminately thrown on all who submit which I mean to do pretty freely, I must

their anonymous opinions to the public, admire the simple candour with which you Though these reproaches may fall on those have declared yourself without principle. whose names would give some credit to In the most destructive administrations. their assertions, yet while they adopt the composed of men perhaps more profligate common method of hirelings, their writings than your Lordship, care has generally must expect the same treatment. With been taken to save, in some measure, apwhatever circumstances any object of my pearances with the public; and although notice might be attended. I should expect the destruction of this constitution has been criticism, and I hope I could bear it with pretty clearly their object, they have never temper. I cannot however help consider- ventured openly to avow it; even the duke ing it as a lucky circumstance, that the first of Grafton did not condemn his own prinproduction I ever ventured to give to the ciples, though he avowed and gloried in public, excludes the possibility of any im- such measures as no man with principle putation, as the actions I shall condemn could undertake. Your Lordship is the admit not the possibility of defence. Be- first man who ever saved others the trouble fore I arraign your subsequent conduct, of accusation. Your protests must remain

same in the case of the crown as of a private person; yet in a prosecution by indictment the crown is not solely concerned. To make the case exactly similar, it should be an information ex officio, or any other really and truly a crown prosecution, and then the entering noli prosequi upon that, would be the same as upon private actions. But in the case of indictments, the king being in fact a nominal prosecutor, though his name is necessary, yet the injured party being the true prosecutor (who applies to the laws of his country for justice against the offender, who has violated those laws and particularly injured him), if in that case the king puts a stop to the prosecution, by withdrawing his name from it, it is the same in effect, though not in form, as if he sent his mandate, and said that prosecution should not go on; because if he withdraws his name from it, that prosecution cannot, by the laws, go any further; the prosecutor himself cannot proceed in his own name; the withdrawing that name has the same effect as the actual interposition of prerogative by the attorney-general, and operates the same as a pardon. Mr Whittam being alleged to have acted under the authority of the House of Commons, to have had a warrant directed to him; the question is not whether the wanant is legal or not, but whether it is proper for the crown to put a stop to that prosecution, and whether the privileges of the House of Commons being said to be concerned, any interposition of the crown be necessary to support their authority. If Whittam has acted in pursuance of the order of the House, if those orders are such as the House has a competent authority to make, I submit that it cannot be a doubt that that matter pleaded or brought in a regular manner before a court of justice, would be a sufficient defence. If the courts of law are of opinion that the House has that authority, and that it was regularly delegated to Whittam, they would necessarily be of opinion to acquit him;

suggested, it is true the entry upon record is the and upon that ground there appears to be no and upon that ground there appears to be no necessity for the crown withdrawing itself from a prosecution, which by no possible means can prove oppressive or injurious to the defendant. If he has acted under a legal authority, he must be legally acquitted in a court of justice. But if the authority is not sufficient, or not regularly if the authority is not sufficient, or not regularly conveyed, it is proper, for the sake of justice, and the liberty of the subject, that judgment should be pronounced upon it in a court of law. I believe the prosecutor does not contend, that the defendant has been guilty of that kind of offence, for which he means to prosecute him with any rigour; he don't mean to oppress him, or proceed for the sake of punishment collections and the sake of the sake of punishment collections. only; whether it is five pounds or five thousand is indifferent to him; the only thing he wishes is to have the question decided by a legal competent jurisdiction. If it comes regularly before the court, though perhaps upon this indictment it could not, but if it does, the question is, whether the speaker of the House of Commons had a sufficient legal authority to authorize that arrest, or whether the defendant has actually acted under that authority, such as it was; and I submit to your consideration, whether, upon that point, such interposition appears to be necessary in this case, either upon behalf of the defendant, or of the privilege of the House of

Mr Attorney-General. 'I don't put it upon the tenderness to Mr Whittam, or the point of the tenderness to Mr Whittam, or the point of privilege of the House of Commons, but merely upon the foot of decency, as the circumstance of the crown taking a part in the prosecution (which they must do it they go on with it) against the messenger of the House of Commons, acting under the authority of the warrant of the speaker, pursuant to an order of the House.'

Mr de Grey, the attorney-general, was afterwards chief justice of the Common Pleas, and Mr Adair, subsequently, recorder of London.—
EDIT.

to all posterity a monument of your infamy and one would almost imagine you design ed they should. You are young, my Lord you thought it was necessary for a man o fashion to engage in public business; and as some of your private connexions happen ed to be in opposition, you went with the stream, and opposed. Apparently attach ed to that party, you perhaps thought it civility to adopt and pursue their measures whatever they were, of opposition; and your vanity was afterwards tickled with an offer from administration which your civility would not permit you to refuse. You did not reflect, or perhaps you did not know. that you was catching at an object which was not attended even with the usual appearance of honour: and you did not then consider (for I am sure you must now re collect), that you was attaching yourself to men from whose connexion that protest, which will now be transmitted down with ridicule to your posterity, ought to have excluded you for ever; - or perhaps, to speak more fairly or more fashionably, you no longer of consequence, when the purpose was answered for which they were made. - These reasons are so much below a school-boy, that I am sure your Lordship if you have in the world a friend, he will not wish you should: but unhappily friendship is not one of those ruling objects which principle, has still craft enough to betray

credit for the feelings it must produce: I would therefore consider you, what you seem willing to be considered, an object of pity rather than of reproach. The situation in which you appeared before a whole House of Peers, and the trial you then underwent, might be an object of triumph to some men; but I hope all hearts were not shut to the feelings of compassion. I am willing only to extend reproach to those who seem proud of receiving it. The criminal who is executed at the gallows ought not to excite the anger, much less the exultations, of the public, whom he has defrauded: but the villain who has seduced him to the commission of the crime for which he suffers, and who glories in his impudence, has a claim to all we can give him - our detestation and our curses. The comparison I think you understand. and I believe you have sensibility enough to feel it; indeed you testified it sufficiently to those who could observe you wished to justify, or at least to excuse. so extraordinary a change of principles thought the force of such declarations was and conduct; your courage forsook you, and you did not dare to rise. The duke of Grafton, when he deserted those principles and those connexions to which every sentiment of honour ought to have allied would not be willing to allege them; and and bound him, seemed happily to have lost all that mauvaise honte with which young adventurers like his Grace were usually attended, and he creditably told you have been solicitous to preserve, how- us that he gloried in his situation. Your ever fortunate you may formerly have been Lordship's prostitution was not attended in obtaining it.-The man who, without with those peculiar circumstances which honour to support any administration from attended his; you felt the sacrifice you had made, your conscience forced your all, may perhaps be solicited by every suc- silence, and every man was confounded: cessive minister, or perhaps their credulity administration looked for a notable admay be deceived into his friendship: but vocate, but were deceived with a rethe poor untaught villain, who can neither proach which cut them to the quick. support with consistency, nor betray with For your own sake, my Lord, let me decency, will be despised by those whom advise you to consider your own plan. he deserted, and ridiculed by the very men and let me appeal to your understanding to whom he has made so capital a sur- or its acquittal. Your situation by birth Your Lordship, I believe, sees is such as put it in your own power to with some uneasiness the truth of the ob- have acquitted yourself in life respectably, servation, and I will give your conscience and your connexion with such men as lord

MISCELLANEOUS LETTERS OF JUNIUS.

Rockingham, sir George Saville, the duke astonished me; a man who had every thing

of Portland, and the duke of Richmond, to lose, and nothing to gain by prostituwere no disgrace to you. Was your fortune tion: that an independent peer of England encumbered with debt, or sold through ex- should voluntarily pledge himself to his travagance? Had you a numerous family country for the exertion of every right and to provide for whose support you could every power, with which the constitution not command from your own establish- had vested him for their service, and should ment? Had administration reversed its after this betray every interest of the puborder of governing, and given you any lie, and desert that service, that he should security for the preservation of our rights in one session repeatedly declare to this and redress of our grievances?-If any pri- purport, if not to this tenor; that he would vate assurance of this sort has been given never hereafter be induced, for any conyou, I shall think your conduct has been sideration, to herd with men whom he consistent, though it will still be disgrace- considered as enemies to their king and ful, with respect to your friends in opposi- country, and in the next deliver over his tion. You will not answer any of these conscience, his right, and his powers into questions in the affirmative; nor is it their hands at their discretion, and thereby necessary you should answer them at all include himself in every odious term of The two first propositions I know to be reproach which he had so liberally bestowed false. The third, if it had existed, would on them. There seems something at least before this time have been declared. Thus extraordinary in such conduct; and we are we see a hopeful young peer, possessed of induced, with some curiosity, to enquire, an independent fortune, with an only child, for God's sake, Sir, from what consideraa daughter, connected with the most hon-tion could lord Suffolk be induced to take ourable characters in this kingdom, prosti- so strong a part with opposition, if he tuting his honour, and every valuable con- intended the next session to betray it? Or if sideration of the public, for that of an office, he was then sincere in his attachments and independent even of those sweet allure- his professions, what views could he have ments which could, one would imagine, in deserting them? These are reasonable, make the bitter pill go down. For shame, and I think natural, questions. We cannot my Lord, to throw yourself away under but commiserate the mortifying state of such circumstances, at the discretion of human nature, when we are answered the such an administration! Had you, like poor truth, and informed of the circumstances Whateley, been reduced from a state of in- attending it. Had you, my Lord, been dependence, to the humiliating necessity of entrapped, like poor Yorke, by the prevailsoliciting your support from administra- ing force which was contained in the pertion, our reproach would be only turned sonal entreaties and solicitations of Majesty. against those who creditably took advan- and had your honour been seduced and tage of such a situation, and gratified struck into compliance, though we should themselves with the purchase of an honest abhor the act, we should acquit at least you man's reputation; and though we con- of the guilt; and you would have had a gratulated them on the acquisition which ust claim to our pity, unmixed with our they had prudently secured, we should sin- contempt. But, my Lord, what are we to cerely pity the object of their triumph. I say when we see a man in your Lordship's am neither surprised nor shocked at any ituation, stooping to so humiliating a coninconsistency in Mr Wedderburne; his sideration, as to entreat a connexion in profession sets his principles at auction, office with those very men whom you had and it is reasonable that the highest bidder before reviled and despised? That you should command them: but that the earl should, after being answered with an air of of Suffolk should act such a part, I own superiority, that you was at least the third

to be considered; that you should wait without reflection; and I believe most men enemy, though you had not, like him, pre- man of understanding could view without served a friend. But It think, my Lord, a ridicule. But we live in an age where no some intervals of private reflection and verned by men with whom no villanv is inremorse must have interfered, and the consistent. They have, I suppose, my Lord, flattery of those who purchased must have made a convert of your understanding as subsided, and left your conscience and Mr well as your integrity, and you may be believe you to consist of that composition, a system of ideas more conformable to the ashamed of it.

HENRICUS. Yorkshire, 7 March, 1771.

have appeared sooner.]

LETTER XCVII.

TO THE EARL OF SUFFOLK.

My LORD. 21 May, 1771.

ship, I spoke to your feelings. I thought adventure.—Had you contentedly and proyour conduct was such as could afford no fessedly resigned yourself without attemptlieved that you was willing to consider the former system, your conduct, believe me, tenderness which had been preserved on would never have called out a second attack the occasion with that silent gratitude on so truly contemptible a being. It is the naturally suggest. It was acknowledged I own has tempted me to expose you, as you

with patience and resignation, and see concurred with me in supposing that you three men successively refuse such a con- had feeling enough to be ashamed of a nexion, and then accept it under such cir- transaction, which you had not courage to cumstances. The conclusion which we are avoid. The resignation with which you to draw, I leave to your Lordship's feelings seemed to submit to your ignominy was to determine; you have had time to reflect some pledge of your delicacy, if not of your on your situation, and I would not wish to integrity. You was pitied, I believe, by all add more to embitter the sweets of office. mankind, and perhaps by some you were Had this address appeared sooner while forgiven: the transaction sunk, as it might vou was fortuna dulci ebrius, you would be supposed you wished it should, in perhaps have laughed with lord Sandwich silence and obscurity. It was not, I believe. at the undertaking of one who endeavoured imagined that you would ever be found to prove, that honesty and virtue had any hardy enough to renew the consideration of real existence. You would, like the duke of an affair, which every man who felt for you Grafton, have perused it at your tea-table. must wish to be forgotten. It was not conand perhaps taken a pride, like lord Hills- ceived that so short a time would have borough, that you was dignified with an reconciled you to a measure, which no sufficient time is elapsed during which inconsistency is irreconcileable, and are go-Grenville to reproach you. For I still indebted to the piety of lord Sandwich for which, without virtue enough to avoid pros- plan you have pursued. He may have titution, has still feeling enough to be taught you, that to repent of successful villany is still greater folly than to preserve integrity. I congratulate you on the connexion you have formed, and the acquisi-This letter has been misplaced, or would tion you have secured; especially since you have lost nothing but your honour; a term 'more adapted to Roman barbarism than to the civilized regulations of English (I beg pardon) Scotch policy.'-Your Lordship. I believe, recollects the inconsistency which occasions this address. Your speech on the duke of Richmond's motion in the In my last address to your Lord- House of Lords was a pretty remarkable very pleasing reflection; and I readily be- ing to reconcile your present with your which refined and delicate feelings must singular immodesty of your behaviour which that lord Suffolk acted without virtue or are willing to be an object of public detestposes they have been employed .-- You will plunder of the public. now perhaps ask me, in all the hypocritical simplicity of St James's, what part had I to act, after making a traffic of my abilities, which might not have given offence? I justified before all mankind the protest which I had signed, and the pen of satire has been busy to condemn me. Had my conduct been different, would it have been consistent? Would it have been satisfac-

* The following is a copy of the card :-19 June, 1771. TO DOMITIAN.

In your second letter is this remarkable promise — Tell the duke of Grafton, that, if he As the

ation and disgust. There are few men, with decency, nor persevere with integrity; except Mr Wedderburne and your Lord- but to have retired from the curses of your ship, who would have gone through the countrymen would have been remembered. difficulty of exposing themselves to those I believe, more to your credit as a man. if who had such evidence against them, with not as a minister; but I make too great that happy indifference which we have allowance, I find, for human nature. I experienced from you both. To preserve have not reflected that the only valuable the hypocrisy of patriotism, after you had consideration is interest; and I have forgot openly made your compact with corrup- that influence to which Mr Yorke is intion: to profess consistency in adhering to debted for a very hazardous eternity.-For the words of a protest, on the tenour of the future I shall learn to view things with which your whole conduct is the grossest less candour, and observe villany, if not ridicule; and to possess the characteristical without mortification, at least without surfirmness of administration in reviving so prise. I shall now take my leave of your ignominious a consideration, requires more Lordship, probably for ever: but I must courage and intrepidity than most men congratulate you, my Lord, on that ambihave the good fortune to possess; but I tion which has led you to enquire into those allow your friend Wedderburne has out- desirable arcana of a court, by which you stripped you. He has modestly ventured have learned a sort of loyalty distinct from not only virtually, but directly to attack duty to his Majesty, or affection to his opposition for measures which he himself family; by which you have found that it concurred in promoting; and hears himself will be for your interest, and consequently despised, execrated, detested, without fear, for your honour, to attach yourself hereafter and without anger. Let him excuse me to men, who, while they act directly conwhen I assure him, with some very allow- trary to the interest of their countrymen. able pride, that I do not think he has a and are indifferent with regard to their claim to any notice beyond my advice to confidence or esteem, can hug themselves consider, that the power from whence he among the highest of mankind, and ridicule derives very superior abilities, will expect the contemptible folly of those whose virtue and must receive an account to what pur- has excluded them from their share in the

HENRICUS.

LETTER XCVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER,

SIR. 28 June, 1771.

In answer to the card repeatedly tory? To this I must answer, -You had addressed to Domitian, 1 he desires it may brought yourself, my Lord, into that odious be observed that, although he has not situation, where you could neither retreat altered his sentiments with regard to the duke of Grafton, the case has not happened

patent shall be revived, and published with an accumulation of evidence. He at least shall be kept under. His Ciceronian eloquence shall not

As the duke of Grafton has got an higher and should dare to entertain the most distant thought more lucrative office, it is expected by the public of the Admiralty, the whole affair of Hines that you now fulfil your promise.—Eptr. in which he thinks himself bound either by and names of the performers are changed. little bov .- Verbum sat.

venture to leave the duke of Grafton, or if ever being a Christian.' I do not mean to there be one more odious, more base, and apply the passage, but merely to save some more contemptible person of rank in the future historian the trouble of drawing a kingdom) that he may safely leave them new character. both to the care of Junius.2

LETTER XCIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

5 Fuly, 1771.

EVENTS and characters of a similar nature recur so often within the compass of a few centuries, that history is in effect little more than a repetition. The scenes self An Old Correspondent,8 and who

the letter or the spirit of his promise to the but the fable is the same. I was led to this public. The duke is not first lord of the observation by a passage I lately met with Admiralty, nor is he actually in any post in in a modern French author. The account which patents can immediately be sold by he gives us of the emperor Valentinian the himself; or by Tommy Bradshaw, or by Third deserves our notice: - Le premier Miss Polly Bradshaw, who, like the moon, soin de cette princesse fut d'inspirer à son lives upon the light of her brother's counte- fils l'horreur de l'heresie et le respect pour nance, and robs him of no small part of his l'église; -qualités très estimables dans un lustre.—The fact was notorious. The sale souverain, mais qui ne purent couvrir le vice of that patent to Mr Hine (the only man d'une education molle et efféminée. Sa of merit whom the duke of Grafton ever mere travailla plus à former sa croyance, provided for), so far from being denied, was que son esprit ni ses mœurs; aussi fut-il publicly defended. Yet the House of Com- toujours très catholique, sans être iamais mons, who pretend to be the grand inquest Chretien.' For the benefit of my lord Sufof the nation, suffered this infamous breach folk, I shall give you a translation. Mr of trust to pass by without censure or ex- Wheatley, I hear, has got the start of his amination. For the present, therefore, it master, and, with the help of a dictionary, would answer no good purpose for Domi- may do it into English for himself. 'The tian to produce his evidence. But perhaps Princess Dowager made it her first care to the day of enquiry is not far off. In the inspire her son with horror against heresy, mean time, to show the duke that Domitian and with a respect for the church; qualities does not speak at random, he begs leave to much to be esteemed in a sovereign, but remind his Grace that there are three such not sufficient to conceal the defects of a soft persons in the world as Ross, the agent -- effeminate education. His mother took Taylor, the house-builder, - and Taylor's more pains to form his belief, than either his morals or his understanding, so that he Domitian, upon the whole, thinks he may was always an excellent catholic without

I am, Sir.

Your humble servant. AN INNOCENT READER.

LETTER C.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

16 Oct., 1771.

SIR, IF the pert youth who calls him-

LIX., and was by him attributed to the late Mr Fox. That Junius was inclined to favour, or rather, in the words of the concluding paragraph of this essay, to spare lord Holland, is obvious throughout these letters, but will be more particularly seen by a reference to Private Letter,

¹ His Grace had now rejoined the ministry, and held the office of lord privy seal .- EDIT.

² He refers to Junius's two letters to the duke of Grafton, No. XLIX. and L., dates, June 22 and July 9, 1771.—EDIT.

³ A letter under this signature appeared in the ticular Public Advertiser in answer to Junius Letter No. 5.

makes free with JUNIUS, does not know JUNIUS, equally pert, false, and stupid. the difference between contact and collision, Ex his disce omnia. nor between the friction which produces the electrical powers, and the action of flint plainly that he has designedly spared lord and steel which produces sparks of fire, his Holland and his family. Whether lord ignorance must be deplorable. But what right has he to change the terms?—Why should be wantonly provoked, are questions say contact when JUNIUS says collision ?- worthy the Black Boy's consideration. When this pert youth asks what virtue there is in Mr Wilkes, I wish he would tell us what fire there is in flint and steel. It is action that makes them sparkle, and, if there be any thing combustible in the passions of Mr Nash, a single spark may set him on fire.

Again, JUNIUS admits the strict right of pressing seamen, but denies the king's right to arm his subjects in general, exceptthese instances; a misrepresentation of of the Bail actually foam at the mouth.1

I know nothing of JUNIUS, but I see Holland be invulnerable, or whether JUNIUS

ANTI-FOX.

LETTER CL

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 5 November, 1771.

JUNIUS, I see, has got my lord ing in the case of an invasion. This my Mansfield upon the hip, and fairly driven pretty Black Boy calls a retractation of Ju- the Scotch out of their discretion, and NIUS'S first concession, and applies to his almost out of their senses. The change in aged father for an old woman's proverb. - the apparent position of their cheek-bones JUNIUS speaks of softening the symptoms of is very remarkable. The complacent, ina disorder. The Black Boy changes the sidious smile, has universally given way to terms again, and destroys the allusion. a ghastly grin of rancour and despair. The rest of his letter is of a piece with Your correspondents Anti-Junius and One

The following are copies of the letters here alluded to, which certainly evince no small degree of acrimony :-

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER,

₼ Nov. 1771. SIR.

You have inserted in your paper of Saturday last, a short, but infamous, paragraph, addressed to lord chief justice Mansfield, and signed JUNIUS, alleging that his Lordship had admitted to bail a man at the intercession of three of his countrymen, who JUNIUS presumes

in so in Scotchman.

In justice to his Lordship (although without his consent, approbation, or knowledge) I hereby declare, that he did not know who were the bail for Mr Eyre, nor did any of them ever make any prior application to his Lordship, nor were they personally acquainted with him, though 'tis probable his Lordship might have seen them on juries. I also declare, that Mr Eyre is not a Scotchman, but an Englishman; and from what I can guess of Junius, he is worse than either,

viz. an Irishman, a liar, and a jesuit.

None of the three gentlemen who bailed Mr
Eyre ever saw him till the morning they went to lord Mansfield's at Cane Wood to bail him. This they did purely to oblige an intimate friend

who was connected with him by marriage, without any other motive whatsoever.

Whether the noble lord, whose very great abilities have brought upon him, though unjustly, the envy and malice of such assassins as JUNIUS, was right or wrong I leave to the learned in the law to defend, and have no doubt his Lordship has acted in this matter according to his usual ability in law affairs, and rather with a view to abulty in law analis, and rather with a view to enlarge than contract the liberty of the subject. At the same time, Mr Printer, I should wish to be certain who this Mr Junius is who stabs all good characters in the dark. My reason is, I should be glad of an opportunity of using such a lying, infamous, cowardly scoundrel as he ought to be: for which purpose (if he chooses it), I have left my name with the printer.

One of the three who bailed Mr Evre.

TO JUNIUS.

4 Nov. 1771

You seem to delight most in traducing the most exalted and most respectable cha-You say, lord Mansfield bailed Mr Eyre at

the intercession of three of his countrymen. I say, that is false: and that what he did was his duty to do as lord chief justice of England, But calling liar and scoundrel is no answer and taken his trial, the other that no interto JUNIUS. He did not assert that the thief cession was made with lord Mansfield :was a Scotchman; 1 he only presumed it, from the circumstance of his being bailed by three of that country. It appears now that the thief was closely connected with Scotland. These sweet-blooded children, here to their principles. If the devil him- don. Three Scotchmen take the said thief self. connected as he is with an ancient or felon before another-Scotchman, who doubt that all Scotland, to a mon, would arising from the fact, is, was he, or was he readily be his security.

esting themselves in favour of their friend, not charged on suspicion, but taken in the his law. fact. He was, ipso facto, a felon, and to bail him required all the natural benevolence of Scotland. Either he had no English friends, or they were ashamed to acknowledge any connexion with him.

Instead of meeting Junius upon the strict question of law, these loons wander into circumstances of no moment, or defend lord Mansfield by apocryphal assertions,

and perfectly agreeable to law and to constant

You say, that you presume Mr Eyre is a

I say, the culprit is an Englishman.

You say, his bail were all Scotchmen. I say, they were. And how did that happen? Because a Scotchman is, by marriage, unhappily allied to him: and why should it be deemed a reproach that they joined in doing a goodnatured office at the request of a countryman? Or that they contributed to alleviate the distress of an innocent woman, who, though unfortunately connected with the criminal, had not a participation in his guilt? Dost not thou know, thou slanderer, that the offence, though felony by law, was of the slightest kind? And would'st thou not, had that able and amiable judge (whose name will be an everlasting honour to this country) refused to admit Mr Eyre to bail, have traduced his Lordship for that very refusal, and charged him with executing the law with wanton rigour, because he was an Englishman.

Mr Eyre has been brought to trial, and has pleaded guilty. I say, nevertheless, that the offence, by the laws of England, is bailable; and

one says that the felony (for which the culprit is unluckily transported) was of the slightest kind :- the other says that Eyre is an Englishman. Now the plain matter of fact is this. A thief, taken in the fact, even when they bail an Englishman, ad- is refused bail by the lord mayor of Lonnation. were taken up for felony, I do not bails the said felon. The single question not, bailable by law ? For my own part. JUNIUS did not blame the bail for inter- until I hear good reasons to the contrary, I shall abide by JUNIUS, because I am percountryman, or associate. Yet he might suaded he would not hazard his credit so have done it with propriety. The thief was unnecessarily, if he were not very sure of

A. B.

LETTER CIL

TO HIS ROYAL HIGHNESS THE DUKE OF CUMBERLAND.

SIR.

13 November, 1771.

I BEG your Royal Highness's acceptance of my sincere compliments of which, if true, would be nothing to the congratulation upon your auspicious union purpose. One says that he has surrendered with the daughter of lord Irnham.3 and

> prove, that lord chief justice Mansfield acted in this matter agreeable to law, and that JUNIUS has shown himself in this, and many other instances, a public incendiary, and a liar.
> ANTI-JUNIUS.—EDIT.

> 1 His words are, 'at the intercession of three of your countrymen, you have bailed a man, who, presume, is also a Scotchman.'

> ² Of this letter Junius writes, 'Cumbriensis has taken greatly.' Private Letter, No. 43.—

Eprr.

3 The marriage of the late duke of Cumberland was first announced to the readers of the Public Advertiser in the following communication, obviously from the pen of Junius.

INTELLIGENCE EXTRAORDINARY, THOUGH TRUE.

WE can assure the public that his Royal Highness the duke of Cumberland is happily married to Mrs Horton, sister of lieutenantcolonel Luttrell, the worthy nominee of Middlesex. The new-married pair are now celebrating their nuptials in France, where the duchess of Cumberland receives all the honours due to her I pledge myself, before God and my country, to high rank, and new relation to the House of good sense, so singularly improved by ex- commander-in-chief. perience ;-- and, above all the rest, the unthink it possible to have found a more suitable match for you, than that which you have so discreetly provided for yourself. What you have done, will, I am sure, be no disgrace to yourself, or to any of your relations. Yet I must confess, partial as I am to you for the sake of that good prince, of whose resemblance you carry some cutting traces about you, I could wish you did not stand quite so near as you do to the regency and crown of England.-God forbid I should ever hear your royal nephews say, as Edward the Fifth does in the play, But why to the Tower, uncle !- Or why should you lock us up, aunt !- I mean their uncle Luttrell and aunt Horton.

amiable spouse is as much duchess of Cum-Miss Luttrell of Madam Swellenburgh. As to the king's not acknowledging the duchess, or forbidding her the court, it signifies nothing. Her marriage is good in law, and her children will be legitimate.

Brunswick.-This match, we are informed, was negotiated by a certain duke and his creamcoloured parasite, by way of reward to colonel Luttrell. It is now, happily for this country, within the limits of possibility, that a Luttrell may be king of Great Britain. There was no court yesterday.

the sister of colonel Luttrell. For the pre- She may order plays, keep a court of her sent you will have so few of these compli- own, and set the Princess Dowager at dements paid you, that mine perhaps may be fiance. But you need have no fear of being thought worthy your attention. I do assure ill used. Your brother Harry has a dagger your Royal Highness, with great sincerity, at the throat of a certain person, and swears that, when I consider the various excellen- he will let the cat out of the bag about the cies which adorn or constitute your personal Middlesex election. So far from offending character,-vour natural parts,-your affa- Harry, I should not wonder to see him aide ble, benevolent, generous temper,-your de camp to the king, and, in a little time.

Whenever you want a divorce, you need common education which your venerable only leave your spouse alone for an hour or mother took care to give you,-I do not two with * * * * * * * * * . When he performed the office of father to Poll Davis, and gave her to his infatuated friend. he contrived to send the young man upon a fool's errand, and that very night consummated with her himself. You, I know, Sir, will never go upon a fool's errand, and I suppose it may be equally certain that your papa, if he had an opportunity, would

CUMBRIENSIS.1

LETTER CIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 19 November, 1771. I HAVE great faith in JUNIUS, and But, my good youth, let no considerations wish the friends of the cause would leave of this sort interrupt your pleasures. Your lord Mansfield entirely to his care.2 It is not fair to anticipate his arguments, or to berland as our gracious Queen is queen of run down the game which he has started. Great Britain; and of course she is the JUNIUS, I dare say, has it as much at heart second woman in the kingdom. Your papa to sacrifice Mansfield, with his own pen, as Irnham must at least take rank of lord Achilles had to prevent any other of the Mansfield :-- your brother Henry of the Grecian army from killing Hector. The princes of Mecklepburg, and your sister passage I allude to is one of the finest in the Iliad.

> Λαοῖσιν δ' ἀνένευε καρήατι δῖος 'Αχιλλεὺς
> Οὐδ' ἔια ἔμεναι ἐπὶ Έκτορι πικρα βέλεμνα'
> Μήτις κῦδος ἄροιτο βαλὼν, ὁ δὲ δεύτερος ἔλθοι.' 22 B. line 205.

Divine Achilles, lest some Greek's advance Should snatch the glory from his lifted lauce.

¹ This letter is acknowledged by Junius in his private correspondence, No. 43 .- EDIT. ² A great number of letters appeared in support

of the doctrine maintained by Juntus on the subject of the bailment of Eyre -- EDIT.

Signed to the troops, to yield his foe the way, And leave untouched the honours of the day.

Yours. ANTI-BELIAL.

LETTER CIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 4 December, 1771.

Your ingenious correspondent. Anti-Yunius, has too much wit and taste to be easily satisfied. It is really a misfortune to be born with such exquisitely fine feelings. If, now that he is well fed and clothed, he cannot endure the severity of a southern breeze, what would become of him upon his native mountains? JUNIUS can never write to please him.-If he receives the least mention of past enormities, what is it but 'cold scraps, baked meats. political fiddling, and the voice of the charmer!' hash'd mutton, and Dutch music with a vengeance !- If, on the contrary, he lays any new villanies before the public. then, one and all, the hungry pack open has the least doubt of the tender mercy of upon him at once: - 'Here's invention for the Scotch, or of the forgiving piety of St you !- What an abominable liar !- Why James's.

The following are the passages in Anti-funius's answer to Letter LXVII., to which a

reply is more particularly given in the above.

Had Junius a single friend in the world whom he dared trust or consult, his performance of yesterday, so uninstructive to your readers, so fatal to his reputation, would surely have never found its way to the press. His invective has neither novelty nor variety to recommend it; the public palate must nauseate at the insipidity of his repeated abuse, and loath the repast which his miserable thrift has attempted to furnish forth from the cold scraps and baked meats of his former scurrilous entertainments. In vain does this political fiddler labour for the public attention, by thrumming the worn-out strings of Middlesex election, Whittlebury timber, Hine's patent, and the long-forgotten rule made absolute against Mr Vaughan. The voice of the charmer himself can no longer charm with these sounds; these chords so repeatedly struck fall flat, even upon the ear of envy itself

'With the recriminating malice of antiquated virginity, he endeavours to sully the daughter's innocence with the father's crimes, suppressing a well-known circumstance, viz. that all intercourse

does not he sick to his facts? Does he think us such idiots as to swallow wit for truth ?' In short. Sir. the Scotch have strange, qualmish stomachs;-it is not in the art of cookery to please them. Nothing will go down but oatmeal and brimstone.

Anti-Funius is not so explicit as I could wish. I. What intercourse was that between lord Irnham and his daughter, which he says has been so long interrupted ? I mean no offence to the lady, but really the word intercourse is a little equivocal .-2. What was that purpose, for which sir James Lowther's grant was obtained, and which, Anti-Yunius says, has been long since defeated?-3. Who does he mean by a man ever burthensome to every administration? I hope he does not mean the duke of Grafton's friend sir James Lowther, or at least that he does not give the baronet this pretty character by order of the duke of Grafton.

After all, I really think that JUNIUS, called upon as he is by so able an antagonist, cannot do less than discover himself. He must be woefully given to suspicion, if he

IUNIPER.1

between that father and that daughter has long been interrupted.

'Sir James Liwther's nonsuit is in the eye of the heaven-reading Junius another visible operation of retribution:—not on the king indeed; he does not seem to be affected by it, any farther than as it has introduced the parenthesis of the Luttrell alliance-but on the poor duke of Grafton, whose days are anxious, and whose nights are sleepless, because a grant, obtained to serve a purpose long since defeated, and to gratify the importunities of a man ever burthensome to every administration, is adjudged invalid; for this the duke of Grafton wears the dismal countenance of solitary sorrow; for this does he fruitlessly look round for consolations; for this does Mr Bradshaw shed the April showers of lambent lamentation. Surely JUNIUS thinks to mislead reason and annihilate common-sense, by the use of a few ridiculous, half-meaning epithets.'

'If you are really the honest state-gardener you would be thought, and not the malicious, discontented impostor I think you, away with your shuffling, well-worded delays; the noisome

LETTER CV.

TO LORD BARRINGTON.

My LORD. 28 Fanuary, 1772.

you should be so thoroughly convinced as and confidence, as that of deputy to the you are how extremely low you stand in secretary at war?-(I speak of your office. their opinion. The consciousness that you not of your person.)-Do you think that are despised and detested by every indi- his having been useful in certain practices vidual in it, from the drummer (whose dis- to lord Sandwich gives any great relief to cipline might be of service to you) to the his character, or raises him in point of general officer, makes you desperate about rank? My Lord, the rest of the world your conduct and character. You think laugh at your choice; but we soldiers feel ment. He limped a little when he left the of all that's genteel; -the activity of a his legs again. This last resolution how- the the the, &c. ever approaches to madness. Your cream- Our general officer we may presume coloured Mercury 2 has over-reached both being curious to see this wonderful Gir-

you and himself; and remember what I seriously tell you, this measure will, sooner or later, be the cause, not of your disgrace (that affair's settled), but of your ruin. What dæmon possessed you to place a little gambling broker at the head of the It is unlucky for the army that war-office, and in a post of so much rank that you are arrived at a state of security, it as an indignity to the whole army, and and that, being plunged to the very heels be assured we shall resent it accordingly. in infamy, the dipping has made you in- -Not that I think you pay much regard to vulnerable. There is no other way to ac- the sensations of any thing under the decount for your late frantic resolution of gree of a general officer, and even that appointing Tony Shammy your deputy- rank you have publicly stigmatized in the secretary at war. - Yet I am far from mean-most opprobrious terms. Yet still some of ing to impeach his character as a broker. them, though in your wise opinion not In that line he was qualified to get forward qualified to command, are entitled to reby his industry, birth, education, and ac-spect. Let us suppose a case, which every complishments. I make no sort of doubt man acquainted with the war-office will adof his cutting a mighty pretty figure at mit to be very probable. Suppose a lieu-Jonathan's. To this hour among bulls tenant-general, who perhaps may be a peer, and bears his name is mentioned with re- or a member of the House of Commons. spect. Every Israelite in the alley is in does you the honour to wait upon you for What, our old friend, little instructions relative to his regiment. After Shammy !-Ay, he was always a tight, explaining yourself to him with your usual active little fellow, and world wrangle for accuracy and decision, you naturally refer an eighth as if he had been vorn in Feru- him to your deputy for the detail of the salem. Who'd ha' thought it! Well, we business. My dear general, I'm prodimay now look out for the rebuilding of the giously hurried.-But do me the favour to temple .- My Lord, if I remember right, go to Mr Shammy ;- go to little Waddleyou are partial to the spawn of Jonathan's. well; -go to my duckling; -go to little Witness the care you took to provide for three per cents reduced; -you'll find him a Mr Delafontaine in the military depart- mere scrip of a secretary; an OMNIUM alley, but your Lordship soon set him upon broker; -the politeness of a hair-dresser; -

plant that has brought forth such bitter fruit, is surely now ripe enough to be plucked.'—EDIT. D'Oyly, where The transactions here referred to in respect him.—EDIT. to Delafontaine and Chamier, or Shammy as he was called in the alley, are more particularly been already noticed, and will occ noticed in the Private Letters, Nos. 52 and 56. found observed upon again.—EDIT.

Chamier was successor in the war-office to Mr D'Oyly, who was discarded to make room for

² T. Bradshaw, whose absurd elevation has been already noticed, and will occasionally be

tween them.

fers me to you for an account of what was done-

Waddlewell. Done, Sir! - Closed at three-eighths !-- Looked flat I must own: -but to-morrow, my dear Sir, I hope to see a more lively appearance.

Lieut.-Gen. Sir. I speak of the noneffective fund.

Waddlewell. Fund, my dear Sir! what fund would you wish to be concerned? -Speak freely-You may confide in your humble servant :- I'm all discretion.

Lieut.-Gen. Sir, I really don't understand you. Lord Barrington says that my regiment may possibly be thought of for India-

-but ended cheerful:-no mortal can account for it.

me whether the commission-

Waddlewell. As for commission, my dear Sir, I'll venture to say that no gentleterms. I never take less than an eighth. except from lord Sandwich and my brothersixteenth.

The general officer, at last, fatigued with settle his accounts by himself.

again?-Will any officer of rank conde- has left with him. scend to receive orders from a little, whiffling broker, to whom he may formerly judges of the Court of King's Bench have perhaps have given half-a-crown for nego- a discretionary power to bail in all cases,

gashite, the following dialogue passes be- tiating an hundred pound stock, or sixpence for a lottery ticket .- My Lord, without a Lieut.-Gen. Sir, the secretary at war re- jest, it is indecent, it is odious, it is preposterous.—Our gracious master, it is said, reads the newspapers. If he does, he shall know minutely in what manner you treat his faithful army. This is the first of sixteen letters addressed to your Lordship. which are ready for the press, and shall appear as fast as it suits the printer's convenience.

VETERAN.

LETTER CVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 6 Feb. 1772.

THERE is one general, easy way Waddlewell. India, my dear Sir! - of answering Junius, which his opponents strange fluctuation:-from fourteen and an have constantly had recourse to since he half to twenty-two, never stood a moment: first began to write, or they to answer him. They either misquote his words and misstate his propositions, or they laboriously Lieut.-Gen.-Damn your stocks, Sir, tell employ themselves in refuting doctrines which he has not maintained, or maintaining others which he has not disputed.1-This has been particularly their practice in man in the alley does business upon easier all the argument about the bailment of Evre.

- 1°. Junius has never affirmed that the in-law, but they deal largely, and you must judges of the Court of King's Bench were be sensible, my dear Sir, that when the named or spicially comprehended in the commission is extensive, it may be worth a statute of Westminster, or any other of the broker's while to content himself with a old statutes preceding the Habeas Corpus Act. The design of those quotations was to prove the meaning and intention of the such extravagance, quits the room in dis- legislature with respect to the right of bailgust, and leaves the intoxicated broker to ing a person under the circumstances of Mr Evre. This meaning and intention once After such a scene as this, do you think clearly proved, he affirms, is the direction that any man of rank or consequence in the and land-mark to the judge in the exercise army will ever apply to you or your deputy of that discretionary power which the law
 - 2°. JUNIUS has not denied that the

This letter is more particularly designed as a addressed, Justinius to Junius. It is certainly reply to a very long and elaborate one published well written, but unquestionably labours under the preceding day in the Public Advertiser, and the defects here complained of.—EDIT.

according to the circumstances of the cases. law, but that he sinned against his own But does it follow that they may legally certain knowledge and conviction. bail when no circumstances whatsoever are alleged on one side to alleviate the force of the positive charge of felony made on the other?-If it does, their power of bailing is arbitrary, not discretionary.-Discretion implies consideration; but if no allegations whatsoever be made in behalf of the prisoner, they have then nothing to consider. alleged in behalf of the prisoner, the judge has then no subject, whereupon to exercise his discretion. He has no choice. He must remand the prisoner.

a multitude.

larly insists, not that he was ignorant of the Fowke 1 under the auspices of the duke of

General Fowke, who was then stationed at from lord Barrington, shortly after his appoint-

ANTI-BELIAL

LETTER CVII. TO LORD BARRINGTON. NUMBER II.

My Lord. 17 February, 1772.

In my last letter I only meant to The warrant of commitment expresses a be jocular. An essay so replete with good positive charge of felony. If nothing be humour could not possibly give offence. You are no enemy to a jest, or at least you would be thought callous to reproach. You profess a most stoical indifference about the opinion of the world, and, above 3°. JUNIUS does not insist upon the case all things, make it your boast that you can of Eyre so much on the score of its own set the newspapers at defiance. No man enormity, as because it establishes a dan- indeed has received a greater share of corgerous doctrine, applicable to all crimes rection in this way, or profited less by it, however indisputably proved and gives the than your Lordship. But we know you judges an arbitrary power which the laws better. You have one defect less than you never meant to give them. As to lord pretend to. You are not insensible of the Mansfield, it is probable that JUNIUS would scorn and hatred of the world, though you not have attacked him in the manner he take no care to avoid it.-When the bloody has done, if this had been the only instance Barrington, that silken, fawning courtier at of his misconduct. In truth, it is one out of St James's, -that stern and insolent minister at the war-office, is pointed out to I beg leave to conclude with asking this universal contempt and detestation, you wicked judge two plain questions: - ro. Is smile, indeed, but the last agonies of the there or is there not any difference between hysteric passion are painted in your counteoffences bailable and offences not bailable; nance. Your cheek betrays what passes and if there be, what is it? 20. When the within you, and your whole frame is in conlegislature, in the Habeas Corpus Act, do, vulsions.—I now mean to be serious with specially and by name, forbid the judges of you, but not to waste my time in proving the Court of King's Bench to proceed to that you are an enemy to the laws and the bailment of the prisoner, if it shall liberties of this country. The very name of appear to them that he is committed for Barrington implies every thing that is such matters or offences, for the which by mean, cruel, false, and contemptible. The the law the prisoner is not bailable, have duke of Newcastle's livery was the first they any meaning, or have they none at habit you put on.-What an indefatigable all? Let it be remembered that JUNIUS courtier at his levee !--What an assiduous never pretends to be a better lawyer than parasite at his table !- Was there a dirty lord Mansfield. On the contrary, he takes job to be performed, -away went Barringevery opportunity to acknowledge the su- ton .- Was a message to be carried, - Who perior learning and abilities of that wicked waits there ?- My lord Barrington. After judge; and in the present instance particu- ruining that brave and worthy man general

Gibraltar, received instructions in several letters ment to be secretary at war in 1760, under the

Newcastle, who saved you from destruc- with the duke of Grafton and the Bedfords tion. vou deserted to Mr Pitt the moment to obtain the recall of lord Townshend, and he came into power. Before the late king's to drive lord North from the Treasury.death you secured a footing at Carlton But they all know you. - In the inventory of House; and were prepared to abandon the discarded minister's effects, lord Barvour last patron, the moment lord Bute rington is always set down as a fixassumed the reins of government.-From ture. lord Bute to Mr Grenville there was an easy transfer of your affections. You are office, you think you have reduced the army the common friend of all ministers, but it is not in your policy to engage in overt acts siness done by Chamier and Co. - To make of hostility against those, who may perhaps your office complete, you want nothing be next in turn to patronize lord Barrington. My dear Lord, or my dear Sir, are titles with which you have occasionally addressed every man who ever had an office, or the acy, I am at a loss to conceive what counchance of an office, in this kingdom. Even the proscribed John Wilkes, the moment he royal master, that you had taken a little was sheriff, had a claim upon your polite- Frenchified broker from 'Change Alley, to ness. Your character was a little battered intrust with the management of all the by the frequency of your political amours, affairs of his army. Did the following when lord Rockingham took you into dialogue leave no impression upon your keeping.-While you existed by his protec- disordered imagination? You know where tion, you intrigued with the duke of Graf- it passed. ton.-Another change succeeded.-Your mind was open to new lights, and, without pointed to succeed Mr D'Oyly? a doubt, lord Chatham was the only man in the kingdom fit to govern a great empire. - made a choice, that will be highly accept-Still however your opinions of men and able to the public and to the army. things were not perfectly settled. When the duke of Grafton took the lead, the pliant Barrington of course saw things in a educated in 'Change Alley, he glories in the different point of view. There is nothing name of brozer; and, to say nothing of in your attachments that sayour of obsti- lord Sandwich's friendship, I can assure nacy.-When his Grace resigned, you your M-, he has always kept the best soon discovered that to establish govern- company at Jonathan's. ment upon a solid footing, the minister's presence was indispensable in the House of matters. But I cannot help telling your Commons.-Lord North was then the man Lordship, that you might have consulted after your Lordship's own heart.-In your my honour and the credit of my army a ideas, the first lord of the Treasury for little better. Your appointment of so mean the time being is always perfect: -but every a person, though he may be a very honest change is for the better.-With all your man in the mystery he was bred to, casts a professions of attachment to this temporary reflection upon me, and is an insult to the minister, I tell him, and I tell the public, army. At all events, I desire it may be

By garbling and new-modelling the warto subjection .- Walk in, gentlemen! Bunow, but a paper-lanthorn at the door, and the scheme of a lottery pasted upon the window.-With all your folly and obstintenance you assumed, when you told your

K .- Pray, my Lord, whom have you ap-

B .- Please your M-, I believe I have

K .- Who is it?

B.-Sire, it s'appelle Ragosin. Born and

K.—My Lord, I never interfere in these that at this very hour you are caballing understood that I have no concern in this

duke of Newcastle's administration, which produced measures that had nearly effected the loss the chief blame upon himself, under a promise of that important post. Lord Barrington, on pr.n.eiving the blunder he had committed, most was ruined, and Barrington liberated.—E-vr.

ill-judged, indecent measure, and that I do -Did general Harvey deserve nothing not approve of it.

I suppose, my Lord, you thought this conversation might be sunk upon the public. It does honour to his Majesty, and therefore you concealed it .- In my next I propose to show what a faithful friend you have been to the army, particularly to old worn-out officers.

VETERAN.

LETTER CVIII.

TO LORD BARRINGTON.

NUMBER III.

MY LORD. 27 February, 1772.

THE army now, according to your own account of the matter, is under a very ereditable sort of direction. If we may rely upon the secretary at war's opinion, solemnly and deliberately expressed before the House of Commons, there is not a single man in the profession, who is in any shape qualified for commander-in-chief; at least none, whom you would think it safe to recommend to his Majesty. If your judgment upon this subject had been better founded than it is, I do not understand that a secretary at war has any right to pass so disgraceful and precipitate a sentence upon so many of his superiors. Relieve me, my good Lord, there is not one of those officers. whom you dared to stigmatize in that infamous manner, who is not qualified to be your master in the art military, notwithstanding all the experience you got in St George's Fields, when you urged and exhorted the guards to imbrue their hands in the blood of their fellow-subjects .-- While that bloody scene was acting, where was the gentle Barrington?-Was he sighing at the ingly this morning. feet of antiquated heauty?-Was he dreaming over the loo-table, or was he more innocently employed in combing her ladyship's lap-dog?-But, my Lord, when you paid that pretty compliment to the body of dear Bradshaw? general officers, had you no particular

better of you, than a ridiculous nickname. which, like lord Mansfield's secret, he must carry with him to his grave?-In lieu of a commander-in-chief, you have advised the king to put the army into commission .-A graduate in physic, an old woman, and a broker from 'Change Alley .- The doctor prescribes, -the old gentlewoman administers,-and little Syringe, the apothecary, stands by the glisterpipe.-This, you tell the king, is making himself commander-inchief, and the surest way to preserve the affections of the army.-It may be so, my lord, but I see no right you had to give the nickname of doctor Radcliffe to so brave a man as general Harvey. Though his natural sweetness of temper may induce him to pass it by, it must always be mortifying to a brave adjutant-general, when he marches into St James's coffee-house, to hear the ensigns of the guards whisper to one another-here comes the doctor:-or when he marches out, there goes the doctor, -I dare say, he has furnished work enough for the surgeons; but, until you so politely pointed it out, I cannot say I ever saw any thing medical in his appearance.

After treating the most powerful people in the army with so much unprovoked insolence, it is not to be supposed that fieldofficers, captains, and subalterns have any chance of common justice at your hands. But that matter shall be the subject of another letter, and every letter shall be concluded with a conversation piece. The following dialogue is not imaginary.

SCENE .- WAR-OFFICE.

Enter Barrington, meeting Waddlewell. B .- My dear friend, you look charm-

W .-- My dearest Lord-the sight of your Lordship !-Here they embrace, Waddlewell's thoughts being too big for utterance. B .- When did you see my Pylades, our

W .- Ay, my Lord-there is a friend apologies to make to general Conway, to indeed. - Firmness without resistance, lord Albemarle, or to sir Jeffery Amherst? sincerity without contradiction, - and the

MISCELLANEOUS LETTERS OF JUNIUS.

milky way painted in his countenance.-If I could ever reconcile my mind to the distracting prospect of losing your Lordship. where else should we look for a successor! But that event, I hope is at a great distance. Late, very late, Oh may he rule us!

B.—Av. my dearest Waddlewell, but we are sadly abused, notwithstanding all our acknowledgment of the signal honour you virtues.

W .- Merit, my dear Lord, merit will for ever excite enmity .- I found it so in the alley. I never made a lucky hit in my life, that it did not set all Jonathan's in an uproar. If an idea succeeded, my best friends turned against me, Judas and Levi, Moses and Issachar.-People with whom I have been connected by the tenderest ties,could not endure the sight of my prosperity. The ten tribes of Israel united to destroy me, and for two years together were malicious enough to call me the lucky little Benjamin. Friendship, among the best of men, is little better than a name.

B.-Why, my dear deputy, it is not that I regard the contempt and hatred of all mankind .- I never knew it otherwise. No man's patience has been better exercised. But what if the king should hear of it !-

W .- Ay, there's the rub!

B.—If the best of princes, who pretends to be his own commander-in-chief, should hear that the name of Barrington is opprobrious in the army ;-that even he himself is not spared for supporting me-

W.-Weeping.-Oh fatal day !- Compared with this, what is a riscounter !-Alas, my dearest Lord, you have unmanned your deputy.-I feel myself already at ten per cent. discount, and never shall be at established that wise and humane regulapar again.

consider .--

W.-Ay, my dear Lord, for heaven's sake, let us speculate.

Exeunt disputing about precedence. VETERAN.

The name subscribed by a writer who undertook the defence of lord Barrington: and whom our author, according to his usual custom, here edentifies with the noble earl.—EDIT.

LETTER CIX.

TO LORD BARRINGTON.

NUMBER IV.

My Lord. 10 March, 1772.

I AM at a loss for words to express my have done me. One of the principal purposes of these addresses, was to engage you in a regular public correspondence. You very justly thought it unnecessary to sign your name to this last, elegant performance. Novalis 1 answers as well as Barrington. We know you by your style. This is not the first of your epistles, that has been submitted to the criticism of the public. While yet, like poor Waddlewell. you were young in office, your letters to General Fowke were considered as the standard of perspicuity.2 You are now very old in office, and continue to write exactly as you did in your infancy. I do not wonder that the extremes of your capacity should meet in the same point. but I should be glad to know at what period you reckoned yourself in the prime and vigour of your official understanding .-Was it when you signified to the third regiment of guards his Majesty's gratitude and your own, for their alacrity in butchering their innocent fellow-subjects in St George's Fields?3-Was it when you informed the House of Commons, that you and the doctor were equivalent to a commander-inchief?-Or when you declared that there was not a man in the army fit to be trusted with the command of it?-Or when you tion, that no officer, let his age and infirmi-B. -Something must be done. - Let us ties be ever so great, and his services ever so distinguished, should be suffered to sell out, unless he had bought all his former commissions?-Or in short was it when you dived into Yonathan's for a deputy, and

² See note to Miscellaneous Letter, No. CVII.,

p. 498.—EDIT.

3 See note to Miscellaneous Letter, No. XXIV., p. 380, in which the letter here alluded to is inserted.—EDIT.

MISCELLANEOUS LETTERS OF JUNIUS.

be ready to meet your Lordship upon that have left. ground, on which you think you stand the to say a few words to Novalis.

three first letters are filled with low scur- explain to us, of what nature were those rility upon hackneyed topics collected from services, which he first rendered to vour the newspapers. Have a little patience, my dear Lord; -I shall soon come to senger, or stationary like a sentinel? closer quarters with you. As for those dialogues, which you are pleased to say have neither wit nor humour in them. I can only observe that there are many scenes. which pass off tolerably well upon the stage, and yet will not bear the examination of the closet. You and Waddlewell are excellent performers. Between a courtier and a broker words are the smallest part of the conversation. Shrugs and smiles, bows and grimaces, the condescension of St Alley, stand in the place of repartee, and fill up the scene.

to assert, that you did not fix that odious stigma upon the body of general officers. Have you forgot the time when you atfor Ionathan's than the war-office.

your clerks, and your great abilities are acknowledged on all sides. As for your experience, we all know how much your conduct has been improved by it. But pray who informed you of this universal acknowledgment of your abilities? The sycophants, whose company you delight in, are likely enough to fill you with these flattering ideas. But if you were wise enough to consult the good opinion of the world, you would not be so eager to establish the

plucked up Waddlewell by the locks? can be made for your conduct.—It is really When you answer these questions, I shall unkind of you to distress the few friends you

To your Lordship's zeal to discover and In the mean time, give me leave patronize latent merit, the public is indebted for the services of Mr Bradshaw .-You are pleased to observe that my Pray, my Lord, will you be so good as to Lordship?-Was he winged like a mes-

> - Like Maia's son he stood And shook his plumes ;'-

videlicet, at the door of lady ----n's cabinet.-His zeal in the execution of this honourable office promoted him to another door, where he also stands sentry.

> - Virgaque levem coercet Aurea turbam.

That he has ably served the state, may be collected from the public acknowledgments James's, and the pliant politeness of 'Change the ministry have made him. Fifteen hundred pounds a year, well secured to himself and his family, will acquit the king of any You intimate, without daring directly ingratitude to Mr Bradshaw. It is by mere accident that Sir Edward Hawke and Sir leffery Amherst are no better provided for.

But we are indebted to your Lordtempted the same evasion in the House of ship for another discovery of merit equally Commons, and forced general Howard to latent with Mr Bradshaw's .- You have a rise and say he was ashamed of you?- phoenix of a deputy, though yet he is but These mean, dirty, pitiful tricket are fitter young in his nest.—He has hardly had time to clear his wings from the ashes that gave You have more experience than any of him birth.—This too was your Lordship's apology for ruining general Fowke. You gave it in evidence, that you had been but four months in office; and now you tell us that your deputy also is in the same unfledged state of noviciate;-though for abilities and knowledge of the world, neither Jew nor Gentile can come up to him! For shame, my lord Barrington, send this whiffling broker back to the mystery he was bred in. Though an infant in the waroffice, the man is too old to learn a new credit of your understanding. The moment trade.—At this very moment they are calling you arrive at the character of a man of out for him at the bar of Jonathan'ssense you are undone. You must then Shammy !- Shammy !- Shammy !- The relinquish the only tolerable excuse that house of Israel are waiting to settle their and sixpences already.

VETERÁN.

LETTER CX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. 23 March, 1772.

I DESIRE you will inform the public that the worthy lord Barrington, not contented with having driven Mr D'Oyly out of the war-office, has at last contrived to expelaMr Francis.1 His Lordship will never rest till he has cleared his office of every gentleman who can either be serviceable to the public, or whose honour and integrity are a check upon his own dark proceedings. Men who do their duty with credit and ability, are not proper instruments for lord Barrington to work with .-- He must have a broker from 'Change Alley for his deputy, and some raw, ignorant boy for his first clerk. I think the public have a right to call upon Mr D'Oyly and Mr Francis to declare their reasons for quitting the waroffice. Men of their unblemished character do not resign lucrative employments with-The conduct out some sufficient reasons. of these gentlemen has always been approved of, and I know that they stand as

last account with him.—During his absence been educated in the conversation of Iews things may take a desperate turn in the and gamblers;-they have had no expealley, and you never may be able to make rience at Jonathan's :-- they know nothing up to the man what he has lost in half-crowns of the stocks; and therefore lord Barrington drives them out of the war-office.-The army indeed is come to a fine pass, with a gambling broker at the head of it !-- What signifies ability, or integrity, or practice, or experience in business? Lord Barrington feels himself uneasy while men with such qualifications are about him. He wants nothing in his office but ignorance, impudence, pertness, and servility. Of these commodities he has laid in a plentiful stock, that ought to last him as long as he is secretary at war. Again, I wish that Mr Francis and Mr D'Ovly would give the public some account of what is going forward in the war-office. I think these events so remarkable, that some notice ought to be taken of them in the House of Commons. When the public loses the service of two able and honest servants, it is but reasonable that the wretch, who drives such men out of a public office, should be compelled to give some account of himself and his proceedings.

VETERAN.

LETTER CXI.

T LORD BARRINGTON.2

MY LORD. 4 May, 1772.

I AM a Scotchman, and can assure well in the esteem of the army as any per- your Lordship that I do not esteem my sons in their station ever did. What then country, or the natives of it, the less becan be the cause that the public and the cause we are not so happy as to be honarmy should be deprived of their service ?- oured with lord Barrington's favourable There must certainly be something about opinion.-From a pamphlet, which lately lord Barrington which every honest man fell in my way. I perceive that there is dreads and detests. Or is it that they can-something in the temper of the Scots, that not be brought to connive at his jobs and does not suit the manly, sterling virtue underhand dealings?-They have too much which distinguishes your own worthy chahonour, I suppose, to do some certain racter. We are too insolent to those business by commission.-They have not beneath us, and too obsequious to our superiors; and with such a disposition must never hope to find favour with lord

¹ Francis, now Sir Philip, and D'Oyly were chief clerks in the war-office: the displacement of D'Oyly to make room for Chamier has been already noticed in Letter CV .- EDIT.

² The original copy of this letter is still in the hands of the proprietor of this edition. - EDIT.

uncandid. But when it comes from a man with infamy and contempt. whose whole life has been employed in acting the part of a false, cringing, fawning, time-serving courtier,-from a man who never had a different opinion from the minister for the time being, and who has always contrived to keep some lucrative place or other under twenty different administrations, I am not so much offended at the reproach itself, which you have my Lord, you paint from your own heart. with a little of his history. There is courage at least in our composi-

Barrington! 'And Cockburne, like most to it, we should deserve the reproach. if we of his countrymen, is as abject to those did not resent it. You are so detested and above him, as he is insolent to those below despised by all parties (because all parties him.' These are your words, given under know you) that England, Scotland, and voil hand, as the solemn, deliberate opin- Ireland have but one wish concerning you. ion of his Majesty's secretary at war. Such and that is, that, as you have shewn youra censure, coming from a man of honour, self a fawning traifor to every party and good sense, or integrity, might perhaps person, with which you ever were connecthave some weight with the thoughtless or ed, so all parties may unite in loading you

SCOTUS.

LETTER CXII.

TO THE RIGHT-HONOURABLE THE LORDS COMMISSIONERS OF THE ADMIRALTY.

My Lords. 8 May, 1272.

HAVING seen in last Saturday's thought proper to throw upon the Scots, as paper that Mr Bradshaw was appointed to I am shocked at the unparalleled impu- be a member of your board, give me leave dence of applying your own individual to congratulate your Lordships on the character to a whole nation.-It seems my event, as a person of Mr Bradshaw's birth countrymen are abject to those above them. and talents may be of the greatest use to Pray, my good Lord, by what system of you on many occasions, besides adding inconduct have you recommended yourself finitely more weight and dignity to the to every succeeding minister for these last board; I was therefore a good deal surtwenty years?--Was it by maintaining your prised at the simple manner in which his opinion upon all occasions, with a blunt, well-merited promotion was announced to firm integrity, or was it by the basest and the public, but must attribute it either to vilest servility to every creature that had his own modesty, or the printer's ignorance: power to do you either good or evil?—But but whatever be the cause, I think it neceswe are insolent to those below . Indeed, sary to acquaint you, his brother lords.

It is needless to trouble your Lordships tion. It is the coward who fawns upon with an account of his birth or education. those above him. It is the coward that is as the first might be a very difficult task. insolent, wherever he dares be so. You and the latter your Lordships may see has have had some lessons which have made not been neglected. His first appearance you more cautious than you used to be. in the great world was as one of lord Bar-You have reason to remember that modest, rington's domestics, from whence he moved humble merit will not always bear to be to Ireland, set up a shop, and under the insulted by an upstart in office. For the influence of a happy planet returned to future, my little Lord, be more sparing of England, where, by means of his uncom-your reflections upon the Scots. We pay mon address in administering to the pleano regard to the calumny of anonymous sures of the great, he was appointed one of writers, and despise the malignity of John the secretaries to the Treasury, which office Wilkes. But when a man, so high in office he held during the duke of Grafton's adas you are, pretends to give an odious cha-ministration, and by exerting his happy racter of a whole nation, and sets his name talents between his Grace and the celebrated Nancy Parsons, he so far ingratiated haps be inclined to pity him; but he and I himself with the duke, that he became his have been old acquaintance, and considerchief confident, and was privy to the whole ing the size of his understanding. I believe of his generous treatment of that young I shall be able to prove, that no man in the lady, and of course became his Grace's kingdom ever sold himself and his services bosom friend; for which service he first re- to better advantage than lord Barrington .ceived a pension of fifteen hundred pounds. Let us take a short review of him from his a year for three lives, and, that not being political birth. sufficient, is now made one of you. I cannot avoid again congratulating you on the mons he declared himself a patriot; but he acquisition of such a brother member, as it soon found means to dispose of his patriotis to be hoped he will assist any of your ism for a seat at the Admiralty-board. Lordships with his good offices upon all This worthy man, before he obtained his occasions.

I have the honour to be, My Lords. Your Lordships' most humble And obedient servant. Pall-Mall. ARTHUR TELL-TRUTH.

LETTER CXIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.1

SIR.

12 May, 1772.

I AM just returned from a visit tinued in possession. in a certain part of Berkshire, near which Easter holidays. His Lordship, I presume,

On his entrance into the House of Comprice, was as deeply engaged in opposition to government, as any member of the Fountain club to which he belonged. He then thought it no sin to run down sir Robert Walpole, though now he has altered his tone. To oppose the measures of government, however dangerous to the constitution, or to attack the persons of ministers, however justly odious to the nation, is now rank faction in the opinion of the pliant lord Barrington. His allegiance follows the descent of power, nor has he ever been known to dispute the validity of the minister's title, as long as he con-

His Lordship remained at the Admiralty, I found lord Barrington had spent his until long servility and a studious attachment to the duke of Newcastle had enwent into the country to indulge his grief; gaged his Grace to recommend him for for whatever company he happened to be secretary a war. When the Duke resigned in, it seems his discourse turned entirely in the year 1756, he of course expected that upon the hardship and difficulty of his situ- lord Barrington would have followed him. ation. The impression which he would be But his Lordship's gratitude to his patron glad to give of himself is, that of an old, was not quite heavy enough to weigh faithful servant of the crown, who on one against two thousand five hundred a year. side is abused and vilified for his great zeal. He knew the value of his place, and kept it in support of government, and at the same by making the same professions to Mr time gets no thanks or reward from the Pitt and lord Temple by which he had king or the administration. He is modest deceived the duke of Newcastle. Before enough to affirm in all companies, that his the late king's death, he had taken early services are unrewarded; that he bears the measures to secure an interest at Carlton burthen; that other people engross the House; and when his present Majesty profits; and that he gets nothing. Those could no longer bear him as secretary at who know but little of his history may per- war, he found means to ingratiate himself

This letter was advertised under the title of the request of the author. See private note, No.

Memoirs of lord Barrington, in compliance with 62.-EDIT.

Cumberland and lord Rockingham. This last manœuvre restored him to the waroffice, where he has continued ever since, with equal fidelity to Mr Pitt, the duke of Grafton, and lord North; and now he modestly tells the world that he gets nothing by his services.

Besides the singular good fortune of never being himself a moment out of place, he has had extraordinary success in providing for every branch of his family. One brother was a general officer, with a regiment and chief command at Guadaloupe. A second is high in the navy with a regiment of marines. A third is a judge, and the fourth is a bishop. Yet this is the man who complains that he gets nothing. At the same time his parliamentary interest is so inconsiderable, that ever since his canting hypocrisy and pretended attachment to the dissenters was discovered at Berwick. he has been obliged to the influence of government for a seat in the House of Commons, which he holds without its costing him a shilling.

Having given you a short account of the emoluments he has received from government. I should be very glad to see as faithful an account of his services. Some of them are probably of a secret nature, of which we can form no judgment. His ortensible services, in the public opinion at least, have been considerably overpaid. At his very outset, the blundering orders he sent to Gibraltar might have occasioned the loss of that important place. When the fate of Gibraltar was at stake, we had a compensated for his own blunder by ruin pounds, viz.:

so far with lord Bute, that for some time he ing the worthy general Fowke, whom he was suffered to be chancellor of the Ex- and a certain countess (taking a base chequer; and when that post appeared to advantage of the unhappy man's distress), be not tenable, he still had art and con- prevailed upon to write a letter, the recoltrivance enough to secure himself in the lection of which soon after broke his heart. lucrative office of treasurer of the Navy. In In the House of Commons, I think, the 1762, he was the most humble servant of Mr noble Lord was never reckoned an able Fox. In 1763 and 1764, he was no enemy debater. Poor B-ch for many years was to Mr Grenville. In 1765, he gave himself his nickname. His time-serving duplicity back, body and soul, to the late duke of is now so well known, that he seldom speaks without being laughed at. Sometimes his folly exceeds all bounds; as, for instance, when he traduced the whole body of general officers, which, I presume, they will not readily forget. In the war-office he has made it his study to oppress all the lower part of the army by a multitude of foolish regulations, by which he hoped to gain the reputation of great discipline and economy, but which have only served to make him as odious to the military, as he is to every other rank of people in the kingdom. With respect to the public in general, I presume there never was a man so generally or so deservedly detested as himself. The people of this country will never forget nor forgive the inhuman part he took in the affair of St George's Fields. Other secretaries at war have ordered out troops to assist the civil magistrate. For this man it was reserved, to give it under his hand, that he rejoiced and exulted in the blood of his fellow-subjects. This stroke alone would be sufficient to determine his character. Yet so far from having done the king any service by his officious zeal upon this occasion, I am convinced that no one circumstance has so much contributed to throw an odium upon the present reign. I will not suppose it possible, that the best of princes could be pleased with the treason, but I am sure he has reason enough to hate the traitor.

Such are the services, which, in his Lordship's opinion, can never be sufficiently rewarded. He complains that he gets nothing, although, upon a moderate comsecretary at war who could neither write putation, he has not received less of the plain English nor common sense. But he public money than fifty-three thousand Ten years lord of the Admiralty Eighteen years either secretary at war, chancellor of the Exchequer, or treasurer of the Navy, at 2500 per annum

£8000 for his deputy, it is not difficult to guess what kind of transactions must formerly have passed between them. I don't mean to question the honour of Mr Chamier. He always had the reputation of as active a 45,000 little fellow as any in Jonathan's. putting all things together. I think we may 53,000 affirm that, when lord Barrington com-

It is not possible to ascertain what plains of getting nothing from government, us some tolerable account of the matter. repay. When a secretary at war chooses a broker

further advantages he may have made by he must have conceived a most extravagant preference in subscriptions, lottery tickets, idea of his own importance, or that the and the management of large sums lying in inward torture he suffers, from knowing his hands as treasurer of the Navy. Mr how thoroughly he is hated and despised, Chamier, if he thought proper, might give is such as no pecuniary emoluments can

NEMESIS

A.B., Letter of, to the duke of Grafton, 433; his Grace's treatment of the duke of Rutland exposed, 433, 434; letter to the printer of the Public Advertiser, in vindication of Junius,

492, 493.

Adair (Mr), argument against granting a nol. pros. in favour of the messengers of the House of Commons, 482, et seq. notes.

Addison (Mr), remark on anonymous writers,

139, note.

Addresses from parliament, considered as a fashionable unmeaning formality, 235; their consequences considered, when they mean exactly what they profess, 236.

Administration of government the ruin or pros-

perity of a state, 320.

Admiralty (Lords of), letter to, on Mr Bradshaw's appointment to be one of their number.

Advocate in the cause of the people, letter of, to Junius, 317, 318, notes; reply to by Philo-

Junius, 317, 318.

Almon (Mr), prosecution of, for libel, 116, note; remark on his exaggerated statements, 213, note; inaccuracies in his edition of Junius, detected, 49, and note.

America, patronized by Mr Pitt and ord Cam den, 130; new office established for transacting its affairs, 130; origin of that office, 130, note. See Colonies.

Amicus Curia, letter of, on the ministerial con-

troversy, 428, 429.

Amherst (Sir Jeffery), suffered to be sacrificed, 137; dismissed from his government in America a88: strictures of L. L. on this measure, 388; lord Hillsborough's conduct towards him considered, 391, 394, 396, 398; his negotiation with the duke of Grafton considered, 398, 399; let-ter of the earl of Hillsborough to him, 400, note; the chief command of the American troops was never offered to sir Jeffery, 402; further remarks on this affair, 402, 403, 408; his noble and disinterested conduct in America, 411. Anonymous Letters, to the printer of the Public

Advertiser, 360; strictures on the dispute with America, 360-362; that a minister who is de-termined to do wrong, must be a man of abilities and courage, 363; the establishment of the high offices of state, a check on the prerogative of the crown, 364; to the duke of Grafton, 375; on his Grace's indelicate con-duct at the Opera-house, 376; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 386; the state of the colonies considered, 386, 387; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 389; the effects of the Stamp Act and other tea acts on the colonies, considered, 389, 390; to the right hon. Geo. Grenville, 423; his influence and integrity stated and considered, 423, 424.

Anti-Belial, letter of, on Junius's attack upon lord Mansfield, 494; further vindication of

Junius, 497, 498.

Anti-Fox, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 491, 492; on Junius's conduct

to lord Holland, 492. Anti-Junius, letter of, to Junius, on his stric-tures on the bailing of Mr Eyre, 492, 493, note; extract from his answer to Junius's 67th letter, 495, note; reply thereto, 495.

Anti-Sejanus, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 345, 346; the mischiefs of

lord Bute's government stated, 346.

Anti-van-Teague, letter of, on sir William Lowther's grant, 369; reply to, by Anti-Stuart, 370. Arbitrary principles, growth of, in this country.

369.
Ashburton (Lord). See Dunning, infra.
Atticus's letter to the printer of the Public Ad-

vertiser, 391; the state of the country considered, previously to the war with America, 392, 393; defends his statement, 411-413; review of the measures of ministers, 414-418; the statements of Atticus vindicated by Brutus, 419, 420; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 420; the most contemptible character is that, which possesses neither judgment nor inclination to do right, nor resolution enough to be consistent in doing wrong, 420; this remark illustrated in the duke of Grafton's

administration, 421, 422.

Augur, letter of, on the duke of Grafton's ad-

ministration, 432.

Aylesbury election, resolution of the House of Lords concerning, 174.

Bail, statement of the law of, 325, et seg Barrington (Lord), memoirs of his political life and delinquencies, 505-507; extract of a letter,

124: letter to the magistrates of Surrey, 221. note; portrait of, 348; his conduct, as secre-tary at war, condemned by Testis, 451; ironically defended by Testiculus, 451, 452; letters of a Veteran to him on his choice of a deputy secretary, 496, 497; curious dialogue, sup-posed to have passed in his office, 497; his Lordship charged with ruining general Fowke, 498; state of the fact, 498, note; his political changes examined, 499; his changes in the war-office, 499; conversation of his Majesty with lord B., 499; the affair of St George's with 10th L., 499; the anart of St Georges Fields considered, 500; his ungenerous nick-naming of general Harvey censured, 500; dialogue between his Lordship and one of his agents, 500, 501; estimate of his Lordship's abilities, 502; on his abuse of the Scotch characteristics. acter, 503, 504.

Barrister's defence of lord Mansfield against

the several charges brought by Junius, 318-320, notes; reply to him by a 'friend of Junius,' 318-321.

Beckford (Mr Lord Mayor), letter to a Livery-

man on embezzlement of the public money.

59, 50.

Bedford (Corporation of) admit strangers to their freedom, in order to shake off the tyranny of the duke of Bedford, 190; history

of the circumstance, 190, note. Bedford (Duke of), unpopular, 188; causes of his unpopularity stated, 188, note; a letter to him from Junius, 188, et seq.; his feelings nice, if to be judged from his resentments, 188; comparative view of his Grace's advantages, what he was and what he might have been, 188, 189; avows his selling a borough, 189, and note; defeated by the corporation of Bedford, 190, and note; accused of insensibility on the loss of his son, 190; is horse-whipped at Litchfield, 190, note; his Grace every way unfortunate, 190; his embassy to Versailles, the first important part of his history, 190; anecdote of his mission, 191, note; those who are acquainted with his Grace's pecuniary character, are apt to suspect that such sacrifices would not have been made without some private compensations, 191;

between him and lord Bute violated, 191; his outrageous conduct to the king, 191, 192; again solicits lord Bute's friendship, 192; measures to obtain and confirm his power, 192; admonitions of Junius to him, 193; vindicated by sir William Draper, 196, 197; Junius's view of his character, 198, 199; anecdotes of his Grace's liberality, and vindication of him, 199, 200, notes; makes a public display of insensibility at the death of his son, 203; anecdote of his parsimony, 203, note; character of

his Grace's friends, 418.

Bedford (Duchess of), anecdote of, 203, note.

Benson (Mr), challenged as a juryman by lord

Mansfield, 250, 315.

Bifrons, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 376; the duplicity of ministers ex-

posed, 376, 377.

Bill of Rights Society, declaration and resolutions of, q1, q2, note; examination of their respective clauses by Junius, 02-07; remarks on. by M1 Wilkes, 110.

Bingley (Mr), imprisoned for contumacy, 145, note; illegally imprisoned, 247, note.

Blackstone (Dr), solicitor to the Queen, 164; more anxious to preserve his place than his reputation, 164; letter to him from Junius, 175; remarks on a pamphlet in defence of his conduct, 175, et seq.; charged with asserting in the House of Commons doctrines differing from those maintained in his Commentaries, 176; strictures thereon, 176, 177; that, when he spoke in the House, he never once thought on the Commentaries, until the contradiction was unexpectedly urged, 179; extract from his Commentaries on acts of attainder, 178, note; postscript to his pamphlet, entitled 'An Answer to the Question stated, 179, et seq.; Junius's reply thereto, 182, et seq.; his doctrine on the pains of death examined, 427, 428. Boston, proceedings at, considered, 360, 361.

Boutetort (Lord), appointed to succeed sir J. Amherst, as governor of Virginia, 388; reflections on the choice of his Lordship, 389; remarks on his Lordship, 391, 394, 395, 397-

Boyd (Hugh Macaulay), a pretended author of the letters of Junius, 44; anecdotes of, 44; examination of the claims made for him, 44, et seq.; proved not to be Junius, 50.

Bradshaw (Mr), secretary to the duke of Grafton, account of, 164, note; amount of his pension, and how secured, 225, note; is called upon to convince and undeceive the public, 445, 446; exculpated by Mr Cooper, 448; further remarks on Mr B.'s conduct, 448; letter to him, 448, 449; account of his political

career, 504.

Bromfield (Mr), opinion of, on the murder of George Clarke, 149, note.

Brooke (Dr), said to be quartered upon the salary of a patent place, purchased by Mr Hine, 68, 211.

Brutus, letter of, to lord North, on the choice of his friends, and ministerial conduct, 73, note; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 414; the state of the ministry examined, 414; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 419; the same

subject continued, 419, 420.

Bucarelli (the Spanish governor of Buenos Ayres), acted in obedience to his order, 253,

Burgoyne (Colonel), history of the sale of a patent place, 67, 68; his expenses at Preston, aro; the purchase-money of the patent place said to be given to him for his services there, 211, and note.

Burke (Mr), extract from his remarks on Junius, 3, note; proof that he was not the author of Junius's Letters, 33, et seq.; extracts from his speech on American taxation, 34; his encomiums on lord Rockingham, 34; and on general Conway, 35; speech of, in the House of Commons, on the liberty of the press, 119—121, note; satirical speech attributed to him, 354, 355, notes.

Bute (Earl of), strictures on his mal-administration, 346,

Butler (Dr. Bishop of Hereford), estimate of his talents, 30; proofs that he did not write the Letters of Junius, 40.

C. (the private signature of Junius), letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 371; the conduct of ministers towards Mr Wilkes, since his return to this country, examined, 371, et seq.; to the same, 373; examination of the maxim, nullum tempus occurrit regi, 373, et seq.; to the same, 378; that the endeavours of ministers to make us happy, have been equally indefatigable and ingenious, 378; illustration of this remark, by a detail of their conduct, 378; to the same, on the new commission of trade, 382, 383; reply to Insomnis, on the same subject, 384, 385.

Calamities (national) caused by the conduct and

character of ministers, 136.

Calcraft (Mr), his vote worth reckoning in a division, 309; introduced into political notice by lord Holland, 309, note.

Camden (Lord), queries of, to lord Mansfield, on his charge to the jury at Mr Woodfall's trial, 118, note; the patron of America, 163; his noble resolution concerning the resolution of the House of Commons in col. Luttrell's affair, 224, note: is commanded to resign the seals in consequence, 224, note; his opinion relative to the king's power during a recess of parliament, 310, 311, note; letter to his Lordship from Junius, 337; who calls upon him to stand forth in defence of the laws of his country, form in defence of the laws of ins country, 337; portrait of, by Correggio, 348, and note; another, by Atticus, 427; accepts lord Mansfield's challenge, 455; who declines to reply to

lord Camden, 456.

Campbell (L. D.), his claims for Mr Boyd to be the author of Junius's Letters, examined, 44 -50; his claims disproved, 50.

Carlton House, plan of tutelage and future dominion over the heir-apparent, formed there

many years ago, 214, note.

Chamier (M.), appointed deputy recretary at war, 81, and note, 496; strictures thereon,

496. Charles I. and II. hypocrites, but of different sorts, 159; treachery of the Scotch to Charles

I., 218.

Chatham (Lord), speech in parliament on Mr Woodfall's trial, 121, 122, note; introduces the duke of Grafton into the political world, 159, and note; obliged to withdraw his name from an administration formed on the credit of it, 6 159; extract of his speech on the state of the country in 1771, 264, 265, note; the city of London vote their thanks to him for his de-

claration in favour of short parliaments, and his reply, 291, note; severe invective against him, 341; letter from his Lordship, stating the causes of his resignation, 342, note; censured by Anti-Sejanus, for accepting a pension and title, 346; the country indebted to him for the greatest part of the national debt, 362. Choiseul (M.), anecdote of, 191, note.

Clarke (Geo.), murdered at the Middlesex elec-

tion, 148, note; warrant for pardoning his supposed murderer, 149, note.

Cleophas, letters of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 398; sir J. Amherst's resignation considered, 398, 399, 403, 404.

Clergy, are incapacitated from sitting in parlia-

ment, 185. Cockburn (Mr Serjeant), character of, 125. Coke (Sir Edward), observation on England,

125; his opinion with regard to the power of the House of Commons to commit for contempt, 269.

Colonies, of America, alienated from their natural affection to the mother country, 130; Stamp Act made and repealed, 130, and a new mode of taxing them invented, 130; effect produced there by the Stamp Act, 160, note; origin of hostilities between them and the mother country, 240, note; no assistance to be expected by the king, from their alienated affections,

218; state of, considered, 216-218.

Commissioned officers, number of, in the Guards,

219, note.

Commons, House of, their powers defined, 113; their conduct in the Middlesex election censured by the marquis of Granby, 146, note; whether one of its members, who is expelled, is thereby incapacitated from being re-elected, 169, et seq.; duties of, defined, 216, 217; that they have attributed to their own vote an authority equal to an Act of the legislature, 219; are only interpreters, whose duty it is faithfully to convey the sense of the people to the crown, 236; would best consult their dignity by appealing to the laws when offended, 264, and note; arbitrary proceedings of, 264, 265, and notes; strictures on their proceedings against the Newspaper printers, for reporting their debates, 47x-473; statement of circum-stances connected therewith, and remarks on their powers of commitment, 471, 472, notes, 479, et seg.

Conway (Hon. Henry Seymour), notice of, 155, note; portrait of, 347.

Cooper (Mr Grey), letter, exculpating Mr Brad-

shaw, 448.
Cornwallis (Lord), political sketches of, 442,

Corrector, letter of, on the errors of Lucius,

408, note. Correggio (Junius), letter of, 346; sketches outlines of subjects for lord Townshend's pencil.

346-349

Corsica shamefully abandoned, 136; brief account of its subjugation, 136, note; would never have been invaded, had the British court interposed with dignity and firmness, 161, and note.

Council, satirical minutes of a grand council. upon the affairs of Ireland, 351, et seq. Crito, letters from, to Mr Weston, 152, 153, note.

Cromwell (Oliver), with all his crimes, had the

spirit of an Englishman, 259.
Crossy (Mr Alderman), spirited address to the Speaker of the House of Commons, 472, note; committed to the Tower, 472, note; address to him on his conduct, 473, et seq.

Crown, undue influence of, caused by long parliaments, 114; the maxim, 'that no length of time secures against a claim of the crown,'

examined and refuted, 366, et seq. Cumberland (Wm duke of), in his time, parliamentary influence prevailed least in the army.

Cumberland (late duke of), letter to, on his marriage with Mrs Horton, 493, 494; account

thereof, 493, 494. Cumbriensis, letter of, to the duke of Cumberland, 493, 494; his Grace's nuptials considered, 493, 494.

Dalrymple (Mr), the author of the letters to Junius, under the signature of Modestus, 121,

Debt (public), observations on the increase of.

130; amount of, in 1763, 130, note. Dedication of Junius's Letters to the people of

England, reasons for, 112.

De Grey (Lord Chief Justice), strictures on his charge, while attorney-general, against the tendency of Junius's letters, 123

De & Fontaine (M.), appointed barrack-master to the Savoy, 67.

De Lointe, (M.) remark of, on the liberty of the

press, 127.

Dingley (Mr), an unsuccessful candidate for the county of Middlesex, 151, note; his death,

274, note.

Dodd (Lieutenant), applies to lieut. Garth for the assistance of his guard to rescue general Gansel, 205; remarks on Capt. D.'s conduct,

307, 439, 439, 440.

Domitian, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 441; political sketches of ministers, 41-43; to the same, 452; the duke of Grafton's conduct while in office, examined, 45-454; to the same, 459; lord Sandwich's fifther or for the same, 459; lord Sandwich's fifther of the same, 459; lord Sandwich's fifther of the same, 459; lord Sandwich's first the same. return to office, considered, 459; to the same, on the change of ministers, with a view of their respective talents, 462-465; takes leave

their respective diament, 400-405, takes leave of the duke of Grafton, 490, 491.

Downright, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 362; the obligations of the country to lord Chatham, 362.

Draper (Sir Wm), biographical notice of, 125,

note; vindicates and commends lord Granby, against the charges of Junius, 133-135; is involved in a controversy on account of his description of his friend, 138-141, note; strictures on Junius's letter to him, 138, et seq.; further vindicates lord Granby, 140, note; vindicates himself in the affair of the Manilla ransom, 142, 143; accounts for his promotions, 143; another letter to Junius, 144; answers a question proposed by Junius, 144, 145; politics of sir William, not violent, 145, note; letter to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 146, note; plan for conciliating parties, 146; anecdote of, 146, note; is requested by the marquis of Granby to desist from vindicating him, 146, 147, note; defends himself against Junius, in another letter to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 147, note; to Junius, 193; complains of Junius's assertion, that he had

sold the companions of his success, 194; that it is a malicious falsehood, and challenges the writer to meet the charges, 194; appeals to the gentlemen to whom he had applied in this affair, 194; to Junius; that he has read his letter to the duke of Bedford with horror and astonishment, wherein an affectionate father is upbraided with the loss of an only and most amiable son, 106; that Junius goes wantonly out of his way to torment declining age, 196; calls upon Junius to prove the duke's avarice before he draws his hasty and wicked conclusions, 196; but if an ambassador loves money too much, is this a proof that he has taken any to betray his country? 197; that the duke, however powerful, is amenable to justice, and that parhament is the solemn and high tribunal, 107: sir William's account of the ministerial quarrels, 197; contradicts the report of his being appointed to a government in America, 197, 198, note; vindicates lord Chatham against Mr Wilkes, 343-345, notes.

Dunning (J., lord Ashburton), a reputed author

of Junius, 51; proof that he was not Junius, 51; his address to the chamberlain of London, on receiving the freedom of the city, 232, 233, note.

Duplicity, the predominant virtue of ministers, 376.

Dyer (Samuel), proofs that he was not the writer of Junius's letters, 33.

Dyson (Mr), the author of 'The case of the late election for the county of Middlesex considered, 183, note; remarks on his services by Mr Flood, 52; loses his pension, 78, note.

Egremont (Lord), character of, 191, and note. Election, right of, the very essence of the con-stitution, 157. See Middlesex. Ellis (Mr Welbore), sure of disgrace, whether

he make or suppress a motion, 234; account of

his intended motion, 234, note.

Embezzler tent of public money charged against lord Holland in a petition of the city of London, 250; correspondence thereon between lord Holland and Mr Beckford, 250, et seq.

England (people of), by nature somewhat phlegmatic, 365; advantages of this temper, 365; examples of it, 365, et seq.; letters on the state of, 391-393, 411-413; state of, in the spring

of 1767, 357, et seq. Expulsion from the House of Commons, on the right of, 157; of Mr Wilkes, the question thereupon stated, 169, et seq.; whether the expulsion of a member of the House of Commons creates incapacity of being re-elected, 170, et seg.; the expulsion of Mr Walpole, and his re-election, how far a case in point, 170, _171.

ed by lord 1_ 322, 323, notes; the affairs stated and examined according to the statutes, &c., 326, et seg. lord Camden's opinion that the bail was illegal,

337, note; letter from one of his three bail, AO2. note.

Faithful Monitor, letter of one, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 346; on the characters of lord Townshend and his brother, 346,

Falkland's Islands, private letters of Junius concerning this affair, 71, 72, and notes; account of the seizure of, by order of the king of Spain, 465, note; negotiations between the two countries in consequence, 465, 466, note; queries proposed thereupon to the judges, 466, note; protest of several peers concerning, 467, 468, note; strictures on the whole of this transaction, 465-469.

Fiat Justitia, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 380; strictures on the letter of thanks to the commanding officer of the troops employed in St George's Fields, 381.

Flood (Henry, M. P. in Ireland), proof that he

did not write the letters of Junius, 52.

Foot (Mr), opinion on the murder of Geo. Clarke,

149, note.

Ford (Mr), character of, 410; parallel between him and the earl of Hillsborough, 410.

Foruke (General), ruined by lord Barrington, 498;

state of the circumstances, 498, 499, note.
Fox (Right Hon. C. J.), speech of, in the House
of Commons on liberty of the press, 118, 119, tote; nobly carries a bill through parliament, declaring juries to be full judges both of the law and the fact, 248, 249, note.

France, influence of, over the continent might have been curtailed, 161, note.

Freedom of the press, the palladium of English rights, 113; strictures on, 115-110.

G. A., objections of, to Junius's state of the question concerning the Middlesex election, 172, note.

Game Laws, oppressive to the subject, 322. Gansel (Major-General), arrest of, 204, and note; rescued from the bailiffs by a Sporal and other soldiers, 205; Junius's reasons for not pushing his inquiries against the general, 436, note: remarks of X. X. on his case, 438-

Garter, number of knights necessary to consti-

tute a chapter, 469.

Garth (Lieutenant), declines interfering in behalf of general Gansel, 205; and stands aloof while another officer orders out the king's guard to rescue him, 205; remarks on this con-

duct, 439, 440.

Garrick (Mr), admonitory letter of Junius to him, 75; threatened by Mr Wilkes if he performed a particular character, 75, note; letter of Mr Wilkes on this character, 75, 76, note.

General Warrants, in what respects different from press warrants, 318.
Gisborne (Colonel), motives of sir Wm Draper

for resigning his regiment to him, 143; colonel Draper resigned it to him for his half-pay, 144; accepts a pension for the government of Kinsale, 243, note.

Gower (Lord), unduly elected a knight of the gar-

ter, 469; account of the transaction, 470, note: strictures thereon, 469, 470.

structures thereon, 499, 479.

Graftin (Duke of), notice of his political life,
415, 416; resigns his office of first lord of the
Treasury, 222, note; portrait of, by Correggio
Unius), 347, 348; Letters of Yanius to his
Grace, 148, et see, on what footing he accepted, and soon after resigned, the secretaryship of state, 129, and note; character of his administration, 129; the only act of mercy he recommended to his Majesty disapproved, 148; examination of MacQuirk's affair, 148, et seq., 427, 428; one fatal mark fixed on every measure in which he is concerned, 150; ruins sir James Lowther's interest in Cumberland for ever, 151; a singular instance of youth without spirit, 151; is obliged either to abandon a useful partisan, or to protect a felon from public justice, 151; requested to state for which of Mr Wilkes's good qualities he honoured him with his friendship, 152; was at first scrupulous of even exercising those powers with which the executive power of the legislature is invested, 153; charged with reserving proofs of his intrepid spirit for trials of greater hazard, 155; and with balancing his non-exe-cution of the laws with a breach of the constitution, 155; the seating of colonel Luttrell in parliament entails on posterity the immediate effects of his administration, 156; remarks on his Grace's conduct in this affair, 157; base conduct of, to his mistress, 157, note; in his system of government, he addresses himself to the touch, 158; curious speculation on his character, 158; its resemblance to that of his royal progenitors, 158; origin of his Grace's house, 159, note; at the outset of his political career, was a patriot of no unpromising expectations, 159; account of his Grace's introduction into political life, 159, note; has many compensations to make in the closet for his former friendship with Mr Wilkes, 159; his union by marriage, not imprudent in a political point of view, 160; his public conduct as a minister, the counterpart of his private history, 160; effects of his administration, 162; immoral conduct of, 162; the whole course of his life, a strange endeavour to unite contradictions, 163; his insult to public decorum at the Opera-house, 164, 376; always has some reasons for deserting his friends, 165; his political infant state, childhood, puberty, and manhood, 165; if his talents had kept pace with the principles of his heart, he would have become a most formidable minister, 166; the people find a resource in the weakness of his understanding, 166; his Grace, the leader of a submissive administration, collected from the deserters of all parties, 167; wholesome advice to his Grace, 168; his coyness in rejecting Mr Vaughan's proposals compared to the termagant chastity of a prude, 210; is required to state the price of the patent purchased by Mr Hine, 210; remarks on the sale of offices by the duke, 212, et seq.; in his public character has injured every subject in the empire, 222; the event of all the sacrifices he

made to lord Bute's patronage, 222; at the most active period of life compelled to quit the busy scene, and conceal himself from the world, 222; disregards the petitions presented to the king, 223; situation in which he abandoned his royal master, 223, et seq.; contented himself with pronouncing Mr Luttrell's panegyric, 243; is restored to his rank under the royal standard, 272; Junius confesses his great intrinsic merit, but cautions him not to value it too highly, 272; in vain would the king have sought a more consummate character. 272; Junius remembers with gratitude how the duke accommodated his morals to the necessity of his service, 273; his Grace's abundance of other merit which secured the favour of his sovereign, 273; that his Grace never formed a friendship which was not fatal to the object of it, nor adopted a cause which he did not injure, 274; his re-appointment to the cabinet, announced by the ominous return of lord Bute, 275; all his Grace's measures calculated to make his Majesty's government contemptible and ridicul-Ms, 275; that his Grace is the pillow upon which Junius is determined to rest all his resentments, 276; letter to the duke from Junius, 298; to what enormous excesses the influence of the crown has conducted his Grace, without a ray of real understanding, or a single spark of resolution, 298; in what a hopeful state he delivered the navy to his successor, 200: a warrant issued for cutting timber in Whittlebury Forest, of which his Grace is hereditary ranger, 299; his Grace's cruel conduct on this occasion to the deputy ranger, 300; the duke's assertion, that the property of the timber is vested in the ranger, disproved, 300; yet the oaks keep their ground, the king is defrauded, and the navy suffers for want of timber, and all this to appease the duke of Grafton, 300; strictures on the duke's

tification of his Grace, on sir James Lowther's defeat, 320; his expedition in hastening the grant to transfer the duke of Portland's progrant to transfer the duke of Portland's property to sir James Lowther, 325; ironical letter to his Grace, from Pomona, 381; his negotiation with sir J. Amherst considered, 398, 399; his Grace's ideas of the proper object of a British peerage examined and exposed, 400, 401; strictures on his administration, by Atticus, 421, 422; he rose by Mr Wilkes's popularity, and will probably fall by it, 422; the charges of Junius against the duke, contrasted with the answers of his Grace's defenders. 28, 400; the scurrious Grace's defenders, 428, 429; the scurrilous language and conduct of his Grace's hireling writers, exposed by Augur, 432, 433; letter to his Grace, by A. B., 433; the facility with which the duke abandoned his early connexions in friendship and politics, a recommendation at court, 433; his conduct towards the duke of Rutland, in nominating justices, exposed, 433, 434; vindicated by Messala, 434,

435; his Grace's conduct, while in power, examined and exposed, 452, 453; specimen of his

513

oratorical talents, 453, 454.

Granby (Lord), charged with accumulating lucrative employments in his own person and family, 131; his cares chiefly confined to the filling up of commissions, 132; is commended and vindicated by sir Wm Draper against the charges of Junius, 133-135; further remarks on, by Junius, 135-138; vindication of, by Titus, 139, et seq. note; illustrations of his character as a man, as a soldier, and as a general, 141; requested sir William Draper to desist from his defence, 146, note; remarks on his character, 140, note; speech of, 146, note; portrait of, by Corregio (Junius), 348; letter to him, by the same) a real frend, 426; his Lordship's former and present character, cou-

trasted, 426, 427.

Grenville (Mr), at any rate to be distressed because he was a minister, 130; his administration the authors of the Stamp Act, 160, note; account of his political career, 167, note; vindicated from some reflections against him, in a pamphlet written in defence of sir Wm Blackstone, 175, et seq.; chastised by the Speaker of the House of Commons, 179; concurred in forming the Stamp Act, and opposing its repeal, 389; letter from him to Mr Knox, 390. note; letter to him from Junius, 423; the pe-culiar advantages resulting from his integrity and influence pointed out, 423, 424; high character of him, by Mr Knox, 424, 425, note; his act for regulating contested elections

evaded, 454.
Grosvenor Cause, remarks on, 461.

Hamilton (W. G.), notice of, 33, note; proved not to be the writer of Junius's letters, 33; examination of Mr Malone's evidence to the

contrary, 38, et seq. Harley (Mr), the government of the city said to

be committed to him, 276.

Hartford Ford), remarks on his political conduct. Herry (Adjutant-general), character of, 134,

Hawke (Sir Edward), the country highly in-debted to him, 132; remarks on his political career, 441, 442; his resignation considered,

462.

Henricus, letter of, to lord Suffolk, on his conduct, 483; his Lordship the first man who avowed himself to act without principle, 486; his political tergiversation, 487, 489; letter to lord Suffolk, 489; his Lordship's resignation a pledge of his delicacy, if not of his integrity, 489; strictures on the situation into which his Lordship brought himself, 490.

Hillsborough (Earl of), notice of his political life, 416, 417; is appointed to govern the colonies of America, 130; strictures on his administration, 130, 131; origin of the office to which he was appointed, 130, note; his conduct towards sir J. Amherst considered, 391, 394; letters of Lucius to him on the same subject, 396, 307, 399-401; letter of his

Lordship to sir Jeffery Amherst, 399, note; reply of A. B. thereto, 400, note; other letters of Lucius on his Lordship's conduct to sir J. Amherst, 404-411; ironical encomium on lord

H., 413.

Hine (Mr), history of his purchase of a patent, 68, 210; the price at which it was knocked

down, 211.

History, chapters of materials for, 454-456. Holland (Lord), favoured by Junius, 58; petition against his embezzling public money, by the city of London, 58, 59, note; his letter to the lord mayor, 59; reply of the mayor, 59; answer of lord Holland, 60; observations on his accounts, as pay-master-general, 60, 61; memorial of his Lordship, for longer time to make up his accounts, 61; his Majesty's warrant to stay process against him for six months, 61, 62.

Holt (Lord Chief Tustice), anecdote of, 470,

note. Horne (Rev. Mr), letter to Mr Onslow, charging him with corruption, 63-65; is prosecuted by the latter, who is nonsuited, 65, note; Junius's private opinion on this affair, 66 : his unfortunate endeavours in support of the nomination of sheriffs, 276; in his principles already a bishop, 276; his letter to Junius, 277; the reputation gained under this signature, draws from him a reply, 277; he pro-fesses his readiness to lay down his life in opposition to ministerial measures, 277; that he did not solicit one vote in favour of Messrs Plumber and Kirkman, 277; letter to him from Whipcord, 278, note; letter to him from Junius, 279; accused of having sold himself to the ministry, from his own letters, 279; his mode of attack on Mr Wilkes censured, 279; particulars of his dispute with Mr Wilkes, 279-282, note; is censured for improperly introducing a lady's name into the papers, 283; duped Mr Oliver, 283; another letter to Junius, 283; charges him with in ufficiency and self-contradiction, 283; extract from one of his letters to Mr Wilkes, relative to their dispute, 284, 285, note; feels no reluctance to attack the character of any man, 286; that the darkness in which Junius thinks himself shrouded has not concealed him, 287; reflections on the tendency of Junius's principles, 287, et seq.; that Mr Wilkes did commission Mr Walpole to solicit a pension for him, 289; that, according to Junius, Mr Wilkes ought to hold the strings of his benefactors' purses, so Clong as he continues to be a thorn in the king's side, 290; that the leaders of the opposition refused to stipulate certain points for the public, in case they should get into administration, 290; Junius's principles will suit no form of government, 291; is charged by Junius with changing the terms of the proposition, and supposing him to assert that it would be impossible for any man to write in the newspapers, and not be discovered, 292; Junius allows him a degree of merit, that aggravates

his guilt, 293; his furious persecuting zeal

softened into moderation, 294; that it is

shameful for him who has lived in friendship with Mr Wilkes to reproach him with failings naturally connected with despair, 295; a farewell epistle from Mr Horne to Junius, 297 Humphrey (Mr), horse-whips the duke of Bedford, 190, note.

Impressing seamen. See Seamen.

Influence (undue) of the crown, how produced, 114; Scottish, remarks on, 125, 218, 219. Innocent Reader, letter of, to the printer of the

Public Advertiser, 491. Inquisitio post mortens, explanation of the term,

150, note.

Insomnis, letter of, on the new commission of the Board of Trade, 383, 384; reply to, by C.,

384, 385.

Ireland, people of, uniformly oppressed, 217; parliaments of, made octennial, 240, and note; satirical account of a grand council convened on the affairs of Ireland, 351, et seq.

Irnham (Lord), anecdotes of, 49, note; father of colonel Luttiell, 324, note; daughter married to the duke of Cumberland,

493, 494.

Johnson (Dr), Extract from his 'Thoughts on Falkland's Islands,' 256, note. Yudge, one may be honest enough in deciding

private causes, yet a traitor to the public, 132.

Funia, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 430; character of, and conjectures on, Junius, 430, 431; reply of Junius to her,

1UNIUS'S LETTERS, PRELIMINARY ESSAY on, x; state of Europe and of parties at home, during the period comprised in these letters, 1; discontents in the American colonies, r; character of, and effects produced by, the Letters of Junius, 2, 3, 9; proof, that the persons hitherto supposed to have written these letters, are not the authors of them, 4, note; reasons for the present publication, 4, 6; account of the different signatures under which Junius wrote, and the reasons thereof, with extracts, whote, and the tractors neterior, with extractors, set seq.; brief characters of sir William Draper and the marquis of Granby, 9; general account of the subjects discussed by Junius, 9, et seq.; the private situation and circumstances of Junius, 11, et seq., 15, 16, 17; proofs of his intimate acquaintance with every thing compacted with the court search by correct connected with the court, 12, 13; his secret intelligence respecting public events, 13; private signals between Junius and his printer, 13, 14; their private correspondence, 14; attempts made to discover Junius by various persons, 15; remarks on Junius's last letter to lord Camden, 17; moral character of Junius, 18, 22; account of the first genuine edition of Junius, 19-21; Junius's counsel to Mr Wood-fall on his prosecution, 23; political principles of Junius considered, 24, et eeq.; proofs that Junius was no party-man, 26, 27; religious opinions of Junius, 27, 28; knowledge and rank of Junius, 28, 29; remarks on his style, 29-32; and knowledge of the language, laws,

constitution, and history of his country, 32; examination of the claims made to the authorship of Junius, 33, et seq.

PRIVATE LETTERS OF JUNIUS.

To Mr WOODFALL, on the publication of his letters, 55, et seq.; avows the impossibility of his ever being known, 66; to Mr Garrick, on his intermeddling with Junius, 75, and note; instructions to Mr Woodfall, concerning a collected edition of his letters, 76, et soq.; desires to have one copy bound in vellum, 80; letter of Mr Woodfall to him on that subject, 84, 85

To Mr WILKES, 87, et seq. ; Junius assures Mr Wilkes of his support on constitutional prin-ciples, 87; on the Bill of Rights' Society, 87; on his connexion with Mr Sawbridge, 87, et seq.; Junius proposes a plan for reconciling them, 90; censures the conduct of the supporters of the Bill of Rights, 90 -93; examines the articles of their declaration, 93-97, 101; on the proposed election of Mr Crosby to be lord mayor, 100, 101; Junius declares that the public will never know him, 103; permits the reading of his second letter to the Bill of Rights Society, 104, et seq.; thanks Mr Wilkes for his invitation to Guildhall on lord mayor's day, 107; advises him what line of conduct to pursue, as sheriff, 107: requesting information on Eyre's commitment, 108, 109.

II. Public Letters of Junius.

JUNIUS dedicates his letters to the people of England, 112; motives for, 112; was not the sole depositary of his own secret, 112, and note; his motives for publishing his letters, 175; examination of lord Mansfield's curious doctrine of libels, 116-125; letter from, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, on the state of the nation, and the different departments of the state, 128; letter to sir William Draper, 135; commends sir William D.'s giving his name to the public, but asserts it to have been nothing but spirit, 135; requires instances of lord Granby's military skill, 136; proposes sundry queries to sir William, 137, 138; character of, 140, note; is called upon by sir William to give his real name, 138; another letter to sir William Draper, 143; explains sir William's bargain with colonel Gisborne, 144; reply to sir William Draper, 145; declares himself to be a plain, unlettered man, 145; calls upon sir William to justify the declaration of the sovereign in having done an act in his favour contrary to law, 147; takes his leave of sir William, 147, 148; sir William Draper relinquishes all personal enmity against Junius, 146, note; letter to the duke of Grafton, 148; the only act of mercy to which the duke advised his Majesty, meets with disapprobation, 148; that it was hazarding too much, to interpose the strength of prerogative between such a felon as Mac Quirk and the justice of his country, 149; the pardoning of MacQuirk, and the reasons assigned for it considered, 149, et seq.; to the duke of Grafton, 150; that one fatal mark seems to be

fixed on every measure of his Grace, whether in a personal or political character, 150; that a certain ministerial writer does not defend the minister, as to the pardoning of MacQuirk, on his own principles, 151; that his Grace can best tell for which of Mr Wilkes's good qualities he first honoured him with his friendship, 151; to Mr Edward Weston, 152; quotes from his supposed pamphlet, with remarks, 153; to the duke of Grafton, 153; that his Grace was at first scrupulous of even exercising those powers, with which the executive power of the legislature is invested, 153, 154; that he reserved the proofs of his intrepid spirit for trials of greater hazard, 155; and balanced the non-execution of the laws, with a breach of the constitution, 155; to the duke of Grafton, 158; that his Grace addresses himself simply to the touch, 158; speculates upon his Grace's character, 158; his Grace's character resembles that of his royal ancestors, 158; details the progress of the duke's political career, 159, et seq.; to the duke of Grafton, 166; if his talents could keep pace with the principles of his heart, he would have become a most formidable minister, 166; that he became the leader of an administration, gradually collected from the deserters of all parties, interests, and connections, 167; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 169; attempts to state with justice and precision the question arising from Mr Wilkes's expulsion, and the appointment of Mr Luttrell, 169; Mr Walpole's expulsion considered, and the case of his re-election how far in point, 170, 171; to sir William Blackstone, 175; a certain pamphlet in vindication of his conduct, considered, 176; Mr Grenville and sir William Meredith, defended from some aspersions in this pamphlet, 176, et seq.; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 182; that the author of a certain pamphlet, in defence of the proceedings relative to the Middlesex election, quotes only such parts of Mr Walpole's case as seemed adapted to his purpose, 182; that the House of Commons meant to declare that Mr Walpole's incapacity arose from the crimes he had committed, 182-184; they also declared the other candidate not duly elected, 184; explains some passages in his last letter, 186; to the duke of Bedford 188; causes of his Grace's unpopularity, 188 and note: that he has lost much of his author ity and importance, 189, et seq.; importance of his mission to Versailles, 190; those who are acquainted with his Grace's pecuniar? character, suspect that such sacrifices would not have been made without some private compensations, 191; stipulations made between him and lord Bute, and violated, 191; the measures he took to obtain and confirm his power considered, 192, 193; to sir William Draper, 194; that, after attacking Junius under that character, he had no right to know him under any other, 195; that sir William was appointed colonel of a regiment greatly out of his turn, 195; Junius does not think it necessary he should be exposed to the resentsi6 INDEX.

ment o the worst and most powerful men in the couttry, 195; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 198; sir William still continues a fatal friend, 198; the duke of Bedford's liberality stated, 199; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 201; applauds the spirit with which a lady has paid the debt of gratitude to her benefactor, 201; this single act of benevolence the more conspicuous from standing alone, 201; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 204; the present ministry as singularly marked by their fortune as their crimes, 204; and seem determined to perplex us with the multitude of their offences, 204; a majorgeneral of the army arrested for a considerable debt, and rescued by a sergeant and some private soldiers, 204, 205; that this is a wound given to the law, and no remedy has been applied, 205; the main question is, how the ministry have acted on this occasion, 205; the aggravating circumstances of this affair stated, 206; that the regiments of Guards, as a corps, are neither good soldiers nor good subjects, 206; that the marching regiments are the best troops in the world, 206; Junius vindicates himself from the charge of inflaming the minds of the people, 207; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 209; admits the claim of Modestus in the Gazetteer, 200; that Modestus having insinuated that the offenders in the rescue may still be brought to trial, any attempt to pre-judge the cause would be highly improper, 200; that, if the gentlemen whose conduct is in question be not brought to trial, the duke of Grafton shall hear from him again, 200; leaves it to his countrymen to determine by what motives he is animated, 209; to the duke of Grafton, 209; he gives the duke credit for his discretion in refusing Mr Vaughan's proposals, 210; enquires the price of Mr Hine's patent, 210; and whether his Grace dares to complain of an attack upon his own honour while he is selling the favours of the crown, 210; in the duke of Grafton, 220; is surprised at the silence of his Grace's friends to the charge of having sold a patent place, 210; the price at which it was knocked down, 211; none of his Grace's friends are bold enough to deny this charge, 211; that Mr Vaughan's offer amounted to a high misdemeanour, 212; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 213; Junius supposes a well-intentioned prince asking advice for the happiness of his subjects, 223; and in what terms an honest man, if permitted to approach his sovereign, would address him, 213; separates the amiable prince from the folly and treachery of his servants, 214; that the king should dis-tinguish between his own dignity and what serves only to promote the interest and ambition of a minister, 214; that he should withdraw his confidence from all parties, and consult only his own understanding, 214; that there is an original bias in his education, 215; that a little personal motive was sufficient to displace the ablest advocates of the crown, as; that Mr Wilkes, when he attacked the

favourite, was unworthy of a king's royal resentment, 215, 216; that the destruction of one man was, for many years, the sole object of government, 2x6; that his ministers have forced the subjects, from wishing well to the cause of one man, to unite with him in their own, 216; that nothing but the repeal of a certain resolution can heal the wound given to th, constitution, 216; if an English king be hated or despised, he must be unhappy, 217; that it is in vain for the king to look for assistance either from Ireland or from the colonies. 218; that the king takes the sense of the army from the conduct of the Guards, as he does that of the people from the representations of the ministry, 219; that the House of Commons have attributed to their own vote an authority, equal to an act of the legislature, 210; recommends to the king a line of conduct towards Mr Wilkes, 220, 221; to the duke of Grafton, 222; in his public character he has injured every subject in the empire, 222; at the most active period of life, he must quit the busy scene, and conceal himself from the world, 222; the situation, in which he abandoned the king, 224; that he either differed from his colleagues, or thought the administration no longer tenable, 224; that he began with betraying the people, and concluded with betraying the king, 224; vindicates Mr Vaughan, as a much injured man, 225; takes his leave of the duke of Grafton, 225, 226; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 226; the king's answer to the city remonstrance considered, 226-229; the grievances of the people aggravated by insult, 229; the city of London have set an example, worthy to be followed by the whole kingdom, 230; if any part of the representative body be not chosen by the people, that part vitiates and corrupts the whole, 230; instead of answering the city's petition, his Majesty pronounces his own panegyric, 230, 231; whether the remonstrance be or be not injurious to parliament, is the very question between parliament and the people, 231; the city of London has not desired the king to assume a power placed in other hands, 232; they call upon him to make use of his lawful prerogative, 232; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 233; that the king's answer to the city of London is only the sentiment of the minister, 233; the consequences of which, how-ever, materially affect his Majesty's honour, who should never appear but in an 233; who should never appear out in an amable light to his subjects, 233; an appeal to his Majesty's judgment, 235; addresses from parliament, considered as a fashionable unmeaning formality, 235; their consequences considered, when supposed to mean what they profess, 235, 236; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 236; while parliament was sitting, it would have been neither safe nor regular to have offered any opinion on their proceedings. 236; we had a right to expect something from their prudence, and something from their fears, 237; the majority of the House of Lords join with the other House, 238; they would hardly

have yielded so much to the other House, without the certainty of a compensation, 230; they did not vindicate their own dignity when grossly attacked, 239; strictures on the business of the session after voting the supplies, 240, et seq. ; the king's situation after proroguing the parliament, 241; to lord North, 243; the honour of rewarding Mr Luttrell's services reserved for his Lordship, 243; whom he calls upon to tell the country who advised the king to appoint colonel Luttrell adjutant-general to the army of Ireland, 243; to lord Mansfield, 244; danger of writing to his Lordship, who is both judge and party, 244; a tribute paid to his Scotch sincerity, 245; anecdotes of his Lordship, 245, note; that his Lordship consoles himself for the loss of a favourite family, by reviving the maxims of their government, 245; his maxims of jurisprudence direct his interpretation of the law and the treatment of juries, 246; that the court of King's Bench thereby becomes a court of equity, 246; his conduct with regard to Bingley's affair, 247, and note; chages his Lordship with invading the province of the jury in matter of libel, 248; his Lordship's charge to the jury, in the prosecution of Almon and Woodfall, contradicted by the highest legal authorities, 249; that he ordered a spe-cial juryman to be set aside, without any legal objection, 250; is accused of having done great mischief to this country as a minister, 250; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 252; violence and treachery at home supported by treachery and submission abroad, 252; the expedition of the Spaniards against Port Egmont, 253; his Majesty's ship detained in port mous, 233; ms majesty s saip actained in port above twenty days, 235; the king's speech, Nov. 1770, considered, 253; if the actual situation of Europe be considered, when the affair of Port Egmont happened, the treachery of the king's servants will appear in the strongest colours. colours, 254; a most favourable opportunity is lost, 255; the affair of Port Egmont affords materials for a fable, 255; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 259; we have nothing to apprehend from prerogative, but much from undue influence, 26x; our political climate, severely altered, 261; the nature and origin of privileges traced and considered, 262, et seq.; to the duke of Grafton, 272; that the king would in vain have looked round the kingdom for a character so consummate as that of his Grace, 2/2; that his Grace did not neglect the magistrate while he flattered the man, 273; that his Grace has abundance of other merit, to recommend him to the sovereign, 273; to the duke of Grafton, 274; that he never formed a friendship, that did not prove fatal to the object of it, 274; his Grace's services to his royal master have been faithfully recorded, 275; his Grace's re-appointment to a seat in the cabinet, how announced to the public, 275; that his Grace is the pillow, upon which he is determined to rest all his resentments, 276; to the Rev. Mr Horne, 279; concludes from Mr Horne's own letters, that he sold himself to the ministry, 279; censures his attack on Mr

Wilkes, 279; and his improper introduction of a lady's name into the papers, 283; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 202; if any coarse expressions have escaped Junius, he agrees that they are unfit for his pen, but they may not have been improperly applied, 202; that, upon Mr Horne's terms, there is no danger in being a patriot, 293; by what gentle degrees his persecuting spirit has been softened into moderation, 294; causes of Junius's de-testation of the duke of Grafton, 294; a farewell letter to Junius from Mr Horne, 297; Junius to the duke of Grafton, 298; that his Grace has done as much mischief to the community as Cromwell would have done, if he had been a coward, ag8; the enormous excesses, through which court influence has safely conducted his Grace, without a ray of real understanding, 208; it is like the universal passport of our ambassodor, 298; his Majesty in want of money, and the navy in want of timber, 299; a warrant issued for cutting down trees in Whittlebury forest, of which his Grace is hereditary ranger, 299; his Grace's behaviour on this occasion, 300; the oaks keep their ground, and the king is defrauded, 300; to the livery of London, 304; the election of a chief magistrate, a point in which every member of the community is interested, 304; that the question, to those who mean fairly to the liberties of the people, lies in a narrow com-pass, 304; Mr Nash's character, as a public man and a magistrate, 304, 305; he cannot alter his conduct without confessing that he never acted on principle of any kind, 305; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 305; Junius laments the unhappy differences which have arisen among the friends of the people, 305; the insidious partisan, who foments the disorder, sees the fruit of his dishonest industry ripen beyond his hopes, 305; that Mr Wilkes has no resource but in the public favour, 306; that Mr Alderman Sawbridge has evinced that republican firmness which the times require, 307; the right of pressing seamen founded originally upon a necessity that supersedes all argument, 307; the designs and conduct of lord Mansfield further investigated, 308, et seq.; patriotism is capable of being improved by transplanting, 309; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 321; Junius vindicates himself from the charge of misrepresentations, 321, 322; to lord Mansfield, 322; charges his Lordship with doing an illegal act in bailing Eyre, 323; Junius engages to make good this charge, 323, to the duke of Grafton, 323; on the miserable depression of the duke, when almost every man in the kingdom was exulting at sir James Lowther's defeat, 323, 324; that he violates his own rules of decorum, when he does not insuit the man whom he has betrayed, 324; to lord Mansfield, 325; that the su-perior power of bailing for felony, in the court of King's Bench, has only the negative assent of the legislature, 326; that a person, positively charged with feloniously stealing, and taken with the stolen goods upon him, is not

bailable, 327; the law stated, 327; the several statutes relative to bail, stated a due order, 328, et seq.; the law as stated, applied to the case of John Eyre, who was committed for case of John Eyre, who was committed for felony, 334; to the right hon. lord Camden, 337; Jumius calls on his Lordship to stand forth in defence of the laws of his country, 337; stract of a letter from Junius to Mr Wikes, 338; Junius renounces his hostility to lord Chatham, 364, and note; apparent inconsistencies in his conduct accounted for, 377, note; to the printer of the Public Adver-tiser, 422; the treatment of Mr Wilkes considered, in the affair of general warrants, 422, 423; to the same, 425; on the monody written on his supposed death, 425, 426; exaggerated character of Junius by Silurus, 426, note; character of, and conjectures on, Junius, by Junia, 430, 431; Junius's reply to her, 431; Junius's attack on lord Mansfield's doctrine, in the Grosvenor cause, defended, 461.

References to other letters of Junius will be found in this index under the following articles, viz. A. B., Amicus Curia, Anonymous Letters, Anti-Belial, Anti-Fox, Anti-Sejanus, Anti-Van-Teague, Atticus, Augur, Bifrons, Brutus, C., Corrector, Correggio, Crito, Cum-briensis, Domitian, Downright, Henricus, Juniper L. L., Miemon, Moderator, Moderatus, Nemesis, Phalaris, Philo Junius, Tell-Truth, Temporum Felicitas, Testiculus, Ti-tus, Valerian, Viteron, X. X., Y. Z. Jury, examination of lord Mansfield's charge to,

on the trial of Mr Woodfall, 116, et seg. ; their functions defined, 124, 125; juries have the full power of judging both of the law and the

fact, 248, note. Yuniper's letter, in vindication of Junius, 495. Yustice, impartial administration of, the firmest security of a government, 132; letter from, vindicating the duke of Grafton, 211, notes.

Kennedys (Matthew and Patrice), condemned for murder, but pardoned at the intercession

of a prostitute, 242, and note. King, the power of King, Lords, and Commons, proved not to be an arbitrary power, 113, and note; the maxim, 'that the king can do no wrong,' examined, 125, 126, 214; mismanagement of his affairs in the House of Commons, 130; favourable auspices with which he commenced his reign, 162; Junius's letter to him, 213, et seq. ; extract from his Majesty's speech, Nov. 13, 1760, 214, note; extract of his speech in 1767, 358, note; fictitious discussion of, 358, et seq.; answers of, to the addresses and remonstrances of parliament, and of the city of London, 227, 228, 229, note; his speech, Nov. 1770, considered, 253; particulars of his Majesty's dispute with the city, 443, 444, nots; remarks thereon by Moderatus, 443—445; the king cannot change the law by his pro-clamation, 444; conversation of his Majesty with lord Barrington, 499.

L. L., letter of, to the printer of the Public Ad-

vertiser, 388; on sir Jeffery Amherst's dismission from his government, 388.

Land Tax Act, validity of, questioned by Mr Alderman Townshend, 446, note; abstract of the trial of this question, 446, 447, note. Laws of England grow out of the constitution,

Lee (General), examination of his claims to the authorship of Junius's letters, 20, et seg; proved not to have written them, 43.

Legge (Mr), dismissed from office, for party purposes, 215, note.

Legislature, not vested with arbitrary power,

113, and note.

Libel, vilifying the House of Commons or its King's members, punishable as severely in the King's

Bench as one upon the king, 264. Liberty of the press, the palladium of British

rights, 113, 114; strictures on, 115-119; remarks on, by De Lolme, 127. Licentiousness of the press, charge of, examined,

116-119.
Ligonier (Lord), the command of the army taken from him against his inclination, 137.

Livery of London, letter to, on the choice of a

chief magistrate, 304, 305.

Lloyd (Charles), proof that he was not the writer of the letters of Junius, 33.

London (City of), petition on the embezzlement of public treasure, 58, 59, note; reply thereto by lord Holland, 59; has given an example in what manner a king of this country should be addressed, 168, 227, et seq., note; addresses of, considered, 226, 227, note; copies of the addresses, remonstrances, and petitions to the king, 227-229, note; particulars of their dis-pute with the king, 443, 444, note; remarks thereon, 443-445; strictures on their remonstrance, 419, 450; vote of thanks to lord Chatham, for his declaration in favour of short parliaments, 200, 201, note.

Lords and Commons, powers of, defined, 113. Lords (House of), order all strangers to be excluded, 454.

Lottery, the worst possible way of raising

money, 130. Loyalty, nature of, defined, 128.

Lowther (Sir James), his interest in the county of Cumberland ruined by the duke of Grafton, 151; his litigious spirit displayed in the county of Cumberland, 300, 301, note; nonsuited in his cause against the duke of Portland, 303, note; letters relative to his grant, 365, 367,

Lucius, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 391; lord Hillsborough's conduct towards sir J. Amherst considered, 391; letter towards str.]. Ammerst constacted, 391; letter to his Lordship on the same subject, 396, 397; to lord Hillsborough, 399; his Lordship's negotiation with sir J. Annherst examined, 399—401; reply of Cleophas, 493, 404; to the earl of Hillsborough, in refutation of Cleophas, 404—406; the same subject Continued, 406—408; to the same, 408; there is no surer sign of a weak head, than a settled depravity of heart, 408; strict wes on his Lordship's system of morals, 409 his Lordship's advice to the

governors of the colonies considered, 408, 409 list of epithets applied by his Lordship to Lucius, 410; Lucius to the earl of Hills-borough, 410; reply to his Lordship's letter in the Gazetteer, 411.

question arising from Mr Wilkes's expulsion. and Mr L.'s appointment, attempted to be stated with justice and precision, 169, et seq.; lord Camden's manly disapprobation of the proceedings of the House of Commons concerning him, 224, note; further observations on his being seated in parliament to the exclusion of Mr Wilkes, 450; strictures on his appointment to be adjutant-general of Ireland, 243, and note; he resigns that office, 244, note. Lynn, burgesses of, re-elect Mr Walpole, after being expelled, 171.

Mackenzie (Mr Stuart), biographical notice of,

Macherson (Sir John), monody on Junius, 425, 126, note.

Macleane (Mr L.), remarks on, 471; account of,

471, note.
MacQuirk (Edward), charged with murder at the Middlesex election, 148, note; his Majesty's warrant for pardoning him, 149, note; the pardoning of him much censured, 149; the reasons assigned for it examined and refuted, 149, et seq.; the duke of Grafton's conduct in this affair considered by Simplex, 427,

Malone (Mr), examination of his evidence to prove that Mr Hamilton did not write Junius's letters, 38, 39.

Manilla ransom dishonourably given up, 136;

notice of, 136, note.
Mansfield (Lord), anecdotes of, 245, notes; strictures on his charge to the jury in the case of libels, 308, et seq.; his charge to the jury on the trial of Mr Woodfall for publishing the letters of Junius, 117, 118, note; queries proposed to him in the House of Lords thereupon by lord Camden, 118, note; his extraordinary dictum in giving the opinion of the court of King's Bench, 122, 123, note; extract from his eloquent speech on reversal of Mr Wilkes's outlawry, 154, note; speech on the prosecu-tion of Mr Vaughan, 212, note; Junius pays a tribute to his Scotch sincerity, 245; that his Lordship had some attachments, which he took every opportunity to acknowledge, 245; is charged with reviving the maxims of government of his favourite family, 245; and with following a uniform plan of enlarging the power of the crown at the subjects' expense, 245; that he labours to contract the power of the jury, or to mislead their judgment, 246; that, instead of positive rules which should determine a court, he has introduced his own unsettled notions of equity, 246; his conduct in regard to Bingley's confinement and release, 247: his charge to the jury contradicts the highest egal authorities, 248-250; is re ind-

ed of the name of Benson, 250; charged with doing much mischief to this country as a minister, 250; and with supporting a ministry which he knew to be odious, and spoke of sometimes with contempt, 251; his suspicious applause of lord Chatham, 314, et seq.; his reasons for challenging a juryman, 315; is dedefended by solicitor-general Thurlow, 315, note; is accused of endeavouring to screen the king's brother, 316; and of introducing new practices into the court of King's Bench, 316; is charged by Junius with bailing a man not bailable by the law of the land, 325; lord ballable by the law of the land, 325; sore. Camden accepts his challenge to discuss the doctrine laid down by the King's Bench in Woodfall's case, 455; lord Mansfield refuses to answer his Lordship, 456; his conduct on Mr Woodfall's trial defended by Nerva, 456, 457, notes; attacked by Phalaris, 456-458; report of his Lordship's decision in the case of Meares and Shepley against Ansell, 70, note. Measures and not men, the common cant of affected moderation, 196, note; a quotation from Pope on this subject, 196, note.

Meredith (Sir William), vindicated from some aspersions thrown out against him, in a pamphlet written in defence of sir William Black-

stone, 176, et seq.

Messala, letter of, vindicating the duke of Grafton's conduct towards the duke of Rutland, 434, 435

Middlesex election, petition of the freeholders of this county to the king, in consequence of the decision of the House of Commons on the Middlesex election, 55, note; proceedings of parliament in, censured by the marquis of Granby, 146, note; sheriffs of, discharge their duty in returning Mr Wilkes, 156; account of his successive re-elections for this county, 156, note: the arbitrary election of Mr Luttrell a violation of the constitution, 167; this election considered, 172-174, and notes, 446, 447.

Ministry, arrangement of, in 1769, 128, note.
Ministers. To be acquainted with their merit it is sufficient to observe the condition of the people, 128; their misconduct is the cause of the sudden and extraordinary change within these few years in Great Britain, 129; the conduct and character of ministers the real cause of national calamities, 136; a minister in this country who is determined to do wrong must be a man of abilities and courage, 363; ministers are called upon to answer for the excesses attendant on Mr Wilkes's election, 372, et seq.; their conduct towards him fully considered, 371-373; they produce him once more on the stage in order to keep lord Bute in order, 373; duplicity their predominant virtue, 376; illustrated in their conduct towards the duke of Portland, 376, 377; their endeavours to make us happy have been equally indefatigable and ingenious, 378; are charged by Valerius with violating the rights of long and undisputed possession, for the purposes of undue influence at an election, and of paying a base court to a clandestine and dangerous power, 379; the line of conduct stated

which they ought to have pursued in the duke of Portland's case, 380; their conduct towards sir J. Amherst considered, 391, 394, 395; do not always tell the truth even to their most

intimate friends, 419.

Mnemon, letters of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 365; that the people of England are by nature phlegmatic, 365; the principle that no length of possession secures against the claim of the crown controverted, 366; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 367, the maxim nullum tempus occurrit regi, further discussed, 367, et seq.

Moderator, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 349; strictures on the contest between Philo Veritatis and No Ghost, on lord

Townshend's bravery, 349-351.

Moderatus, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 443; strictures on the king's dispute with the city of London, 443, 444, note. Modestus, charges Junius with absurdity in his writings, 202; cannot distinguish between a sarcasm and a contradiction, 202; is charged with misquoting what Junius says of conscience, and making the sentence ridiculous by making it his own, 203; who was the real writer under the signature of Modestus, 202, note; remarks on his contest with Junius, 437, 438; another letter of Modestus to Junius, 439; strictures on the conduct of captains Garth and Dodd, 439, 440.

Monody on Junius, 425, 426, note. Montesquieu, maxim of, 147, note.

Moore (Sir John), has a pension of £500 per ann. 162, and note; query concerning it, 163.

Morris (Mr), speech of, on the arrest of printers for printing the debates in parliament, 475, 476, note.

Muserave (Dr), firmness and integrity of, before the House of Commons, 239, and note.

Nash (Mr), state of the poll at his election, 90, note; considerations on his character as a magistrate, and as a public han, 304, and note.

Nation, when its safety is at stake, suspicion is a sufficient ground for enquiry, 128. Navy, woeful state of, in 1771, 299, and note.

Nemesis, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 505; memoirs of lord Barrington,

Nerva, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 456, note; vindicates lord Mansfield's conduct in the House of Lords, 456, 457, note. Newbery's edition of Junius, remarks on, 57.
Noblemen (young), advantage of their entering

into the army, 142.

North (Lord), his remarks on the letters of Junius, 2, note; letter to, on the choice of his friends, and ministerial conduct, 73, note; doubts as to his abilities as chancellor of the Exchequer, 129; is admouished to think seriously before he increases the public debt, 130; the palm of ministerial firmness transferred to him, 226; description of his person, 234, note; had the means of reducing the four per cents at once, 24x; but loses the apportunity, 241, and note; the honour of reward ing colonel Luttrell's services reserved for him, 243; is called upon by Junius to tell who advised his Majesty to appoint colonel Luttrell adjutant-general of Ireland, 243; he shall not have time to new-model the Irish army, 244; Lord North's defence of himself relative to sir Wm. Lowther's grant, 368, note; poli-

tical portrait of him, 416.

Northington (Lord Chancellor), portrait of, by

Junius, 348.

Noye (Mr Attorney-General), his opinion of the privilege of the House of Commons to commit for contempt, 270.

Nullum tempus occurrit regi. This maxim examined and exposed, 367, et seq.; investigated by C. (Junius), 373; it could only be true under the feudal government, 374.

Old Noll, destined to be the ruin of the house of Stuart, 163; grants that Corsica has been sacrificed to the French, 165; a nick-name of Oliver Cromwell, 164, note.

Onslow (Mr), threatens to prosecute Mr Woodfall for printing a libel on his character, 58; correspondence of, on this subject, 62, et seq.; letter to him by Mr Horne, 63, 64; whom he sues, but is nonsuited, 65, note; Junius's private opinion of him, 66; letters to Mr Wilkes, 435, 436, and note.

Outlawry of Mr Wilkes, extract from lord Mansfield's speech on reversing it, 154, 155,

notes.

Parliaments, view of the dissolution and re-asembling of, during the present reign, 113, note; long parliaments the foundation of the undue influence of the crown, 114; the privilege of parliaments considered, 174; addresses from parliament considered as a fashionable unmeaning formality, 235; their consequences considered when they mean what they profess, 235, 236; fictitious account of the debates in

parliament, in 1767, 356, et seq. Parsons (Ann), the duke of Grafton's mistress, 155, note; led into public by him, and placed at the head of his table, 162; handed through the Opera-house in presence of the queen, by the first lord of the Treasury, 164; base conduct of the duke of Grafton towards her,

157, note.

Parties, union of, not productive of the benefit expected therefrom, 129; plan for conciliating, recommended by sir William Draper, 140, note.

People.-The submission of a free people, a compliance with laws enacted by themselves, 128; in reading the history of, how we become interested in their cause, 128; the impartial administration of justice, the firmest bond to secure their submission, and to engage their

affections to government, 132.

Percy (Earl), placed at the head of a regiment, 141; was aid-de-camp to the king, and had rank of colonel, before he had a regiment,

Phalaris, letter of, to the printer of the Public

Advertiser, 456; replies to Nerva, against lord Mansfield's conduct in Mr Woodfall's affair, 456-458; a card from Phalaris, on Mr Jus-tice's amours with lady Williams, 460.

Philo Junius to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 162; that the duke of Grafton's friends, in the contest with Junius, are reduced to the general charge of scurrility and falsehood, 162; the truth of Junius's facts of importance to the public, 162; a review of them, as stated in Letter XII., 162, 163; and the letter to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 163; that the duke of Grafton's whole course of life is a strange endeavour to reconcile contradiction, 163; a violation of public decorum should never be forgiven, 164; remarks on the duke's conduct in this respect, 164; that his Grace always has some excellent reasons for deserting his friends, 165; ironical notice of lord Sandwich, 165, and note; to the printer of the Public Advertise, 172; the objections of G. A. to Junius's state of the question concerning the Middlesex election, considered, 172, et seq.; vindicates Junius's reasoning on Mr Walpole's case, 183, 184, note; charges the ministry with introducing a new system of political logic, which he calls argument against fact, 186; that sir William Blackstone sometimes contradicts the ministry as well as himself, 187; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 202; he is assured that Junius will never descend to a dispute with such a writer as Modestus, 202; examination of the proofs brought to support the charge of Junius being an Irishman, 202, 203; that Modestus misquotes what Junius says of con-science, and makes the sentence ridiculous by making it his own, 203; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 207; remarks on Junius's Letter XXX., 207-209; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 257; that Anti-Junius triumphs in having, as he supposes, cut off an outpost of Junius, 258; that Junius does not speak of the Spanish nation, but the Spanish court, as the natural enemies of England, 258; if it were not for the respect he bears the minister, he could name a man who, without one grain of understanding, can do half as much as Oliver Cromwell, 259; as to a secret system in the closet, that this can only be determined by appearances, 259; the queries put by Anti-Junius can only be answered by the ministry, 258; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 265; that those who object to detached parts of Junius's last letter, either do not mean fairly, or have not considered the scope of his argument, 265, 266; that Junius does not expect a dissolution of parliament will destroy corruption, but will be a terror and check to their successors, 266; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 266; on Junius's construc-tion of the vote declaring Mr Walpole's incapacity, 266; extract from lord Somers, in support of this construction, 266; if Junius's construction be admitted, the advocates of the House of Commons must be reduced to the necessity of maintaining a gross absurdity,

257; that the House did not foresee one effect resulting from their vote on the Middlesex election, 269; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 269; on the opinions of Neye and Coke, on the jurisdiction of the House of Commons, 270; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 270: the resolutions and conduct of the House further considered, 270, 271; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 296; the vanity and impiety of Junius are become the perpetual topics of abuse, 296; the proofs of this charge considered, 206; and refuted, 207; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 310; that Junius's inclination leads him to treat lord Camden with particular respect, 310; that lord Camden overshot himself in asserting the pro-clamation against exporting corn to be legal, 311; to Zeno, 312; the sophistry of his letter in defence of lord Mansfield, is adapted to the character he defends, 312, 313; strictures on lord Mansfield's doctrines, 315-317; that his Lordship incessantly laboured to introduce new modes of proceeding into the court of King's Bench, 316; to an Advocate in the cause of the people, 317; the difference between general warrants and press-warrants, stated and explained, 318.

Philo Veritatis, extract of his letter, recording the bravery of lord Townshend, 349, note.

Poetikastos, extract of a letter from, 152, note; monody of, on Junius, 425, 426, notes. Pomona, ironical letter from, to the duke of

Grafton, 381. Pope (Mr), quotation from a letter of his, to Dr

Arbuthnot, 196, note.

Poplicola, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 341; the bravest and fiercest nations have sometimes submitted to a temporary surrender of their liberties, in order to secure them, 341; arraigns lord Chatham's conduct. 341; to the same, in reply to the strictures of C. D., 343-345.

Portland (Duke of) duplicity, of ministers to him

exposed, 376, 377; principal points in his case stated, 379; the conduct pointed out, which ministers ought to have pursued towards him,

Precedents, influence of, 112.

Prerogative (royal), account of the outrageous attempt made to enlarge it by the ministry, 200, 300, note; the establishment of the several high offices of state, a check to the prerogative of the crown, 354.

Press, liberty of, the palladium of British liberty, 113; strictures on, 115—120; remarks on, by De Lolme, 127; must always prove formidable to an arbitrary government, 480; remarks on,

480--482. Pressing seamen, the right of, founded origin-

ally on a necessity, which supersedes all argument, 307; queries and replies thereto, on the legality of this practice, 308, note. Press-warrants, and general warrants, differ-

ence between, 318.

Printers, proceedings against, for reporting the debates in parliament, and strictures thereon, 471-473, and note, 479, et seq and notes.

Privileges, nature and origin of, investigated, 262, et seq., 479, et seq.

Protest, spirited, against the proceedings relative to the Falkland's Isles, 467, 468, notes. Publius, letter of, in defence of sir William Blackstone, 178, notes.

Rigby (Mr), account of, 165, note.

Riots, on account of Mr Wilkes, notice of, 153, note; account of one in St George's Fields, 381, note; remarks on the letter of thanks to the commanding officer of the troops employed on that occasion, 380, 381; copy of the letter itself, 380, 38x, note; strictures on lord Barrington's conduct, 500. Roberts (John), not the author of Junius's Letters,

Robinson (Jack), curious letter of, on the appointment of sheriffs, 275, note.

Rockford (Lord), estimate of his talents, 131, 418, 419; succeeds to the foreign department, 274, note.

Rosenhagen (Rev. Mr), proofs that he was not the author of Junius's Letters, 40.

Sackville (Lord George), at one period suspected to be the author of Junius's Letters, 52, 53; a strong reason for thinking the suspicion

groundless, 53.
Safety, national, when at stake, suspicion is a

sufficient ground for enquiry, 128. Sandwich (Lord), spotless morality of, 165, and note; remarks on his return to office, 459, 460, 462.

Sawbridge (Mr), remarks of Junius on his connexion with Mr Wilkes, 87, et seq.; has shown himself possessed of that republican firmness which the times require, 307. Scavola's letter to Junius, explaining lord Cam-

den's opinion, 310, 311, note; he is chastised

by Junius, 79.

Scotch influence, remarks on, 125

Scotus, ironical letter of, to lord Barrington, on his abuse of the Scotch character, 503, 504. Scrutator, extracts of his letters in vindication of

lord Hillsborough, 407, 408, notes. Seamen, the right of pressing, founded originally

on a necessity, which supersedes all argument, 307; queries and answers on the legality of this right, 308, note.

Shelburne (Lord), applied to, in regard to the Manilla ransom, 136, note; his conduct, with respect to Corsica, disavowed by ministers, note; portrait of, 348; account of his

political life, 417.

Sheriffs of Middlesex discharged their duty in returning Mr Wilkes, 156, and note; Mr Horne unsuccessful in support of the ministerial nomination of sheriffs, 276.

Siturus, character of Junius by him, 426, note.
Smythe (Mr Baron), censured by Junius, 336;
state of the case, and vindication of him by Mr Dunning, 336, notes.

Somers (Lord), extract from his tract on the rights

of the people, 266.

Spain, on the preparations for war with that country, 7x, and note; dishonourable conduct

of the government of, concerning the Manilla ransom, 136, note.

Stamp Act made and repealed, 130; effect of

passing it on the colonies, 160, note. State, the ruin or prosperity of, depends on the administratton of its government, 128; the improper bestowment of its principal offices, the source of every mischief, 129.

Suffolk (Earl of), letter to, on his conduct, 483; his Lordship the first who has avowed himself to act without principle, 486; strictures on his political tergiversation, 487-489; his Lordship's resignation a pledge of his delicacy, if not of his integrity, 489; the situation into which he brought himself considered, 490.

Supreme, import of the word explained, 113 Suspicion is a proper ground for enquiry when the national safety is at stake, 128.

Taylor (John), tried for murder, 336, note; his

case stated, 336, notes.

Tell-Truth, letter of, on Mr Bradshaw's appointment as one of the lords of the Admiralty, 504, 505.

Temporum Felicitas, letter of, to the printer of

the Public Advertiser, 413; ironical encomium on lord Hillsborough's conduct, 413.

Testis, letter of, on lord Barrington's conduct as secretary at war, 450, 451; reply to, by Testiculus, 451, 452.

Titus, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 139, note; strictures on Junius, 140, note: vindicates the character of lord Granby as a man, a soldier, and as a general, 140, 141, note.

Touchet (Mr), in his most prosperous fortune, the same man as at present, 276.

Townshend (Mr Alderman), complains that the public gratitude has not been equal to his deserts, 307; questions the legality of the land-tax act, 446, note; abstract of the trial of this

question, 446, 447, note.
Townshend (Hon. Charles), chancellor of the

Exchequer, 468; character of, 469.

Townshend (Lord), lord-lieutenant of Ireland, 346, note; remarks on his character and conduct, 346, 437; said to excel in portrait painting, 347; outlines of subjects for his pencil sketched by Correggio (Junius), 347—340; anecdote of his intrepidity, 349, note; remarks of Moderatus on the dispute relative to this

anecdote, 349-35x.

Townshend (T.), joint navmaster, portrait of,

348.

Trial of Mr Woodfall for publishing the letter of Junius to the king, abstract of, 117-123, note; further touched upon, 213, note.

Valentinian III., character of, 491.
Valentus, letter of, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 378; that ministers have not apswered the accusation laid against them in the duke of Portland's case, 379; they are charged with violating the rights of possession for the purposes of undue influence at an election, and of paying a base court to a clandestine and dangerous power, 370; the chief

points of his Grace's case stated, 379, 380; they ought, officially, to have seen the right of the crown to make the grant to sir James Lowther defended, and the duke of Portland's title examined, 380; to the printer of the Public Advertiser, 393; the conduct of ministers in dismissing sir J. Amherst from the government of Virginia considered, 394, 395

Kaughan (Mr), sends proposals to the duke of Grafton, 209, 210; state of the fact, 210, note his offer a high misdemeanour, 212; prosecuted in the court of King's Bench, 212, note; the prosecution dropped, and why, 213, note; vindicated by Junius as a much injured man,

Veteran, letters of, to lord Barrington, 496; on his lordship's appointment of a deputy-secre-tary, 496; charges lord B. with ruining general Fowke, 498, and note; examines his Lordship's political tergive-sations, 499; on his changes in the war-office, 499; his conduct in the affair of St George's Fields considered, 500; censures his nicknaming of general Harvey, 500; estimates his Lordship's abilities, 502 further remarks on his Lordship's unprecedented changes in the war-office, 503.

Virginia, the government of, taken from sir J. Amherst, 388; this measure considered, 388,

391, 394.

Wales (Princess Dowager of), her influence with the king examined and exposed, 462, et seg.; the deplorable condition of the country attributed to her, 463; by her management a war is certain instead of being avoided, 465.

Walpole (Mr), expelled from the House of Commons, 170, and note; his case supposed to be strictly in point to prove, that expulsion incapacitates from being re-elected, 171; state of his case from the journals of the House of Commons, 170, 171, note; remarks on his case by sir William Blackstone, 179, et seq.; strictures thereon by Junius, 182, et seq.; the vote of expulsion, as expressed in the votes, 183; strictures on its meaning and extent, 183,

Westminster (Electors of), remonstrance to the king on the dissolution of parliament, 69, 70,

Weston (Edward), supposed to vindicate the duke of Grafton, 150, note; an example of age without the benefit of experience, 151; quotation from his supposed pamphlet, with remarks, 153; denies that he was the author, 153, note; letters to him by Crito, 152, 153, mote.

Weymouth (Lord), appointed one of the secretaries of state, 131; estimate of his talents, 131 : resigns his office, 274, note.

Whipcord's letter to Mr Horne, 278, note. Whitefoord (Mr Caleb), author of the letter

signed Junia, 431, note. Whittlebury Forest, the duke of Grafton hereditary ranger of, 209; the right to the timber claimed by his Grace, 300; the story of the oaks refuted by Philalethes, 303, 304, note.

Wilkes (Mr), the conclusion of a letter of his to

Mr Horne, 74, note; letter of, on Mr Garrick's performing the character of Hastings, 75, 76, note; private letters of Junius to him, 87, et seq.; Junius assures him of his support, 87; his connexion with Mr Sawbridge considered, 87, et seq.; a plan proposed for reconciling them, go; the conduct of the supporters of the Bill of Rights censured, go—93; examination of the articles of their declaration, or-07; Mr Wilkes's vinducation of his conduct, 97-100; to Junius, on the reading of his second letter before the Bill of Rights Society, 103, 104; to Junius, 106; on his proposed card, excusing himself from going to St. Paul's, 106; to Junius, on perusing his dedication and preface, 108; on the commitment of Eyre, 108, 109; on the Bill of Rights Society, 110; proved not to be author of Junius's letters, 44; prosecution of, for an obscene poem, 116, note; cause of his persecution by the ministry stated, 150, note; was a candidate for London before he offered himself for the county of Middlesex, 153, note; riots on his account, 153, 381, notes; extracts from lord Mansfield's speech on reversing his outlawry, x54, note; suffered to appear at large, and to canvass for the city and county, with an outlawry hanging over him, 153, 154; the question arising from his expulsion, stated with justice and precision, 169, et seq.; further observations thereon, 450; was not of consideration enough to excite the resentment of a king, 215; his address and petition to the king, 220, 221, note; speech in the House of Commons, on his motion for erasing the votes and resolutions against him, 267-260. note: detail of circumstances relating to that erasure, 268, 269, note; extracts from his letters relative to his dispute with Mr Horne, 280-282, 285, note; commissions Mr Thomas Walpole to solicit a pension for him, 289; receives £200 per annum from the duke of Portland and lord Rockingham, 289, and note; has no resource but in the public favour, 306; view of his circumstances on his return from France, 371, et seq.; disgraceful scene at the hustings, 372; he could never have been permitted to go such lengths, if all were well be-tween lord Bute and ministers, 373.

Wollaston (Mr), expelled, re-elected, and ad-

mitted into the same parliament, 184; the public left to determine whether this be a plain

matter of fact, 186.

Woodfall (H. S.), proofs of his strict veracity, 50; private letters of Junius to him, relative to the printing and publishing his letters, 55, et seg.; letters of Junius to him on his prosecution, 69, 71; his expenses on this account, 69, note: letters of Mr Woodfall to Junius, 72, note, and 84; Junius gives the property of his letters to him. 115; account of the trial of, for publishing Junius's Letters, 117—123, note; prosecution of, for publishing Junius's letter to

the king, 213, note, Woodfall (William), extracts from his Vox Senatas of the speeches of Messrs Fox and Burke on the subject of the liberty of the press,

118-121- uote.

X. X., letters of, to the printer of the Public Y. Y., in answer to X. X., 437, 438. Advertiser, 436, 437; general Gansel's affair Y. Z., letter of, to the printer of the Public Advantage 438, 439, 441.

Yates (Sir Joseph), quits the court of King's Bench, 247; honourable anecdote of his integrity, 246, 247, note. Vorke (Charles), cut his throat, immediately after his appointment to the chancellorship,

231, note.

parliament, 357-360.

Zeno's letter to Junius in vindication of lord Mansfield, 312-314, note; reply to him by Philo-Junius, 312-317.

THE END.